

This is a repository copy of *Musical, social and moral dilemmas: investigating audience motivations to attend concerts.* 

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/92608/

Version: Accepted Version

# **Book Section:**

Pitts, S.E. (2014) Musical, social and moral dilemmas: investigating audience motivations to attend concerts. In: Burland, K. and Pitts, S.E., (eds.) Coughing and Clapping: Investigating Audience Experience. SEMPRE Studies in The Psychology of Music . Ashgate , Oxford, UK , 21 - 33. ISBN 978-1-4094-6981-0

This is an Accepted Manuscript of a book chapter published by Routledge in Coughing and Clapping: Investigating Audience Experience (2014), available online: https://www.routledge.com/products/isbn/9781409469810

# Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

## Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



1	Chapter 3	1
2 3	Musical, Social and Moral Dilemmas:	2 3
4 5	Investigating Audience Motivations to	4 5
6 7	Attend Concerts	6 7
8		8
9	Stephanie Pitts <sup>1</sup>	9
10		10
11		11 12
12 13		12
	Who Goes to Concerts – and Why?	14
15		15
	In Musicking (1998), the exploration of classical concert life that was one of the	16
	inspirations for this book, the ethnomusicologist Christopher Small describes the	17
	scene in the foyer of a concert hall, a few moments before a symphony orchestra	18
19 20	concert is about to begin:	19 20
20 21	Even if we have come alone and know nobody, we can still feel a part of the event	20
22	as we buy a cup of coffee or an alcoholic drink and look around us as we sip.	22
23	Amongst those present we might recognize celebrities – a famous violinist, the	23
24	music critic of a quality newspaper, even perhaps an eminent politician. The latter	24
25	may be taking cocktails with a group of expensively dressed men and women	25
26	whom we can assume to be executives, and their wives, of the corporation that is	26
27	sponsoring tonight's concert. [ ] All appear casually at home in this place. We	27
28	remember our manners and do not stare. (Small, 1998, p. 24)	28 29
29 30	Now it is entirely possible that the late Christopher Small habitually attended	29 30
	concerts in a different social league to the ones that I go to; or equally possible	31
	that times have changed since cocktails were drunk before concerts and executives	32
	were assumed to be male and married. Certainly the surface description of this	33
	pre-concert scene seems thankfully unfamiliar in the week by week concert life	34
	of most regional orchestras and chamber groups. Yet within this scene are some	35
	lasting insights on the experience of concert-going that transcend boundaries of	36
	musical genre: that even individuals who attend alone do so in relation to the	37
38 39	larger social group, that conversation and refreshment are an important part of the	38 39
39 40		39 40
41	<sup>1</sup> The research reported in this chapter was funded by two small grants from the Dritich Academy, a Knowledge Transfer grant from the University of Shoffeld and a	41
42	British Academy, a Knowledge Transfer grant from the University of Sheffield, and a Business Link award from Yorkshire Forward. I am grateful to the research assistants and	42
43	Dusiness Enix uvuru nom forksine forvuru. Fun grueru to the research usbistants and	43
44	and interest in the research.	44

1 social interactions surrounding live music listening, and that feeling at home in the 1 2 2 performance venue contributes to the pleasure of concert attendance, while risking 3 excluding those who stand at the edges of the event. These elements of live music 3 4 4 listening apply equally (though in different forms) in the jazz club, the opera 5 house and the pop arena, and are part of the expectations of arts events that shape 5 potential audience members' motivations to attend, and cause them to maintain or 6 6 7 7 withdraw their attendance when other commitments come into competition with 8 8 their live arts preferences.

9 9 An updated attempt at Small's fover scene might include a hurried office 10 worker eating a sandwich from the concert hall canteen, having come to the concert 10 straight from work; a group of students clutching heavily discounted tickets, 11 11 12 who have been persuaded that attendance will help with their musical studies; a 12 13 13 retired couple reading their programme and waiting to secure their favourite seats when the doors of the hall are opened; and any number of other keen recorded 14 14 15 music listeners, devotees of the composer or the group on the programme tonight, 15 16 habitual attenders who like to support their local arts organization, or curious 16 17 first-timers who would feel more readily at home in the cinema or theatre. Their 17 18 motivations for attending will overlap to an extent, influenced by their previous 18 attendance and musical engagement, the social choices they have made in inviting 19 19 friends or coming alone, and the level of value they are able or willing to give live 20 20 21 music in relation to other financial and practical aspects of daily life. Yet each will 21 22 have made a distinctive, individual decision to attend, fitting a concert into their 22 23 23 everyday consumption of music and other arts, and relating their experience to 24 other social and musical dimensions of their lives. 24

25 25 Less observable, though equally important to an understanding of the 26 contemporary concert hall, are the people who are not here – perhaps put off 26 27 by an expectation of feeling out of place among the 'expensively dressed [ ... 27 28 ] executives' (Small, 1998, p. 24) that they, like Small, assume will form the 28 29 majority of the audience. Research on audience demographics has raised concerns 29 that classical music audiences are generally ageing, predominantly white, middle 30 30 class, and in the more educated and affluent sectors of the general population (Kolb, 31 31 32 2001). Furthermore, this audience appears to be declining, with each generation 32 participating less as they reach the equivalent age, resulting in a loss of 3.3 million 33 33 American concert-goers between 2002 and 2008 (League of American Orchestras, 34 34 35 2009: 11), and similar patterns in Australia and Britain of a low proportion of 35 potential audience members actively engaging in the arts (Australia Council for 36 36 37 the Arts, 2010; Martin, Bunting and Oskala, 2010). 37

38 These data on declining audiences have prompted 'audience segmentation' 38 39 studies, in which the attitudes and arts engagement of a population are categorized 39 40 into groups towards which arts organizations can direct their marketing efforts 40 (Clopton, Stoddard and Dave, 2006). The Arts Council England's (2011) groupings 41 41 42 include the highly engaged 'traditional culture vultures' (4%) and 'urban arts 42 eclectic' (3%), through those who may attend 'dinner and a show' (20%) or are 43 43 44 'mature explorers' (11%), to the 'time-poor dreamers' (4%) and 'older and home-44

1 bound' (11%) who may be interested in the arts but limited in their opportunities 2 for participation. The Australia Council for the Arts (2010) labels its categories 3 rather more directly: 'the lovers' (38%) who are highly engaged, 'the flirters' 4 (26%) whose attendance is influenced by friends, 'the un-attached' (19%) who 5 have limited recent or past experience of participation, and 'the outsiders' (17%) 6 who believe that the arts are pretentious, elitist and 'not for them'. While the English set of labels prioritize behaviour and the Australian ones 8 focus on attitude, both approaches attempt to correlate the relationship between 9 these two aspects of arts engagement – an interest in the art form, and an inclination 10 to actively engage with it. It could be argued that audience motivation rests at 11 the crossover between interest and inclination, capitalizing on people's curiosity, 12 past experience and attitudes to the arts, and overcoming the practical, social and 13 financial barriers to converting interest into attendance. 16 Turning Up and Fitting In: Empirical Evidence from Jazz and Classical 17 Music Audiences 19 The remainder of this chapter will draw upon empirical evidence from audiences 20 in a range of contexts, including new and long-standing attenders at a chamber 21 music festival (Pitts and Spencer, 2008; Dobson and Pitts, 2011), jazz listeners at 22 a festival and in a local jazz club (Burland and Pitts, 2010; 2012), and audience 23 members at an orchestral concert series (Pitts, Dobson, Gee and Spencer, 2013). 24 Each of these case studies has been presented in detail elsewhere, but this is my 25 first opportunity to compare the decision making and range of motivations that are 26 to be found across the various audiences in their different musical contexts. The 27 methods, settings and co-researchers for each project are outlined in Table 3.1: 29 Table 3.1 Audience studies 2003–2010 2003 Music in the Round (MitR): Audience experience of a chamber music festival Audience questionnaires distributed throughout the week-long festival (347 responses) Semi-structured interviews with a sample of questionnaire respondents (19 interviews) Diaries completed by 13 members of the audience during the festival 2006 MitR: Audience loyalty in a time of transition (with Chris Spencer) Audience questionnaires distributed through the Friends of MitR mailing list (78) responses) Semi-structured interviews with a sample of respondents (16 interviews) 2007 Edinburgh Jazz and Blues Festival: Audience experience in a range of venues (with Karen Burland) Audience questionnaires distributed at a range of gigs and venues (701 responses) Semi-structured telephone interviews with a sample of questionnaire respondents (36 interviews) 

<b>2009</b> Spin Jazz, Oxford: Audience experience at a jazz club (with Karen Burland)	1
responses)	2
Semi-structured telephone interviews carried out with a representative sample of those	3
who provided contact details at the end of the questionnaire (15 interviews)	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
Online audience questionnaire distributed via mailing lists and through flyers given out	12
at concerts (174 responses)	13
	14
Semi-structured interviews with CBSO players (6 interviews)	15
	16
	17
	18
then between audiences of different musical genres - chamber music, jazz, and	19
orchestral - and in the different settings of an intimate 'in the round' concert venue,	20
large formal halls and above-the-pub jazz clubs. While differences were certainly	21
evident, in the prominence of socializing around the music, for example, or the	22
emphasis placed on performers or repertoire in selecting which concerts to attend,	23
the similarities across the audiences were also striking. Every audience included	24
frequent attenders who were committed specifically to that organization or venue,	25
as well as those with a broader profile of live arts consumption, supported by	26
extensive recorded music listening. Among regular listeners in each context were	27
those who attended more selectively, prioritizing repertoire or performers that they	28
knew or, less often, seeking out rarely heard pieces to supplement their already	29
extensive live listening experience. While audience members often viewed their	30
own experience as distinctive, making reference to their level of knowledge or	31
past attendance habits, they were also reassured to be among like-minded people,	32
expectant of friendly conversation in the interval and being made welcome by	33
venue staff and fellow listeners.	34
In the questionnaires that I have distributed to audience members over the past	35
ten years, there has often been a slightly cheeky question which asks first 'How	36
would you describe a typical audience member?', with prompts for age, gender,	37
likely occupation and musical interests, and follows this up by asking 'How	38
closely do you fit this pattern?'. While a few respondents have quite reasonably	39
refused to stereotype their fellow audience members, many more have engaged in	40
a moment of self-realization that runs something like this:	41
-	42
Age/gender: 'Mixed but with perhaps quite a lot of "mature" people'	43
Musical interests: 'People who like to hear good music played superbly'	44
	Online questionnaire advertised through flyers at gigs and on the club's website (91 responses) Semi-structured telephone interviews carried out with a representative sample of those who provided contact details at the end of the questionnaire (15 interviews) Online diaries completed by six respondents, fortnightly for two months <b>2009 MirR New audiences for classical music: Recruiting listeners aged 21–30 (with Melissa Dobson)</b> Focus group study, involving six first-time attenders going to two concerts, and participating in group and individual interviews <b>2010 (Ev) of Birmingham Symphony Orchestra (CBSO): Investigating performer and audience loyalty in the symphony hall (with Melissa Dobson, Kate Gee and Chris Spencer)</b> Online audience questionnaire distributed via mailing lists and through flyers given out at concerts (174 responses) Semi-structured interviews with a sample of audience respondents (20 interviews) Semi-structured interviews with CBSO players (6 interviews) Semi-structured interviews with CBSO players (6 interviews) This sequence of audience studies evolved to explore differences, initially between audiences of different musical genres – chamber music, jazz, and orchestral – and in the different settings of an intimate 'in the round' concert venue, large formal halls and above-the-pub jazz clubs. While differences were certainly evident, in the prominence of socializing around the music, for example, or the emphasis placed on performers or repertoire in selecting which concert sto attend, the similarities across the audiences were also striking. Every audience included frequent attenders who were committed specifically to that organization or venue, as well as those with a broader profile of live arts consumption, supported by extensive recorded music listening. Among regular listeners in each context tweer those who attended more selectively, prioritizing repertoire or performers that they knew or, less often, seeking out rarely heard pieces to supplement their already evenue staff and fello

MUSICAL, SOCIAL AND MORAL DILEMMAS

	1 <i>Likely occupation:</i> 'I've no idea but I suspect many are of retirement age'	1
	2 Other characteristics: 'Polite and well behaved'	2
;	3 <i>Own fit:</i> 'I have probably described myself'	3
	4 (CBSO audience member, November 2010)	4
;	5	5
	This response shows respect for fellow listeners, noting their serious attention	6
	7 to 'good music played superbly', while expressing implicit regret at the ageing	7
	B profile. Being among like-minded people, assumed to share the same values, is an	8
	9 important part of audience experience (Pitts, 2005b) and here provides clues also	9
	to audience motivation for attendance. As some other respondents reported from	10
	1 their own experience, the ageing audience is in part a product of the difficulty	11
	2 of getting to concerts straight from work, or fitting attendance around family	12
	3 commitments: while retired audience members are more likely to book a season	13
	4 ticket and prioritize regular attendance, arts organizations are struggling with the 5 increasing tendency of younger age groups to socialize spontaneously, making last	14 15
	6 minute decisions to go out for the evening only when all other pressures on their	16
	7 time have been accommodated (Kotler and Scheff, 1997, p. 9). The uncomplicated	17
	3 classification of CBSO's repertoire as 'good' music is perhaps also a feature of the	18
	9 educational and social background of an older, professional group, whose respect	19
	D for and familiarity with the classical canon is more established and open to both	20
	1 reinforcement and extension through concert attendance. Likewise, the tendency	21
	2 to be 'well behaved' suggests an experienced audience, aware of the conventions	22
2	3 of concert listening and the effects of their own quiet attention on the listeners	23
24	4 around them.	24
2	5 Another respondent reflected on these factors in greater detail:	25
2	6	26
2	Age/gender: 'There is a range of ages from children to very elderly people. I	27
2		28
2		29
3		30
3		31
3	5 5	32
3		33
3		34 35
3: 3:		36
3		37
3		38
3		39
4		40
4		41
4		42
4	-	43
4	4	44

#### 26 COUGHING & CLAPPING: INVESTIGATING AUDIENCE EXPERIENCE

*Other characteristics:* 'Audience members generally are more to the formal and considerate end of the behaviour spectrum'

Own fit: 'I am in my early fifties, male, attend concerts alone, and have actively listened to classical music since childhood. I have no formal musical education, and I have developed myself as a listener through radio (mainly BBC Radio 3) and commercial recordings, and some reading. Starting with "mainstream" composers I progressively widened my interests and I have listened to a fair deal of contemporary music, and less well known composers of all eras. Recently I have taken a keen interest in opera, encouraged by the increasing availability of high quality commercial video recordings. My interests are not restricted to "classical" music but that is the majority of my listening and exclusively what I attend concerts for. I enjoy popular (but not "pop") music particularly from the middle part of the 20th century, and I am also very fond of listening to blues when it is done well, and in the right setting' (CBSO audience member, November 2010).

This respondent is keen to illustrate the individuality of concert-going experiences, presenting his own listening preferences as resistant to classification, and offering a reminder that among the highly motivated audience members will be their sometimes reluctant or recently-converted companions (Upright, 2004). 22 In his description of his 'own fit' to the audience, he shows the serendipitous, exploratory nature of musical engagement, as one genre of music leads him into another, and increasingly available recordings supplement his live arts attendance. Quality is paramount, both in recordings and in live music 'done well and in the right setting': this is a discerning, relatively open-minded audience member, making informed selections from the programme offered by CBSO and interweaving other arts consumption among his live concert attendance. 

This audience member is unusual in mentioning watching recorded music, arguably an accessible middle ground between attending live arts events and 31 hearing them on recordings, but one not often referred to by other respondents. 32 Although Michael Chanan (2002, p. 373) describes how television could provide 33 viewers not only with broadcast music but also with 'insight into the everyday 34 world of the musician', glimpses of which are highly valued by many audience 35 members in their live attendance, empirical evidence is so far lacking on the extent to which concert-goers make use of such resources, whether on recorded or downloadable visual media. None of the audience respondents made reference 38 to digital streaming, a recent phenomenon that redefines notions of 'liveness' by 39 simultaneously broadcasting a performance from, say, an opera house to a cinema, 40 so linking two audiences in different places to the same performance at the same 41 time. Martin Barker's (2013) research on these hybrid arts events reports low 42 ticket sales and mixed reactions from audience members, but demonstrates the 43 cinema-based audience's critical awareness of the creative layers of the opera and 44 its presentation, through their comments on everything from the camera angles to 

1 the emotional connections between characters. Free from the distractions of the 1 2 opera-house audience, yet with 'a new sense of communality of experience' (p. 2 3 29), those in the cinema are able to feel closer to the stage yet more relaxed among 3 4 4 the familiar surroundings of a film-going audience. Perhaps more significantly, 5 5 the new mode of presentation throws open to question the conventions of live 6 6 music listening, disrupting the behavioural patterns of Christopher Small's (1998) 7 7 imagined concert hall, and prompting audience members to question why they are 8 there – and not somewhere else – and how their interaction with the live event is 8 9 meaningful to them and to those around them. This critical distance, while risking 9 10 alienating an audience who become too much aware of their dissatisfaction with 10 11 11 the event, could be a valuable tool in engaging audiences and communicating 12 their perspectives to arts organizations - an idea also demonstrated through post-12 13 concert conversations with artists (see Dobson and Sloboda, this volume) and 13 14 14 focus-group discussions with researchers (Dobson and Pitts, 2011).

Across the 197 CBSO audience members who answered the survey question 15 15 16 described above, the majority reported a close (46%) or partial fit (35%) with 16 17 their description of a typical audience member, while (11%) felt different from 17 18 the collective norm, usually through being younger or less musically experienced, 18 19 and (8%) said they did not know or declined to answer. This demonstrates that, 19 20 despite occasional reluctance to acknowledge the homogeneity of the group - 'I 20 21 hate to think of myself as a typical anything' – audience members generally found 21 22 themselves to be surrounded by people like them or (since these were speculative 22 23 rather than evidenced observations) were making the assumption that others in the 23 24 hall shared their motivations, musical experience and enthusiasm for the event. A 24 25 few went further in acknowledging that these assumed similarities presented their 25 26 own risks for drawing new audiences into classical music: while some newcomers 26 27 will quickly feel 'at home' in a group that seems familiar to them, those who are in 27 28 a minority – whether through ethnicity, age, social background or assumed musical 28 29 experience – might feel more conspicuous and therefore less engaged. While 29 30 audience segmentation research goes some way to identifying the preoccupations 30 31 and priorities of different arts attenders, the complexity of individual experience 31 32 illustrated here shows that there is more work to be done on understanding and 32 33 engaging audience members once they have made the first step in attending a live 33 34 music event. 34 35 35

36

#### 37 Self and Others in Audience Motivation

38

39 As Jennifer Radbourne and her co-authors reflect later in this book (see also 39 40 Radbourne et al., 2009), understanding of audience motivation through market 40 41 research has historically focused on the practicalities of attending: how much 41 42 are people prepared to pay for tickets, how easy do they find it to park near the 42 43 venue, how satisfied are they with the interval refreshments? These factors are 43 44 undoubtedly important barriers or incentives to participation, particularly for first-

27

36

37

1 time or infrequent attenders, or those with otherwise low investment in the event 1 2 2 who want to minimize the financial risk or potential disappointment of their night out (Harland and Kinder, 1999). However, qualitative research with audiences on 3 3 4 4 their expectations and experiences of concert-going soon reveals a more complex 5 picture, where the practicalities of attendance are weighed up against the musical, 5 6 social and personal rewards of audience membership (Pitts and Spencer, 2008). 6 7 7 Despite these rewards, audience members might still be annoyed by the coughing of their neighbours in a symphony hall (O'Sullivan, 2009), or by jazz listeners 8 8 9 who talk or get up to buy a drink at the wrong time (Burland and Pitts, 2012) – 9 10 their irritation in itself being a manifestation of their commitment to the event, and 10 11 their consequent frustration with others who seem not to abide by the unwritten, 11 12 genre-specific rules of live listening. Being an audience member is an emotional 12 13 risk, as well as a financial one: a performance may be disappointing in relation to 13 a well-loved recording, the acoustics of the hall may be poor, and the seats may 14 14 15 be uncomfortable or the view blocked by a tall or wriggling fellow listener. Given 15 16 that these risks can all be averted by listening instead to a critically acclaimed 16 17 recording, the appeal of live listening must provide additional benefits that are 17 sought out by audiences across a range of venues and genres. 18 18 19 In studies with jazz audiences, Karen Burland and I found that one clear 19 20 benefit attributed to live listening was the visual immediacy of seeing performers 20 interacting, with the exchange of gestures and movements helping to draw 21 21 22 listeners' attention to the structure of the music, and the close proximity of players 22 23 and listeners giving a sense of shared participation in a musical event (Pitts 23 and Burland, 2013; Burland and Pitts, 2010, 2012). For improvised jazz, where 24 24 25 listeners are being exposed to new musical material, the acknowledgement of a 25 solo or indication of a return to the 'head' helps to provide a sense of cohesion, 26 26 27 bringing the audience together within the music, in the same way as a pop 27 28 chorus or the return of a theme in a classical symphony. Experienced listeners 28 29 have their knowledge affirmed through this moment of recognition, while those 29 whose attention has wandered are drawn back on track; through the visual clues of 30 30

31 performer gesture the temporal uncertainty – 'how long is a piece of music?' – of 31
32 live performance is reduced, and the performers acknowledge (intentionally or 32
33 otherwise) the listeners' place in the live event. 33

Seeing performers was also important to City of Birmingham Symphony 34 34 35 Orchestra (CBSO) and Music in the Round (MitR) listeners in creating a sense 35 of musicians' engagement in the event: audience members at these classical 36 36 37 events looked for evidence that players were enjoying the performance and 37 38 appreciating one another's playing, citing instances of smiles between players, 38 apparent warmth towards the conductor, and lively, exuberant playing (Pitts et al., 39 39 40 2013). Attending frequently and becoming familiar with the performers was also 40 41 helpful, particularly in the 'in the round' Studio Theatre venue of MitR, where 41 42 audience members reported looking over the shoulders of players at the music on 42 43 the stand and experiencing a 'feeling of togetherness with the performers' (Pitts, 43 44 2005a, p. 104). Introductory talks by players and conductors also created a sense 44

1 of connection, giving insight into their experiences of the music and offering 1 2 audience members a chance to assess their personalities and humour, and so 2 3 generate an assumed familiarity, often likened to friendship or family ethos by 3 4 frequent attenders. Many arts organizations capitalize on this desire for personal 4 5 5 connections with performers through their marketing strategies and publicity: 6 6 at the time of our research with CBSO, the programmes for concerts included 7 7 profiles of individual players, which were welcomed by some audience members 8 as helping them 'get to know' the players, while others were more dismissive, 8 9 feeling that the music should be paramount and such personal trivia left aside. This 9 10 comparatively new aspect of live classical music is yet another factor to interact 10 11 with audience members' diverse personalities, preferences and prior musical 11 12 experience – as well as bringing new demands for performers, some of whom 12 13 have reported the pressure to talk to audiences to be a distraction from their main 13 14 task of making music (Tomes, 2012). While seeing performers in live performance 14 15 certainly distinguishes the experience from recorded music listening, it seems that 15 16 it brings with it a new set of challenges for concert promoters, performers and 16 17 audience members to negotiate. 17

Another distinctive aspect of live music listening is the opportunity to interact 18 18 19 with other audience members, though here the research evidence suggests a greater 19 20 ambivalence in whether the benefits of conversation with like-minded people 20 21 (Pitts, 2005b) outweigh the frustrations of distracting behaviour from others in the 21 22 22 auditorium (O'Sullivan, 2009). While listening in silent appreciation is a relatively 23 recent concert hall convention (Gunn, 1997), there is now a strong implicit 23 24 24 expectation that audience members will give their full attention to the music -a25 demand that can be intimidating for first-time attenders (Dobson and Pitts, 2011), 25 26 and some way from the truth of how listeners' minds are occupied during a long 26 27 or unfamiliar piece of music. Eric Clarke (2005) describes how audience listening 27 28 can encompass shifts in focus from noting the furniture, fashions and surroundings 28 29 of the concert hall, to being 'aware of nothing at all beyond a visceral engagement 29 30 with musical events of absorbing immediacy and compulsion' (p. 188): the rhetoric 30 31 of classical music listening affords cultural prestige to the latter state of mind, and 31 32 yet this 'is nonetheless just one among many ways of listening' (p. 188). 32

33 The challenge for each listener sitting among hundreds (or dozens) of others in 33 34 the concert hall is to accommodate the listening behaviours of others, both in the 34 35 minimal interactions of the music's duration, and in the conversation that might be 35 36 expected in the interval or the queue to leave the hall at the end. One listener in the 36 37 Music in the Round audience provided a vivid instance of when such conversation 37 38 might be an intrusion, writing in his diary of a fellow listener 'who apologized 38 39 for not speaking to me after last night's performance. Said she was so choked up 39 40 she could not speak. I agreed I didn't want to talk to anyone either' (Pitts, 2005a, 40 41 p. 100). Here conversation risked disrupting an intense musical experience; at 41 42 other times it might expose an episode of distracted listening, as for the first-time 42 43 attenders who described getting 'annoved with myself, because [...] every time 43 44 I properly listened to [the music] it was really good, but my mind just kept going 44

#### 30 COUGHING & CLAPPING: INVESTIGATING AUDIENCE EXPERIENCE

1 off anyway' (Dobson and Pitts, 2011: 365). New listeners also struggled to find 2 a vocabulary for talking about music, so limiting their potential for integration with more experienced listeners and illustrating Christopher Small's assertion that 4 'the interval is not a break in the event at all but an essential part of it, providing 5 opportunity for social intercourse with members of one's own reference group, to crystallize one's response to the event by discussion (intervals seem interminable to those with no one to talk to)' (Small, 1987, p. 12). Interaction with other audience members is therefore an essential part of live listening, but by no means a straightforward one, or one that is universally helpful to audience members. 

#### 12 Keeping Music (A)Live – Musical and Moral Responsibilities

While personal, social and musical motivations were uppermost in audience 15 members' reports of their concert-going choices, another strong theme emerged, particularly among older, experienced listeners – that of the moral or cultural 17 responsibility to attend live arts and so contribute to sustaining their existence. This notion of patronage has a long history in classical music, perhaps highlighting one of the clearest distinctions between pop 'fans' and classical 'aficionados' (Jenson, 1992): the former are broadly driven by the pursuit of individual and group preferences for pleasure and allegiance, while the latter might also articulate 22 a sense of social worthiness or cultural good, largely absent from discourse on popular music. When the Music in the Round audience was faced a few years ago 24 with the retirement of their resident string quartet and the appointment of a new, younger ensemble, some audience members confessed to feeling freed of their 26 responsibilities to the organization, while others expressed a loyalty to the newly arrived players, concerned that 'if [we] don't support them, they will go elsewhere 28 and we will lose the whole thing' (Pitts and Spencer, 2008, p. 234). Audience members, in this case and others, are conscious of providing not just financial support through their ticket purchases, but emotional and cultural support, through their endorsement of the event and their enthusiastic applause. 

Audience loyalty is actively fostered by many arts organizations through their 'Friends' schemes, which usually include some element of priority booking, as 34 well as increased access to 'behind the scenes' information through newsletters 35 or opportunities to meet the performers. Typically, the financial incentive to join 36 the scheme will not be its strongest feature, and membership will be promoted as a way of helping the organization and feeling more connected with it – gaining 38 information and engagement rather than substantial discounts on tickets. At CBSO, 39 we found that even people who found the 'Friends' social events rather cliquey 40 or inconveniently-timed, would renew their membership in order to support the education programme or the high standards of the orchestra (Pitts et al., 2013). 42 In this respect, Friends' membership is closer to charitable giving than to canny purchasing, with arts organizations imitating charities in their use of narrative and 44 inclusion to encourage feelings of belonging and continued support (Merchant et 

1 al., 2010). For others in the CBSO audience who did enjoy the opportunities to
2 socialize with like-minded Friends and gain additional insight on the performers'
2 lives and experiences, membership enhanced the sense of contributing to the
3 musical event, creating an audience community which existed between events. By
4 musical experience, and connections beyond the transitory sharing of an evening's
5 musical experience, the cycle of engagement continues, and audience membership
7 becomes more embedded in people's lives and musical identities.

In recent years, some writers have expressed concern that live classical 8 8 9 9 music is in decline, and risks becoming a cultural irrelevance that will lapse as 10 older audience members die out, and younger listeners become increasingly 10 11 sophisticated consumers of recorded music (Johnson, 2002). Other genres, notably 11 12 folk music and jazz, have gone through similar cycles of ageing consumption 12 13 in the past (MacKinnon, 1993; Hodgkins, 2009), and subsequently regained a 13 14 following, though often taking a different form for a new generation of listeners. 14 15 Niall MacKinnon's survey of folk club audiences in the early 1990s, for example, 15 16 found that while the 'folk revival' of the 1960s had attracted a following of new, 16 17 young listeners, this had resulted in an 'age bulge' in folk club membership, as the 17 18 1960s cohort were not followed by a second wave of similarly interested younger 18 19 listeners (MacKinnon, 1993, p. 43). While MacKinnon made gloomy predictions 19 20 for the future of the folk scene as a result, later commentators have profiled a new 20 21 resurgence, in this case featuring higher profile performances outside traditional 21 22 folk club settings, as a new generation of performers headline at festivals, make 22 23 occasional television appearances and gain nominations for awards more usually 23 24 24 associated with popular music (Keegan-Phipps, 2009). Adapting the focus and 25 appeal of a genre risks alienating a core audience, but the buoyant state of folk 25 26 music is illustrative of the ways in which established practices can co-exist with 26 27 new manifestations – and that audiences will emerge or diverge to accommodate 27 28 arts events that seem most connected with their own lives and preferences. 28

29 Western classical music remains firmly embedded in contemporary society, not 29 30 least through its use in films, advertising and public events, but the act of attending 30 31 concerts and sitting quietly as a live performance unfolds is an increasingly 31 32 incongruous activity in our data-rich, fast-paced world. For some audience 32 33 members, this is precisely its appeal; for others - and for the many potential 33 34 listeners not currently engaged with live arts – the gap between 'everyday life' and 34 35 concert-going becomes ever wider. The anxiety around this change is not confined 35 36 to classical music; jazz performers and promoters have also reported concerns about 36 37 the need to appeal to the widest potential audience, and the consequent 'safety' 37 38 in programming that can result from this need to create a reliable experience for 38 39 occasional or unadventurous listeners (Kubacki and Croft, 2005). This discussion 39 40 is mainly financially driven, as arts organizations struggle with reduced funding 40 41 as well as the multiple pressures on their audiences' time and resources: the 41 42 introduction of interactive websites, mobile apps, and informal concert formats 42 43 might be presented as being about the quality of audience experience, but are often 43 44 just as much about the survival of the regional theatre company or concert series -a44

#### 32 COUGHING & CLAPPING: INVESTIGATING AUDIENCE EXPERIENCE

threat which in itself can generate audience loyalty and a commitment to supporting 2 a particular art form. Beyond the pragmatic level, such arguments can also take on a moral tone, expressing concern about the future of a genre and its live performance, 4 with classical music, particularly, viewed as facing a 'legitimation crisis' in 5 contemporary society (Johnson, 2002, p. 3). Empirical research with audiences has a role to play in understanding the extent to which musical, moral and social values are intertwined in audience motivations to invest their time, money and attention in the arts - and more challengingly, how these values appear from the 'outside', to those who do not regularly experience live music. 

### 12 Conclusions and Future Challenges

This chapter has shown how the motivations of audience members occupy a continuum from the mundane and practical (ticket price, access, availability), 16 through questions of musical choice (repertoire, familiarity, preference) and social 17 listening habits (attending alone, joining the Friends scheme), through to a broader 18 philosophical and moral sense of responsibility (loyalty to a venue/event, concern for the future of live arts). Broadly speaking, increasing experience of concert-going appears to move listeners further along this continuum, as their investment in the musical aspects of the event and their enjoyment of the social elements of 22 attendance gradually outweigh the practical concerns that might present a more substantial barrier to first-time or infrequent attenders. This deepening relationship 24 is a fragile one, however, which may result in an attachment to a specific group or venue, rather than openness to wider arts consumption, and can be withdrawn 26 if satisfaction with the experience declines, perhaps as a result of changes made by the arts organization. For arts promoters, the balance between retaining their 28 existing audience members and drawing in new ones is a constant challenge: understanding the motivations of regular listeners can contribute to the sensitive development of these strategies, but there is a clear need for further research with lapsed and infrequent attenders, who will have the clearest perspective on how arts attendance can lose its appeal or cease to be a priority. Empirical research with audiences faces a further challenge in pursuing questions which are intellectually interesting, as well as those that are useful to 35 arts organizations seeking to develop their practice. The two aims are by no means incompatible, but with the latter having a more obvious social benefit, and research in this area depending on the co-operation of arts organizations themselves, it can be easy to be drawn into the realm of market research, albeit with added qualitative depth. Taking audience motivation as an example, while the surface-40 level findings on inclination to attend, satisfaction with the concert experience, and attitudes to Friends' schemes are both intellectually and practically valuable, 42 of greater academic interest is the way in which live concert-going occupies a place in contemporary society, how it relates to recorded music listening, how it 

44 is viewed as a culturally responsible activity, and how audience members develop 44

1 a vocabulary for talking about their experiences. While sociology of music has a 2 strong tradition of theorizing about concert life (with Adorno, Weber and Hennion 3 prominent in that tradition), attempts to match these theoretical positions with 4 the growing body of empirical evidence from psychology of music are as yet 5 relatively undeveloped. With these two challenges in mind - research at the fringes of audiences, and 7 the need for intellectual rigour in drawing together empirical evidence - I would 8 assert that audience research is well-placed to investigate some of the central 9 intellectual puzzles in musicology and cultural studies: namely the place of music in 10 contemporary society, and the intellectual and emotional reception of musical works 11 in a live listening context. The growth of research endeavours in this area – many of 12 them represented in this book – suggest that the time is right for these enquiries to be 13 robustly undertaken from multiple, inter-disciplinary perspectives, for the benefit of 14 listeners, performers and researchers in a wide range of musical settings. 

