White Rose Research Online

eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk
Universities of Leeds, Sheffield and York

Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/99140/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:
Booth, A. (2016) Over 85% of included studies in systematic reviews are on MEDLINE. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 79. pp. 165-166. ISSN: 0895-4356

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.04.002

Reuse
This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown
If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS
University of Sheffield
UNIVERSITY of York

Please cite this article as: Booth A, Over 85% of included studies in systematic reviews are on MEDLINE, *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.04.002.

Over 85% of included studies in systematic reviews are on MEDLINE

Andrew Booth*
School of Health and Related Research (ScHARR), University of Sheffield, Sheffield UK

* Corresponding Author
Correspondence to: Dr Andrew Booth
Reader in Evidence Based Information Practice
Health Economics & Decision Science Section
School of Health and Related Research (ScHARR)
University of Sheffield
Regent Court, 30 Regent Street
SHEFFIELD, United Kingdom
S1 4DA
Tel No/Fax No: +44 (0)114 222 0705/ +44 (0)114 272 4095

Dear Editor,

Dr Halladay and colleagues are to be commended for their potentially important study "Using data sources beyond PubMed has a modest impact on the results of systematic reviews of therapeutic interventions" (1). Examining 50 randomly sampled Cochrane reviews they demonstrated that 84% of all included publications were indexed in PubMed. This confirms unpublished data that I presented at a Health Libraries Group Conference in 2012 which showed a similar figure of 85.82% for 50 reviews randomly sampled from within a purposive sample of disciplines (2). Other studies (3,4) confirm that searchers are more likely to miss relevant studies from inadequate searches on an index database, in this case MEDLINE, than to miss studies from inadequate numbers of additional databases.

While being understandably cautious of equating current practice with optimal practice we note that the variety of teams involved gives some cause for confidence that these findings are generalisable. While publication bias rightly remains an ongoing concern, that useful contributing studies might be missed, database bias favours identifying studies that are larger and published in higher quality peer reviewed journals.

Readers of *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* will appreciate the irony of a study conducted to accompany my conference presentation. The Cochrane Collaboration (now Cochrane) has become synonymous with the need for comprehensive searching across multiple databases. The logo of Cochrane memorably depicts seven

trials of corticosteroids in preterm distress that, individually, were insufficient to overturn existing practice until combined cumulatively in an iconic Forest plot.

Q. How many databases do you need to search to establish this important landmark finding.

A. Just one – all seven studies could be located on MEDLINE.

In a further irony the logo should have included eight studies, not seven, as the Cochrane Web pages readily admit (5). All eight studies are easily identifiable from MEDLINE and from major paediatrics and obstetrics journals.

With subsequent updates the numbers of trials and study reports increased. By 2007, when the review title changed to Antenatal corticosteroids for accelerating fetal lung maturation for women at risk of preterm birth (6) and included 21 different studies, the index paper for every single trial was identifiable from MEDLINE. Additional reports are present in conference proceedings and may contribute additional data to a study. However, in terms of retrieval the index paper offers a means of backwards and forwards chaining (i.e. conducting searches for cited and citing articles). Identification of conference papers is unlikely to be facilitated by subject searching.

There are two implications of such findings: (I) That the priority for database searching is to construct thorough searches of the index database not to pursue the progressive futility of additional databases, and (ii) That efforts to identify more elusive types of literature should focus on identifying a database-indexed index paper and then using supplementary search techniques (citation searching, author searching, searching by trial name or registry number, etcetera) to identify additional data.

References

1. Halladay CW, Trikalinos TA, Schmid IT, Schmid CH, Dahabreh IJ. Using data sources beyond PubMed has a modest impact on the results of systematic reviews of therapeutic interventions. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2015 Sep;68(9):1076- 84. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2014.12.017.

2. Paper presented at Health Libraries Under the Microscope: Perfecting Your Formula, CILIP Health Libraries Group (HLG 2012). Glasgow: Glasgow Science Centre, 12–13 July 2012.

3. Matthews EJ, Edwards AG, Barker J, Bloor M, Covey J, Hood K, Pill R, Russell I, Stott N, Wilkinson C. Efficient literature searching in diffuse topics: lessons from a systematic review of research on communicating risk to patients in primary care. *Health Libr Rev*. 1999 Jun;16(2):112-20.

4. Savoie I, Helmer D, Green CJ, Kazanjian A. Beyond Medline: reducing bias through extended systematic review search. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*. 2003 Winter;19(1):168-78.

5. Cochrane Community. The story of the Cochrane logo (6th January 2013). http://community.cochrane.org/features/story-cochrane-logo (accessed 21 January 2016).

6. Roberts D, Dalziel S. Antenatal corticosteroids for accelerating fetal lung maturation for women at risk of preterm birth. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2006 Jul 19;(3):CD004454.