

This is a repository copy of Mapping from the Health Assessment Questionnaire to the EQ-5D: The Impact of Different Algorithms on Cost-Effectiveness Results.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/98515/

Version: Supplemental Material

## Article:

Pennington, B. and Davis, S. orcid.org/0000-0002-6609-4287 (2014) Mapping from the Health Assessment Questionnaire to the EQ-5D: The Impact of Different Algorithms on Cost-Effectiveness Results. Value in Health, 17 (8). pp. 762-771. ISSN 1098-3015

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2014.11.002

## Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

## Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/ Table 1: Pain measured on a VAS - values used in model

Treatment	Change from baseline decrease for	Reference/
	pain measured on a VAS: mean	assumption
Adalimumab	29.00	[37]
Etanercept	5.48	[38]
Infliximab	5.48	Assumed same as etanercept
Rituximab	23.40	[39], [40], [41]
Abatacept	28.64	[42], [43], [44], [45], [46], [47]
Leflunomide	13.65	[48]
Gold	8.50	[49]
Cyclosporin A	10.15	[50]
Azathioprine	9.85	[51]
Palliative care	0.00	Assumed to have no effect

VAS: visual analogue scale