



This is a repository copy of *On the Manipulation of Optimal System Asymptotic Root-Loci*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/86405/>

Monograph:

Owens, D.H. (1979) *On the Manipulation of Optimal System Asymptotic Root-Loci*.
Research Report. ACSE Research Report 101 . Department of Automatic Control and
Systems Engineering

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Q 629-8 (S)

On the Manipulation of Optimal System
Asymptotic Root-loci

by

D. H. Owens, B.Sc., A.R.C.S., Ph.D., A.F.I.M.A., C.Eng., M.I.E.E.

Department of Control Engineering
University of Sheffield
Mappin Street, Sheffield S1 3JD

Research Report No. 101

October 1979

Q 629-8 (S)



5 0649 60 01
SHEFFIELD UNIV.
APPLIED SCIENCE
LIBRARY
9.XI.79

Abstract

This note outlines a procedure for manipulating the asymptotic directions of the optimal closed-loop poles of a time-invariant linear regulator as the weight of the input in the performance criterion approaches zero.

It is known^(1,2) that the stabilizable and detectable ℓ -input/ m -output time-invariant linear system $S(A,B,C)$ with state feedback controller minimizing

$$J = \int_0^{\infty} \{y^T(t)Qy(t) + p^{-1}u^T(t)Ru(t)\}dt \quad \dots(1)$$

(where both Q and R are symmetric positive definite and $p > 0$) has closed-loop poles equal to the left-half plane solutions of the equation

$$|I_{\ell} + p G^T(-s)G(s)| = 0 \quad \dots(2)$$

where, if $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $R^{\frac{1}{2}}$ the symmetric, positive-definite square-roots of Q and R respectively

$$G(s) = Q^{\frac{1}{2}}C(sI_n - A)^{-1}B R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \dots(3)$$

Attention has been focussed⁽¹⁻⁵⁾ on the unbounded solutions of equation (3) as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, which take the form⁽²⁾

$$s_{j\ell r}(p) = p^{1/2k_j} \eta_{j\ell r} + \alpha_{jr} + \epsilon_{j\ell r}(p)$$

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \epsilon_{j\ell r}(p) = 0, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq k_j, \quad 1 \leq r \leq d_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq q \quad \dots(4)$$

for suitable choice of integers q , k_j and d_j , $1 \leq j \leq q$. Each α_{jr} is pure imaginary and the $\eta_{j\ell r}$, $1 \leq \ell \leq k_j$, take the form $\lambda_{jr} \mu_{j\ell}$ where λ_{jr}

is real and strictly positive and the $\mu_{k,j}^{l+1}$, $1 \leq l \leq k_j$, are the distinct left-half-plane $2k_j$ th roots of $(-1)^j$.

It is the purpose of this note to point out a systematic method for the systematic modification of the Q and R matrices to provide the required asymptotic properties. More precisely, for the case of $m \geq \ell$ and $S(A,B,C)$ left-invertible, we consider the systematic modification of the R matrix to change the asymptotic parameters λ_{jr} , $1 \leq r \leq d_j$, $1 \leq j \leq q$, into 'desired' parameters $\tilde{\lambda}_{jr}$, $1 \leq r \leq d_j$, $1 \leq j \leq q$. The results represent a generalization of recent work⁽⁶⁾ from the case of $m = \ell$ and $|CB| \neq 0$ to the case defined above.

The following lemma is fundamental:

Lemma 1: Equation (2) remains valid if $G(s)$ is replaced by

$$\tilde{G}(s) = Q^{\frac{1}{2}} C(sI_n - A)^{-1} BV \quad \dots(5)$$

where V is any matrix such that $VV^T = R^{-1}$.

Proof: It is easily verified that $VV^T = R^{-1}$ if, and only if, $V = R^{-\frac{1}{2}}U$ for some orthogonal matrix U . The result then follows from the identity $|I_\ell + pG^T(-s)G(s)| \equiv |I_\ell + p\tilde{G}^T(-s)\tilde{G}(s)|$.

We also need the following construction:

Lemma 2:⁽²⁾ There exists a real orthogonal transformation T_1 and a unimodular polynomial matrix of the form

$$M(s) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{d_1} & 0(s^{-1}) & \dots & \dots & 0(s^{-1}) \\ 0 & I_{d_2} & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0(s^{-1}) \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & I_{d_q} \end{pmatrix} \quad \dots(6)$$

such that

$$M^T(-s)T_1^T G^T(-s)G(s)T_1 M(s) = \text{block diag } \{Q_j(s)\}_{1 \leq j \leq q} + O(s^{-(2k_q+2)}) \quad \dots(7)$$

where the $d_j \times d_j$ transfer function matrices $Q_j(s)$ have uniform rank $2k_j$ and take the form $N_j^T(-s)N_j(s)$ for some $m \times d_j$ left-invertible transfer function matrices $N_j(s)$, $1 \leq j \leq q$. (7,8)

In fact, applying known techniques ⁽⁷⁾, the characterization of equation (4) follows quite simply ⁽²⁾. In particular, the following result is easily proved:

Lemma 3: The real, strictly positive numbers $\lambda_{jr}^{2k_j}$, $1 \leq r \leq d_j$, are the eigenvalues of the real, symmetric positive-definite matrix

$$Q_j^{(2k_j)} \triangleq \lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} s^{2k_j} Q_j(s) (-1)^{k_j} \quad \dots(8)$$

Consider now the real constant nonsingular matrix

$$L = \text{block diag } \{L_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq q} \quad \dots(9)$$

where the nonsingular matrices L_j have dimensions $d_j \times d_j$, $1 \leq j \leq q$.

Multiplying equation (7) from the left and right by L^T and L respectively yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{M}^T(-s)T_1^T (T_1^T L^T T_1^T G^T(-s)G(s)T_1 L T_1^T) T_1 \tilde{M}(s) \\ & = \text{block diag } \{L_j^T Q_j(s) L_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq q} + O(s^{-(2k_q+2)}) \quad \dots(10) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{M}(s)$ (defined by $M(s)L \equiv \tilde{M}(s)$) has the same structure as $M(s)$.

In fact, we obtain the following main result of this paper:

Theorem: If $\tilde{G}(s) \triangleq G(s)T_1 L T_1^T$, then the solutions of the relation

$$|I_\ell + p\tilde{G}^T(-s)\tilde{G}(s)| = 0 \quad \dots(11)$$

characterize the stability of $S(A,B,C)$ with state feedback controller minimizing the performance criterion of equation (1) with R replaced by R_0 where

$$R_0^{-1} \triangleq R^{-\frac{1}{2}} T_1 L L^T T_1^T R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \dots(12)$$

Moreover, the unbounded solutions of (11) have the form of (4) but where, in particular, the parameters λ_{jr} , $1 \leq r \leq d_j$, $1 \leq j \leq q$, are replaced by the real, strictly positive parameters $\tilde{\lambda}_{jr}$, $1 \leq r \leq d_j$, $1 \leq j \leq q$. The real, strictly positive numbers $\lambda_{jr}^{2k_j}$, $1 \leq r \leq d_j$, are the eigenvalues of

$$\tilde{Q}_j^{(2k_j)} \triangleq L_j^T Q_j^{(2k_j)} L_j, \quad \dots(13)$$

$1 \leq j \leq q$.

Proof: The first part of the result follows from the definition of G and \tilde{G} , bearing in mind lemma 1. Equation (10) then implies that \tilde{G} satisfies lemma 2 with M and Q_j , $1 \leq j \leq q$, replaced by \tilde{M} and $\tilde{Q}_j = L_j^T Q_j L_j$, $1 \leq j \leq q$. Standard results⁽²⁾ then indicate that the general characterization of equation (4) remains valid with (lemma 3)

λ_{jr} , $1 \leq r \leq d_j$, replaced by the eigenvalues of $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{2k_j} L_j^T Q_j(s) L_j = L_j^T Q_j^{(2k_j)} L_j$.

The theorem provides an explicit method for manipulation of the asymptotic directions of the optimal root-locus. For example, suppose that a given choice of Q and R yield infinite zeros with, in particular,

parameters λ_{jr} , $1 \leq r \leq d_j$, $1 \leq j \leq q$. These can be obtained by application of known numerical algorithms^(2,7) to compute (amongst other things) the matrix T_1 and the Markov parameters $Q_j^{(2k_j)}$, $1 \leq j \leq q$, and subsequent application of lemma 3. Suppose that it is desired that the parameters $\{\lambda_{jr}\}$ be replaced by $\tilde{\lambda}_{jr}$, $1 \leq r \leq d_j$, $1 \leq j \leq q$. Write,

$$Q_j^{(2k_j)} = U_j \text{diag} \{ \lambda_{jr}^{2k_j} \}_{1 \leq r \leq d_j} U_j^T, \quad 1 \leq j \leq q \dots (14)$$

where U_j is the orthogonal eigenvector matrix of $Q_j^{(2k_j)}$ and set

$$L_j = U_j \text{diag} \{ \tilde{\lambda}_{jr}^{k_j} / \lambda_{jr}^{k_j} \}_{1 \leq r \leq d_j} W_j^T, \quad 1 \leq j \leq q \dots (15)$$

where W_j is a real orthogonal matrix, $1 \leq j \leq q$. It is trivially verified that

$$\tilde{Q}_j^{(2k_j)} = W_j \text{diag} \{ \tilde{\lambda}_{jr}^{2k_j} \}_{1 \leq r \leq d_j} W_j^T, \quad 1 \leq j \leq q \dots (16)$$

and hence, by the theorem, that the desired objective has been achieved.

References

- (1) H. Kwakernaak: 'Asymptotic root-loci of multivariable linear optimal regulators', IEEE Trans. Aut. Control, Vol. AC-21, pp.378-382, June 1976.
- (2) D. H. Owens: 'On the computation of optimal system asymptotic root-loci', *ibid*, Vol. AC- , pp. , 1980.
- (3) B. Kouvaritakis: 'The optimal root-loci of linear multivariable systems', Int. J. Control, Vol.28, 1, pp.33-62, 1978.
- (4) I. Postlethwaite: 'A note on the characteristic frequency loci of multivariable linear optimal regulators', IEEE Trans. Aut. Control, Vol. AC-23, pp.757-760, August 1978.
- (5) U. Shaked: 'The asymptotic behaviour of the root-loci of multivariable optimal regulators', *ibid*, Vol. AC-23, No.3, pp.425-430, June 1978.
- (6) M. J. Grimble: 'Design of optimal output regulators using multivariable root-loci', Research report, Sheffield City Polytechnic, No. EEE/37/April 1979.
- (7) D. H. Owens: 'Dynamic transformations and the calculation of multivariable root-loci', Int. J. Control, Vol.28, 3, pp.333-343, 1978.
- (8) D. H. Owens: 'Feedback and multivariable systems', Peter Peregrinus, 1978.

SHEFFIELD UNIV.
APPLIED SCIENCE
LIBRARY