



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Maximum entropy model for business cycle synchronization*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/85045/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Xi, N, Muneeppeerakul, R, Azaele, S et al. (1 more author) (2014) Maximum entropy model for business cycle synchronization. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 413. 189 - 194. ISSN 0378-4371

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2014.07.005>

© 2014, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Maximum entropy model for business cycle synchronization

Ning Xi^{a,*}, Rachata Muneeppeerakul^b, Sandro Azaele^c, Yougui Wang^d

^a*Research Center for Complex Systems Science and Business School, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, PRC*

^b*School of Sustainability and Mathematical, Computational, & Modeling Sciences Center, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA*

^c*Department of Applied Mathematics, School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom*

^d*School of Systems Science, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, PRC*

Abstract

The global economy is a complex dynamical system, whose cyclical fluctuations can mainly be characterized by simultaneous recessions or expansions of major economies. Thus, the researches on the synchronization phenomenon are key to understanding and controlling the dynamics of the global economy. Based on a pairwise maximum entropy model, we analyze the business cycle synchronization of the G7 economic system. We obtain a pairwise-interaction network, which exhibits certain clustering structure and accounts for 45% of the entire structure of the interactions within the G7 system. We also find that the pairwise interactions become increasingly inadequate in capturing the synchronization as the size of economic system grows. Thus, higher-order interactions must be taken into account when investigating behaviors of large economic systems.

Keywords: Maximum entropy, Business cycle synchronization, Ising model, Interaction network

PACS: 89.65.Gh, 89.75.Fb, 65.40.Gr, 02.50.Tt

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: nxi@usst.edu.cn (N. Xi).

1. Introduction

Since the sub-prime mortgage crisis of the United States erupted, all major economies in the world have been inflicted with a severe financial crisis. Indeed, the global economy has experienced the worst recession since the Great Depression of the 1930s. This has in turn prompted an increase of academic interest in global business cycle [1, 2].

Global business cycle can be characterized by simultaneous recessions or expansions of major economies; such dynamical similarity along business cycles is also called business cycle synchronization in the economics literature [3]. And there is quite an extensive literature in this research area. Frankel and Rose presented empirical evidence that higher bilateral trade between two economies is associated with more correlated business cycles [4]. Imbs stressed the linkage between similarity in industrial structure and business cycle synchronization in her paper [5]. Rose and Engel discussed the role of currency unions in business cycle synchronization by empirical analysis [6]. While these researches identified the factors that affect the degree of synchronization between economies, they did not, however, address the synchronization of the overall economic system.

The key to understanding the mechanism of synchronization is to uncover the interaction structure among economies [3]. The most common way of estimating network structure of complex system is to characterize the connection between elements by means of correlation coefficients. However, recent researches have shown that such characterization does not accurately estimate network structure due to significant indirect correlations [7, 8]. We argue that a more effective and informative approach is to derive the network of interaction based on the principle of maximum entropy.

The principle of maximum entropy as an inferential tool was originally introduced in statistical physics by Jaynes [9, 10, 11] and was further developed by other physicists afterwards [12, 13, 14, 15]. Generally, observed signals of any given system are governed by, and therefore are manifestation of, the underlying structure of the system. The principle of maximum entropy provides a simple way by which we can infer the system's least-biased structure capable of generating these signals. Compared with correlation coefficient, the approach succeeds in inferring interactions, from which it reconstructs correlations at all orders, and thus can estimate network structure more accurately [7, 8]. Due to its universality, the approach has been successfully applied to researches in ecology [16, 17, 18, 19], life sciences [20, 21, 22, 23],

38 and neuroscience [7, 24, 25], among other disciplines. In particular, it has
39 been shown that only pairwise interactions are sufficient to describe such
40 complex systems as tropical forests [17], proteins [23], and retinas [7]. In this
41 paper, we apply the principle of maximum entropy, built on pairwise inter-
42 actions, to the business cycle synchronization of the seven most-developed
43 economies in the world, known as G7.

44 2. Data

45 The data in this study are taken from the database OECD.Stat, where
46 quarterly real GDPs of every member of OECD are available. The GDPs
47 are calculated in terms of US dollars, adjusted by fixed PPPs (Purchasing
48 Power Parity). The time period with available date for most countries is
49 from 1960's first quarter to 2009's first quarter (amounting to 197 quarters).
50 The total number of data points of all members is 5,190 observations.

51 In order to apply a pairwise maximum entropy model, the data need to be
52 converted into a binary representation—recession or expansion, in this case.
53 To this end, we first calculate the average growth rate for each economy.
54 Suppose the available data of GDP for an economy last over N quarters, and
55 the growth rate in the i th quarter is r_i , the average growth rate \bar{r} can be
56 obtained from the following relation:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (1 + r_i) = (1 + \bar{r})^{N-1}. \quad (1)$$

57 We then define recession and expansion: if growth rate is less than the average
58 growth rate, we define the state as recession and set the value of state variable
59 to 1; otherwise, we define the state as expansion and set the value of state
60 variable to 0.

61 The size of the system under consideration is limited by the data avail-
62 ability: in order to obtain reliable estimates of the parameters, the number
63 of all possible states of the system should be well below the number of obser-
64 vations, i.e., $2^N < 197$. The G7 economic system is a small, yet meaningful,
65 sub-system of the global economy. Its synchronous behavior can influence
66 the business cycle of the global economy. As such, it is an excellent case
67 study for our approach.

68 **3. Principle of maximum entropy**

69 The first step in the analysis with the principle of maximum entropy is
70 to determine some meaningful constraints that describe the observed signals
71 generated by the system. We then determine the least-structured distribution
72 subject to those constraints. It is possible to prove that the Shannon entropy
73 is the correct measure of the structure whose maximization, under a given
74 set of constraints, would lead to the least-structured distribution [11].

75 Consider an economic system consisting of N economies. We build a
76 binary representation of the economic state by assigning a binary variable
77 σ_i to economy i : $\sigma_i = 1$ if economy i is in a recession, and $\sigma_i = 0$ if the
78 economy is in an expansion. Then a state for the whole economic system
79 can be denoted by a vector $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N)$. Our goal is to calculate the
80 probability distribution $p(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ that maximizes Shannon entropy

$$H = - \sum_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \ln p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \quad (2)$$

81 with the following constraints:

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = 1, \quad (3a)$$

82

$$\langle \sigma_i \rangle = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \sigma_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \sigma_i^t, \quad (3b)$$

83

$$\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \sigma_i \sigma_j = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \sigma_i^t \sigma_j^t, \quad (3c)$$

84 where σ_i^t denotes the state of economy i at time t and T the total number of
85 observations. The probability distribution that satisfies the above conditions
86 is in the following form:

$$p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} J_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j + \sum_i h_i \sigma_i \right], \quad (4)$$

87 where Z is the partition function or normalization constant, and J_{ij} and h_i
88 are the adjustable parameters to meet the constraints. For a non-interacting
89 system, the probability distribution would factorize into independent single-
90 economy probability distributions. Any deviation from a simple product of

91 independent probability distributions is a measure of the interactions among
 92 economies. Thus, J_{ij} can naturally be defined as the interaction strength
 93 between economies i and j : a positive J_{ij} favors simultaneous recessions
 94 or expansions of economies i and j . Similarly, h_i quantifies an economy's
 95 propensity to recession: an economy with a positive h_i is more prone to
 96 recession. Eq. (4) is known in the physics literature as Ising model with
 97 J_{ij} being interpreted as the coupling between electron spins. (Chot, why
 98 do you say that "a positive J_{ij} favors simultaneous recessions or
 99 expansions"? If $\sigma_i = 0$, i.e. expansion, J_{ij} has no influence. In
 100 order for J_{ij} to be important, both σ_i and σ_j have to be different
 101 from zero. So I'd simply say "a positive J_{ij} favors synchronized
 102 recession between economies")

103 The maximum entropy model we have introduced above approximates the
 104 economic system as a pairwise (undirected) interacting network at station-
 105 arity, where the pairwise interactions J_{ij} measure the strength of interaction
 106 between economies i and j and they do not depend on time. We call this
 107 kind of model a pairwise maximum entropy model. In fact, such a maximum
 108 entropy model can easily be extended to incorporate high-order interactions.
 109 For example, adding triplet correlations $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_k \rangle$ into the constraints, we can
 110 obtain a measure J_{ijk} of triplet interaction. However, the complexity of the
 111 algorithm for parameter estimation grows exponentially with the increase in
 112 the order. Importantly, pairwise maximum entropy models have been shown
 113 to effectively capture much of the underlying structure of a number of other
 114 complex systems. Indeed, one of our research questions is whether such a
 115 property holds for economic systems.

116 Here, the model is implemented by means of the algorithm proposed by
 117 Dudík and coauthors [26]. Based on the first two moments of the binary
 118 representation of the G7 system data, we find h 's and J 's in Eq. (4). The
 119 algorithm incorporates l_1 -regularization to avoid the problem of over-fitting.
 120 Since system size is sufficiently small in this case, we perform calculations
 121 involving all 2^7 possible states of the system (as opposed to Monte Carlo
 122 simulations). We terminate the algorithm when the parameter adjustment
 123 becomes very small (e.g., in the order of 10^{-5}).

124 4. Results and discussion

125 The estimates of J 's characterize pairwise interaction network of the G7
 126 system (Fig. 1). The results suggest that the network can be roughly divided

Figure 1: Pairwise interaction network of the G7 system. The red and blue indicate negative and positive strengths of pairwise interactions, respectively. Thick, short lines correspond to strong positive J , whereas thin, long lines correspond to weak or negative J .

Figure 2: Embeddedness and h of each G7 economy.

127 into three clusters: (i) **Continental** Europe and Japan, (ii) North America,
 128 and (iii) UK. The clustering structure is obviously associated with the region.
 129 Indeed, this structure is in general agreement with existing economic studies
 130 that employ different analytical methods. For example, *Monfort et al.* [27],
 131 by means of Kalman filtering techniques and a dynamic factor model, found
 132 that area-specific common factors separate the G7 system into Continental-
 133 European and North-American areas, with the UK and Japan being some-
 134 what separate from these areas. Other studies [28, 29] also showed fairly clear
 135 evidence of the European and North American cycles. These agreements, to
 136 some extent, confirm the validity of applying the Ising model to economic
 137 synchronization problems.

138 While all these countries constitute the G7 economic network, the de-
 139 grees at which they are embedded or integrated into this network vary.
 140 We propose that such “embeddedness” of economy i be measured by $E_i =$
 141 $\sum_{j \neq i} |J_{ij}| / \sum_k \sum_{j \neq i} |J_{kj}|$. The metric measures the magnitude of interac-
 142 tion between a given economy and others—in both synchronous and anti-
 143 synchronous ways. Therefore, it offers different, but complementary, infor-
 144 mation from that in Fig. 1. The results in Fig. 2 show that France is the most
 145 embedded/integrated economy, and UK is the least integrated one. **Interest-**
 146 **ingly, the pattern of embeddedness is almost a mirror image of the pattern**
 147 **of h (Fig. 2); recall that h measures how prone to recession an economy is.**
 148 **Together, these patterns indicate that economies with a greater tendency to**
 149 **grow tend to be the same ones as those with greater embeddedness—no at-**
 150 **tempt on implying any causality is made here. Finally, it is also worth-noting**
 151 **that all h ’s are negative, i.e., all G7 economies in fact have a tendency to**
 152 **grow.**

153 To examine how effectively the pairwise maximum entropy model repro-
 154 duces the empirical statistics of the G7 system, we make comparisons be-

Figure 3: The frequencies of different states of G7 system predicted by pairwise maximum entropy model are plotted against the empirical frequencies. The dashed line shows equality.

155 tween the empirical and predicted frequencies of different states. The results
156 are shown in Fig. 3. We see that the predicted frequencies based on the
157 pairwise maximum entropy model are tightly correlated with the empirical
158 ones. The results indicate that business cycles are significantly correlated
159 with each other within the G7, which is coherent with what economists ex-
160 pected [29, 30], and that the pairwise maximum entropy model captures key
161 characteristics of business cycle synchronization of the G7 system moderately
162 well.

163 To systematically quantify the model’s performance, we adopt the follow-
164 ing information-theoretic metric proposed by Schneidman and coauthors [7,
165 31]. For a system of N economies, we can define the maximum entropy
166 distributions p_K that are consistent with all K^{th} -order constraints for any
167 $K = 1, 2, \dots, N$. These distributions form a hierarchy, from $K = 1$ where
168 all economies are independent, up to $K = N$, which is exactly the empirical
169 distribution. The entropy difference or multi-information $I_{(N)} = H_1 - H_N$
170 measures the total amount of interactions in the system. Likewise, $I_{(K)} =$
171 $H_{K-1} - H_K$ quantifies the amount of the K^{th} -order interactions. Evidently,
172 $I_{(N)} = \sum_{K=2}^N I_{(K)}$. Thus, the ratio $I = I_{(2)}/I_{(N)}$ can be used to measure the
173 contribution of pairwise interactions to the overall interactions. We find that
174 $I \simeq 45\%$ for the G7 system. This means that 45% of the entire structure of
175 G7 system can be characterized by pairwise interactions.

176 The G7 system investigated here is only a subnetwork embedded in a
177 larger global economy network. We wonder whether the estimates of J ’s are
178 sensitive to incorporating other economies into the network. To investigate
179 this, we include the three next biggest OECD economies, namely Spain,
180 Netherlands and Belgium, and re-estimate J ’s for the G7 economies. The
181 results are presented in Fig. 4. There are no significant, systematic changes
182 in J ’s as the system size grows. Thus, we claim that the pairwise maximum
183 entropy model gives reliable estimates of pairwise interactions between the
184 G7 economies.

185 This issue of network size warrants further investigation. It should be
186 noted that a system with more economies may have richer structure and

Figure 4: The strengths of pairwise interactions from the 10-economy system, which includes G7 as its subsystem, are plotted against those from G7 system. The black line shows equality.

Figure 5: The contribution of pairwise interactions is plotted against the size of economic systems. A box plot shows minimum, lower quartile, median (red line), upper quartile, and maximum, as well as some outliers (red pluses); black stars represent the mean values. The contribution of pairwise interactions declines with the size of economic systems.

187 therefore possibly larger proportion of high-order interactions. To test this
188 hypothesis, we randomly select N economies from OECD to construct an
189 economic network ($N = 3, \dots, 10$) and calculate their corresponding I 's—
190 the contribution of pairwise interactions to the overall interactions. For each
191 N , we repeat the procedure 150 times. The results are shown in Fig. 5. The
192 average I declines as the system size increases. It is 0.61 in a three-economy
193 system, which indicates that pairwise interaction is the leading factor shap-
194 ing business cycle synchronization. By contrast, when the system size ap-
195 proaches to 10, it drops to 0.20. At these system sizes, higher-order interac-
196 tions dominate over pairwise ones, playing more important roles in dictating
197 the behavior of economic system. These results suggest that higher-order
198 interactions are more important in economic systems than in neurons [7] or
199 ecosystems [18], for which pairwise interactions capture most of the struc-
200 ture. This implies that higher-order interactions are necessary to adequately
201 understand economic systems, indicating their greater degree of complexity
202 compared to other, natural systems.

203 5. Conclusions

204 In this paper, we investigate business cycle synchronization of the G7
205 system by means of a pairwise maximum entropy model. We find some
206 clustering structure in the interaction network between the G7 countries,
207 which more or less follows their geographical locations. We also find that
208 France is the most embedded economy, while the UK is the least so. The
209 pairwise interactions account for 45% of the entire structure of the G7 system;
210 this number, although significant, is much lower than its counterparts in other

211 systems like neurons or forests. Indeed, our further analysis shows that the
212 larger system size is, the more important the contribution of higher-order
213 interactions becomes. **This has important implications on future studies of**
214 **interacting economies: if one wants to investigate the behavior of business**
215 **cycle synchronization of a large economic system, higher-order interactions**
216 **must be taken into account.**

217 Acknowledgments

218 We thank Prof. Huijie Yang and Prof. Xingye Li for useful discussions.
219 Y.W. acknowledges the support of the Natural Science Foundation of China
220 (Grant No. 61174165). N.X. acknowledges the support of Shanghai Leading
221 Academic Discipline Project (No. XTKX2012).

222 References

- 223 [1] M.A. Kose, C. Otrok, E.S. Prasad, Global business cycles: convergence
224 or decoupling, *Int. Econ. Rev.* 53 (2012) 511-538.
- 225 [2] D. Helbing, Globally networked risks and how to respond, *Nature* 497
226 (2013) 51-59.
- 227 [3] A. Arenas, A. Díaz-Guilera, J. Kurths, Y. Moreno, C. Zhou, Synchroni-
228 zation in complex networks, *Physics Reports* 469 (2008) 93-153.
- 229 [4] J.A. Frankel, A.K. Rose, The endogeneity of the optimum currency area
230 criteria, *The Economic Journal* 108 (1998) 1009-1025.
- 231 [5] J. Imbs, Trade, finance, specialization, and synchronization, *Rev. Econ.*
232 *Stat.* 86 (2004) 723-734.
- 233 [6] A.K. Rose, C. Engel, Currency unions and international integration,
234 *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking* 34 (2002) 1067-1089.
- 235 [7] E. Schneidman, M.J. Berry II, R. Segev, W. Bialek, Weak pairwise corre-
236 lations imply strongly correlated network states in a neural population,
237 *Nature* 440 (2006) 1007-1012.

- 238 [8] Y. Ueoka, T. Suzuki, T. Ikeguchi, Y. Horio, Efficiency of statistical
239 measures to estimate network structure of chaos coupled systems, Pro-
240 ceedings of 2008 International Symposium on Nonlinear Theory and its
241 Applications (NOLTA), 2008.
- 242 [9] E.T. Jaynes, Information theory and statistical mechanics, Phys. Rev.
243 106 (1957) 620-630.
- 244 [10] E.T. Jaynes, Information theory and statistical mechanics II, Phys. Rev.
245 108 (1957) 171-190.
- 246 [11] E.T. Jaynes, Probability Theory: The Logic of Science, Cambridge Uni-
247 versity Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- 248 [12] H. Haken, Information and Self-Organization: A Macroscopic Approach
249 to Complex Systems, third ed., Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- 250 [13] J.R. Banavar, A. Maritan, The maximum relative entropy principle,
251 arXiv preprint cond-mat/0703622 (2007).
- 252 [14] E. Van der Straeten, C. Beck, Superstatistical distributions from a max-
253 imum entropy principle, Phys. Rev. E 78 (2008) 051101.
- 254 [15] Y. Roudi, S. Nirenberg, P.E. Latham, Pairwise maximum entropy mod-
255 els for studying large biological systems: when they can work and when
256 they can't, PLoS Comput. Biol. 5 (2009) e1000380.
- 257 [16] B. Shipley, D. Vile, É. Garnier, From plant traits to plant communities:
258 a statistical mechanistic approach to biodiversity, Science 314 (2006)
259 812-814.
- 260 [17] I. Volkov, J.R. Banavar, S.P. Hubbell, A. Maritan, Inferring species
261 interactions in tropical forests, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 106 (2009) 13854-
262 13859.
- 263 [18] S. Azaele, R. Muneeppeerakul, A. Rinaldo, I. Rodriguez-Iturbe, Inferring
264 plant ecosystem organization from species occurrences, J. Theor. Biol.
265 262 (2010) 323-329.
- 266 [19] J.R. Banavar, A. Maritan, I. Volkov, Applications of the principle of
267 maximum entropy: from physics to ecology, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter
268 22 (2010) 063101.

- 269 [20] T.R. Lezon, J.R. Banavar, M. Cieplak, A. Maritan, N.V. Fedoroff, Using
270 the principle of entropy maximization to infer genetic interaction net-
271 works from gene expression patterns, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 103 (2006)
272 19033-19038.
- 273 [21] F. Seno, A. Trovato, J.R. Banavar, A. Maritan, Maximum entropy ap-
274 proach for deducing amino acid interactions in proteins, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
275 100 (2008) 078102.
- 276 [22] P.S. Dhadialla, I.E. Ohiorhenuan, A. Cohen, S. Strickland, Maximum-
277 entropy network analysis reveals a role for tumor necrosis factor in pe-
278 ripheral nerve development and function, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 106
279 (2009) 12494-12499.
- 280 [23] T. Mora, A.M. Walczak, W. Bialek, C.G. Callan Jr., Maximum entropy
281 models for antibody diversity, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 107 (2010) 5405-
282 5410.
- 283 [24] J. Shlens et al., The structure of multi-neuron firing patterns in primate
284 retina, *J. Neurosci.* 26 (2006) 8254-8266.
- 285 [25] E. Ganmor, R. Segev, E. Schneidman, Sparse low-order interaction net-
286 work underlies a highly correlated and learnable neural population code,
287 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 108 (2011) 9679-9684.
- 288 [26] M. Dudík, S.J. Phillips, R.E. Schapire, Performance guarantees for reg-
289 ularized maximum entropy density estimation, in: *Proceedings of the*
290 *17th Annual Conference on Learning Theory*, 2004, pp. 472-486.
- 291 [27] A. Monfort, J.P. Renne, R. Rüffer, G. Vitale, Is economic activity in
292 the G7 synchronized? Common shocks versus spillover effects, *CEPR*
293 *Discussion Papers* (2003) No. 4119.
- 294 [28] M.J. Artis, Z.G. Kontolemis, D.R. Osborne, Business Cycles for G7 and
295 European Countries, *Journal of Business* 70 (1997) 249-279.
- 296 [29] P. Bodman, M. Crosby, Are business cycles independent in the G7?,
297 *International Economic Journal* 19 (2005) 483-499.
- 298 [30] D.K. Backus, P.J. Kehoe, F.E. Kydland, International real business cy-
299 cles, *Journal of Political Economy* 100 (1992) 745-775.

300 [31] E. Schneidman, S. Still, M.J. Berry II, W. Bialek, Network information
301 and connected correlations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 238701.