

This is a repository copy of Association of genetic susceptibility variants for type 2 diabetes with breast cancer risk in women of European ancestry.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/98468/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Zhao, Z., Wen, W., Michailidou, K. et al. (115 more authors) (2016) Association of genetic susceptibility variants for type 2 diabetes with breast cancer risk in women of European ancestry. Cancer Causes and Control. pp. 1-15. ISSN 0957-5243

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-016-0741-6

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Association of Genetic Susceptibility Variants for Type 2 Diabetes with Breast Cancer Risk in Women of

European Ancestry

Zhiguo Zhao^{1,2}, Wanqing Wen¹, Kyriaki Michailidou³, Manjeet K. Bolla³, Qin Wang³, Ben Zhang¹, Jirong Long¹, Xiao-Ou Shu¹, Marjanka K. Schmidt⁴, Roger L. Milne^{5,6}, Montserrat García-Closas^{7,8}, Jenny Chang-Claude^{9,10}, Sara Lindstrom^{11,12}, Stig E. Bojesen^{13,14,15}, Habibul Ahsan¹⁶, Kristiina Aittomäki¹⁷, Irene L. Andrulis^{18,19}, Hoda Anton-Culver²⁰, Volker Arndt²¹, Matthias W. Beckmann²², Alicia Beeghly-Fadiel¹, Javier Benitez^{23,24}, Carl Blomqvist²⁵, Natalia V. Bogdanova²⁶, Anne-Lise Børresen-Dale^{27,28}, Judith Brand²⁹, Hiltrud Brauch^{30,31,32}, Hermann Brenner^{21,32,33}, Barbara Burwinkel^{34,35}, Qiuyin Cai¹, Graham Casey³⁶, Georgia Chenevix-Trench³⁷, Fergus J. Couch³⁸, Angela Cox³⁹, Simon S. Cross⁴⁰, Kamila Czene²⁹, Thilo Dörk⁴¹, Martine Dumont⁴², Peter A. Fasching^{22,43}, Jonine Figueroa⁴⁴, Dieter Flesch-Janys^{45,46}, Olivia Fletcher⁸, Henrik Flyger⁴⁷, Florentia Fostira⁴⁸, Marilie Gammon⁴⁹, Graham G. Giles^{5,6}, Pascal Guénel^{50,51}, Christopher A. Haiman⁵², Ute Hamann⁵³, Patricia Harrington⁵⁴, Mikael Hartman⁵⁵, Maartje J. Hooning⁵⁶, John L. Hopper⁶, Anna Jakubowska⁵⁷, Farzana Jasmine ¹⁶, Esther M. John^{58,59}, Nichola Johnson⁸, Maria Kabisch⁵³, Sofia Khan⁶⁰, Muhammad Kibriya¹⁶, Julia A. Knight^{61,62}, Veli-Matti Kosma^{63,64,65}, Mieke Kriege⁵⁶, Vessela Kristensen^{27,28,66}, Loic Le Marchand⁶⁷, Eunjung Lee³⁶, Jingmei Li²⁹, Annika Lindblom⁶⁸, Artitaya Lophatananon⁶⁹, Robert Luben⁷⁰, Jan Lubinski⁵⁷, Kathi Malone⁷¹, Arto Mannermaa^{63,64,65}, Siranoush Manoukian⁷², Sara Margolin⁷³, Frederik Marme^{74,75}, Catriona McLean⁷⁶, Hanne Meijers-Heijboer⁷⁷, Alfons Meindl⁷⁸, Hui Miao⁵⁵, Kenneth Muir^{69,79}, Susan L. Neuhausen⁸⁰, Heli Nevanlinna⁶⁰, Patrick Neven⁸¹, Janet E. Olson⁸², Barbara Perkins⁸³, Paolo Peterlongo⁸⁴, Kelly-Anne Phillips^{85,86,87}, Katri Pylkäs⁸⁸, Anja Rudolph⁹, Regina Santella^{89,90}, Elinor J. Sawyer⁹¹, Rita K. Schmutzler^{92,93,94,95}, Minouk Schoemaker⁷, Mitul Shah⁸³, Martha Shrubsole¹, Melissa C. Southey⁹⁶, Anthony J Swerdlow^{7,97}, Amanda E. Toland⁹⁸, Ian Tomlinson⁹⁹, Diana Torres⁵³, Thérèse Truong^{50,51}, Giske Ursin¹⁰⁰, Rob B. Van Der Luijt¹⁰¹, Senno Verhoef⁴, Quinten Waisfisz⁷⁷, Shan Wang-Gohrke¹⁰, Alice S. Whittemore⁵⁹, Robert Wingvist^{88,102}, Pilar M. Zamora¹⁰³, Hui Zhao^{104,105}, Alison M. Dunning⁸³, Jacques Simard⁴², Per Hall²⁹, Peter Kraft^{11,12}, Paul Pharoah^{3,83}, David Hunter^{11,12}, Douglas Easton^{3,83}, Wei Zheng¹

¹Division of Epidemiology, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN, USA, ²Division of Cancer Biostatistics, Department of Biostatistics, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN, USA, ³Centre for Cancer Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK, ⁴Netherlands Cancer Institute, Antoni van Leeuwenhoek hospital, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, ⁵Cancer Epidemiology Centre, The Cancer Council Victoria, Melbourne, Australia, ⁶Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Population and Global health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia, ⁷Division of Genetics and Epidemiology, Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK, ⁸Division of Cancer Studies, Breakthrough Breast Cancer Research Centre, Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK, ⁹Division of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany, ¹⁰Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Ulm, Ulm, Germany, ¹¹Program in Genetic Epidemiology and Statistical Genetics, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA, ¹²Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA, ¹³Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, ¹⁴Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Herlev Hospital, Copenhagen University Hospital, Herlev, Denmark, ¹⁵Copenhagen General Population Study, Herlev Hospital, Copenhagen University Hospital, Herlev, Denmark, ¹⁶Department of Health Studies, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA, ¹⁷Department of Clinical Genetics, Helsinki University Central Hospital, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, ¹⁸Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute of Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Canada, ¹⁹Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, ²⁰Department of Epidemiology, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA, ²¹Division of Clinical Epidemiology and Aging Research, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany, ²²Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, University Hospital Erlangen, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany, ²³Human Cancer Genetics Program, Spanish National Cancer Research Centre, Madrid, Spain, ²⁴Centro de Investigación en Red de Enfermedades Raras, Valencia, Spain, ²⁵Department of Oncology, Helsinki University Central Hospital, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, ²⁶Department of Radiation Oncology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany, ²⁷Department of Genetics, Institute for Cancer Research, Radiumhospitalet, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, ²⁸Institute of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, ²⁹Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden, ³⁰Dr. Margarete Fischer-Bosch-Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Stuttgart, Germany, ³¹University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany, ³²German Cancer Consortium, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany, ³³Division of Preventive Oncology, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany, ³⁴Division of Molecular Genetic Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany, ³⁵Molecular Epidemiology Group, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany, ³⁶Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA, ³⁷Department of Genetics, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia, ³⁸Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA, ³⁹Sheffield Cancer Research, Department of Oncology, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK, ⁴⁰Academic Unit of Pathology, Department of Neuroscience, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK, ⁴¹Gynaecology Research Unit, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany, ⁴²Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec Research Center, Laval University, Québec City, Canada, ⁴³David Geffen School of Medicine, Department of Medicine Division of Hematology and Oncology, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA, ⁴⁴Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Rockville, MD, USA, ⁴⁵Institute for Medical Biometrics and Epidemiology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany, ⁴⁶Department of Cancer Epidemiology, Clinical Cancer Registry, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany, ⁴⁷Department of Breast Surgery, Herlev Hospital, Copenhagen University Hospital, Herlev, Denmark, ⁴⁸Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory, IRRP, National Centre for Scientific Research "Demokritos", Athens, Greece, ⁴⁹Departments of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina Chapel-Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA, ⁵⁰Inserm (National Institute of Health and Medical Research), CESP (Center for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health), U1018, Environmental Epidemiology of Cancer, 94807, Villejuif, France, ⁵¹University Paris-Sud, UMRS 1018, 94807, Villejuif, France, ⁵²Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA, ⁵³Molecular Genetics of Breast Cancer, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany, ⁵⁴Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge, Strangeways Research Laboratory, Cambridge, UK, ⁵⁵Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore, ⁵⁶Department of Medical Oncology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, ⁵⁷Department of Genetics and

Pathology, Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland, ⁵⁸Cancer Prevention Institute of California, Fremont, CA, USA, ⁵⁹Department of Health Research and Policy, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA, ⁶⁰Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Helsinki University Central Hospital, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, ⁶¹Prosserman Centre for Health Research, Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute of Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Canada, ⁶²Division of Epidemiology, Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, ⁶³Imaging Center, Department of Clinical Pathology, Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland, ⁶⁴Institute of Clinical Medicine, Pathology and Forensic Medicine, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland, ⁶⁵Cancer Center of Eastern Finland, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland, ⁶⁶Department of Clinical Molecular Biology, Oslo University Hospital, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, ⁶⁷University of Hawaii Cancer Center, Honolulu, HI, USA, ⁶⁸Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden, ⁶⁹Division of Health Sciences, Warwick Medical School, Warwick University, Coventry, UK, ⁷⁰Clinical Gerontology, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK, ⁷¹Division of Public Health Sciences, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA, ⁷²Unit of Medical Genetics, Department of Preventive and Predictive Medicine, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy, ⁷³Department of Oncology -Pathology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden, ⁷⁴National Center for Tumor Diseases, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany, ⁷⁵Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany, ⁷⁶Anatomical Pathology, The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Australia, ⁷⁷Department of Clinical Genetics, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, ⁷⁸Division of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany, ⁷⁹Institute of Population Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK, ⁸⁰Beckman Research Institute of City of Hope, Duarte, CA, USA, ⁸¹Department of Oncology, University Hospital Gasthuisberg, Leuven, Belgium, ⁸²Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA, ⁸³Centre for Cancer Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK, ⁸⁴IFOM, Fondazione Istituto FIRC di Oncologia Molecolare, Milan, Italy, ⁸⁵Peter MacCallum Cancer Center, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia, ⁸⁶Sir Peter MacCallum Department of Oncology, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia, ⁸⁷Department of Medicine, St Vincent's Hospital, The University of Melbourne, Fitzroy, Australia, ⁸⁸Laboratory of Cancer Genetics and Tumor Biology, Department of Clinical Chemistry and Biocenter Oulu, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland, ⁸⁹Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, USA, ⁹⁰Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Mailman School of Public Health of Columbia University, New York, NY, USA, ⁹¹Research Oncology, Guy's Hospital, King's College London, London, UK, ⁹²Division of Molecular Gyneco-Oncology, Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany, ⁹³Center for Integrated Oncology, University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany, ⁹⁴Center for Molecular Medicine, University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany, ⁹⁵Center of Familial Breast and Ovarian Cancer, University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany, ⁹⁶Department of Pathology, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia, ⁹⁷Division of Breast Cancer Research, Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK, ⁹⁸Department of Molecular Virology, Immunology and Medical Genetics, Comprehensive Cancer Center, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA, ⁹⁹Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics and Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK, ¹⁰⁰Department of Nutrition, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, ¹⁰¹Department of Medical Genetics, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands, ¹⁰²Laboratory of Cancer Genetics and

Tumor Biology, Northern Finland Laboratory Centre NordLab, Oulu, Finland, ¹⁰³Servicio de Oncología Médica, Hospital Universitario La Paz, Madrid, Spain, ¹⁰⁴Vesalius Research Center, Leuven, Belgium, ¹⁰⁵Laboratory for Translational Genetics, Department of Oncology, University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

Running title: Type 2 Diabetes Genetic Variants and Breast Cancer Risk

Key words: type 2 diabetes, genetic susceptibility, GWAS, breast cancer, epidemiology

Financial Support:

The work conducted for this project at the Vanderbilt Epidemiology Center is supported in part by NIH grant R37CA070867 and endowment funds for the Ingram Professorship and Anne Potter Wilson Chair in Medicine. BCAC is funded by Cancer Research UK (C1287/A10118, C1287/A12014) and by the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement n° 223175 (HEALTH-F2–2009-223175) (COGS). Meetings of the BCAC have been funded by the European Union COST programme (BM0606). Genotyping of the iCOGS array was funded by the European Union (HEALTH-F2-2009-223175), Cancer Research UK (C8197/A16565 and C1287/A10710), the Canadian Institutes of Health Research for the 'CIHR Team in Familial Risks of Breast Cancer' program and the Ministry of Economic Development, Innovation and Export Trade of Quebec (PSR-SIIRI-701). Additional support for the iCOGS infrastructure was provided by the National Institutes of Health (CA128978) and Post-Cancer GWAS initiative (1U19 CA148537, 1U19 CA148065 and 1U19 CA148112—the GAME-ON initiative), the Department of Defense (W81XWH-10-1-0341), Komen Foundation for the Cure, the Breast Cancer Research Foundation, and the Ovarian Cancer Research Fund. The Australia, California, and Ontario sites of the Breast Cancer Family Registry were supported by grant UM1 CA164920 from the National Cancer Institute (USA). The content of this manuscript does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the National Cancer Institute or any of the collaborating centers in the Breast

Cancer Family Registry (BCFR), nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the USA Government or the BCFR. The ABCFS (Australia site of the BCFR) was also supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia, the New South Wales Cancer Council, the Victorian Health Promotion Foundation (Australia) and the Victorian Breast Cancer Research Consortium. John L. Hopper is a National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Senior Principal Research Fellow and M.C.S. is a NHMRC Senior Research Fellow. Work at the OFBCR (Ontario site of the BCFR) was also supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research 'CIHR Team in Familial Risks of Breast Cancer' program. The ABCS study was supported by the Dutch Cancer Society [grants NKI 2007-3839; 2009 4363] and BBMRI-NL, which is a Research Infrastructure financed by the Dutch government (NWO 184.021.007). The work of the BBCC was partly funded by ELAN-Fond of the University Hospital of Erlangen. The BBCS is funded by Cancer Research UK and Breakthrough Breast Cancer and acknowledges NHS funding to the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, and the National Cancer Research Network (NCRN). Elinor J. Sawyer is supported by NIHR Comprehensive Biomedical Research Centre, Guy's & St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust in partnership with King's College London, UK. Core funding to the Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics was provided by the Wellcome Trust (090532/Z/09/Z). Ian Tomlinson is supported by the Oxford Biomedical Research Centre. The BSUCH study was supported by the Dietmar-Hopp Foundation, the Helmholtz Society and the German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ). The CECILE study was funded by Fondation de France, Institut National du Cancer (INCa), Ligue Nationale contre le Cancer, Agence Nationale de Sécurité Sanitaire (ANSES), Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR). The CGPS was supported by the Chief Physician Johan Boserup and Lise Boserup Fund, the Danish Medical Research Council and Herlev Hospital. The CNIO-BCS was supported by the Genome Spain Foundation, the Red Temática de Investigación Cooperativa en Cáncer and grants from the Asociación Española Contra el Cáncer and the Fondo de Investigación Sanitario (PI11/00923 and PI081120). The Human Genotyping-CEGEN Unit, CNIO is supported by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III. The CTS was initially supported by the California Breast Cancer Act of 1993 and the California Breast Cancer Research Fund

(contract 97-10500) and is currently funded through the National Institutes of Health (R01 CA77398). Collection of cancer incidence data was supported by the California Department of Public Health as part of the statewide cancer reporting program mandated by California Health and Safety Code Section 103885. HAC receives support from the Lon V Smith Foundation (LVS39420). The ESTHER study was supported by a grant from the Baden Württemberg Ministry of Science, Research and Arts. Additional cases were recruited in the context of the VERDI study, which was supported by a grant from the German Cancer Aid (Deutsche Krebshilfe). The GENICA was funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) Germany grants 01KW9975/5, 01KW9976/8, 01KW9977/0 and 01KW0114, the Robert Bosch Foundation, Stuttgart, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Institute for Prevention and Occupational Medicine of the German Social Accident Insurance, Institute of the Ruhr University Bochum (IPA), as well as the Department of Internal Medicine, Evangelische Kliniken Bonn gGmbH, Johanniter Krankenhaus Bonn, Germany. The HEBCS was supported by the Helsinki University Central Hospital Research Fund, Academy of Finland (266528), the Finnish Cancer Society, The Nordic Cancer Union and the Sigrid Juselius Foundation. The HMBCS was supported by the Rudolf Bartling Foundation. Financial support for KARBAC was provided through the regional agreement on medical training and clinical research (ALF) between Stockholm County Council and Karolinska Institute, the Stockholm Cancer Foundation and the Swedish Cancer Society. The KBCP was financially supported by the special Government Funding (EVO) of Kuopio University Hospital grants, Cancer Fund of North Savo, the Finnish Cancer Organizations, the Academy of Finland and by the strategic funding of the University of Eastern Finland. kConFab is supported by grants from the National Breast Cancer Foundation, the NHMRC, the Queensland Cancer Fund, the Cancer Councils of New South Wales, Victoria, Tasmania and South Australia and the Cancer Foundation of Western Australia. The kConFab Clinical Follow Up Study was funded by the NHMRC (145684, 288704, 454508). Kelly-Anne Phillips is a National Breast Cancer Foundation Fellow (Australia). Financial support for the AOCS was provided by the United States Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (DAMD17-01-1-0729), the Cancer Council of Tasmania and Cancer Foundation of

Western Australia and the NHMRC (199600). Georgia Chenevix-Trench and P.W. are supported by the NHMRC. LMBC is supported by the 'Stichting tegen Kanker' (232-2008 and 196-2010). Diether Lambrechts is supported by the FWO and the KULPFV/10/016-SymBioSysII and by a ERC consolidator grant. The MARIE study was supported by the Deutsche Krebshilfe e.V. [70-2892-BR I, 106332, 108253, 108419], the Hamburg Cancer Society, the German Cancer Research Center and the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) Germany [01KH0402]. MBCSG is supported by grants from the Italian Association for Cancer Research (AIRC) and by funds from the Italian citizens who allocated a 5/1000 share of their tax payment in support of the Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori, according to Italian laws (INT-Institutional strategic projects '5 × 1000'). The MCBCS was supported by the NIH grants (CA122340, CA128978) and a Specialized Program of Research Excellence (SPORE) in Breast Cancer (CA116201), the Breast Cancer Research Foundation and a generous gift from the David F. and Margaret T. Grohne Family Foundation and the Ting Tsung and Wei Fong Chao Foundation. MCCS cohort recruitment was funded by VicHealth and Cancer Council Victoria. The MCCS was further supported by Australian NHMRC grants 209057, 251553 and 504711 and by infrastructure provided by Cancer Council Victoria. The MEC was supported by NIH grants CA63464, CA54281, CA098758 and CA132839. The work of MTLGEBCS was supported by the Quebec Breast Cancer Foundation, the Canadian Institutes of Health Research for the "CIHR Team in Familial Risks of Breast Cancer" program – grant # CRN-87521 and the Ministry of Economic Development, Innovation and Export Trade – grant # PSR-SIIRI-701. The NBCS was supported by grants from the Norwegian Research council (155218/V40, 175240/S10 to A.L.B.D., FUGE-NFR 181600/V11 to V.N.K. and a Swizz Bridge Award to A.L.B.D.). The NBHS was supported by NIH grant R01CA100374. Biological sample preparation was conducted the Survey and Biospecimen Shared Resource, which is supported by P30 CA68485. OBCS was supported by the Academy of Finland (grant number 250083, 122715 and Center of Excellence grant number 251314), the Finnish Cancer Foundation, the Sigrid Juselius Foundation, the University of Oulu, the University of Oulu Support Foundation and the special Governmental EVO funds for Oulu University Hospital -based research activities. This OFBCR was supported by grant UM1

CA164920 from the National Cancer Institute. The content of this manuscript does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the National Cancer Institute or any of the collaborating centers in the Breast Cancer Family Registry (BCFR), nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the US Government or the BCFR. The ORIGO study was supported by the Dutch Cancer Society (RUL 1997-1505) and the Biobanking and Biomolecular Resources Research Infrastructure (BBMRI-NL CP16). The PBCS was funded by Intramural Research Funds of the National Cancer Institute, Department of Health and Human Services, USA. The pKARMA study was supported by Märit and Hans Rausings Initiative Against Breast Cancer. The RBCS was funded by the Dutch Cancer Society (DDHK 2004-3124, DDHK 2009-4318). The SASBAC was supported by funding from the Agency for Science, Technology and Research of Singapore (A*STAR), the US National Institute of Health (NIH) and the Susan G. Komen Breast Cancer Foundation. KC was financed by the Swedish Cancer Society (5128-B07-01PAF). The SBCS was supported by Yorkshire Cancer Research S305PA, S299 and S295. SEARCH is funded by a programme grant from Cancer Research UK (C490/A10124) and supported by the UK National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at the University of Cambridge. SKKDKFZS is supported by the DKFZ. The SZBCS was supported by Grant PBZ KBN 122/P05/2004. The TNBCC was supported by: a Specialized Program of Research Excellence (SPORE) in Breast Cancer (CA116201), a grant from the Breast Cancer Research Foundation, a generous gift from the David F. and Margaret T. Grohne Family Foundation and the Ting Tsung and Wei Fong Chao Foundation, the Stefanie Spielman Breast Cancer fund and the OSU Comprehensive Cancer Center, DBBR (a CCSG Share Resource by National Institutes of Health Grant P30 CA016056), the Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group research grant (HR R BG/04) and the Greek General Secretary for Research and Technology (GSRT) Program, Research Excellence II, the European Union (European Social Fund – ESF), and Greek national funds through the Operational Program "Education and Lifelong Learning" of the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) - ARISTEIA. The UKBGS is funded by Breakthrough Breast Cancer and the Institute of Cancer Research (ICR). ICR acknowledges NHS funding to the NIHR Biomedical

Research Centre. The DFBBCS GWAS was funded by The Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) as part of a ZonMw/VIDI grant number 91756341. The generation and management of GWAS genotype data for the Rotterdam Study (control samples) is supported by the Netherlands Organisation of Scientific Research NWO Investments (nr. 175.010.2005.011, 911-03-012). This study is funded by the Research Institute for Diseases in the Elderly (014-93-015; RIDE2), the Netherlands Genomics Initiative (NGI)/Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) project nr. 050-060-810.

Correspondence:

Wei Zheng, MD, PhD

Vanderbilt Epidemiology Center

Vanderbilt University School of Medicine

2525 West End Avenue, 8th Floor, Nashville, TN 37203-1738, USA

Phone: (615) 936-0682; Fax: (615) 936-8241

E-mail: wei.zheng@vanderbilt.edu

Disclosures: The authors of this manuscript have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Word count: Abstract: 248; Main text (Introduction to Discussion): 2785

Total number of figures: 1

Total number of tables: 2

Abstract

Background: Type 2 diabetes (T2D) has been reported to be associated with an elevated risk of breast cancer. It is unclear, however, whether this association is due to shared genetic factors.

Methods: We constructed a genetic risk score (GRS) using risk variants from 33 known independent T2D susceptibility loci and evaluated its relation to breast cancer risk using the data from two consortia, including 62,328 breast cancer patients and 83,817 controls of European ancestry. Unconditional logistic regression models were used to derive adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) to measure the association of breast cancer risk with T2D GRS or T2D-associated genetic risk variants. Meta-analyses were conducted to obtain summary ORs across all studies.

Results: The T2D GRS was not found to be associated with breast cancer risk, overall, for pre- or postmenopausal, or for estrogen receptor positive or negative breast cancer. At individual SNP level, three T2D associated risk variants were associated with breast cancer risk after adjustment for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni method (at *P* < 0.001), rs9939609 (*FTO*) (OR = 0.94, 95% CI = 0.92 - 0.95, *P* = 4.13E-13), rs7903146 (*TCF7L2*) (OR = 1.04, 95% CI = 1.02 - 1.06, *P* = 1.26E-05), and rs8042680 (*PRC1*) (OR = 0.97, 95% CI = 0.95 - 0.99, *P* = 8.05E-04).

Conclusion: Overall genetic susceptibility to T2D may not be related to breast cancer risk and the previously reported association between T2D and breast cancer risk may be mediated mostly through shared lifestyle risk factors.

Impact: Lifestyle modification might be an effective prevention strategy to reduce the risk of both T2D and breast cancer.

Introduction

Globally, approximately 382 million people currently live with diabetes and this number may rise to 592 million by 2035 (1). Type 2 diabetes (T2D), accounts for over 90% of all diabetes cases (2). Breast cancer is the most common cancer among women in many countries, including the United States (3). Many epidemiological studies have linked T2D to increased breast cancer risk (4-9). Recent meta-analyses have shown a more than 20% increase in risk of breast cancer among women with T2D compared to women without the disease (10-13). T2D and breast cancer share some risk factors, including obesity in postmenopausal women and physical inactivity (14). Elevated levels of circulating C-peptide and insulin-like growth factor-1, biomarkers related to insulin resistance, have also been associated with increased breast cancer risk (15, 16). It remains unclear, however, if the link between these two diseases is due to shared lifestyle risk factors or intrinsic etiology such as genetic susceptibility. Understanding how genetic variants related to T2D risk influence breast cancer risk may provide insights into the nature of the T2D-breast cancer relationship.

Recent genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified approximately 50 genetic variants associated with T2D risk. Some of these reported T2D-related genetic variants have been studied in relation to the risk of several cancers, including cancers of the pancreas (17), colon/rectum (18, 19) and prostate (20). The influence of these variants on breast cancer risk, however, has not been adequately studied. To date, only two studies have evaluated the association of a subset of these T2D-related genetic variants with breast cancer risk (21, 22). Both studies reported a null association, which may be due to small study size and low study power.

In this analysis, using data from two consortia including 62,328 breast cancer cases and 83,817 controls of women of European ancestry, we evaluated T2D-related genetic variants reported to date in relation to breast cancer risk. By constructing a T2D-related genetic risk score (T2D GRS) and evaluating its association with breast cancer risk, we tested the hypothesis that, overall, the alleles that increase T2D risk may also increase breast cancer risk. We also tested the hypothesis that certain T2D-related genetic variants may be associated with breast cancer risk.

Methods

Study population

Included in this analysis were 62,328 breast cancer cases and 83,817 controls of women of European ancestry recruited either in the 39 studies (**Appendix Table 1**) that participated in the Breast Cancer Association Consortium (BCAC), a part of the Collaborative Oncological Gene-Environment Study (COGS), or in the eleven studies (**Appendix Table 2**) that are included in the Discovery, Biology, and Risk of Inherited Variants in Breast Cancer (DRIVE) project of Genetic Associations and Mechanism in Oncology (GAME-ON). From the BCAC, we included individual-level data for 46,325 breast cancer cases and 42,482 controls. The DRIVE project included 16,003 breast cases and 41,335 controls; however, only summary statistics for the association between T2D-related risk variants and breast cancer risk were available, and thus these summary statistics were used in our study. The study samples and participant data, including demographics and the traditional risk factors for breast cancer, were collected in each contributing study.

Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) selection

We searched for all reported genetic risk variants associated with T2D in European ancestry populations at a genome-wide significance level ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$, trait "Type 2 diabetes" or "Type 2 diabetes and other traits") using the US National Human Genome Resource Institute (NHGRI) Catalog of Published Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS Catalog, accessed November 19, 2012, at http://www.genome.gov/gwastudies). Fifty SNPs representing 33 independent loci (linkage disequilibrium (LD) $R^2 < 0.1$) were identified (**Figure 1**).

Genetic risk score construction

At each of the 33 independent loci, we selected the SNP with the lowest *P*-value for association with T2D reported in the original GWAS to represent the locus in constructing the T2D GRS. Using these 33 SNPs, a weighted T2D GRS was constructed as a measure of the overall association of genetic risk variants with T2D. In the BCAC, eleven SNPs were directly genotyped and 22 were imputed with imputation quality threshold of $R^2 > 0.5$. The T2D GRS was created as $\sum_{i}^{33} w_i SNP_i$, where w_i is the logarithm of the odds ratio (OR) of the *i*th SNP with T2D, as reported in the original GWAS, and SNP_i is the number of risk alleles carried by a given subject on the *i*th SNP. We hypothesized that the risk allele for T2D would be associated with increased risk of breast cancer. The 33 individual T2D risk variants identified from the NHGRI GWAS catalog are presented in **Appendix Table 3**.

Genotyping

In the BCAC, genotype data were obtained either from direct genotyping with a custom Illumina iSelect genotyping array (iCOGS) that contains 211,155 SNPs (23) or from imputation with the 1000 Genomes Project Phase I integrated variant set (version 3, March 2012 release) as the reference (24), using the program IMPUTE2 (25). Details of the studies that participated in the BCAC, and the methodology used by the BCAC and iCOGS have been published elsewhere (23) and can also be found on the iCOGS website (http://ccge.medschl.cam.ac.uk/research/consortia/icogs/).

In the DRIVE project, genotype data were obtained either from direct genotyping using Illumina or Affymetrix arrays (**Appendix Table 2**) or from imputation with the HapMap version 2 CEU panel (Utah residents of Northern and Western European ancestry) as a reference, using the program MACH v1.0 or IMPUTE (25). Details of the studies that participated in DRIVE were described in previously published papers (23, 26-29) or on the GAME-ON website (<u>http://gameon.dfci.harvard.edu</u>).

Statistical analysis

We evaluated the association between the T2D GRS and breast cancer risk using individual-level data of 46,325 breast cancer cases and 42,482 controls of European ancestry subjects who participated in BCAC studies. Demographic characteristics and known breast cancer risk factors were summarized by case-control status using mean and standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables or frequency with percentage for categorical variables. Differences between cases and controls were compared using the Wilcoxon rank sum test (continuous variables) or the χ^2 test (categorical variables). To assess the association between the T2D GRS and breast cancer risk factors, we used control data and calculated the mean and SD of the T2D GRS by comparison groups for each categorical variable; the difference was tested by the Wilcoxon rank sum test. For continuous variables, the Pearson's correlations were measured. To account for potential population stratification within our study population, genetic ancestry was estimated by principal component (PC) analysis using EIGENSTRAT software (30) on 37,000 uncorrelated SNPs (including those selected as ancestry informative markers) on the chip. The mean value of the genomic inflation factor (λ) was 1.01 for the participating studies when PCs were included in the regression models, indicating little evidence of population stratification (23). For all analyses, the top eight PCs were included in all regression models. For the LMBC study, the study-specific principal component was further adjusted. To assess the association between the T2D GRS and breast cancer risk, we first fitted unconditional logistic regression models adjusting for age and PCs within each of the 39 contributing studies individually and recorded the β coefficients with standard errors for T2D GRS guintiles (relative to the first guintile). We then conducted a meta-analysis on the results from these 39 studies using a fixed effect model. The odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) from the fixed effects model are reported in Table 1, as are further analyses by estrogen receptor (ER) status, menopausal status, age group (<50 vs. \geq 50 years), and body mass index (BMI, <25 vs. \geq 25 kg/m²).

We also used the SNP-set Kernel Association Test (SKAT) to evaluate whether any SNP in the T2Dassociated SNP set may be related to breast cancer risk while making no assumption on the direction of the association (31). To evaluate the association of each individual SNP (per copy of risk allele) with breast cancer risk, we used individual-level data from the BCAC (46,325 cases and 42,482 controls) and summary results data from DRIVE (16,003 cases and 41,335 controls). We first estimated allelic OR for each SNP for each BCAC study with adjustment similar to that in the analyses for the association of T2D GRS with breast cancer risk described above and then combined the results across all BCAC studies with results from DRIVE using the inverse-variance meta-analysis with a fixed-effect model. Both consortium-specific results and combined results are reported in **Table 2**. For individual SNP analyses, statistical significance was considered after adjusting for multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni method. For all other analyses, statistical significance was considered at a two-sided 5% level unless stated otherwise. All analyses were conducted using R version 3.0.3 (32).

Results

Among the 88,807 BCAC participants studied, on average, cases were slightly older than controls (57.8 vs. 54.9 years, P < 0.001) and entered menopause at a younger age (48.5 vs. 48.7 years, P < 0.01), as shown in **Appendix Table 4**. More cases than controls were postmenopausal (69.3% vs. 68.1%, P < 0.01) or had a first-degree family history of breast cancer (27.7% vs. 11.2%, P < 0.01). Among postmenopausal women, cases and controls had comparable BMI (P = 0.62). Among controls, the T2D GRS was positively correlated with BMI (postmenopausal women, Pearson r = 0.018, P = 0.03), and inversely correlated with age at menarche (Pearson r = -0.021, P < 0.01). For other categorical variables examined, the mean T2D GRS values were virtually identical across different statuses (**Appendix Table 4**, right columns).

Overall, the T2D GRS was not found to be associated with breast cancer risk (*P* for trend = 0.69, **Table 1**). No significant results were observed in analyses stratified by ER status (*P* for trend = 0.74 and 0.47 for ER+ and ER- breast cancer, respectively), menopausal status (*P* for trend = 0.74 and 0.93 for premenopausal and postmenopausal women, respectively), age group (*P* for trend = 0.74 and 0.62 for age<50 and age \geq 50 years,

respectively), or BMI group (*P* for trend = 0.64 and 0.64 for BMI<25 and BMI≥25, respectively). In a sensitivity analysis, which included only the eleven directly genotyped SNPs and 14 imputed SNPs with imputation $R^2 > 0.9$, similar results were observed (**Appendix Table 5**).

Using SKAT tests and making no assumption on the direction of the association between T2D-related SNPs and breast cancer risk, we found evidence for potential association for some of the T2D-related SNPs with breast cancer risk (P = 3.95E-10). Of the 33 independent SNPs investigated, seven were nominally associated with breast cancer risk using BCAC data alone (**Table 2**). Of these, the risk allele for T2D in four SNPs was associated with a reduced risk of breast cancer. After adjusting for multiple comparisons, the association for two SNPs, rs7903146 (TCF7L2, OR = 1.04, 95% CI = 1.02 – 1.07, P = 1.20E-04) and rs9939609 (FTO, OR = 0.93, 95% CI = 0.91 – 0.95, P = 3.63E-12), remained statistically significant, and both associations were replicated in DRIVE. SNP rs8042680 (PRC1) was related to breast cancer risk in the BCAC at P = 0.02 and in DRIVE at P = 6.18E-3; meta-analyses of these data yielded a significant association after adjusting for multiple comparisons (OR = 0.97, 95% CI = 0.99 – 0.99, P = 8.05E-4).

Discussion

In this large study, we investigated the association of 33 independent T2D related genetic variants with breast cancer risk individually and in combination (through the use of our GRS). Generally, we found no association between T2D GRS and risk of breast cancer overall or by ER status. Of the 33 T2D-associated SNPs investigated in this study, three showed a significant association with breast cancer risk after adjusting for multiple comparisons: rs9939609 (FTO), rs7903146 (TCF7L2), and rs8042680 (PRC1). Although this study does not provide any evidence for an overall association of T2D susceptibility and breast cancer risk, it does show that some T2D-associated SNPs may be related to breast cancer risk.

It has been hypothesized that the association between T2D and breast cancer may be mediated through insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia (33). T2D and breast cancer share some lifestyle risk factors, including obesity in postmenopausal women and physical inactivity. Indeed, it has been shown previously that the observed association between these two diseases may be, in part, due to residual confounding by BMI (34). With a very large sample size, our study showed that overall T2D genetic susceptibility was not related to breast cancer risk, indicating that the previously observed association between T2D and breast cancer risk may be largely due to shared lifestyle risk factors. Our finding for a null association between T2D GRS and breast cancer risk is supported by two previous studies that investigated this association. In one of these studies, Chen et al. investigated 18 T2D-related SNPs among 503 European ancestry cases and 633 controls from the multiethnic cohort and PAGE studies (21). In the other study, Hou et al. pooled data for 25 genotyped and 15 imputed T2D-related SNPs from seven studies and investigated this association among 1,142 European ancestry cases and 1,137 European ancestry controls (22). Neither study reported a significant association between T2D GRS and overall breast cancer risk. However, these two studies had evaluated a smaller set of T2D risk variants than the current study and the sample size in both studies was substantially smaller than the current study, which may not have adequate statistical power to evaluate the association of T2D GRS with breast cancer risk.

The sample size for our study was very large. When comparing subjects in T2D GRS Q₅ to those in Q₁, our study has 80% power to detect an OR for breast cancer risk as low as 1.06 (or 0.94) at 5% type I error rate. However, the statistical power for a study like ours depends on the strength of the association between the GRS and the phenotype of interest (T2D in our study) and the association between the phenotype and disease risk (breast cancer in our study). We analyzed the statistical power of our study based on the OR_{GRS}^{T2D} for the association of T2D risk with GRS Q₅ compared with GRS Q₁ and the OR_{T2D}^{BC} for the association of a prior history of T2D with breast cancer risk, detailed in **Appendix Note 1**. We showed that the expected OR for breast

cancer risk associated with T2D GRS (Q₅ versus Q₁) would be 1.063 when the OR_{GRS}^{T2D} and OR_{T2D}^{BC} are 5.5 and 1.5, respectively. However, we did not have data in this study population to estimate the OR_{GRS}^{T2D} and OR_{T2D}^{BC} . Nevertheless our study showed that the association between T2D GRS and breast cancer risk should be very small, if it exists.

We identified three T2D risk variants that were associated with breast cancer risk. SNPs in strong correlation with each of these three variants have recently been identified in GWAS to be associated with breast cancer risk. SNP rs9939609 (FTO) located in region 16q12.2, and rs7903146 (TCFL2) located in region 10q25.2 are in perfect LD ($R^2 = 1$) with rs17817449 (FTO) and rs7904519 (TCFL2), respectively, which were identified in relation to breast cancer risk in a GWAS conducted using BCAC data (23). SNP rs8042680 (PRC1) is in strong LD with rs2290203 ($R^2 = 0.59$, 9,270bp apart) that was recently identified as a risk variant for breast cancer in a GWAS conducted in East Asian women (35). Further studying these genes may uncover additional insights into the biology and genetics that link the risk of breast cancer and T2D.

There are other limitations of our study. First, data on T2D diagnosis and treatment were not available for the study, preventing us from conducting an in-depth evaluation of the potential influence of T2D treatment on the association of T2D risk variants with breast cancer risk. Second, two-thirds of the SNPs used to construct the T2D GRS were not directly genotyped. We imputed these SNPs using 1000 Genomes Project data as the reference. The imputation quality was high. In a sensitivity analysis, we constructed an alternate T2D GRS using only the 11 directly genotyped SNPs and the 14 imputed SNPs which had almost perfect quality ($R^2 > 0.9$). This T2D GRS is highly correlated with the T2D GRS used in our primary analysis (Pearson's r = 0.93) and using the alternate T2D GRS did not change the results appreciably. Finally, all participants in this study are of European ancestry, possibility affecting the generalizability of our study findings to other populations.

In conclusion, our study suggests that there is no apparent association between a polygenetic score constructed using the known T2D risk variants identified to date in GWAS and breast cancer risk among

women of European ancestry, indicating that the previously reported association between these two diseases is likely due to shared lifestyle risk factors for T2D and breast cancer, providing support for lifestyle modification as an effective prevention strategy to reduce the risk of both T2D and breast cancer. Our finding of significant associations of three T2D risk variants with breast cancer suggests a potential link of certain shared genetic and biological pathways for these common diseases.

Acknowledgement

We thank all the individuals who took part in these studies and all the researchers, study staff, clinicians and other healthcare providers, technicians and administrative staff who have enabled this work to be carried out. In particular, we thank: Andrew Berchuck (OCAC); Rosalind A. Eeles, Ali Amin Al Olama, Zsofia Kote-Jarai, Sara Benlloch (PRACTICAL); Antonis Antoniou, Lesley McGuffog, Ken Offit (CIMBA); Joe Dennis, Andrew Lee, Ed Dicks, Craig Luccarini and the staff of the Centre for Genetic Epidemiology Laboratory; Javier Benitez, Anna Gonzalez-Neira and the staff of the CNIO genotyping unit; Jacques Simard, Daniel C. Tessier, Francois Bacot, Daniel Vincent, Sylvie LaBoissière, Frederic Robidoux and the staff of the McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre; Sune F. Nielsen, Borge G. Nordestgaard, and the staff of the Copenhagen DNA laboratory; and Julie M. Cunningham, Sharon A. Windebank, Christopher A. Hilker, Jeffrey Meyer and the staff of Mayo Clinic Genotyping Core Facility; Maggie Angelakos, Judi Maskiell, Gillian Dite (ABCFS), Ellen van der Schoot, Femake Atsma (Sanguin Bloodbank); Emiel Rutgers, Senno Verhoef, Frans Hogervorst, the Thai Ministry of Public Health (MOPH); Dr Prat Boonyawongviroj (former Permanent Secretary of MOPH); Dr Pornthep Siriwanarungsan (Department Director-General of Disease Control); Michael Schrauder, Matthias Rübner, Sonja Oeser, Silke Landrith, Eileen Williams, Elaine Ryder-Mills, Kara Sargus, Niall McInerney, Gabrielle Colleran, Andrew Rowan, Angela Jones, Christof Sohn, Andeas Schneeweiß, Peter Bugert (the Danish Breast Cancer Group); Núria Álvarez; the CTS Steering Committee (including Leslie Bernstein, James Lacey, Sophia Wang, Huiyan Ma, Yani Lu and Jessica Clague DeHart at the Beckman Research Institute of the City of Hope; Dennis Deapen, Rich Pinder, Eunjung Lee and Fred Schumacher at the University of Southern California; Pam Horn-Ross, Peggy Reynolds and David Nelson at the Cancer Prevention Institute of California; and Hannah Park at the University of California Irvine); Hartwig Ziegler; Sonja Wolf; Volker Hermann; The GENICA network [Dr Margarete Fischer-Bosch-Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Stuttgart, and University of Tübingen, Germany; (HB, Wing-Yee Lo, Christina Justenhoven), Department of Internal Medicine, Evangelische Kliniken Bonn

gGmbH, Johanniter Krankenhaus, Bonn, Germany (Yon-Dschun Ko, Christian Baisch), Institute of Pathology, University of Bonn, Germany (Hans-Peter Fischer), Molecular Genetics of Breast Cancer, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum (DKFZ) Heidelberg, Germany (UH), Institute for Prevention and Occupational Medicine of the German Social Accident Insurance, Institute of the Ruhr University Bochum (IPA), Germany (Thomas Brüning, Beate Pesch, Sylvia Rabstein, Anne Lotz), Institute of Occupational Medicine and Maritime Medicine, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany (Volker Harth)]; Tuomas Heikkinen; Irja Erkkilä; Kirsimari Aaltonen; Karl von Smitten; Natalia Antonenkova; Peter Hillemanns; Hans Christiansen; Eija Myöhänen; Helena Kemiläinen; Heather Thorne; Eveline Niedermayr; the AOCS Management Group (D Bowtell, G Chenevix-Trench, A deFazio, D Gertig, A Green, P Webb); the ACS Management Group (A Green, P Parsons, N Hayward, P Webb, D Whiteman); the LAABC data collection team, especially Annie Fung and June Yashiki; Gilian Peuteman; Dominiek Smeets; Thomas Van Brussel; Kathleen Corthouts; Nadia Obi; Judith Heinz; Sabine Behrens; Ursula Eilber; Muhabbet Celik; Til Olchers; Siranoush Manoukian; Bernard Peissel; Giulietta Scuvera; Daniela Zaffaroni; Bernardo Bonanni; Irene Feroce; Angela Maniscalco; Alessandra Rossi; Loris Bernard; the personnel of the Cogentech Cancer Genetic Test Laboratory; The Mayo Clinic Breast Cancer Patient Registry; Martine Tranchant; Marie-France Valois; Annie Turgeon; Lea Heguy; Phuah Sze Yee; Peter Kang; Kang In Nee; Shivaani Mariapun; Yoon Sook-Yee; Daphne Lee; Teh Yew Ching; Nur Aishah Mohd Taib; Meeri Otsukka; Kari Mononen; Teresa Selander; Nayana Weerasooriya; OFBCR staff: E Krol-Warmerdam, J Molenaar, J Blom; Louise Brinton; Neonila Szeszenia-Dabrowska; Beata Peplonska; Witold Zatonski; Pei Chao; Michael Stagner; Petra Bos; Jannet Blom; Ellen Crepin; Anja Nieuwlaat; Annette Heemskerk; the Erasmus MC Family Cancer Clinic; Sue Higham; Simon Cross; Helen Cramp; Dan Connley; Sabapathy Balasubramanian; Ian Brock; The Eastern Cancer Registration and Information Centre; the SEARCH and EPIC teams; Michael Kerin, Nicola Miller, Niall McInerney, Gabrielle Colleran (BIGGS), Pierre Kerbrat; Patrick Arveux; Romuald Le Scodan; Yves Raoul; Pierre Laurent-Puig; Claire Mulot (CECILE), Hartwig Ziegler, Sonja Wolf, Volker Hermann, Christa Stegmaier and Katja Butterbach (ESTHER), Taru A. Muranen (HEBCS), Natalia Antonenkova, Peter Hillemanns,

Hans Christiansen and Johann H. Karstens (HMBCS), Gilian Peuteman, Dominiek Smeets, Thomas Van Brussel and Kathleen Corthouts (LMBC), Petra Seibold, Judith Heinz, Nadia Obi, Alina Vrieling, Sabine Behrens, Ursula Eilber, Muhabbet Celik, Til Olchers and Stefan Nickels (MARIE). We wish to thank Paolo Radice, Bernard Peissel and Daniela Zaffaroni of the Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori (INT); Bernardo Bonanni, Monica Barile and Irene Feroce of the Istituto Europeo di Oncologia (IEO) and Loris Bernard and the personnel of the Cogentech Cancer Genetic Test Laboratory. Cancer Council Victoria acknowledges the Traditional Owners of the land and waters throughout Victoria and pays respect to them, their culture and their Elders past, present and future. We would like to thank Martine Tranchant (Cancer Genomics Laboratory, CHU de Québec Research Center), Marie-France Valois, Annie Turgeon and Lea Heguy (McGill University Health Center, Royal Victoria Hospital; McGill University) for DNA extraction, sample management and skillful technical assistance. J.S. is Chairholder of the Canada Research Chair in Oncogenetics. OBCS thanks Arja Jukkola-Vuorinen, Mervi Grip, Saila Kauppila, Kari Mononen and Meeri Otsukka for data collection and sample preparation. Craig Luccarini; Don Conroy; Caroline Baynes; Kimberley Chua; the Ohio State University Human Genetics Sample Bank; and Robert Pilarski. Data on SCCS cancer cases used in this publication were provided by the: Alabama Statewide Cancer Registry; Kentucky Cancer Registry, Lexington, KY; Tennessee Department of Health, Office of Cancer Surveillance; Florida Cancer Data System; North Carolina Central Cancer Registry, North Carolina Division of Public Health; Georgia Comprehensive Cancer Registry; Louisiana Tumor Registry; Mississippi Cancer Registry; South Carolina Central Cancer Registry; Virginia Department of Health, Virginia Cancer Registry; Arkansas Department of Health, Cancer Registry. DFBBCS thank Muriel Adank for selecting the samples and Margreet Ausems, Christi van Asperen, Senno Verhoef, and Rogier van Oldenburg for providing samples from their Clinical Genetic centers. We thank Pascal Arp, Mila Jhamai, Marijn Verkerk, Lizbeth Herrera and Marjolein Peters for their help in creating the GWAS database, and Karol Estrada and Maksim V. Struchalin for their support in creation and analysis of imputed data. The authors are grateful to the study participants, the staff from the Rotterdam Study and the participating general practitioners and pharmacists.

References

1. IDF Diabetes Atlas. In. <u>www.diabetesatlas.org</u>. International Diabetes Federation.

2. Alberti KG, Zimmet PZ. Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. Part 1: diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus provisional report of a WHO consultation. Diabet Med 1998;15(7):539-53.

3. Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2014. CA Cancer J Clin 2014;64(1):9-29.

4. Talamini R, Franceschi S, Favero A, Negri E, Parazzini F, La Vecchia C. Selected medical conditions and risk of breast cancer. Br J Cancer 1997;75(11):1699-703.

5. Baron JA, Weiderpass E, Newcomb PA, Stampfer M, Titus-Ernstoff L, Egan KM, et al. Metabolic disorders and breast cancer risk (United States). Cancer Causes Control 2001;12(10):875-80.

6. Weiderpass E, Gridley G, Persson I, Nyren O, Ekbom A, Adami HO. Risk of endometrial and breast cancer in patients with diabetes mellitus. Int J Cancer 1997;71(3):360-3.

7. Wideroff L, Gridley G, Mellemkjaer L, Chow WH, Linet M, Keehn S, et al. Cancer incidence in a population-based cohort of patients hospitalized with diabetes mellitus in Denmark. J Natl Cancer Inst 1997;89(18):1360-5.

8. Michels KB, Solomon CG, Hu FB, Rosner BA, Hankinson SE, Colditz GA, et al. Type 2 diabetes and subsequent incidence of breast cancer in the Nurses' Health Study. Diabetes Care 2003;26(6):1752-8.

9. Lipscombe LL, Goodwin PJ, Zinman B, McLaughlin JR, Hux JE. Diabetes mellitus and breast cancer: a retrospective population-based cohort study. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2006;98(3):349-56.

10. Xue F, Michels KB. Diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and breast cancer: a review of the current evidence. Am J Clin Nutr 2007;86(3):s823-35.

11. Larsson SC, Mantzoros CS, Wolk A. Diabetes mellitus and risk of breast cancer: a meta-analysis. Int J Cancer 2007;121(4):856-62.

12. Hardefeldt PJ, Edirimanne S, Eslick GD. Diabetes increases the risk of breast cancer: a meta-analysis. Endocr Relat Cancer 2012;19(6):793-803.

13. Boyle P, Boniol M, Koechlin A, Robertson C, Valentini F, Coppens K, et al. Diabetes and breast cancer risk: a meta-analysis. Br J Cancer 2012;107(9):1608-17.

14. Giovannucci E, Harlan DM, Archer MC, Bergenstal RM, Gapstur SM, Habel LA, et al. Diabetes and cancer: a consensus report. CA Cancer J Clin 2010;60(4):207-21.

15. Yang G, Lu G, Jin F, Dai Q, Best R, Shu XO, et al. Population-based, case-control study of blood C-peptide level and breast cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2001;10(11):1207-11.

16. Endogenous H, Breast Cancer Collaborative G, Key TJ, Appleby PN, Reeves GK, Roddam AW. Insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1), IGF binding protein 3 (IGFBP3), and breast cancer risk: pooled individual data analysis of 17 prospective studies. Lancet Oncol 2010;11(6):530-42.

17. Pierce BL, Austin MA, Ahsan H. Association study of type 2 diabetes genetic susceptibility variants and risk of pancreatic cancer: an analysis of PanScan-I data. Cancer Causes Control 2011;22(6):877-83.

18. Cheng I, Caberto CP, Lum-Jones A, Seifried A, Wilkens LR, Schumacher FR, et al. Type 2 diabetes risk variants and colorectal cancer risk: the Multiethnic Cohort and PAGE studies. Gut 2011;60(12):1703-11.

19. Sainz J, Rudolph A, Hoffmeister M, Frank B, Brenner H, Chang-Claude J, et al. Effect of type 2 diabetes predisposing genetic variants on colorectal cancer risk. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2012;97(5):E845-51.

20. Machiela MJ, Lindstrom S, Allen NE, Haiman CA, Albanes D, Barricarte A, et al. Association of type 2 diabetes susceptibility variants with advanced prostate cancer risk in the Breast and Prostate Cancer Cohort Consortium. Am J Epidemiol 2012;176(12):1121-9.

21. Chen F, Wilkens LR, Monroe KR, Stram DO, Kolonel LN, Henderson BE, et al. No association of risk variants for diabetes and obesity with breast cancer: the Multiethnic Cohort and PAGE studies. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2011;20(5):1039-42.

22. Hou N, Zheng Y, Gamazon ER, Ogundiran TO, Adebamowo C, Nathanson KL, et al. Genetic susceptibility to type 2 diabetes and breast cancer risk in women of European and African ancestry. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2012;21(3):552-6.

23. Michailidou K, Hall P, Gonzalez-Neira A, Ghoussaini M, Dennis J, Milne RL, et al. Large-scale genotyping identifies 41 new loci associated with breast cancer risk. Nat Genet 2013;45(4):353-61, 361e1-2.

24. Michailidou K, Beesley J, Lindstrom S, Canisius S, et al. Combined GWAS analysis of more than 120,000 individuals identifies 15 novel susceptibility loci for breast cancer. Nat Genet 2015; Accepted.

25. Howie B, Fuchsberger C, Stephens M, Marchini J, Abecasis GR. Fast and accurate genotype imputation in genome-wide association studies through pre-phasing. Nat Genet 2012;44(8):955-9.

26. Garcia-Closas M, Couch FJ, Lindstrom S, Michailidou K, Schmidt MK, Brook MN, et al. Genome-wide association studies identify four ER negative-specific breast cancer risk loci. Nat Genet 2013;45(4):392-8, 398e1-2.

27. Ghoussaini M, Fletcher O, Michailidou K, Turnbull C, Schmidt MK, Dicks E, et al. Genome-wide association analysis identifies three new breast cancer susceptibility loci. Nat Genet 2012;44(3):312-8.

28. Siddiq A, Couch FJ, Chen GK, Lindstrom S, Eccles D, Millikan RC, et al. A meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies of breast cancer identifies two novel susceptibility loci at 6q14 and 20q11. Hum Mol Genet 2012;21(24):5373-84.

29. Ahsan H, Halpern J, Kibriya MG, Pierce BL, Tong L, Gamazon E, et al. A genome-wide association study of earlyonset breast cancer identifies PFKM as a novel breast cancer gene and supports a common genetic spectrum for breast cancer at any age. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2014;23(4):658-69.

30. Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, Weinblatt ME, Shadick NA, Reich D. Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet 2006;38(8):904-9.

31. Wu MC, Kraft P, Epstein MP, Taylor DM, Chanock SJ, Hunter DJ, et al. Powerful SNP-set analysis for case-control genome-wide association studies. Am J Hum Genet 2010;86(6):929-42.

32. Team RC. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R foundation for Statistical computing, Vienna, Austria. 2014.

33. Giovannucci E, Harlan DM, Archer MC, Bergenstal RM, Gapstur SM, Habel LA, et al. Diabetes and cancer: a consensus report. Diabetes Care 2010;33(7):1674-85.

34. La Vecchia C, Giordano SH, Hortobagyi GN, Chabner B. Overweight, obesity, diabetes, and risk of breast cancer: interlocking pieces of the puzzle. Oncologist 2011;16(6):726-9.

35. Cai Q, Zhang B, Sung H, Low SK, Kweon SS, Lu W, et al. Genome-wide association analysis in East Asians identifies breast cancer susceptibility loci at 1q32.1, 5q14.3 and 15q26.1. Nat Genet 2014;46(8):886-90.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Overview of the T2D genetic risk score construction

TABLES

 Table 1: The associations between T2D genetic risk score and breast cancer risk in Breast Cancer Association

 Consortium

Table 2: Selected T2D risk variants associated with breast cancer risk in BCAC at *P* < 0.05 and their associations in GAME-ON DRIVE project

Table 1: The associations between T2D genetic risk score and breast cancer risk in Breast Cancer AssociationConsortium

	T2D GRS by Quintiles									
	Q1 (low)	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Linear Trend				
Overall Breast Cano	cer									
N _{cases} /N _{controls}	9148/8497	9519/8496	9175/8496	9227/8496	9256/8497					
OR ¹ [95% CI]	1 (reference)	1.03 (0.98,1.08)	1.00 (0.95,1.04)	1.00 (0.96,1.05)	1.00 (0.96,1.05)					
P-Value ¹		0.21	0.91	0.90	0.84	0.69				
FR+ Breast Cancer										
Ncases/Ncontrols	5473/8497	5616/8496	5259/8496	5351/8496	5375/8497					
OR ¹ [95% CI]	1 (reference)	1.03 (0.98,1.09)	0.98 (0.93,1.03)	1.00 (0.95,1.05)	1.01 (0.96,1.06)					
P-Value ¹	· · · ·	0.24	0.43	1.00	0.76	0.74				
ER- Breast Cancer										
$N_{cases}/N_{controls}$	1402/8497	1490/8496	1451/8496	1451/8496	1494/8497					
OR ⁻ [95% CI]	1 (reference)	1.03 (0.95,1.12)	1.00 (0.92,1.10)	0.97 (0.89,1.06)	0.99 (0.91,1.08)	0.47				
P-Value		0.49	0.92	0.57	0.80	0.47				
Among Pre-menopausal Women										
N _{cases} /N _{controls}	1971/1881	2152/1770	2023/1796	2018/1824	2045/1782					
OR ¹ [95% CI]	1 (reference)	1.11 (1.00,1.24)	1.06 (0.95,1.18)	1.06 (0.95,1.17)	1.05 (0.94,1.17)					
P-Value ¹		0.05	0.29	0.30	0.36	0.74				
Among Doct mono	agusal Moman									
	4751/2000	1017/2071	4514/2000	1155/2021	1522/2012					
N _{cases} / N _{controls}	4751/5909	4017/5074	4514/5909	4455/5621	4552/5642					
P-Value ¹	I (Telefence)	1.03 (0.97,1.10)	0.99 (0.93,1.00)	0.98 (0.92,1.03)	1.02 (0.90,1.09)	0.93				
		0.00	0.75	0.50	0.04	0.55				
Among Age<50 Wo	omen									
N _{cases} /N _{controls}	1757/2389	1941/2375	1919/2372	1843/2363	1926/2393					
OR ¹ [95% CI]	1 (reference)	1.07 (0.97,1.18)	1.07 (0.97,1.19)	1.04 (0.94,1.15)	1.04 (0.94,1.15)					
P-Value ¹		0.19	0.17	0.46	0.46	0.74				
Among Age≥50 Wo	omen									
N _{cases} /N _{controls}	7391/6108	7578/6121	7256/6124	7384/6133	7330/6104					
OR ¹ [95% CI]	1 (reference)	1.01 (0.96,1.07)	0.98 (0.93,1.03)	1.00 (0.95,1.05)	1.00 (0.95,1.05)					
P-Value ¹	· · · ·	0.58	0.43	0.88	0.9	0.62				
A										
	2420/2150	2526/2102	2110/2116	2221/2102	2105/2160					
N _{cases} / N _{controls}	2420/2150	2520/2105	2416/2140	2521/210/	2405/2100					
P-Value ¹	I (Telefence)	1.05 (0.90,1.15) 0.28	0.99 (0.90,1.09)	0.94 (0.80,1.03) 0.19	1.04 (0.95,1.14)	0.64				
		0.20	0.05	0.15	0.45	0.04				
Among BMI≥25 Wo	omen									
$N_{cases}/N_{controls}$	2499/2154	2652/2308	2552/2282	2611/2229	2651/2359					
OR ¹ [95% CI]	1 (reference)	1.00 (0.92,1.09)	0.97 (0.89,1.06)	1.03 (0.94,1.12)	0.96 (0.88,1.05)					
P-Value ¹		0.97	0.53	0.54	0.41	0.64				

T2D GRS: Weighted type 2 diabetes related genetic variants risk score

¹: All associations were assessed individually by each study and then combined by fixed-effect inverse-variance weighted meta-analysis. All models adjusted for age and top eight principal components for population stratification. Study specific principal component was further adjusted for LMBC study.

Table 2: Selected T2D risk variants associated with breast cancer risk in BCAC at *P* < 0.05 and their associations in GAME-ON DRIVE project

						BCAC (Cases N=46325/ Controls N=42482)			GAME-ON DRIVE (Cases N=16003/ Controls N=41335)				
SNPs	Chr	Position ¹	Gene ²	Alleles ³	R-square ⁴	RAF⁵	OR⁵	95% Cl ⁶	P-Value ⁶	RAF	OR	95% CI	P-Valu
rs243021	2	60584819	BCL11A	A/G	-	0.46	1.02	(1.00,1.04)	0.03	0.46	1.01	(0.98,1.05)	0
rs4402960	3	185511687	IGF2BP2	T/G	-	0.31	0.98	(0.96,1.00)	0.05	0.32	0.97	(0.94,1.01)	0
rs13292136	9	81952128	CHCHD9	C/T	0.926	0.92	1.05	(1.01,1.09)	0.02	0.94	0.98	(0.92,1.05)	0
rs7903146	10	114758349	TCF7L2	T/C	-	0.28	1.04	(1.02,1.07)	1.20E-4	0.30	1.04	(1.00,1.08)	0
rs7961581	12	71663102	TSPAN8,LGR5	C/T	0.981	0.28	0.97	(0.94,0.99)	2.48E-3	0.26	1.00	(0.96,1.04)	0
rs8042680	15	91521337	PRC1	A/C	-	0.31	0.98	(0.95,1.00)	0.02	0.30	0.95	(0.92,0.99)	6.18
rs9939609	16	53820527	FTO	A/T	1.000	0.40	0.93	(0.91,0.95)	3.63E-12	0.38	0.96	(0.93,0.99)	0

SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism; Chr: Chromosome; BCAC: Breast Cancer Association Consortium; GAME-ON: Genetic Associations and Mechanisms in Oncology; DRIVE: D Variants in Breast Cancer; RAF: risk allele frequency; OR: odds ratio; Cl: confidence interval;

¹: The chromosome physical position is based on the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database, Build 36.3.

²: The closest gene.

³: Risk/reference alleles. The risk allele is the allele that associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes.

⁴: Imputation quality in BCAC; - indicates directly genotyped SNPs.

⁵: Among controls.

⁶ All associations were assessed individually by each study and then combined by a fixed-effects inverse-variance weighted meta-analysis. All models adjusted for first eight prints tratification. Study specific principal component was further adjusted for LMBC study.

⁶ Combined BCAC and GAME-ON DRIVE results by fixed-effects inverse-variance weighted meta-analysis.

Figure 1: Overview of the genetic risk score construction



IP