

This is a repository copy of *A novel form of spontaneous tool use displayed by several captive greater vasa parrots (Coracopsis vasa)*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/93289/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Lambert, Megan L, Seed, Amanda M and Slocombe, Katie E orcid.org/0000-0002-7310-1887 (2015) A novel form of spontaneous tool use displayed by several captive greater vasa parrots (*Coracopsis vasa*). *Biology letters*. ISSN 1744-957X

<https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2015.0861>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1 **A novel form of spontaneous tool use displayed by several captive Greater**
2 **vasa parrots (*Coracopsis vasa*)**

3

4 Lambert, Megan L.¹, Seed, Amanda M.² and Slocombe, Katie E.^{1*}

5

6 ¹ Department of Psychology, University of York

7 ² School of Psychology and Neuroscience, University of St. Andrews

8

9 *Corresponding author: Katie Slocombe, katie.slocombe@york.ac.uk

10 **Abstract**

11 Parrots are frequently cited for their sophisticated problem-solving abilities but cases of
12 habitual tool use among psittacines are scarce. We report the first evidence of tool use by
13 Greater vasa parrots (*Coracopsis vasa*). Several members of a captive population
14 spontaneously adopted a novel tool-using technique by using pebbles and date pits to either a)
15 scrape on the inner surface of seashells, subsequently licking the resulting calcium powder
16 from the tool, or b) as a wedge to break off smaller pieces of the shell for ingestion. Tool use
17 occurred most frequently just prior to the breeding season, during which time numerous
18 instances of tool transfer were also documented. These observations provide new insights
19 into the tool-using capabilities of parrots and highlight the Greater vasa parrot as a species of
20 interest for studies of physical cognition.

21

22

23

24

25 **INTRODUCTION**

26 Despite occurring in a range of taxa, the use of tools by nonhuman animals remains an
27 exceedingly rare phenomenon [1, 2]. Descriptions of tool-using behaviour in new species add
28 intriguing new pieces to this puzzle and help to broaden our understanding of the
29 neuroanatomical, social and ecological predictors of tool use across the animal kingdom.
30 While frequently cited for their sophisticated problem solving abilities, cases of habitual tool
31 use (the recurring use of tools by several members of a population) among psittacines are
32 surprisingly scarce. Among over 300 parrot species, only hyacinth macaws (*Anodoryhnchus*
33 *hyacinthinus*) and black palm cockatoos (*Probosciger aterrimus*) have been reported using
34 tools habitually, with the former using leaves and small sticks as wedges to open nuts [3], and
35 the latter using rocks and empty nutshells to drum on trees during social displays [4]. More
36 recently Goffin cockatoos (*Cacatua goffini*) and kea (*Nestor notabilis*) have shown
37 competency for using and/or making tools in a laboratory setting, although it is unknown
38 whether this behaviour persists outside of an experimental context [5, 6].

39 Vasa parrots are endemic to Madagascar and possess a range of characteristics that
40 make them unique among parrots, including a polygynandrous breeding system [7] and high
41 degrees of social tolerance among group members. Vasas also frequently explore and
42 manipulate objects in captivity, even creating complex relationships among them such as
43 threading a twig sequentially into the open links of a chain (movie S1). Combining objects
44 during play may serve as a phylogenetic or developmental precursor to advanced problem-
45 solving and flexible tool use as it provides greater opportunities for the generation of novel
46 behaviours and learning of object affordances. This is supported by recent comparative
47 studies that have found that habitually tool-using species tend to spend more time
48 manipulating and combining objects than their closely related, but non-tool-using
49 counterparts [8, 9].

50 We report the first evidence of spontaneous tool use in a group of captive Greater
51 vasa parrots (*Coracopsis vasa*). We present data on the frequency, duration and nature of tool
52 use in addition to the frequency and nature of tool transfers between conspecifics.

53 **METHODS**

54 Observations took place at the Lincolnshire Wildlife Park, UK. Subjects were ten
55 adult vasa parrots (M:6, F:4) ranging in age from 1 to >14 years. Birds were housed together
56 in an aviary consisting of an outdoor (9x5x5m) and heated indoor section (2.4x4.9x2.4m),
57 where feeds (30% seed, 70% fruit) were provided twice daily. The floor of the outdoor
58 enclosure consisted of soil, cockle shells (a known source of calcium for birds and reptiles
59 [10]), wood chippings and pebbles.

60 Tool-using behaviour was primarily recorded during ongoing focal observations that
61 occurred throughout the day between occurred between 08:00 and 19:00 from March to
62 October 2013. Tool-using behaviour was not identified until the 18th focal observation
63 session. During subsequent observations, all interactions with the shells by any bird, focal or
64 non-focal (in which case the focal observation was paused and the tool-using bird was filmed
65 for the duration of the tool-using behaviour) were recorded on an all-occurrence basis [11].
66 The first 17 focal observations were retroactively coded for any tool use that could be
67 observed in the background of the video, and an additional 16 tool use bouts were video
68 recorded *ad libitum* outside of focal observations.

69 Interactions with the shells were placed in the following categories:

70 *Pebble-seashell*: Bird places pebble inside of seashell and either a) uses tongue to
71 grind pebble against seashell (see movie S2) or b) uses as a wedge to break apart
72 seashell.

Tool use in vasa parrots

73 *Date pit-seashell*: Bird places date pit inside of seashell and either a) uses tongue to
74 grind date pit against seashell or b) uses as a wedge to break apart seashell (see movie
75 S2).

76 *Seashell-unknown*: Bird either a) has an object in mouth while holding seashell that
77 cannot be identified or b) it is unknown whether bird has object in mouth while
78 holding seashell.

79 *Seashell-no tool*: The bird has picked up a seashell with the beak and it is clear that
80 there is no tool involved.

81 Instances of tool use were recorded as one discrete event until the bird dropped both items
82 from the beak for more than five seconds or switched to a new behaviour.

83 Any tool transfers between birds were recorded, including the identity of the donor
84 and recipient, the object transferred and the type of transfer (i.e. protested theft, tolerated theft
85 or active offer; see supplementary material for detailed descriptions and movie S2 for
86 examples).

87 All video recordings were coded in the Observer XT. As tool-using behaviour was not
88 identified until the 18th observation session, all observations prior to this were retroactively
89 coded for any tool use that could be observed in the background of the video. The objects
90 used as tools are relatively small and difficult to identify without close-up filming;
91 consequently, of the 50 individual bouts extracted from these first 17 observations, 40 were
92 coded as “seashell-unknown” (seashell-pebble: n=5, seashell-no tool: n=5).

93 **RESULTS**

94 Tool-using behaviour was coded from a total of 107 hours of video observation data
95 which included focal observations (105 hours) and ad libitum recordings (2 hours). Interest in
96 the shells was greatest from March to mid-April 2013 (88% of tool-using bouts; mid-April to
97 October: 12%). From April 2nd to April 11th, when data on shell interactions were coded on

Tool use in vasa parrots

98 an all-occurrence basis, there were, on average 2.6 tool-using bouts in the group per hour
 99 (excluding cases of seashell-unknown).

100

101 **Table 1.** All occurrences of recorded seashell interaction (tool use, no tool use or unknown).

102 Table shows individual and group frequency for each of the behaviours, as well as mean bout

103 duration (MD) of the observed behaviour at an individual and group level. Italicised subjects

104 are those with no confirmed instances of tool use (only ‘seashell-unknown’ or ‘seashell-no

105 tool).

Subject	Sex	Date pit – seashell		Pebble – seashell		Seashell – unknown		Seashell – no tool	
		Freq	MD (s)	Freq	MD (s)	Freq	MD (s)	Freq	MD (s)
TI	M	3	253	5	34	3	177		
JD	M	17	55	16	139	16	56	6	13
WD	M	2	18			15	23		
CI	M	1	34	3	72	5	95	5	50
PL	F	10	40	4	100	6	11	1	6
<i>CL</i>	F					4	20		
<i>UF</i>	M							2	14
<i>GO</i>	F					4	38		
<i>BW</i>	F							1	43
<i>TH</i>	M					5	48	1	8
Total (N)		33	400	28	345	58	468	16	134
Group Mean		6.60	80.00	7.00	86.25	7.25	58.50	2.67	22.33
Group SD		6.80	97.61	6.06	44.57	5.18	54.62	2.25	19.20

106

107 All ten birds interacted with the shells, and five of these birds were documented using tools,

108 although this number is conservative as three additional birds in the ‘seashell-unknown’

109 category may have been using tools (Table 1). All five tool-using birds used date pits on the

110 shells, and four of these five individuals also used pebbles. The majority of confirmed tool-

111 using birds (4/5) were male. Table 1 depicts clear individual variation in frequency of tool

112 use with one bird, JD, emerging as the most prolific tool user in terms of both duration and

113 frequency of occurrence.

Tool use in vasa parrots

114 A total of 16 successful tool transfers took place (see movie S2) and were exclusively
115 from two tool-using males to the single tool-using female, PL. In 12 of these cases JD was
116 the donor (date pit: 8, pebble: 4; tolerated theft: 11, theft: 1), whereas WD was the donor in
117 the remaining 4 cases (date pit: 4, tolerated theft: 3, active offer: 1).

118 **DISCUSSION**

119 The greater vasa parrot joins the small minority of extant species documented as tool
120 users. While other species are known to ingest seashells as calcium supplements [10, 12], this
121 birds' method for doing so appears to be entirely unique. Although archaeological records
122 document grinding tool use by humans up to 30,000 years ago [13], to our knowledge this is
123 the first report of a nonhuman using a tool for grinding [2, 14].

124 The tool use observed appears to be flexible in several ways: firstly, individuals used
125 more than one tool type on the shells; secondly tools were used in different manners, to either
126 grind or as a wedge to break off small pieces of shell and lastly individuals were selective in
127 when they engaged in tool use with this permanent feature of their environment in terms of
128 season.

129 In our 6-month observation period, tool use was observed most frequently just prior to
130 the breeding season from March to mid-April, after which point interaction with the shells –
131 tool using or otherwise - became a rare occurrence. The concentration of tool-using events
132 and overall interest in the shells just prior to breeding may be associated with the calcium
133 requirements of egg production. Like eggshells, seashells are made almost entirely of calcium
134 carbonate. Calcium supplementation prior to breeding season is critical for many passerine
135 species, which are unable to store calcium in the skeleton and instead must increase their
136 intake of calcium-rich foods such as snail shells or seashells prior to egg laying [10]. If shell
137 interactions have this function in vasa parrots, it is unclear why males appeared to show the
138 greatest overall interest in the shells. During courtship, copulation and incubation, males feed

Tool use in vasa parrots

139 females extensively through regurgitative feeding [7], and thus it may be possible that the
140 benefits of calcium ingestion are conferred to females indirectly, or females may actively
141 prefer calcium rich regurgitation. Further longitudinal research is needed to first determine
142 whether tool use and shell ingestion regularly occur primarily before each breeding season
143 and if so, whether calcium intake is in any way related to copulation or breeding success for
144 both sexes.

145 Our observations of tool transfer are particularly intriguing as it is rarely observed in
146 other species and outside of mother-offspring dyads. Transfers occurred exclusively from
147 males to females. This pattern is similar to that reported for chimpanzees, where females
148 primarily obtained tools from males by means of tolerated theft, and in some cases females
149 were in oestrus and transfer took place a short time before or after copulation [15]. The two
150 males who transferred tools in the present study were the primary copulatory partners of the
151 female recipient, however further data is needed to determine the various social factors that
152 may influence tool transfer in this species.

153 Given the novelty of this behaviour both in this species and in general, there are a
154 number of questions that remain unanswered. For instance, it is unknown whether vasa
155 parrots interact with seashells or use tools in the wild, or whether this behaviour has arisen
156 solely in this group, possibly as an artefact of captivity (e.g. lowered predation pressure and
157 increased free time and energy). Additionally, as not all birds used tools on the seashells, the
158 precise function of the tools requires further investigation. One possibility is that the use of a
159 tool may mitigate discomfort from scraping the beak against the rough surface of the shell or
160 prevent rapid wear of the beak. Alternatively it may increase foraging efficiency; for
161 example, research within the poultry industry suggests that the particle size of calcium
162 ingested from other molluscan shells affects absorption and retention of calcium both *in vitro*
163 and in adult chickens, with small or ground particles being retained more efficiently than

164 coarse particles [16]. Dietary analyses are needed to determine the relative calcium intake of
165 tool-using versus non tool-using birds.

166 It is also unclear whether tool use in this population of birds reflects an innate
167 predisposition, individual trial and error learning or some form of social learning. Whilst all
168 five birds may have independently learned to use the tools on the seashells, the cases of tool
169 transfer between individuals suggest that there is a social component to this behaviour, and
170 therefore tool use may have been learned socially. The high social tolerance of these birds
171 would certainly support social transmission of behaviour by allowing individuals greater
172 opportunity to observe tool-using behaviour.

173 Recent studies of technical problem solving in kea and Goffin cockatoos show parrots
174 to be an exciting new avenue for physical cognitive research [17], but additional species are
175 needed in order to make broader comparisons. Our preliminary observations demonstrate a
176 novel form of tool use in multiple members of a species previously unknown to use tools, and
177 raise intriguing questions regarding the function of this behaviour, particularly in its relation
178 to reproductive behaviour. Given their unique tool-using behaviour and complex object play,
179 the Greater vasa parrot represents a promising new species of interest for studies of physical
180 cognition in nonhuman animals.

181

182 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

183 Special thanks to Steve Nichols and the staff at the Lincolnshire Wildlife Park, and to Claudia
184 Wilke for reliability coding.

185 **FOOTNOTES**

186 *Ethics statement*

187 Ethical approval for this study was granted by the Department of Biology Ethics Committee,
188 University of York.

189 ***Data accessibility statement***

190 All supporting data are included in the main text.

191 ***Author contributions***

192 M.L.L. collected the data and coded the videos, M.L.L., A.M.S. and K.E.S. interpreted the
193 data, provided intellectual input and wrote the paper. All authors gave final approval for
194 publication and acknowledge joint accountability for its content.

195 ***Competing interests***

196 The authors have no competing interests.

197 ***Funding statement***

198 M.L.L. was funded by an Overseas Research Scholarship from the University of York, UK.

199

200 **REFERENCES**

201 [1] Hunt, G.R., Gray, R.D. & Taylor, A.H. 2013 Why is tool use rare in animals? In *Tool use*
202 *in animals: cognition and ecology* (eds. C.M. Sanz, J. Call & C. Boesch). Cambridge,
203 Cambridge University Press.

204 [2] Shumaker, R.W., Walkup, K.R. & Beck, B. 2011 *Animal Tool Behavior: The Use and*
205 *Manufacture of Tools by Animals*, revised and updated edition. (Baltimore, The Johns
206 Hopkins University Press.

Tool use in vasa parrots

- 207 [3] Borsari, A. & Ottoni, E. 2005 Preliminary observations of tool use in captive hyacinth
208 macaws (*Anodorhynchus hyacinthinus*). *Anim Cogn* **8**, 48-52. (doi:10.1007/s10071-004-
209 0221-3).
- 210 [4] Wood, G.A. 1984 Tool use by the Palm Cockatoo *Probosciger aterrimus* during display.
211 *Corella*, 94-95.
- 212 [5] Auersperg, A.M.I., von Bayern, A.M.I., Weber, S., Szabadvari, A., Bugnyar, T. &
213 Kacelnik, A. 2014 Social transmission of tool use and tool manufacture in Goffin cockatoos
214 (*Cacatua goffini*). **281**. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.0972).
- 215 [6] Auersperg, A.M.I., Huber, L. & Gajdon, G.K. 2011 Navigating a tool end in a specific
216 direction: stick-tool use in kea (*Nestor notabilis*). *Biology Letters* **7**, 825-828.
217 (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2011.0388).
- 218 [7] Ekstrom, J.M.M., Burke, T., Randrianaina, L. & Birkhead, T.R. 2007 Unusual sex roles in
219 a highly promiscuous parrot: the Greater Vasa Parrot *Caracopsis vasa*. *Ibis* **149**, 313-320.
220 (doi:10.1111/j.1474-919X.2006.00632.x).
- 221 [8] Auersperg, A.M.I., van Horik, J.O., Bugnyar, T., Kacelnik, A., Emery, N.J. & von
222 Bayern, A.M.P. 2015 Combinatory actions during object play in psittaciformes (*Diopsittaca*
223 *nobilis*, *Pionites melanocephala*, *Cacatua goffini*) and corvids (*Corvus corax*, *C. monedula*, *C.*
224 *moneduloides*). *J Comp Psychol* **129**, 62-71. (doi:10.1037/a0038314).
- 225 [9] Koops, K., Furuichi, T. & Hashimoto, C. 2015 Chimpanzees and bonobos differ in
226 intrinsic motivation for tool use. *Sci. Rep.* **5**. (doi:10.1038/srep11356
227 [http://www.nature.com/srep/2015/150616/srep11356/abs/srep11356.html#supplementary-](http://www.nature.com/srep/2015/150616/srep11356/abs/srep11356.html#supplementary-information)
228 [information](http://www.nature.com/srep/2015/150616/srep11356/abs/srep11356.html#supplementary-information)).
- 229 [10] Brenninkmeijer, A., Klaassen, M. & Stienen, E.W.M. 1997 Sandwich Terns *Sterna*
230 *sandvicensis* feeding on shell fractions. *Ibis* **139**, 397-400. (doi:10.1111/j.1474-
231 919X.1997.tb04641.x).

- 232 [11] Altmann, J. 1974 Observational study of behavior: sampling methods. *Behaviour* **49**,
233 227-265.
- 234 [12] Moore, J.A. & Dornburg, A. 2014 Ingestion of fossil seashells, stones and small
235 mammal bones by gravid gopher tortoises (*Gopherus polyphemus*) in South Florida. *Bulletin*
236 *of the Peabody Museum of Natural History* **55**, 55-63. (doi:10.3374/014.055.0105).
- 237 [13] Revedin, A., Aranguren, B., Becattini, R., Longo, L., Marconi, E., Lippi, M.M., Skakun,
238 N., Sinitsyn, A., Spiridonova, E. & Svoboda, J. 2010 Thirty thousand-year-old evidence of
239 plant food processing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 18815-18819.
240 (doi:10.1073/pnas.1006993107).
- 241 [14] Bentley-Condit, V. & Smith. 2010 Animal tool use: current definitions and an updated
242 comprehensive catalog. *Behaviour* **147**, 185-132A.
243 (doi:10.1163/000579509x12512865686555).
- 244 [15] Pruetz, J.D. & Lindshield, S. 2012 Plant-food and tool transfer among savanna
245 chimpanzees at Fongoli, Senegal. *Primates* **53**, 133-145. (doi:10.1007/s10329-011-0287-x).
- 246 [16] Guinotte, F., Nys, Y. & Demonredon, F. 1991 The effects of particle-size and origin of
247 calcium-carbonate on performance and ossification characteristics in broiler chicks. *Poult.*
248 *Sci.* **70**, 1908-1920.
- 249 [17] Auersperg, A.M.I., Kacelnik, A. & von Bayern, A.M.P. 2013 Explorative learning and
250 functional inferences on a five-step means-means-end problem in Goffin's cockatoos *PLoS*
251 *ONE* **8**, e68979. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068979).

252

253

254

255

256

Tool use in vasa parrots

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264