

This is a repository copy of Egg intake and cancers of the breast, ovary, and prostate: dose-response meta-analysis of prospective observational studies.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/86259/

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Keum, N, Lee, DH, Marchand, N et al. (5 more authors) (2015) Egg intake and cancers of the breast, ovary, and prostate: dose-response meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. The British Journal of Nutrition, 114 (7). 1099 - 1107. ISSN 0007-1145

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114515002135

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



List of supplementary materials

- 1. Supplementary Table S1: Database search strategy
- 2. Supplementary Table S2: Characteristics of studies included

Supplementary Table S1. Database search strategy

PubMed	("Eggs"[Mesh] OR egg[tw] OR eggs[tw] OR "Dietary Fats/adverse effects"[Mesh:NoExp]
	OR "Dietary Fats/administration and dosage"[Mesh:NoExp] OR "Dietary
	Proteins/adverse effects"[Mesh] OR total fat[tw] OR total protein[tw] OR dietary fat[tw]
	OR animal product[tw] OR animal products[tw] OR saturated fat[tw] OR animal fat[tw])
	AND ("Neoplasms"[Mesh] OR cancer[tw] OR cancers[tw] OR tumor[tw] OR tumors[tw]
	OR tumour[tw] OR tumours[tw] OR neoplasm[tw] OR neoplasms[tw] OR neoplasia[tw]
	OR carcinoma[tw] OR carcinomas[tw] OR malignan*[tw] OR adenocarcinoma*[tw]) NOT
	("Animals"[Mesh] NOT "Humans"[Mesh]) NOT (Comment[ptyp] OR Editorial[ptyp] OR
	Letter[ptyp] OR Case Reports[ptyp] OR News[ptyp] OR "Case-Control Studies"[Mesh])
Embase	('egg'/exp OR egg:ab,ti OR eggs:ab,ti OR total fat:ab,ti OR total protein:ab,ti OR dietary
	fat:ab,ti OR animal product:ab,ti OR animal products:ab,ti OR saturated fat:ab,ti OR
	animal fat:ab,ti) AND ('neoplasm'/exp OR cancer:ab,ti OR cancers:ab,ti OR tumor:ab,ti
	OR tumors:ab,ti OR tumour:ab,ti OR tumours:ab,ti OR neoplasm:ab,ti OR
	neoplasms:ab,ti OR neoplasia:ab,ti OR carcinoma:ab,ti OR carcinomas:ab,ti OR
	malignan*:ab,ti OR adenocarcinoma*:ab,ti) NOT ([animals]/lim NOT [humans]/lim) NOT
	('conference abstract'/it OR 'editorial'/it OR 'letter'/it OR 'note'/it OR 'case report'/de OR 'in
	vitro study'/de OR 'nonhuman'/de OR 'case control study'/exp) AND [embase]/lim

Supplementary Table S2. Characteristics of studies included

First author, Year, Country, Reference	Cohort name	Study period	No. cases, Age at baseline, Menopausal status	Diet assessment method (validation), Egg intake analyzed	Highest vs. lowest egg intake (egg/week)	HR* (95% CI)	Variables adjusted for
Breast Cance	er						
Farvid 2014 USA	NHS II	1991-2011	2,826 36yrs Premenopausal	FFQ (validated) Egg consumed as such: serving size specified as 1 egg on FFQ	3.0 vs. 0	1.01 (0.89, 1.15)	age, time period, race, family history of breast cancer in mother or sisters, history of benign breast disease, smoking, height, BMI, age at menarche, parity, age at first birth, oral contraceptive use, alcohol, total energy, hormone use, menopausal status, age at menopause
Pala 2009 Europe	EPIC	1992-2003	6,895, 51 yrs (median) Mixed	FFQ (validated) Egg consumed as such + as components of recipes: unit converted from gram to No.	5.1 vs. 0.5	1.07 (0.98, 1.16)	age, study center, age at recruitment, menopausal status, total energy intake, weight, height, smoking, education, alcohol
Missmer 2002 (pooled study)	CNBSS IWHS NHS(a) NHS(b) NLCS NYU	1976-1997	5,452 31-90yrs Mixed	FFQ (validated) Egg consumed as such: unit converted from gram to No.	8.8 vs. 0	1.07 (0.90, 1.28)	age at menarche, age at first birth, parity, oral contraceptive use, history of benign breast disease, family history of breast cancer, menopausal status, BMI, postmenopausal hormone use, smoking, education, height, alcohol, total energy intake

Key 1999 Japan	LSS	1969-1993	400 approx. 60yrs Mixed	FFQ (not validated) Egg consumed as such:	6.0 vs. 0.5	1.05 (0.79, 1.38)	age, calendar period, city of residence at time of bombing (Hiroshima, Nagasaki), age at time of bombing, breast radiation dose
				serving size assumed as 1 egg			
Gaard 1995	NHSS	1977-1991	242 43yrs	FFQ (validated)	5.5 vs. 0	1.25 (0.54, 2.90)	age, height, BMI, smoking, total energy intake
Norway			43918	Egg consumed			
			Mixed	as such: serving size assumed as 1			
		1070 1000		egg			
Mills 1989	AHS	1976-1982	208	FFQ (not validated)	2.5 vs. 0.5	1.07 (0.73, 1.56)	age, age at first live birth, age at menarche, menopausal status, history of
USA			55.4yrs	Egg consumed			benign breast disease, maternal history of breast cancer, education attainment, BMI
			Mixed	as such: serving size assumed as 1 egg			Stoadt dancer, education attainment, Ewi
Ovarian Car	ncer	-	-		-	-	•
Schulz 2007	EPIC	(1992/2000)- (2001/2002)	581	FFQ (validated)	2.5 vs. 0.6	1.19 (0.85, 1.67)	BMI, total energy intake, parity, ever use of oral contraceptives, hormone replacement
Europe			50yrs	_			therapy, menopausal status, education,
			Mixed	Egg consumed as such + as components of recipes: unit converted from gram to No.			smoking, unilateral ovariectomy.
Genkinger 2006 (pooled	AHS BCDDP CNBSS	1976-2004	2,055 27-93yrs	FFQ (validated)	Reported: for 1 egg increment	1.11 (0.99, 1.24)	age at menarche, menopausal status, oral contraceptive use, hormone replacement therapy use among postmenopausal
study)	CPS II IWHS		•	Egg consumed	per day		women, parity, BMI, smoking, physical

	NLCS NYU NHS(a) NHS(b) NHS II SMC WHS		Mixed	as such: unit converted from gram to No.	Converted to: for 5 eggs increment per week	1.08 (0.99, 1.77)	activity, total energy intake
Larsson 2005 Sweden	SMC	1987-2004	288 40-76yrs Mixed	FFQ (validated) Egg consumed as such: serving size assumed as 1 egg	5.0 vs. 0.5	0.93 (0.55, 1.57)	age, BMI, education, parity, use of oral contraceptive, postmenopausal hormones total energy intake, consumption of fruits, vegetables and dairy products
Prostate Car	ncer						
Allen 2008 Europe	EPIC	1989-2007	2,441 52yrs	FFQ (validated) Egg consumed as such + as components of recipes: unit converted from gram to No.	4.5 vs. 1.3	0.96 (0.84, 1.10)	age, center, education, marital, height, weight, total energy intake
Allen 2004 Japan	LSS	1963-1996	193 51yrs	FFQ (validated) Egg consumed as such: serving size assumed as 1 egg	7.0 vs. 1.0	1.14 (0.79, 1.65)	age, calendar period, city of residence, radiation dose, education
Schuurman 1999 Netherland	NLCS	1986-1992	642 62yrs	FFQ (validated) Egg consumed as such: reported as	4.1 vs. 0.7	0.96 (0.75, 1.22)	age, family history, socioeconomic status

Milis					No.			
Severson JHCS 1986 174 FFQ (not validated) 174 FFQ (not validated) 189 1986 1986 1986 1986 1986 1986 1986 1986 1986 1986 1986 1986 1986 1987	1989	AHS	1976-1982		FFQ (not validated) Egg consumed as such: serving size assumed as 1	3.5 vs. 0.5		Age
Thompson 1989 LRCPS (1972/1974)- 1987 54 Survey (not validated) for 1 egg (0.9, 1.1) for 1 egg consumed as such: reported as No. Fatal Prostate Cancer Richman 2011 USA Reported: 1.0 (0.9, 1.1) for 5 eggs increment per week & 95% CI FFQ (validated) (validated) for 1 egg (0.9, 1.1) for 5 eggs increment per week & 95% CI FFQ (validated) (validated) for 1 egg (0.9, 1.1) for 5 eggs increment per week & 95% CI FFQ (validated) (validated) for 1 egg (0.9, 1.1) for 5 eggs increment per week & 95% CI FFQ (validated) (validated) (validated) for 1 egg (0.9, 1.1) for 1.81 (1.13, 2.89) vigorous activity, lycopene intake, total red meat and poultry intake Egg consumed as such: serving size specified as 1 egg on FFQ	1989	JHCS			FFQ (not validated) Egg consumed as such: serving size assumed as 1	6.0 vs. 0.5		Age
Richman HPFS 1994-2008 199 FFQ 3.0 vs. 1.0 1.81 age, total energy intake, BMI, smoking, (validated) vigorous activity, lycopene intake, total red meat and poultry intake Egg consumed as such: serving size specified as 1 egg on FFQ	1989	LRCPS	,		Survey (not validated) Egg consumed as such: reported as	for 1 egg increment per day & 90% CI Converted to: for 5 eggs increment per week &	(0.9, 1.1)	history of heart disease, systolic pressure,
2011 (validated) (1.13, 2.89) vigorous activity, lycopene intake, total red meat and poultry intake Egg consumed as such: serving size specified as 1 egg on FFQ	Fatal Prosta	te Cancer						
	2011	HPFS	1994-2008		(validated) Egg consumed as such: serving size specified as 1	3.0 vs. 1.0		vigorous activity, lycopene intake, total red
	Iso	JACC	(1988/1990)-	164		5.5 vs. 2.0	1.17	age, area of study

2007 Japan		2003	40-79yrs	(validated)		(0.90, 1.71)	
оаран			40-79915	Egg consumed as such: serving size assumed as 1 egg			
Hsing 1990	LBS	1966-1986	149	FFQ (not validated)	6.8 vs. 0.5	0.90 (0.50, 1.50)	age, smoking
USA			51yrs (median)	(**************************************		(3133, 1133)	
			, ,	Egg consumed			
				as such:			
				serving size			
				assumed as 1 egg			
Snowdon 1984	AHS	1960-1980	97	FFQ (not validated)	3.5 vs. 0.5	1.60 (0.90, 2.80)	age
USA			≥60yrs	,		,	
				Egg consumed			
				as such:			
				serving size assumed as 1			
				egg			

Abbreviations:

AHS = Adventist Health Study, BCDDP = Breast Cancer Detection Demonstration Project Follow-up Study, BMI = body mass index, CNBSS = Canadian National Breast Screening Study, CPS II = Cancer Prevention Study II Nutrition Cohort, EPIC = European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition Study, HPFS = Health Professional Follow-up Study, IWHS = Iowa Women's Health Study, JACC = Japan Collaborative Cohort Study for Evaluation of Cancer, JHCS = Japan-Hawaii Cancer Study, LBS = Lutheran Brotherhood Cohort Study, LRCPS = Lipid Research Clinics Prevalence Study, LSS = Life Span Study, NHS = Nurses' Health Study, NHS(a) = Nurses' Health Study (part a; 1980-1986), NHS(b) = Nurses' Health Study (part b; 1986-2002), NHS II = Nurses' Health Study II, NHSS = Norwegian National Health Screening Services, NLCS = Netherlands Cohort Study, No.= number, NYU = New York University Women's Health Study, SMC = Swedish Mammography Cohort, WHS = Women's Health Study, yrs = years *HR comparing extreme categories as reported in respective paper