



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/95159/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Simon, K.A., Warren, N.J., Mosadegh, B. et al. (2015) Disulfide-Based Diblock Copolymer Worm Gels: A Wholly-Synthetic Thermoreversible 3D Matrix for Sheet-Based Cultures. *Biomacromolecules*, 16 (12). pp. 3952-3958. ISSN: 1525-7797

<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biomac.5b01266>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1
2
3 Disulfide-Based Diblock Copolymer Worm Gels: A
4
5
6
7 Wholly-Synthetic Thermo-reversible 3D Matrix for
8
9
10
11 Sheet-Based Cultures
12
13

14 *Karen A. Simon,^{†§} Nicholas J. Warren,^{‡§} Bobak Mosadegh^{†,||}, Marym R. Mohammady^{†,||},*
15 *George M. Whitesides^{†,||,*} and Steven P. Armes^{‡,*}*
16
17
18

19
20 † Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, 12 Oxford Street,
21
22 Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
23

24
25 ‡ Department of Chemistry, University of Sheffield, Dainton Building, Brookhill
26
27 Sheffield, UK S37H
28

29
30 || Wyss Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering, Harvard University, 60 Oxford Street,
31
32 Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 KEYWORDS: 3D cell culture, sheet-based cell culture, hydrogels, thermoresponsive gels
7

8
9 ABSTRACT: It is well known that 3D *in vitro* cell cultures provide a much better model than
10
11 2D cell cultures for understanding the *in vivo* microenvironment of cells. However,
12
13 significant technical challenges in handling and analyzing 3D cell cultures remain, which
14
15 currently limits their widespread application. Herein we demonstrate the application of
16
17 *wholly synthetic* thermo-responsive block copolymer worms in sheet-based 3D cell culture.
18
19 These worms form a soft, free-standing gel reversibly at 20-37 °C, which can be rapidly
20
21 converted into a free-flowing dispersion of spheres on cooling to 5 °C. Functionalization of
22
23 the worms with disulfide groups was found to be essential for ensuring sufficient mechanical
24
25 stability of these hydrogels to enable long-term cell culture. These disulfide groups are
26
27 conveniently introduced via statistical copolymerization of a disulfide-based dimethacrylate
28
29 under conditions that favour intramolecular cyclization and subsequent thiol/disulfide
30
31 exchange leads to the formation of reversible covalent bonds between adjacent worms within
32
33 the gel. This new approach enables cells to be embedded within micrometer-thick slabs of gel
34
35 with good viability, permits cell culture for at least 12 days, and facilitates recovery of viable
36
37 cells from the gel simply by incubating the culture in buffer at 37 °C (thus avoiding the
38
39 enzymatic degradation required for cell harvesting when using commercial protein-based gels
40
41 such as *Matrigel*).
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Introduction

Three-dimensional (3D) cell culture systems are attracting increasing attention because they provide a microenvironment that more closely resembles that of tissue *in vivo*, than conventional two-dimensional (2D) cell culture systems.¹⁻⁴ The 3D microenvironment includes cell-cell and cell-extracellular matrix (ECM) interactions, which are both known to regulate signalling and differentiation of cells. The 3D structure also influences local gradients that govern mass transport of oxygen, glucose, metabolites, and signalling molecules.⁴⁻⁶ However, measuring cellular viability and phenotype in hydrogel-based 3D cell cultures⁷ often requires specialized histology⁸ and/or optical techniques that restrict their widespread use in cell-based assays.

Herein, we describe the application of a thermo-responsive diblock copolymer for embedding cells in mesh sheets for 3D cell culture. This poly(glycerol monomethacrylate)-block-poly(2-hydroxypropyl methacrylate) (PGMA-PHPMA) diblock copolymer is synthesized via RAFT aqueous dispersion polymerization.⁹⁻¹¹ By targeting an appropriate copolymer composition, highly anisotropic worm-like particles are formed *in situ* by polymerization-induced self-assembly (PISA). These worms can form relatively soft, free-standing hydrogels at either ambient (20°C) or physiological temperatures (37°C). However, on cooling to 4°C, the PGMA-PHPMA worms become plasticized and are converted into spheres, which leads to *in situ* degelation and produces a free-flowing dispersion.^{11, 12} This transition is fully reversible: on warming the solution, one-dimensional fusion of multiple spheres leads to reformation of the original worms at 20 °C, with concomitant rapid regelation.

Thus this diblock copolymer provides a highly convenient thermo-responsive hydrogel from which cells can be embedded and recovered without recourse to proteolytic enzymes such as

1
2
3 trypsin. Moreover, commercially available protein-based gels for cell culture are relatively
4
5 expensive and must be stored at low temperature (≤ 4 °C) to prevent their irreversible
6
7 chemical degradation. In contrast, this new wholly-synthetic hydrogel can be stored
8
9 indefinitely at room temperature, and still gel reversibly on demand.
10

11
12 Over the past two decades, various hydrogels have emerged as a useful matrix to
13
14 create 3D structures for either supporting or encapsulating cells *in vitro*.¹³ For example,
15
16 Bissell *et al.* demonstrated that malignant and non-malignant breast cancer cells can be
17
18 distinguished on the basis of differences in cell morphology and gene expression when
19
20 cultured in 3D using *Matrigel*^{5,14-17} (a protein-based hydrogel extracted from Engelbreth-
21
22 Holm-Swarm mouse sarcoma cells), but not when cultured in 2D.¹⁷ In principle, hydrogels
23
24 suitable for 3D cell culture can either be derived from natural biopolymers,^{7, 18} or from
25
26 synthetic polymers.¹⁹ Protein-based hydrogels (e.g., *Matrigel*, collagen, silk), polysaccharides
27
28 (e.g., hyaluronate, chitin) and polynucleotides (e.g., DNA, RNA) typically contain various
29
30 bio-active species such as laminin, collagen, and entactin that promote cellular growth and
31
32 signalling.^{13, 20} Moreover, these components often vary in composition and concentration
33
34 between batches, which can introduce artifacts in cell biology studies.^{7, 21} Furthermore, such
35
36 biopolymers have a limited shelf-life and are relatively expensive.⁷
37
38
39
40
41

42
43 In contrast, synthetic hydrogels based on poly(ethylene glycol), polyacrylamide, poly(*N*-
44
45 isopropylacrylamide), poly(vinyl alcohol) or poly(acrylic acid)¹⁹ have a user-defined
46
47 composition and provide a cost-effective, reproducible and tuneable environment for 3D cell
48
49 culture studies. Nevertheless, these synthetic hydrogels lack the chemical functionality
50
51 required to promote biologically-relevant cell-matrix contacts. Furthermore, efficient
52
53 harvesting of the embedded cells requires enzymatic, thermal, chemical, or optical disruption
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 of the hydrogel cross-links. These degradation strategies can compromise cellular viability¹⁸
4 and this problem, in addition to other challenges associated with 3D cultures, has hitherto
5
6 limited the applicability of such hydrogels in 3D cell culture.⁷
7
8
9

10 In this context, our PGMA-PHPMA worm gels offer a number of potentially decisive
11 advantages. Their wholly synthetic nature is important because this ensures better batch-to-
12 batch reproducibility, which is a general problem for animal-derived products. Moreover, the
13 low-viscosity fluid obtained on cooling these worm gels is amenable to cold ultrafiltration,
14 which provides a facile route to sterilization compared to other synthetic hydrogels.¹¹ Small
15 molecule impurities such as unreacted HPMA monomer can be readily removed by dialysis,
16 and subsequent freeze-drying enables the worms to be conveniently redispersed in a wide
17 range of cell culture media.²² Such reconstituted worm gels appear to be a promising matrix
18 for 3D cell culture since embedded mammalian cells exhibit good viability.¹¹ Very recently,
19 we reported that the gel modulus can be fine-tuned by placing disulfide groups on the surface
20 of the worms, since this leads to covalent bonds being formed between adjacent worms via
21 thiol/disulfide exchange.²³ As we demonstrate in this work, such ‘second generation’ worms
22 are essential if more resilient hydrogels are required for long-term cell studies.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 Previously, we and others have demonstrated that multi-zone sheets of paper or polymer-
41 based mesh (Figure 1) can be used to support cell-embedded gels (so-called “Cells-in-Gels-
42 in-Paper”, CiGiP and “Cells-in-Gels-in-Mesh”, CiGiM).²⁴⁻²⁹ Upon spotting with a
43 micropipette, a cell suspension in *Matrigel* at 4 °C readily wicks through the sheets of paper
44 or mesh. Upon incubation in media at 37 °C, the suspension forms a gel within the voids of
45 the sheets. This approach provides a powerful method for manipulating and analysing 3D cell
46 cultures.²⁴⁻²⁹
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Here we report the use of disulfide-functionalized PGMA-PHPMA worm gels as a
4 cost-effective alternative to *Matrigel* for embedding cells in sheets of polymer mesh or paper-
5 based sheets. This combination of the synthetic worm gel and the mesh offers several
6 important advantages over the analogous *Matrigel*-mesh constructs: (i) the thickness of the
7 cell culture can be controlled by choosing mesh sheets of appropriate size, (ii) the progress of
8 cell growth and proliferation can be monitored *in situ*, since the mesh sheets allow
9 transmission of light with minimal scattering, (iii) the cells can be harvested by simply
10 immersing the sheets in cold buffer or media (instead of requiring enzymatic degradation),
11 (iv) the gel can be heated and cooled reversibly without significantly changing its physical
12 properties, and thus does not require stringent long-term storage conditions; (iv) the synthetic
13 worm gel avoids the use of biologically-derived materials.

27 28 **Experimental**

29 30 31 **Synthesis and Preparation of Worm Gels**

32 33 34 **Materials**

35
36
37 Glycerol monomethacrylate (GMA; 99.8 %) was donated by GEO Specialty Chemicals
38 (Hythe, UK) and used without further purification. 2-Hydroxypropyl methacrylate (HPMA)
39 and 4,4'-azobis(4-cyanopentanoic acid) (ACVA; V-501; 99 %) were purchased from Alfa
40 Aesar (Heysham, UK). 2-Cyano-2-propyl dithiobenzoate (CPDB, 80 % as judged by ¹H
41 NMR spectroscopy) was purchased from Strem Chemicals (Newton, UK). Bis(2-
42 (methacryloyloxy)ethyl disulfide monomer (DSDMA) was synthesized according to a
43 protocol reported by Rosselgong et al.³¹ CD₃OD (99.8 %) and CD₂Cl₂ (99.8 %) were
44 purchased from Goss Scientific (Nantwich, UK) and used as received. All solvents were of
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

1
2
3 HPLC quality; they were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Loughborough, UK) and used as
4
5 received.
6

8 **Synthesis of PGMA₅₄ macro-CTA**

9
10
11 CPDB RAFT agent (0.864 g, 3.9 mmol) and GMA monomer (25.0 g, 156.1 mmol) were
12
13 weighed into a 100 mL round-bottomed flask and purged under N₂ for 30 min. Into the same
14
15 flask, ACVA initiator was added (218.6 mg, 0.78 mmol; CTA/ACVA molar ratio = 5.0)
16
17 followed by anhydrous ethanol (49.6 mL; previously purged with N₂ for 30 min). The flask
18
19 was subsequently sealed and immersed in an oil bath set at 70 °C. After 100 min, the
20
21 polymerization was quenched by exposing to air, immersing in liquid nitrogen for 30 seconds
22
23 followed by diluting the solution with methanol (100 mL). A final GMA conversion of 78 %
24
25 was determined by ¹H NMR analysis. The methanolic solution was precipitated into a ten-
26
27 fold excess of dichloromethane. After filtering and washing with dichloromethane, the crude
28
29 polymer was dissolved in water and the residual dichloromethane was evaporated under
30
31 vacuum. The resulting aqueous solution was freeze-dried overnight to yield a pink powder.
32
33 ¹H NMR analysis indicated a mean degree of polymerization of 54 for this PGMA macro-
34
35 CTA. Using a refractive index detector and a series of near-monodisperse poly(methyl
36
37 methacrylate) calibration standards, DMF GPC analysis indicated an M_n of 14,700 g mol⁻¹
38
39 and an M_w/M_n of 1.11 (Figure S1).
40
41
42
43
44

45 **Copolymerization of DSDMA with GMA via RAFT to afford P(GMA₅₅-stat- 46 47 DSDMA_{0.50})**

48
49
50 CPDB RAFT agent (80 % purity; 0.192 g, 0.69 mmol), GMA monomer (5.00 g, 31.3 mmol)
51
52 and DSDMA monomer (0.101 g, 0.347 mmol) were weighed into a 100 mL round-bottomed
53
54 flask and purged under N₂ for 30 min. ACVA initiator (38.9 mg, 0.139 mmol; CTA/ACVA
55
56

1
2
3 molar ratio = 5.0) and anhydrous ethanol (47.6 mL; previously purged with N₂ for 30 min)
4
5 were then added to the same flask and the resulting red solution was degassed for an
6
7 additional 10 min. The flask was subsequently sealed and immersed in an oil bath set at 70
8
9 °C. After 18 h, the polymerization was quenched by immersing the flask in liquid nitrogen. A
10
11 final GMA conversion of 90 % was determined by ¹H NMR analysis. Overnight storage of
12
13 this ethanolic reaction solution at -25 °C caused precipitation of the PGMA₅₅-DS_{0.50}, and thus
14
15 enabled collection of the precipitate by decanting the supernatant solution containing the
16
17 residual comonomers. This precipitate was dissolved in methanol (100 mL) and then
18
19 reprecipitated into a ten-fold excess of dichloromethane. After filtering and washing with
20
21 dichloromethane, the copolymer was dissolved in water and the residual dichloromethane
22
23 was evaporated under vacuum. The resulting solution was freeze-dried overnight to yield a
24
25 pink powder. ¹H NMR analysis of this PGMA macro-CTA indicated a mean degree of
26
27 polymerization of 55. DMF GPC analysis indicated an M_n of 16,100 g mol⁻¹ and an M_w/M_n of
28
29 1.27 (see Figure S1 in Supporting information).
30
31
32
33
34

35 **Synthesis of disulfide-functionalised poly(glycerol monomethacrylate)₅₅-poly(2-**
36 **hydroxypropyl methacrylate)₁₃₀ [0.30P(GMA₅₅ -stat-DSDMA_{0.50}) + 0.70PGMA₅₄]-H₁₃₀**
37 **worm gel via RAFT aqueous dispersion polymerization of HPMA**
38
39
40
41

42 PGMA₅₄ macro-CTA (2.519 g, 0.279 mmol), PGMA₅₅ -DSDMA_{0.50} macro-CTA (1.080 g,
43
44 0.120 mmol), HPMA monomer (7.49 g, 51.94 mmol; target DP = 130), ACVA (22.3 mg,
45
46 0.080 mmol; CTA/ACVA molar ratio = 5.0) and, 0.15 M PBS (44.0 g, to produce a 20%
47
48 w/w aqueous solution) into a 100 mL round-bottomed flask. The flask was placed on ice and
49
50 purged with N₂ for 30 min. Following this degassing protocol, the flask was immersed in an
51
52 oil bath set to 70 °C. The reaction solution was stirred for 3 h before the RAFT
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 polymerization was quenched by exposure to air. Full monomer conversion was confirmed
4
5 by ^1H NMR spectroscopy (complete disappearance of vinyl signals) and DMF GPC analysis
6
7 indicated an M_n of 40,300 g mol^{-1} and an M_w/M_n of 1.17 (see Figure S1 in the Supporting
8
9 information).

12 **Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)**

13
14
15 Copolymer dispersions, micropipet tips, water, staining agent and TEM grids were incubated
16
17 at the desired temperature (37°C or 4°C) before the copolymer dispersion was diluted to
18
19 0.20% w/w, which is well below the critical gelation concentration for such worm gels.
20
21 Copper/palladium TEM grids (Agar Scientific, U.K.) were coated in-house to produce a thin
22
23 film of amorphous carbon. These grids were then treated with a plasma glow discharge for 30
24
25 s to create a hydrophilic surface. Each aqueous diblock copolymer dispersion ($12\ \mu\text{L}$; 0.20%
26
27 w/w) was placed on a freshly treated grid for 1 min and then blotted with filter paper to
28
29 remove excess solution. To stain the deposited nanoparticles, an aqueous solution of uranyl
30
31 formate ($9\ \mu\text{L}$; 0.75% w/w) was placed on the sample-loaded grid via micropipet for 20 s and
32
33 then carefully blotted to remove excess stain. Each grid was then carefully dried using a
34
35 vacuum hose. Imaging was performed using a FEI Tecnai Spirit TEM instrument equipped
36
37 with a Gatan 1kMS600CW CCD camera operating at 120 kV.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **Evaluation of the Mechanical Properties of the Worm Gels via Oscillatory Rheology** 47 **Studies**

48
49
50 Experiments were conducted using an AR-G2 rheometer (TA Instruments) equipped with a
51
52 variable temperature Peltier plate, a 40 mm 2° aluminium cone and a solvent trap to prevent
53
54

1
2
3 evaporation of water over the time scale of the experiment. The loss moduli (G'') and storage
4
5 moduli (G') were recorded as a function of temperature to determine the gel strength and
6
7 critical gelation temperature (CGT). Temperature sweeps were conducted at a constant
8
9 angular frequency of 1.0 rad s^{-1} and a constant strain of 1.0 %. The temperature was
10
11 increased by $1.0 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ between each measurement, allowing an equilibration time of 2 min in
12
13 each case.
14
15

16 17 **Fabrication of PVC-Polyester Mesh Composite Sheets**

18
19
20 The scaffolds were prepared using a protocol modified from that described by Simon et al.²⁴
21
22 A craft cutter (Graphtec Craft ROBO) was used to cut the patterns and perforations ($\sim 3\text{mm}$
23
24 in diameter) in a $130 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ -thick sheet of poly(vinyl chloride) (PVC; Warp Bros); the pattern
25
26 was designed in Adobe Illustrator C4 and can be provided upon request. A heated press was
27
28 used to melt the sheet of PVC between two $\sim 90 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ -thick sheets of polyester mesh
29
30 (McMaster-Carr); the sheets were pressed at $211 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ in three to four cycles of 10-15 seconds
31
32 to form a single composite sheet ($\sim 200 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ thickness). The composite sheets were
33
34 subsequently placed in a glass petri dish and sterilised by autoclaving. The autoclaved
35
36 composite sheets were stored in a dry Parafilm-sealed container prior to use.
37
38
39

40 41 **Cell Culture of A549-GFP Cells**

42
43
44 A549-GFP cells were cultured in 1X Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (Gibco) with 10%
45
46 (v/v) fetal bovine serum (HyClone), 1% (v/v) Penicillin-Streptavidin (Gibco). The cells were
47
48 maintained as adherent cultures in a vented tissue culture flask (Corning) at 37°C and
49
50 $5\%\text{CO}_2$, and were passaged every 4 to 5 days until use. Green fluorescence protein was
51
52 expressed in A549 cells (American Type Culture Collection) by transduction with Cignal
53
54

1
2
3 Lenti GFP (Quiagen) and 5 mg/mL polybrene (Santa Cruz Biotech), as described by
4
5 Mammoto et al.³¹
6
7

8 **Preparation of 3D Cell Culture**

9

10
11 Micropipette tips, worm gels, and composite sheets were chilled in a 4°C refrigerator at least
12
13 24 h before seeding the cells. The cells were detached from the tissue culture flask by
14
15 treatment with TrypLE™ Express (Gibco) for 5 min at 37°C, suspended in media and
16
17 pelletised by centrifugation at 1,500 rpm. In a typical experiment, the suspension of cells was
18
19 prepared in either cold 10 % w/w worm gel or cold *Matrigel* at a concentration of 3×10^4
20
21 cells/ μ L suspension. The suspensions, micropipette tips, and unused composite sheets were
22
23 stored at 0°C to avoid premature gelation of the cell suspensions. 1 μ L of the cell suspension
24
25 was spotted into the zones of the composite sheets, followed by their immersion in warm
26
27 media (37°C) in 6-well plates.
28
29
30
31

32 **Imaging of Cells in the Scaffolds**

33

34
35 The A549-GFP cells cultured in the composite sheets or in the 96-well plates were visualised
36
37 using a fluorescence microscope with a CCD camera. A Typhoon FLA 9000 gel scanner
38
39 (General Electric) with a resolution of 50 μ m and a photomultiplier tube setting of 300 V
40
41 were used to analyse the intensity of GFP expressed by A549 cells in the composite sheets.
42
43 The fluorescence intensity for each zone was calculated from the corresponding image using
44
45 Image J software (NIH).
46
47
48

49 **Recovery Protocol**

50

51
52 Cells were recovered by incubating the composite sheets in a 6-well plate containing 1 mL
53
54 per well of the recovery solution. Cold PBS (4°C, pH 7.4) was used as the recovery solution
55
56

1
2
3 for cells embedded in worm gels, and warm *Accumax* (37°C) was used for cells embedded in
4
5 *Matrigel*. After recovery, cells were washed with cold PBS, and the recovery solution was
6
7 removed by centrifugation at 1,500 rpm.
8
9

10 **Culture and Viability Assay of Recovered Cells**

11
12
13 Suspensions of the recovered cells were prepared in media at a concentration of 3×10^4
14
15 cells/mL media. 100 μ L of the cell suspension was dispensed into 96-well plates and cultured
16
17 at 37 °C and 5 %CO₂ prior to cell viability measurements using CellTiter-Glo[®] (CTG) assay.
18
19 The CTG reagent was prepared as recommended by the manufacturer (Promega). Into each
20
21 well, 100 μ L CTG reagent was added, and the samples were incubated for 20 min. The
22
23 luminescence was measured using a PHERA star FS microplate reader (BMG Labtech).
24
25
26
27

28 **Results and Discussion**

29
30
31 In preliminary experiments, *non-functionalised* PGMA-PHPMA^{11, 12} worm gels were
32
33 supported on either paper or a composite sheet of polyester mesh and poly(vinyl chloride).
34
35 However, these ‘first-generation’ worm gels proved to be insufficiently robust and became
36
37 partially detached from the sheets after 9 days (see Figure S2 in the Supporting information).
38
39 Therefore, we designed a ‘second-generation’ worm gel that adhered more strongly to the
40
41 mesh sheet; this new gel contained disulfide bonds within some of the PGMA stabiliser
42
43 chains (Scheme 1), which were introduced using a strategy previously reported for disulfide-
44
45 functionalised nano-objects.^{23, 32} Briefly, a disulfide dimethacrylate (DSDMA) comonomer
46
47 was statistically copolymerised with GMA via RAFT solution polymerization to produce a
48
49 well-defined poly(glycerol monomethacrylate)-*stat*-disulfide dimethacrylate (PGMA₅₅-
50
51 DSDMA_{0.50}) macromolecular chain transfer agent (macro-CTA) ($M_n = 16,100 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$,
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 $M_w/M_n = 1.27$). This copolymerization was conducted in relatively dilute solution (10 %
4 w/w) in order to suppress intermolecular branching, and hence favour intramolecular
5 cyclization.³³ A 7:3 binary mixture of PGMA₅₄ and PGMA₅₅-DSDMA_{0.50} macro-CTAs was
6 used for the subsequent RAFT dispersion polymerization of HPMA (Scheme 1) to produce
7 well-defined diblock copolymer chains ($M_n = 40,300 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$, $M_w/M_n = 1.17$; see GPC curves
8 shown in Figure S1).
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16
17 Polymerization of HPMA using the binary mixture of PGMA₅₄ and P(GMA₅₅-stat-
18 DSDMA_{0.50}) macro-CTAs at 20 % w/w solids produced a free-standing worm gel at 20 °C.
19
20
21

22 For rheological measurements, the worm dispersion was diluted to 8 % w/w solids; this
23 dispersion remained a free-standing gel at 37 °C and was transformed into a free-flowing
24 fluid at 4 °C (Figure 2). TEM images confirmed the presence of worms at the former
25 temperature, and a mixture of spheres and rather short worms at the latter temperature.
26
27 Rheology measurements indicated that the 8.0 % w/w worm gel exhibited an initial storage
28 modulus (G') of around 20 Pa in pH 7.4 PBS at 37 °C (Figure 3a).
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 To evaluate the effect of long-term storage, we incubated five identical worm gels at 37 °C
37 (pH 7.4), and then performed rheological measurements after 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5 days. After
38 initial, physical gelation, the G' value increased from 20 Pa to 50 Pa over this time period
39 (see Figure 3b), which suggests the formation of inter-worm covalent bonds via thiol-
40 disulfide exchange as the gel is aged at 37 °C.²⁴ We also monitored changes in the physical
41 properties of these dispersions, which were stored as gels at 37 °C for 5 days, then cooled to
42 2 °C to induce degelation. The data shown in Figure 3b (green data set) indicate (i) a slight
43 shift in the critical gelation temperature (CGT) to 13 °C and (ii) an increase in G' from 0.03
44 Pa to 0.40 Pa for the cold, de-gelled samples. This suggests the formation of additional inter-
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 particle disulfide bonds. Nevertheless, the G' of the aged worm gel remained relatively low
4
5 and, in particular, its highly desirable thermo-reversible behaviour was retained.
6
7

8
9 Because thiol-disulfide exchange led to inter-worm cross-linking, we hypothesised that this
10
11 'binary mixture of macro-CTAs' approach should produce worm gels that were strong
12
13 enough to prevent premature detachment from the supporting mesh sheet. To examine
14
15 whether this was the case,^{26, 27} we spotted 1 μL suspensions of fluorescent 10 μm
16
17 polystyrene microspheres into cold (2 $^{\circ}\text{C}$) worm gels within zones of sheets of mesh (or
18
19 paper),^{24, 25, 34} and then immersed the sheets in warm PBS (37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, pH 7.4) for nine days.
20
21 Fluorescence images revealed that the microspheres, and consequently the disulfide-
22
23 functionalised worm gel, remained within the original zones (Figure 4). This confirmed that
24
25 functionalization with disulfide groups produces more robust worm gels with better long-
26
27 term stability with respect to degelation.
28
29
30

31
32 To determine whether cells embedded in these disulfide-based worm gels were still capable
33
34 of proliferation, we spotted 1 μL suspensions of A549-GFP cells (3×10^4 cells per zone) into
35
36 worm gels within zones of the mesh sheets, and observed changes in cell density over the
37
38 course of 12 days. Optical microscopy studies indicated that the density of A549-GFP cells
39
40 increased progressively from the first to the fifth day of culture (Figure 5a). Cellular
41
42 densities of A549-GFP cells became indistinguishable after 5 days of culture, so we imaged
43
44 the cell-impregnated sheets with a fluorescence gel scanner to assess whether proliferation
45
46 continued over longer time periods. Fluorescence intensities increased linearly over time
47
48 (Figure 5b), which confirms that these cells remain viable and proliferative for at least 12
49
50 days while embedded within the worm gel. Unlike protein-based hydrogels such as *Matrigel*,
51
52 synthetic worm gels lack the chemical functionality (e.g., peptides such as RGD, growth
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 factors, focal adhesion proteins, etc.) required to promote cell growth.^{7, 21} In view of this, it
4
5 may be somewhat surprising that proliferation is observed at all, but it should be noted that it
6
7 is well-known that such A549 cancer cells require little or no stimulus to proliferate.
8
9

10
11 Conventional cell culture methods require proteases (e.g., trypsin, *Accumax*, *Accutase* etc.) to
12
13 release cells from 2D surfaces or to recover cells from protein-based gels.³⁵ In contrast, cells
14
15 cultured within thermo-responsive gels (e.g., poly(*N*-isopropylacrylamide)-based gels)³⁶ can
16
17 be isolated by simply cooling to liquefy the gel, thus releasing the cells from the gel matrix.
18
19 To evaluate the latter strategy for worm gels, we incubated the mesh sheets containing A549-
20
21 GFP cells embedded in worm gels in cold (4 °C) Dulbecco's phosphate buffer saline
22
23 (DPBS), and imaged the mesh sheets containing the cells immersed in worm gels using an
24
25 optical microscope and a fluorescence gel scanner. The cell-embedded worm gels gradually
26
27 detached from the mesh after incubation in cold DPBS (Figure 6a). We estimated the
28
29 percentage of cells retained in the mesh sheets by measuring the fluorescence intensities from
30
31 the zones as a function of time, and then calculating the reduction in fluorescence at each
32
33 time point relative to the fluorescence of the zones before cooling. Figure 6b shows the
34
35 reduction in GFP intensity over time: approximately 91 ± 6 % of the cells detached from the
36
37 mesh sheets after 60 min in cold DPBS. GFP intensities determined for each time point
38
39 indicated that the efficiency in the recovery of A549 cells in worm gels on cooling is
40
41 comparable to that achieved when cells were recovered enzymatically from *Matrigel*.
42
43
44
45

46
47 Cell recovery from the worm gels requires non-physiological conditions (i.e. incubation in
48
49 cold media), which could potentially compromise cellular viability. To determine whether the
50
51 recovered cells remained viable, we suspended A549-GFP cells (isolated either from worm
52
53 gels or *Matrigel*) in culture media, dispensed the suspensions (~2,000 cells per zone) in 96-
54
55

1
2
3 well plates, cultured for seven days, and measured the luminescence from the reaction of
4
5 ATP (indicating metabolically-active cells) at various time points using CellTiter-Glo[®]
6
7 (CTG) assay. We estimated the viability of the recovered cells over time by calculating the
8
9 ratio of the luminescence intensity at each time point relative to that determined at the start of
10
11 the culture (24 h after dispensing the recovered cells in the well plates). The cellular density
12
13 and normalized ATP levels of A549-GFP cells recovered from the worm gels increased
14
15 monotonically up to a week after recovery (Figures 7a and 7b), which indicates good
16
17 viability. However, cells recovered from worm gels proliferated less readily than those
18
19 recovered from *Matrigel* (Figure 7b). This difference most likely reflects the fact that, unlike
20
21 *Matrigel*, the worm gels lack the various proteins and growth factors that are known to
22
23 promote cellular growth and proliferation.^{7, 21, 37}
24
25
26
27

28 **Conclusions**

29
30
31 In summary, sheet-supported 3D cell culture provides a convenient means of handling and
32
33 analysing 3D cell cultures, while thermo-responsive hydrogels provide a convenient vehicle
34
35 to deliver and embed cells into the sheets. The disulfide-functionalized PGMA-PPMA
36
37 diblock copolymer hydrogel described herein is expected to be preferable to commercial
38
39 protein-based gels, particularly for applications where the biological effects of such animal-
40
41 derived gels are not acceptable, or are simply too variable.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ This new synthetic hydrogel
42
43 permits 3D culture of cells supported in mesh sheets and can be used to evaluate the effects
44
45 of cell-ECM proteins for at least 12 days. The efficiency of cell recovery is comparable to
46
47 that achieved via enzymatic degradation. A549-GFP cells released from such worm gels
48
49 remain viable and can be further cultured or analysed directly. In principle, thiol-disulfide
50
51 chemistry can be used for convenient chemical functionalization of these hydrogels with
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 RGD, DNA or adhesion proteins to evaluate how such biomolecules influence cellular
4
5 growth and proliferation. Thus these third-generation hydrogels should enable the effects of
6
7 the bio-active species to be decoupled from the effect of growth factors that are typically
8
9 present in protein-based gels.
10

11 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

12
13
14
15
16 **Supporting Information.** Microscopy images of PVC-lens paper composite sheet
17
18 impregnated with first-generation worm gels and GPC chromatograms obtained for the
19
20 various polymers. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at
21
22 <http://pubs.acs.org>.
23

24 **Corresponding Authors**

25
26 s.p.armes@sheffield.ac.uk, g.m.whitesides@harvard.edu
27

28 **Author Contributions**

29
30
31
32
33 § These authors contributed equally to this work
34

35 **Funding Sources**

36
37
38 EPSRC is thanked for post-doc support of NJW (Platform grant EP/J007846/1 and
39
40 EP/L024160/1). SPA is the recipient of a five-year ERC Advanced Investigator grant (PISA
41
42 320372). We thank the Wyss Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering for providing
43
44 funds to BM.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Griffith, L. G.; Swartz, M. A., Capturing complex 3D tissue physiology in vitro. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2006**, *7*, 211-224.
2. Cukierman, E.; Pankov, R.; Stevens, D. R.; Yamada, K. M., Taking cell-matrix adhesions to the third dimension. *Science* **2001**, *294*, 1708-1712.
3. Pampaloni, F.; Reynaud, E. G.; Stelzer, E. H. K., The third dimension bridges the gap between cell culture and live tissue. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2007**, *8*, 839-845.
4. Bhadriraju, K.; Chen, C. S., Engineering cellular microenvironments to improve cell-based drug testing. *Drug Discovery Today* **2002**, *7*, (11), 612-20.
5. Bissell, M. J.; Radisky, D. C.; Rizki, A.; Weaver, V. M.; Petersen, O. W., The organizing principle: microenvironmental influences in the normal and malignant breast. *Differentiation* **2002**, *70*, 537-546.
6. Bissell, M. J.; Hall, H. G.; Parry, G., How does the extracellular matrix direct gene expression? *J. Theor. Biol.* **1982**, *99*, 31-68.
7. Tibbitt, M. W.; Anseth, K. S., Hydrogels as extracellular matrix mimics for 3D cell culture. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **2009**, *103*, (4), 655-663.
8. King, S. M.; Quartuccio, S.; Hilliard, T. S.; Inoue, K.; Burdette, J. E., Alginate Hydrogels for Three-Dimensional Organ Culture of Ovaries and Oviducts. *J. Visualized Exp.* **2011**, (52), 2804.
9. Warren, N. J.; Armes, S. P., Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly of Block Copolymer Nano-objects via RAFT Aqueous Dispersion Polymerization. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, (29), 10174-10185.
10. Blanazs, A.; Madsen, J.; Battaglia, G.; Ryan, A. J.; Armes, S. P., Mechanistic Insights for Block Copolymer Morphologies: How Do Worms Form Vesicles? *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, (41), 16581-16587.
11. Blanazs, A.; Verber, R.; Mykhaylyk, O. O.; Ryan, A. J.; Heath, J. Z.; Douglas, C. W. I.; Armes, S. P., Sterilizable Gels from Thermoresponsive Block Copolymer Worms. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, (23), 9741-9748.
12. Verber, R.; Blanazs, A.; Armes, S. P., Rheological studies of thermo-responsive diblock copolymer worm gels. *Soft Matter* **2012**, *8*, (38), 9915-9922.
13. Kleinman, H. K.; Martin, G. R., Matrigel: basement membrane matrix with biological activity. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* **2005**, *15*, (5), 378-86.
14. Petersen, O. W.; Rønnov-Jessen, L.; Howlett, A. R.; Bissell, M. J., Interaction with basement membrane serves to rapidly distinguish growth and differentiation pattern of normal and malignant human breast epithelial cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1992**, *89*, (19), 9064-9068.
15. Kenny, P. A.; Lee, G. Y.; Myers, C. A.; Neve, R. M.; Semeiks, J. R.; Spellman, P. T.; Lorenz, K.; Lee, E. H.; Barcellos-Hoff, M. H.; Petersen, O. W.; Gray, J. W.; Bissell, M. J., The morphologies of breast cancer cell lines in three-dimensional assays correlate with their profiles of gene expression. *Mol. Oncol.* **2007**, *1*, (1), 84-96.

16. Lee, G. Y.; Kenny, P. A.; Lee, E. H.; Bissell, M. J., Three-dimensional culture models of normal and malignant breast epithelial cells. *Nat. Methods* **2007**, 4, (4), 359-365.
17. Kibbey, M., Maintenance of the EHS sarcoma and Matrigel preparation. *J. Tissue Cult. Methods* **1994**, 16, (3-4), 227-230.
18. Lee, K. Y.; Mooney, D. J., Hydrogels for tissue engineering. *Chem. Rev.* **2001**, 101, (7), 1869-79.
19. Sawhney, A. S.; Pathak, C. P.; Hubbell, J. A., Bioerodible hydrogels based on photopolymerized poly(ethylene glycol)-co-poly(.alpha.-hydroxy acid) diacrylate macromers. *Macromolecules* **1993**, 26, (4), 581-587.
20. Xu, C.; Inokuma, M. S.; Denham, J.; Golds, K.; Kundu, P.; Gold, J. D.; Carpenter, M. K., Feeder-free growth of undifferentiated human embryonic stem cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2001**, 19, (10), 971-4.
21. Cushing, M. C.; Anseth, K. S., Materials science. Hydrogel cell cultures. *Science* **2007**, 316, (5828), 1133-4.
22. Kocik, M. K.; Mykhaylyk, O. O.; Armes, S. P., Aqueous worm gels can be reconstituted from freeze-dried diblock copolymer powder. *Soft Matter* **2014**, 10, (22), 3984-3992.
23. Warren, N. J.; Rosselgong, J.; Madsen, J.; Armes, S. P., Disulfide-Functionalized Diblock Copolymer Worm Gels. *Biomacromolecules* **2015**, 16, (8), 2514-2521.
24. Simon, K. A.; Park, K. M.; Mosadegh, B.; Subramaniam, A. B.; Mazzeo, A. D.; Ngo, P. M.; Whitesides, G. M., Polymer-based mesh as supports for multi-layered 3D cell culture and assays. *Biomaterials* **2014**, 35, (1), 259-268.
25. Mosadegh, B.; Lockett, M. R.; Minn, K. T.; Simon, K. A.; Gilbert, K.; Hillier, S.; Newsome, D.; Li, H.; Hall, A. B.; Boucher, D. M.; Eustace, B. K.; Whitesides, G. M., A paper-based invasion assay: Assessing chemotaxis of cancer cells in gradients of oxygen. *Biomaterials* **2015**, 52, (0), 262-271.
26. Derda, R.; Laromaine, A.; Mammoto, A.; Tang, S. K. Y.; Mammoto, T.; Ingber, D. E.; Whitesides, G. M., Paper-supported 3D cell culture for tissue-based bioassays. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2009**, 106, (44), 18457-18462.
27. Derda, R.; Tang, S. K. Y.; Laromaine, A.; Mosadegh, B.; Hong, E.; Mwangi, M.; Mammoto, A.; Ingber, D. E.; Whitesides, G. M., Multizone Paper Platform for 3D Cell Cultures. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, 6, (5), e18940.
28. Deiss, F.; Matochko, W. L.; Govindasamy, N.; Lin, E. Y.; Derda, R., Flow-Through Synthesis on Teflon-Patterned Paper To Produce Peptide Arrays for Cell-Based Assays. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, 53, (25), 6374-6377.
29. Deiss, F.; Mazzeo, A.; Hong, E.; Ingber, D. E.; Derda, R.; Whitesides, G. M., Platform for High-Throughput Testing of the Effect of Soluble Compounds on 3D Cell Cultures. *Anal. Chem.* **2013**, 85, (17), 8085-8094.
30. Rosselgong, J.; Armes, S. P.; Barton, W.; Price, D., Synthesis of Highly Branched Methacrylic Copolymers: Observation of Near-Ideal Behavior using RAFT Polymerization. *Macromolecules* **2009**, 42, (16), 5919-5924.
31. Mammoto, A.; Connor, K. M.; Mammoto, T.; Yung, C. W.; Huh, D.; Aderman, C. M.; Mostoslavsky, G.; Smith, L. E. H.; Ingber, D. E., A mechanosensitive transcriptional mechanism that controls angiogenesis. *Nature* **2009**, 457, (7233), 1103-1108.
32. Rosselgong, J.; Blanz, A.; Chambon, P.; Williams, M.; Semsarilar, M.; Madsen, J.; Battaglia, G.; Armes, S. P., Thiol-Functionalized Block Copolymer Vesicles. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2012**, 1, (8), 1041-1045.

- 1
2
3 33. Rosselgong, J.; Armes, S. P., Quantification of Intramolecular Cyclization in
4 Branched Copolymers by ¹H NMR Spectroscopy. *Macromolecules* **2012**, 45, (6), 2731-2737.
5 34. Derda, R.; Tang, S. K. Y.; Laromaine, A.; Mosadegh, B.; Hong, E.; Mwangi, M.;
6 Mammoto, A.; Ingber, D. E.; Whitesides, G. M., Multizone paper platform for 3D cell
7 cultures. *PLoS One* 6, e18940.
8 35. Loring, J. F., *Human Stem Cell Manual: A Laboratory Guide*. 1 ed.; Academic Press:
9 New York, 2007; p 488.
10 36. Chen, X.; Prowse, A. B. J.; Jia, Z.; Tellier, H.; Munro, T. P.; Gray, P. P.; Monteiro,
11 M. J., Thermoresponsive Worms for Expansion and Release of Human Embryonic Stem
12 Cells. *Biomacromolecules* **2014**, 15, (3), 844-855.
13 37. Glowacki, J.; Mizuno, S., Collagen scaffolds for tissue engineering. *Biopolymers*
14 **2008**, 89, (5), 338-344.
15 38. Vaissiere, G.; Chevally, B.; Herbage, D.; Damour, O., Comparative analysis of
16 different collagen-based biomaterials as scaffolds for long-term culture of human fibroblasts.
17 *Med. Biol. Eng. Comput.* **2000**, 38, (2), 205-10.
18 39. Cen, L.; Liu, W.; Cui, L.; Zhang, W.; Cao, Y., Collagen tissue engineering:
19 development of novel biomaterials and applications. *Pediatr. Res* **2008**, 63, (5), 492-6.
20 40. Lynn, A. K.; Yannas, I. V.; Bonfield, W., Antigenicity and immunogenicity of
21 collagen. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part B* **2004**, 71B, (2), 343-354.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **List of Schemes**
4
5
6
7
8

9 **Scheme 1.** Synthesis of disulfide-functionalized PGMA-PHPMA worms via RAFT aqueous
10 dispersion polymerization of HPMA at 70°C
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

List of Figures

Figure 1. (a) Fabrication of PVC-mesh composite sheets. (b) Digital photograph of a multi-zone mesh sheet.

Figure 2. Digital photographs and TEM images obtained at 37 °C and 2 °C illustrating the temperature-dependent (de)gelling behaviour of the disulfide-functionalized copolymer worms used in this work.

Figure 3. (a) Temperature-dependent oscillatory rheology measurements conducted on two identical 8.0 % w/w disulfide-functionalized copolymer worm gels before and after incubation in pH 7.4 PBS at 37°C for 120 h. (b) Variation of the storage modulus (G') with ageing time for a series of five identical 8.0 % w/w disulfide-functionalized copolymer worm gels incubated for up to five days at 37°C.


Figure 4: Optical and fluorescence microscopy images showing a portion of a zone of sheets of (a) Single lens paper and (b) PVC-mesh composite containing 10- μ m fluorescently-labelled polystyrene particles embedded in a 10 % w/w disulfide-functionalized copolymer worm gel. Images were obtained nine days after immersion in 0.15 M PBS solution at 37°C.

1
2
3
4
5
6 **Figure 5.** Worm gels enable A549 cells to remain viable within mesh sheets for up to 12
7 days. (A) Bright field images of A549 embedded worm gels supported on the PVC-mesh
8 composite sheets. (B) Growth curve of A549-GFP-embedded worm gels supported on PVC-
9 mesh composite sheets. Standard deviations were calculated based on seven replicates (N =7
10 zones).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Figure 6.** Recovery of A549-GFP cells from mesh sheets. Mesh sheets containing A549-GFP
22 cells embedded in worm gel were incubated in cold PBS (4°C), while samples embedded in
23 *Matrigel* were incubated in warm *Accumax* (37°C). (a) Fluorescence images of mesh sheets
24 containing worm gel-embedded A549-GFP cells during recovery in cold PBS. (b) Extent of
25 removal (as judged by normalized % GFP intensity) of A549-GFP cells embedded in either
26 10 % w/w disulfide-functionalized copolymer worm gel or *Matrigel*. Standard deviations
27 were calculated based on thirty replicates (N = 30 zones).
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Figure 7.** (a) Fluorescence images of 2D cultures of recovered A549-GFP cells. (b)
41 Comparison of cellular viability of A549-GFP cells after recovery from worm gel or
42 *Matrigel*, respectively. Recovered cells were suspended in media, seeded in a 96-well plate
43 (2,000 cells/zone), and ATP levels were measured using CellTiter-Glo[®] (CTG) Assay.
44 Luminescence was normalized to the first day of recovery. Standard deviations were
45 calculated based on five replicates (N = 5 wells).
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Scheme 1.




Figure 1.




Figure 2.




Figure 3.




Figure 4.




Figure 5.




Figure 6.




Figure 7.

TOC Graphic

