

This is a repository copy of CO2 trapping in amorphous H2O ice: Relevance to polar mesospheric cloud particles.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/89126/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Mangan, TP, Frankland, VL and Plane, JMC (2015) CO2 trapping in amorphous H2O ice: Relevance to polar mesospheric cloud particles. Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 127. 92 - 96. ISSN 1364-6826

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2015.03.004

(c) 2015, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

ATP4040: CO₂ Trapping in Amorphous H₂O Ice: relevance to Polar Mesospheric Cloud Particles

T. P. Mangan¹, V. L. Frankland¹ and J. M. C. Plane^{*,1}

- 1. School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK
- * Corresponding author: <u>i.m.c.plane@leeds.ac.uk</u>, +44 113 3438044

Abstract

Polar mesospheric clouds form in the summer high latitude mesopause region and are primarily comprised of H₂O ice, forming at temperatures below 150 K. Average summertime temperatures in the polar mesosphere (78°N) are approximately 125 K and can be driven lower than 100 K by gravity waves. Under these extreme temperature conditions and given the relative mesospheric concentrations of CO_2 and H_2O (~360 ppmv and \sim 10 ppmv, respectively) it has been hypothesised that CO₂ molecules could become trapped within amorphous mesospheric ice particles, possibly making a significant contribution to the total condensed volume. Studies of CO₂ trapping in co-deposited gas mixtures of increasing CO₂:H₂O ratio (deposited at 98 K) were analysed via temperature programmed desorption. CO_2 trapping was found to be negligible when the H₂O flux to the surface was reduced to 4.8×10^{13} molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹. This corresponds to an average of 0.4 H₂O molecules depositing on an adsorbed CO₂ molecule and thereby trapping it in amorphous ice. Extrapolating the experimental data to mesospheric conditions shows that a mesospheric temperature of < 100 K would be required (at a maximum mesospheric H₂O concentration of 10 ppmv) in order to trap CO₂ in the ice particles. Given the rarity of this temperature being reached in the mesosphere, this process would be an unlikely occurrence.

Keywords: amorphous ice, carbon dioxide trapping, temperature programmed desorption, polar mesospheric clouds

1. Introduction

Polar mesospheric clouds (PMCs) (also known as noctilucent clouds) are optically thin clouds that form in the summer polar mesopause region. PMCs primarily consist of small H_2O ice particles with median radii of ~51 nm (von Cossart et al., 1999, Hervig et al., 2001), which can form when the temperature falls below the water frost point (150 K). Larger ice particles which sediment to the bottom of the ice layer, at altitudes between 82 and 85 km, scatter sufficient light to become optically visible (Rapp and Thomas, 2006).

A major source of ice nuclei for heterogeneous nucleation in the mesosphere are meteoric smoke particles (MSPs) (Rapp and Thomas, 2006, Plane, 2011). MSPs are a product of ablation and subsequent recondensation of meteoric material (Saunders and Plane, 2006), of which somewhere between 10 and 110 tonnes enters the atmosphere daily (Plane, 2012). Average temperatures in the high latitude upper mesosphere (78°N) during summertime are approximately 125 K, while gravity waves can drive temperatures lower than 100 K (Lübken et al., 2009). Murray and Jensen (2010) showed that homogeneous nucleation may also be possible under extreme mesospheric temperature conditions below 110 K. These authors also suggested that amorphous ice may preferentially nucleate due to a lower energy barrier to nucleation compared to crystalline ice. Satellite measurements indicate PMCs are composed primarily of crystalline ice but could not rule out amorphous ice formation (Hervig and Gordley, 2010).

 H_2O is present in the high latitude mesopause during the summertime at concentrations of up to 10 ppmv (Rong et al., 2010). The source of H_2O vapour in the mesosphere is due to a combination of atmospheric upwelling and methane oxidation via reaction with $O(^{1}D)$ and

OH radicals (Thomas, 1991). CO_2 is well mixed vertically with concentrations >360 ppmv up to altitudes of approximately 80 km, above which UV photolysis of CO_2 (to CO and O) and gravitational separation with respect to lighter gas species become dominant removal processes. Due to increasing anthropogenic sources of CO_2 , a global decadal increase of 23.5 ± 6.3 ppmv is currently observed (Emmert et al., 2012). Given the concentrations of trace species present in the mesosphere during the PMC cloud season and the extreme low temperatures, it is possible that CO_2 trapping in amorphous solid water (ASW) ice could be a viable process. However, it should be noted CO_2 cannot become trapped when ASW has irreversibly crystallised to crystalline solid water (CSW) ice, or when ice is initially deposited as CSW ice.

 CO_2 trapping in ASW ice has been experimentally observed under conditions relevant to the interstellar medium (ISM) (Galvez et al., 2007, Malyk et al., 2007, Mate et al., 2008). However, these studies looked at deposition temperatures below atmospheric relevance (\leq 90 K) and low CO_2 :H₂O ratios (~0.02:1 to 0.7:1) due to the composition of interstellar ice. Experimental studies have also investigated CO_2 trapping in the Martian regolith (Trainer et al., 2010), but these were at higher temperatures and pressures than those observed during the PMC cloud season. To our knowledge, studies of co-deposition of high CO_2 :H₂O ratio gas mixtures at conditions relevant to the Earth's mesosphere have not been performed. In the present study, the co-deposition of high CO_2 :H₂O ratio mixtures were studied using temperature programmed desorption (TPD) to determine the mesospheric conditions under which CO_2 trapping in ASW ice would be possible. The experimental procedure is described in section 2, and the results and discussion in section 3.

2. Experimental Procedure

The experiments were undertaken in an ultra-high vacuum (UHV) chamber with an internal volume of ~25 L (see Figure 1). This system has been previously described by Vondrak et al. (2006). Briefly, the chamber is pumped *via* a 550 L s⁻¹ turbo-molecular pump (Varian Turbo-V 551 Navigator) backed by a rotary pump (Varian, DS302), achieving a base pressure of typically $< 7 \times 10^{-10}$ mbar. The chamber is equipped with a quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS) (Hiden, HAL 3F 301 RC PIC), a needle valve (NUPRO, SS4BK) for gas dosing and an inert ion (Ar⁺) sputter source (PSP technology Ltd., ISIS 3000) which was modified to function as a leak valve for this study. Within the centre of the UHV chamber is a cylindrical Cu (111) crystal sample of 12 mm diameter and 2.5 mm thickness, polished to 1 µm and oriented to ±0.5° of the (111) plane. The crystal is mounted via tungsten (W) heating wires onto an oxygen free high conductivity (OFHC) cold finger (shown in Figure 1), which in turn is mounted onto an xyz0 manipulator. The sample is liquid nitrogen cooled to a base temperature of approximately 98 K and can be heated resistively by the W wires embedded in the perimeter of the Cu (111) crystal. The surface temperature is monitored using a K-type thermocouple positioned in a small hole on the side of the Cu (111) crystal. Prior to each experiment the Cu (111) crystal was annealed to 800 K for 30 minutes.

 H_2O (deionised) was subject to three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and CO_2 (from dry ice) was first purified by pumping. CO_2 : H_2O gas mixtures at ratios varying from 1:1 to 13:1 were prepared using manometric techniques on a glass gas-handling line, and then dosed onto the Cu (111) crystal *via* the needle valve in the form of a collimated effusive beam. This beam has a diameter only slightly larger than the Cu (111) crystal and therefore minimises adsorption (and therefore desorption) of either species onto other nearby surfaces such as the OFHC cold finger (see figure 1). Any species adsorbed onto the W wires would desorb immediately upon heating. The dosing rates were calibrated for CO_2 and H_2O separately through beam flux calibrations based on the procedure of Oakes (1994). Briefly, the beam flux (F_{beam}) is calculated from the exponential decay of a gas species from the point where the input gas flow has been terminated. The exponential decay is described by:

$$P = P_0 \exp^{-C_m t} \tag{1}$$

where *P* is the pressure, P_0 is the initial pressure at the termination of the dose, C_m is the pumping coefficient for the gas, and *t* is the time from the start of the decay. From this F_{beam} is given by:

$$F_{beam} = \frac{V_{system} C_m P_0}{k_B T A_{beam}} \tag{2}$$

where V_{system} is the volume of the chamber, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, T the temperature of the gaseous species and A_{beam} the cross sectional area of the molecular beam. The CO₂ and H₂O fluxes varied from (3.4 - 6.3) × 10¹⁴ molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹ and (0.5 - 3.4) × 10¹⁴ molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹, respectively.

In a typical experiment, the gas mixture was dosed for 7200 s onto the Cu (111) crystal at a base temperature of 98 K. This enabled the H₂O film to form as ASW ice. The absorbed species were then analysed *via* TPD, where the Cu crystal was heated between 98 and 200 K at a heating ramp of 0.25 K s⁻¹. This ensured that all dosed species had desorbed. The CO₂ TPD profiles described in the following section were corrected against a pure CO₂ TPD trace to remove the surface CO₂ desorption. This surface CO₂ is weakly physisorbed to the ASW ice surface and rapidly desorbs upon sample heating, peaking at temperatures of approximately 125 K, which is more than 20 K lower than the observed initial desorption of trapped CO₂.

3. Results and Discussion

The background corrected CO₂ TPD traces are shown in Figure 2 and display only the desorption features of CO₂ trapped within the water ice matrix. The first desorption feature prominently occurring in the 1:1 and 3:1 CO₂:H₂O ratio experiments appears at approximately 157 K and is known as a molecular volcano peak (Smith et al., 1997). The other CO₂ desorption feature (co-desorption peak) appears between 165 - 175 K and is more prominent at higher ratios compared to the molecular volcano peak. As the CO₂:H₂O ratio in the dosing mixture is increased (and thus the H₂O flux decreased), the molecular volcano peak becomes less prominent, followed by a reduction in the co-desorption peak until no CO₂ desorption features were observed. Therefore the 13:1 CO₂:H₂O ratio was determined as the point where no CO₂ desorption occurred from the ice matrix in this study. This observation of the CO₂ desorption features reducing with respect to increasing CO₂:H₂O ratio is consistent with the findings of Galvez et al. (2008).

The CO₂ trapping processes can be explained by comparing the CO₂ TPD traces with their corresponding H₂O TPD trace (Figure 3). Each H₂O TPD trace contains two peaks: an ASW desorption peak; followed by the desorption feature for CSW at a higher temperature. The H₂O ice layers were of sufficient thickness (125 - 880 nm) for the CSW peak to be observed at all CO₂:H₂O ratios. The ASW desorption peak occurs at approximately the same temperature as the corresponding CO₂ molecular volcano peak. As the H₂O film thickness increases the ASW peak (and therefore the CO₂ molecular volcano) shift to higher temperatures. The CSW desorption peak also coincides with the CO₂ co-desorption peak.

these observations are consistent with previous studies of trapped species in ASW ice films (May et al., 2012, 2013).

The release of trapped CO₂ (molecular volcano feature) from the ASW ice matrix can be explained using one of two theories: the glass transition; and crystallisation-induced cracking. In the first theory, the ASW film undergoes a glass transition during annealing (Jenniskens and Blake, 1994) which transforms the film into a viscous liquid enabling the H₂O molecules to re-organise and freeze into the crystalline phase (CSW ice). This molecular reorganisation causes cavities in the ice matrix to open, releasing the trapped CO₂ molecules (as a molecular volcano) in the upper-most part of the H₂O film. The temperature of this H₂O glass transition is dependent on several variables including the initial deposition temperature and the heating rate applied (Jenniskens and Blake, 1996). The end of the glass transition can be observed in H₂O TPDs as a reduction in ASW desorption rate as crystallisation to CSW dominates, before CSW desorbs. In the second theory, the H₂O ice remains in the solid phase throughout the ASW to CSW transition. During crystallisation, cracks propagate down through the H₂O film enabling the CO₂ trapped in cavities to escape to vacuum (May et al., 2012, 2013). Both of these theories successfully describe the observation of the CO₂ molecular volcano. However, whether the ASW to CSW phase transition remains in the solid phase or temporarily transforms into a viscous liquid does not affect the conclusions of the present study regarding the mesospheric significance of CO₂ trapping.

The CO_2 co-desorption peak appears at the temperature where maximum desorption of CSW occurs. This corresponds to the release of the remaining CO_2 trapped in the lower

layers of the ice matrix that did not have access to the outer surface of the H_2O film during crystallisation (Kumi et al., 2006, Malyk et al., 2007).

As the CO₂ flux increases with increasing CO₂:H₂O ratio, CO₂ trapping is limited by the reduction in the H₂O flux until no CO₂ desorption features from the water ice matrix were observed (13:1 CO₂:H₂O ratio). Experimentally, this corresponds to a lower limit H₂O flux of 4.8×10^{13} molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹. H₂O fluxes below this value are therefore not high enough to close micro-pores at the ASW surface before CO₂ molecules desorb during dosing (Galvez et al., 2008).

Using the experimental value for the H_2O flux lower limit (required for CO_2 trapping in ASW) a comparison to a realistic value of H_2O flux in the polar mesosphere can be undertaken. The mesospheric H_2O flux (in units of molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹) is given by:

$$H_2O \text{ flux} = \frac{\bar{c}}{4} [H_2O]$$
 (3)

where \bar{c} is the mean thermal velocity of the H₂O molecules (in units of cm s⁻¹) and is given by:

$$\bar{c} = 1.46 \times 10^4 \sqrt{T/M} \tag{4}$$

where *T* is the absolute temperature and *M* is the molar mass (in g). The concentration of H₂O molecules, [H₂O], in the polar mesosphere was calculated assuming optimal PMC cloud season conditions (10 ppmv of H₂O at a total pressure of 1 Pa at 83 km). At a temperature of 98 K, the maximum H₂O flux would be 6.3×10^{13} molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹, which is higher than the experimental threshold determined in this study (4.8×10^{13} molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹). A mesospheric CO₂ flux of 1.2×10^{15} molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹ (360 ppmv of CO₂ at a total pressure of 1 Pa at 83 km) can be estimated in the same way. This is higher than the experimental CO₂

flux range used in this study $((3.4 - 6.3) \times 10^{14} \text{ molecules cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$ indicating that sufficient CO_2 would be available in the mesosphere. However, this is at a temperature of 98 K, which is very rarely encountered (Lübken et al., 2009). Furthermore, H₂O would have likely condensed, either via heterogeneous (Rapp and Thomas, 2006, Plane, 2011) or homogeneous (Murray and Jensen, 2010) nucleation, to form pure ice particles well before such a low temperature was reached.

In order to determine the complete range of conditions that would be required in the mesosphere to trap CO₂, it is necessary to estimate the average number of H₂O molecules depositing onto an already adsorbed CO₂ molecule, in order to prevent it desorbing. First, the residence time of an adsorbed CO₂ on the ASW surface, τ , is the reciprocal of the desorption rate coefficient, k_{des} , which is given by Attard (1998) as:

$$\tau = \frac{1}{k_{des}} = \frac{1}{A \exp\left(\frac{-E_{des}}{RT}\right)}$$
(5)

where the pre-exponential factor A is the frequency of oscillation corresponding to the average of the librational modes of CO_2 (2.9 × 10¹² s⁻¹) and E_{des} is the binding energy of CO_2 on ASW (23.7 kJ mol⁻¹), which have been measured by Sandford and Allamandola (1990). The CO_2 surface residence time at 98 K is $\tau = 1.48$ s. Taking the collision cross section of a CO_2 molecule as 0.52 nm² (Atkins and De Paula, 2002) and the measured lower limit of the H₂O flux (4.8 × 10¹³ molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹), a minimum of 0.4 H₂O molecules must deposit on an adsorbed CO_2 molecule in order to trap it in the amorphous ice.

This result can now be used to determine the minimum H_2O mixing ratio (in units of ppmv) required for CO_2 trapping in ASW across a range of temperatures in the upper mesosphere, as shown in Figure 4. The region above the red line indicates plausible conditions for CO_2 trapping in ASW. The current maximum mesospheric H_2O concentration typical of the PMC

cloud season is 10 ppmv (Rong et al., 2010), which implies that a temperature below 100 K would be required for CO_2 trapping within PMC ice particles. At higher temperatures (~110 K) the H₂O concentration would need to be over an order of magnitude higher for CO_2 trapping to become a significant process.

4. Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the European Research Council (project number 291332 - CODITA), and a PhD studentship for TPM from the UK Natural Environment Research Council.

References

Atkins, P.W., De Paula, J., 2002. Atkins' Physical Chemistry. Oxford University Press.

Attard, G.B.C., 1998. Surfaces. Oxford University Press.

Emmert, J.T., Stevens, M.H., Bernath, P.F., Drob, D.P., Boone, C.D., 2012. Observations of increasing carbon dioxide concentration in Earth's thermosphere. Nature Geo. 5 (12), 868-871.

Galvez, O., Mate, B., Herrero, V.J., Escribano, R., 2008. Trapping and adsorption of CO2 in amorphous ice: A FTIR study. Icarus 197 (2), 599-605.

Galvez, O., Ortega, I.K., Mate, B., Moreno, M.A., Martin-Llorente, B., Herrero, V.J., Escribano, R., Gutierrez, P.J., 2007. A study of the interaction of CO₂ with water ice. Astron. Astrophys. 472 (2), 691-698.

Hervig, M.E., Gordley, L.L., 2010. Temperature, shape, and phase of mesospheric ice from Solar Occultation for Ice Experiment observations. J. Geophys. Res. 115, article no.: D15208.

Hervig, M.E., Thompson, R.E., McHugh, M., Gordley, L.L., Russell, J.M., Summers, M.E., 2001. First confirmation that water ice is the primary component of polar mesospheric clouds. Geophys. Res. Lett. 28 (6), 971-974.

Jenniskens, P., Blake, D.F., 1994. Structural Transitions in Amorphous Water Ice and Astrophysical Implications. Science 265 (5173), 753-756.

Jenniskens, P., Blake, D.F., 1996. Crystallization of amorphous water ice in the solar system. Astrophys. J. 473 (2), 1104-1113.

Kumi, G., Malyk, S., Hawkins, S., Reisler, H., Wittig, C., 2006. Amorphous solid water films: Transport and guest-host interactions with CO2 and N2O dopants. Journal of Physical Chemistry A 110 (6), 2097-2105.

Lübken, F.J., Lautenbach, J., Hoffner, J., Rapp, M., Zecha, M., 2009. First continuous temperature measurements within polar mesosphere summer echoes. J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys. 71 (3-4), 453-463.

Malyk, S., Kumi, G., Reisler, H., Wittig, C., 2007. Trapping and release of CO2 guest molecules by amorphous ice. Journal of Physical Chemistry A 111 (51), 13365-13370.

Mate, B., Galvez, O., Martin-Llorente, B., Moreno, M.A., Herrero, V.J., Escribano, R., Artacho, E., 2008. Ices of CO2/H2O mixtures. Reflection-absorption IR spectroscopy and theoretical calculations. Journal of Physical Chemistry A 112 (3), 457-465.

May, R.A., Smith, R.S., Kay, B.D., 2012. The Molecular Volcano Revisited: Determination of Crack Propagation and Distribution During the Crystallization of Nanoscale Amorphous Solid Water Films. Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters 3 (3), 327-331.

May, R.A., Smith, R.S., Kay, B.D., 2013. The release of trapped gases from amorphous solid water films. I. "Top-down" crystallization-induced crack propagation probed using the molecular volcano. Journal of Chemical Physics 138 (10), 104501.

Murray, B.J., Jensen, E.J., 2010. Homogeneous nucleation of amorphous solid water particles in the upper mesosphere. J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys. 72 (1), 51-61.

Oakes, D.J., 1994. Dissociative adsorption of simple alkanes induced by hypertherman collisions with platinum (PhD thesis), School of Chemical Sciences, University of East Anglia.

Plane, J.M.C., 2011. On the role of metal silicate molecules as ice nuclei. J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys. 73 (14-15), 2192-2200.

Plane, J.M.C., 2012. Cosmic dust in the earth's atmosphere. Chem. Soc. Rev. 41 (19), 6507-6518.

Rapp, M., Thomas, G.E., 2006. Modeling the microphysics of mesospheric ice particles: Assessment of current capabilities and basic sensitivities. J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys. 68 (7), 715-744.

Rapp, M., Thomas, G.E., 2006. Modeling the microphysics of mesospheric ice particles: Assessment of current capabilities and basic sensitivities. Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics 68 (7), 715-744.

Rong, P., Russell, J.M., III., Gordley, L.L., Hervig, M.E., Deaver, L., Bernath, P.F., Walker, K.A., 2010. Validation of v1.022 mesospheric water vapor observed by the Solar Occultation for Ice Experiment instrument on the Aeronomy of Ice in the Mesosphere satellite. J. Geophys. Res. 115, article no.: D24314.

Sandford, S.A., Allamandola, L.J., 1990. The physical and infrared spectral properties of CO₂ in astrophysical ice analogs. Astrophys. J. 355 (1), 357-372.

Saunders, R.W., Plane, J.M.C., 2006. A laboratory study of meteor smoke analogues: Composition, optical properties and growth kinetics. Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics 68 (18), 2182-2202.

Smith, R.S., Huang, C., Wong, E.K.L., Kay, B.D., 1997. The molecular volcano: Abrupt CCl₄ desorption driven by the crystallization of amorphous solid water. Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (5), 909-912.

Thomas, G.E., 1991. Mesospheric clouds and the physics of the mesopause region. Rev. Geophys. 29 (4), 553-575.

Trainer, M.G., Tolbert, M.A., McKay, C.P., Toon, O.B., 2010. Enhanced CO₂ trapping in water ice via atmospheric deposition with relevance to Mars. Icarus 206 (2), 707-715.

von Cossart, G., Fiedler, J., von Zahn, U., 1999. Size distributions of NLC particles as determined from 3-color observations of NLC by ground-based lidar. Geophys. Res. Lett. 26 (11), 1513-1516.

Vondrak, T., Plane, J.M.C., Meech, S.R., 2006. Influence of submonolayer sodium adsorption on the photoemission of the Cu(111)/water ice surface. J. Chem. Phys. 125 (22), article no.: 224702.

Figure Captions

Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the UHV chamber upper level (top) and Cu (111) crystal mount (bottom).

Figure 2: CO_2 background corrected TPD traces showing the desorption rate (arbitrary units) against temperature for trapped CO_2 /ice films (offset for clarity; the zero in each plot is the value at 145 K.). The CO_2 :H₂O ratio in the dosing mixture is indicated on the right-hand side of each plot.

Figure 3: H_2O TPD traces showing the desorption rate (arbitrary units) against temperature for the same CO_2 : H_2O ratios as in Figure 2 (note that the traces are offset for clarity).

Figure 4: A plot of the minimum H_2O concentration (ppmv) required to trap CO_2 in ASW, as a function of temperature (K).

Figures:

Figure 1.













Figure 4.

