



Deposited via The University of Leeds.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/94161/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Dalton, TJS, Paton, DA and Needham, DT (2017) The influence of mechanical stratigraphy on multi-layer gravity collapse structures: insights from the Orange Basin, South Africa. *Petroleum Geoscience*, 438. pp. 211-228. ISSN: 1354-0793

<https://doi.org/10.1144/SP438.4>

---

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

# The influence of mechanical stratigraphy on multi-layer gravity collapse structures: insights from the Orange Basin, South Africa.

## Abstract

Gravity collapse structures are common features on passive margins and typically have a tripartite configuration including an up-dip extensional domain, transitional domain and a down-dip compressional domain with a common detachment underlying the system. A number of recent studies have classified these systems, yet few document the wide variation in geometry.

This study documents the gravity collapse structures of the Namibian and South African Orange Basin as these represent some of the best imaged examples globally of this important process. Firstly, we demonstrate the geometry and kinematic evolution of these systems, focussing on examples of the tripartite configuration from a typical collapse. We then highlight the significant variability in the systems structures describing features such as; portions of the system with multiple detachments; systems with stacked synchronous detachments; the temporal evolution of faults within the system. Integrating our observations from a number of sections we present a model explaining the spatial and temporal evolution of the system. This enables us to discuss likely causes of collapse structures but also, by placing it into a well constrained stratigraphic context, how the presence of both maximum flooding surfaces and early margin deltaic sequences have a fundamental control on the resulting collapse geometry.

## 1. Introduction

Deep water fold thrust belts (DWFTB's) and their associated extensional systems occur in many passive margin systems throughout the world and provide an excellent opportunity to study the formation and development of both extensional and compressional faults. A considerable variation in structural style of collapse systems is seen across different margins, this is generally accepted to result from differences in both the driving mechanisms for collapse and the geometry and nature of detachment surface (Rowan et al., 2004, Krueger et al., 2009, Morley et al., 2011).

Morley et al. (2011) classifies DWFTB's into two broad categories those controlled by near field stress systems created by sediment loading and differential uplift/subsidence at passive margins (Type I) and those controlled by far field stress regimes associated with active margins (Type II). Type I DWFTB's are further divided into; Type Ia; shale detachment such as those in the Orange basin and Type Ib salt detachment such as in Angola. Krueger et al. (2009) proposed they be divided similarly based upon those of active margins, caused by subduction, and passive margins similar to far and near field stress system. Krueger et al. (2009) subdivides passive margins into three categories based on the nature of their décollement; regional salt, regional shale and local non-discrete, where the local detachments are discontinuous leading to a regional décollement crossing stratigraphic levels, Figure 1.

In this study we focus on shale detachment systems that, regardless of the driving mechanism commonly comprise three domains (Figure 1); an up-dip extensional domain, dominated by normal faulting; down-dip compressional domain, composed of imbricate thrusts and folds; and a transitional domain. The transitional (sometimes referred to as the translational) domain is not referred to by all authors, but is defined as an area between the extensional and compressional domains which is either a package of largely undeformed sediments (Corredor et al., 2005, Krueger et al., 2009) or an area in which both compressional and extensional features overprint (De Vera et al., 2010, Butler and Paton, 2010). This overprint arises from shifting the location of the point of contact between the compressional and extensional domains. It is commonly difficult to resolve the internal geometry of the transitional

50 domain because of limited seismic imaging. The basic premise of area balancing during deformation  
51 would be expected to apply to these coupled systems, however, De Vera et al.'s (2010) and Butler &  
52 Paton's (2010) studies in the Orange Basin established a miss-balance between the extension and  
53 compression domains of up to 25% in favour of extension leaving a considerable missing contractional  
54 strain component yet to be explained.

55 In this study we look in detail at the three domains along a typical section from the Orange Basin  
56 system, before comparing them to other portions of the same collapse structure to observe variations  
57 along strike. From these observations we construct a model to explain the temporal evolution of this  
58 important margin process. Finally we consider how the margin stratigraphy plays a critical role in the  
59 nature of the deformation and propose that this has a significant, and as to now unrecognised control  
60 on this process that occurs on many passive margins.

61

## 62 **2. Regional Setting**

63

64 The Orange Basin is the southernmost basin on the West African passive margin; forming during the  
65 break-up of Gondwana and subsequent spreading of the South Atlantic Ocean (Muntingh & Brown,  
66 1993, Brown et al., 1995, Paton et al., 2008, Koopman et al., 2014).

67 It underwent significant rifting during the Upper Jurassic to the Lower Cretaceous forming graben and  
68 half grabens infilled with synrift siliclastic and lacustrine sediments (Junslogger et al., 1999;  
69 Mohammed, et al., this volume). This is followed by continental breakup in the Barremian and the  
70 establishment of a passive continental margin, onto which a thick sedimentary sequence of post-rift  
71 stratigraphy was deposited (Gerrard & Smith, 1982). The post-rift sediment thickness ranges from 3 km  
72 in the south and north and up to 5.6 km in the centre of the basin. This sediment was largely sourced  
73 from the Orange River (Paton et al., 2008) and is broadly separated into two phases; black shales and  
74 claystones, deposited during an early drift phase and a latter drift phase depositing a thick succession  
75 of interbedded heterolithics composed of shale and claystones (Figure 2). It is within the latter phase  
76 that we observe the greatest number of gravity collapse structures. Although much of the margin  
77 stratigraphy is claystone, we define the system as shale detachment dominated because the  
78 décollement surfaces are shale intervals, these correspond to maximum flooding surfaces with some  
79 identified as proven source rocks (van der Spuy et al., 2003). This Cretaceous succession underwent  
80 considerable tilting, up to 750 m in inner margin (Paton et al., 2008), at the end of the Maastrichtian  
81 producing a considerable proximal unconformity with the overlying Cenozoic sequence. Most of the  
82 Cenozoic sequence is deposited outboard into the basin and varies in thickness from 250-450 m on the  
83 margin and 500-1400 m out onto the continental slope and beyond. The hydrocarbon system sequence  
84 stratigraphy and deeper structures of the Orange Basin are well established (Light et al., 1993,  
85 Muntingh & Brown, 1993, Paton et al., 2007, 2008, Hirsch et al., 2010).

86

87

## 88 **3. Data and methods**

89

90 This study combines 38,480 km of vintage 2D pre-stack time migrated (PSTM) seismic released by the  
91 Petroleum Agency of South Africa with 45,386 km of 2D seismic data from Spectrum (see Figure 3) of  
92 which 24042 km is pre-stack depth migrated (PSDM) using Fast Track Kirchhoff Migration. Maximum  
93 recording lengths are in the range of 7-10 seconds two way travel time (TWTT) with the vintage data  
94 being acquired between 1976 and 2012 and the Spectrum data acquired in 2012. The line spacing of  
95 this deep seismic coverage is between 8-15 km and provides unprecedented data coverage of the  
96 basin allowing us to review the entire margin (Paton et al., this volume). In this paper we present our  
97 interpretations on the Spectrum PSDM seismic lines because of the better imaging. Well data for the  
98 basin is extensive, though limited to the shelf margin, and has been used by previous studies (e.g.  
99 Muntingh & Brown, 1993, Paton et al., 2008) to define the margin stratigraphy and to define  
100 stratigraphic ages for our seismic intervals (Figure 2). Stratigraphic megasequences and associated

101 regional and local unconformities have been identified using reflection termination, cut-offs and onlap  
102 relationships with the sequence stratigraphic system based upon Muntingh & Brown (1993).  
103 As we focus on the detailed architecture of the syn-kinematic packages we subdivide the  
104 megasequences into sequences based on variations in seismic character that indicate changes over  
105 time in depositional or structural environment and rates. We use a unified stratigraphic system to define  
106 these sequences across the margin as presented in Figure 2. We define the packages regionally  
107 across the section as megasequences A-E and further subdivide C & D numerically in a temporal  
108 succession. However, because they are commonly isolated packages any one section may not show a  
109 complete sequence.

110

#### 111 **4. Regional Sections**

112

113 We present a 160 km long East-West orientated, regional seismic profile (Figure 4) that illustrates both  
114 the main structural elements of the margin and one of the simpler collapse structures in the centre of  
115 the Basin (Figure 3). This is shown down to a depth of 6.4 km. Based on the previous regional  
116 interpretations of Paton et al. (2008) we divide it into 4 megasequences: Synrift, Late Jurassic to  
117 Hauterivian (A), Early Drift, Barremian to Aptian (B), Late Drift, Aptian to Maastrichtian (C & D) and  
118 Cenozoic (E). To aid the interpretation across the margin, including structural features and local  
119 unconformities, we divide the megasequences into seismic sequences based upon internal seismic  
120 reflection character. Although the syn-rift packages are imaged in the dataset (Paton et al., this  
121 volume), we focus on the sequences that are stratigraphically above the top syn-rift reflection. The top  
122 of the syn-rift package is delineated by a package of high amplitude parallel reflections, present across  
123 the basin, onto which Barremian aged stratigraphy is deposited. In this section the nature of the contact  
124 is represented by an aggradational sequence of reflections conformable with the top of the synrift  
125 package. It is important to note that elsewhere in the basin this boundary has a progradational  
126 relationship marked by downlapping reflections onto the synrift sediments, prior to an aggradational  
127 phase. The Late Drift megasequence is deposited conformably on the Early Drift package and is  
128 definable by its higher reflectivity.

129 Evident within this Late Drift megasequence are numerous unconformities represented by truncation  
130 and onlapping of reflections. These unconformities only occur off the paleo slope margin and are often  
131 restricted to fault blocks and are therefore not regional in extent. Reflections within the centre of this  
132 package are both folded and faulted. In the proximal portion of the basin westward dipping normal  
133 faults are identified by dislocated packages shifting down-dip of one another (eastern end of Figure 4)  
134 this is the extensional portion of the gravity collapse structure. Continuing westwards and down-dip the  
135 seismic character becomes increasingly chaotic and complex, which we define as the transition  
136 domain. The most distal part of the system, the compressional domain, is characterised by a series of  
137 east dipping thrust faults that are identifiable by high amplitude steeply dipping reflections that appear  
138 to be stacking packages on top of one another.

139 These structural features will be discussed in more detail subsequently though it is key to note at this  
140 point that while the main décollement for this collapse (red reflection in Figure 4) is broadly co-incident  
141 with the top of the Early Drift megasequence it is not a constant slope and shows significant changes in  
142 dip direction and angle. The décollements are picked based on where faults terminate identified  
143 through cut-offs and changes in the dip of reflections. The Late Drift megasequence is capped by a  
144 regional truncation at the top of the paleo shelf picked out by the green line (Figure 4), whereas these  
145 sequences are conformable at the base of the paleo-slope. The unconformity is, however, still  
146 interpretable by a change from a low to a high amplitude reflection along this boundary. The Cenozoic  
147 package is defined by a change in the location of deposition from proximal to a more distal position on  
148 the continental slope. The package is considerably thinner than the Cretaceous sequence on the  
149 central margin and thickens significantly to the west. Now we consider each of the structural elements  
150 in more detail.

151

152

#### 153 4.1 Extensional Domain

154

155 In order to define structures in more detail we subdivide the megasequence into a number of  
156 sequences (A-E, Figure 2). Figure 5 shows a typical interpreted section from the upper portions of the  
157 extensional system, where the latest faults form prior to detaching onto a regional décollement. This  
158 interpretation focusses on the upper part of the extensional portion of the structure and shows a more  
159 detailed breakdown of the Late Drift megasequence (sequences C & D). These packages are defined  
160 upon internal seismic facies and reflection termination as described below and reveal relative changes  
161 in sediment supply and fault controlled accommodation space (Brown et al., 1995).

162 Internally sequence C3 has an absence of seismic impedance contrast resulting in limited internal  
163 geometry being imaged, but it is conformable with the high amplitude reflections at the base of  
164 sequence D1 and it is truncated by sequence D3. This suggests D1 was being deposited as the upper  
165 portions of C3 were being eroded, the discontinuity being a direct result of faulting. D3 has a thick  
166 package of high amplitude reflections allowing the tracking of several horizons internally. When  
167 restored D3 forms a westward thickening wedge. Changes in spacing between traceable horizons in  
168 D3 show slight changes in thickness along its length indicating different faults were active at different  
169 points. Of particular note are the small changes in thickness in the packages above and below the  
170 orange horizon which indicate movement of small faults in the package between two faults with far  
171 larger throws. As the largest thickness changes occur on the faults on which D4 and D7 truncate, this  
172 implies that deformation tends to concentrate onto a few larger, more widely spaced faults e.g. big  
173 faults get big stay big and stop smaller faults from growing. Sequence D4, defined by a package of low  
174 amplitude reflections, reinforces this point its presence only in the west of the section abutting a large  
175 fault plane implies it grew more rapidly at this point than faults to the east. This created a larger  
176 accommodation space which was rapidly infilled as indicated by folding of the reflections into the fault.  
177 D3 is clearly truncated by the base of sequence D5 with a rugose contact that appears to represent the  
178 collapse of the top of the fault block. D5 has chaotic and poorly imaged reflectance that infill's the  
179 eroded section truncated at the top of D4. As shown in Figure 4, D5 extends for 16km west of the  
180 Figure 5 and continues to erode earlier fault blocks. Its chaotic seismic character and erosive base  
181 suggest it is a Mass Transport Complex (MTC). Several similar MTC's can be seen throughout the  
182 extensional portion of the collapse features (e.g. McGilvery et. al, 2004, Posamentier & Kolla, 2003).  
183 Sequence D7 is defined by a series of reflections that onlap onto the top of D4 & D5 and are clearly  
184 imaged on the tops of the fault blocks. This implies that fault movement is outstripping sediment supply  
185 at this point. It also appears that several of the faults have switched off by this time. As the supply  
186 increases and faults switch off sediments begin to bury the fault blocks and again deformation  
187 concentrates into the larger faults where we observe some limited sediment growth into the fault plane.  
188 Truncation of D7 by megasequence E e.g. the boundary between the Late Drift and Cenozoic  
189 megasequences, can be seen throughout the paleo continental margin and the upper paleo slope, it is  
190 unclear how much sediment has been eroded although Paton et al (2008) suggest it may have been as  
191 much as 750 m. Only the two largest faults were active after this unconformity formed although they  
192 offset it with only small throws.

193

194

#### 195 4.2 Transitional Domain

196 The section in Figure 6 shows the point at which the extensional domain changes into the  
197 compressional domain and indicates that this occurs predominantly within megasequences C and D.

198 Megasequence B contains the high amplitude parallel reflections that denote the top of the Early Drift  
199 megasequence although immediately beneath the most deformed section of the transition zone these  
200 reflections becomes less distinct, probably due to signal attenuation. These reflections are

201 sedimentologically composed of coarsening upward silt - medium sandstone packages (Paton et al.,  
202 2007) that represent the progradation of the Aptian deltaic margin and are capped by a maximum  
203 flooding surface which forms the detachment. Sequence C1 is defined as a set of lower amplitude  
204 parallel reflections that have a variable relationship across the section with the surrounding sequences.  
205 In the east of the section they are conformable with megasequence B, reflections become truncated  
206 towards the west by the base of sequence C2. They reappear in the west as a set of reflections  
207 conformable with C2 and downlap onto megasequence B. We interpret this as a shift in the depth of  
208 the main décollement that is immediately above B in the east and cuts down to an inter mega  
209 sequence B layer with the consequence of translating the C1 package downslope by ~2300 m towards  
210 the west.

211 C2 is defined by low amplitude largely discontinuous reflections and is conformable with C3 which is  
212 comprised of higher amplitude more continuous reflections. The lowest reflections in C2 to the east and  
213 centre downlap onto B and C1, being directly above the detachment at this point they are conformable  
214 with C1 in the west as the detachment cuts down sequence as previously described. The division  
215 between the C2 and C3 intervals is identifiable by an easily correlatable, high amplitude reflection  
216 package. This allows us to define fault cut-offs with confidence. In the east these faults dip steeply  
217 landward with normal offsets and detach onto the main basal décollement. Progressing west they  
218 become more closely spaced and detach onto a shallow basinward dipping thrust fault located above  
219 the regional décollement. Atop this thrust fault a shift from extensional to compressional tectonics  
220 occurs. The low amplitudes at the base of C2 is likely a result of the coalescing of multiple faults at this  
221 level, causing increased stress at this depth. The thickness of C2/C3 is largely maintained throughout  
222 the margin, including the area of intense faulting, which suggests that it is largely a pre-kinematic  
223 sequence deposited prior to collapse, though some reflections in the top of C3 show limited thickening  
224 into fault planes suggesting some degree of syn-kinesis.

225 Sequence D1 here is defined by a set of low amplitude reflections that are largely conformable with C3;  
226 as in the previous sequence cut-offs are used to define the location of faults. Many packages have  
227 wedge like geometries that thicken into fault planes, implying fault growth during deposition making this  
228 a syn-kinematic succession. From the position of cut-offs in the region in which normal faulting gives  
229 way to thrusting it can be seen that several faults cease moving. The upper boundary is truncated by  
230 sequence D2. D2 is defined up by a series of low amplitude continuous reflections which onlap onto  
231 the erosional truncation that defined the top of D1. They form a tapering wedge to the east where the  
232 formation onlaps onto significant faults with throws of ~120 and ~250 m. Within the formation there  
233 appear to be numerous minor truncations of horizons against one another possibly due to limited  
234 deposition in what are effectively a mini basins. Some faults do persist into the base of D2 but most are  
235 truncated by it implying an erosional episode followed by progressive infill during which limited  
236 reactivation occurred causing minor folding as opposed to faulting in the overlying sequence. At this  
237 point of the section sequence D3 is defined by low amplitude continuous reflections that downlap onto  
238 D2, infilling its uneven upper surface, before latterly adopting a more aggradational geometry. Minor  
239 folding of some reflections at the western end of the section imply limited localised reactivations on  
240 some thrusts. Sequence D8 is composed of high amplitude reflections conformable with D3 the contact  
241 between these two horizons can be traced into the compressional domain down dip. The top of D8  
242 appears conformable with megasequence E (Cenozoic).

243 The transition domain in the centre of Figure 6 generally picks out a large fold structure detaching onto  
244 a thrust above the regional décollement. The back-limb of the fold is cut by normal faults, which  
245 progressively become thrust faults towards the crest, some of which are likely to be inverted normal  
246 faults. The precise contact between the compressional and extensional domains (e.g. the transitional  
247 zone) is narrow similar to modes proposed by Corredor et al. (2005) and Krueger et al. (2009),  
248 however the possible inversion may imply a more complex structural style, as suggested by de Vera et  
249 al. (2010) and Butler and Paton (2010).

250

251

## 252 **4.3 Compressional Domain**

253 Figure 7 is a typical section from the distal end of the compressional domain and we have divided it  
254 into nine packages. Megasequence B, which is correlated from the transitional domain is defined by  
255 several near horizontal reflections that have a consistent increase in amplitude from east to west which  
256 probably reflects a progressive change in its petrophysical properties. Sequences C1-C3 despite being  
257 of varying amplitudes have the same geometry of stacked steeply east dipping reflections (~35° using  
258 PSDM data) that shallow and flatten with depth to become parallel with the top reflection of  
259 megasequence B. Definable packages of reflections stack with discrete cut-offs that pick out a set of  
260 imbricate thrusts. Sequence D1 here is defined by a set of discontinuous low amplitude reflections that  
261 onlap the thrust planes and downlap onto C3. Reflections are folded and have been truncated by both  
262 sequence D3 and by one another. The variation in thickness and the associated onlap onto anticlines  
263 of D1 suggest that thrusting was active during deposition of D3 and was frequently emergent leading to  
264 folding and erosion of depositing sediments in a syn-kinematic fashion. This onlap implies that  
265 deformation rates were greater than sedimentation rates during this interval. D3 truncates D1 with a set  
266 of low amplitude but continuous reflections, these reflections are folded above the underlying thrust  
267 planes but are only cut by two of the thrusts with far smaller throws. Whilst it is clear the faults remain  
268 active during this period the rate of deformation relative to sedimentation has slowed significantly.

269 Sequence D8 here truncates the crests of the folded reflections in D3 and onlaps in the synclines  
270 formed by the dipping reflections on the back-limb of the thrust faults. This suggests the end of  
271 deformation in this part of the compressional domain with this sediment infilling the remnant  
272 topography, though sediment supply is insufficient to entirely fill bathymetric lows. The last of these  
273 lows are filled by small onlapping packages at the base of sequence D9, which is otherwise  
274 conformable with D8. As with the transitional domain the contact between the Late Drift (D) and the  
275 Cenozoic megasequences (E) is conformable. In a broader context when viewing the compressional  
276 domain in Figure 4 the imbricates have a relatively equal spacing and become progressively less  
277 deformed heading away from the transition zone whilst also deforming ever younger sequences. The  
278 dips of the faults shallow from the transitional domain, at 40-50°, to the frontal thrusts at 15-25°. They  
279 progressively deform younger sequences implying that once thrusts dip becomes too high it is  
280 preferential to deform more distal sediments.

281

282

## 283 **5. Variations in DWFTB geometry**

284

285 Having summarised the structural elements that comprise a typical section for gravity collapse, we now  
286 outline how the styles of deformation deviate from this typical section by looking at variations along the  
287 margin illustrated with a number of additional sections.

288

### 289 **5.1 Lateral variation**

290 The three sections in Figure 8 are modified from Dalton et al. (in press) and show three slip-parallel, 35  
291 km, sections running north-south (Figure 3, a to c), through a DWFTB in the southern portion of the  
292 Orange basin. Growth strata indicate collapse initiated during the deposition of the Cenozoic  
293 megasequence that detaches onto a maximum flooding surface at the top of the Campanian in the  
294 Late Drift megasequence (Paton et al., 2008). Section a) consists of an extensional domain with no  
295 corresponding compressional domain. Section b) has a more classical geometry with both extensional  
296 and compressional domains detached on to the Campanian décollement, however an additional set of  
297 thrusts detach onto the contact between the Cenozoic and Late Drift megasequences. Section c)

298 indicates this upper detachment is far more developed with a separate set of normal faults detaching  
299 onto the base of the Cenozoic megasequence. Geometries of reflections in the extensional domain  
300 indicate slip occurring along both detachments synchronously, suggesting gravitational driven strain is  
301 distributed between both systems. The Campanian detachment has larger throws indicating it has  
302 taken more of the strain, though the folding of the Cenozoic reflections in the far west appears to  
303 restrict its westerly development. The imbalance between the two detachments in section c) suggest  
304 the Cenozoic detachment is more efficient, however as the system grows northwards the Campanian  
305 detachment becomes more important. This may relate to local variations in slip potential of the  
306 detachment surfaces e.g. changes in thickness, overpressure or lithology.

307

## 308 **5.2 Multiple detachments**

309 The presence of multiple detachment horizons in gravity collapse systems has been recognized  
310 previously (e.g. Totterdell and Krassey, 2003, Rowan et al., 2004, Corredor et al., 2005, Briggs et al,  
311 2006), but few studies document how the position and interaction of different slip horizons creates a  
312 range of complex geometries that indicate changes in timing and location of deformation.

313

### 314 **5.2.1 Sub Aptian Failure**

315 The focus of previous studies of the Orange Basin collapse structures (De Vera et al., 2010, Butler et  
316 al., 2010) has been on the system that is contained within the Late Drift megasequence. Figure 9  
317 presents a more detailed interpretation of a portion of the extensional domain of the collapse (see  
318 Figure 4 for location). We see here the main detachment in the Late Drift megasequence is underlain  
319 by a set of thrusts detaching onto the top of the Synrift. This lower detachment is formed along a  
320 maximum flooding surface between the top of the Synrift megasequence, (Hauterivian) and base of the  
321 Early Drift megasequence, (Barremian) identified by Brown et al. (1995). Folding of the upper  
322 detachment and overlying horizons by the developing underlying thrusts imply they formed later.  
323 Additionally thickening in sequence D6 into the fault immediately above the fold suggest its inception  
324 led to reactivation of this fault. The lack of significant thickening of sequence D7 suggests thrusting had  
325 largely ceased by its deposition. This suggests that whilst the upper system initiates first both systems  
326 existed coevally. The vergence of these lower thrusts is consistent with the same basinward translation  
327 as the upper system. The most proximal normal faults present in east of the section clearly penetrate  
328 into the Early Drift megasequence and are likely to link directly to these thrusts although seismic  
329 resolution prevents clear confirmation. D6 is not present above these faults (Figure 5) and may have  
330 either been eroded out or not been deposited, however the infilling of subsequent D7 into fault planes  
331 suggest these faults were most active prior to its deposition.

332

### 333 **5.2.2 Stacked detachments**

334 Sections through the far north of the largest collapse structure provide further insights into the multi-  
335 layer detachment systems (Figures 3 & 10). In Figure 10 a 83 km long section shows several different  
336 detachment surfaces at a number of stratigraphic intervals, picked by the identification of mutual fault  
337 terminations. In the east of the section a 30km long package of normal faults extending up to 2.5 km  
338 from a detachment layer within the Early Drift megasequence to the Cenozoic horizon. A second  
339 smaller extensional domain, which is 12 km long with faults extending vertically 600 m up from the  
340 detachment, it is down-dip and is contained entirely within the Early Drift megasequence,  
341 representative of an early phase of collapse. Two compressional domains also exist; a lower  
342 detachment in the Early Drift megasequence, along the Aptian-Barremian maximum flooding surface  
343 (Muntingh & Brown, 1993), containing thrusts penetrating up to 1.1 km into the overlying Late Drift  
344 megasequence and an upper 45 km long detachment, along the Cenomanian-Turonian maximum  
345 flooding surface (Paton et al., 2007), consisting of widely spaced thrusts extending 700 m up from the

346 detachment, entirely within the Late drift megasequence. Reflections in the lower compressional  
347 domain demonstrate two periods of activity one synchronous with the lower extensional system, with  
348 which it shares a detachment and a later phase of reactivation leading to thrusting and folding of the  
349 Late Drift megasequence. The upper detachment may have been active synchronously with the lower  
350 compressional domain but remains active for longer as indicated by thrusting and folding of the dark  
351 green sequence. It is interesting to note that the upper system terminates at the location at which the  
352 first thrust of the lower system emerges. By altering the upper detachments slope angle at this point it  
353 may have rendered further slip inviable along it. The upper extensional system remains active  
354 throughout the Late Drift megasequence and clearly transfers considerable strain down-dip. However it  
355 is the upper compressional domain that remains active during this period which does not appear to be  
356 genetically linked at this point so the process of transmission of strain between the upper and lower  
357 compressive domains is not clear. In reviewing parallel sections no genetic link emerges, in fact the  
358 lower system disappears relatively rapidly. The transition from extensional to compressional domains  
359 along the upper detachment in this section is of a very different character to that seen in Figure 6  
360 appearing as a zone largely deformed sediments as per Corredor et al (2005) & Krueger et al (2009).

361

## 362 **6. Discussion**

363 The presence of gravity collapse structures have been documented on many margins and some of the  
364 inherent variability has been well discussed (Morley et al., 1996, Rowan et al., 2004, Krueger et al.  
365 2009, Morley et al. 2011). Studies that have focussed on thin shale detachment driven systems  
366 generally propose that they are relatively coherent bodies presenting little variation within a single  
367 system. We now discuss how the observed lateral variability in geometries we observe influences our  
368 understanding of thin shale detachment systems. We also consider the greater complexity observed in  
369 these features to synthesis a new temporal model of collapse development in the Orange Basin.

370

### 371 **6.1 Model for the temporal evolution of a collapse structure**

372 Variations in style and character of deformation appear consistently across the width of the Orange  
373 Basin including the spacing between thrusts, the depth and location of slip detachment surfaces and  
374 the nature of the transition zone. Although there is also considerable variation in the thickness of the  
375 Upper Cretaceous sediments across the basin the same regional detachment is present throughout.  
376 This means the changes in the styles of deformation observed are present within a single DWFTB,  
377 meaning that any single end member model is not applicable. Dalton et al. (in press) demonstrate that  
378 the extensional domain initiates prior to the formation of a later compressional phase. In this study  
379 through growth packages, we show that the earliest phase of collapse is located in the centre around  
380 the transition zone. For example D8 in Figure 6 is a post-kinematic horizon but in Figure 7 is clearly a  
381 syn-kinematic package and is entirely eroded out to the west where the overlying D9 package, here  
382 post-kinematic, becomes syn-kinematic showing later phases of movement occur progressively more  
383 distal than the last. Few sequences can be tracked throughout the entire structure as they are either  
384 truncated by later sequences or are only locally present. However analyses on the megasequence  
385 scale and of larger traceable sequences do reinforce this finding. New faults form and grow at the outer  
386 extents of the collapse, although older faults are still active with a reduction in offset. Successive  
387 younger faults form out from the transition zone, to the west down-dip in the case of the compressional  
388 domain and east up-dip in the case of the extensional domain. The high fidelity of the seismic imaging  
389 of our data shows that the transition domain represents a short-wavelength change from extensional to  
390 compressional tectonics as opposed to being a zone of overprinted regimes and more importantly  
391 appears to remain fixed. In general the position of maximum strain migrates away from the transition  
392 domain, although we do observe (Figure 9) fault reactivation occurring.

393 It is similarly clear that we can relate later more proximal movements to ever more distal thrusts this  
394 would reinforce the concept that these regimes preserve the original contact between them as a block  
395 of material that ceases to deform allowing translation of strain downslope. Observations of underlying  
396 thrust systems and the timing of structures above and beneath in Figure 9, indicate a synchronous  
397 relationship between the systems e.g. the overlying detachment was folded by the underlying system  
398 which remained active throughout. This infers that they are both part of a single system as opposed to  
399 being two stacked systems of different ages.

400 Whilst many studies make reference to multiple detachment horizons (Rowan et al., 2004, Krueger et  
401 al., 2006, Morley et al., 2011, Peel et al., 2014) their presence are generally not included in models of  
402 gravity collapse systems. Growth strata indicate that these alternative detachments are often not  
403 merely spatially and temporally separate collapses events but are linked integral portions of the same  
404 system. They thus have an important role in terms of strain distribution. They preferentially appear on  
405 more mature systems, and link to the youngest most proximal normal faults. This implies they form  
406 after a point at which continued deformation along the extant distal compressional regime is no longer  
407 as efficient as linking a lower detachment. Sequence scale observations show that these structures  
408 take a long time to form and go through multiple reactivations which control deposition and erosion  
409 along the margin. With is this in mind we have produced a model for the formation and growth of these  
410 systems in thin shale detachment systems (Figure 11).

411 Our model assumes that continued lateral compaction and deformation of the sediments above and  
412 ahead of the original detachment reaches a point at which it is no longer the most efficient way of  
413 accommodating the gravitationally induced stress. Assuming the underlying sediments are  
414 comparatively under-compacted and in the presence of an appropriate alternative slip horizon, strain is  
415 now accommodated along a lower décollement. However it is not clear how we transfer strain from  
416 normal faults connected to a lower system, with the strain recorded in the upper compressional domain  
417 as seen in Figure 10, where both the upper compressional regime and most proximal normal faults  
418 deform age equivalent sediments and thus must link. The extensional domain in Figure 8 c) shows two  
419 slip surfaces that have been exploited by the same faults at different times it is possible that the same  
420 relationships exist in the more mature system in Figure 10 but the continued deformation has made this  
421 relationships difficult to ascertain

422 Brown et al. (1995) indicates our detachment horizons are maximum flooding surfaces presumably  
423 composed of low basal friction shales which as long as they are sufficiently thick and continuous will  
424 continue to allow slip (Rowan et al., 2004). If the shale thins or is absent from a section the system will  
425 lock up. The locking up of the system while overburden builds up sufficient to lead to re-initiation of  
426 failure by overcoming frictional cohesion leads to the development of isolated sediment imbalances at  
427 the head of fault scarps (De Vera et al., 2010). This in turn leads to the formation of MTC's which  
428 rework the sediments of the upper portion of the extensional domain. This explains why we tend to see  
429 large scale MTC development only on mature systems prone to more lock-ups. They become more  
430 prevalent stepping back towards the coast where fewer shale intervals were deposited to provide  
431 potential slip surfaces on what were paleo continental margins.

432 Initial geometries are controlled by the original local accumulations of sediments that for amenable slip  
433 horizons, e.g. the shale with the lowest frictional cohesion will be used primarily above other slip  
434 horizons, this cohesion may however vary across the basin as per the original depositional conditions  
435 and thus better slip horizons will be used elsewhere (Dalton et al., in press). In the case of the collapse  
436 systems in this study they are commonly associated with maximum flooding surfaces or base of slope  
437 systems.

438

## 439 **6. 2 Stratigraphic controls on margin collapse**

440 Although the majority of the passive margin stratigraphy on the Orange Basin is claystone our  
441 observations imply that there is a strong control on the location and evolution of the collapse structures  
442 from variations in stratigraphy. The principle slip surfaces have been well documented as being  
443 relatively thin (~100 m) organic rich shale horizons (e.g. Muntingh and Brown, 1993; Paton et al., 2008)  
444 that acts as a low friction surface. This depositionally controlled variation in the basin can be related to  
445 the Krueger et al. (2009) two end member models for gravity collapse structures on shale detachments.  
446 One end member suggests slip along a single detachment horizon while in the second the detachment  
447 switches between local over pressured shale horizons as variations in depositional occurrence and slip  
448 potential allow. In the Orange Basin, as we have shown, examples of both end members are observed  
449 with the upper compressional domain in Figure 10 clearly slipping along a single regional plane whilst  
450 the easterly extensional domain has a highly undulous character suggestive of smaller localised slip  
451 horizons.

452 The model presented by Morley et al (2011) characterises the collapse systems within the Niger Delta  
453 and Orange Basin as being of equivalent types (Type 1a) both being detached on shale and, although  
454 there is much discussion as to the existence of shale diapirism there do appear to be distinct  
455 differences in the style of deformation between the two basins. The implications of a thick shale interval  
456 versus a thin horizon as commented on by Rowan et al. (2004), alters the nature of the failure. Critical  
457 wedge concepts (Bilotti & Shaw, 2005, Briggs et al., 2006) would assume propagation of the system  
458 oceanwards. As long as there is a low basal friction the system will continue to propagate. If there is a  
459 thick detachment layer then it will localise all of the deformation on to the basal system. For example in  
460 the Niger delta, where the Akata shale is thick it internally deforms and the whole overburden can  
461 behave as a mechanically strong unit (Corredor et al., 2005). This could cause long wavelength folding  
462 with some localised faulting (Costa and Vendeville, 2001) and would not require significant intra-  
463 stratigraphic deformation. In contrast in the Orange Basin, and other basins dominated by interbedded  
464 heterolithics with thin detachments, the mechanically strong unit above the detachment will need to  
465 undergo considerable intra-stratigraphic deformation such as folding and intra-layer thrusting to allow it  
466 to transfer strain down-dip (Dalton et al., In Press).

467 Our observations also show that the collapse is controlled not just by detachment thickness but also  
468 variations in margin stratigraphy. Existing stratigraphic studies of the Orange Basin (Brown et al., 1995;  
469 Paton et al., 2007, 2008) show that there are two key stratigraphic variations in the basin evolution.  
470 During the Aptian (megasequence B in this study) the stratigraphy facies comprises a landward  
471 stepping clastic front. This results in the landward migration of the delta-foreset to marine shale  
472 transition. Overlying the delta system is the main shelf margin sequence with interbedded organic rich  
473 shale horizons. This results in a complex distribution of décollement horizons and a corresponding  
474 multiphase development, which is described in Figure 12;

- 475 a) The stratigraphic distribution of the stable passive margin.
- 476 b) extensional faulting initiates on the continental slope, detaching onto an advantageous shale  
477 horizon and subsequently leading to thrusting down-dip on the abyssal plain.
- 478 c) continued gravitational imbalance on the margin leads to additional faults to form proximal and  
479 distal to the original collapse which continues itself to deform.
- 480 d) the ability of the upper detachment to redistribute strain down-dip becomes less efficient and so  
481 new extensional faults penetrate down to a lower shale horizon to compact lower relatively under  
482 compacted sediments.
- 483 e) this process continues to exploit lower shale horizons to redistribute strain, the original systems  
484 may also continue to deform though lower systems may alter the structural development of the  
485 overlying systems. The propagation of the faults to the lower packages is in part controlled by the  
486 stratigraphy of the margin, and the location of the delta-front.

## 488 **Conclusions**

489

490 Using very well imaged examples of gravity collapse structures from the Namibian and South African  
491 Atlantic passive margin we illustrate, the significant variation in structures that are present in these  
492 tripartite systems. This variation includes the typical up-dip extensional faults and down-dip thrust  
493 faults but also multi-detachment faulting and folding, stacked detachments, cross-cutting and complex  
494 progressive evolution of the system.

495 As this system is dominated by a series of relatively thin detachments we suggest that the role of  
496 stratigraphy, especially the distribution of maximum flooding organic rich units, plays a fundamental  
497 role in both the style and spatial distribution of deformation. We propose that such a model helps to  
498 explain the differences that occur in thick shale systems, salt systems and thinly bedded heterolithic  
499 systems,

500

501

## 502 **Figure Captions**

503

504 **Figure 1** Model of Gravitational collapse (Krueger et al., 2009); upper model describes the typical  
505 features and geometry of gravity system controlled by a regional detachment, Lower image describes  
506 the geometry where no regional décollement is present.

507

508

509 **Figure 2** Chronostratigraphy of Orange Basin adapted from Paton et al. (2008), DWFTB's depths into  
510 which systems penetrate across the entire basin.

511

512

513 **Figure 3** Map of Orange basin indicating the location of lines used in this study, the location lines used  
514 in previous studies (Butler et al., 2010, De Vera et al., 2010, Paton et al. 2007, 2008) and an outline  
515 representing the total data coverage used in this study.

516

517 **Figure 4**, PSDM un-interpreted and interpreted section of Line 1 (see Figure 3 for location) shown with  
518 a vertical exaggeration of 3:1. The colours correspond to each megasequence (Figure 2) Synrift  
519 megasequence is purple, Early Drift in blue, Late Drift in Green and Cenozoic in grey, different shades  
520 correspond to discrete packages within each. Detachments are picked out in red.

521

522 **Figure 5** Detailed interpreted and un-interpreted section of extensional domain in Figure 4. Section is  
523 vertically exaggerated 3:1.

524

525 **Figure 6** Detailed interpreted and un-interpreted section of transitional domain in Figure 4. Section is  
526 vertically exaggerated 3:1.

527

528 **Figure 7** Detailed interpreted and un-interpreted section of the compressional domain from Figure 4,  
529 image is vertically exaggerated 3:1.

530

531 **Figure 8** Three interpreted sections (a-c) from the south of the Orange Basin (Figure 3), adapted from  
532 Dalton et al. (in press). All sections are 35 km long and are presented in PSTM with vertical  
533 exaggerations of 3:1

534

535 **Figure 9** Detailed interpreted and un-interpreted section from Figure 4, showing folding of the upper  
536 detachment by and lower detachment system. Section is vertically exaggerated 3:1.

537

538 **Figure 10** Interpreted section taken from northern portion of the same collapse structure as featured in  
539 Figure 4. Section is vertically exaggerated 3:1

540

541 **Figure 11** Multistage model of gravity collapse culminating in the formation of a lower detachment.  
542 Orange ellipses represent the distribution of strain within the DWFTB and reflect the findings of Dalton  
543 et al. (in press) that considerable compaction of the margin is required prior to the formation of  
544 compressional domain.

545

546 **Figure 12** Model explaining role of deposition on the location and development of detachment  
547 horizons; a) section through a typical margin showing 3 stacked sequences two with shale horizons at  
548 the base of slope and the upper shale horizon representing a maximum flooding surface. b)  
549 development of a simple single detachment system slipping along the maximum flooding surface, c)  
550 system matures with the development of additional faults and eventually locks-up d) in response to  
551 system locking up alternative slip horizon along lower base of slope shale used instead, e) even lower  
552 detachment horizon sought as the shale in d) is depositional restricted .

553

## 554 **References**

555

556 Bilotti, F., and Shaw, J.H., 2005, Deep-water Niger Delta fold and thrust belt modelled as a critical-  
557 taper wedge: The influence of elevated basal fluid pressure on structural styles: American Association  
558 of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 89, p. 1475–1491.

559

560 Briggs, S.E., Davies, R.J., Cartwright, J.A., Morgan, R., 2006. Multiple detachment levels and their  
561 control on fold styles in the compressional domain of the deepwater west Niger Delta. Basin Res. 18,  
562 435–450.

563

564 Brown Jr., L.F., Benson, J.M., Brink, G.J., Doherty, Jollands, E.H., Jungslagger, A., Keenen, A.,  
565 Muntingh, A., van Wyk, N.J.S., 1995. Sequence Stratigraphy in Offshore South African Divergent  
566 Basins. An Atlas on Exploration for Cretaceous Lowstand Traps. In: AAPG Studies in Geology, vol. 41.  
567 Soekor (Pty) Ltd.

568

569 Butler, R.W.H., Paton, D.A., 2010. Evaluating lateral compaction in deepwater fold and thrust belts:  
570 how much are we missing from “nature's sandbox”? GSA Today 20 (3), 4–10.

571

572 Corredor, F., Shaw, J.H., Bilotti, F., 2005. Structural styles in the deep-water fold and thrust belts of the  
573 Niger Delta. AAPG Bull. 89, 735–780.

574

575 Costa, E., B.C. Vendeville, 2005, Experimental insights on the geometry and kinematics of fold-and-  
576 thrust belts above weak, viscous evaporitic décollement Journal of Structural Geology, 24, pp. 1729–  
577 1739

578

579

580 De Vera, J., Granado, P., McClay, K., 2010. Structural evolution of the Orange basin gravity-driven  
581 system, offshore Namibia. Mar. Petrol. Geol. 27, 233–237.

582

583 Dalton, T.J.S., Paton, D.A., Needham, D.T. and Hodgson, N. Temporal and spatial evolution of  
584 deepwater fold thrust belts: Implications for quantifying strain imbalance (In Press); AAPG,  
585 Interpretation

586

587 Gerrard, T., and G. C. Smith, 1982, Post-Palaeozoic succession and structure of the south-western  
588 African continental margin: Studies in continental margin geology: AAPG Memoir 34, p. 49–74.  
589

590 Hirsch, K.K., Scheck-Wenderoth, M., van Wees, J.-D., Kuhlmann, G., Paton, D.A. Tectonic subsidence  
591 history and thermal evolution of the Orange Basin (2010) *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 27 (3), pp.  
592 565-584.  
593

594 Jungslagger, E.H.A., 1999. Petroleum habitats of the Atlantic margin of South Africa. In: Cameron, N.R.,  
595 Bate, R.H., Clure, V.S. (Eds.), *The Oil and Gas Habitats of the South Atlantic*. Special Publication, vol.  
596 153. Geological Society, London, pp. 153–168.  
597

598 Koopmann, H., Schreckenberger, B., Franke, D., Becker, K., and Schnabel, M.: The late rifting phase  
599 and continental break-up of southern South Atlantic: The mode and timing of volcanic rifting and  
600 formation of earliest oceanic crust, Geological Society, London, Special Publications, accepted, 2014  
601

602 Krueger, A.C.V.A., and E. Gilbert, 2006, Mechanics and kinematics of back thrusting in deep water  
603 fold-thrust belts – Observations from the Niger Delta (abstract): 2006 AAPG Annual Meeting, Houston,  
604 Abstracts Volume, v. 15, p. 58.  
605

606 Krueger, A., Gilbert, E., 2009. Deepwater fold-thrust belts: not all the beasts are equal. AAPG Search  
607 and Discovery, Article #30085.  
608 <http://www.searchanddiscovery.com/documents/2009/30085krueger/index.html>.  
609

610 Light, M.P.R., Maslanyj, M.P., Greenwood, R.J., Banks, N.L., 1993. Seismic sequence stratigraphy and  
611 tectonics offshore Namibia. *Tectonics and Seismic Sequence Stratigraphy*, Geological Society Special  
612 Publications, London, vol. 71, pp. 163–191.  
613

614 McGilvery, T. A., and Cook, D. L., 2004, Depositional elements of the slope/basin Depositional system  
615 Offshore Brunei, Proceedings of the Indonesia Petroleum Association Meeting, Jakarta Indonesia p.  
616 407-420.  
617

618 Mohammed, M., D. A. Paton, R. E. L. I. Collier, N. Hodgson, and U. Utjavari, Interaction of crustal  
619 heterogeneity and lithospheric process in determining passive margin architecture on the Southern  
620 Namibian margin: Geological Society of London, Special Publications, in press.  
621

622 Morley, C.K., Guerin, G., 1996. Comparison of gravity-deformation styles and behaviour associated  
623 with mobile shales and salt. *Tectonics* 15, 1154–1170.  
624

625 Morley, C.K., King, R., Hillis, R., Tingay, M., Backe, G., 2011. Deepwater fold and thrust belt  
626 classification, tectonics, structure and hydrocarbon prospectivity: a review. *Earth Sci. Rev.* 104, 41-91.  
627

628 Muntingh, A., Brown, L.F.J., 1993. Sequence stratigraphy of petroleum plays, post-rift Cretaceous  
629 rocks (lower Aptian to upper Maastrichtian), Orange Basin, South Africa, *Siliciclastic Sequence*  
630 *Stratigraphy. Recent Developments and Applications*. American Association of Petroleum Geologists,  
631 Tulsa, Oklahoma, pp. 71–98.  
632

633 Paton, D.A., di Primio, R., Kuhlmann, G., van der Spuy, D., Horsfield, B., 2007. Insights into the  
634 petroleum system evolution of the southern Orange Basin, South Africa. *South African Journal of*  
635 *Geology* 110, 261–274.  
636

637 Paton, D.A., van der Spuy, D., di Primio, R., and Horsfield, B., 2008, Tectonically induced adjustment  
638 of passive-margin accommodation space; influence on hydrocarbon potential of the Orange Basin,  
639 South Africa: AAPG Bulletin, v. 92, p. 589–609.  
640

641 Peel, F.J., 2014, The engines of gravity-driven movement on passive margins: Quantifying the relative  
642 contributions of spreading vs. gravity sliding mechanisms: *Tectonophysics*, v.633, p.126–142,  
643 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2014.06.023  
644

- 645 Posamentier, H. W., and V. Kolla, 2003, Seismic geomorphology and stratigraphy of depositional  
646 elements in deep-water settings: *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, v. 73, p. 367–388.  
647
- 648 Rowan, M.G., Peel, F.J., Vendeville, B.C., 2004. Gravity-driven fold belts on passive margins. In:  
649 McClay, K.R. (Ed.), *Thrust tectonics and hydrocarbon systems: AAPG Mem.*, 82, pp. 157–182.  
650
- 651 Totterdell, J. M. and A. A. Krassay, 2003, The role of shale deformation and growth faulting in the Late  
652 Cretaceous evolution of the Bight Basin, offshore southern Australia: *Subsurface Sediment*  
653 *Mobilization*, Geological Society (London) Special Publication, 216 (2003), pp. 429–442  
654
- 655 Van der Spuy, D., T. Ziegler, and M. Bowyer, 2003, Deepwater 2D data reveal Orange Basin objectives  
656 off western South Africa: *Oil & Gas Journal*, v. 101, (April 4, 2003), p. 44– 49.  
657
- 658 Welbon, A.I.F., Brockbank, P.J., Brunnsden, D. & Olsen, T.S. 2007. Characterising and producing from  
659 reservoirs in landslides: challenges and opportunities. *Structurally Complex Reservoirs*. Geological  
660 Society, London, Special Publications, 292, 49–74.

