



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Spatio-temporal relief from hypoxia and production of reactive oxygen species during bud burst in grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.)*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/87962/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Meitha, K, Konnerup, D, Colmer, TD et al. (3 more authors) (2015) Spatio-temporal relief from hypoxia and production of reactive oxygen species during bud burst in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera L.*). *Annals of Botany*, 116 (4). 703 - 711. ISSN 0305-7364

<https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcv123>

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Spatio-temporal relief from hypoxia and production of reactive oxygen species during bud burst in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.)

Karlia Meitha¹, Dennis Konnerup^{1,2}, Timothy D Colmer¹, John A Considine¹, Christine H Foyer^{1,3}, Michael J Considine^{1,3,4*}

¹School of Plant Biology, and the Institute of Agriculture, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA, 6009 Australia

²Freshwater Biological Laboratory, Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 4, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

³Centre for Plant Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, Yorkshire, LS29JT United Kingdom

⁴Department of Agriculture and Food Western Australia, South Perth, WA, 6151 Australia

RUNNING TITLE Regulated hypoxia, reactive oxygen species during grapevine bud burst

*Correspondence: michael.considine@uwa.edu.au

Word count 4,730 (including Abstract and Keywords, not References or Figure legends).

Figures 5 colour

Tables 0

References 81

1 ABSTRACT

2 • Background and Aims

3 Plants regulate cellular oxygen partial pressures (pO_2), together with reduction/
4 oxidation (redox) state to manage rapid developmental transitions such as bud burst
5 after a period of quiescence. However, our understanding of pO_2 regulation in
6 complex meristematic organs such as buds is incomplete, and particularly lacks
7 spatial resolution.

8 • Methods

9 The gradients in pO_2 from the outer scales to the primary meristem complex were
10 measured in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) buds, together with respiratory CO_2
11 production rates and the accumulation of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide, from
12 ecodormancy through the first 72 h preceding bud burst, triggered by the transition
13 from low to ambient temperatures.

14 • Key Results

15 Steep internal pO_2 gradients were measured in dormant buds with values as low as
16 2.5 kPa found in the core of the bud prior to bud burst. Respiratory CO_2 production
17 rates increased soon after the transition from low to ambient temperatures and the
18 bud tissues gradually became oxygenated in a patterned process. Within 3 h of the
19 transition to ambient temperatures, superoxide accumulation was observed in the
20 cambial meristem, co-localising with lignified cellulose associated with pro-vascular
21 tissues. Thereafter, superoxide accumulated in other areas subtending the apical
22 meristem complex, in the absence of significant hydrogen peroxide accumulation,
23 except in the cambial meristem. By 72 h, the internal pO_2 gradient showed a
24 biphasic profile, where the minimum pO_2 was external to the core of the bud
25 complex.

26 • Conclusions

1 Spatial and temporal control of the tissue oxygen environment occurs within
2 quiescent buds, and the transition from quiescence to bud burst is accompanied by a
3 regulated relaxation of the hypoxic state and accumulation of reactive oxygen
4 species (ROS) within the developing cambium and vascular tissues of the
5 heterotrophic grapevine buds.

6

7 **KEYWORDS**

8 Bud burst, *Vitis vinifera* L., Grapevine, Reactive Oxygen Species, Superoxide, Hypoxia,
9 Oxygen Partial Pressure, Meristem, Development, Respiration, Ecodormancy,
10 Quiescence.

11

1 INTRODUCTION

2 The buds of perennial trees and vines comprise one or more embryonic shoots with
3 multiple meristems of diverse organogenic states, enclosed in a protective shell of dense
4 scales. Similar to germinating seeds, the transition from quiescence to metabolically-
5 active occurring during bud burst is rapid, and requires the re-structuring of intercellular
6 communication, respiratory and biosynthetic metabolism and cell division and
7 expansion. The identity, pluripotency and fate of cells in the meristem is determined by
8 spatial organisation (Esau, 1977, van den Berg et al., 1995), which is compounded in
9 the embryonic shoot. Hence, this transition requires intricate spatial and temporal
10 coordination of intercellular signalling networks within and between the functional
11 domains of each meristem.

12 Oxygen is an essential substrate and signal in all aerobic organisms. Plants regulate the
13 availability of oxygen and its metabolism during key transitions, including the
14 regulation of quiescence (Considine and Foyer, 2014). Within this context the cellular
15 reduction/ oxidation (redox) hub plays a key role (Gapper and Dolan, 2006, Considine
16 and Foyer, 2014), and we suggest the partial pressure of oxygen (pO_2) also plays an
17 important role, as known in animals and other aerobic organisms (Brahimi-Horn et al.,
18 2007). The complex roles of redox processes in seed germination (Diaz-Vivancos et al.,
19 2013 and references therein) and the control of pO_2 are far from understood (Bradford et
20 al., 2008, Borisjuk and Rolletschek, 2009). Similarly, our current knowledge of redox
21 and pO_2 sensing and signalling during bud burst is limited, particularly in terms of the
22 spatial resolution of oxygen dynamics. Animal stem cell models consider that the redox
23 environment, together with hypoxia (low pO_2), are central regulators of the stem cell
24 niche, that are key to cell identity and the maintenance of quiescence and pluripotency
25 (Mohyeldin et al., 2010, Wang et al., 2013). The quiescent centre of the root meristem

1 resides in an oxidised niche (Jiang et al., 2003, Jiang and Feldman, 2005). It is probable
2 that the organising centre and stem cells of the shoot apical meristems have similar
3 requirements (Reichheld et al., 2007, Considine and Foyer, 2014).

4 In plants, as in animals, intracellular redox signals govern the cell cycle (Colucci et al.,
5 2002, Jiang et al., 2003, Rothstein and Lucchesi, 2005, Diaz Vivancos et al., 2010). The
6 local perception of pO_2 in animals enables acclimation during developmental
7 transitions, as well as mediating responses to various stress conditions and pathologies
8 (Brahimi-Horn et al., 2007). Recent studies have increased understanding of the sensing
9 and signalling of pO_2 in plant oxygen-stress responses (Gibbs et al., 2011, Licausi et al.,
10 2011). However this type of regulation has scarcely been studied in developing systems
11 other than seeds.

12 Regulation of respiration is central to the transition from quiescence to the metabolically
13 active state. During seed germination or bud burst, respiration increases because of the
14 requirement for oxidative phosphorylation and reducing power (Morohashi and
15 Shimokoriyama, 1975, Hourmant and Pradet, 1981, Bewley, 1997). Studies on seeds
16 have demonstrated a regulatory role of redox signalling during germination and clear
17 spatial gradients that illustrate the function of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and low
18 molecular weight antioxidants in cell division and expansion (Gidrol et al., 1994,
19 Schopfer et al., 2001, Oracz et al., 2009, Kranner et al., 2010, Rewers and Sliwinska,
20 2014).

21 The transition to bud burst can be accelerated by numerous sub-lethal stresses, including
22 transient inhibition of respiration, heat shock or hypoxia (Esashi and Nagao, 1973, Erez
23 et al., 1980, Erez, 1987), as is also the case with seed germination (Roberts, 1962,
24 Siegel et al., 1962, Siegel et al., 1964, Chen, 1970, Al-Ani et al., 1985). ROS are
25 proposed to be key signalling agents induced by respiratory inhibition, as they function

1 both directly on the cell cycle and by modulating activities of plant growth regulators
2 such as ethylene, abscisic acid and auxin (Ophir et al., 2009). This fits with earlier
3 suggestions that repressed catalase activity (Shulman et al., 1983, Nir et al., 1986) and
4 increased production of hydrogen peroxide stimulate bud burst in grapevine (Perez and
5 Lira, 2005, Vergara et al., 2012a). Indirect evidence that dormant buds reside in an
6 hypoxic state comes from analyses of gene expression. Transcripts encoding proteins
7 involved in oxidative phosphorylation and the TCA cycle are repressed in dormant buds
8 while those encoding components involved in glycolysis, pyruvate metabolism,
9 fermentation and redox networks are increased (Halaly et al., 2008, Ophir et al., 2009,
10 Vergara et al., 2012b). Much of these data come from buds under stress conditions.

11 The scales of buds have low oxygen permeability and so the enclosed tissues are likely
12 to be hypoxic, similar to the situation in dry seeds (Borisjuk and Rolletschek, 2009). In
13 the seeds of some species, the suberised cell layers beneath the seed coat act as a barrier
14 to oxygen diffusion, and their removal accelerates germination (Collis-George and
15 Melville, 1974, Rolletschek et al., 2007). To date, no studies in the literature report data
16 on pO₂ values in buds. The following studies were therefore performed to resolve this
17 issue, and to examine the cellular redox poise and pO₂ status during bud burst.
18 Furthermore, we aimed to resolve the spatio-temporal changes in these parameters that
19 accompany the transition to bud burst, in a simplified developmental system that may
20 provide a platform for further studies in a range of conditions and quiescent states
21 (Considine and Foyer, 2014). The following experiments were performed on grapevine
22 (*Vitis vinifera* L.), which is one of the most economically important woody perennial
23 crop species, and has become a model species for research on perennial woody plants.
24 Due to the anatomical complexity of the grapevine bud relative to other meristematic
25 organs, it is useful to describe grapevine bud structure (Pratt, 1974, May, 2004). The

1 mature bud complex, or N+2 according to May (2004), comprises a hierarchy of three
2 buds – primary, secondary and tertiary, each resembling primordial shoots (**Fig. 1**). The
3 primary bud is the most developed and by maturity bears 12-15 nodes, including
4 inflorescence, tendril and leaf primordia, enclosed by layers of bracts and hairs. During
5 maturation prior to winter, outer bracts lignify and harden to physically protect the bud
6 over winter. Concurrent with this is a gradual cessation of meristematic activity and the
7 acquisition of tolerance to desiccation and chilling (Schrader et al., 2004, Rohde et al.,
8 2007, Ruttink et al., 2007). The cessation of growth involves the acquisition of
9 dormancy, defined as the failure of an intact, viable bud to burst in otherwise conducive
10 conditions, until repressive factors are overcome through entrainment to seasonal
11 signals such as chilling and photoperiod (Bewley, 1997), otherwise known as
12 endodormancy (Lang et al., 1987). Once endodormancy is overcome, the bud is said to
13 be ecodormant, i.e. quiescent but awaiting conducive conditions for growth. In this
14 study, we refer to the mature bud complex as a whole, although pO₂ measurements were
15 directed at the primary bud, and the secondary and tertiary buds were often lost during
16 histological processing. The data presented here show that ecodormant buds undergo a
17 regulated transition from hypoxia to the oxygenated state during bud burst. These
18 findings provide a platform to further explore and dissect the roles of these signalling
19 agents in mediating transitions in bud dormancy governed by environmental and
20 developmental inputs.

21

22

23

1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2 *Plant material*

3 Grapevine var. Crimson Seedless canes with mature dormant buds were harvested mid-
4 winter from a vineyard in Yallingup Siding, Western Australia (Latitude: -33.694;
5 Longitude: 115.102). Canes with buds intact were stored at 4 °C in the dark until they
6 had received at least 5500 chilling hours (*c.* 7 months). The low degree of quiescence of
7 the buds after cold-storage was confirmed by growing single-node cuttings of nodes 5-7
8 (explants, numbered acropetally) at 23 °C in vermiculite in darkness, with water
9 maintained at field capacity (refer **Fig. 1** for developmental progression). Nodes 5-7
10 were chosen due to positional effects noted previously (Antcliff and May, 1961). The
11 cumulative rate of bud burst was scored similarly to that described by Antcliff and May
12 (1961) and according to the modified Eichorn-Lorenz scale (EL; Coombe, 2004),
13 showing that 50 % of buds had reached EL-4 after 96 h at 23 °C and 80 % bud burst by
14 240 h (data not shown). On this basis we chose to study a time series over 72 h from
15 transfer to 23 °C, in continuous darkness to minimise complexity. One or more single
16 nodes were considered a biological replicate, as described for each assay.

17 *Internal O₂ partial pressure (pO₂)*

18 The internal pO₂ of buds were measured after 3, 24 and 72 h at 23 °C, using a Clark-
19 type oxygen microelectrode with tip diameter of 25 µm (OX-25, Unisense A/S, Aarhus,
20 Denmark). Internal pO₂ was also measured in buds with the outer scales removed by
21 scalpel 10 min prior, after 3 h at 23 °C. Microelectrodes were calibrated at atmospheric
22 pO₂ (20.87 kPa) and at zero O₂, then mechanically guided into the buds, from the outer
23 scale surface to the core of the primary bud, in 25 µm steps to a depth of 2000 µm using
24 motorised micro-manipulator (MC-232, Unisense). The microelectrode recording was

1 allowed to stabilise for 20 s after each step with measurements taken over the
2 subsequent 10 s. Means and 95 % confidence intervals of individual buds (n = 3) were
3 calculated using R (R Development Core Team, 2014) and graphics were compiled
4 using the latticeExtra package and functions within (Sarkar and Andrews, 2013).

5 *Bud respiratory CO₂ production*

6 Four buds per biological replicate were excised from the cane by transverse section at
7 the base of the bud, weighed and placed onto thin agar plates, cut-side down, so that O₂
8 entry and CO₂ exit would occur across the bud scales rather than via the cut base. The
9 rate of CO₂ production of each biological replicate was measured in the dark, in an
10 insect respiration chamber (6400-89, Li-COR, Nebraska, Canada) attached to Li-
11 6400XT portable gas exchange system. Measurements were performed at 23 °C, in
12 CO₂-controlled air (380 μmol CO₂.mol⁻¹ air) with 100 μmol.m⁻².s⁻¹ air flow, at 55-75 %
13 relative humidity. The system was allowed to stabilise for 10 min before recording and
14 until the “stableF” value was equal to 1, i.e. the condition of humidity, CO₂ and air flow
15 were in equilibrium and stable. Means and 95 % confidence intervals were determined
16 by fitting the time-series of CO₂ evolution to a quadratic equation of the form, $y = \alpha +$
17 $\beta_1x + \beta_2 x^2$, using the linear model function within R (R Development Core Team,
18 2014) and plotted using ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009).

19 *Histology*

20 Chemicals for histology were supplied by Sigma (St. Louis, USA) unless otherwise
21 stated. To confirm the path of the pO₂ microelectrode, buds were fixed for sectioning
22 immediately after measurement. Before excision and fixation, a vector was cut in a
23 sagittal plane from each side of the bud complex, adjacent to the primary bud and
24 parallel to the path of the microelectrode to aid penetration of the fixative. Buds were

1 then excised from the cane by transverse section at the base of the bud, then fixed in
2 10 % v/v formaldehyde (Chem-Supply, Adelaide, Australia) with 5 % v/v propionic
3 acid (Ajax Chemicals, Sydney, Australia) overnight at 4 °C, and subsequently
4 dehydrated in serial ethanol solutions (15, 20, 25, 30, 50, 75, 90 and 100 % v/v), 30 min
5 each, with gentle agitation at 4 °C. Buds were then embedded in paraffin wax. Sagittal
6 sections (5 µm) of the bud were made on a microtome (Leica Biosystems, RM2255,
7 Nussloch, Germany), transferred to slide, de-waxed and stained with 0.05 % w/v of
8 toluidine blue O in 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 4.8. The sections were then scanned at
9 20x magnification by Aperio Scanscope LX (Leica Biosystems).

10 Histological detection of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and superoxide (O₂^{•-}) were
11 performed on bud sections from explants grown for 0, 3, 23 or 72 h at 23 °C. The
12 methods of Groten et al. (2005) were followed with minor change: nitrobluetetrazolium
13 (NBT) and 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) were each dissolved in 10 mM phosphate
14 buffer pH 7.8 without dimethylsulfoxide. Buds were excised from the cane as described
15 to visualise the path of the microelectrode, and stained under light vacuum for 8 h at
16 room temperature in darkness. Stained buds were fixed in 4 % v/v formaldehyde
17 (Chem-Supply) in a buffer of 5 mM MgSO₄, 5 mM EGTA and 50 mM PIPES pH 6.9,
18 vacuum infiltrated for 1 h, incubated overnight at 4 °C, dehydrated in serial ethanol
19 solutions (15, 20, 25, 30, 50, 75, 90 and 100 % v/v), 30 min each, with gentle agitation
20 at 4 °C. The buds were then transferred into 1:1 v/v of ethanol: Steedman's wax
21 solution (Norenburg and Barrett, 1987) and incubated for overnight at room temperature
22 prior to embedding. Serial sagittal sections of the bud were made at 20 µm intervals
23 using a microtome (Leica Biosystems, RM2255), transferred to slide and de-waxed in
24 100 % followed by 50 % v/v, 5 min each solution. The sections were then scanned at
25 20x magnification by Aperio Scanscope LX (Leica Biosystems).

1 To visualise lignin, NBT-stained buds were counter-stained with 0.05 % w/v Auramine-
2 O (Ajax Chemicals, Auburn, Australia) in deionised water. A drop of stain solution was
3 placed on each section and let to absorb for 1 min before washing the slides with
4 sprayed water. The stained sections were then visualized using Carl Zeiss microscope
5 (D-708Z Oberkochen, West Germany) with blue light 450 - 490 nm.

6

1 RESULTS

2 *CO₂ production and internal oxygen partial pressure (pO₂)*

3 Respiratory CO₂ production rates increased from *c.* 4.0 to 5.2 nmol CO₂.g FW⁻¹.s⁻¹ in
4 ecodormant buds maintained at 23 °C over the first 72 h following the transition from
5 low to ambient temperatures. Subsequently respiration rates fell to 4.0 nmol
6 CO₂.g FW⁻¹.s⁻¹ by 144 h (**Fig. 2**), showing that metabolic activity was increased upon
7 transition to conducive growth conditions for bud burst.

8 We determined the internal pO₂ profile from the outer scale towards the core of the
9 primary bud complex; At 3 h after transfer to 23 °C, which was the earliest stage of
10 measurement, the internal pO₂ was hypoxic immediately within the scale (*c.* 10 kPa *cf.*
11 air = 20.6 kPa), declined towards 5 kPa within the outer 500 μm and declined steadily to
12 *c.* 2.5 kPa through to the core of the bud complex (**Fig. 3A**). Some replicate data
13 showed undetectable O₂ (severe hypoxia/potential anoxia) at the core. Removal of the
14 outer layer of scales at this time point resulted in oxygenation of the outer 15-1800 μm
15 of the tissue profile, relative to the intact bud, however the core remained near 2.5 kPa
16 (**Fig. 3B**). Despite this effect, de-scaling buds had no significant effect on the rate or
17 completion of bud burst to stage EL-4, relative to intact buds (data not shown, refer
18 Materials and Methods). We then determined the pO₂ profiles of intact buds at 24 and
19 72 h after transfer to 23 °C to determine whether removal of the scale at 3 h simply
20 expedited the normal progression of oxygenation within the bud. By 24 h, only the pO₂
21 of the outer 500 μm of the bud had increased, up to *c.* 15 kPa pO₂ immediately within
22 the scale, while the remaining path towards the core remained near levels seen in intact
23 buds at 3 h (**Fig. 3C**). By 72 h, the pO₂ profile of outer 1400 μm of tissue resembled
24 that of the de-scaled buds at 3 h, however the pO₂ of the inner 500 μm had increased,

1 resulting in a biphasic profile such that the minimum pO_2 along the electrode's transect
2 was *c.* 7 kPa at 1400 μm depth from the scale, while at 2000 μm depth, the pO_2 was
3 >10 kPa (**Fig. 3D**). **Figure 3E** shows the path of the microelectrode in a representative
4 section.

5 *Histological detection of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide*

6 Using replicate buds of the same developmental series and treatment conditions as used
7 for pO_2 microelectrode measurements, we stained for the local accumulation of
8 superoxide ($O_2^{\cdot-}$) and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), detected as the products of reactions
9 with NBT or DAB respectively. Immediately upon removal from 4 °C (0 h) and after
10 3 h at 23 °C, $O_2^{\cdot-}$ accumulated in a very confined zone of the meristematic tissue,
11 around the axillary meristems (**Fig. 4A**). After 3 h however, $O_2^{\cdot-}$ accumulation was
12 observed in the cambial meristem tissues. For the first 3 h no H_2O_2 accumulation was
13 detected in tissues around the apical meristem but low levels were observed in the
14 cambial meristem tissue (**Fig. 4E, 4F**). After 24 h, $O_2^{\cdot-}$ levels were increased in a wider
15 zone of tissues of the apical meristem complex and retained in the cambial meristem
16 tissues, while H_2O_2 was not accumulated in the tissues with the exception of the cambial
17 meristem (**Fig. 4C, 4G**). At this time point the pO_2 at the core of the bud complex
18 remained low. A more distinct pattern of $O_2^{\cdot-}$ localisation emerged at 72 h, which
19 suggested association with the developing pro-vascular tissues (**Fig. 4D**). At 72 h, no
20 H_2O_2 accumulation was observed in the bud tissues (**Fig. 4H**). By this stage, the pO_2 at
21 the core of the bud complex had increased, suggesting a possible association between
22 the patterns.

23 To investigate the cell types associated with the distinct $O_2^{\cdot-}$ pattern seen at 72 h, we
24 counter-stained sections to visualise lignin. **Figure 5** shows a clear co-localisation of
25 $O_2^{\cdot-}$ with lignified cellulose as early as 3 h from transfer to 23 °C, but not earlier,

1 providing further evidence that these are developing pro-vascular tissues. At 0 h, O_2^-
2 accumulation was localised in the meristematic tissues but very little lignin associated
3 with this pattern (**Fig. 5C, 5D** show magnified images of the boxed areas of **Fig. 5A,**
4 **5B**). By contrast, at 3 h the co-localisation of O_2^- and lignin was observed (**Fig. 5D, 5E,**
5 **5F** shows the individual and superimposed images). Close inspection of **Figure 5E**
6 reveals the typical ladder-like perforation plates of xylem vessel elements.
7

1 **DISCUSSION**

2 The experimental system presented here mitigated the potentially confounding effects of
3 endodormancy and the influence of light. Endodormancy in grapevine, as in many
4 perennial trees and vines is primarily overcome by an accumulated exposure to chilling.
5 Adequately chilled buds are termed ecodormant, a qualitative condition that is repressed
6 only by the unfavourable growth environment (i.e. cold) and therefore more comparable
7 to quiescence in other organs and forms of life. Bud burst *per se* does not require the
8 presence of light (Pouget, 1963), although several studies have demonstrated influences
9 of light intensity and photoperiod on organogenesis at other stages of development
10 (Buttrose, 1970, Srinivasan and Mullins, 1981). There is no knowledge of whether
11 photosynthesis may initiate in the bud prior to bud burst. Drawing analogy to seeds,
12 where in several species photosynthesis influences the internal pO₂ even during
13 development or when mature and imbibed prior to germination (Borisjuk and
14 Rolletschek, 2009), we may expect this to be the case in buds. Hence, overcoming
15 endodormancy and excluding light allowed us to accurately and precisely study
16 heterotrophic metabolism during the acute phase of bud burst.

17 Cells in a quiescent state are defined by very slow metabolic rates, with minimal
18 respiration until environmental or metabolic triggers prime the metabolic systems to
19 resume growth. While several authors have described conserved responses to hypoxia or
20 other oxidative stress across species and life forms (Hochachka, 1986, Jones et al.,
21 2000, Mustrup et al., 2010), it is not possible to construct a generalised description of
22 the metabolic state of quiescent cells or the changes that occur upon the transition to the
23 metabolically active state or subsequent proliferation (Valcourt et al., 2012, Teslaa and
24 Teitell, 2015). The findings of the present study provide new insights into the
25 management of hypoxia when dormancy is broken in quiescent grapevine buds by

1 exposure to chilling and the subsequent transition to ambient temperatures. While
2 respiration rates are rapidly increased and superoxide accumulation is observed in and
3 around the developing lignified zone of the cambium following the transition to ambient
4 temperatures, the release from the hypoxic state is gradual and occurs in specific regions
5 of the bud as the developmental transition progresses.

6 A rapid acceleration of respiratory CO₂ production was observed in the buds following
7 the transition from low to ambient temperatures demonstrates the alleviation of the
8 constraints maintaining the quiescent state. This process, which was observed over the
9 72 h of bud burst measured at 23 °C, resembles the pattern observed during seed
10 imbibition (Bewley, 1997) and in other studies on perennial buds (Hollis and Tepper,
11 1971, Shulman et al., 1983, Gardea et al., 1994, McPherson et al., 1997, Perez et al.,
12 2008). Measurements of respiratory CO₂ production, do not allow discrimination
13 between TCA cycle activity, fermentation, the pentose phosphate pathway or other
14 pathways. Evidence suggests that fermentation occurs during bud burst under stress
15 conditions and that the imposition of stress accelerates bud burst. For example
16 acetaldehyde and ethanol accumulate in ecodormant grape buds treated with sodium
17 azide, hydrogen cyanamide or heat shock (Ophir et al., 2009). Hydrogen cyanamide,
18 heat shock and hypoxia increase the levels of transcripts that are orthologues of
19 *ALCOHOL DEHYDROGENASE*, *PYRUVATE DECARBOXYLASE* and *SUCROSE*
20 *SYNTHASE* in ecodormant grapevine buds (Or et al., 2000, Ophir et al., 2009, Vergara
21 et al., 2012b). However in each case, untreated controls showed a slower or weaker
22 transcriptional response with negligible fermentation activities observed during bud
23 burst. These observations suggest that stress-induced changes in transcript profiles do
24 not reflect the transcriptome signatures of developmental regulation of bud burst. Some
25 evidence of pentose phosphate pathway activity was seen throughout seasonal

1 development in pear buds (Zimmerman and Faust, 1969), and during chilling of potato
2 tubers (Dwelle and Stallknecht, 1978) or Peony buds (Gai et al., 2013). However, these
3 studies represent quite different physiological states compared to bud burst.

4 Many plant tissues and organs, including dry seeds, have permeability barriers that
5 reduce oxygen diffusion. In the case of seeds, the hypoxic state may contribute to
6 maintaining quiescence (refer Introduction). The data presented here show that the
7 scales of the dormant bud are a significant barrier to oxygen. Crucially however, the
8 meristematic core of the bud tissues remained in a hypoxic state even when the outer
9 scales were removed. While Iwasaki and Weaver (1977) suggested some acceleration of
10 bud burst in de-scaled ecodormant grapevine buds, removal of the outer scales did not
11 affect the rate of bud burst in our study (data not shown). Schneider (1968) also showed
12 that removal of scales attenuated quiescence of *Rhododendron* floral buds. However, in
13 these earlier studies there was very limited replication of experiments. Nevertheless, it is
14 conceivable that the buds used in our study were near to 100 % labile and hence very
15 little effect of scale removal would be seen.

16 The data reported here demonstrate that the pO_2 at the meristematic core of the bud
17 complex was in an hypoxic state for up to 24 h after the environmental trigger to resume
18 growth had caused an increased in respiration. Respiratory CO_2 production rates had
19 increased by 15 % in 24 h and superoxide accumulation was observed in the cambial
20 tissues underlying the meristematic core of the bud complex. By 72 h however, the
21 oxygen profile was biphasic, the oxygen levels within the bud core had increased and
22 superoxide accumulation was pronounced within the pro-vascular tissues. Present data
23 are insufficient to explain the biphasic profile of oxygenation. In the heterotrophic
24 conditions presented, even once the resistance to diffusion of the outer scales and
25 compacted tissues were relaxed, the increased respiratory rates would contribute to

1 substantial declines in pO_2 with distance into the tissue. Further investigation of the
2 vascular flow and metabolic activities at the core of the bud complex are required. Our
3 group is currently exploring these features, and also the developmental processes and
4 controls that preside in the presence of light, where photosynthesis may contribute to
5 oxygenation even prior to bud burst, as is the case during germination of some seeds
6 (Borisjuk and Rolletschek, 2009).

7 Vascular development and re-activation of intercellular communication are proposed to
8 be essential early features of the transitions to and from quiescence in plant organs,
9 including grapevine buds (Esau, 1948, Rinne et al., 2001, Paul et al., 2014). Cell
10 expansion, cell wall thickening and the conductivity of plasmodesmata in vascular
11 tissues are all dependent on, or influenced by ROS accumulation (Gapper and Dolan,
12 2006, Benitez-Alfonso et al., 2011). Ogawa et al. (1997) showed a strong co-
13 localisation of lignin and superoxide (NBT) in vascular tissue of spinach hypocotyls.
14 Moreover, these authors demonstrated that inhibition of CuZn SUPEROXIDE
15 DISMUTASE (CuZnSOD) or NAD(P)H OXIDASE reduced vascular lignin
16 biosynthesis. More recently, ectopic expression of CuZnSOD and/ or ASCORBATE
17 PEROXIDASE (APX) in *Arabidopsis* resulted in enhanced vascular lignin synthesis
18 (Shafi et al., 2015). SOD, APX and catalase were found in cell membranes that had
19 been partially purified from lignin-producing tissues of Norway spruce (Karkonen et al.
20 (2014). Taken together, these data suggest that vascular lignin synthesis is dependent on
21 superoxide and/ or hydrogen peroxide production. It is important to note that hydrogen
22 peroxide did not accumulate in vascular tissues of the buds studied here.

23 Taken together, the data presented here adds to the growing body of evidence showing
24 that regulation redox and oxygen metabolism are critical to organ development
25 (Considine and Foyer, 2014). The present study demonstrates that during bud burst, the

1 complex network of enclosed shoot meristems undergoes a controlled transition from
2 hypoxia to increasing pO₂. This transition is accompanied by a highly localised
3 accumulation of ROS in and around the developing cambium and vascular tissues.
4 These data clearly demonstrate the spatial and temporal nature of the control of the
5 oxygen and redox environments within the bud that occurs during the transition from
6 quiescence to burst in heterotrophic grapevine buds.

7

8 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

9 This work was supported by a postgraduate Australia Award Scholarship to KM
10 (Australia Government) and Australian Research Council grants to MC (LP0990355)
11 and to MC, CF, JC and TC (DP150100347). KM and MC would like to thank the
12 Australian Grape and Wine Authority for a travel scholarship, which supported some of
13 this research and collaboration. MC and CF thank the Royal Society (UK) for a Society
14 International Exchanges 2011/R2 (IE111477) joint project grant to support this work.
15 All authors would like to thank A/Prof Ole Pedersen for technical advice on the pO₂
16 measurements. The authors acknowledge the facilities, and the scientific and technical
17 assistance of the Australian Microscopy & Microanalysis Research Facility at the
18 Centre for Microscopy, Characterisation & Analysis, The University of Western
19 Australia, a facility funded by the University, State and Commonwealth Governments.
20 The authors also would like to thank Mary Lee and acknowledge the facilities and
21 scientific and technical expertise of CELLCentral, School of Anatomy, Physiology and
22 Human Biology, The University of Western Australia.

23

1 REFERENCES

- 2 **Al-Ani A, Bruzau F, Raymond P, Saint-Ges V, Leblanc JM, Pradet A. 1985.**
3 Germination, respiration, and adenylate energy charge of seeds at various
4 oxygen partial pressures. *Plant Physiology*, **79**: 885-890.
- 5 **Antcliff AJ, May P. 1961.** Dormancy and bud burst in sultana vines. *Vitis*, **3**: 1-14.
- 6 **Benitez-Alfonso Y, Jackson D, Maule A. 2011.** Redox regulation of intercellular
7 transport. *Protoplasma*, **248**: 131-140.
- 8 **Bewley DJ. 1997.** Seed germination and dormancy. *The Plant Cell*, **9**: 1055-1066.
- 9 **Borisjuk L, Rolletschek H. 2009.** The oxygen status of the developing seed. *New*
10 *Phytologist*, **182**: 17-30.
- 11 **Bradford KJ, Benech-Arnold RL, Côme D, Corbineau F. 2008.** Quantifying the
12 sensitivity of barley seed germination to oxygen, abscisic acid, and gibberellin
13 using a population-based threshold model. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **59**:
14 335-347.
- 15 **Brahimi-Horn MC, Chiche J, Pouysségur J. 2007.** Hypoxia signalling controls
16 metabolic demand. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, **19**: 223-229.
- 17 **Buttrose MS. 1970.** Fruitfulness in grapevines: the response of different cultivars to
18 light, temperature and day length. *Vitis*, **9**: 121-125.
- 19 **Chen SSC. 1970.** Influence of factors affecting germination on respiration of *Phacelia*
20 *tanacetifolia* seeds. *Planta*, **95**: 330-335.
- 21 **Collis-George N, Melville M. 1974.** Models of oxygen diffusion in respiring seed.
22 *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **25**: 1053-1069.
- 23 **Colucci G, Apone F, Alyeshmerni N, Chalmers D, Chrispeels MJ. 2002.** GCR1, the
24 putative Arabidopsis G protein-coupled receptor gene is cell cycle-regulated,
25 and its overexpression abolishes seed dormancy and shortens time to flowering.
26 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
27 *America*, **99**: 4736-4741.
- 28 **Considine MJ, Foyer CH. 2014.** Redox regulation of plant development. *Antioxidants*
29 *& Redox Signaling*, **21**: 1305-1326.
- 30 **Coombe BG. 2004.** Grapevine growth stages - The modified E-L system. In: Dry PR,
31 Coombe BG eds. *Viticulture 1 - Resources*. 2 ed. Adelaide, Australia, Winetitles.
- 32 **Diaz-Vivancos P, Barba-Espín G, Hernández J. 2013.** Elucidating hormonal/ROS
33 networks during seed germination: insights and perspectives. *Plant Cell Reports*:
34 1-12.
- 35 **Diaz Vivancos P, Dong Y, Ziegler K, Markovic J, Pallardó FV, Pellny TK, Verrier**
36 **PJ, Foyer CH. 2010.** Recruitment of glutathione into the nucleus during cell
37 proliferation adjusts whole-cell redox homeostasis in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and
38 lowers the oxidative defence shield. *The Plant Journal*, **64**: 825-838.
- 39 **Dwelle R, Stallknecht G. 1978.** Pentose phosphate metabolism of potato tuber discs as
40 influenced by prior storage temperature. *Plant Physiology*, **61**: 252-253.
- 41 **Erez A. 1987.** Chemical control of bud break. *HortScience*, **22**: 1240-1243.
- 42 **Erez A, Couvillon G, Kays S. 1980.** The effect of oxygen concentration on the release
43 of peach leaf buds from rest. *HortScience*, **15**: 39-41.
- 44 **Esashi Y, Nagao M. 1973.** Effects of oxygen and respiratory inhibitors on induction
45 and release of dormancy in aerial tubers of *Begonia evansiana*. *Plant*
46 *Physiology*, **51**: 504-507.
- 47 **Esau K. 1948.** Phloem structure in the grapevine, and its seasonal changes. *Hilgardia*,
48 **18**: 217-296.

- 1 **Esau K. 1977.** Development of the seed plant. *Anatomy of Seed Plants*. 2nd ed. New
2 York, John Wiley and Sons. pp12-15.
- 3 **Gai S, Zhang Y, Liu C, Zhang Y, Zheng G. 2013.** Transcript profiling of *Paeonia*
4 *ostii* during artificial chilling induced dormancy release identifies activation of
5 GA pathway and carbohydrate metabolism. *PLoS ONE*, **8**: e55297.
- 6 **Gapper C, Dolan L. 2006.** Control of plant development by reactive oxygen species.
7 *Plant Physiology*, **141**: 341-345.
- 8 **Gardea A, Moreno Y, Azarenko A, Lombard P, Daley L, Criddle R. 1994.** Changes
9 in metabolic properties of grape buds during development. *Journal of the*
10 *American Society for Horticultural Science*, **119**: 756-760.
- 11 **Gibbs DJ, Lee SC, Md Isa N, Gramuglia S, Fukao T, Bassel GW, Correia CS,**
12 **Corbineau F, Theodoulou FL, Bailey-Serres J, Holdsworth MJ. 2011.**
13 Homeostatic response to hypoxia is regulated by the N-end rule pathway in
14 plants. *Nature*, **479**: 415-418.
- 15 **Gidrol X, Lin WS, Dégouée N, Yip SF, Kush A. 1994.** Accumulation of reactive
16 oxygen species and oxidation of cytokinin in germinating soybean seeds.
17 *European Journal of Biochemistry*, **224**: 21-28.
- 18 **Groten K, Vanacker H, Dutilleul C, Bastian F, Bernard S, Carzaniga R, Foyer CH.**
19 **2005.** The roles of redox processes in pea nodule development and senescence.
20 *Plant, Cell & Environment*, **28**: 1293-1304.
- 21 **Halaly T, Pang X, Batikoff T, Crane O, Keren A, Venkateswari J, Ogrodovitch A,**
22 **Sadka A, Lavee S, Or E. 2008.** Similar mechanisms might be triggered by
23 alternative external stimuli that induce dormancy release in grape buds. *Planta*,
24 **228**: 79-88.
- 25 **Hochachka P. 1986.** Defense strategies against hypoxia and hypothermia. *Science*, **231**:
26 234-241.
- 27 **Hollis C, Tepper H. 1971.** Auxin transport within intact dormant and active White Ash
28 shoots. *Plant Physiology*, **48**: 146-149.
- 29 **Hourmant A, Pradet A. 1981.** Oxidative phosphorylation in germinating lettuce seeds
30 (*Lactuca sativa*) during the first hours of imbibition. *Plant Physiology*, **68**: 631-
31 635.
- 32 **Iwasaki K, Weaver R. 1977.** Effect of chilling, calcium cyanamide, and bud scale
33 removal on bud break, rooting, and inhibitor content of buds of 'Zinfandel'
34 grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.). *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural*
35 *Science*, **102**: 584-587.
- 36 **Jiang K, Feldman LJ. 2005.** Regulation of root apical meristem development. *Annual*
37 *Review of Cell and Developmental Biology*, **21**: 485-509.
- 38 **Jiang K, Meng YL, Feldman LJ. 2003.** Quiescent center formation in maize roots is
39 associated with an auxin-regulated oxidizing environment. *Development*, **130**:
40 1429-1438.
- 41 **Jones RD, Hancock JT, Morice AH. 2000.** NADPH oxidase: a universal oxygen
42 sensor? *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, **29**: 416-424.
- 43 **Karkonen A, Meisrimler C-N, Takahashi J, Väisänen E, Laitinen T, Jiménez**
44 **Barboza LA, Holmström S, Salonvaara S, Wienkoop S, Fagerstedt KV,**
45 **Lüthje S. 2014.** Isolation of cellular membranes from lignin-producing tissues
46 of Norway spruce and analysis of redox enzymes. *Physiologia Plantarum*, **152**:
47 599-616.
- 48 **Kranner I, Roach T, Beckett RP, Whitaker C, Minibayeva FV. 2010.** Extracellular
49 production of reactive oxygen species during seed germination and early
50 seedling growth in *Pisum sativum*. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, **167**: 805-811.

- 1 **Lang GA, Early JD, Martin GC, Darnell RL. 1987.** Endo-, para-, and ecodormancy:
2 physiological terminology and classification for dormancy research.
3 *HortScience*, **22**: 371-377.
- 4 **Licausi F, Weits DA, Pant BD, Scheible W-R, Geigenberger P, van Dongen JT.**
5 **2011.** Hypoxia responsive gene expression is mediated by various subsets of
6 transcription factors and miRNAs that are determined by the actual oxygen
7 availability. *New Phytologist*, **190**: 442-456.
- 8 **May P. 2004.** *Flowering and Fruitset in Grapevines*, South Australia, Lythrum Press.
- 9 **McPherson HG, Snelgar WP, Manson PJ, Snowball AM. 1997.** Bud respiration and
10 dormancy of kiwifruit (*Actinidia deliciosa*). *Annals of Botany*, **80**: 411-418.
- 11 **Mohyeldin A, Garzón-Muvdi T, Quiñones-Hinojosa A. 2010.** Oxygen in stem cell
12 biology: A critical component of the stem cell niche. *Cell Stem Cell*, **7**: 150-161.
- 13 **Morohashi Y, Shimokoriyama M. 1975.** Development of glycolytic and
14 mitochondrial activities in the early phase of germination of *Phaseolus mungo*
15 seeds. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **26**: 932-938.
- 16 **Mustroph A, Lee SC, Oosumi T, Zanetti ME, Yang H, Ma K, Yaghoubi-Masihi A,**
17 **Fukao T, Bailey-Serres J. 2010.** Cross-kingdom comparison of transcriptomic
18 adjustments to low-oxygen stress highlights conserved and plant-specific
19 responses. *Plant Physiology*, **152**: 1484-1500.
- 20 **Nir G, Shulman Y, Fanberstein L, Lavee S. 1986.** Changes in the activity of catalase
21 (EC 1.11.1.6) in relation to the dormancy of grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) buds.
22 *Plant Physiology*, **81**: 1140-1142.
- 23 **Norenburg J, Barrett J. 1987.** Steedman's polyester wax embedment and de-
24 embedment for combined light and scanning electron microscopy. *Journal of*
25 *Electron Microscopy Technique*, **6**: 35-41.
- 26 **Ogawa Ki, Kanematsu S, Asada K. 1997.** Generation of superoxide anion and
27 localization of CuZn-superoxide dismutase in the vascular tissue of spinach
28 hypocotyls: Their association with lignification. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, **38**:
29 1118-1126.
- 30 **Ophir R, Pang X, Halaly T, Venkateswari J, Lavee S, Galbraith D, Or E. 2009.**
31 Gene-expression profiling of grape bud response to two alternative dormancy-
32 release stimuli expose possible links between impaired mitochondrial activity,
33 hypoxia, ethylene-ABA interplay and cell enlargement. *Plant Molecular*
34 *Biology*, **71**: 403-423.
- 35 **Or E, Vilozny I, Eyal Y, Ogrodivitch A. 2000.** The transduction of the signal for
36 grape bud dormancy breaking induced by hydrogen cyanamide may involve the
37 SNF-like protein kinase GDBRPK. *Plant Molecular Biology*, **43**: 483-494.
- 38 **Oracz K, El-Maarouf-Bouteau H, Kranter I, Bogatek R, Corbineau F, Bailly C.**
39 **2009.** The mechanisms involved in seed dormancy alleviation by hydrogen
40 cyanide unravel the role of reactive oxygen species as key factors of cellular
41 signaling during germination. *Plant Physiology*, **150**: 494-505.
- 42 **Paul LK, Rinne PLH, van der Schoot C. 2014.** Shoot meristems of deciduous woody
43 perennials: self-organization and morphogenetic transitions. *Current Opinion in*
44 *Plant Biology*, **17**: 86-95.
- 45 **Perez FJ, Lira W. 2005.** Possible role of catalase in post-dormancy bud break in
46 grapevines. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, **162**: 301-308.
- 47 **Perez FJ, Vergara R, Rubio S. 2008.** H₂O₂ is involved in the dormancy-breaking
48 effect of hydrogen cyanamide in grapevine buds. *Plant Growth Regulation*, **55**:
49 149-155.

- 1 **Pouget R. 1963.** Recherches physiologique sur la repos de la Vigne (*Vitis vinifera* L.:
2 La dormance des bourgeons et le mécanisme de sa disparation. *Annales de*
3 *L'Amelioration des Plantes*, **13**: 1-247.
- 4 **Pratt C. 1974.** Vegetative anatomy of cultivated grapes - A review. *American Journal*
5 *of Enology and Viticulture*, **25**: 131-150.
- 6 **R Development Core Team. 2014.** *R: A language and environment for statistical*
7 *computing, version 3.1.2*, Vienna, Austria, R Foundation for Statistical
8 Computing.
- 9 **Reichheld J-P, Khafif M, Riondet C, Droux M, Bonnard G, Meyer Y. 2007.**
10 Inactivation of thioredoxin reductases reveals a complex interplay between
11 thioredoxin and glutathione pathways in *Arabidopsis* development. *The Plant*
12 *Cell*, **19**: 1851-1865.
- 13 **Rewers M, Sliwinska E. 2014.** Endoreduplication in the germinating embryo and
14 young seedling is related to the type of seedling establishment but is not coupled
15 with superoxide radical accumulation. *Journal of Experimental Botany*.
- 16 **Rinne PLH, Kaikuranta PM, Van Der Schoot C. 2001.** The shoot apical meristem
17 restores its symplasmic organization during chilling-induced release from
18 dormancy. *The Plant Journal*, **26**: 249-264.
- 19 **Roberts EH. 1962.** Dormancy in Rice Seed: III. The influence of temperature,
20 moisture, and the gaseous environment. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **13**: 75-
21 94.
- 22 **Rohde A, Ruttink T, Hostyn V, Sterck L, Van Driessche K, Boerjan W. 2007.** Gene
23 expression during the induction, maintenance, and release of dormancy in apical
24 buds of poplar. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **58**: 4047-4060.
- 25 **Rolletschek H, Borisjuk L, Sánchez-García A, Gotor C, Romero LC, Martínez-**
26 **Rivas JM, Mancha M. 2007.** Temperature-dependent endogenous oxygen
27 concentration regulates microsomal oleate desaturase in developing sunflower
28 seeds. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **58**: 3171-3181.
- 29 **Rothstein EC, Lucchesi PA. 2005.** Redox control of the cell cycle: a radical encounter.
30 *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling*, **7**: 701-703.
- 31 **Ruttink T, Arend M, Morreel K, Storme V, Rombauts S, Fromm J, Bhalerao RP,**
32 **Boerjan W, Rohde A. 2007.** A molecular timetable for apical bud formation
33 and dormancy induction in poplar. *The Plant Cell*, **19**: 2370-2390.
- 34 **Sarkar D, Andrews F. 2013.** latticeExtra, version 0.6-25. [http://latticeextra.r-forge.r-](http://latticeextra.r-forge.r-project.org/)
35 [project.org/](http://latticeextra.r-forge.r-project.org/).
- 36 **Schneider EF. 1968.** The rest period of *Rhododendron* flower buds I. Effect of the bud
37 scales on the onset and duration of rest. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **19**:
38 817-824.
- 39 **Schopfer P, Plachy C, Frahy G. 2001.** Release of reactive oxygen intermediates
40 (superoxide radicals, hydrogen peroxide, and hydroxyl radicals) and peroxidase
41 in germinating radish seeds controlled by light, gibberellin, and abscisic acid.
42 *Plant Physiology*, **125**: 1591-1602.
- 43 **Schrader J, Moyle R, Bhalerao R, Hertzberg M, Lundeberg J, Nilsson P, Bhalerao**
44 **RP. 2004.** Cambial meristem dormancy in trees involves extensive remodelling
45 of the transcriptome. *The Plant Journal*, **40**: 173-187.
- 46 **Shafi A, Chauhan R, Gill T, Swarnkar M, Sreenivasulu Y, Kumar S, Kumar N,**
47 **Shankar R, Ahuja P, Singh A. 2015.** Expression of SOD and APX genes
48 positively regulates secondary cell wall biosynthesis and promotes plant growth
49 and yield in *Arabidopsis* under salt stress. *Plant Molecular Biology*, **87**: 615-
50 631.

- 1 **Shulman Y, Nir J, Lavee S. 1983.** The effect of cyanamide on release from dormancy
2 of grapevine buds. *Scientia Horticulturae*, **19**: 97-104.
- 3 **Siegel SM, Giunarro C, Halpern L. 1964.** Effects of oxidants and ionizing conditions
4 on seed germination at subatmospheric oxygen levels. *Botanical Gazette*, **125**:
5 241-245.
- 6 **Siegel SM, Rosen LA, Giunarro C. 1962.** Effects of reduced oxygen tension on
7 vascular plants, IV. Winter rye germination under near-Martian conditions and
8 in other nonterrestrial environments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
9 *Sciences of the United States of America*, **48**: 725-728.
- 10 **Srinivasan C, Mullins MG. 1981.** Physiology of flowering in the grapevine - a review.
11 *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, **32**: 47-63.
- 12 **Teslaa T, Teitell MA. 2015.** Pluripotent stem cell energy metabolism: an update. *The*
13 *EMBO Journal*, **34**: 138-153.
- 14 **Valcourt JR, Lemons JMS, Haley EM, Kojima M, Demuren OO, Collier HA. 2012.**
15 Staying alive. *Cell Cycle*, **11**: 1680-1696.
- 16 **van den Berg C, Willemsen V, Hage W, Weisbeek P, Scheres B. 1995.** Cell fate in
17 the *Arabidopsis* root meristem determined by directional signalling. *Nature*, **378**:
18 62-65.
- 19 **Vergara R, Parada F, Rubio S, Pérez FJ. 2012a.** Hypoxia induces H₂O₂ production
20 and activates antioxidant defence system in grapevine buds through mediation of
21 H₂O₂ and ethylene. *Journal of Experimental Botany*.
- 22 **Vergara R, Rubio S, Perez FJ. 2012b.** Hypoxia and hydrogen cyanamide induce bud-
23 break and up-regulate hypoxic responsive genes (HRG) and *VvFT* in grapevine-
24 buds. *Plant Molecular Biology*, **79**: 171-178.
- 25 **Wang K, Zhang T, Dong Q, Collins Nice E, Huang C, Wei Y. 2013.** Redox
26 homeostasis: the linchpin in stem cell self-renewal and differentiation. *Cell*
27 *Death and Disease*, **4**: e537.
- 28 **Wickham H. 2009.** ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. New York, Springer.
- 29 **Zimmerman R, Faust M. 1969.** Pear bud metabolism: Seasonal changes in glucose
30 utilization. *Plant Physiology*, **44**: 1273-1276.

31

32

1 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

2 **Figure 1. Time-series of grapevine bud burst.** Single node explants of ecodormant
3 buds were transferred from cool-storage (4 °C) and planted out at 23 °C (dark). Figure
4 shows the progression of bud burst at 0, 1, 3, 7 and 9 days (left to right) at 23 °C. Buds
5 were sampled for the studies presented here at select time points during this
6 development. The figure insert shows a sagittal section of the bud, with the primary
7 (centre arrow), secondary (right arrow) and tertiary (left arrow) bud meristem
8 complexes. When ecodormant (0 days), the bud complex is enclosed by a layer of
9 lignified scales and several layers of bracts. Progressively over 3-5 days we observed
10 expansion of the bud complex and rupture of the outer scales. Within 5-7 days, buds
11 reached the stage of bud burst, according to the modified Eichorn-Lorenz scale (EL4;
12 Coombe, 2004). By 9 days, the first leaves had separated from the shoot apical
13 meristem (EL7). Scale bar main figure = 5 mm, figure insert = 1 mm.

14 **Figure 2. Respiratory CO₂ production during grapevine bud burst.** Ecodormant
15 buds were transferred from cool-storage (4 °C) and planted out at 23 °C (dark) at zero
16 hours. The rate of CO₂ production was measured on groups of four excised buds with
17 the cut base on agar using an infra-red gas analyser in darkness. Data represent a
18 regression (n = 4 replicates of 4 buds per replicate) +/- 95 % confidence intervals by
19 fitting the time-series of CO₂ evolution to a quadratic equation of the form, $y = \alpha + \beta_1x$
20 $+ \beta_2 x^2$ (refer Materials and Methods).

21 **Figure 3. Internal profile of the partial pressure of oxygen (pO₂) during grapevine**
22 **bud burst.** The pO₂ of ecodormant buds, intact (**A** = 3h, **C** = 24h, **D** = 72h) or with the
23 outer scale removed (**B** = 3h) was assayed after time at 23 °C in darkness. Data
24 represent scatterplots of raw data (n = 3), with a regression curve applied and 95 %
25 confidence intervals shown as grey shading. **E** - Sagittal section of the primary bud

1 meristem complex, fixed and stained with toluidine blue, showing the path of the O₂
2 microelectrode from the outer scale (arrow) towards the inner core of the primary bud
3 complex. Scale bar = 500 μm.

4 **Figure 4. Spatial and temporal localisation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in**
5 **sagittal sections of the primary bud meristem complex during bud burst.**
6 Superoxide (**A-D**) and hydrogen peroxide (**E-H**) localisation were indicated using
7 nitrobluetetrazolium (NBT) and 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) respectively against fixed
8 sections (20 μm), sampled at 0 h (**A, E**), 3 h (**B, F**), 24 h (**C, G**) or 72 h (**D, H**) after
9 transfer to 23 °C. Scale bar = 500 μm. Figures are representative of three independent
10 replicates.

11 **Figure 5. Spatial and temporal localisation of superoxide (A, C, E) as contrasted to**
12 **lignin (B, D, F) in grapevine buds during the first three hours after transfer to**
13 **23 °C.** Superoxide (NBT) is localised to latent meristem cells at 0 h, with negligible
14 association with lignified cells (**A-D**, indicated by Auramine-O), where **C** and **D** are
15 magnifications of boxed inserts in **A** and **B**. By 3h at 23 °C, superoxide production is
16 evidently associated with lignin, indicative of pro-vascular development (**E-G**), where
17 **G** is **F** superimposed over **E**. Scale bar = 100 μm (**A, B**), 20 μm (**C, D**), 50 μm (**E-G**).
18 Figures are representative of three independent replicates.

19









