

This is a repository copy of The psychosocial impact of living with an ocular prosthesis.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/86307/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

McBain, H.B., Ezra, D.G., Rose, G.E. et al. (3 more authors) (2014) The psychosocial impact of living with an ocular prosthesis. Orbit, 33 (1). 39 - 44. ISSN 1744-5108

https://doi.org/10.3109/01676830.2013.851251

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/ 1 <u>Long/short title</u>: The psychosocial impact of living with an ocular prosthesis

2

- 3 Hayley B McBain MSc CPsychol¹², Daniel G Ezra MD FRCOphth³, Geoffrey E Rose DSc FRCS³,
- 4 Stanton P Newman D, Phil, Dip Psych, FBPS, MRCP(Hons)¹ & Members of the Appearance
- 5 Research Collaboration (ARC)⁴

- 7 ¹ Health Services Research, City University, London, UK
- 8 ² Community Health Newham, East London Foundation Trust, UK
- 9 ³ Moorfields Eye Hospital and UCL Institute of Ophthalmology NIHR Biomedical Research Centre
- 10 for Ophthalmology, London, UK
- 11 ⁴ Refer to Appendix
- 12
- 13 <u>Corresponding author</u>:
- 14 Professor Stanton Newman, School of Health Sciences, City University London, 167 Goswell
- 15 Road, EC1V OHB
- 16 Email: stanton.newman.1@city.ac.uk
- 17 Phone: +44 (0) 20 7040 5755 Fax: +44 (0) 20 7040 5717
- 18
- ...
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 20
- 24

25 ABSTRACT

26	Objective: Many patients are satisfied with their ocular prosthesis, but some describe problems	
27	with social interactions, body image and self-esteem. Although both clinical practice and	
28	research suggest that the severity of a disfiguring condition does not predict distress, there has	
29	been little research with patients living with an ocular prosthesis. The objective was to explore	
30	the psychological impact of living with an artificial eye or cosmetic shell and determine the	
31	relationship between psychological well-being and clinical and psychosocial factors.	
32	Methods: A cross-sectional study between March and September 2008 at the ocular prosthesis	
33	clinic of Moorfields Eye Hospital, UK. The primary outcome measures were mood as measured	
34	by the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and appearance-related social anxiety and	
35	social avoidance, as measured by the Derriford Appearance Scale (DAS24).	
36	Results: Mean scores on the HADS and DAS24 were within normal range, but a considerable	
37	proportion of participants were experiencing significant levels of distress. Psychosocial	
38	adjustment was unrelated to most clinical and demographic variables, but was associated with a	
39	series of cognitive processes.	
40	Conclusions: Psychological variables, rather than clinical or demographic factors, are associated	
41	with how a patient adjusts to wearing an ocular prosthesis. Such factors might be amenable to	
42	change through psychosocial intervention.	
43		
44	Key words: disfigurement, ophthalmology, anxiety, depression, prosthesis	
45		
46		
47		
48		

49 Introduction

Ocular prostheses are used in the management of a wide variety of acquired and congenital
disease, often after evisceration, enucleation or orbital exenteration. Despite the disfiguring
nature and difficult management of such conditions, the psychological consequences of living
with an ocular prosthesis are poorly understood.

54

55 The eyes are important for inter-personal communication.(1) All artificial eyes have somewhat 56 limited motility and orbito-facial prostheses have none, thus affecting eye contact during 57 personal interactions. Nonetheless, patients frequently express high levels of satisfaction with 58 the shape, colour, mobility, fixation and comfort of an ocular prosthesis.(2) Satisfaction has 59 been found to be greater for those who feel that their artificial eye is imperceptible to others 60 and this is unrelated to type of surgery or orbital implant.(3) Although research suggests that 61 adjusting to life with an ocular prosthesis can happen within the first 6 months(4) for about 40% 62 of patients this can take 2 years or more.(5) 63 64 Early research has emphasized the importance of psychological outcomes after

enucleation.(5;6) Quality of life (QoL) has been found to be severely affected(7;8) and although
research has shown that patients with an ocular prosthesis exhibit levels of anxiety and

67 depression that are within the normal range, (8-10) the prevalence of clinical anxiety or

68 depression is over 28%. Higher levels of anxiety and depression have been linked to older age,

being married, having children and the belief that the prosthesis highly influences social and

70 interpersonal relationships.(8) In contrast Wang and colleagues(10) found that before orbital

71 insertion 49% of participants exhibited clinical levels of anxiety and this dropped to 10% after

72 treatment.

74	Whilst previous reports have considered the extent of psychological adjustment for individuals		
75	living with an ocular prosthesis this study represents not only a detailed investigation of two		
76	important psychological outcomes, mood and social avoidance. It also aims to explore the		
77	relationship between these variables and clinical, demographic and intervening psychological		
78	processes. Identification of these process variables is of clinical importance as these factors		
79	might be amenable to intervention, thereby providing avenues to improve the psychosocial		
80	well-being of such patients.		
81			
82	The primary aim of this study was to determine the psychosocial well-being of patients wearing		
83	an ocular prosthesis and, secondarily, to determine the relationship between these measures		
84	and clinical, demographic and cognitive processes.		
85			
00			
86			
86 87	Materials and methods		
86 87 88	Materials and methods SETTING		
86 87 88 89	Materials and methods SETTING A cross-sectional study was undertaken with participants recruited in an outpatient clinic at		
86 87 88 89 90	Materials and methods SETTING A cross-sectional study was undertaken with participants recruited in an outpatient clinic at Moorfields Eye Hospital, London. Participants either completed the questionnaire at the		
86 87 88 89 90 91	Materials and methods SETTING A cross-sectional study was undertaken with participants recruited in an outpatient clinic at Moorfields Eye Hospital, London. Participants either completed the questionnaire at the hospital or at home, the questionnaires containing a number of demographic and psychosocial		
86 87 88 89 90 91 92	Materials and methods SETTING A cross-sectional study was undertaken with participants recruited in an outpatient clinic at Moorfields Eye Hospital, London. Participants either completed the questionnaire at the hospital or at home, the questionnaires containing a number of demographic and psychosocial questions.		
86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93	Materials and methods SETTING A cross-sectional study was undertaken with participants recruited in an outpatient clinic at Moorfields Eye Hospital, London. Participants either completed the questionnaire at the hospital or at home, the questionnaires containing a number of demographic and psychosocial questions.		
 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 	Materials and methods SETTING A cross-sectional study was undertaken with participants recruited in an outpatient clinic at Moorfields Eye Hospital, London. Participants either completed the questionnaire at the hospital or at home, the questionnaires containing a number of demographic and psychosocial questions. ETHICS		
 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 	Materials and methods SETTING A cross-sectional study was undertaken with participants recruited in an outpatient clinic at Moorfields Eye Hospital, London. Participants either completed the questionnaire at the hospital or at home, the questionnaires containing a number of demographic and psychosocial questions. ETHICS The study was performed according to the Declarations of Helsinki.		

97 PATIENTS AND STUDY POPULATION

98	Patients attending the ocular prosthetics clinic at Moorfields Eye Hospital, London were		
99	approached to take part in the study; they were considered eligible for recruitment if aged ove		
100	17 years and living with an ocular or orbital prosthesis. We excluded those likely to be		
101	distressed by taking part in the study or those judged to be too physically frail (as judged by th		
102	ocularist). Ninety-eight participants were recruited into the study and completed questionnair		
103	were received from 39 (39.79%) participants.		
104			
105	MATERIALS		
106	How people adjust to living with a visible difference is multifaceted and current models that air		
107	to understand this process fail to capture these complexities. A number of psychosocial		
108	variables that are potentially amenable to change have been identified and developed into a		
109	framework by the Appearance Research Collaboration (ARC). This framework aims to capture		
110	the range of experiences of those affected by a visible difference, along with indentifying a		
111	number of factors that might predict adjustment (Figure 1). Using this framework data were		
112	collected on the following variables:		
113			
114	Predisposing factors		
115	Gender, age, ethnicity, current living arrangements (i.e. living alone, living with		
116	friends/family, living with partner), age of acquisition, duration of prosthesis wear (from first		
117	fitting), aetiology and type of prosthesis.		
118			

119 Intervening cognitive processes

120 Dispositional style

121 Levels of optimism were measured using the four-item version of the Life Orientation Test-

122 Revised(11). Questions include 'I am always optimistic about my future'. Responses are on a

- 123 five-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (strongly agree) to 5 (strong disagree). Total score ranges
- 124 from 4-20, with higher scores indicating a more optimistic outlook.
- 125
- 126 Socio-cognitive factors
- 127 Satisfaction with Social support
- 128 Quality of social support was assessed using the four-item version of the Short Form Social
- 129 Support Questionnaire(12) which asks how satisfied a person is with different types of support
- 130 including practically and socially.. Quality ratings ranged from 1 (very satisfied) to 6 (very
- dissatisfied), with total scores ranging from 4-24. Higher scores represent a greater satisfaction
- 132 with one's social network.
- 133 <u>Feelings of social acceptance</u>
- 134 Two items, with a seven-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 7 (completely), were
- 135 used to assess the extent to which the respondent felt accepted by their social group and by
- 136 society in general. Total scores range from 2 to 14, with higher scores indicating greater
- 137 subjective feelings of acceptance.
- 138 <u>Fears of Negative Evaluation (FNE) scale(13)</u>
- 139 This 12-item scale examines the extent to which an individual is concerned by other people's
- opinion of them. Questions include 'I am afraid that other people will find fault with me' and 'If I
- 141 know someone is judging me, it has little effect on me'. Scores range from 12 to 60, with high
- scores indicating a greater fear of negative evaluation.
- 143 <u>Netherlands Comparison Orientation Measure (NCO)(14)</u>

144	The NCO comprises 11 items, rating how often the respondent compares themselves wit others.
145	Questions include 'I am not the type of person who compares often with others' and 'I always
146	like to know what others in a similar situation would do'. Responses range from 1 (strong
147	disagree) to 5 (strongly agree), and higher scores indicating a greater level of social comparison.
148	
149	
150	Appearance-related cognitions
151	Disguisability
152	Participants were asked to rate how difficult they felt it was to disguise this area of concern, on
153	a Likert scale ranging from 1 (extremely easy) to 7 (impossible).
154	The Valence and Salience of Appearance Scales (CARVAL, CARSAL)(15)
155	The CARVAL is a 6-item questionnaire that measures how a participant evaluates their own
156	appearance (valence), with higher scores indicating a more negative evaluation. Questions
157	include 'My body and face look pretty much the way I would like' and 'I don't like the way I
158	look'. CARSAL measures the extent to which appearance is part of a person's working self-
159	concept or how important it is to them (salience), with higher scores indicating that appearance
160	forms a greater part of their self-concept or is more important to them. Questions include 'I am
161	usually conscious of my appearance' and 'For me, my appearance is an important part of who I
162	am'.
163	Responses range from 1 (strongly agree) to 6 (strongly disagree) for each item (total ranging
164	from 6 to 36).
165	Physical Appearance Discrepancy Questionnaire (PADQ)(16)
166	The PADQ evaluates the discrepancy between how a person thinks they look and how they (or
167	others) would ideally like them to look. Questions include 'How different from your ideal

- appearance do you think you look?' and 'How different are you from the way your <u>friends</u> think
- 169 you should look?'. The scale consists of 8 items, each, with responses ranging from 1 (not at all
- 170 different) to 7 (extremely different), and a higher score indicating greater discrepancy.
- 171
- 172 *Primary outcome measures*
- 173 The Derriford Appearance Scale short form (DAS24)(17;18)
- 174 The DAS24, a 24-item version of the DAS59,(19) is a measure of social anxiety and social
- avoidance in relation to appearance. Questions include 'How distressed do you get when you
- see yourself in the mirror/window?' and 'How distressed do you get when going to social
- events?'. The total score ranges from 11 to 96, with lower scores representing low levels of
- 178 social anxiety and social avoidance.
- 179 The Hospital Anxiety & Depression Scale (HADS)(20)
- 180 The HADS is a validated, reliable 14-item self-screening questionnaire for depression and
- anxiety, for use in patients with physical health problems. Questions include 'I still enjoy the
- things I used to enjoy' and 'I can laugh and see the funny side of things'. Scores range from 0 to
- 183 21, with higher scores indicating greater levels of depression or anxiety. For both subscales, a
- 184 score of 0–7 is regarded as being in the 'normal' range, 8–10 is suggestive of moderate levels of
- 185 anxiety or depression, and greater than 10 indicates a high likelihood that such a patient would
- 186 receive a diagnosis of clinical anxiety or clinical depression.
- 187

188 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

- 189 Data was analyzed using SPSS v.16 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois). Scores for different groups
- 190 were compared using one-way ANOVA, with an α -risk of 0.05. The relationship between

191 pairs of variables was investigated using the Pearson product-moment correlation

192 coefficient.

- 193
- 194
- 195 Results

Completed questionnaire were received by 39 patients (18 female, 46%) and of these 37 (95%)
indicated that the appearance of their eyes caused them some concern. Demographic and
other group characteristics are summarised in Table I.

199

200 All psychometric measures show good internal consistency, with Cronbach's alphas greater than

201 0.7. Table II displays descriptive statistics for all variables. Although mean scores for anxiety and

202 depression are within the accepted normal range, the results suggest that 18% (n=7) of the

203 patients were experiencing clinical depression and 18% (n=7) clinical anxiety; this included 3

204 participants who were experiencing both clinical anxiety and depression. Although scores for

appearance-related social anxiety and social avoidance (mean 37.5, standard deviation 14.7,

standard error of mean 1.96) are within the normal range, 21% (n=8) of patients reported

207 considerable levels of social anxiety and avoidance in relation to their appearance.

208

209 Appearance-related social anxiety and avoidance

210 The DAS24 correlated significantly with social acceptance (r = -0.46, p = 0.01) and valence (r =

211 0.55, p = 0.02), There were no significant associations between the DAS24 and any other

212 demographic, clinical or psychosocial variable.

213

214 Anxiety and depression

215 The pattern of correlations for anxiety and depression differed: anxiety was correlated 216 significantly with disguisability (r = 0.46, p = 0.01), self-discrepancy (r = 0.48, p < 0.01), valence (r 217 = 0.45, p = 0.01) and salience (r = 0.45, p = 0.01). Depression significantly correlated with social 218 acceptance (r = -0.46, p < 0.01), self-discrepancy (r = 0.52, p < 0.01), optimism (r = -0.50, p < 219 0.01) and valence (r = 0.58, p < 0.01). As compared with those living with someone (friends, 220 family or a partner), those living alone experienced significantly higher levels of depression 221 (living alone 10.4, living with someone 7.00; $F_{(1, 66.06)} = 5.37$, p = 0.02), with a large effect size 222 (Cohen's d = 0.99). There were no significant associations between anxiety or depression and 223 any other demographic, clinical or psychosocial variable.

224

225

226 Discussion

227 Contrary to the expectations of many healthcare professionals and consistent with research in 228 other areas(21) this study suggests that the psychological well-being of those living with an 229 ocular prosthesis is not related to duration of prosthetic wear, age of acquisition, gender, 230 current age or type of prosthesis. Rather poor psychological well-being was related to having a 231 pessimistic outlook and the beliefs a patient has about their appearance and how accepted they 232 feel by society. This study also highlights the importance of instrumental support, as those 233 participants living with a partner, family or friends had lower levels of depression than those 234 living alone. The identification of these underlying cognitive processes is of importance as 235 clinicians can now identify patients who are experiencing considerable levels of psychological 236 distress and target these potentially modifiable cognitive processes through psychological 237 intervention, thus potentially improving the well-being of this population.

238

239 Levels of anxiety and depression were within the normal to moderate range, some patients had 240 scores indicating a possible clinical diagnosis of anxiety or depression. The proportion of such 241 patients was considerably higher than would be expected in the general population, (22) and 242 greater than that reported by Wang and colleagues(10) in a study post insertion of a secondary 243 hydroxyapatite orbital implant but considerably lower as compared to a group of Korean 244 anophthalmic patients.(8) The degree of appearance-related social anxiety and avoidance is 245 somewhat higher than that of the general population,(17) and patients post orbital 246 insertion.(10) Suggesting that this population experience considerable generalised anxiety and 247 depression and also anxiety specific to social situations and hence use techniques and strategies 248 to hide their appearance and avoid social interaction.

249

250 This investigation has some limitations that need to be acknowledged. The study was 251 exploratory, cross-sectional, and with a modest sample size. Over 40% of the sample failed to 252 return a completed questionnaire, potentially biasing the results of the study. It may be that 253 either appearance was a greater concern for those who chose not to participate or they may 254 have been experiencing greater levels of anxiety or depression. Generalization to other ocular 255 prosthetics patients should be made with caution, as only patients attending for prosthetics 256 fitting were recruited. Thereby excluding long-term prosthetics wearers not being followed up 257 in clinic; such patients might either be very happy with their prosthesis, or perhaps silently 258 bearing a considerable psychological burden. The cross-sectional nature of this investigation 259 precludes an examination of how individuals change over time and adjust to their prosthesis. 260 Furthermore, although a number of specific cognitive processes have been found to significantly 261 correlate with psychological well-being, any causal relationship between these factors and 262 adjustment remains unclear. Future work might benefit from exploring the role of other clinical

263 measures of prosthetic performance, such as visual acuity and field in the remaining eye,

264 comfort of the prosthetic, or discharge from the socket.

265

- The results of this study are, nevertheless, of clinical importance. The proportion of patients
 with clinical anxiety or depression highlights a need to identify such patients and implement
 referral pathways for appropriate management. This identification of patients needing
 psychological care might be best achieved by using validated measurement tools such as the
 HADS or DAS24.
- 271

272 Successful adaptation to an artificial eye appears to be associated with a number of underlying

273 beliefs held by the patient, rather than clinical aspects of their condition. The identification of

274 these factors provides a better understanding of the distress experienced by patients living with

an ocular prosthesis and offers a potential therapeutic opportunity through psychological

276 interventions such as cognitive behavioural therapy.

277

278 Declarations of interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the contentand writing of the paper.

281

282 Acknowledgements

283 Funding for the study was provided by the Healing Foundation in association with the Welton

- 284 foundation and the Worshipful Company of Tin Plate Workers. The authors would like to
- acknowledge the ARC Advisory Committee, in particular, Olivia Giles, Pam Warren & Luke
- 286 Wiseman for their input into the ARC research programme.

287

289	Appendix				
290	Members of the Appearance Research Collaboration (ARC);				
291	James Byron-Daniel, Rodger Charlton, Alex Clarke, Sally-Ann Clarke, Diana Harcourt, Elizabeth				
292	Jenkinson, Antje Lindenmeyer, Tim Moss, Rob Newell, Krysia Saul, Andrew Thompson, Eleanor				
293	Walsh, Paul White, Emma Williams, Hayley McBain, Stanton Newman.				
294					
295		Reference List			
296 297 298	(1)	Clarke A. The psychological impact of disfigurement in visible eye conditions. Ophthal Nurs 2000;4(7):14.			
299 300	(2)	Ding F, Xu X, Li S. Individual ocular prosthesis for atrophied eyes without enucleating. Ophthalmologica 2005;5(3):496-8.			
301 302	(3)	Song JS, Oh J, Baek S. A survey of satisfaction in anophthalmic patients wearing ocular prosthesis. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2006 Mar 1;244(3):330-5.			
303 304 305	(4)	Rasmussen M. The Eye Amputates - Consequences of Eye Amputation with Emphasis on Clinical Aspects, Phantom Eye Syndrome and Quality of Life. Acta Ophthalmol 2010;88(thesis2):1-26.			
306 307	(5)	Lubkin V, Sloan S. Enucleation and psychic trauma. Adv Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg 1990;8:259-62.			
308 309	(6)	Moshfeghi DM, Moshfeghi AA, Finger PT. Enucleation. Surv Ophthalmol 2000 Jan;44(4):277-301.			
310 311	(7)	Rasmussen MLR, Ekholm O, Prause JU, Toft PB. Quality of life of eye amputated patients. Acta Ophthalmol [forthcoming] 2011.			
312 313	(8)	Ahn JM, Lee SY, Yoon JS. Health-Related Quality of Life and Emotional Status of Anophthalmic Patients in Korea. Am J Ophthalmol 2010 Jun;149(6):1005-11.			
314 315 316	(9)	Rumsey N, Clarke A, White P, Wyn-Williams M, Garlick W. Altered body image: appearance-related concerns of people with visible disfigurement. J Adv Nurs 2004;48(5):443-53.			
317 318	(10)	Wang JMD, Zhang HMD, Chen WMD, Li GMD. The Psychosocial Benefits of Secondary Hydroxyapatite Orbital Implant Insertion and Prosthesis Wearing for Patients With			

319 320		Anophthalmia. [Article]. Ophthalmic Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery 2012 Sep;28(5):324-7.
321 322	(11)	Scheier ME, Carver CS. Dispositional Optimism and Physical Well-Being: The Influence of Generalized Outcome Expectancies on Health. J Pers 1987;55(2):169-210.
323 324	(12)	Sarason I, Levine H, Basham R, Sarason B. Assessing social support: The Social Support Questionnaire. J Pers Soc Psychol 1983;44(1):127-39.
325 326	(13)	Leary MR. A Brief Version of the Fear of Negative Evaluation Scale. Pers Soc Psychol Bull 1983 Sep 1;9(3):371-5.
327 328	(14)	Gibbons F, Buunk B. Individual differences in social comparison: Development of a scale of social comparison orientation. J Pers Soc Psychol 1999;76(1):129-42.
329 330 331	(15) Ref Tyj	Moss T, Hobbs E, Rosser B. Measurement of constructs within the appearance schema: salience and valence of appearance scales. 2008. De: Unpublished Work
332 333	(16)	Altabe M, Thompson J. Body image: A cognitive self-schema construct? Cognit Ther Res 1996 Apr 23;20(2):171-93.
334 335	(17)	Moss T. Manual for the Derriford Appearance Scale 24 (DAS24). Bradford on Avon: Musketeer Press; 2004.
336 337 338	(18)	Carr T, Moss T, Harris D. The DAS24: A short form of the Derriford Appearance Scale DAS59 to measure individual responses to living with problems of appearance. Br J Health Psychol 2005;10(2):285-98.
339 340 341	(19)	Carr T, Harris D, James C. The Derriford Appearance Scale (DAS-59): A new scale to measure individual responses to living with problems of appearance. Br J Health Psychol 2000;5(2):201-15.
342 343	(20)	Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1983;67(6):361-70.
344 345	(21)	Moss T. The relationships between objective and subjective ratings of disfigurement severity, and psychological adjustment. Body Image 2005 Jun;2(2):151-9.
346 347 348 349	(22)	Crawford JR, Henry JD, Crombie C, Taylor EP. Normative data for the HADS from a large non-clinical sample. Br J Clin Psychol 2001;40(4):429-34.