

This is a repository copy of Lung cancer diagnosed following an emergency admission: Mixed methods study of the management, outcomes and needs and experiences of patients and carers.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/98794/

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Wilcock, A., Crosby, V., Hussain, A. et al. (8 more authors) (2016) Lung cancer diagnosed following an emergency admission: Mixed methods study of the management, outcomes and needs and experiences of patients and carers. Respiratory Medicine, 114. pp. 38-45. ISSN 0954-6111

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmed.2016.03.006

Article available under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Table 1S Demographic and other characteristics together with and odds ratios for diagnosis after emergency admission compared with elective diagnosis. Number (%) unless specified otherwise.

	Diagnosed following	Elective diagnosis		Univariate	<i>Multivariate</i> ^a	
	emergency admission			OR	OR [95% CI]	P value
No. (%)	154 (38)	247 (62)				
Male	93 (60)	139 (56)		1	1	0.326
Female	61 (40)	108 (44)		0.84	0.78 [0.47-1.29]	
Mean (SD) years	72 (11)	72 (9)	<60	1.53	2.04 [0.91–4.57]	0.212
, , ,			60–69	1	1	
			<i>70</i> – <i>79</i>	0.98	0.96 [0.51-1.78]	
			>80	1.25	0.93 [0.45–1.91]	
Deprivation						
(most) 1	42 (27)	81 (33)		1	1	0.379
2	40 (26)	49 (20)		1.57	1.52 [0.78–2.97]	
3	27 (18)	35 (14)		1.49	1.98 [0.95-4.15]	
4	21 (14)	38 (15)		1.07	1.32 [0.61–2.85]	
(least) 5	24 (16)	44 (18)		1.05	1.05 [0.50-2.17]	
ECOG PS						
0	25 (16)	90 (36)		1	1	< 0.001
1	32 (21)	77 (31)		1.50	1.41 [0.72–2.78]	
2	29 (19)	37 (15)		2.82	2.43 [1.17-5.07]	
3	33 (21)	29 (12)		4.10	2.97 [1.38-6.39]	
4	12 (8)	3 (1)		14.40	13.48 [2.89–62.89]	
Not recorded	23 (15)	11 (5)		7.52	5.43 [1.98-14.89]	
Histology						
NSCLC	88 (57)	201 (81)		1	1	< 0.01
SCLC	28 (18)	25 (10)		2.56	1.92 [0.98–3.77]	
Clinical diagnosis	38 (25)	21 (9)		4.13	3.12 [1.46–6.65]	
Stage						
1	3 (2)	37 (15)		1	1	< 0.001
II.	4 (3)	32 (13)		1.54	1.23 [0.23–6.61]	
IIIA	14 (9)	41 (17)		4.21	3.20 [0.78–13.13]	
IIIB	20 (13)	36 (15)		6.85	3.85 [0.76–19.56]	
IV	105 (68)	90 (36)		14.39	11.98 [3.38–42.52]	
Not recorded	8 (5)	11 (5)		8.97	5.34 [1.35–21.22]	

a. mutually adjusted for all other variables in the table.

ECOG PS = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; NSCLC = non-small cell lung cancer; SCLC = small cell lung cancer