**Electrochemical Insights into the Mechanism of NiFe Membrane Bound Hydrogenases**

Lindsey A. Flanagan1 and Alison Parkin1,\*,#

1 Department of Chemistry, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK

\* 2013 Early Career Research Award, Theme Panel III Energy and Metabolism

# To whom correspondence should be addressed

**Key words:**

NiFe hydrogenase; protein film electrochemistry; oxygen tolerance; membrane bound hydrogenase; iron-sulfur cluster relay

# Abstract

Hydrogenases are enzymes of great biotechnological relevance because they catalyse the interconversion of H2, water (protons) and electricity using non-precious metal catalytic active sites. Electrochemical studies into the reactivity of NiFe membrane bound hydrogenases (MBH) have provided a particularly detailed insight into the reactivity and mechanism of this group of enzymes. Significantly, the control centre for enabling O2 tolerance has been revealed as the electron-transfer relay of FeS clusters, rather than the NiFe bimetallic active site. This review paper will discuss how electrochemistry results have complemented those obtained from structural and spectroscopic studies, to present a complete picture of our current understanding of NiFe MBH.

# Introduction

Humankind’s current fossil fuel economy is unsustainable: it is non-renewable, generates the greenhouse gas carbon dioxide, and relies on finite resources which are not evenly distributed across the globe, creating geo- and political- access issues [1]. As depicted in Figure 1, in comparison to the use of fossil fuels, a renewable H2 fuel economy presents many advantages: generating H2 from water is a cyclical, sustainable process, and vehicular H2 technology is a commercial reality [2]. The challenge lies in finding redox catalysts for the half cell processes of proton reduction (2H+ + 2e- → H2) and water oxidation (H2O → ½O2 + 2H+ + 2e-) to achieve the overall reaction of solar driven water splitting (H2O + hν → H2 + ½O2) [3]. There is a requirement for highly efficient catalysts built from commonly available elements that combine protons and electrons to produce H2.

Hydrogenases are H2 enzymes that are produced by a wide variety of microbes to catalyse either H2 splitting or the reverse reaction, H2 production [4,5]. As biological catalysts, hydrogenases are stable in water, built from earth-abundant elements and have high substrate affinities and fast turnover rates [6], and these combined factors have fuelled an interest into how hydrogenases can be utilized within a future H2 economy. Understanding how hydrogenases function as molecular H2 catalysts is of fundamental importance to any biological-H2 technology development and this paper will highlight how electrochemistry, in conjunction with other techniques, has played a vital role in deconvoluting the mechanism of NiFe hydrogenases.

# Hydrogenases

There are three main types of hydrogenases, named for the composition of their active site as the NiFe, FeFe and Fe only hydrogenases [7]. The majority of biotechnological hydrogenase enzyme-devices, whether fuel cells or H2 producing devices, have made use of one subclass of NiFe hydrogenases, the Group 1 membrane bound hydrogenases (MBH) [8,9]. These enzymes are biotechnologically useful because they react reversibly with O2, whereas the FeFe and Fe only hydrogenases sustain permanent damage after reaction with O2. Many MBH also adsorb onto carbon surfaces in an electrocatalytic configuration, generating a heterogeneous catalyst of “wired” enzyme molecules without the need for complex surface modification.

The NiFe MBH will be the focus of this paper, although reference will be made to soluble periplasmic enzymes in order to clarify aspects of the reactivity. Membrane bound hydrogenases are periplasmically located enzymes which are embedded in the inner membrane of a bacterial cell [10,11], as shown in Figure 2. The physiological function of NiFe MBH is H2 uptake, the conversion of H­2 into protons and electrons (H2 → 2H+ + 2e-). The electrons are transferred from the hydrogenase into the quinone pool, with the NiFe MBH therefore forming part of the bacterial respiratory chain [12]. NiFe MBH are found in bacteria from a diverse range of ecological niches, from human pathogens such as *Salmonella enterica* (*S. enterica*), in which hydrogenase activity is linked to virulence [13], to soil bacteria like *Ralstonia eutropha* (*R. eutropha*), which can survive by using H2 as their sole energy source [14], and *Allochromatium vinosum*, a photosynthetic purple sulphur bacteria which uses light energy to oxidise hydrogen sulphide to elemental sulphur [15].

The NiFe MBH are sub-categorised into enzymes which are able to function in O2 and enzymes which do not, with the former classed as O2 tolerant while the latter are known as O2 sensitive MBH [16]. The O2 reactivity of hydrogenases is considered important because most water splitting technology requires an O2 insensitive H2-catalyst [17]. Additionally, O2 tolerant NiFe MBH have been used to develop membrane-free H2 fuel cells, powered by non-explosive H2/O2 mixes [9]. Such devices are amenable for miniaturization because of their simple design.

In addition to highlighting the utility of electrochemistry as a technique for studying NiFe MBH, this paper also will review our current mechanistic understanding of what controls the reaction of a hydrogenase with O2.

# Hydrogenase film electrochemistry

## Protein film electrochemistry applied to hydrogenases

Protein film electrochemistry, a technique in which enzyme is adsorbed to the surface of an electrode, has been a particularly useful tool for interrogating the reactivity of NiFe MBH. The Armstrong Group at the University of Oxford have played a major role in pioneering this field of research [18,19]. In these studies, the hydrogenase molecules under interrogation are commonly the “minimal functional unit” of an MBH, i.e. the active site (large) and electron transfer (small) subunits, since these often purify separately from other subunits [20]. The possible impact of this is returned to later in the Article (Section 6.5). Although the enzyme molecules are assumed to be randomly orientated on the electrode surface, the electroactive orientations- where redox cofactors are in close enough proximity to the electrode to facilitate rapid electron transfer [21]- are thought analogous to the “wiring” of the hydrogenase to the cytoplasmic membrane.

The electrochemical cell, shown in Figure 3, is designed to facilitate temperature control via the water jacket, and pH control is determined by the buffer composition of the experimental solution within the main body of the cell. There are three separate electrodes, the working electrode, onto which the hydrogenase is adsorbed; the reference electrode, which is the reference point against which the voltage of the working electrode is set; and the counter/auxiliary electrode, which completes the electrical circuit by “countering” the electron flow at the working electrode. The working electrode is capable of rotation, which allows the investigation and minimisation of diffusion effects, such as product inhibition. Gas flow controllers upstream of the electrochemical cell allow precise control of headspace gas composition, and mixtures of H2, N2, and the inhibitors O2 and CO can be made.

The bidirectional catalytic activity of NiFe hydrogenases is clearly revealed in cyclic voltammetry experiments such as that shown in Figure 4. The electrochemical potential of the hydrogenase-coated electrode is raised from negative (reducing) potentials to positive (oxidising) potentials and the resultant current is monitored. Electrical current corresponds to enzymatic activity, with negative current providing a direct measure of H2 production (when electrons are transferred from the electrode into the enzyme as in Figure 3) and with positive current measuring H2 oxidation (where the direction of electron flow is reversed, also shown in Figure 3). If the number of enzyme molecules adsorbed onto the surface of the electrode is known (the product of the electrode surface area, , and the surface density of enzyme on the electrode, ) then the catalytic current, , can be converted into a turnover rate, , via Equation 1, where denotes the number of electrons, 2 in the case of H2 catalysis, and is Faraday’s constant [18].

In most studies it has not been possible to measure as the number of enzyme molecules on the electrode surface is often too low to produce the necessary non-catalytic signals needed to determine accurate coverage [22]. Therefore, electrochemistry is not commonly used to measure hydrogenase turnover rates, instead dye assays are used to quantify hydrogenase rate constants [23]. For enzyme-catalysed H2 oxidation, the concomitant reduction of either methylene blue or benzyl viologen can be followed spectrophotometrically [24]. Alternatively, the rate of H2 production/H+ reduction can be followed by measuring the rate of oxidation of reduced methyl viologen. A significant limitation of the dye assays is that they do not work in the presence of O2 and electrochemistry has provided an unparalleled comparison of the reactions of hydrogenases under anaerobic vs aerobic conditions.

## Electrochemical definition of hydrogenase O2 tolerance

Electrochemistry is particularly effective at categorising a hydrogenase as either O2 sensitive or O2 tolerant [25]. Figure 5A shows a chronoamperometry experiment where the potential of the electrode is poised at a constant value and the current is measured as a function of time. The phenotypic behaviour of an O2 tolerant hydrogenase is that upon exposure to a mixture of H2 and O2, a substantial amount of the H2 oxidation activity (positive current) observed in the absence of O2 is maintained [26]. Upon removal of the O2, the electrical current returns to almost 100% of the initial activity, indicating full re-activation of the enzyme. This is shown by the “Hyd-1” data in Figure 5A, measured for *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*)hydrogenase-1. Conversely, O2 sensitive enzymes such as *E. coli* hydrogenase-2, “Hyd-2” in Figure 5A, are fully inhibited (current tends towards zero) under O2-containing gas mixtures [18,26]. When O2 is removed from the experimental setup not all of the enzyme activity is recovered within a short timeframe (the experiment shown in Figure 5A allows a reactivation period of 30 minutes).

As well as the characteristic differences in O2 reactivity, electrochemistry also reveals notable heterogeneity between O2 tolerant and O2 sensitive hydrogenases in the absence of O2, as shown by Figure 5B. Firstly, measurement of the catalytic activity via cyclic voltammetry shows that whereas enzymes which are tolerant to O2 produce very little H2 at pH levels above 6 (there is negligible negative current for Hyd-1 in Figure 5B), the ratio of maximum negative current to maximum positive current is almost 1:1 for O2 sensitive hydrogenases at near-neutral pH [26]. We can summarise this observation by stating that the catalytic “bias” is different for O2 tolerant and sensitive MBH; the O2 tolerant enzymes are essentially unidirectional H2-uptake enzymes at pH > 6 while the O2 sensitive hydrogenases are bidirectional H2 catalysts over a wide pH range (5-8) [10]. The crucial role of pH in controlling the H2 production activity of O2 tolerant hydrogenases will be described later on.

A second observation related to catalysis is that O2 sensitive hydrogenases are “ideal” H2-catalyts; the potential of zero current (the voltage at which there is no net H2 oxidation or H2 production activity) equates to the reduction potential for the 2H+/H2 couple under the experimental conditions, *E*(2H+/H2) [26] (the value of *E*(2H+/H2) is calculated using the Nernst equation and the standard reduction potential value *E*Θ(2H+/H2) = 0 V *vs* SHE). In contrast to this ideal behaviour, O2 tolerant hydrogenases such as Hyd-1 manifest an “overpotential requirement” at pH > 5, meaning that the potential at which H2 oxidation catalysis commences is more positive than for Hyd-2 [27]. This is highlighted by the vertical dotted lines in Figure 5B, which show that the onset of H2 oxidation is ~50 mV higher for Hyd-1 than for Hyd-2.

It is helpful to correlate the differences in reactivity measured by electrochemistry with structural insights gained by spectroscopic and crystallographic studies, in order to build a mechanistic understanding of the difference between O2 tolerant and O2 sensitive MBH. The next sections will describe how all this data can be combined to build a picture of hydrogenase structure-function properties.

# Active site states

## States formed in the absence of O2

Detailed structural information about the active site of NiFe MBH has been obtained from spectroscopic measurements using a variety of techniques including electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) [28] and infrared (IR) [29–32]. A summary of the proposed key states in the catalytic cycle is given by Figure 6; for simplicity this Figure shows uni-directional H2 oxidation, although the same states are proposed to occur for H2 production/H+ reduction.

When catalysing H2 oxidation, NiFe hydrogenases operate via a heterolytic cleavage mechanism whereby the H2 initially binds to the Ni-Sia (NiII-FeII) state as a proton and a hydride to form the most reduced Ni-R (NiII-H--FeII) state of the active site (Figure 6) [33]. Loss of a proton and an electron then generates the Ni-C (NiIII-H--FeII) state. At this stage in the H2 uptake reaction the proposed mechanisms for O2 tolerant and O2 sensitive enzymes differ, with EPR and FTIR studies showing that different active site states predominate within each hydrogenase subgroup. For O2 sensitive NiFe-hydrogenases the Ni-L (NiI-FeII) state is not thought to be a true catalytic intermediate because it is only formed under light exposure at very low temperatures [34–36]. As denoted by the solid arrows in Figure 6, catalysis by O2 sensitive NiFe-hydrogenases is thought to proceed via loss of an electron and proton from the Ni-C state to give direct regeneration of Ni-SIa. In contrast, for O2-tolerant hydrogenases the Ni-L state is readily detectable at room temperature, even when the enzyme has not been illuminated [31], so this state is considered an important catalytic intermediate. Figure 6 shows a putative Ni-C to Ni-L conversion that involves electron and proton rearrangement; NiIII is reduced to NiI with concomitant movement of the bridging hydrogen to the sulphur of a coordinating cysteine.

Under conditions of oxidative stress, but in the absence of O2, all NiFe MBH form the inactive Ni-B state, of which the structure is well established [29,30], as shown in Figure 6. Formation of the Ni-B state is also thought to be observable in cyclic voltammetry electrochemistry experiments, such as those shown in Figures 4 and 5B. Under O2-free conditions, when the potential is steadily increased above -0.2 V for Hyd-2 or 0 V for Hyd-1, a current plateau is observed. This means that the H2 oxidation activity levels off despite the application of more oxidising potentials. This is denoted by the arrows pointing from left to right in Figure 5B. Upon reversal of the potential sweep, so that the voltage of the electrode is now being made progressively more negative, the MBH is activated, as shown by the sharp rise in current from -0.1 to -0.2 V for Hyd-2 and +0.15 to +0.05 V for Hyd-1, and highlighted by the diagonal arrows in Figure 5B. Comparison of electrochemically measured inactivation and reactivation kinetics with spectroscopic studies has led to attribution of the current plateau and recovery to formation and reactivation of the Ni-B state, respectively [25,37]. The observation that all O2 tolerant hydrogenases apparently require an additional oxidative driving force to form the Ni-B state in cyclic voltammetry experiments has been used as the basis for a model for understanding how these enzymes remain catalytically active in the presence of O2 [38]. However, there is nothing about the active site structures which explains why formation of the Ni-B state should require a different electrochemical potential for O2 tolerant versus O2 sensitive enzymes [29,38]. Modern research has focussed on understanding how the movement of electrons within the hydrogenase controls the active site chemistry, and this is described later on.

## States formed by reaction with O2

The Ni-B state is also formed when NiFe MBH are exposed to O2. The mechanism of this conversion is still controversial. One proposed reaction [39] involves O2 binding at the active site followed by reduction by four electrons and three protons to form water and a hydroxide molecule (O2 + 3H+ + 4e- → H2O + OH-). The water molecule formed from O2 is then proposed to diffuse out of the enzyme via a solvent channel, while the hydroxide remains bound to the oxidised active site. Envisaging Ni-B formation as arising from O2 binding at the active site has been used to reconcile the observation that O2 tolerant hydrogenases have decreased sensitivity to CO and very low Michaelis constants for H2; it has been interpreted that these enzymes have evolved to optimise H2 binding at the active site in order to minimise competitive inhibitor ligation at the NiFe centre [26,40].

However, the notion that aerobic Ni-B formation involves a long lived active site species formed from O2 has been challenged by isotope studies showing evidence that the bridging OH- ligand in Ni-B is solvent derived [41], and oxygenic species originating from O2 are not observable in the first coordination sphere of the nickel [42]. Based on these studies, alternative mechanisms which do not involve the binding of O2 to the active site have been proposed. For example, O2 could prompt oxidation of the active site by acting as an electron acceptor, as proposed by Hamdan et al. in their work which proves that “aerobic” inactivation can occur under O2-free oxidising conditions [43].

Regardless of the precise site of O2 binding, it is known that an O2 tolerant NiFe hydrogenase exposed to O2 can catalyse H2 oxidation and also act as an O2-reductase, converting O2 into two molecules of H2O (Figure 7) [44]. The rapid reactivation of Ni-B explains why O2 tolerant hydrogenases sustain H2 catalytic activity in the presence of O2; any enzyme molecule which forms the Ni-B state upon inactivation by O2 is quickly reactivated by addition of one electron, as shown in Figure 7. Electrochemical experiments reveal that the “Eswitch” potential, a complex voltammetric parameter used to characterise the reactivation window of a hydrogenase, is positive for an O2 tolerant MBH, reflecting the ease of Ni-B reduction [45]. For O2 sensitive enzymes, Eswitch has a negative potential, but slower Ni-B activation is not the only reason that these enzymes are inactivated by O2 exposure.

In the case of O2 sensitive NiFe-hydrogenases, spectroscopic experiments using a variety of techniques all conclude that reaction with O2 generates both the Ni-B state and a very kinetically inert “Unready”, Ni-A, state [46–50]. This correlates with the electrochemical observation that following O2 exposure, O2 sensitive enzymes such as Hyd-2 rapidly recover some activity as soon as the O2 is removed from the experiment, but a substantial proportion of the enzyme remains inactivated (Figure 5A). The rapid reactivation is attributed to recovery of molecules which formed the Ni-B state, while slow reactivation is assigned to enzyme which formed the Ni-A state [43,49]. A significant challenge in discovering the precise mechanism for Ni-A formation originates from the difficulty in reconciling the spectroscopic and electrochemical experiments with the fact that crystallographic experiments have isolated a myriad of different structures, all denoted as possible representatives of the Ni-A state (Figure 8) [48,51–54]. Due to the observation of extended electron density about the bridging ligand, early structural studies proposed that the Ni-A state contains an O2 derived peroxide group bridging the Ni and Fe [48] (Figure 8(i)). This model has been revised in recent years to consider the possibility of oxidation of the S group of cysteine ligands, as in several structures proposed by Volbeda [53,54] and shown in Figures 8(iv) – 8(vi). A bridging oxo group, O2-, was also postulated, as shown in Figure 8(iii). Carepo et al used 17O labelled water, H217O, to confirm that there is a bridging ligand but it is solvent derived [41]. The isotope labelling study instead proposed that the Ni-A state could contain a bridging hydroxide in a different orientation to that seen in Ni-B (Figure 8(ii)). This is supported by recent work by Barilone et al who used single crystal ENDOR spectroscopy to confirm the Ni-A bridging ligand as a hydroxide, again suggesting that the difference in the structure of Ni-B and Ni-A is due to rotation at the nickel, specifically identifying a cysteine side chain as the mobile element [55]. Altogether, the issue of the structural identity of Ni-A remains contentious, rendering the task of explaining why O2 sensitive Ni-Fe hydrogenases are slow to reactivate very difficult.

The lack of consensus in determining exactly what active site structure is generated when O2 sensitive NiFe-hydrogenases react with O2 may be in part attributable to the fact that O2 inactivation can also generate “dead” states of permanently inactivated enzyme [19]. In electrochemistry it is difficult to separate an estimate of dead phase formation from “film loss”, the normal steady drop in enzyme activity which is observed over long experiments and is probably due to desorption of enzyme from the electrode [56]. If the hydrogenase dead phase is non-paramagnetic then it will also be rendered spectroscopically silent via EPR, and small numbers of molecules are hard to detect using FTIR. However, at least some of the structural electron density maps may reflect dead phase enzyme and so reconciling X-ray data and spectroscopic information could remain challenging.

# The essential role of the electron transfer relay

## Description of the iron sulphur “wire”

The minimal functional unit of a NiFe MBH is a heterodimer comprising two protein chains designated the “large” and “small” subunits [57]. As shown by Figure 9A, over the past 10 years substantial progress has been made in the determination of NiFe hydrogenase X-ray structures. Enzymes from both aerobic (*Hydrogenovibrio marinus* [58]and *R. eutropha* [59,60]), anaerobic (*Desulfovibrio gigas* [48,51], *Desulfovibrio fructosovorans* [53,54,61], *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* [52,62–64], *Desulfomicrobium baculatum* [65,66]and *Allochromatium vinosum* [67]) and facultative anaerobic organisms (*E. coli* [68,69] and *S. enterica* [70]) have been crystallised (Figure 9B) [71], resulting in the elucidation of the heterodimer structures of several O2 sensitive and O2 tolerant NiFe hydrogenases. The overall structure of all these enzymes is remarkably similar (Figure 10). In addition to the NiFe active site centre (contained within the ~60kD large subunit), three iron sulphur (FeS) clusters are ligated by the ~35 kD small subunit [58,59]. This “wire” of FeS clusters is thought to function as an electron transfer relay, shuttling electrons from the buried active site to the surface of the protein, thus allowing the passage of electrons between the active site and the inner membrane [72]. The three clusters are commonly denoted as “proximal”, “distal” or “medial” in reference to their distance from the active site.

As discussed above, electrochemistry reveals clear differences in the reactivity of O2 tolerant and O2 sensitive MBH. The overpotential-requirement and uni-directional activity of the O2 tolerant hydrogenases may be linked to their propensity to form the Ni-L state as part of the catalytic cycle (Figure 6) but nothing about the active site architecture suggests why the Ni-L state might be more accessible. Instead, the active site binding pocket is highly conserved for both O2 tolerant and sensitive MBH. Recent studies have revealed that it is the electron transfer clusters which play a critical role in tuning the reaction mechanism of the hydrogenases, and this will now be explained in detail.

## Proximal cluster

Experiments on a number of different O2 tolerant hydrogenases have revealed that it is a uniquely structured FeS cluster that confers O2 tolerance upon NiFe MBH [59,72,73]. Crystallography has shown that while O2 sensitive NiFe hydrogenases contain a standard 4Fe4S cluster proximal to the active site, all O2 tolerant MBH contain a novel 4Fe3S cluster in this position [58,59]. As highlighted in the sequence alignment in Figure 11, additional cysteines are contained within O2 tolerant MBH, and these assist in the stabilisation of the unusual proximal cluster. These “supernumerary” cysteines support a structural transition which occurs when the cluster is oxidised, for example when the enzyme is exposed to O2. During this transition, the backbone N of cysteine 20 ligates Fe4 of the cluster, and the bond between Fe4 and S3 of the cluster is broken, thus creating an “open” structure [60], as seen in Figure 12. This “over oxidation” can also be observed in EPR studies which show that the 4Fe3S cluster can undergo two redox transformations, i.e. it is stable in three different oxidation states: fully reduced, [4Fe3S]3+; oxidised, [4Fe3S]4+; and over oxidised, [4Fe3S]5+ [60,69,72,74].

Electrochemical studies on hydrogenase variants show that using glycine to replace the supernumerary cysteine which supports the cluster opening mechanism (*E. coli* Hyd-1 numbering: C19G) turns an O2 tolerant hydrogenase into an enzyme which is highly sensitive to O2 [75]. This suggests that formation of the over oxidized state of the proximal cluster plays an important role in O2 tolerance. Returning to Figure 7, the idea is that because the proximal cluster can access three oxidation states it is therefore able to rapidly deliver two of the electrons which are needed to ensure that inhibitory O2 is rapidly neutralised to H2O and OH- [44], ensuring that the Ni-B state is the only product of reaction between an O2-tolerant hydrogenase and O2.

More recent work has shown that large subunit residues also play a vital role in the proximal cluster chemistry [60,70]. The sequence alignment shown in Figure 11 demonstrates that all O2 sensitive hydrogenases possess a glutamine in the large subunit, whilst the majority of O2 tolerant MBH encode a glutamate at this site. Structural analysis indicates that this residue is too removed from the proximal cluster to play a direct role in stabilising the 3Fe4S redox transitions. However, in conjunction with a conserved histidine as an acid/base pair, a possible proton transfer mechanism was hypothesised and tested for variants of the O2 tolerant MBH *S. enterica* hydrogenase-5 (Hyd-5) [70]. While exchange of *S. enterica* Hyd-5 glutamate 73 for alanine had a minimal effect on catalysis in the absence of O2, in the presence of O2 the enzyme exhibited decreased O2 tolerance relative to the native enzyme (Figure 13). The essential role of E73 in tuning O2 tolerance was therefore confirmed. Replacing histidine 229 with alanine had a substantial effect on the H2 oxidising ability of the enzyme under anaerobic and aerobic conditions. The increase in O2 sensitivity in large subunit histidine variants was also observed in *R. eutropha* MBH [60] and crystallographic data from this study provided evidence for a direct proton transfer route from the large subunit to the proximal cluster via H229, which points direct at one of the cluster’s Fe centers, as shown in Figure 12. The role of this proton transfer pathway in triggering the structural and redox transition of the proximal cluster into the over oxidised state has been elaborated through recent density functional theory studies. Dance [76] suggests that O2 binding at the active site induces a change in protonation which is communicated to the proximal cluster via a proton transfer relay which ends at H229. Because the change in histidine coordination induces the proximal cluster to open up, the proton transfer pathway therefore ensures that O2 binding at the active site is rapidly followed by electron delivery from the proximal cluster.

Interestingly, in all proximal cluster variants to date, although it has been possible to turn an O2 tolerant hydrogenase into an O2 sensitive enzyme, the bi-directional catalytic activity of a true O2 sensitive NiFe MBH was not conferred upon any of the single site variants [60,70,75]. Instead, it is the distal cluster which is thought to hold the key to understanding the origins of the O2 tolerant over-potential requirement [77], while the medial cluster is thought to play a role in supporting the O2 tolerance mechanism [78].

## Medial cluster

Studies of the proximal cluster highlighted that this site was capable of providing two electrons to reduce inhibitory O2 [69,74], however if O2 attacks a NiII oxidation state of the enzyme, then generation of the Ni-B state requires a total of three electrons from the FeS relay [44] (and one from the oxidation of the active site, forming NiIII) (see Figure 7). Given that electrons one and two can be supplied by the proximal cluster, the most obvious source of the third FeS relay electron is the medial cluster. In both O2 tolerant and sensitive MBH the medial cluster is a 3Fe4S centre, ligated by three cysteines. Evans et al [78] generated and electrochemically studied a variant of *E. coli* Hyd-1 which contained a cysteine in position 242 instead of proline. The amino acid exchange caused the medial cluster to become a 4Fe4S centre with a concomitant increase in O2 sensitivity. The loss of O2 tolerance probably arises because the medial redox potential has become more negative as a result of the amino acid exchange. This will cause the medial cluster to lose electrons to the distal cluster too readily, rather than storing them in order to reduce the proximal cluster.

A combined proximal and medial cluster variant, *E. coli* Hyd-1 C19G/C120G/P242C, showed a complete and irreversible loss of H2 oxidation activity following O2 exposure, supporting the proposed mechanism that three electrons are needed from the medial and proximal cluster in order to achieve O2 tolerance [78].

## Distal cluster

The distal cluster of the NiFe MBH remains the most elusive FeS centre. Although midpoint redox potentials have been quoted for *Aquifex aeolicus* [72], *R. eutropha* and *Ralstonia metallidurans* [79]*,* it is not possible to determine the same parameter from analogous EPR experiments on *E. coli* Hyd-1. Indeed, the very careful study on Hyd-1 instead concluded that the distal cluster does not form an S=½ state even under reducing conditions [74,75]. Measuring the reduction potential of the Hyd-1 distal cluster using electrochemistry instead of EPR has so far proved impossible because of our inability to obtain “non-turnover” signals for O2-tolerant NiFe MBH. However, simulation of hydrogenase electrocatalytic cyclic voltammetry data supports the notion of the distal cluster controlling catalytic bidirectionality via it’s “gateway” role in mediating intermolecular electron transfers [77].

At low pH, substantial H2 production has been observed for the O2 tolerant hydrogenase *E. coli* Hyd-1, and there is a loss of the overpotential requirement for catalysis [27]. This result is interpreted in terms of the electrocatalysis voltammetric simulation model [77]; because the redox potential of the 2H+/H2 couple is strongly pH dependent while the distal cluster reduction potential is thought to be pH independent, there is a threshold pH below which it is thermodynamically favourable for electrons to transfer from the distal cluster into the active site thus facilitating H+ reduction to generate H2 [27,77].

The only study of a distal cluster NiFe hydrogenase variant was conducted on an O2 sensitive enzyme at high pH [80]. This study shows that NiFe hydrogenases are non-functional without a correctly ligated distal cluster because when the normal Cys3His coordination was converted to Cys4 or Cys3Gly a substantial drop (≈ 95%) in H2 oxidation rates was observed for *Desulfovibrio fructosovorans* variants. Imidazole was observed to recover the activity of the variants, emphasising the vital role of the histidine ligand at the distal cluster.

## The cytochrome: beyond the dimeric unit of a NiFe membrane bound hydrogenase

In a bacterial cell, a NiFe MBH is anchored on the periplasmic face of the inner membrane by a trans-membrane helix on the C-terminus of the small subunit (Figure 2) [10]. This helix is believed to associate with a hydrogenase cytochrome which embeds into the membrane [81]. The physiological electron transfer chain of a hydrogenase does not, therefore, end at the distal cluster and it may be dangerous to interpret the *in vivo* role of a NiFe MBH without considering the mediating role which the cytochrome and quinone pool will play. There is, at the date of writing, only one MBH crystal structure which incorporates the cytochrome subunit (PDB 4GD3 [68]). This *E. coli* Hyd-1 structure contained a 2:1 ratio of large-small-dimer:cytochrome, which is likely an experimental artefact since the operon of all NiFe MBH contain a single gene for each of the large, small and cytochrome subunits, all under control of the same promoter. Figure 2 therefore shows a fragment of the X-ray structure with one small, large and cytochrome subunit, this combination of subunits is classed as the heterotrimeric unit.

All the electrochemical experiments described above were conducted on dimers of the large and small subunit only, because the cytochrome easily dissociates during enzyme purification. However, it has been possible to isolate the O2 tolerant MBH from *R. eutropha* as a unit of three heterotrimers [81]. Electrochemical experiments have been conducted to analyse the behaviour of the trimeric complex embedded within a membrane-coated electrode, with a ubiquinone pool acting to shuttle electrons between the electrode and the hydrogenase [82]. In this configuration, the enzyme’s electrochemical response is dramatically different from that of an isolated dimer on a membrane-free electrode. When the electrode wiring was mediated via the quinone pool, the hydrogenase trimer showed little to no anaerobic inactivation and a higher level of activity was sustained in the presence of O2. It has been proposed that “short-circuiting” multiple hydrogenases by forming multimers of trimers should enhance O2 tolerance because an active site which has H2 bound can provide the electrons to reduce a Ni-B inactivated neighbouring active site. There may therefore be a physiological benefit for hydrogenase molecules associating with one another within the cytoplasmic membrane. It is important to consider that while biotechnological applications of isolated dimeric enzymes require an understanding of the catalytic features of the same molecules, knowing how to harness hydrogenase activity within whole cell applications (such as cyanobacterial photosynthetic H2 production) may require an alternative approach such as the study of MBH within a membrane. Spectroscopic methods have also been developed to permit analysis of MBH within cell membranes [30], but there is a requirement for hydrogenase over-expression which is not always feasible because of the complex biosynthesis of these enzymes.

# Conclusion

In conclusion, when used in combination with spectroscopy and crystallography, electrochemistry has proved an extremely useful tool for investigating NiFe MBH reactivity. Enormous progress has been made in recent years to understand the origin of O2 tolerance in MBH, and the essential roles of redox centres and residues remote from the NiFe active site have been elucidated and understood. There remain some core aspects of NiFe MBH biochemistry, such as the origin of catalytic bias and the structure of the Ni-A state, formed when O2 sensitive enzymes react with O2, which remain elusive. Beyond the membrane-bound Group 1 NiFe hydrogenases, there are 4 further categories of NiFe hydrogenases which are woefully under-studied but now becoming accessible due to modern molecular biology techniques. It is hoped that hydrogenase research will continue to contribute to the vital field of sustainable H2 production, inspiring the development of stable non-precious metal catalysts with high turnover frequency. It is noted that some synthetic catalysts can already outperform the enzymes under certain conditions, and purifying hydrogenases is a costly process. However, the biological synthesis of these highly active NiFe catalysts under conditions of ambient temperature, pressure and in an aqueous solvent remains an inspirational goal, and it may be that whole cell technology is where microbial catalysts are best deployed.

# Funding

Alison Parkin gratefully acknowledges Merton College, Oxford and University of York for funding. Lindsey Flanagan is supported by a studentship from the Wellcome Trust 4-year PhD program (WT095024MA) ‘Combating infectious disease: computational approaches in translational science’.

# References

1 King, D.A. (2004) Climate Change Science: Adapt, Mitigate, or Ignore? Science. 303, 176–177

2 Momirlan, M. and Veziroglu, T. (2005) The properties of hydrogen as fuel tomorrow in sustainable energy system for a cleaner planet. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy. 30, 795–802

3 RSC. (2012) Solar Fuels and Artificial Photosynthesis. Available from: www.rsc.org/images/Solar-fuels\_tcm18-221433.pdf

4 Greening, C., Biswas, A., Carere, C.R., Jackson, C.J., Taylor, M.C., Stott, M.B., Cook, G.M. and Morales, S.E. (2015) Genomic and metagenomic surveys of hydrogenase distribution indicate H2 is a widely utilised energy source for microbial growth and survival. ISME J. Available from: http://www.nature.com/ismej/journal/vaop/ncurrent/full/ismej2015153a.html

5 Lubitz, W., Ogata, H., Rüdiger, O. and Reijerse, E. (2014) Hydrogenases. Chem. Rev. 114, 4081–4148

6 Shafaat, H.S., Rüdiger, O., Ogata, H. and Lubitz, W. (2013) [NiFe] hydrogenases: A common active site for hydrogen metabolism under diverse conditions. Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA - Bioenerg. 1827, 986–1002

7 Vignais, P.M. and Billoud, B. (2007) Occurrence, Classification, and Biological Function of Hydrogenases:  An Overview. Chem. Rev. 107, 4206–4272

8 Cracknell, J.A., Vincent, K.A. and Armstrong, F.A. (2008) Enzymes as Working or Inspirational Electrocatalysts for Fuel Cells and Electrolysis. Chem. Rev. 108, 2439–2461

9 Vincent, K.A., Cracknell, J.A., Lenz, O., Zebger, I., Friedrich, B. and Armstrong, F.A. (2005) Electrocatalytic hydrogen oxidation by an enzyme at high carbon monoxide or oxygen levels. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102, 16951–16954

10 Ballantine, S.P. and Boxer, D.H. (1986) Isolation and characterisation of a soluble active fragment of hydrogenase isoenzyme 2 from the membranes of anaerobically grown *Escherichia coli*. Eur. J. Biochem. 156, 277–284

11 Sawers, R.G. and Boxer, D.H. (1986) Purification and properties of membrane-bound hydrogenase isoenzyme 1 from anaerobically grown *Escherichia coli* K12. Eur. J. Biochem. 156, 265–275

12 Jones, R.W. (1980) The role of the membrane-bound hydrogenase in the energy-conserving oxidation of molecular hydrogen by *Escherichia coli*. Biochem. J. 188, 345–350

13 Maier, R.J., Olczak, A., Maier, S., Soni, S. and Gunn, J. (2004) Respiratory hydrogen use by *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium is essential for virulence. Infect. Immun. 72, 6294–6299

14 Lenz, O., Ludwig, M., Schubert, T., Bürstel, I., Ganskow, S., Goris, T., Schwarze, A. and Friedrich, B. (2010) H2 Conversion in the Presence of O2 as Performed by the Membrane-Bound [NiFe]-Hydrogenase of *Ralstonia eutropha*. ChemPhysChem. 11, 1107–1119

15 Weissgerber, T., Zigann, R., Bruce, D., Chang, Y., Detter, J.C., Han, C., Hauser, L., Jeffries, C.D., Land, M., Munk, A.C., et al. (2011) Complete genome sequence of *Allochromatium vinosum* DSM 180T. Stand. Genomic Sci. 5, 311–330

16 Pandelia, M.-E., Lubitz, W. and Nitschke, W. (2012) Evolution and diversification of Group 1 [NiFe] hydrogenases. Is there a phylogenetic marker for O2-tolerance? Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA - Bioenerg. 1817, 1565–1575

17 United States. Congress. House. Committee on Science. Subcommittee on Energy (2006) Fueling the future: on the road to the hydrogen economy. Available from: http://purl.access.gpo.gov/GPO/LPS67640

18 Lamle, S.E., Vincent, K.A., Halliwell, L.M., Albracht, S.P.J. and Armstrong, F.A. (2003) Hydrogenase on an electrode: a remarkable heterogeneous catalyst. Dalton Trans. 4152–4157

19 Vincent, K.A., Parkin, A. and Armstrong, F.A. (2007) Investigating and Exploiting the Electrocatalytic Properties of Hydrogenases. Chem. Rev. 107, 4366–4413

20 Dubini, A., Pye, R.L., Jack, R.L., Palmer, T. and Sargent, F. (2002) How bacteria get energy from hydrogen: a genetic analysis of periplasmic hydrogen oxidation in *Escherichia coli.* Int. J. Hydrog. Energy. 27, 1413–1420

21 Hirst, J. (2006) Elucidating the mechanisms of coupled electron transfer and catalytic reactions by protein film voltammetry. Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA - Bioenerg. 1757, 225–239

22 Léger, C. and Bertrand, P. (2008) Direct Electrochemistry of Redox Enzymes as a Tool for Mechanistic Studies. Chem. Rev. 108, 2379–2438

23 Gitlitz, P.H. and Krasna, A.I. (1975) Structural and catalytic properties of hydrogenase from *Chromatium*. Biochemistry (Mosc.). 14, 2561–2568

24 Whiteley, H.R. and Ordal, E.J. (1955) The Reduction of Methylene Blue by Hydrogenase. J. Bacteriol. 70, 608–613

25 Vincent, K.A., Parkin, A., Lenz, O., Albracht, S.P.J., Fontecilla-Camps, J.C., Cammack, R., Friedrich, B. and Armstrong, F.A. (2005) Electrochemical Definitions of O2 Sensitivity and Oxidative Inactivation in Hydrogenases. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 18179–18189

26 Lukey, M.J., Parkin, A., Roessler, M.M., Murphy, B.J., Harmer, J., Palmer, T., Sargent, F. and Armstrong, F.A. (2010) How *Escherichia coli* is equipped to oxidize hydrogen under different redox conditions. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 3928–3938

27 Murphy, B.J., Sargent, F. and Armstrong, F.A. (2014) Transforming an oxygen-tolerant [NiFe] uptake hydrogenase into a proficient, reversible hydrogen producer. Energy Environ. Sci. 7, 1426

28 Pandelia, M.-E., Infossi, P., Stein, M., Giudici-Orticoni, M.-T. and Lubitz, W. (2011) Spectroscopic characterization of the key catalytic intermediate Ni–C in the O2-tolerant [NiFe] hydrogenase I from *Aquifex aeolicus*: evidence of a weakly bound hydride. Chem. Commun. 48, 823–825

29 Pandelia, M.-E., Fourmond, V., Tron-Infossi, P., Lojou, E., Bertrand, P., Léger, C., Giudici-Orticoni, M.-T. and Lubitz, W. (2010) Membrane-Bound Hydrogenase I from the Hyperthermophilic Bacterium *Aquifex aeolicus*: Enzyme Activation, Redox Intermediates and Oxygen Tolerance. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 6991–7004

30 Saggu, M., Zebger, I., Ludwig, M., Lenz, O., Friedrich, B., Hildebrandt, P. and Lendzian, F. (2009) Spectroscopic Insights into the Oxygen-tolerant Membrane-associated [NiFe] Hydrogenase of *Ralstonia eutropha* H16. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 16264–16276

31 Hidalgo, R., Ash, P.A., Healy, A.J. and Vincent, K.A. (2015) Infrared Spectroscopy During Electrocatalytic Turnover Reveals the Ni-L Active Site State During H2 Oxidation by a NiFe Hydrogenase. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 54, 7110–7113

32 Wisitruangsakul, N., Lenz, O., Ludwig, M., Friedrich, B., Lendzian, F., Hildebrandt, P. and Zebger, I. (2009) Monitoring Catalysis of the Membrane-Bound Hydrogenase from *Ralstonia eutropha* H16 by Surface-Enhanced IR Absorption Spectroscopy. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 48, 611–613

33 Ogata, H., Nishikawa, K. and Lubitz, W. (2015) Hydrogens detected by subatomic resolution protein crystallography in a [NiFe] hydrogenase. Nature. 520, 571–574

34 Tai, H., Nishikawa, K., Inoue, S., Higuchi, Y. and Hirota, S. (2015) FT-IR Characterization of the Light-Induced Ni-L2 and Ni-L3 States of [NiFe] Hydrogenase from *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Miyazaki F. J. Phys. Chem. B Article ASAP DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcb.5b03075

35 Kellers, P., Pandelia, M.-E., Currell, L.J., Görner, H. and Lubitz, W. (2009) FTIR study on the light sensitivity of the [NiFe] hydrogenase from *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Miyazaki F: Ni–C to Ni–L photoconversion, kinetics of proton rebinding and H/D isotope effect. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 11, 8680–8683

36 Medina, M., Williams, R., Cammack, R. and Hatchikian, E.C. (1994) Studies of light-induced nickel EPR signals in *Desulfovibrio gigas* hydrogenase. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 90, 2921–2924

37 Jones, A.K., Lamle, S.E., Pershad, H.R., Vincent, K.A., Albracht, S.P.J. and Armstrong, F.A. (2003) Enzyme Electrokinetics:  Electrochemical Studies of the Anaerobic Interconversions between Active and Inactive States of *Allochromatium vinosum* [NiFe]-hydrogenase. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125, 8505–8514

38 Hamdan, A.A., Liebgott, P.-P., Fourmond, V., Gutiérrez-Sanz, O., Lacey, A.L.D., Infossi, P., Rousset, M., Dementin, S. and Léger, C. (2012) Relation between anaerobic inactivation and oxygen tolerance in a large series of NiFe hydrogenase mutants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109, 19916–19921

39 Parkin, A. and Sargent, F. (2012) The hows and whys of aerobic H2 metabolism. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 16, 26–34

40 Cracknell, J.A., Wait, A.F., Lenz, O., Friedrich, B. and Armstrong, F.A. (2009) A kinetic and thermodynamic understanding of O2 tolerance in [NiFe]-hydrogenases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 106, 20681–20686

41 Carepo, M., Tierney, D.L., Brondino, C.D., Yang, T.C., Pamplona, A., Telser, J., Moura, I., Moura, J.J.G. and Hoffman, B.M. (2002) 17O ENDOR Detection of a Solvent-Derived Ni−(OHx)−Fe Bridge That Is Lost upon Activation of the Hydrogenase from *Desulfovibrio gigas*. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124, 281–286

42 van der Zwaan, J.W., Coremans, J.M., Bouwens, E.C. and Albracht, S.P. (1990) Effect of 17O2 and 13CO on EPR spectra of nickel in hydrogenase from *Chromatium vinosum*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 1041, 101–110

43 Abou Hamdan, A., Burlat, B., Gutiérrez-Sanz, O., Liebgott, P.-P., Baffert, C., De Lacey, A.L., Rousset, M., Guigliarelli, B., Léger, C. and Dementin, S. (2013) O2-independent formation of the inactive states of NiFe hydrogenase. Nat. Chem. Biol. 9, 15–17

44 Wulff, P., Day, C.C., Sargent, F. and Armstrong, F.A. (2014) How oxygen reacts with oxygen-tolerant respiratory [NiFe]-hydrogenases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 111, 6606–6611

45 Fourmond, V., Infossi, P., Giudici-Orticoni, M.-T., Bertrand, P. and Léger, C. (2010) “Two-Step” Chronoamperometric Method for Studying the Anaerobic Inactivation of an Oxygen Tolerant NiFe Hydrogenase. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 4848–4857

46 de Lacey, A.L., Hatchikian, E.C., Volbeda, A., Frey, M., Fontecilla-Camps, J.C. and Fernandez, V.M. (1997) Infrared-Spectroelectrochemical Characterization of the [NiFe] Hydrogenase of *Desulfovibrio gigas*. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119, 7181–7189

47 Chapman, A., Cammack, R., Hatchikian, C.E., McCracken, J. and Peisach, J. (1988) A pulsed EPR study of redox-dependent hyperfine interactions for the nickel centre of *Desulfovibrio gigas* hydrogenase. FEBS Lett. 242, 134–138

48 Volbeda, A., Martin, L., Cavazza, C., Matho, M., Faber, B.W., Roseboom, W., Albracht, S.P.J., Garcin, E., Rousset, M. and Fontecilla-Camps, J.C. (2005) Structural differences between the ready and unready oxidized states of [NiFe] hydrogenases. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 10, 239–249

49 Lamle, S.E., Albracht, S.P.J. and Armstrong, F.A. (2004) Electrochemical potential-step investigations of the aerobic interconversions of [NiFe]-hydrogenase from *Allochromatium vinosum*: insights into the puzzling difference between unready and ready oxidized inactive states. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 14899–14909

50 Volbeda, A., Garcin, E., Piras, C., de Lacey, A.L., Fernandez, V.M., Hatchikian, E.C., Frey, M. and Fontecilla-Camps, J.C. (1996) Structure of the [NiFe] Hydrogenase Active Site:  Evidence for Biologically Uncommon Fe Ligands. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118, 12989–12996

51 Volbeda, A., Charon, M.H., Piras, C., Hatchikian, E.C., Frey, M. and Fontecilla-Camps, J.C. (1995) Crystal structure of the nickel-iron hydrogenase from *Desulfovibrio gigas*. Nature. 373, 580–587

52 Ogata, H., Hirota, S., Nakahara, A., Komori, H., Shibata, N., Kato, T., Kano, K. and Higuchi, Y. (2005) Activation Process of [NiFe] Hydrogenase Elucidated by High-Resolution X-Ray Analyses: Conversion of the Ready to the Unready State. Structure. 13, 1635–1642

53 Volbeda, A., Martin, L., Barbier, E., Gutiérrez-Sanz, O., Lacey, A.L.D., Liebgott, P.-P., Dementin, S., Rousset, M. and Fontecilla-Camps, J.C. (2014) Crystallographic studies of [NiFe]-hydrogenase mutants: towards consensus structures for the elusive unready oxidized states. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 20, 11–22

54 Volbeda, A., Martin, L., Liebgott, P.-P., Lacey, A.L.D. and Fontecilla-Camps, J.C. (2015) [NiFe]-hydrogenases revisited: nickel–carboxamido bond formation in a variant with accrued O2-tolerance and a tentative re-interpretation of Ni-SI states. Metallomics. 7, 710–718

55 Barilone, J.L., Ogata, H., Lubitz, W. and Gastel, M. van. (2015) Structural differences between the active sites of the Ni-A and Ni-B states of the [NiFe] hydrogenase: an approach by quantum chemistry and single crystal ENDOR spectroscopy. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 17, 16204–16212

56 Lewenstam, A. and Gorton, L. (2015) Electrochemical Processes in Biological Systems. John Wiley & Sons; 2015.

57 Pinske, C., Krüger, S., Soboh, B., Ihling, C., Kuhns, M., Braussemann, M., Jaroschinsky, M., Sauer, C., Sargent, F., Sinz, A., et al. (2011) Efficient electron transfer from hydrogen to benzyl viologen by the [NiFe]-hydrogenases of *Escherichia coli* is dependent on the coexpression of the iron–sulfur cluster-containing small subunit. Arch. Microbiol. 193, 893–903

58 Shomura, Y., Yoon, K.-S., Nishihara, H. and Higuchi, Y. (2011) Structural basis for a [4Fe-3S] cluster in the oxygen-tolerant membrane-bound [NiFe]-hydrogenase. Nature. 479, 253–256

59 Fritsch, J., Scheerer, P., Frielingsdorf, S., Kroschinsky, S., Friedrich, B., Lenz, O. and Spahn, C.M.T. (2011) The crystal structure of an oxygen-tolerant hydrogenase uncovers a novel iron-sulphur centre. Nature. 479, 249–252

60 Frielingsdorf, S., Fritsch, J., Schmidt, A., Hammer, M., Löwenstein, J., Siebert, E., Pelmenschikov, V., Jaenicke, T., Kalms, J., Rippers, Y., et al. (2014) Reversible [4Fe-3S] cluster morphing in an O2-tolerant [NiFe] hydrogenase. Nat. Chem. Biol. 10, 378–385

61 Abou-Hamdan, A., Ceccaldi, P., Lebrette, H., Gutiérrez-Sanz, O., Richaud, P., Cournac, L., Guigliarelli, B., Lacey, A.L.D., Léger, C., Volbeda, A., et al. (2015) A Threonine Stabilizes the NiC and NiR Catalytic Intermediates of [NiFe]-hydrogenase. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 8550–8558

62 Ogata, H., Mizoguchi, Y., Mizuno, N., Miki, K., Adachi, S., Yasuoka, N., Yagi, T., Yamauchi, O., Hirota, S. and Higuchi, Y. (2002) Structural Studies of the Carbon Monoxide Complex of [NiFe]hydrogenase from *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Miyazaki F:  Suggestion for the Initial Activation Site for Dihydrogen. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124, 11628–11635

63 Higuchi, Y., Ogata, H., Miki, K., Yasuoka, N. and Yagi, T. (1999) Removal of the bridging ligand atom at the Ni-Fe active site of [NiFe] hydrogenase upon reduction with H2, as revealed by X-ray structure analysis at 1.4 A resolution. Struct. Lond. Engl. 1993. 7, 549–556

64 Higuchi, Y., Yagi, T. and Yasuoka, N. (1997) Unusual ligand structure in Ni–Fe active center and an additional Mg site in hydrogenase revealed by high resolution X-ray structure analysis. Structure. 5, 1671–1680

65 Volbeda, A., Amara, P., Iannello, M., Lacey, A.L.D., Cavazza, C. and Fontecilla-Camps, J.C. (2013) Structural foundations for the O2 resistance of *Desulfomicrobium baculatum* [NiFeSe]-hydrogenase. Chem. Commun. 49, 7061–7063

66 Garcin, E., Vernede, X., Hatchikian, E.C., Volbeda, A., Frey, M. and Fontecilla-Camps, J.C. (1999) The crystal structure of a reduced [NiFeSe] hydrogenase provides an image of the activated catalytic center. Struct. Lond. Engl. 1993. 7, 557–566

67 Ogata, H., Kellers, P. and Lubitz, W. (2010) The Crystal Structure of the [NiFe] Hydrogenase from the Photosynthetic Bacterium *Allochromatium vinosum*: Characterization of the Oxidized Enzyme (Ni-A State). J. Mol. Biol. 402, 428–444

68 Volbeda, A., Darnault, C., Parkin, A., Sargent, F., Armstrong, F.A. and Fontecilla-Camps, J.C. (2013) Crystal structure of the O2-tolerant membrane-bound hydrogenase 1 from *Escherichia coli* in complex with its cognate cytochrome b. Struct. Lond. Engl. 1993. 21, 184–190

69 Volbeda, A., Amara, P., Darnault, C., Mouesca, J.-M., Parkin, A., Roessler, M.M., Armstrong, F.A. and Fontecilla-Camps, J.C. (2012) X-ray crystallographic and computational studies of the O2-tolerant [NiFe]-hydrogenase 1 from *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109, 5305–5310

70 Bowman, L., Flanagan, L., Fyfe, P.K., Parkin, A., Hunter, W.N. and Sargent, F. (2014) How the structure of the large subunit controls function in an oxygen-tolerant [NiFe]-hydrogenase. Biochem. J. 458, 449–458

71 Berman, H.M., Westbrook, J., Feng, Z., Gilliland, G., Bhat, T.N., Weissig, H., Shindyalov, I.N. and Bourne, P.E. (2000) The Protein Data Bank. Nucleic Acids Res. 28, 235–242

72 Pandelia, M.-E., Nitschke, W., Infossi, P., Giudici-Orticoni, M.-T., Bill, E. and Lubitz, W. (2011) Characterization of a unique [FeS] cluster in the electron transfer chain of the oxygen tolerant [NiFe] hydrogenase from *Aquifex aeolicus*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108, 6097–6102

73 Goris, T., Wait, A.F., Saggu, M., Fritsch, J., Heidary, N., Stein, M., Zebger, I., Lendzian, F., Armstrong, F.A., Friedrich, B., et al. (2011) A unique iron-sulfur cluster is crucial for oxygen tolerance of a [NiFe]-hydrogenase. Nat. Chem. Biol. 7, 310–318

74 Roessler, M.M., Evans, R.M., Davies, R.A., Harmer, J. and Armstrong, F.A. (2012) EPR Spectroscopic Studies of the Fe–S Clusters in the O2-Tolerant [NiFe]-Hydrogenase Hyd-1 from *Escherichia coli* and Characterization of the Unique [4Fe–3S] Cluster by HYSCORE. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 15581–15594

75 Lukey, M.J., Roessler, M.M., Parkin, A., Evans, R.M., Davies, R.A., Lenz, O., Friedrich, B., Sargent, F. and Armstrong, F.A. (2011) Oxygen-tolerant [NiFe]-hydrogenases: the individual and collective importance of supernumerary cysteines at the proximal Fe-S cluster. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133, 16881–16892

76 Dance, I. (2015) What is the trigger mechanism for the reversal of electron flow in oxygen-tolerant [NiFe] hydrogenases? Chem. Sci. 6, 1433–1443

77 Hexter, S.V., Grey, F., Happe, T., Climent, V. and Armstrong, F.A. (2012) Electrocatalytic mechanism of reversible hydrogen cycling by enzymes and distinctions between the major classes of hydrogenases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109, 11516–11521

78 Evans, R.M., Parkin, A., Roessler, M.M., Murphy, B.J., Adamson, H., Lukey, M.J., Sargent, F., Volbeda, A., Fontecilla-Camps, J.C. and Armstrong, F.A. (2013) Principles of Sustained Enzymatic Hydrogen Oxidation in the Presence of Oxygen – The Crucial Influence of High Potential Fe–S Clusters in the Electron Relay of [NiFe]-Hydrogenases. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135, 2694–2707


79 Knuettel, K., Schneider, K., Erkens, A., Plass, W., Mueller, A., Bill, E. and Trautwein, A. (1994) Redox properties of the metal centers in the membrane-bound hydrogenase from *Alcaligenes eutrophus* CH34. Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Chem. 42, 495

80 Dementin, S., Belle, V., Bertrand, P., Guigliarelli, B., Adryanczyk-Perrier, G., De Lacey, A.L., Fernandez, V.M., Rousset, M. and Léger, C. (2006) Changing the ligation of the distal [4Fe4S] cluster in NiFe hydrogenase impairs inter- and intramolecular electron transfers. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128, 5209–5218

81 Frielingsdorf, S., Schubert, T., Pohlmann, A., Lenz, O. and Friedrich, B. (2011) A Trimeric Supercomplex of the Oxygen-Tolerant Membrane-Bound [NiFe]-Hydrogenase from *Ralstonia eutropha* H16. Biochem. 50, 10836–10843

82 Radu, V., Frielingsdorf, S., Evans, S.D., Lenz, O. and Jeuken, L.J.C. (2014) Enhanced Oxygen-Tolerance of the Full Heterotrimeric Membrane-Bound [NiFe]-Hydrogenase of *Ralstonia eutropha*. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 8512–8515

# Figures



**Figure 1. Cartoon depicting the contrast between (A) the non-renewable, current fossil fuel economy, and** **(B) a sustainable, future H2 fuel economy.**



**Figure 2. The orientation of a NiFe MBH within a bacterial cell. (A)** Cartoon depiction of how a NiFe MBH is located within the cytoplasmic membrane, with white boxes representing the redox active metal centres and blue, orange and purple blocks indicating the large, small and cytochrome subunits respectively. **(B)** Crystallographic insight into how the *E. coli* hydrogenase-1 large (blue ribbon), small (orange ribbon) and cytochrome (purple ribbon) subunits can interact. Figure generated from PDB 4GD3 [68].



**Figure 3. Diagram of the electrochemical cell** with detail of how the direction of electron flow in/out of the working electrode changes depending whether H2 production (H+ reduction, an electron uptake reaction) or H2 oxidation (an electron producing reaction) is catalysed by the hydrogenase adsorbed on the electrode surface.



**Figure 4. How cyclic voltammetry can be used to measure the bidirectional H2 catalytic activity of a hydrogenase under a H2-containing gas atmosphere. (A)** The potential-time linear sweep applied to the hydrogenase-coated working electrode, and **(B)** the resultant current-potential response. The enzyme used in this example is *E. coli* Hyd-2.



**Figure 5. Contrasting the electrochemical signature of an O2 tolerant NiFe MBH (black line, *E. coli* Hyd-1) with that of an O2 sensitive isozyme (grey line, *E. coli* Hyd-2) under (A) O2 inhibition conditions and (B) O2 free conditions. (A)** Constant potential experiments measuring the percentage of initial H2 oxidation activity which is sustained when the enzyme is exposed to O2, and also quantifying the reversibility of the inhibition. For Hyd-1 a voltage of +0.06 V *vs* SHE is used, for Hyd-2 -0.16 V *vs* SHE. **(B)** 5 mVs-1 cyclic voltammetry experiments highlighting the difference in catalytic activity of O2 tolerant and sensitive NiFe MBH under conditions of 3% H2. The dotted vertical bars mark the potential onset of H2 oxidation and the arrows indicate regions of Ni-B formation and reactivation. Other experimental conditions: pH 6, 37 °C, rotation rate 3000 rpm and total gas flow rate of 100 scc min-1 with N2 as carrier gas.



**Figure 6. Catalytic and inhibited active site redox states accessed by NiFe hydrogenases under O2-free conditions.** The solid arrows indicate the reaction mechanism for O2 sensitive NiFe hydrogenases. The dashed arrows indicate how the Ni-L state may participate as a reaction intermediate in the catalytic cycle of O2 tolerant MBH.



**Figure 7. Reaction of an O2 tolerant NiFe MBH with O2 to generate the Ni-B state.**



**Figure 8. Different postulated structures for the Ni-A inhibited active site state. (i)** Bridging peroxo structure [48]; **(ii)** stereoisomer of the Ni-B, hydroxide bridged, active site [41]; **(iii)** bridging oxo structure [41]; **(iv)** Ni and S bridging oxo species [53]; **(v)** S-OH and bridging hydroxide form [54] **(vi)** disulphide bond containing hydroxide-bridging structure [54].

****


**Figure 9. Graphs relating number of NiFe hydrogenase PDB structures to (A) publication year** and **(B) bacterial species.** Abbreviations: *Dg*, *Desulfovibrio gigas*; *Dv*, *Desulfovibrio vulgaris*; *Av*, *Allochromatium vinosum*; *Re*, *R. eutropha*; *Se*, *S. enterica*; *Df*, *Desulfovibrio fructosovorans*; *Db*, *Desulfomicrobium baculatum*; *Hm*, *Hydrogenovibrio marinus*; *Ec*, *E. coli*. Generated from data in the Protein Data Bank [71].



**Figure 10. (A & B) The minimal functional unit of a NiFe hydrogenase** (PDB 3RGW [60])comprising a NiFe active site coordinated by the large subunit (blue ribbon) and three FeS clusters coordinated by the small subunit (orange ribbon).



**Figure 11. Sequence alignment highlighting how O2 tolerant and O2 sensitive NiFe hydrogenases differ in the small and large subunit amino acids located near to the proximal cluster.** *Ec* (*E. coli*)Hyd-1 numbering is used, this is the same as *Se* Hyd-1/Hyd-5. Other abbreviations are: *Se*, *S. enterica*; *Re*, *R. eutropha*; *Aa*, *Aquifex aeolicus*; *Av*, *Allochromatium vinosum*; *Dg*, *Desulfovibrio gigas*; *Dv*, *Desulfovibrio vulgaris*; *Db*, *Desulfomicrobium baculatum.*



**Figure 12. Structural insight into the oxidative “opening” of the proximal cluster** obtained from *R. eutropha* NiFe MBH crystallography studies (PDB reduced= 3RGWand oxidised=4IUB)[60]. The cluster “opening” is ascribed to the breaking of the bond between S3 and Fe4, indicated by the dashed line and outward arrows in (A), and formation of a bond between Fe4 and the backbone N of cysteine 20, indicated by the arrow pointing from N:Cys20 to Fe4 in (A). Colours: orange= iron, ochre= sulphur, yellow= carbon, blue= nitrogen, red= oxygen. *E. coli* hydrogenase-1 / *S. enterica* Hyd-5 residue numbering is used.



**Figure 13. Electrochemical characterisation of the catalytic (top) and O2 inhibition properties (bottom) of different proximal cluster variants (left to right) of *S. enterica* Hyd-5.** The 5 mV s-1 cyclic voltammetry H2 catalysis experiments were measured under a gas atmosphere of 10% H2 while the chronoamperometry O2 inhibition experiments were conducted at a potential of +0.06 V *vs* SHE with changing gas atmosphere as indicated. Other experimental conditions: pH 6, 37 °C, rotation rate 4000 rpm and total gas flow rate of 100 scc min-1 with N2 as carrier gas.

# Abbreviations

*E. coli Escherichia coli*

EPR Electron paramagnetic resonance

FTIR Fourier transformed infrared

Hyd-1 Hydrogenase-1

Hyd-2 Hydrogenase-2

Hyd-5 Hydrogenase-5

MBH Membrane bound hydrogenase(s)

*R. eutropha Ralstonia eutropha*

*S. enterica Salmonella enterica*