UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *A new era in palaeomicrobiology:prospects for ancient dental calculus as a long-term record of the human oral microbiome*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/84368/</u>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Warinner, Christina, Speller, Camilla orcid.org/0000-0001-7128-9903 and Collins, Matthew J orcid.org/0000-0003-4226-5501 (2015) A new era in palaeomicrobiology:prospects for ancient dental calculus as a long-term record of the human oral microbiome. Philosophical Transactions Of The Royal Society Of London Series B - Biological Sciences. 20130376. ISSN 1471-2970

https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0376

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS B

rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org

Review



Cite this article: Warinner C, Speller C, Collins MJ. 2015 A new era in palaeomicrobiology: prospects for ancient dental calculus as a long-term record of the human oral microbiome. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **370**: 20130376. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0376

. 2

One contribution of 19 to a discussion meeting issue 'Ancient DNA: the first three decades'.

Subject Areas:

ecology, evolution, genetics, health and disease and epidemiology, microbiology

Keywords:

palaeomicrobiology, ancient DNA, oral microbiome, dental calculus, metagenomics, metaproteomics

Author for correspondence:

Christina Warinner e-mail: christina.warinner@ou.edu

A new era in palaeomicrobiology: prospects for ancient dental calculus as a long-term record of the human oral microbiome

Christina Warinner¹, Camilla Speller² and Matthew J. Collins²

¹Department of Anthropology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, USA ²Department of Archaeology, University of York, York, UK

(D) CW, 0000-0002-4528-5877; CS, 0000-0001-7128-9903; MJC, 0000-0003-4226-5501

The field of palaeomicrobiology is dramatically expanding thanks to recent advances in high-throughput biomolecular sequencing, which allows unprecedented access to the evolutionary history and ecology of human-associated and environmental microbes. Recently, human dental calculus has been shown to be an abundant, nearly ubiquitous, and long-term reservoir of the ancient oral microbiome, preserving not only microbial and host biomolecules but also dietary and environmental debris. Modern investigations of native human microbiota have demonstrated that the human microbiome plays a central role in health and chronic disease, raising questions about changes in microbial ecology, diversity and function through time. This paper explores the current state of ancient oral microbiome research and discusses successful applications, methodological challenges and future possibilities in elucidating the intimate evolutionary relationship between humans and their microbes.

1. Introduction

Palaeomicrobiology is an important and growing area of archaeological [1] and microbiological [2] research. It has developed in parallel with palaeoenvironmental studies exploring microbial activity in deep subsurface environments such as oil [3], the deep sea [4] and permafrost [5–9], all of which have revealed that microbial DNA can persist in ancient deposits. With respect to humans, the study of ancient microorganisms has the potential to reconstruct human migration and interaction networks [10], and to identify the origins, causes and evolution of specific infectious diseases [11-14]. Historically, however, the promise of palaeomicrobiology has been tempered by the uneven quality of research; the field has been plagued, so to speak, by high profile controversies [15-19], and bold claims made on the basis of modest, incomplete, or problematic evidence have been met with scepticism, doubt or outright rejection by the broader ancient DNA community [20,21]. At issue is the fact that we live in a world dominated by microorganisms, both in absolute numbers and in species diversity [22-24], and palaeomicrobiology studies have often failed to account adequately for issues of contamination, authenticity and sequence specificity in their experimental design. In a review paper as recently as 2005, the study of ancient bacterial DNA could be accurately summarized as 'the microbial problem', with few prospects for resolution [25].

However, recent improvements in contamination control [26], laboratory workflow design [27,28] and the emergence of powerful new sequencing technologies [29,30] and bioinformatics tools [31–34] are dramatically altering both the practice and potential of ancient microbial research. High-throughput next generation sequencing (NGS) presents a solution to many of the challenges surrounding conventional molecular methods of pathogen identification, and

© 2014 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

2

it additionally expands scientific inquiry beyond pathogen presence/absence to questions of pathogen evolution, genetic mutation, genome rearrangement and horizontal gene transfer.

A major recent advancement in palaeomicrobial research has been the discovery that dental calculus acts as a long-term reservoir of high-quality biomolecules from human-associated microorganisms [35–39]. While this substrate was previously recognized to contain calcified bacterial cells [40] and dietary microfossils [41–44], and was later shown to preserve host mitochondrial DNA [36] and biomolecules from a few select bacterial species [36,37], the application of high-throughput sequencing has now allowed the recovery of entire ancient microbial communities [35,39], also known as the native human microbiota or 'microbiome' [45]. This enables palaeomicrobiology to move beyond Koch's influential postulate of 'one pathogen—one disease' to investigate the full suite of 'commensal, symbiotic and pathogenic microorganisms' that contribute to human health and disease both today and in the past [45,46].

Emerging out of technological innovations developed during the race to sequence the human genome, NGS is now being widely mobilized to investigate the structure and function of the human microbiome in populations around the world. Projects such as the National Institutes of Health's Human Microbiome Project (HMP) in the United States and the Metagenomics of the Human Intestinal Tract (MetaHIT) project in Europe have revealed that the human oral, gut, skin and uritogenital microbiota play critical roles in promoting and maintaining human health. Disruption of these microbiomes leads to dysbiosis, a detrimental relationship between microbiota and host that is linked to illnesses as diverse as obesity and type II diabetes [47,48], periodontal disease and dental decay [49,50], atherosclerosis and endocarditis [51,52], eczema [53], vaginosis [54] and inflammatory bowel disease [55], among others.

Determining effective methods for treating disturbed microbiomes is of great medical interest and requires a nuanced understanding of what constitutes a healthy microbiome. At present, however, remarkably little is known about the diversity, variation and evolution of the human microbiome, both today and in the past. Nor is it well understood how our microbiome health is linked to our genetic background, cultural practices and environment. Accessing ancient microbiomes through archaeological data presents a unique approach for investigating the ecology and evolution of the oral microbiome prior to our post-industrial lifestyle, globalized food chain and antibiotic use. Focusing on dental calculus, this paper will discuss the potential of ancient microbiome research, as well as current methodological challenges.

2. The oral microbiome

The oral microbiome, and dental plaque in particular, holds a special place in the history of microbiology [56]. The first undisputed description of bacteria appears in a letter written by Antoni van Leeuwenhoek to the Royal Society of London in 1683 in which he describes 'very many small living Animals, which moved themselves very extravagantly' within his dental plaque [57]. Familiar oral bacterial forms can be found among his illustrations, including cocci, fusiform bacteria and spirochaetes (figure 1) [58]. Attempting in vain to count them, he noted, 'The number of these animals in the scurf of mans [sic] Teeth, are so many that I believe they exceed the number of Men in a kingdom.' [57].



Figure 1. Early illustration of dental plaque bacteria by Antoni van Leeuwenhoek, 1683/1684. Illustrated bacteria include (A) a rod-shaped motile bacterium, (B) another motile bacterium moving from points (C) to (D), (E) cocci, (F) fusiform bacteria and (G) a spirochaete. Adapted from [57].

Van Leeuwenhoek's analogy is, if anything, understated. The average healthy person carries on the surface of their teeth nearly as many bacteria as there are humans on the Earth [59], and every day each of us swallows an average of 80 billion bacteria in our saliva [60]. Within the oral cavity, the teeth are like mountains, saliva like the high seas and in between are the forests of the tongue, the savannahs of the mucosa and the dark swamps of dental plaque. Populated by fusobacteria and streptococci and treponemes, rather than trees and birds and fish, these complex oral landscapes support an incredible diversity of microbial life. The human oral cavity is thus more than a kingdom, it is an entire world unto itself.

The oral microbiome is the second largest human-associated microbial community, after the gut, and oral microbes exhibit an astounding diversity of predicted protein functions compared with other body sites [61]. The oral cavity can be divided into several distinct oral habitats, each with its own characteristic microbial composition. Even sites with frequent contact, such as the hard palate and the tongue, persist in maintaining different microbial ecologies [62]. Despite these differences, however, the greatest distinction is observed between bacterial communities inhabiting shedding soft tissue surfaces (e.g. buccal mucosa, keratinized gingiva, tongue dorsum, hard palate, tonsils and throat) and non-shedding hard tissue surfaces (dental plaque). Saliva, another oral habitat, is a complex biofluid that contains bacteria from both soft and hard surfaces, but its microbial community most closely resembles those of the soft tissues. The hard tissues of the teeth provide two microbial habitats, one above and one below the gingival margin, resulting in two distinctive plaque communities known as supragingival and subgingival plaque, respectively [63,64]. These two habitats differ in redox potential and nutrient sources, with supragingival plaque forming in a more aerobic environment fed by nutrients of primarily salivary origin and subgingival plaque forming in a mostly anaerobic environment fed by gingival crevicular fluid (GCF), an inflammatory exudate of the gingiva.

3. Dental calculus

Dental calculus (tartar, or calcified dental plaque) is a complex, mineralized bacterial biofilm formed on the surfaces of teeth,

principally from dental plaque but also with additional contributions from saliva and GCF [65,66]. Dental calculus is found in all known human populations, past and present, and is nearly ubiquitous in adults without active dental hygiene [67,68]. Biofilm formation begins when salivary proteins deposit as a thin film on the surface of the teeth, forming the acquired enamel pellicle (AEP). During life, the AEP serves as the primary barrier and defensive layer between the calcium phosphate mineral of the enamel and bacterial and dietary acids [69]. Shortly after AEP formation, oral bacteria capable of hard surface adhesion, mostly Gram-positive viridans streptococci and Actinomyces species, begin colonizing the surface of the pellicle, followed by ordered waves of microbial succession, forming a complex, structured plaque [70-72] with a bacterial density of more than 200 million bacterial cells per milligram [72,73]. The plaque is held together by a glycocalyx matrix of bacterial extracellular polymeric substances (EPSs) that include exopolysaccharides and cell lysis and hydrolysis products, as well as extracellular DNA (eDNA) [73-75]. High molecular weight eDNA has been shown to play a role in initial biofilm formation [76], and in addition to serving a structural function, eDNA may also play a role in the horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance and other genes within oral biofilms [77-79].

For reasons that are not fully understood [63,65,66,68,80], dental plaque undergoes periodic mineralization events to form dental calculus. Calcium phosphate ions from saliva and GCF precipitate within supragingival and subgingival dental plaque, respectively, first in the intercellular matrix and later within a portion of the bacterial cells. During this phase, the AEP also calcifies, and any irregularities or pits on the surface of the tooth are also infilled with crystals, further strengthening the attachment of the calculus to the tooth [63]. Dental calculus mineral is similar to that of bone and dentine and is composed of multiple calcium phosphates with different morphologies and stoichiometric compositions that change during biomineral maturation [65,66] to form a cement-like substrate with high physical hardness and adhesive strength [68].

The dominant phases of calcium phosphate in dental calculus are (in order of increasing crystallinity): brushite (B), octocalcium phosphate (OCP), whitlockite (TCP-b) and hydroxyapatite (HAP). DNA is known to bind strongly to calcium phosphate minerals [81], and mineral growth around and within oral bacterial cells may directly aid in nucleic acid survival [82]. During maturation, the crystallinity of dental calculus increases, with interior layers exhibiting more high-crystallinity phases (e.g. HAP) than exterior layers [66]. Nevertheless, all four phases are found together within mature dental calculus, and even within archaeological specimens [83]. After mineralization is complete, the process of plaque formation begins again and the cycle continues, resulting in an incremental and appositional growth of dental calculus deposits [80].

During this process of biomineral maturation, dietary microfossils (e.g. phytoliths, starch granules and pollen) may also become incorporated into dental calculus. Likewise, airborne and waterborne environmental pollutants, such as microcharcoal and sponge spicules, can become entrapped within the calcifying plaque, as can cooking and craft activity waste, such as groundstone grit and plant and animal fibres. The result of these processes is a mineralized bacterial biofilm that adheres to the surface of the tooth and contains a temporally ordered succession of diverse bacterial cells and environmental debris fossilized *in situ* (figure 2).



Figure 2. Backscattered scanning electron microscopy image of archaeological dental calculus *in situ* on the labial surface of a mandibular incisor. (*a*) Dental pulp cavity; (*b*) taphonomically altered dentine; (*c*) intact dentine; (*d*) enamel; (*e*) detail of dental calculus mineral layers and an *in situ* microfossil inclusion of biogenic silica (arrow); (*f*) detail of oral bacteria within dental calculus. The specimen shown is from Dalheim, Germany, and has been radiocarbon dated to 1079 + 51 CE (calibrated) [39].

4. Dental calculus in archaeological research

There is growing recognition of the importance of archaeological dental calculus as a source of oral health and dietary information. Early studies of archaeological dental calculus can be traced back nearly a century [84], but it was not until the 1960s and 1970s that dental calculus began to receive serious treatment by archaeologists, dental anthropologists and dentists, who described its occurrence in both human [83,85-88] and faunal [41] assemblages and determined its mineral composition [83,88]. During the 1980s, dental calculus was documented in a range of archaeological populations [43,89-91], and systematic protocols were developed for recording dental calculus distribution and severity [92]. Throughout the 1980s and 1990s, interest in dental calculus continued to grow as its occurrence was observed to correlate at least in part with subsistence strategy [40,43,67,93,94], and by the mid-1990s dental calculus recording became a standard practice in the analysis of human remains [95].

In addition to macroscopic analysis, microscopic investigation of dental calculus also greatly advanced during the late 1980s and 1990s. Pioneering work by Dobney & Brothwell [40,43] revealed a great diversity of well-preserved microbial and dietary microfossils within the archaeological dental calculus of both humans and fauna. Building on this and other foundational work by Armitage [41], the early 1990s witnessed a dramatic growth in plant microfossil research focusing primarily on phytolith recovery from extinct primate [96], faunal [94,97] and human [44,94,98] dental calculus. In parallel, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of human [37,93,94,99,100], archaic hominin [93,101,102] and extinct primate dental calculus [103] revealed the presence of wellpreserved bacterial forms within dental calculus spanning time periods dating back to the Miocene (*ca* 9.3 Ma).

In the 1990s and 2000s, starch granule analysis of dental calculus made fundamental contributions to reconstructing the starchy components (e.g. roots, tubers, seeds) of human [42,104–106] and archaic hominin [107,108] diets, and both starch granule taphonomy [108,109] and dental calculus

pyrolysis profiles [107] have additionally been used to infer past cooking practices. Dental calculus-based palaeodietary inference has also been attempted using trace element [110] and stable isotope [111,112] methods; however, the latter approach has received sharp criticism [113]. Moving beyond dietary analysis, observations of plant textile fibres within archaeological dental calculus also indicate that it is a potential source of information about past human craft activity and trade [114].

The first biomolecular investigation of dental calculus was conducted in 1996 and aimed to identify the oral pathogen Streptococcus mutans (a causative agent of dental caries) through immunohistochemical analysis [37]. In 2011, the preservation of bacterial DNA within dental calculus was confirmed by gold-labelled antibody transmission electron microscopy [38], and this was followed in 2012 by targeted PCR-based genetic approaches, which identified S. mutans and additional oral taxa, including Fusobacterium nucleatum, Actinomyces naeslundii, Porphyromonas gingivalis and Streptococcus gordonii, as well as human mitochondrial DNA [35,36]. With the application of NGS in 2013, Adler et al. [35] used 16S rRNA gene amplicons to demonstrate that dental calculus preserves an oral microbiome profile. They recovered microbiome data from individuals spanning the Mesolithic through to modern day, and investigated two phylum-level ecological shifts coinciding with the origins of agriculture and industrialization. Subsequently, Warinner et al. [39] performed a species-level taxonomic and protein functional characterization of ancient oral microbiomes in 2014 with the use of shotgun metagenomics and metaproteomics. This approach allowed a detailed analysis of ancient periodontal disease on the basis of bacterial virulence factors and host immune activity, genome reconstruction of the periodontal pathogen Tannerella forsythia, and identification of specific plant and animal dietary components. These studies provide a glimpse of the potential wealth of evolutionary, health and dietary information that dental calculus research can provide as more geographically and temporally diverse populations are investigated.

5. Advancing the field of ancient oral microbiome research

There is immense public interest in the emerging field of microbiomes and excitement about the extension of this research into the past. However, as with all emerging areas of research, there will be growing pains and methodological challenges to be faced and overcome. In the past, palaeomicrobiology studies were primarily challenged by too little data-insufficient DNA yields for sequencing, promising sequences that could not be replicated and incomplete datasets. Today, in the era of NGS and high-throughput mass spectrometry, the challenge is more likely to be too much data and how appropriately to manage, filter, assemble, authenticate and interpret the millions of sequences and spectra that make up current palaeomicrobial datasets [115,116]. In this section, we will examine current methodological challenges and opportunities relating to sampling, biomolecule extraction, microbiome characterization and contamination management in the emerging new field of dental calculus palaeomicrobiology.

(a) Sample collection

The study of ancient microbiomes is still in its infancy, and there is much work to be done to optimize dental calculus sampling strategies and biomolecule extraction methods. At present, there is no consensus on optimal sampling strategies for dental calculus, either in terms of sample quantity or sampling location (e.g. dental quadrant or tooth type, labial/buccal versus lingual deposits). Ideally, supragingival and subgingival calculus should be collected and analysed separately, as they are known to have distinct aetiologies and different clinical significance [68]; however, in practice they are often difficult to distinguish in archaeological specimens. In the absence of soft tissue, it can be difficult to reconstruct the location of the former gingival margin, and archaeological subgingival calculus is not always darkened or discoloured, as it typically appears in living patients. Additionally, supragingival calculus may form on top of subgingival calculus as the alveolar margin recedes during the progression of periodontal disease. As a practical matter, sampling strategies are also often constrained by the teeth that are available in a given skeletal assemblage, as both ante- and post-mortem tooth losses are common. In many cases, pooling of calculus samples from multiple teeth may be the best method for obtaining representative data for comparison among individuals.

As with all destructive sampling techniques, the dentition should be photographed, and the location and severity of calculus should be documented prior to collection [43,95,117]. The additional sampling of associated dentine and/or bone may assist with characterizing the contamination burden of the burial environment. Because dentine and bone are typically sterile during life, bacteria recovered from these tissues represent highly local proxies for the post-mortem bacterial contamination that may be found in ancient microbiome samples [39]. Recently, electron microscopy of archaeological tooth sections revealed that environmental bacterial infiltration is greatest in cementum and in the dentine immediately surrounding the pulp cavity (figure 2). In addition, the lower portion of the tooth root in proximity to the root canal and apical foramen, which serves as the post-mortem entry point for environmental microbes into the pulp cavity, may show substantial taphonomic alteration [39]. These findings complicate recent suggestions to sample preferentially dental pulp, cementum and the tooth root tip for recovery of endogenous host DNA [15,118], as these sites appear to be the most taphonomically altered locations in archaeological teeth.

Finally, because of the wealth of microbial, health, environmental and dietary information potentially present within dental calculus, it is important to conduct the sampling and analysis of these substrates carefully and responsibly. To conserve material, unified protocols that can recover multiple types of information (e.g. DNA, proteins, microfossils and elemental/isotopic data) from the same starting material are urgently needed, and, as with all studies of ancient material, it is strongly recommended always to reserve a reasonable quantity of sample material for future analyses.

(b) Biomolecule recovery

Throughout the 1990s and first decade of the 21st century, optimizing and maximizing DNA recovery from bone and dentine was a major focus of the ancient DNA community. To the best of our knowledge, only one study to date has compared the efficiency of different extraction protocols on archaeological dental calculus [39], and digestion buffer composition and extraction methods were found to impact DNA recovery yields by more than an order of magnitude. Similar

4

Table 1. Comparative DNA yields between dental calculus and dentine. Normalized DNA yields are reported as nanogram DNA extracted per mg of tissue; DNA measurements determined using a Qubit fluorometer. n.d., not determined; asterisk (*) denotes mean yield of two extractions.

samples	dentine DNA yield (ng mg ^{—1})	dental calculus DNA yield (ng mg ^{—1})
Modern		
P2ª	n.d.	83.4
POK1 ^b	n.d.	346.0
POK2 ^b	n.d.	313.5
Victorian		
FW283T ^c	23.1	13.4
Medieval		
G12ª	0.5	44.8
B17 ^a	0.3	437.2
B61ª	0.3	5.0*
B78ª	0.4	29.8
UK1ª	n.d.	226.6*
Anglo-Saxon		
NEM093 ^c	1.3	22.2
Roman Britain		
3DT21 ^c	0.5	15.8
UK2ª	n.d.	84.8

^aData from [39].

^bDNA extractions performed using method A described in [39]. ^cDNA extractions performed using method A with silica modification described in [39].

variability in extraction efficiency has also been observed for modern microbiome samples, and minor changes in DNA extraction techniques have been found to impact recovery of specific taxa [119], an issue yet to be fully explored in dental calculus studies.

The amount of DNA preserved within some dental calculus samples is extraordinary, especially when compared with dentine (table 1). Comparing DNA yields from paired dental calculus and dentine samples, Warinner et al. [39] reported DNA yields as high as 437 ng mg⁻¹ from dental calculus compared with 0.6 ng mg⁻¹ from dentine of the same tooth, making dental calculus one of the richest known sources of ancient biomolecules in the archaeological record. However, DNA yields and downstream enzyme inhibition varied substantially depending on the digestion buffer and extraction method used, and attempts to remove inhibitory molecules resulted in substantial DNA loss. In the same study, Warinner et al. [39] also extracted proteins from dental calculus using a modified method originally developed for archaeological bone [120]. Although effective, enzyme inhibition during the trypsin digestion step reduced the efficiency of peptide generation. Removal of co-extracted inhibitory molecules, therefore, remains an obstacle in both metagenomic and metaproteomic dental calculus research.

(c) Characterizing the ancient oral microbiome

A major challenge as we move forward in palaeomicrobiology will be to find optimal methods for characterizing ancient microbiomes, in terms of both taxonomic and functional profiles, that are compatible with modern datasets. At present, there are three primary approaches to characterizing the microbiome that have been applied to ancient samples: (i) amplicon sequencing, (ii) shotgun metagenomics, and (iii) shotgun proteomics.

(i) Amplicon sequencing

Amplicon sequencing is currently the standard in human microbiome characterization, as it is relatively inexpensive and is supported by a large body of comparative data in curated databases (e.g. RDP [121], SILVA [122] and Greengenes [123]) and established platforms for data management and analysis (e.g. QIIME [31] and Mothur [34]). Microbiome amplicon sequencing primarily focuses on one or more of the nine variable regions (V1-V9) of the 16S rRNA gene, a highly conserved ribosomal gene present in bacteria and archaea. Sequence divergence within the 16S rRNA gene variable regions is generally sufficient to distinguish bacterial taxa to the level of genus, and in some cases, species, and thus deep sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons allows the taxonomic structure and diversity of a microbiome to be characterized. However, one challenge for an amplicon-based approach is the fact that many of the primer sets used to amplify 16S rRNA gene variable regions in ecological studies, such as 515F/806R [124] and 357F/926R [61], target regions greater than 300 bp in length and so exceed the DNA fragment lengths typical of ancient DNA. As a result, ancient DNA studies must rely on alternative, shorter primer sets with both altered primer-binding affinity and reduced taxonomic discriminating capacity. The third (V3) and sixth (V6) variable regions of the 16S rRNA gene are sufficiently short for ancient DNA studies (less than 200 bp) and have been successfully amplified from ancient dental calculus [35,39]. Both primer sets, however, may result in biased amplification of oral bacteria. For example, in silico primer analysis using PrimerProspector [125] predicts poor V3 primer binding affinity to spirochaetes, while V6 primers show poor binding affinity to TM7 phylum bacteria [39]. Bacterial frequency estimates from amplicon data are also complicated by the fact that many bacterial species have multiple copies of the 16S rRNA gene [126]. Finally, taxonomic dropout is also possible if DNA preservation is poor and amplification efficiency is low. Each of these factors must be taken into account when interpreting and comparing 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing data.

(ii) Shotgun metagenomics

Although not yet routine, shotgun metagenomics is gaining popularity as a community characterization approach. Rather than amplifying and sequencing a single gene or target region, as in amplicon sequencing, shotgun metagenomics randomly amplifies and sequences a subset of the total DNA in a sample. In this way, the entire biotic content of a sample (bacteria, archaea, eukarya and viruses) can be analysed at once, something that is not possible with amplicon sequencing because of the absence of conserved regions across all domains. Additionally, shotgun metagenomics does not suffer from issues of primer bias, although GC bias may still be a factor depending on the DNA polymerase used to prepare the sequencing library [127].

Shotgun metagenomics is potentially the most informative genetic approach to microbiome characterization, but it is also

the most difficult to analyse and interpret [33,128]. Shotgun metagenomic datasets are massive (on the order of billions of nucleotides), depth of coverage is typically low, and there are few established analysis pipelines. Determining 'who's there' in a shotgun metagenomics dataset is far from straightforward, and may require using computationally intensive BLAST search algorithms, either before or after de novo contig assembly of sequencing reads, followed by labour intensive quality checking [39]. Recently, tools such as MEGAN [129], MG-RAST [130], mBLAST [131] and MetaPhlAn [64] have attempted to simplify the bioinformatic complexity of answering this question; however, each tool has its own limitations and biases with respect to specificity and inclusivity. For example, because MEGAN relies on only the top 100 BLAST hits for taxonomic assignment, it is susceptible to database bias and has a tendency incorrectly to assign conserved sequences to well-studied organisms with many NCBI entries, such as Mycobacterium tuberculosis. MetaPhlAn aims to provide quantitative assessments of metagenomic data, but its reliance on a restricted genomic database means that not all microbiome members are detected. This can lead to underreporting of some important taxa, such as the periodontal pathogen T. forsythia, which is not detectable using MetaPhlAn v. 1.7.7. For each of these tools, a detailed understanding of how they work, their biases and their limitations is essential in order to avoid misinterpretation of results. Another challenge of shotgun metagenomics analysis is that amplicon sequencing and shotgun metagenomics may reconstruct different bacterial communities [132], and recent gut microbiome analyses have found that shotgun metagenomic approaches yielded lower species diversity estimates than those based on amplicon sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene [133], suggesting biases of diversity underestimation and the need for improved computational analyses.

However, the true advantage of shotgun metagenomics is that it generates whole genome sequencing data; thus, downstream analyses are not limited to simple questions of taxonomy or phylogeny, but rather can extend to complex questions relating to gene content and genomic functional potential. The pairing of shotgun metagenomics with target enrichment has already allowed for the successful reconstruction of ancient M. tuberculosis [13], Mycobacterium leprae [14] and Yersinia pestis [12] genomes from ancient bone and dentine. Shotgun metagenomics can also be used to reconstruct genomes from the microbiome without enrichment, as has been demonstrated for T. forsythia [39]. Additionally, working with modern dental plaque samples, Liu et al. [134] recently reconstructed a partial genome for an uncultured TM7 bacterium without the aid of a reference genome. This achievement is significant for two reasons: first, it suggests that shotgun metagenomics may offer a potential solution to the problem that most microbes cannot be cultured in a laboratory; and second, it opens the door for future studies aimed at recovering extinct microbial genomes for which no reference genomes exist.

Microbiome community complexity, however, remains a significant challenge, and genome reconstruction is largely limited to highly abundant taxa. Moreover, strain genomic variability is typically high within microbiomes due to elevated levels of horizontal gene transfer and recombination, and thus a single reference genome is rarely sufficient to characterize a species. For example, the protein coding sequences (CDSs) of virulent and less-virulent strains of the periodontal pathogen *P. gingivalis* differ by more than 20% [135], and it has been estimated that the pan genome of the oral bacterium *Streptococcus agalactiae* would still be insufficiently characterized even if the full genomes of more than a hundred strains were sequenced [136]. Thus polymorphic species, which are typical of microbiome endemic pathogens (e.g. *Helicobacter pylori* [137], *Neisseria meningitidis* [136] and *P. gingivalis* [135]), pose greater genome reconstruction challenges than epidemic monomorphic pathogens (e.g. *M. tuberculosis, M. leprae* and *Y. pestis*), which are largely clonal [2] and may be more easily scaffolded onto modern reference genomes. The future of ancient microbiome studies will require the development of novel genome assembly techniques and algorithms.

(iii) Shotgun metaproteomics

Shotgun metaproteomics is a new tool in microbiome studies that allows both microbial and host proteins within the microbiome to be characterized simultaneously. An advantage of shotgun metaproteomics compared with metagenomics is that rather than being limited to the genetic content of a bacterial community, which represents the blueprint of functional potential, shotgun metaproteomics provides direct access to actual protein functions being performed [138,139]. This can be especially useful for examining pathogen-host interactions and immune response [140,141], as has been recently demonstrated in studies of mummified soft tissue [142] and ancient dental calculus [39]. As an emerging technique, shotgun metaproteomics faces important challenges, including analysis bottlenecks with respect to sample throughput, standardization, replicability and the establishment of appropriate reference databases. Many of these challenges are shared with shotgun metagenomics, but others are unique to protein analysis. For example, proteins deriving from a common DNA sequence can appear in alternative isoforms and exhibit different post-translational modifications that are difficult to predict based on the genome sequence alone and instead must be empirically tested and validated. Additionally, protein sequencing is less straightforward than DNA sequencing, and sequence interpretation relies heavily on spectra comparison to reference databases that, by necessity, are often limited in scope or size in order to reduce computational complexity. However, despite these challenges, shotgun metaproteomics is a rapidly developing and growing field that promises to yield unique insights into the role of host microbiota in ancient health and disease [116].

(d) Authentication and contamination

In addition to standard ancient DNA contamination precautions [27,28], the investigation of ancient microbiomes requires several further considerations. Because bacteria, rather than host DNA, are the organisms of interest, identifying sources of contamination becomes a leading challenge. Bacteria are ubiquitous, and contamination can originate from myriad sources, most notably the burial environment, post-excavation handling and the laboratory. Even the air around us contains more than a thousand bacterial species [22,143], many of which may be shed from our own bodies [144]. The analysis of ancient microbial DNA has been considered problematic by some because of the difficulties of eliminating contamination from modern sources; however, in practice there are many measures that can be taken to assess authenticity 6

and reduce contamination artefacts. Specifically, with respect to metagenomic community-level characterization of ancient microbiomes, there are three principal challenges: (i) postmortem community alterations (decomposition or modern contamination) that can alter bacterial diversity estimates and skew community structure, (ii) DNA damage artefacts that can artificially inflate bacterial diversity estimates, and (iii) laboratory reagent and sample crossover contamination.

(i) Decomposition and environmental contamination

Post-mortem microbial community alterations due to in situ decomposition and/or environmental contamination are particularly challenging to ancient microbiome studies, as they can artificially inflate or reduce bacterial diversity, as well as skew community structure. The bioinformatics tool SourceTracker [32] has been shown to be both highly sensitive and effective at detecting decomposition and exogenous contamination in ancient microbiome samples [39,145]. Using this tool, ancient microbiome samples can be tested for potential contaminants using published datasets (e.g. skin microbiome, compost and soil) and/or locally generated datasets (e.g. laboratory air samples, and bone or dentine samples as a proxy for infiltrated soil bacteria). Although at present it is not yet possible to use this tool to subtract identified contamination from sample datasets, it is nevertheless an objective and effective screening tool for identifying authentic ancient microbiome samples.

(ii) Damage artefacts

Another challenge in ancient microbiome community characterization is damage artefacts. Cytosine deamination and other miscoding lesions are characteristic of ancient DNA, and they are even used to detect and authenticate genuine ancient DNA sequences [146]. With sufficient depth of coverage obtained through cloning or NGS deep sequencing, these miscoding lesions can be identified and removed from conventional ancient DNA datasets, but they pose a major challenge in metagenomic analyses of microbial communities, where a single nucleotide change could represent either a damage artefact or a novel organism. Microbiomes typically contain thousands of taxa at frequencies that differ by orders of magnitude. Therefore, the depth of coverage for all but the most abundant taxa is expected to be very low, and sequence alignment cannot be used to distinguish damage from true sequence differences. In order to reduce artificial inflation of bacterial diversity due to damage, a high fidelity damage-sensitive DNA polymerase, such as Phusion Hot Start II (Thermo Scientific), can be used for NGS library generation [39]. Although damage-based ancient DNA authentication tools, such as mapDamage [146], may be incompatible with this approach, other ancient DNA authentication methods, such as testing for asymmetrical molecular behaviour on the basis of ancient DNA fragment length [147], can still be applied.

Low-level contamination of laboratory reagents, especially primers and dNTPs, can pose serious challenges when using universal bacterial primers. Fortunately, new protocols for reagent decontamination using a heat labile double stranded DNase [26] are highly effective, and when used consistently, these protocols largely eliminate reagent contamination as a major concern in current ancient DNA research. Moreover, because the majority of ancient microbiome DNA is bacterial in origin, amplicon-based approaches using universal bacterial primers typically require only moderate PCR cycling (30–35 cycles), again reducing reagent contamination risk.

(iii) Laboratory reagent and sample crossover contamination

Finally, sample crossover contamination at commercial NGS sequencing facilities can introduce foreign DNA sequences into a dataset, and for this reason it is strongly recommended to index ancient DNA libraries with short, sample-specific barcodes prior to sequencing [148]. While commercial NGS library kits offer this indexing ability, it is important to consider that many other laboratories use these same kits, and so to reduce crossover contamination more effectively it may be preferable instead to custom order unique, or at least less common, barcode sequences.

6. Conclusion

We have entered a new era in palaeomicrobiology. NGS has allowed the recovery of major epidemic pathogens and elucidated the causes of historic pandemics and specific palaeopathologies. At the same time, major international initiatives to investigate the human microbiome have revealed both the importance of human-associated microbes in basic human life functions, as well as their role in a variety of acute and chronic diseases. Recent NGS-based palaeomicrobiology studies have revealed dental calculus to be an important reservoir of ancient human oral microbiomes, offering a unique opportunity to examine the links between human health, diet, lifestyle and the environment throughout the course of human evolution. Although still in its infancy, microbiome palaeomicrobiology has great potential to elucidate the dynamic and intimate relationship between humans and their microbes and to lead to a deeper understanding of the place of our ancient microbial self in the modern world.

Acknowledgements. We thank the Royal Society for allowing us to reprint figure 1, Hans Ulrich Luder for providing the electron microscopy images in figure 2 and Cecil M. Lewis, Jr and Krithivasan Sankaranarayanan for providing helpful comments on the manuscript. Funding statement. Funding for this research was provided by the Centre for Chronic Diseases and Disorders (C2D2) Research Priming Fund grant to C.F.S. (Wellcome Trust ISSF Award to the University of York, ref. 097829/Z/11/A).

References

- Drancourt M, Raoult D. 2005 Palaeomicrobiology: current issues and perspectives. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 3, 23-35. (doi:10.1038/nrmicro1063)
- 2. Achtman M. 2008 Evolution, population structure, and phylogeography of genetically monomorphic

bacterial pathogens. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **62**, 53 – 70. (doi:10.1146/annurev.micro.62.081307. 162832)

3. Head IM, Jones DM, Larter SR. 2003 Biological activity in the deep subsurface and the origin of

heavy oil. *Nature* **426**, 344–352. (doi:10.1038/ nature02134)

 Schippers A, Neretin LN, Kallmeyer J, Ferdelman TG, Cragg BA, Parkes RJ, Jorgensen BB. 2005 Prokaryotic cells of the deep sub-seafloor biosphere identified as living bacteria. *Nature* **433**, 861–864. (doi:10. 1038/nature03302)

- D'Costa VM *et al.* 2011 Antibiotic resistance is ancient. *Nature* 477, 457–461. (doi:10.1038/ nature10388)
- Johnson SS *et al.* 2007 Ancient bacteria show evidence of DNA repair. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 14 401 – 14 405. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 0706787104)
- Vishnivetskaya TA, Petrova MA, Urbance J, Ponder M, Moyer CL, Gilichinsky DA, Tiedje JM. 2006 Bacterial community in ancient Siberian permafrost as characterized by culture and culture-independent methods. *Astrobiology* 6, 400–414. (doi:10.1089/ ast.2006.6.400)
- Willerslev E, Hansen AJ, Poinar HN. 2004 Isolation of nucleic acids and cultures from fossil ice and permafrost. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **19**, 141–147. (doi:10. 1016/j.tree.2003.11.010)
- Willerslev E, Hansen AJ, Ronn R, Brand TB, Barnes I, Wiuf C, Gilichinsky D, Mitchell D, Cooper A. 2004 Long-term persistence of bacterial DNA. *Curr. Biol.* 14, R9–R10. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2003.12.012)
- Dominguez-Bello MG, Blaser MJ. 2011 The human microbiota as a marker for migrations of individuals and populations. *Annu. Rev. Anthropol.* 40, 451– 474. (doi:10.1146/annurev-anthro-081309-145711)
- Biagini P *et al.* 2012 Variola virus in a 300-year-old Siberian mummy. *New Engl. J. Med.* 367, 2057–2059. (doi:10.1056/NEJMc1208124)
- Bos KI *et al.* 2012 A draft genome of *Yersinia pestis* from victims of the Black Death. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **147**, 103–104.
- Bouwman AS, Kennedy SL, Muller R, Stephens RH, Holst M, Caffell AC, Roberts CA, Brown TA. 2012 Genotype of a historic strain of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 18 511– 18 516. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1209444109)
- Schuenemann VJ *et al.* 2013 Genome-wide comparison of medieval and modern *Mycobacterium leprae. Science* **341**, 179–183. (doi:10.1126/science. 1238286)
- Drancourt M, Raoult D. 2004 Molecular detection of *Yersinia pestis* in dental pulp. *Microbiology* **150**, 263–264; discussion 264–265. (doi:10.1099/mic.0. 26885-0)
- Gilbert MT, Cuccui J, White W, Lynnerup N, Titball RW, Cooper A, Prentice MB. 2004 Absence of *Yersinia pestis*-specific DNA in human teeth from five European excavations of putative plague victims. *Microbiology* **150**, 341–354. (doi:10.1099/ mic.0.26594-0)
- Gilbert MTP, Cuccui J, White W, Lynnerup N, Titball RW, Cooper A, Prentice MB. 2004 Response to Drancourt and Raoult. *Microbiol-Sgm* **150**, 264–265. (doi:10.1099/mic.0.26959-0)
- Raoult D, Aboudharam G, Crubezy E, Larrouy G, Ludes B, Drancourt M. 2000 Molecular identification by 'suicide PCR' of *Yersinia pestis* as the agent of medieval black death. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 97, 12 800–12 803. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 220225197)

- Raoult D, Drancourt M, Fournier PE, Ogata H. 2005 *Yersinia pestis* genotyping—response. *Emerging Infect. Dis.* **11**, 1318–1319. (doi:10.3201/ 1108.050568)
- Papagrigorakis MJ, Yapijakis C, Synodinos PN, Baziotopoulou-Valavani E. 2006 DNA examination of ancient dental pulp incriminates typhoid fever as a probable cause of the Plague of Athens. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* 10, 206–214. (doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2005.09.001)
- Shapiro B, Rambaut A, Gilbert MTP. 2006 No proof that typhoid caused the Plague of Athens (a reply to Papagrigorakis *et al.*). *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* **10**, 334–335. (doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2006.02.006)
- Polymenakou PN. 2012 Atmosphere: a source of pathogenic or beneficial microbes? *Atmosphere* 3, 87–102. (doi:10.3390/atmos3010087)
- Schloss PD, Handelsman J. 2004 Status of the microbial census. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 68, 686–691. (doi:10.1128/MMBR.68.4.686-691.2004)
- Trevors JT. 2010 One gram of soil: a microbial biochemical gene library. *Anton. Leeuw. Int. J. G.* 97, 99–106. (doi:10.1007/s10482-009-9397-5)
- Willerslev E, Cooper A. 2005 Ancient DNA. Proc. R. Soc. B 272, 3-16. (doi:10.1098/rspb. 2004.2813)
- Champlot S, Berthelot C, Pruvost M, Bennett EA, Grange T, Geigl EM. 2010 An efficient multistrategy DNA decontamination procedure of PCR reagents for hypersensitive PCR applications. *PLoS ONE* 5. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013042)
- Gilbert MT, Bandelt HJ, Hofreiter M, Barnes I. 2005 Assessing ancient DNA studies. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 20, 541–544. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2005.07.005)
- Knapp M, Clarke AC, Horsburgh KA, Matisoo-Smith EA. 2012 Setting the stage—building and working in an ancient DNA laboratory. *Ann. Anat.* **194**, 3–6. (doi:10.1016/j.aanat.2011.03.008)
- MacLean D, Jones JDG, Studholme DJ. 2009 Application of 'next-generation' sequencing technologies to microbial genetics. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 7, 287–296.
- Shendure J, Ji HL. 2008 Next-generation DNA sequencing. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 26, 1135–1145. (doi:10.1038/nbt1486)
- Caporaso JG *et al.* 2010 QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat. Methods* 7, 335-336. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.f.303)
- Knights D, Kuczynski J, Charlson ES, Zaneveld J, Mozer MC, Collman RG, Bushman FD, Knight R, Kelley ST. 2011 Bayesian community-wide cultureindependent microbial source tracking. *Nat. Methods* 8, 761–763. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.1650)
- Kuczynski J, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Parfrey LW, Clemente JC, Gevers D, Knight R. 2012 Experimental and analytical tools for studying the human microbiome. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 13, 47–58. (doi:10. 1038/nrg3129)
- Schloss PD *et al.* 2009 Introducing mothur: opensource, platform-independent, communitysupported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **75**, 7537 – 7541. (doi:10.1128/AEM.01541-09)

- Adler CJ et al. 2013 Sequencing ancient calcified dental plaque shows changes in oral microbiota with dietary shifts of the Neolithic and Industrial revolutions. Nat. Genet 45, 450–455. (doi:10.1038/ ng.2536)
- De La Fuente CP, Flores SV, Moraga ML. 2012 Human bacterial DNA from dental calculus: a new source of genetic material. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 147, 127.
- Linossier A, Gajardo M, Olavarria J. 1996 Paleomicrobiological study in dental calculus: *Streptococcus mutans. Scanning Microsc.* 10, 1005 – 1013; discussion 1014.
- Preus HR, Marvik OJ, Selvig KA, Bennike P. 2011 Ancient bacterial DNA (aDNA) in dental calculus from archaeological human remains. J. Archaeol. Sci. 38, 1827 – 1831. (doi:10.1016/j.jas.2011.03.020)
- Warinner C *et al.* 2014 Pathogens and host immunity in the ancient human oral cavity. *Nat. Genet.* 46, 336. (doi:10.1038/ng.2906)
- Dobney K, Brothwell D. 1988 A scanning electron microscope study of archaeological dental calculus. In Scanning electron microscopy in archaeology, BAR International Series, vol. 452 (ed. S Olsen), pp. 372–385. Oxford, UK: BAR.
- Armitage PL. 1975 The extraction and identification of opal phytoliths from the teeth of ungulates. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 2, 187–197. (doi:10.1016/0305-4403(75)90056-4)
- 42. Cummings LS, Magennis A. 1997 A phytolith and starch record of food and grit in Mayan human tooth tartar. *Estado actual de los estudios de fitolitos en suelos y plantas Monografías del Centro de Ciencias Medioambientales* **4**, 211–218.
- Dobney K, Brothwell D. 1986 Dental calculus: its relevance to ancient diet and oral ecology. *Teeth Anthropol. BAR Int. Ser.* 291, 55–81.
- Fox CL, Pérez-Pérez A, Juan J. 1994 Dietary information through the examination of plant phytoliths on the enamel surface of human dentition. J. Archaeol. Sci. 21, 29–34. (doi:10.1006/ jasc.1994.1005)
- Lederberg J, McCray AT. 2001 'Ome sweet 'omics a genealogical treasury of words. *Scientist* 15, 8.
- 46. Pallen MJ, Wren BW. 2007 Bacterial pathogenomics. *Nature* **449**, 835–842. (doi:10.1038/nature06248)
- Qin J *et al.* 2012 A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. *Nature* 490, 55–60. (doi:10.1038/nature11450)
- Turnbaugh PJ, Ley RE, Mahowald MA, Magrini V, Mardis ER, Gordon JI. 2006 An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest. *Nature* 444, 1027–1031. (doi:10.1038/ nature05414)
- Wang J, Qi J, Zhao H, He S, Zhang Y, Wei S, Zhao F. 2013 Metagenomic sequencing reveals microbiota and its functional potential associated with periodontal disease. *Sci. Rep.* **3**, 1843.
- Peterson SN, Snesrud E, Liu J, Ong AC, Kilian M, Schork NJ, Bretz W. 2013 The dental plaque microbiome in health and disease. *PLoS ONE* 8, e58487. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058487)

- Koren O *et al.* 2011 Human oral, gut, and plaque microbiota in patients with atherosclerosis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 4592–4598. (doi:10.1073/ pnas.1011383107)
- Nakano K, Nemoto H, Nomura R, Inaba H, Yoshioka H, Taniguchi K, Amano A, Ooshima T. 2009 Detection of oral bacteria in cardiovascular specimens. *Oral Microbiol. Immunol.* 24, 64–68. (doi:10.1111/j.1399-302X.2008.00479.x)
- Kong HDH *et al.* 2012 Temporal shifts in the skin microbiome associated with disease flares and treatment in children with atopic dermatitis. *Genome Res.* 22, 850–859. (doi:10.1101/gr. 131029.111)
- Ma B, Forney LJ, Ravel J. 2012 Vaginal microbiome: rethinking health and disease. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 66, 371–389. (doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-092611-150157)
- Morgan XC *et al.* 2012 Dysfunction of the intestinal microbiome in inflammatory bowel disease and treatment. *Genome Biol.* **13**, R79. (doi:10.1186/gb-2012-13-9-r79)
- Gest H. 2004 The discovery of microorganisms by Robert Hooke and Antoni Van Leeuwenhoek, fellows of the Royal Society. *Notes Rec. R. Soc. Lond.* 58, 187–201. (doi:10.1098/rsnr.2004.0055)
- Leewenhoeck A. An abstract of a letter from Mr. Anthony Leewenhoeck at Delft, dated Sep. 17. 1683 Containing some microscopical observations, about animals in the scurf of the teeth, the substance call'd worms in the nose, the cuticula consisting of scales. *Phil. Trans.* 14, 568–574. (doi:10.1098/rstl. 1684.0030)
- Kuramitsu HK, He XS, Lux R, Anderson MH, Shi WY. 2007 Interspecies interactions within oral microbial communities. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **71**, 653. (doi:10.1128/MMBR.00024-07)
- Hamilton IA. 2000 Ecological basis for dental caries. In Oral bacterial ecology (eds HK Kuramitsu, RP Ellen), pp. 219–275. Norfolk, UK: Horizon Scientific Press.
- 60. Wilson M. 2005 *Microbial inhabitants of humans: their ecology and role in health and disease.* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Human Microbiome Project Consortium 2012 Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. *Nature* 486, 207–214. (doi:10. 1038/nature11234)
- Segata N, Haake SK, Mannon P, Lemon KP, Waldron L, Gevers D, Huttenhower C, Izard J. 2012 Composition of the adult digestive tract bacterial microbiome based on seven mouth surfaces, tonsils, throat and stool samples. *Genome Biol.* 13, R42. (doi:10.1186/qb-2012-13-6-r42)
- Jepsen S, Deschner J, Braun A, Schwarz F, Eberhard J. 2011 Calculus removal and the prevention of its formation. *Periodontology 2000* 55, 167–188. (doi:10.1111/j.1600-0757.2010.00382.x)
- Segata N, Waldron L, Ballarini A, Narasimhan V, Jousson O, Huttenhower C. 2012 Metagenomic microbial community profiling using unique cladespecific marker genes. *Nat. Methods* 9, 811–814. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.2066)

- Jin Y, Yip HK. 2002 Supragingival calculus: formation and control. *Crit. Rev. Oral Biol. Med.* 13, 426–441. (doi:10.1177/154411130201300506)
- 66. Schroeder HE. 1969 *Formation and inhibition of dental calculus*. Bern, Switzerland: Hans Huber.
- Lieverse AR. 1999 Diet and the aetiology of dental calculus. *Int. J. Osteoarchaeol.* 9, 219–232. (doi:10. 1002/(SICI)1099-1212(199907/08)9:4<219::AID-0A475>3.0.C0;2-V)
- White DJ. 1997 Dental calculus: recent insights into occurrence, formation, prevention, removal and oral health effects of supragingival and subgingival deposits. *Eur. J. Oral Sci.* **105**, 508–522. (doi:10. 1111/j.1600-0722.1997.tb00238.x)
- Siqueira WL, Custodio W, McDonald EE. 2012 New insights into the composition and functions of the acquired enamel pellicle. *J. Dent. Res.* 91, 1110– 1118. (doi:10.1177/0022034512462578)
- Kolenbrander PE, Andersen RN, Blehert DS, Egland PG, Foster JS, Palmer RJ. 2002 Communication among oral bacteria. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 66, 486. (doi:10.1128/MMBR.66.3.486-505.2002)
- Zijnge V, van Leeuwen MBM, Degener JE, Abbas F, Thurnheer T, Gmur R, Harmsen HJM. 2010 Oral biofilm architecture on natural teeth. *PLoS ONE* 5, e9321. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009321)
- Socransky SS, Haffajee AD. 2005 Periodontal microbial ecology. *Periodontology 2000* 38, 135– 187. (doi:10.1111/j.1600-0757.2005.00107.x)
- 73. Socransky SS, Haffajee AD. 2002 Dental biofilms: difficult therapeutic targets. *Periodontology* 2000 28, 12–55. (doi:10.1034/j.1600-0757.2002. 280102.x)
- Spoering AL, Gilmore MS. 2006 Quorum sensing and DNA release in bacterial biofilms. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 9, 133–137. (doi:10.1016/j.mib.2006.02.004)
- Wu JF, Xi CW. 2009 Evaluation of different methods for extracting extracellular DNA from the biofilm matrix. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* **75**, 5390-5395. (doi:10.1128/AEM.00400-09)
- Whitchurch CB, Tolker-Nielsen T, Ragas PC, Mattick JS. 2002 Extracellular DNA required for bacterial biofilm formation. *Science* 295, 1487. (doi:10.1126/ science.295.5559.1487)
- Hannan S, Ready D, Jasni AS, Rogers M, Pratten J, Roberts AP. 2010 Transfer of antibiotic resistance by transformation with eDNA within oral biofilms. *FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.* 59, 345–349.
- Olsen I, Tribble GD, Fiehn NE, Wang BY. 2013 Bacterial sex in dental plaque. *J. Oral Microbiol.* 5, 20736. (doi:10.3402/jom.v5i0.20736)
- Tribble GD, Rigney TW, Dao DHV, Wong CT, Kerr JE, Taylor BE, Pacha S, Kaplan HB. 2012 Natural competence is a major mechanism for horizontal DNA transfer in the oral pathogen *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. *MBIO* **3**, e00231-11. (doi:10.1128/mBio. 00231-11)
- White DJ. 1991 Processes contributing to the formation of dental calculus. *Biofouling* 4, 209–218. (doi:10.1080/08927019109378211)
- 81. Purdy KJ, Embley TM, Takii S, Nedwell DB. 1996 Rapid extraction of DNA and rRNA from sediments

by a novel hydroxyapatite spin-column method. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **62**, 3905–3907.

- Brundin M, Figdor D, Sundqvist G, Sjogren U. 2013 DNA binding to hydroxyapatite: a potential mechanism for preservation of microbial DNA. *J. Endodont.* **39**, 211–216. (doi:10.1016/j.joen. 2012.09.013)
- Rowles S. 1961 Further studies on the crystalline constituents of oral calculus. J. Dent. Res. 40, 1284.
- Leigh RW. 1925 Dental pathology of Indian tribes of varied environmental and food conditions. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 8, 179–199. (doi:10.1002/ ajpa.1330080206)
- 85. Brothwell D. 1972 *Digging up bones*. London, UK: London Museum.
- Evans DT. 1973 A preliminary evaluation of tooth tartar among the preconquest Maya of the Tayasal area, El Peten, Guatemala. *Am. Antiquity*, 489–493. (doi:10.2307/279156)
- Hillson SW. 1979 Diet and dental disease. *World Archaeol.* 11, 147–162. (doi:10.1080/00438243. 1979.9979758)
- Klepinger LL, Kuhn JJ, Thomas Jr J. 1977 Prehistoric dental calculus gives evidence for coca in early coastal Ecuador. *Nature* 269, 506–507. (doi:10. 1038/269506a0)
- Allison MJ. 1984 Paleopathology in Peruvian and Chilean populations. In *Paleopathology at the Origins of Agriculture* (eds M Cohen, G Armelagos), pp. 515–529. Orlando, FL: Academic Press.
- Cassidy CM. 1984 Skeletal evidence for prehistoric subsistence adaptation in the Central Ohio River Valley. In *Paleopathology at the Origins of Agriculture* (eds M Cohen, G Armelagos), pp. 307–345. Orlando, FL: Academic Press.
- Kennedy KA. 1984 Growth, nutrition and pathology in changing paleodemographic settings in South Asia. In *Paleopathology at the Origins of Agriculture*, pp. 169–192. Orlando, FL: Academic Press.
- Dobney K, Brothwell D. 1987 A method for evaluating the amount of dental calculus on teeth from archaeological sites. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 14, 343–351. (doi:10.1016/0305-4403(87)90024-0)
- Arensburg B. 1996 Ancient dental calculus and diet. *Hum. Evol.* **11**, 139–145. (doi:10.1007/ BF02437397)
- 94. Dobney K. 1994 Study of the dental calculus. In *The Jewish burial ground at Jewbury* (eds J Lilley, G Stroud, D Brothwell, M Williamson). York, UK: Council for British Archaeology.
- Buikstra JE, Ubelaker DH. 1994 Standards for data collection from human skeletal remains. Arkansas Archeological Survey Research Series. Fayetteville, AR: Arkansas Archeological Survey.
- Ciochon RL, Piperno DR, Thompson RG. 1990 Opal phytoliths found on the teeth of the extinct ape Gigantopithecus blacki—implications for paleodietary studies. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 87, 8120–8124. (doi:10.1073/pnas.87.20.8120)
- Middleton WD, Rovner I. 1994 Extraction of opal phytoliths from herbivore dental calculus. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 21, 469–473. (doi:10.1006/jasc. 1994.1046)

- Fox CL, Juan J, Albert RM. 1996 Phytolith analysis on dental calculus, enamel surface, and burial soil: information about diet and paleoenvironment. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **101**, 101–113. (doi:10. 1002/(SICI)1096-8644(199609)101:1<101::AID-AJPA7>3.0.C0;2-Y)
- Hansen JPH, Meldgaard J, Nordqvist J. 1991 The Greenland mummies. Montreal, Canada: McGill and Queens University Press.
- 100. Linossier A, Aspillaga E, Gajardo M. 2011 Hallazgo de bacterias comensales de la cavidad oral en tártaro dental de restos óseos de indigenas chonos. *Rev. Chilena Antropol.* 7, 123–128. (doi:10.5354/ 0719-1472.1988.17618)
- Pap I, Tillier A-M, Arensburg B, Weiner S, Chech M. 1995 First scanning electron microscope analysis of dental calculus from European Neanderthals: Subalyuk (Middle Paleolithic, Hungary). Preliminary report. *Bull. Mém. Soc. Anthropol. Paris* 7, 69–72. (doi:10.3406/bmsap.1995.2409)
- Vandermeersch B, Arensburg B, Tillier AM, Rak Y, Weiner S, Spiers M, Aspillaga E. 1994 Middle Paleolithic dental bacteria from Kebara, Israel. *C. R. Acad. Sci. II* **319**, 727–731.
- Hershkovitz I, Kelly J, Latimer B, Rothschild BM, Simpson S, Polak J, Rosenberg M. 1997 Oral bacteria in Miocene Sivapithecus. J. Hum. Evol. 33, 507-512. (doi:10.1006/jhev.1997.0149)
- 104. Hardy K, Blakeney T, Copeland L, Kirkham J, Wrangham R, Collins M. 2009 Starch granules, dental calculus and new perspectives on ancient diet. J. Archaeol. Sci. 36, 248–255. (doi:10.1016/j. jas.2008.09.015)
- Henry AG, Pipemo DR. 2008 Using plant microfossils from dental calculus to recover human diet: a case study from Tell al-Raqa'i, Syria. J. Archaeol. Sci. 35, 1943 – 1950. (doi:10.1016/j.jas.2007.12.005)
- 106. Piperno DR, Dillehay TD. 2008 Starch grains on human teeth reveal early broad crop diet in northern Peru. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 19 622 – 19 627. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0808752105)
- Hardy K et al. 2012 Neanderthal medics? Evidence for food, cooking, and medicinal plants entrapped in dental calculus. *Naturwissenschaften* **99**, 617– 626. (doi:10.1007/s00114-012-0942-0)
- Henry AG, Brooks AS, Piperno DR. 2011 Microfossils in calculus demonstrate consumption of plants and cooked foods in Neanderthal diets (Shanidar III, Iraq; Spy I and II, Belgium). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 486–491. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 1016868108)
- Henry AG, Hudson HF, Piperno DR. 2009 Changes in starch grain morphologies from cooking. J. Archaeol. Sci. 36, 915–922. (doi:10.1016/j.jas.2008.11.008)
- Capasso L, Ditota G, Jones KW, Tuniz C. 1995 Synchrotron-radiation microprobe analysis of human dental calculi from an archaeological site—a new possible perspective in palaeonutrition studies. *Int. J. Osteoarchaeol.* 5, 282–288. (doi:10.1002/oa. 1390050307)

- 111. Scott GR, Poulson SR. 2012 Stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes of human dental calculus: a potentially new non-destructive proxy for paleodietary analysis. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* **39**, 1388 – 1393. (doi:10.1016/j.jas.2011.09.029)
- 112. Scott GR, Poulson SR, Kuzminsky SC, Standen V, Arriaza B, Munoz I. 2013 Further studies on dental calculus as a proxy for stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes: extraordinarily high levels of delta N-15 in prehistoric samples from Chile correspond to findings on traditional biomaterials. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **150**, 248.
- 113. Salazar-García D, Richards M, Nehlich O, Henry A. 2014 Dental calculus is not equivalent to bone collagen for isotope analysis: a comparison between carbon and nitrogen stable isotope analysis of bulk dental calculus, bone and dentine collagen from same individuals from the Medieval site of El Raval (Alicante, Spain). J. Archaeol. Sci. 47, 70–77. (doi:10.1016/j.jas.2014.03.026)
- Blatt SH, Redmond BG, Cassman V, Sciulli PW.
 2011 Dirty teeth and ancient trade: evidence of cotton fibres in human dental calculus from Late Woodland, Ohio. *Int. J. Osteoarchaeol.* 21, 669–678. (doi:10.1002/oa.1173)
- 115. Rodrigo A, Bertels F, Heled J, Noder R, Shearman H, Tsai P. 2008 The perils of plenty: what are we going to do with all these genes? *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 363, 3893–3902. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2008.0173)
- Cappellini E, Collins MJ, Gilbert MTP. 2014
 Unlocking ancient protein palimpsests. *Science* 343, 1320–1322. (doi:10.1126/science.1249274)
- Greene TR, Kuba CL, Irish JD. 2005 Quantifying calculus: a suggested new approach for recording an important indicator of diet and dental health. *Homo* 56, 119-132. (doi:10.1016/j.jchb.2005.02.002)
- Adler CJ, Haak W, Donlon D, Cooper A, Consortium G. 2011 Survival and recovery of DNA from ancient teeth and bones. J. Archaeol. Sci. 38, 956-964. (doi:10.1016/j.jas.2010.11.010)
- Yuan S, Cohen DB, Ravel J, Abdo Z, Forney LJ. 2012 Evaluation of methods for the extraction and purification of DNA from the human microbiome. *PLoS ONE* 7, e33865. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033865)
- Cappellini E *et al.* 2012 Proteomic analysis of a Pleistocene mammoth femur reveals more than one hundred ancient bone proteins. *J. Proteome Res.* 11, 917–926. (doi:10.1021/pr200721u)
- 121. Cole JR et al. 2009 The ribosomal database project: improved alignments and new tools for rRNA analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 37(Database issue), D141–D145. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkn879)
- 122. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glockner FO. 2013 The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**(D1), D590–D596. (doi:10.1093/nar/ qks1219)
- 123. McDonald D, Price MN, Goodrich J, Nawrocki EP, DeSantis TZ, Probst A, Andersen GL, Knight R,

Hugenholtz P. 2012 An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for ecological and evolutionary analyses of bacteria and archaea. *ISME J.* **6**, 610–618. (doi:10.1038/ismei.2011.139)

- 124. Caporaso JG *et al.* 2012 Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. *ISME J.* 6, 1621–1624. (doi:10.1038/ismej.2012.8)
- 125. Walters WA, Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Berg-Lyons D, Fierer N, Knight R. 2011 PrimerProspector: de novo design and taxonomic analysis of barcoded polymerase chain reaction primers. *Bioinformatics* 27, 1159–1161. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/ btr087)
- 126. Acinas SG, Marcelino LA, Klepac-Ceraj V, Polz MF. 2004 Divergence and redundancy of 165 rRNA sequences in genomes with multiple RRN operons. *J. Bacteriol.* **186**, 2629–2635. (doi:10.1128/JB.186. 9.2629-2635.2004)
- 127. Quail MA, Otto TD, Gu Y, Harris SR, Skelly TF, McQuillan JA, Swerdlow HP, Oyola SO. 2012 Optimal enzymes for amplifying sequencing libraries. *Nat. Methods* 9, 10–11. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.1814)
- Scholz MB, Lo CC, Chain PS. 2012 Next generation sequencing and bioinformatic bottlenecks: the current state of metagenomic data analysis. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 23, 9–15. (doi:10.1016/j.copbio. 2011.11.013)
- Huson DH, Auch AF, Qi J, Schuster SC. 2007 MEGAN analysis of metagenomic data. *Genome Res.* 17, 377-386. (doi:10.1101/gr.5969107)
- Meyer F et al. 2008 The metagenomics RAST server—a public resource for the automatic phylogenetic and functional analysis of metagenomes. BMC Bioinform. 9, 386. (doi:10. 1186/1471-2105-9-386)
- Davis C, Kota K, Baldhandapani V, Gong W, Abubucker S. 2013 mBLAST: keeping up with the sequencing explosion for (meta) genome analysis. *J. Data Mining Genom. Proteom.* 4, 2153. (doi:10. 4172/2153-0602.1000135)
- 132. Shah N, Tang H, Doak TG, Ye Y. 2011 Comparing bacterial communities inferred from 16S rRNA gene sequencing and shotgun metagenomics. In *Proc. Pacific Symp. Biocomputing* (eds R Altman, AK Dunker, L Hunter, T Murray, T Klein), pp. 165–176. Hackensack, NJ: World Scientific.
- Qin JJ *et al.* 2010 A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. *Nature* 464, 59–70. (doi:10.1038/nature08821)
- Liu B *et al.* 2012 Deep sequencing of the oral microbiome reveals signatures of periodontal disease. *PLoS ONE* 7, e37919. (doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0037919)
- 135. Naito M et al. 2008 Determination of the genome sequence of Porphyromonas gingivalis strain ATCC 33277 and genomic comparison with strain W83 revealed extensive genome rearrangements in P. gingivalis. DNA Res. 15, 215–225. (doi:10.1093/ dnares/dsn013)

- Achtman M, Wagner M. 2008 Microbial diversity and the genetic nature of microbial species. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 6, 431–440.
- Linz B *et al.* 2007 An African origin for the intimate association between humans and *Helicobacter pylori. Nature* 445, 915–918. (doi:10.1038/ nature05562)
- Graham RL, Graham C, McMullan G. 2007 Microbial proteomics: a mass spectrometry primer for biologists. *Microb. Cell Fact.* 6, 26. (doi:10.1186/ 1475-2859-6-26)
- 139. Hettich RL, Pan CL, Chourey K, Giannone RJ. 2013 Metaproteomics: harnessing the power of high performance mass spectrometry to identify the suite of proteins that control metabolic activities in microbial communities. *Anal. Chem.* 85, 4203–4214. (doi:10.1021/ac303053e)
- 140. Kuboniwa M, Tribble GD, Hendrickson EL, Amano A, Lamont RJ, Hackett M. 2012 Insights into the virulence of oral biofilms: discoveries from

proteomics. *Expert Rev. Proteomic* **9**, 311–323. (doi:10.1586/epr.12.16)

- Verberkmoes NC *et al.* 2009 Shotgun metaproteomics of the human distal gut microbiota. *ISME J.* 3, 179–189. (doi:10.1038/ismej.2008.108)
- 142. Corthals A, Koller A, Martin DW, Rieger R, Chen El, Bernaski M, Recagno G, Davalos LM. 2012 Detecting the immune system response of a 500 year-old Inca mummy. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e41244. (doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0041244)
- 143. Brodie EL, DeSantis TZ, Parker JPM, Zubietta IX, Piceno YM, Andersen GL. 2007 Urban aerosols harbor diverse and dynamic bacterial populations. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 299–304. (doi:10. 1073/pnas.0608255104)
- 144. Hospodsky D, Qian J, Nazaroff WW, Yamamoto N, Bibby K, Rismani-Yazdi H, Peccia J. 2012 Human occupancy as a source of indoor airborne bacteria. *PLoS ONE* 7, e34867. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0034867)

- 145. Tito RY *et al.* 2012 Insights from characterizing extinct human gut microbiomes. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e51146. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0051146)
- 146. Ginolhac A, Rasmussen M, Gilbert MTP, Willerslev E, Orlando L. 2011 mapDamage: testing for damage patterns in ancient DNA sequences. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2153–2155. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/ btr347)
- 147. Malmstrom H, Svensson EM, Gilbert MT, Willerslev E, Gotherstrom A, Holmlund G. 2007 More on contamination: the use of asymmetric molecular behavior to identify authentic ancient human DNA. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **24**, 998–1004. (doi:10.1093/molbev/msm015)
- 148. Meyer M, Kircher M. 2010 Illumina sequencing library preparation for highly multiplexed target capture and sequencing. *Cold Spring Harbor Protoc.* 2010, pdb prot5448. (doi:10.1101/pdb. prot5448)