



OPEN ACCESS

## EXTENDED REPORT

## T cell subsets: an immunological biomarker to predict progression to clinical arthritis in ACPA-positive individuals

L Hunt,<sup>1</sup> E M Hensor,<sup>1,2</sup> J Nam,<sup>1</sup> A N Burska,<sup>1</sup> R Parmar,<sup>1</sup> P Emery,<sup>1,2</sup> F Ponchel<sup>1,2</sup>**Handling editor** Tore K Kvien

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2015-207991>).

<sup>1</sup>Leeds Institute of Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine, University of Leeds, Chapel Allerton Hospital, Leeds, UK  
<sup>2</sup>NIHR Leeds Musculoskeletal Biomedical Research Unit, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK

**Correspondence to**

Professor Paul Emery, Leeds Institute of Rheumatic & Musculoskeletal Medicine, University of Leeds, Chapel Allerton Hospital, Chapeltown Road, Leeds LS7 4SA, UK; [p.emery@leeds.ac.uk](mailto:p.emery@leeds.ac.uk)

Received 27 May 2015

Revised 19 October 2015

Accepted 6 November 2015

Published Online First

1 December 2015

**ABSTRACT**

**Objectives** Anticitrullinated protein antibody (ACPA)+ individuals with non-specific musculoskeletal symptoms are at risk of inflammatory arthritis (IA). This study aims to demonstrate the predictive value of T cell subset quantification for progression towards IA and compare it with previously identified clinical predictors of progression.

**Methods** 103 ACPA+ individuals without clinical synovitis were observed 3-monthly for 12 months and then as clinically indicated. The end point was the development of IA. Naïve, regulatory T cells (Treg) and inflammation related cells (IRCs) were quantified by flow cytometry. Areas under the ROC curve (AUC) were calculated. Adjusted logistic regressions and Cox proportional hazards models for time to progression to IA were constructed.

**Results** Compared with healthy controls (age adjusted where appropriate), ACPA+ individuals demonstrated reduced naïve (22.1% of subjects) and Treg (35.8%) frequencies and elevated IRC (29.5%). Of the 103 subjects, 48(46.6%) progressed. Individually, T cell subsets were weakly predictive (AUC between 0.63 and 0.66), although the presence of 2 T cell abnormalities had high specificity. Three models were compared: model-1 used T cell subsets only, model-2 used previously published clinical parameters, model-3 combined clinical data and T cell data. Model-3 performed the best (AUC 0.79 (95% CI 0.70 to 0.89)) compared with model-1 (0.75 (0.65 to 0.86)) and particularly with model-2 (0.62 (0.54 to 0.76)) demonstrating the added value of T cell subsets. Time to progression differed significantly between high-risk, moderate-risk and low-risk groups from model-3 ( $p=0.001$ , median 15.4 months, 25.8 months and 63.4 months, respectively).

**Conclusions** T cell subset dysregulation in ACPA+ individuals predates the onset of IA, predicts the risk and faster progression to IA, with added value over previously published clinical predictors of progression.

**INTRODUCTION**

Over recent years our understanding of the immune pathways and interactions involved in the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) has evolved substantially. This has had a notable impact on drug development targeting specific pathways. Early RA clinical trials have aided the translation of findings and resulted in a vast body of evidence supporting early diagnosis and immediate treatment to improve outcomes of patients with RA.<sup>1–4</sup>

However despite early intervention at RA diagnosis, a proportion of individuals fails conventional therapy and continues with immune dysregulation and active inflammation.<sup>5–7</sup> This has led investigators to focus on identifying disease at its earliest stage.<sup>8</sup> By identifying individuals at a higher risk of future RA, it is hoped that outcomes can be improved.

Several groups including our own have reported on cohorts at high risk to RA.<sup>9–15</sup> The most notable of these are individuals with RA-associated anticitrullinated protein antibody (ACPA) autoantibodies and musculoskeletal pain. However, autoantibodies alone are not sufficient to predict progression to inflammatory arthritis (IA) with only 50% overall progression over 4 years.<sup>14</sup> In recent years there has been increased interest in the identification of biomarkers that assist the prediction of disease onset in such cohorts.<sup>16–26</sup> The ability to risk stratify individuals is an attractive option particularly in light of current strategies concerning personalised medicine. By identifying those at greatest risk, the use of immunomodulating therapies could be targeted to prevent progression to disease.

In RA, T cell subset quantification provides an insight into the immune status of the patient.<sup>27</sup> Although regulatory T cells (Treg) have been the focus of many studies including our own, we have demonstrated that CD4+ T cells are an important T cell biomarker.<sup>7 28–32</sup> Inflammation causes the cells to differentiate into other subsets driven by proinflammatory cytokines such as interleukin (IL) 6 and tumour necrosis factor (TNF) with the appearance of a novel T cell subset called inflammation related cells (IRCs).<sup>29</sup> To date, we have demonstrated the role of T cell subset analysis in predicting relapse in DMARD-induced remission,<sup>7</sup> the safe discontinuation of TNF blockers<sup>31</sup> and, more recently, methotrexate-induced remission in early RA.<sup>32</sup>

We hypothesised that in ACPA+ individuals with non-specific symptoms, those with the greatest T cell subset dysregulation (as determined using naïve CD4+ T cells, IRC and Treg quantification) would have a greater propensity for progression to arthritis. The aim of this study was to report on the extent of T cell subset dysregulation in ACPA+ individuals and to determine the potential of T cell subset analysis as a biomarker of future progression to clinical arthritis. The confounding effect of clinical parameters previously shown to be predictive in a clinical model<sup>14</sup> was also investigated.



CrossMark

**To cite:** Hunt L, Hensor EM, Nam J, et al. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016;**75**:1884–1889.

## METHODS

### Patients

As previously described,<sup>14</sup> individuals with ACPA+ and non-specific musculoskeletal symptoms were identified from regional primary care services and early arthritis clinics. The primary care component was adopted by the UK Primary Care Clinical Research Network (Primary Care Research Network, <https://www.crn.nihr.ac.uk/>). Individuals 18 years old or over with a new musculoskeletal joint symptom presenting to their primary care physician or health professional were eligible. The following exclusion criteria were applied: fulfilling the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) 2010 classification criteria for RA, history of IA diagnosed by a rheumatologist; presence at baseline of clinically detected IA confirmed by a rheumatologist; and use of disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs). ACPA status was determined using the commercially available anti-CCP2 (ImmunoCAP method; Phadia, Sweden, Germany). Eligible participants were recruited to a single centre research clinic as part of a prospective observation cohort. The clinical end point was the development of IA on clinical examination. All participants provided informed consent for the study prior to recruitment. One hundred and six healthy controls provided informed consent for a blood sample draw.

### Clinical assessments

At baseline, demographic details were collected and participants completed patient questionnaires, provided a clinical history of symptoms and had a systems examination by a rheumatologist including a joint count. Individuals attended 3-monthly visits for the 1st year and as clinically indicated thereafter for up to 6.5 years. Participants were able to attend in between visits should they develop any new symptoms. Smoking status was recorded including number of cigarettes smoked and duration. HLA-DRB1 shared epitope (SE) status (low-resolution) was considered positive with the presence of one or two copies of the following alleles: HLA-DRB1\*01, DRB1\*04 and DRB1\*10 in the HLA-DRB1 locus.<sup>33 34</sup>

### T cell subset analysis

Peripheral blood was collected into EDTA. Flow cytometry was performed as previously described.<sup>32</sup> Briefly, naïve and IRC CD4+ T cell subsets were identified based on their expression of CD45RB-FITC (clone MEM-55, Serotec, Oxford, UK), CD45RA-PE (clone F8-11-13, Serotec), and CD62L-APC (clone 145/15 Coulter, High Wycombe, UK). Treg were quantified by cell surface staining for CD4-Pacific blue (clone RPA-T4, Bechton Dickson (BD), Oxford, UK), CD25-APC (clone 2A3, BD) and CD127-PE (R34.34, Beckman coulter), followed by intracellular staining for FOXP3-FITC (clone PCH101 eBioscience, San Diego, California, USA) using the antihuman Foxp3 staining kit (Insight Biotechnology, Wembley, UK). Flow cytometry analysis was performed on a LSR II cytometer (BD), using BD Biosciences FACSDIVA software. Subset frequencies were reported as percentage of gated CD3+/CD4+ T cells.

### Statistical analysis

Reference limits for each T cell subset (lower 95% limit of normal for naïve and Treg, upper 95% limit of normal for IRC) were obtained using data from 106 healthy controls (see online supplementary material). We categorised T cell subset values of ACPA+ patients as normal or abnormal according to the lower or upper reference limits, one-sample binomial tests were used

to assess whether the proportion of participants with abnormal values differed from the expected 5%. Pearson's  $\chi^2$  tests were used to identify associations between SE and T cell subset abnormalities.

### Unadjusted associations between T cell subset frequencies and progression to IA

Non-parametrical area under the ROC (AUROC) curve was calculated for each subset. Additionally, sensitivity and specificity of each subset for predicting progression to IA at any time during follow-up were calculated, with 95% CIs estimated by the Wilson method.

### Adjusted associations between T cell subset frequencies and progression to IA

Binary logistic regression models of the occurrence of progression to IA, and Cox proportional hazards models of time to progression were constructed to adjust for the following variables: age; SE status (negative/positive); smoking (never/ever); ACPA titre. Clinical variables from a previously published model were also included: physician assessed small joint tenderness (absent/present) and duration of early morning stiffness (EMS) (<30 min/ $\geq$ 30 min). Further details on methodology are provided in online supplementary material. Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) values were used to compare the different models (lower AIC indicates a better quality model). The predicted probability of progression obtained from the final logistic regression model (model-3) was calculated for patients with full data; patients were then categorised as being at low (<20%), moderate (20–80%) or high (>80%) risk. Kaplan-Meier plots and log-rank tests were then produced for time to progression, using these risk groups.

Analyses were performed using STATA V.13.1.

## RESULTS

### Reference limit

Samples from 106 healthy controls (HC) enabled the development of reference range for each T cell subset (see online supplementary material). Naïve cells frequency was lower in older HC<sup>29</sup> but did not differ by gender (see online supplementary material figure S1 and table S1). IRCs were not related to demographic parameters (see online supplementary figure S2). A clear positive association between Treg frequency and age (see online supplementary figure S3 and table S3) and no difference by gender is reported here as recently described.<sup>35</sup>


### Progression to inflammatory arthritis

Of the participants 48/103 (46.6%) developed synovitis during follow-up, with the majority of individuals, 30/48 (62.5%) progressing within 12 months. Baseline clinical and demographic characteristics are presented in table 1.

### T cell subset and progression

No abnormal lymphocyte counts were observed in these participants and their CD4+T cells were not different between progressors and non-progressors (data not shown,  $p=0.794$ ). Frequencies observed for all three subsets are presented in figure 1.

The values observed in ACPA+ individuals were then dichotomised as within or below normal range (defined by the lower limit of normal (LLN) as indicated with the line on figure 1), for naïve T cell and Treg and within or above normal range for IRC (defined by the upper limit of normal (ULN)). In subjects with full T cell data available for all three subsets ( $n=95/103$ ), a



**Figure 1** Graphical representation of the T cell abnormalities. T cell subsets were quantified and data presented in relation to the age of the participants. Dotted lines represent the lower limit of normal (LLN) for naive cells and regulatory T cells (Treg) and the upper limit of normal (ULN) for inflammation related cells (IRC).

significantly larger proportion of ACPA+ participants had abnormal values (table 2,  $p < 0.001$  for all three subsets). A third of ACPA+ individuals had no T cell abnormalities (37.9%), over a third (40.0%) had either one of the three abnormalities and the remaining subjects had two (18.9%) or three (3.2%) abnormalities.

We observed these patients for up to 5 years before progression to synovitis and obtained a repeat blood sample at an annual visit for 55 of them. Of 26 progressors, 18 participants changed dichotomisation group from normal to below-LLN for naïve or Treg or increase in IRC to above-ULN. Of the 29 non-progressors, only 3 showed changes in dichotomisation.

Using categorised subset values, AUROC analysis confirmed that T cell subsets were predictive individually (table 2). AUROC comprised between 0.63 and 0.66 ( $p < 0.03$ ) with the best individual predictor being Treg, then naïve cells and last IRCs (table 2). Individually, naïve, IRC and Treg subsets also demonstrated high specificities (table 2, 71.7–83.0%) for prediction of progression to IA but had relatively low sensitivities (28.6–45.2%). Consistent with the area under the curve (AUC) analysis, CIs for the unadjusted ORs for all three subsets indicated that, with no adjustment other than age in the case of naïve cells and Treg, each subset was associated with progression to IA (table 2). Higher naïve cell and Treg frequencies were

protective, as would be expected, while higher IRC frequencies were associated with increased odds of progression.

### T cell model of progression to IA

Our results suggest associations between T cell subsets and progression; however they could have been confounded by genetic and environmental factors such as SE and smoking.<sup>36–38</sup> Furthermore, clinical parameters routinely collected in ACPA+ individual were previously used to build a prediction model<sup>14</sup> and it was important to assess the added value to T cell subset quantification over this initial clinical model. We used regression and compared the performance of three models: a T cell only model (model-1), a clinical only model (model-2) and a combined model (model-3). Details are provided in online supplementary material table S3.

AUROC curves were constructed for all three models (figure 2). Although the AUROC curve for the combined model (model-3 AUC 0.79) was better than for the T cell only model (model-1 AUC 0.75), the AIC values suggested that the

| Characteristic                                | Result                |
|---|-----------------------|
| Progressed (ever): % (n)                      | 46.6% (48)            |
| Duration of follow-up (months) median (range) | 18.4 (0.1 to 79.6)    |
| Age (years) mean (SD; range)                  | 52.6 (11.7; 27 to 79) |
| Female: % (n)                                 | 71.8% (74)            |
| SE positive % (n)*                            | 73.5% (72)            |
| High positive ACPA and/or RF†: % (n)          | 85.4% (88)            |
| Smoker: % (n)                                 |                       |
| Non-smoker                                    | 30.1 (31)             |
| Ex-smoker                                     | 41.7 (43)             |
| Current smoker                                | 28.2 (29)             |
| EMS $\geq$ 30 mins: % (n)                     | 34.0% (35)            |
| Small joint symptoms: % (n)                   | 43.7% (45)            |

\*Available in 98/103 patients.

†Determined as  $>3 \times$  upper limit of normal.


ACPA, anticitrullinated protein antibody; EMS, early morning stiffness; RF, rheumatoid factor; SE, shared epitope.

**Table 2** Unadjusted T cell analysis of progression to IA

|  | Reduced naïve cell frequency     | Elevated IRC frequency | Reduced Treg frequency          |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Observed proportion of patients (observed/n) | 22.5%<br>23/102                  | 30.3%<br>30/99         | 35.4%<br>35/99                  |
| Calculated proportion*                       | 22.1%                            | 29.5%                  | 35.8%                           |
| 95% CI                                       | 14.2% to 31.8                    | 20.6% to 39.7          | 26.2% to 46.3                   |
| Standardised binomial test z                 | 7.4                              | 10.7                   | 13.5                            |
| p Value                                      | <0.001                           | <0.001                 | <0.001                          |
| AUROC*                                       | 0.63                             | 0.63                   | 0.66                            |
| 95% CI                                       | 0.52 to 0.74                     | 0.52 to 0.74           | 0.55 to 0.77                    |
| p Value                                      | 0.029                            | 0.032                  | 0.008                           |
| Sensitivity*                                 | 28.6 %                           | 35.7 %                 | 45.2 %                          |
| 95% CI                                       | 17.2 to 43.6                     | 23.0 to 50.8           | 31.2 to 60.1                    |
| Specificity*                                 | 83 %                             | 75.5 %                 | 71.7 %                          |
| 95% CI                                       | 70.8 to 90.8                     | 62.4 to 85.1           | 58.4 to 82.0                    |
|  | <b>Naïve (per %)<sup>†</sup></b> | <b>IRC (per %)</b>     | <b>Treg (per %)<sup>†</sup></b> |
| Unadjusted OR*                               | 0.94                             | 1.15                   | 0.70                            |
| 95% CI                                       | 0.90 to 0.98                     | 1.00 to 1.32           | 0.56 to 0.89                    |

\*In patients with data for all three T cell subsets n=95.


†Adjusted for age. AUROC, area under the ROC curve; IA, inflammatory arthritis; IRC, inflammation related cell; Treg, regulatory T cells.



**Figure 2** ROC graphical representation of the three logistic regression models. Binary logistic regression models of the occurrence of progression to inflammatory arthritis (IA) using model-1 T cell subset only (orange line), model-2 clinical parameters (red line) and the combined Model-3 (green line) were constructed. The area under the ROC for the predicted probability of progression from model-1 was 0.75 (95% CI 0.65 to 0.85), which represents an improvement over model-2 (0.62 (0.54 to 0.76)). Model-3 showed the best results with an area under the ROC at 0.79 (0.79 to 0.89).

combined model-3 did not represent a major improvement over the T cell only model-1 (see online supplementary table S3, 116.3 vs 115.7), but it did offer added predictive value over the clinical model-2 (AIC 116.3 vs 125.0). Both of these adjusted models improved on the values achieved by looking at each subset individually (table 2, AUCs comprised between 0.63 and 0.662).

Applying model-3, we then stratified patients into three groups according to their predicted risk of progression: low (0–19%, n=20), moderate (20–79%, n=56) or high (80–100%, n=12) to examine its potential clinical utility. The majority of individuals in the high-risk group progressed (64%, 9/12)



**Figure 3** Kaplan-Meier graph of cumulative inflammatory arthritis (IA)-free survival according to predicted probability of progression in the three models. Anticitrullinated protein antibody (ACPA)+ subjects were stratified into three groups according to their predicted risk of progression: low (green line, 0–19%), moderate (orange line, 20–79%), high (red line, 80–100%) calculated for all three models. Kaplan-Meier plots for time to progression were built according to the predicted risk categories from logistic regression model-1 and model-3. Time to progression differed significantly according to risk of progression, in model-1 ( $\chi^2=6.04$ ,  $p=0.049$ ) and model-3 ( $\chi^2=13.43$ ,  $p=0.001$ ), although there was little difference between the curves for patients in the moderate-risk or high-risk groups in both models. For model-2, the median time to progression in the moderate-risk group is 34.1 months with an overall significant difference between the two risk groups ( $\chi^2=4.60$ ,  $p=0.032$ ).

compared with those in the low-risk (5%, 1/20) and moderate-risk (57%) groups.

### Time to progression to IA

Using the three logistic regression models, Cox regression was constructed to investigate time to progression as it has more relevance clinically (see online supplementary table S4). The trends identified were similar. All three T cell subsets showed signs of association with the odds of progression in model-1 and model-3 (see online supplementary table S4, HR), however, IRCs were the most significant in this analysis. Using Harrell's C as an indication of performance of the Cox regression, the combined model-3 allowed 69% of randomly chosen pairs of progression times to be correctly ordered compared with 65% for the T cell only model-1 and 60% in the clinical only model-2 (see online supplementary table S4).

Figure 3 presents Kaplan-Meier plots for time to progression according to the predicted risk categories from logistic regression for the three models. Time to progression differed significantly according to risk groups in model-1 ( $\chi^2=6.04$ ,  $p=0.049$ ) and model-3 ( $\chi^2=13.43$ ,  $p=0.001$ ), although there seemed to be little difference between the curves for patients at moderate risk or high risk of progression. For model-2, none of the patients at low risk progressed so we could not compute time to progression for that group. The median time to progression in the moderate-risk group was 34.1 months with an overall significant difference between the groups ( $\chi^2=4.60$ ,  $p=0.032$ ). In model-3, those within the high-risk group progressed to IA much more rapidly (median 15.4 months, (95% CI 14.3 to 40.8)) compared with those in the moderate-risk group (35.1 months (25.8–44.4)) and the low-risk group (63.4 months (57.9–69.3)).

### DISCUSSION

This is the first analysis exploring T cell subset quantification within a prospectively followed 'at-risk' population. We demonstrated that T cell subsets dysregulation predates the onset of IA in ACPA+ individuals. Compared with healthy controls, two-thirds of the ACPA+ participants demonstrated T cell abnormalities in one or more of the three subsets analysed, these subsets being individually predictive (table 2). Furthermore, the potential use of these biomarkers within an

exploratory prediction model is presented. Adjusted analysis demonstrated the added value of combining T cell subsets and clinical data (figure 2 and see online supplementary table S3) and the time to progression was significantly reduced with increasing risk of progression (figure 3, see online supplementary table S4).

Individuals with systemic autoimmunity and musculoskeletal (MSK) symptoms (+/-arthralgia) but no clinical synovitis (groups c+d using EULAR terminology<sup>8</sup>) have been studied prospectively in several research centres.<sup>10 14</sup> Although the suggested terminology has assisted in classifying individuals there remains great heterogeneity considering the possible genetic predisposition, environmental factors, symptomology, and ultimately progression or not towards arthritis reported in these studies. This limits the transferability of findings between studied populations, however, the information gained offers a unique opportunity to understand possible 'at-risk' states and triggers. Although 53.4% (55/103) of our subjects have yet to develop IA, some progressed rapidly—these individuals should benefit from early targeted therapies. Identifying these individuals is therefore a clinical priority. One group of interest would be those with two T cell abnormalities and a high specificity (but low sensitivity) for progression. However it may be equally important to be able to identify and reassure those who are at little risk.

The positive and negative predictive values of the combined model-3 were 60% (41/68) and 95% (19/20), respectively. While a positive predictive value (PPV) of 60% is lower than clinically desired, it is an improvement on the T cell model-1 and clinical model-2 (54% and 50%, respectively). Furthermore the negative predictive value (NPV) of 95% of the combined model-3 suggests that it is possible to predict quite accurately which participants are unlikely to progress and who may therefore be safely discharged. Compared with the clinical model-2 which is best at predicting non-progression (NPV 100%) this suggests that adding T cell data to clinical data clearly improved the ability to determine progressors. Reviewing data from annual visits further strengthened the concept of T cell abnormalities developing over time, and given that progression may happen up to 5 years later it may not be that unexpected that PPV is 60% at baseline. This supports the need for annual review in the moderate-risk (and high-risk) group with re-evaluation of the risk score and tailoring of clinical care.

Several other biomarkers of progression have been considered including imaging modalities, possible pathogens and several immune-mediated markers.<sup>16 19 25 26 39-41</sup> Although a specific cytokine profile could not be associated with progression,<sup>41</sup> the hypothesis of a mounting immune response prior to IA development has been supported by reports of a broadening of the repertoire of citrullinated peptides associated with imminent progression to IA<sup>19</sup> as well as a possible IFN-type-1 gene expression signature.<sup>26</sup> There are limited studies investigating T cell subsets within an 'at-risk' population. We have demonstrated that all three subsets are individually associated with progression. Although all three subsets are part of the CD4+ T cell pool, they are generated through different mechanisms and their individual frequencies are not related to one another. The development of IRCs is the result of inflammatory processes whereas naïve cells are generated in the thymus and released to circulate in the blood. Naturally occurring Treg also develop in the thymus, however, their frequency in the blood is not directly related to thymic release.<sup>42</sup> Therefore the individual status of all three subsets reflects the immunological state of an individual at a particular point in time (ie, a biomarker). A small cross-sectional study of 26 seropositive patients with arthralgia

reported on peripheral naïve T cells (CD3+CD4+CD45RO-CCR7+),<sup>43</sup> however, no difference between health, RA and arthralgia was reported in this study. Naïve CD4+ T cells are conventionally identified using markers such as CD45RA+, CD62L+ and CD44+, but less often using an exclusion marker (CD45RO-) and an activation marker (CD197/CCR7). It is therefore difficult to compare these data with our findings; also, given the use of a mixed cohort of ACPA+ and/or IgM-FR+ patients with arthralgia, several studies having showed clear reduction of naïve cells and Treg in early RA.<sup>28 30-32</sup> Loss of immune regulation may be an important immunological event in the progression of a disease. However, at this stage, we cannot establish that one of the abnormalities is 'more important' than another. Our data suggest that all three are contributing to the risk, as the modelling demonstrates independent predictive value, each possibly reflecting a different aspect of RA pathogenesis.

To date, flow cytometry in patients with RA has been predominantly performed in a research setting, however we have established a pathway within our local National Health Service (NHS) services to make the test routinely available and the data presented were mostly generated using this facility. It is hoped that this approach will offer robust sample processing and capacity to allow for validation of these results. To be of significant clinical utility, it will be necessary to identify patients at high risk of progression over a known (and ideally short) period of time. Replication of the current findings will enable this to occur.

Our current model produces a risk prediction per % of naïve cell, Treg or IRC lost or gained, therefore relating the strength of the prediction to the levels of numerical T cell abnormalities. However, defining a threshold level of abnormality would be more practical for a risk score. The current and previously published clinical models<sup>14</sup> were pilot analyses providing preliminary biomarkers. In this study, clinical predictors performed either as well (strong positive ACPA), worse (EMS) or better (small joint involvement) than previously, with the overall result that the clinical model-2 is performing slightly less well. This is not unexpected as predictive models are known to suffer from coefficient shrinkage.<sup>44</sup> Nevertheless, the added value of combining T cell data with clinical parameters is clearly demonstrated. Our plan is to finalise the combined models in a larger group of participants, developing rules for clinical application and including a threshold for T cell abnormalities.

**Contributors** LH, contributed to clinical data acquisition, analysis of data, writing of the manuscript. EMH, provided statistical analysis. JN, designed the clinical study, contributed to patients' data acquisition. ANB, contributed to laboratory data acquisition, review of the manuscript. RP, contributed to laboratory data acquisition. PE designed the clinical study, and wrote and reviewed the manuscript. FP, designed the laboratory data study, analysed the data, and wrote and reviewed the manuscript.

**Funding** This study presents independent research supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). This work has been partly supported by a European Union funded FP7-integrated project Masterswitch No. 223404 and the IMI funded project BeTheCure No 115142-2.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent** Obtained.

**Ethics approval** West Yorkshire LHHT.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Open Access** This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

## REFERENCES

- 1 van der Linden MP, le Cessie S, Raza K, *et al.* Long-term impact of delay in assessment of patients with early arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2010;62:3537–46.
- 2 Nell VP, Machold KP, Eberl G, *et al.* Benefit of very early referral and very early therapy with disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology* 2004;43:906–14.
- 3 Anderson JJ, Wells G, Verhoeven AC, *et al.* Factors predicting response to treatment in rheumatoid arthritis: the importance of disease duration. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:22–9.
- 4 Smolen JS, Aletaha D, Bijlsma JW, *et al.* Treating rheumatoid arthritis to target: recommendations of an international task force. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010;69:631–7.
- 5 Brown AK, Quinn MA, Karim Z, *et al.* Presence of significant synovitis in rheumatoid arthritis patients with disease-modifying antirheumatic drug-induced clinical remission: evidence from an imaging study may explain structural progression. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;54:3761–73.
- 6 Anandarajah A, Thiele R, Giampoli E, *et al.* Patients with rheumatoid arthritis in clinical remission manifest persistent joint inflammation on histology and imaging studies. *J Rheumatol* 2014;41:2153–60.
- 7 Burgoyne CH, Field SL, Brown AK, *et al.* Abnormal T cell differentiation persists in patients with rheumatoid arthritis in clinical remission and predicts relapse. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2008;67:750–7.
- 8 Gerlag DM, Raza K, van Baarsen LG, *et al.* EULAR recommendations for terminology and research in individuals at risk of rheumatoid arthritis: report from the Study Group for Risk Factors for Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2012;71:638–41.
- 9 Silman AJ, Hennessy E, Ollier B. Incidence of rheumatoid arthritis in a genetically predisposed population. *Br J Rheumatol* 1992;31:365–8.
- 10 Bos WH, Wolbink GJ, Boers M, *et al.* Arthritis development in patients with arthralgia is strongly associated with anti-citrullinated protein antibody status: a prospective cohort study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010;69:490–4.
- 11 El-Gabalawy HS, Robinson DB, Hart D, *et al.* Immunogenetic risks of anti-cyclical citrullinated peptide antibodies in a North American Native population with rheumatoid arthritis and their first-degree relatives. *J Rheumatol* 2009;36:1130–5.
- 12 Peschken CA, Hitchon CA, Robinson DB, *et al.* Rheumatoid arthritis in a north american native population: longitudinal followup and comparison with a white population. *J Rheumatol* 2010;37:1589–95.
- 13 Young KA, Deane KD, Derber LA, *et al.* Relatives without rheumatoid arthritis show reactivity to anti-citrullinated protein/peptide antibodies that are associated with arthritis-related traits: studies of the etiology of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2013;65:1995–2004.
- 14 Rakieh C, Nam JL, Hunt L, *et al.* Predicting the development of clinical arthritis in anti-CCP positive individuals with non-specific musculoskeletal symptoms: a prospective observational cohort study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:1659–66.
- 15 van de Stadt LA, Witte BI, Bos WH, *et al.* A prediction rule for the development of arthritis in seropositive arthralgia patients. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013;72:1920–6.
- 16 Shi J, van de Stadt LA, Levarht EW, *et al.* Anti-carbamylated protein antibodies are present in arthralgia patients and predict the development of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2013;65:911–15.
- 17 Brink M, Hansson M, Mathsson L, *et al.* Multiplex analyses of antibodies against citrullinated peptides in individuals prior to development of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2013;65:899–910.
- 18 Nielsen MMJ, Van Schaardenburg D, Reesink HW, *et al.* Specific autoantibodies precede the symptoms of rheumatoid arthritis: a study of serial measurements in blood donors. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50:380–6.
- 19 Van De Stadt LA, Van Der Horst AR, De Koning MHMT, *et al.* The extent of the anti-citrullinated protein antibody repertoire is associated with arthritis development in patients with seropositive arthralgia. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011;70:128–33.
- 20 Jorgensen KT, Wiik A, Pedersen M, *et al.* Cytokines, autoantibodies and viral antibodies in pre-morbid and post-diagnostic sera from patients with rheumatoid arthritis: case-control study nested in a cohort of Norwegian blood donors. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2008;67:860–6.
- 21 van de Stadt LA, van Sijl AM, van Schaardenburg D, *et al.* Dyslipidaemia in patients with seropositive arthralgia predicts the development of arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2012;71:1915–16.
- 22 Deane KD, O'Donnell CI, Hueber W, *et al.* The number of elevated cytokines and chemokines in preclinical seropositive rheumatoid arthritis predicts time to diagnosis in an age-dependent manner. *Arthritis Rheum* 2010;62:3161–72.
- 23 Kokkonen H, Soderstrom I, Rocklov J, *et al.* Up-regulation of cytokines and chemokines predates the onset of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2010;62:383–91.
- 24 Krabben A, Stomp W, van der Heijde DM, *et al.* MRI of hand and foot joints of patients with anticitrullinated peptide antibody positive arthralgia without clinical arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013;72:1540–4.
- 25 van de Stadt LA, Bos WH, Meursinghe Reynders M, *et al.* The value of ultrasonography in predicting arthritis in auto-antibody positive arthralgia patients: a prospective cohort study. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2010;12:R98.
- 26 Lübbers J, Brink M, van de Stadt LA, *et al.* The type I IFN signature as a biomarker of preclinical rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013;72:776–80.
- 27 Ponchel F, Vital E, Kingsbury SR, *et al.* CD4+ T-cell subsets in rheumatoid arthritis. *Int J Clin Rheumatol* 2012;7:37–53.
- 28 Ponchel F, Verburg RJ, Bingham SJ, *et al.* Interleukin-7 deficiency in rheumatoid arthritis: consequences for therapy-induced lymphopenia. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2005;7:R80–92.
- 29 Ponchel F, Morgan AW, Bingham SJ, *et al.* Dysregulated lymphocyte proliferation and differentiation in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Blood* 2002;100:4550–6.
- 30 Lawson CA, Brown AK, Bejarano V, *et al.* Early rheumatoid arthritis is associated with a deficit in the CD4(+)/CD25(high) regulatory T cell population in peripheral blood. *Rheumatology* 2006;45:1210–17.
- 31 Saleem B, Keen H, Goeb V, *et al.* Patients with RA in remission on TNF blockers: when and in whom can TNF blocker therapy be stopped? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010;69:1636–42.
- 32 Ponchel F, Goeb V, Parmar R, *et al.* An immunological biomarker to predict MTX response in early RA. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:2047–53.
- 33 Olerup O, Zetterquist H. HLA-DR typing by PCR amplification with sequence-specific primers (PCR-SSP) in 2 hours: an alternative to serological DR typing in clinical practice including donor-recipient matching in cadaveric transplantation. *Tissue Antigens* 1992;39:225–35.
- 34 Kallberg H, Ding B, Padyukov L, *et al.* Smoking is a major preventable risk factor for rheumatoid arthritis: estimations of risks after various exposures to cigarette smoke. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011;70:508–11.
- 35 Ponchel F, Burska AN, Hensor E, *et al.* Changes in peripheral blood immune cell composition in osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartil* 2015;23:1870–8.
- 36 Klareskog L, Stolt P, Lundberg K, *et al.* A new model for an etiology of rheumatoid arthritis: smoking may trigger HLA-DR (shared epitope)-restricted immune reactions to autoantigens modified by citrullination. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;54:38–46.
- 37 Roos-Engstrand E, Pourazar J, Behndig AF, *et al.* Expansion of CD4(+)/CD25(+) helper T cells without regulatory function in smoking and COPD. *Respir Res* 2011;12:74.
- 38 Barcelo B, Pons J, Ferrer JM, *et al.* Phenotypic characterisation of T-lymphocytes in COPD: abnormal CD4+CD25+ regulatory T-lymphocyte response to tobacco smoking. *Eur Respir J* 2008;31:555–62.
- 39 Van De Sande MGH, De Hair MJH, Van Der Leij C, *et al.* Different stages of rheumatoid arthritis: Features of the synovium in the preclinical phase. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011;70:772–7.
- 40 de Smit M, van de Stadt LA, Janssen KM, *et al.* Antibodies against Porphyromonas gingivalis in seropositive arthralgia patients do not predict development of rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:1277–9.
- 41 Limper M, Van De Stadt L, Bos W, *et al.* The acute-phase response is not predictive for the development of arthritis in seropositive arthralgia—A prospective cohort study. *J Rheumatol* 2012;39:1914–17.
- 42 Churchman SM, El-Jawhari JJ, Burska AN, *et al.* Modulation of peripheral T-cell function by interleukin-7 in Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2014;16:511.
- 43 Chalan P, Kroesen BJ, van der Geest KS, *et al.* Circulating CD4+CD161+ T lymphocytes are increased in seropositive arthralgia patients but decreased in patients with newly diagnosed rheumatoid arthritis. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8:e79370.
- 44 Copas JB. Using regression models for prediction: shrinkage and regression to the mean. *Stat Methods Med Res* 1997;6:167–83.



# T cell subsets: an immunological biomarker to predict progression to clinical arthritis in ACPA-positive individuals

L Hunt, E M Hensor, J Nam, A N Burska, R Parmar, P Emery and F Ponchel

*Ann Rheum Dis* 2016 75: 1884-1889 originally published online December 1, 2015

doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2015-207991

---

Updated information and services can be found at:  
<http://ard.bmj.com/content/75/10/1884>

---

*These include:*

## Supplementary Material

Supplementary material can be found at:  
<http://ard.bmj.com/content/suppl/2015/12/01/annrheumdis-2015-207991.DC1.html>

## References

This article cites 44 articles, 26 of which you can access for free at:  
<http://ard.bmj.com/content/75/10/1884#BIBL>

## Open Access

This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See:  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

## Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

---

## Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

[Open access](#) (573)  
[Immunology \(including allergy\)](#) (5144)  
[Degenerative joint disease](#) (4641)  
[Musculoskeletal syndromes](#) (4951)  
[Inflammation](#) (1251)

---

## Notes

---

To request permissions go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:  
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>