

This is a repository copy of *Technical note monitoring the magmas fuelling volcanic eruptions in near-real-time using x-ray micro-computed tomography*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/80309/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Pankhurst, MJ, Morgan, DJ, Dobson, KJ et al. (4 more authors) (2014) Technical note monitoring the magmas fuelling volcanic eruptions in near-real-time using x-ray micro-computed tomography. Journal of Petrology, 55 (3). 671 - 684. ISSN 0022-3530

https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egt079

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Monitoring the magmas fuelling volcanic eruption in near-real-time using X-ray micro-computed tomography.

3

4	Pankhurst, M. J. ^{1*} , Dobson, K. J. ^{2,3} , Morgan, D.J. ¹ , Loughlin, S.C. ⁴ , Thordarson,
5	Th. ⁵ , Lee, P. D. ^{2,3} , Courtois, L. ^{2,3} ,

¹Institute of Geophysics and Tectonics, School of Earth and Environmental Science, University of
Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

²Manchester X-ray Imaging Facility, School of Materials, The University of Manchester, Oxford Rd.,
M13 9PL, UK

- 10 ³Research Complex at Harwell, Rutherford Appleton Laboratories, Didcot, Oxfordshire, OX11 0FA,
- 11 UK
- 12 ⁴British Geological Survey, Edinburgh, EH9 3LA, UK
- 13 ⁵Institute of Earth Science, University of Iceland, Sæmundargötu 2, 101 Reykjavík, Iceland
- 14

15 X-ray micro-computed tomography is here used for the first time to rapidly 16 characterize chemical populations of natural olivine crystals. This technique can be 17 deployed during volcanic crises, to directly track changes in magma contribution to 18 an erupting system in near-real-time. These changes are fundamental to controlling 19 the eruption style, duration and intensity. We demonstrate a method that can generate 20 data from hundreds of crystals within hours, which allows time-series petrologic data 21 to be recorded and interpreted alongside various complimentary monitoring 22 techniques (i.e. geophysical approaches). Our direct-detection method broadens our 23 view of the dynamic sub-volcanic plumbing system, and provides important insights to 24 how an individual eruption may evolve. The same technique can be used to generate 25 rich baseline datasets from individual eruption sequences in the rock record in a 26 more efficient manner than conventional methods allow.

27

28 INTRODUCTION

Volcanic eruptions are often triggered, sustained, or terminated by changes in 29 30 magmatic conditions which effect magmatic physical behaviour, such as temperature 31 (T), pressure (P), melt composition including volatile (e.g. H₂O) content (X) and 32 accordant gas and mineral phase stability (Bardintzeff and Bonin, 1987; Cashman, 33 1992; Izbekov et al., 2004; Papale et al., 1998; Pinkerton et al., 2002; Rutherford and 34 Devine, 2003). Mixing between different magmas is frequently implicated as causing 35 these changes: a common scenario is a new pulse entering the sub-volcanic plumbing 36 architecture (Huppert et al., 1982; Sigmundsson et al., 2010; Sparks et al., 1977). 37 Developing capability to detect magmatic changes, understand their influence, and 38 ultimately forecast future eruptive events with high temporal resolution is an ongoing 39 pursuit of volcanologists (Aiuppa et al., 2002; Sparks et al., 2012), due to the 40 enormous capacity for volcanic processes to cause both local (Tanguy, 1994) and 41 global catastrophes (Self, 2006).

42

43 In addition to predicting the beginning of an eruption, other major questions are how 44 large, for how long a duration, and therefore how hazardous could a new eruption 45 become? These forecasts are key to effective planning and crisis management. For 46 instance, in an Icelandic setting, an initial small fissure eruption may have the 47 potential to evolve into a small magnitude 2010 Eviafjallajökull event (Stevenson et 48 al., 2012), or large magnitude eruptions such as the 1783-1784 AD Laki-type event 49 (Thordarson and Self, 2003). The frequency of explosive eruptions within Iceland and 50 in other settings around the world during historic times, and the large variability in scale they exhibit (Thordarson and Larsen, 2007) strongly suggests these questions
will continue to be relevant.

53

54 The role of igneous petrology in understanding volcanic risk

55 Igneous petrology provides insights to the magmatic sources and drivers of volcanic 56 processes. The saturation of igneous minerals throughout the evolution of a magma is 57 controlled by the host melt's P, T and X, through time (Wilson, 1989). Cooling 58 magmatic systems evolve from mafic (Mg rich) to felsic (Si rich) in response to 59 precipitation and fractionation of mafic minerals, and the Mg#: 100× Mg/(Mg+Fe) of the residual liquid decreases through time (Villiger et al., 2004). Important 60 61 physicochemical changes of the magma occur simultaneously with this fractionation 62 and differentiation, such as increases in SiO2 and/or Al2O3 content, increases in 63 volatile concentrations, and changes to crystal type, abundance and chemistry. 64 Concomitant changes in magma viscosity occur throughout this evolution (Giordano 65 et al., 2008). Thus the petrogenesis of erupted products contains evidence of the 66 magmatic parameters through P-T-X space with respect to time, and the chemistry of minerals and melt is a basic proxy for these parameters (Fram and Lesher, 1997; Thy 67 68 et al., 2006).

69

Interaction between batches of magma with different intensive parameters (including
P, T, X, crystal and bubble abundance) is a common process (Streck, 2008) that can
add further complexity to the overall system as it evolves. Since eruption dynamics
are largely controlled by the physicochemical state of the magma (Papale et al., 1998),
monitoring such interaction is of great importance. Direct observable consequences of

significant magmatic change induced by mixing processes include changes in crystal
populations, and crystal chemistry (Sigmarsson et al., 2011).

77

78 Magmatic change indicated by olivine crystal chemical populations

Olivine in particular can aid identification of deep sources as opposed to shallow sources, for P exerts a strong control on its chemistry (Putirka, 2008). In basalticdominated terrains such as Iceland, the olivine chemical population(s) could identify the likely magma source regions and thus differentiate between a large, deep-seatedrift event or small, shallow-remobilised-magma event (Sigmarsson et al., 2011). As such, olivine chemical populations could provide an indication of the eventual scale of a new eruption.

86

The composition of olivine lies on a solid-solution between forsterite (Mg₂SiO₄: denoted as Fo_{100} or Mg#=100) and fayalite (Fe₂SiO₄: Fo₀, Mg#=0), and the Fe and Mg partitioning between melt and crystal is well understood (Roeder and Emslie, 1970). Therefore the Mg# of olivine precipitating from a melt represents a proxy for the stage of differentiation of the equilibrium melt. Hence olivine Mg# populations place important constraints upon local melt conditions and overall magmatic processes (Thomson and Maclennan, 2013).

94

Whilst the rim of a growing magmatic olivine crystal reflects the composition of the adjacent melt, each interior is a record of earlier conditions specific to that crystal. A number of processes are identified as contributing crystals to magma. Phenocrysts grew in the magma containing them, antecrysts are earlier precipitates from a discrete but kindred magma/cumulate mush, and xenocrysts are plucked from un-related wallrock or cumulate-mush (Hildreth and Wilson, 2007; Streck, 2008). Natural magmatic
systems can therefore be highly complex, and involve interaction between multiple
magmas, each bringing their crystal population and their history to the mix.

103

This rich complexity can provide powerful insights to the magmatic system if contributions from individual components can be identified (Kahl et al., 2011). If enough crystals can be analysed to produce statistically robust datasets (Thomson and Maclennan, 2013), changes to magmas and/or contributions from different magmas can be tracked in the erupted crystals throughout the eruption sequence. In this manner, monitoring relative changes to crystal chemical populations in near-real-time are of primary importance.

111

THE PROBLEM

113 Conventional chemical analysis of crystals requires time intensive preparation. Each 114 mount may take several hours to create (to fully set the resin, which is then ground to 115 expose the grains, and polished to a high quality finish before being carbon coated). 116 Crystals can only be analysed one-at-at-time using Electron Probe Micro-Analysis 117 (EPMA). A few minutes per analytical spot are sufficient to produce quantitative data 118 for major elements and a small number of trace elements. Optimised EPMA and fully 119 quantified electron-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy system set-ups may increase 120 sample throughput, yet necessarily sacrifices precision and the number of elements 121 measured. Even with an optimised system that is based upon an electron beam, 122 material is still required to be mounted in resin, polished and coated. While direct 123 calls have recently been made to monitor eruptive material (Sigmundsson and 124 Hoskuldsson, 2010), and despite the long-recognised advantages of applying

petrologic data to volcano monitoring (Devine et al., 1998a; Devine et al., 1998b),
rapid high-volume throughput in a time-sensitive situation using standard
methodology remains problematic.

128

129 OUR APPROACH

130 To overcome this impediment we turn our attention to a far faster method: X-ray micro-computed tomography (XMT; Stock, 1999), and use it to analyse large 131 132 numbers of entire crystals simultaneously. XMT is a non-destructive density-sensitive 133 imaging method that enables density contrasts to be mapped in 3D. Samples are 134 rotated in an X-ray beam while a high resolution scintillator fronted CCD detector 135 collects images (projections) at different angles. The projections are then 136 "reconstructed" using numerical algorithms to produce a full 3D render of the internal 137 structure of the object (Stock, 1999). Modern laboratory and synchrotron imaging 138 systems can acquire data in as little as a few seconds, at a voxel (the 3D equivalent of 139 a pixel) resolution of $<2\mu$ m. Applications to geological materials prior to our study 140 have focused upon identifying different phases and minerals, and investigating 141 their relationships in static or dynamic 3D (Cnudde and Boone, 2013). Greyscale 142 values can be compared between scans if secondary standards that encompass 143 the X-ray attenuation coefficient of the material of interest, to allow correction 144 for variations in absolute greyscale value that can be caused by fluctuations in X-145 ray beam current and flux (due to filament age, instrument behaviour etc.)."

146

147 The X-ray linear attenuation coefficient of forsterite and fayalite differ significantly 148 under typical laboratory scan conditions (40-60 kV, Fig. 1). Here we investigate 149 whether a) this difference in attenuation coefficient is sufficient for useful 150 discrimination between olivine crystal compositions, b) whether observed differences 151 can be confidently mapped to measured composition differences and c) how quickly 152 these data can be generated. We have emulated the throughput of samples in a timesensitive situation, to test how quickly volcano observatories may produce and 153 154 interpret data during a volcanic crisis. We have used a sample of tephra erupted from 155 the 2010 Fimmvörðuháls flank eruption prior to the Eyjafjallajökull summit eruption, 156 Eastern Volcanic Zone (EVZ) of Iceland, as similar material is most likely to be 157 iteratively sampled during future eruptions.

158

159 Method applied

Tephra was manually crushed using a steel rolling pin and an aluminum bash-plate before being sieved. 100 grains containing an olivine crystal were picked directly from the 0.5 to 2.0 mm fraction (grains containing whole, intact crystals were preferred), loaded into ~2.0 cm lengths of plastic straw (internal diameter = 4 mm), and capped with pre-prepared resin discs. Three grains containing plagioclase crystals of a similar size as the olivine were added as a control.

This experimental charge was then scanned using a Nikon XTH 225ST with a maximum energy of 65 kV using a 1.0 Al filter to minimize beam hardening. This system has a standard static X-ray source and detector (which resolves 2000 x 2000 pixels), thus with decreasing distance between the sample and the source, the greater the absolute resolution. The sample rotates around a vertical axis while radiographs (projections) are taken about 360°.

Using more projections decreases the number and intensity of reconstruction
artefacts, at the expense of time. We found that the balance between data quality
and speed of acquisition was optimal at 2000 projections (every 0.18°) per scan.

The data were reconstructed using proprietary software to produce a 3D volume
containing all 103 grains with a voxel resolution of ~2.5 mm.

177 Digital image processing techniques were used to isolate each olivine crystal using 178 *Aviso* (**B**) and *Fiji*. This involved using pre-existing and freely available plugins to 179 "train" the software to distinguish olivine from plagioclase, glass and air. Once 180 identified, each crystal in the olivine fraction was digitally eroded inwards from 181 the rim by the equivalent of ~15 μ m to ensure only the core of the crystals 182 remained. This avoided the sampling of heterogeneous rims -and the attendant 183 potential for error- during subsequent analysis.

The average greyscale value of a "spot" of identical size (25 µm radius) was then 184 185 calculated for each crystal core, excluding obvious inclusions, cracks or zoning. This 186 last step was performed manually in order to ensure a robust dataset with which to 187 compare against future, more sophisticated/automated, image processing methods, 188 and to validate against conventional methods whereby analysis positions are chosen 189 manually. We used standard EPMA techniques to measure the composition of 100 190 olivine crystal cores from the same sample (JEOL 8230, see Appendix for full 191 analytical conditions).

192

193 RESULTS AND INITIAL CONCLUSIONS

194 The entire method took <2.5 hours (see figure 2). Total sample preparation time was \sim

195 20 min. The scanning and reconstruction steps (imagine acquisition) were performed

in ~30 min each. Image processing (including manual selection) took ~60 min.

197 In our example olivine, plagioclase, glass and air that comprise the 3D volume are 198 easily distinguished by patterns including habit, texture greyscale value. The plagioclase (used as a control) was identified by habit, texture and (low) greyscalevalue. 13 olivine crystals were discarded due to cracks or inclusions.

The reconstructed volume contains olivine crystals with a range of different greyscale values, and often reveals zoning around homogeneous cores (Fig. 2). Thus we show that XMT is capable of resolving even subtle changes in Mg# in natural olivine crystals. A frequency diagram of the greyscale values (n=87) was constructed from both the XMT-spot and EPMA-spot datasets (n=100) using 9 bins (~10% of each n) of equal range (Fig. 3).

207

208 A distinct pattern of greyscale value population frequency is observed, and is matched 209 by the pattern produced by EPMA (Fig. 3). Subtle differences are due to a 210 combination of the non-linear energy dependence of X-ray attenuation coefficient, 211 more pronounced at high Mg#, (see Fig. 1), and two sets of olivine crystals from the 212 same sample. Full EPMA data are reported in the Appendix Table 1, XMT data are 213 reported in Appendix Table 2. Rank-order and linear-array plots of the XMT and 214 EPMA data are provided along with our raw data in the Appendix (Figure 1 and Table 215 3 respectively).

216

We find that the XMT method is far faster than standard techniques. The method used has the ability to accurately distinguish chemical populations, which is confirmed by the EPMA data. The use of secondary standards that bracket and intersperse the X-ray attenuation coefficient range of olivine will allow fully comparable data between scans. We conclude that XMT holds great potential to be used as a high-throughput, rapid and accurate method for recording crystal chemical populations, which opens a powerful new avenue of eruption monitoring, and enables the generation of richbaseline datasets.

225

226 FUTURE APPLICATIONS

227 To make best use of the suite of olivine crystal applications in a time-critical scenario 228 such as a volcanic eruption, the absolute chemical composition and zonation of 229 olivine crystals must be assessed soon after eruption. Optimised EPMA techniques on 230 polished crystal mounts produces high precision data, but they are time intensive, 231 destructive and limited to 1D and 2D profiles. With appropriate calibration and use of 232 secondary standards, our XMT technique may approach the precision and accuracy of 233 the current methods in terms of chemical composition, and open further avenues for 234 its application in volcanic eruption monitoring.

235

236 For instance, recently developed understanding of solid state Fe-Mg diffusion 237 between zones of different Mg# within olivine has effectively turned zonation profiles 238 in each crystal into a stopwatch of magmatic processes (Costa et al., 2008; Costa and 239 Dungan, 2005; Dohmen and Chakraborty, 2007; Morgan and Blake, 2006). Individual 240 olivine crystals and crystal population dynamics now enhance our view of a sub-241 volcanic plumbing system through time on a range of scales (Kahl et al., 2011; Kahl 242 et al., 2013). With large enough olivine composition datasets, a detailed picture of 243 magmatic processes feeding alkali basaltic eruptions through time can be resolved. 244 and compared to seismic unrest and deformation signals detected at the surface. This 245 information can aid interpretation of geophysical unrest signals during pre- and syn-246 eruption events in the future.

247

248 Within alkali basaltic systems such as the active EVZ of Iceland erupted olivine is 249 almost ubiquitous, and displays a variety of crystallisation origins (Passmore et al., 250 2012). Olivine in these rocks is commonly chemically zoned: generally a 251 homogeneous core is fringed by comparatively narrow zones of different olivine compositions (Thomson and Maclennan, 2013). Systems such as these present prime 252 253 candidates for XMT-led generation of rich datasets of olivine composition 254 populations and magmatic timescales through eruption sequences. Furthermore, 255 integrating crystal size distributions (CSD) and modal abundances with our qualitative 256 composition approach could be used as a powerful tool in un-tangling magmas and, 257 by extension, understanding crystal-melt relationships. This is because XMT can be 258 used to measure CSD (Jerram et al., 2009), and each crystal can be assigned a unique 259 digital label, allowing large populations of crystals to be characterised by size and 260 composition.

261

262 In the short-term, linking olivine chemical population changes with well-resolved 263 eruption phenomenology (duration, intensity, style) in a forensic manner and merging 264 this with multidisciplinary datasets (Kahl et al., 2013) holds potential to discover 265 important patterns that could be recognized during a future eruption. There is an 266 urgent need for well-documented analysis of past events, since they hold the key to 267 well-constrained pattern recognition. These patterns could be used as empirical lead-268 indicators of eruptive change, duration and cessation, which are key questions during 269 a volcanic crisis (see Fig. 4). Building this knowledge could be accelerated by using a 270 high-throughput method described here.

271

272 While many hazardous volcanoes are olivine-phyric, many are not. The XMT method 273 is not limited to olivine, for the principles of variable X-ray attenuation coefficient as 274 a proxy for variable composition also applies to many other igneous minerals and 275 glasses. Those with solid-solutions whose end-member compositions represented a large density contrast are particularly suited to this method, such as enstatite-276 277 ferrosilite and anorthite-albite. By applying a similar method to other minerals such as orthopyroxene and plagioclase, the XMT technique will be of use in a greater number 278 279 of volcanic settings. In addition, XMT techniques are not limited to volcanic samples, 280 3D applications to observing compositional patterns in intrusive magmatic rocks and 281 even their sources (i.e. mantle rocks) could hold great value.

282

283 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

284 The authors wish to thank Dennis Geist, Bruce Marsh and Kate Saunders for their 285 thoughtful reviews of this manuscript, and Marge Wilson and Alastair Lumsden for their editorial roles. MJP, DJM, ThT & SL are supported by NERC grant 286 287 NE/J024554/1. KJD, LC & PDL wish to acknowledge EPSRC grant EP/I02249X/1, 288 which supports the Manchester X-ray Imaging Facility, and the Research Complex at 289 Harwell. The Faversham is thanked for providing plastic drinking straws for our 290 experimental apparatus. Harri Wyn Williams and Martin Coldwell are thanked for 291 providing sample preparation advice and assistance. The Natural History Museum, 292 London, UK, is thanked for providing mineral specimens used in the proof of concept 293 stage of this work.

294

295 **REFERENCES CITED**

- Aiuppa, A., Federico, C., Paonita, A., Pecoraino, G., and Valenza, M., 2002, S, Cl and
 F degassing as an indicator of volcanic dynamics: The 2001 eruption of
 Mount Etna: Geophysical Research Letters, v. 29, no. 11, p. -.
- Bardintzeff, J.-M., and Bonin, B., 1987, The amphibole effect: A possible
 mechanism for triggering explosive eruptions: Journal of Volcanology and
 Geothermal Research, v. 33, no. 4, p. 255-262.
- Cashman, K., 1992, Groundmass crystallization of Mount St. Helens dacite, 1980–
 1986: a tool for interpreting shallow magmatic processes: Contributions
 to Mineralogy and Petrology, v. 109, no. 4, p. 431-449.
- Cnudde, V., and Boone, M. N., 2013, High-resolution X-ray computed tomography
 in geosciences: A review of the current technology and applications:
 Earth-Science Reviews, v. 123, no. 0, p. 1-17.
- Costa, F., Dohmen, R., and Chakraborty, S., 2008, Time scales of magmatic
 processes from modeling the zoning patterns of crystals, *in* Putirka, K. D.,
 and Tepley, I. F. J., eds., Volume 69, p. 545-594.
- Costa, F., and Dungan, M., 2005, Short time scales of magmatic assimilation from
 diffusion modeling of multiple elements in olivine: Geology, v. 33, no. 10,
 p. 837-840.
- Devine, J. D., Murphy, M. D., Rutherford, M. J., Barclay, J., Sparks, R. S. J., Carroll, M.
 R., Young, S. R., and Gardner, I. E., 1998a, Petrologic evidence for preeruptive pressure-temperature conditions, and recent reheating, of andesitic magma erupting at the Soufriere Hills Volcano, Montserrat, W.I: Geophysical Research Letters, v. 25, no. 19, p. 3669-3672.
- Devine, J. D., Rutherford, M. J., and Gardner, J. E., 1998b, Petrologic determination
 of ascent rates for the 1995-1997 Soufriere Hills Volcano andesitic
 magma: Geophysical Research Letters, v. 25, no. 19, p. 3673-3676.
- Dohmen, R., and Chakraborty, S., 2007, Fe-Mg diffusion in olivine II: Point defect
 chemistry, change of diffusion mechanisms and a model for calculation of
 diffusion coefficients in natural olivine: Physics and Chemistry of
 Minerals, v. 34, no. 6, p. 409-430.
- Fram, M. S., and Lesher, C. E., 1997, Generation and Polybaric Differentiation of
 East Greenland Early Tertiary Flood Basalts: Journal of Petrology, v. 38,
 no. 2, p. 231-275.
- Giordano, D., Russell, J. K., and Dingwell, D. B., 2008, Viscosity of magmatic
 liquids: A model: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 271, no. 1-4, p.
 123-134.
- Hildreth, W., and Wilson, C. J. N., 2007, Compositional Zoning of the Bishop Tuff:
 Journal of Petrology, v. 48, no. 5, p. 951-999.
- Huppert, H. E., Sparks, R. S. J., and Turner, J. S., 1982, Effects of volatiles on mixing
 in calc-alkaline magma systems: Nature, v. 297, no. 5867, p. 554-557.
- Izbekov, P. E., Eichelberger, J. C., and Ivanov, B. V., 2004, The 1996 Eruption of Karymsky Volcano, Kamchatka: Historical Record of Basaltic
 Replenishment of an Andesite Reservoir: Journal of Petrology, v. 45, no. 11, p. 2325-2345.
- Jerram, D. A., Mock, A., Davis, G. R., Field, M., and Brown, R. J., 2009, 3D crystal
 size distributions: A case study on quantifying olivine populations in
 kimberlites: Lithos, v. 112, Supplement 1, no. 0, p. 223-235.
- Kahl, M., Chakraborty, S., Costa, F., and Pompilio, M., 2011, Dynamic plumbing
 system beneath volcanoes revealed by kinetic modeling, and the

- 345connection to monitoring data: An example from Mt. Etna: Earth and346Planetary Science Letters, v. 308, no. 1-2, p. 11-22.
- Kahl, M., Chakraborty, S., Costa, F., Pompilio, M., Liuzzo, M., and Viccaro, M., 2013,
 Compositionally zoned crystals and real-time degassing data reveal
 changes in magma transfer dynamics during the 2006 summit eruptive
 episodes of Mt. Etna: Bulletin of Volcanology, v. 75, no. 2, p. 1-14.
- Morgan, D. J., and Blake, S., 2006, Magmatic residence times of zoned
 phenocrysts: Introduction and application of the binary element diffusion
 modelling (BEDM) technique: Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology,
 v. 151, no. 1, p. 58-70.
- Papale, P., Neri, A., and Macedonio, G., 1998, The role of magma composition and
 water content in explosive eruptions: 1. Conduit ascent dynamics: Journal
 of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, v. 87, no. 1–4, p. 75-93.
- Passmore, E., Maclennan, J., Fitton, G., and Thordarson, T., 2012, Mush
 Disaggregation in Basaltic Magma Chambers: Evidence from the ad 1783
 Laki Eruption: Journal of Petrology, v. 53, no. 12, p. 2593-2623.
- Pinkerton, H., Wilson, L., and MacDonald, R., 2002, The transport and eruption of
 magma from volcanoes: A review: Contemporary Physics, v. 43, no. 3, p.
 197-210.
- Putirka, K. D., 2008, Thermometers and barometers for volcanic systems:
 Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, v. 69, no. 1, p. 61-120.
- Roeder, P. L., and Emslie, R. F., 1970, Olivine-liquid equilibrium: Contributions to
 Mineralogy and Petrology, v. 29, no. 4, p. 275-289.
- Rutherford, M. J., and Devine, J. D., 2003, Magmatic conditions and magma ascent
 as indicated by hornblende phase equilibria and reactions in the 19952002 Soufrière Hills magma: Journal of Petrology, v. 44, no. 8, p. 14331454.
- Self, S., 2006, The effects and consequences of very large explosive volcanic
 eruptions: Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A:
 Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, v. 364, no. 1845, p.
 2073-2097.
- Sigmarsson, O., Vlastelic, I., Andreasen, R., Bindeman, I., Devidal, J. L., Moune, S.,
 Keiding, J. K., Larsen, G., Höskuldsson, A., and Thordarson, T. H., 2011,
 Remobilization of silicic intrusion by mafic magmas during the 2010
 Eyjafjallajökull eruption: Solid Earth, v. 2, no. 2, p. 271-281.
- Sigmundsson, F., and Hoskuldsson, A., 2010, Develop instruments to monitor
 volcanic ash fallout: Nature, v. 466, no. 7302, p. 28-28.
- Sigmundsson, F., Hreinsdóttir, S., Hooper, A., Árnadóttir, T., Pedersen, R., Roberts,
 M. J., Óskarsson, N., Auriac, A., Decriem, J., Einarsson, P., Geirsson, H.,
 Hensch, M., Ófeigsson, B. G., Sturkell, E., Sveinbjörnsson, H., and Feigl, K. L.,
 2010, Intrusion triggering of the 2010 Eyjafjallajökull explosive eruption:
 Nature, v. 468, no. 7322, p. 426-432.
- Sparks, R. S. J., Biggs, J., and Neuberg, J. W., 2012, Monitoring Volcanoes: Science,
 v. 335, p. 1310-1311.
- Sparks, S. R. J., Sigurdsson, H., and Wilson, L., 1977, Magma mixing: a mechanism
 for triggering acid explosive eruptions: Nature, v. 267, no. 5609, p. 315318.
- Stevenson, J. A., Loughlin, S., Rae, C., Thordarson, T., Milodowski, A. E., Gilbert, J.
 S., Harangi, S., Lukács, R., Hjgaard, B., Árting, U., Pyne-O'Donnell, S.,

- 394MacLeod, A., Whitney, B., and Cassidy, M., 2012, Distal deposition of395tephra from the Eyjafjallajökull 2010 summit eruption: Journal of396Geophysical Research B: Solid Earth, v. 117, no. 6.
- Stock, S. R., 1999, X-ray microtomography of materials: International Materials
 Reviews, v. 44, no. 4, p. 141-164.
- Streck, M. J., 2008, Mineral Textures and Zoning as Evidence for Open System
 Processes: Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, v. 69, no. 1, p. 595622.
- 402 Tanguy, J. C., 1994, The 1902-1905 eruptions of Montagne Pelée, Martinique:
 403 anatomy and retrospection: Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal
 404 Research, v. 60, no. 2, p. 87-107.
- Thomson, A., and Maclennan, J., 2013, The Distribution of Olivine Compositions
 in Icelandic Basalts and Picrites: Journal of Petrology, v. 54, no. 4, p. 745768.
- 408 Thordarson, T., and Larsen, G., 2007, Volcanism in Iceland in historical time:
 409 Volcano types, eruption styles and eruptive history: Journal of
 410 Geodynamics, v. 43, no. 1, p. 118-152.
- Thordarson, T., and Self, S., 2003, Atmospheric and environmental effects of the
 1783-1784 Laki eruption: A review and reassessment: Journal of
 Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres, v. 108, no. 1, p. AAC 7-1 AAC 7-29.
- Thy, P., Lesher, C. E., Nielsen, T. F. D., and Brooks, C. K., 2006, Experimental
 constraints on the Skaergaard liquid line of descent: Lithos, v. 92, no. 1–2,
 p. 154-180.
- Villiger, S., Ulmer, P., Műntener, O., and Thompson, A. B., 2004, The Liquid Line of
 Descent of Anhydrous, Mantle-Derived, Tholeiitic Liquids by Fractional
 and Equilibrium Crystallization—an Experimental Study at 1.0 GPa:
 Journal of Petrology, v. 45, no. 12, p. 2369-2388.
- 421 Wilson, M., 1989, Igneous Petrogenesis, Chapman & Hall.
- 422 423
- 424 FIGURE CAPTIONS
- 425 **Figure 1**.

The dependence of linear attenuation coefficient on X-ray energy for olivine. The linear attenuation coefficient increases with decreasing Mg#. Scanning at lower energies, where the contrast in attenuation coefficient is greatest, results in a wider dynamic range in the reconstructed 3D images. The attenuation coefficient curves for olivine compositions bracketing our samples are shown. The Nikon XTH 225ST laboratory XMT system was run with a polychromatic X-ray beam with a maximum energy of 65kV, and a peak flux at ~40kV.

433 **Figure 2**.

XMT workflow for determining olivine crystal composition populations within 2.5 434 435 hours of sample receipt. Crystals are easily distinguished on the basis of texture and 436 shape. Low-density olivine (high Mg#,) appears darker than higher density olivine 437 (low Mg#). Refinement of the experimental setup may allow faster scanning, and trainable algorithm-based analysis may decrease this workflow time further without 438 439 sacrificing data quality. Volumes for greyscale value averaging were selected to simulate an EPMA spot, and were placed within the crystal cores, shown 440 441 schematically as white spots.

442 Figure 3

443 Comparison between XMT greyscale value data and EPMA data from olivine crystals 444 in tephra produced during the 2010 Fimmvörðuháls eruption. The XMT data were 445 generated using the XMT workflow described in the text and summarized in Fig. 2. A 446 single EPMA spot was analysed in each crystal core (see the Appendix for full 447 details). The values of each dataset were divided into 9 bins of equal range. The peaks 448 in each population correlate well.

449 Figure 4

450 A) Cartoon of hypothetical volcanic behaviour change (observed in real-time), to 451 illustrate a response to major magmatic change within a plumbing system during a 452 protracted eruption. Light shades indicate silicic melts and Fe-rich olivine 453 compositions (i.e. shallow equilibrated, evolved magma), while dark shades indicate 454 Mg-rich compositions (i.e. deeply equilibrated, primitive magma). B) Collection of 455 olivine crystal chemical population histograms stacked through time, corresponding to 456 the processes outlined in A. Such histograms could be generated from erupted 457 material using a workflow similar to ours, allowing insight to magmatic processes at a 458 high temporal resolution. These histograms may be added to the record of event chronology during volcanic eruptions to identify possible correlations with seismic
patterns, ground deformation changes and gas monitoring data in near-real-time.
Similar plots comprised of data from past eruptions may be used as empirical guides
to future volcanism.

463 *Appendix figure and table captions*

464 Figure 1

Comparison between olivine chemical population data generated by EPMA and XMT from two splits of the same sample of Fimmvörðuháls tephra. a) Decreasing rank order plots of i) 100 EPMA Mg# spots (one in each crystal core) with increasing Mg#, and ii) 87 XMT greyscale value spots (averaged 16-bit greyscale value) with increasing greyscale value. b) Linear array plots of the same data i) EPMA, ii) XMT. Note: higher greyscale values denote brighter voxels = lower Mg#. As such, the XMT linear array order is inverted in b) to allow comparison against Mg#.

472 *Table 1*

473 Electron Probe Microanalysis data from 100 olivine crystal cores from a sample of 474 Fimmvörðuháls tephra, erupted in 2010. Crystals were mounted in resin on a 10x10 475 grid, labelled A-J, 1-10. A 15kV beam at 30nA was used. Elemental abundances were 476 measured against known standards using peak (P) and background (B) counting times 477 as follows: Si P-20s B=10s; Ni P=50s B=25s; Fe P=30s B=15s; Mn P=10s B=5s; Al P-60s B-30s; Ca P-50s B-25s; Ti P-20s B-10s; Mg P-20 B-10s. Mg# = 478 479 100*Mg/(Mg+Fe(t)+Mn). Internal standards used were olivine and hematite, which 480 produced compositions within error of long term averages. A linear correction for Fe 481 signal was applied to all analyses, as hematite was used as standard for that element.

482 *Table 2*

X-ray micro-computed tomography data from the spots placed on 87 olivine crystal
cores from a sample of Fimmvörðuháls tephra, erupted in 2010, as calculated using
the workflow detailed in the text, and summarised in figure 2. Each spot was
approximately 25 µm in diameter, and 1 voxel deep.

487 *Table 3*

- 488 Rank-order and linear-array order data used to generate plots displayed in Extended
- 489 Data Figure 1. Note: higher greyscale values denote lighter voxels = lower Mg#. As
- 490 such, XMT linear array order is inverted to allow comparison against Mg#.
- 491 *Table 4*
- Bins used to generate frequency diagram from XMT greyscale value spots.





Crystal core Mg#



i) Sample preparation (~20 min)



Tephra crushed, seived, olivine picked into plastic straw.



ii) image aquisition (~1 hour)

> Radiographs collected



Reconstructed using filtered back projection algorithm.

> Note: texture and shape define glass/crystals. Also note bright rims of some olivine crystals

iii) Image processsing

(~1 hour)



Grains segmented and spheres placed within each olivine crystal

iv) Histogram generated from average core values (~5 min) of crystals . S Crystal core average attenuation≈composition



Appendix Table A4. Bins used to generate frequency diagram from XMT greyscale spots

Bin #	Range				
	from	to			
1	23550	+			
2	23378	23550			
3	23206	23378			
4	23033	23206			
5	22861	23033			
6	22689	22861			
7	22517	22689			
8	22344	22517			
9	22172	22344			
10	22000	22172			
11	-	22000			

EPMA data					XMT data						
					Average						
		Linear		Linear			greyscale		Linear		
	Mg#	Rank	range	Differential	value	Rank	range	Differential			
	75.02	100	75.02	0.00	22036.62	87	22037	0			
	75.22	99	75.15	0.07	22240.40	86	22054	-187			
	75.53	98	75.27	0.26	22304.52	85	22071	-233			
	75.63	97	75.40	0.23	22318.63	84	22088	-230			
	75.76	96	75.52	0.23	22332.52	83	22106	-227			
	75.96	95	75.65	0.31	22386.92	82	22123	-264			
	76.00	94	75.77	0.23	22386.94	81	22140	-247			
	76.07	93	75.90	0.17	22411.09	80	22157	-254			
	76.25	92	76.02	0.23	22428.06	79	22174	-254			
	76.48	91	76.15	0.33	22497.01	78	22192	-305			
	76.79	90	76.27	0.51	22500.18	77	22209	-291			
	76.80	89	76.40	0.40	22532.20	76	22226	-306			
	76.84	88	76.52	0.32	22563.31	75	22243	-320			
	76.85	87	76.64	0.21	22599.51	74	22261	-339			
	76.89	86	76.77	0.12	22644.19	73	22278	-366			
	76.95	85	76.89	0.06	22680.95	72	22295	-386			
	77.17	84	77.02	0.15	22693.69	71	22312	-381			
	77.23	83	77.14	0.09	22701.87	70	22330	-372			
	77.73	82	77.27	0.46	22717.55	69	22347	-371			
	77.74	81	77.39	0.35	22755.27	68	22364	-391			
	78.08	80	77.52	0.56	22755.69	67	22381	-374			
	78.21	79	77.64	0.56	22762.92	66	22399	-364			
	78.22	78	77.77	0.45	22770.07	65	22416	-354			
	78.31	77	77.89	0.42	22770.12	64	22433	-337			
	78.38	76	78.02	0.36	22791.85	63	22450	-342			
	78.58	75	78.14	0.44	22803.65	62	22467	-336			
	78.61	74	78.27	0.34	22826.04	61	22485	-341			
	/8.66	/3	/8.39	0.27	22867.06	60	22502	-365			
	/8.69	/2	78.52	0.17	22872.01	59	22519	-353			
	/8.//	/1	78.64	0.13	22879.71	58	22536	-343			
	79.20	70	78.77	0.44	22886.65	57	22554	-333			
	79.21	69	78.89	0.32	22889.91	56	22571	-319			
	79.43	68	79.01	0.42	22897.38	55	22588	-309			
	79.50	67	79.14	0.42	22898.13	54	22605	-293			
	79.07	00 65	79.20	0.41	22902.99	53	22623	-280			
	79.09	60 61	79.39	0.30	22919.73	52	22640	-280			
	79.74	64 62	79.51	0.23	22920.37	51	22657	-263			
	79.75 70.75	63	79.64	0.11	22927.86	50	22674	-254			
	79.75	0Z 61	79.70	-0.02	22943.27	49	22691	-252			
	79.92	60	79.89 90.01	0.04	22950.09	40	22709	-240			
	20.00		00.01 00.14		22904.00	47	22720	-239			
	80.00 80 0E	59 E0	00.14 20 76	-0.14 _0.21	22310.34	40 15	22/43	-221			
	80.05 80.11	50 E7	00.20 20 20	-0.21	2297 1.04	40 44	22/00	-211			
	80.11 80.12	57	00.39 QA E1	-0.20	22311.42	44	22110 22705	-200			
	80.13 80.12	50	80.51 80.51	-0.39 _0 51	22302.00	40 ⊿0	22190 22219	-10/ 172			
	00.10	55	00.04	-0.JT	22300.00	44	22012	-1/0			

Appendix Table A3. Rank- and linear array- order of EPMA and XMT data

80.16	54	80.76	-0.60	23000.41	41	22829	-171
80.16	53	80.89	-0.73	23002.69	40	22847	-156
80.17	52	81.01	-0.84	23002.72	39	22864	-139
80.19	51	81.13	-0.95	23007.99	38	22881	-127
80.20	50	81.26	-1.06	23012.22	37	22898	-114
80.31	49	81.38	-1.08	23013.74	36	22916	-98
80.40	48	81.51	-1.11	23017.99	35	22933	-85
80.49	47	81.63	-1.15	23038.58	34	22950	-89
80.55	46	81.76	-1.21	23047.08	33	22967	-80
80.69	45	81.88	-1.20	23052.95	32	22984	-69
80.89	44	82.01	-1.11	23063.83	31	23002	-62
81.06	43	82.13	-1.07	23069.55	30	23019	-51
81.14	42	82.26	-1.12	23069.61	29	23036	-33
81.28	41	82.38	-1.10	23069.73	28	23053	-16
81.29	40	82.51	-1.21	23089.71	27	23071	-19
81.31	39	82.63	-1.32	23089.94	26	23088	-2
81.31	38	82.76	-1.44	23107.06	25	23105	-2
81.38	37	82.88	-1.50	23127.45	24	23122	-5
81.38	36	83.01	-1.62	23132.32	23	23140	7
81.43	35	83.13	-1.70	23133.42	22	23157	23
81.44	34	83.25	-1.82	23136.40	21	23174	38
81.50	33	83.38	-1.88	23149.36	20	23191	42
81.56	32	83.50	-1.95	23152.86	19	23208	56
81.60	31	83.63	-2.03	23173.85	18	23226	52
81.68	30	83.75	-2.08	23183.52	17	23243	59
81.78	29	83.88	-2.10	23210.50	16	23260	50
81.90	28	84.00	-2.11	23211.85	15	23277	66
82.07	27	84.13	-2.06	23212.57	14	23295	82
82.32	26	84.25	-1.93	23217.59	13	23312	94
82.56	25	84.38	-1.82	23230.23	12	23329	99
82.58	24	84.50	-1.92	23254.65	11	23346	92
82.59	23	84.63	-2.04	23260.12	10	23364	103
82.69	22	84.75	-2.07	23310.84	9	23381	70
82.74	21	84.88	-2.13	23425.39	8	23398	-27
83.56	20	85.00	-1.44	23444.72	7	23415	-29
83.88	19	85.13	-1.24	23456.44	6	23433	-24
84.31	18	85.25	-0.94	23467.67	5	23450	-18
84.34	17	85.38	-1.03	23475.56	4	23467	-9
84.37	16	85.50	-1.13	23476.79	3	23484	7
84.40	15	85.62	-1.22	23494.71	2	23501	7
84.46	14	85.75	-1.29	23518.67	1	23519	0
84.52	13	85.87	-1.35				
84.77	12	86.00	-1.23				
84.83	11	86.12	-1.29				
85.03	10	86.25	-1.22				
85.08	9	86.37	-1.30				
85.17	8	86.50	-1.33				
85.35	7	86.62	-1.27				
85.38	6	86.75	-1.37				
85.68	5	86.87	-1.19				
	-						

-0.58

86.41

4

87.00

86.81	3	87.12	-0.31
87.10	2	87.25	-0.14
87.37	1	87.37	0.00

*The differential captures the degree to which each data point (each crystal) departs from a straight line between the highest and lowest value on the corresponding rank order plot, so that comparisons are easier to make visually, see Fig A1.

Appendix Table 2A. XMT averaged greyscale values of crystal core spots.

		Average		Average
	Crystal	grevscale	Crystal	arevscale
		value		value
-	1	22036.62	46	22985.00
	2	22240.40	47	23000.41
	3	22304.52	48	23002.69
	4	22318 63	49	23002 72
	5	22332 52	50	23007.99
	6	22386.92	51	23012 22
	7	22386.94	52	23013 74
	8	22411 09	53	23017 99
	9	22428.06	54	23038 58
	10	22497.01	55	23047.08
	11	22500 18	56	23052.95
	12	22532 20	57	23063.83
	13	22563 31	58	23069 55
	14	22500.51	59	23069.61
	15	22644 19	60	23069 73
	16	22680.95	61	23089 71
	10	22603.60	62	23080 04
	18	22093.09	63	23107.06
	10	22701.07	64	23107.00
	20	22717.00	65	23127.40
	20	22755.60	66	23132.32
	21	22755.09	67	23133.42
	22	22702.92	68	23130.40
	23	22770.07	60	23149.30
	24 25	22770.12	70	23132.00
	20	22791.00	70	23173.00
	20	22003.00	71	23103.52
	21	22820.04	72	23210.50
	20	22007.00	73	23211.03
	29	22072.01	74	23212.37
	21	22079.71	75	23217.39
	31	22000.00	70	23230.23
	33	22009.91	78	23254.05
	34	22097.30	70	23200.12
	35	22090.13	80	23310.04
	36	22902.99	00 91	23425.39
	30	22919.73	82	23444.72
	38	22920.37	83	23430.44
	30	22927.00	84	23407.07
	10	22343.21	04 Q5	23470.00
	40 //1	22900.09	88	23410.19
	41 10	22904.00	00 87	23434.11
	42 12	22310.04	07	20010.07
	40	22311.04		
	44	22311.42		
	40	22902.00		

Appendix Table A1. Olivine core compositions measured by EPMA

Oxide wt%						total	Mg#			
Crystal	SiO ₂	TiO ₂	AI_2O_3	FeO	MnO	MgO	CaO	NiO		
FMVDCT_A1a	40.04	0.02	0.05	12.18	0.15	46.71	0.24	0.38	99.77	87.10
FMVDCT_B1	39.76	0.01	0.03	14.56	0.20	44.68	0.23	0.36	99.84	84.37
FMVDCT_C1	38.47	0.02	0.05	21.32	0.31	38.95	0.29	0.14	99.55	76.25
FMVDCT_D1	38.81	0.02	0.04	19.73	0.31	40.61	0.28	0.07	99.87	78.31
FMVDCT_E1	39.43	0.01	0.04	16.59	0.26	42.76	0.24	0.25	99.58	81.90
FMVDCT F1	38.62	0.04	0.02	20.95	0.31	39.45	0.30	0.14	99.82	76.79
FMVDCT G1	38.97	0.03	0.03	18.78	0.29	41.32	0.27	0.20	99.89	79.43
FMVDCT H1	38.80	0.02	0.04	19.42	0.27	40.60	0.30	0.16	99.61	78.61
FMVDCT 11	38.56	0.02	0.04	20.54	0.33	39.71	0.28	0.10	99.58	77.23
EMVDCT II	39.67	0.02	0.05	15 26	0.24	44 18	0.27	0.26	99.93	83 56
FMVDCT A2	39.40	0.01	0.04	16.08	0.22	43 36	0.24	0.26	99.62	82 59
EMVDCT_R2	38.70	0.01	0.04	10.00	0.22	40.85	0.24	0.12	99.02	78 77
EMVDCT_C2	20.02	0.05	0.05	14 17	0.25	40.05	0.20	0.12	00.00	94 92
	20.77	0.01	0.03	12 97	0.21	45.12	0.25	0.23	00 74	95 17
	29.77	0.02	0.04	15.07	0.10	45.27	0.20	0.54	99.74	76.90
	20.07	0.05	0.05	20.87	0.55	39.59	0.27	0.15	99.77	70.69
FIVIVDCT_F2	39.07	0.02	0.02	17.89	0.25	42.00	0.25	0.24	99.73	80.49
FMVDCT_G2	39.09	0.01	0.05	18.15	0.27	41.72	0.24	0.20	99.72	80.16
FMVDCI_H2	39.11	0.02	0.04	18.51	0.28	41.52	0.25	0.19	99.92	79.75
FMVDCT_12	38.40	0.02	0.03	20.92	0.30	39.41	0.29	0.05	99.41	76.80
FMVDCT_J2	39.28	0.02	0.03	17.22	0.27	42.62	0.26	0.24	99.94	81.28
FMVDCT_A3a	39.06	0.02	0.04	18.45	0.27	41.34	0.26	0.13	99.56	79.74
FMVDCT_B3	39.40	0.01	0.06	16.77	0.26	42.85	0.26	0.23	99.84	81.78
FMVDCT_C3	38.31	0.03	0.03	22.33	0.35	38.23	0.28	0.07	99.63	75.02
FMVDCT_D3	38.92	0.03	0.03	19.34	0.30	40.63	0.27	0.13	99.65	78.66
FMVDCT_E3	38.55	0.01	0.03	21.53	0.29	38.75	0.30	0.05	99.50	76.00
FMVDCT_F3	39.71	0.01	0.04	13.67	0.19	45.42	0.22	0.42	99.69	85.38
FMVDCT_G3	38.37	0.02	0.02	21.52	0.38	39.05	0.27	0.16	99.78	76.07
FMVDCT_H3	39.20	0.03	0.06	17.91	0.27	41.83	0.18	0.17	99.66	80.40
FMVDCT_I3	38.97	0.03	0.04	18.56	0.30	41.45	0.29	0.18	99.82	79.67
FMVDCT_J3	39.26	0.04	0.06	18.20	0.28	41.79	0.27	0.16	100.06	80.13
FMVDCT_A4a	39.60	0.02	0.03	14.98	0.21	44.35	0.24	0.28	99.70	83.88
FMVDCT_B4	39.21	0.02	0.03	18.22	0.26	41.74	0.26	0.15	99.89	80.11
FMVDCT_C4	38.99	0.03	0.03	18.34	0.28	41.59	0.27	0.10	99.64	79.92
FMVDCT_D4	39.35	0.03	0.04	17.00	0.26	42.67	0.25	0.17	99.77	81.50
FMVDCT_E4	39.74	0.01	0.06	13.89	0.22	45.11	0.24	0.30	99.57	85.08
FMVDCT_F4	39.49	0.01	0.04	14.57	0.20	44.50	0.20	0.26	99.27	84.31
FMVDCT_G4	39.19	0.02	0.03	17.77	0.27	41.91	0.27	0.18	99.65	80.55
FMVDCT_I4	39.22	0.02	0.03	17.25	0.28	42.73	0.26	0.22	100.02	81.29
FMVDCT_H4	39.12	0.01	0.03	18.12	0.27	41.75	0.27	0.14	99.71	80.19
FMVDCT_J4	38.86	0.02	0.03	18.92	0.29	41.06	0.28	0.14	99.59	79.21
FMVDCT_A5	38.41	0.02	0.03	21.66	0.35	39.02	0.28	0.10	99.89	75.96
FMVDCT_B5	40.02	0.01	0.05	12.35	0.18	46.23	0.22	0.42	99.48	86.81
FMVDCT C5a	40.11	0.00	0.06	12.75	0.18	46.15	0.23	0.35	99.84	86.41
FMVDCT D5	39.25	0.02	0.04	17.07	0.24	42.46	0.25	0.24	99.56	81.38
FMVDCT E5	39.23	0.02	0.03	17.31	0.27	42.44	0.28	0.17	99.76	81.14
FMVDCT F5a	39.26	0.02	0.03	17.04	0.30	42.68	0.25	0.17	99.76	81.43
FMVDCT G5	39.78	0.01	0.07	13.91	0.19	44.95	0.23	0.31	99.45	85.03
FMVDCT_H5a	39.75	0.01	0.06	14.37	0.23	44.73	0.24	0.29	99.69	84.52
FMVDCT_I5	38.84	0.03	0.04	18.18	0.26	41.51	0.25	0.16	99.28	80.05
FMVDCT_J5	38.36	0.03	0.02	21.74	0.38	38.50	0.28	0.09	99.40	75.63
EMVDCT A6	38.50	0.02	0.05	19 76	0.30	40.07	0.20	0.09	99.12	78 08
EMVDCT_R6	20.03	0.02	0.05	17 00	0.50	42.56	0.20	0.05	90 30	81 21
	20 16	0.01	0.05	16 16	0.27	42.30 A2 97	0.24	0.19	02 OF	82 22
	20 EU	0.02	0.04	10.10	0.25	42.07	0.25	0.21	00.95	70 21
	00.0U	0.05	0.02	13.05 21 EO	0.31	40.14 20 //	0.27	0.12	00 07	75.21
	20.23	0.02	0.00	21.39	0.54	30.44	0.20	0.08	30.31	01.10
	39.78 20.20	0.03	0.04	14.52	0.20	44.07	0.21	0.31	99.75	84.4U
	38.38	0.04	0.02	20.53	0.32	39.54	0.26	0.12	99.21	//.1/
FIVIVUCI_H6	38.96	0.02	0.04	18.10	0.28	41.58	0.27	0.13	99.38	80.13

FMVDCT_I6	39.02	0.02	0.04	17.55	0.26	42.31	0.27	0.22	99.68	80.89
FMVDCT_J6	39.37	0.01	0.04	18.50	0.30	41.38	0.26	0.11	99.97	79.69
FMVDCT_A7	38.32	0.02	0.02	22.11	0.34	38.88	0.26	0.05	100.01	75.53
FMVDCT_B7a	38.85	0.04	0.03	19.36	0.34	40.55	0.25	0.17	99.59	78.58
FMVDCT_C7	38.63	0.02	0.02	20.95	0.30	39.54	0.28	0.13	99.86	76.84
FMVDCT_D7	38.81	0.03	0.03	18.99	0.28	41.16	0.26	0.14	99.69	79.20
FMVDCT_E7	40.05	0.02	0.06	13.45	0.19	45.78	0.25	0.37	100.16	85.68
FMVDCT_F7	38.81	0.02	0.02	20.15	0.32	40.10	0.27	0.17	99.85	77.74
FMVDCT_G7	40.26	0.02	0.05	11.86	0.18	46.72	0.23	0.34	99.64	87.37
FMVDCT_H7	38.86	0.03	0.04	19.70	0.30	40.30	0.28	0.11	99.61	78.22
FMVDCT_I7	39.10	0.00	0.05	18.18	0.26	41.79	0.25	0.15	99.78	80.16
FMVDCT_J7	39.37	0.01	0.06	16.06	0.21	43.77	0.23	0.23	99.94	82.74
FMVDCT_A8	38.68	0.03	0.04	20.25	0.28	40.19	0.28	0.15	99.88	77.73
FMVDCT_B8	39.49	0.02	0.04	17.44	0.26	42.50	0.25	0.26	100.26	81.06
FMVDCT_C8	39.13	0.02	0.03	18.34	0.27	41.77	0.28	0.10	99.95	80.00
FMVDCT_D8	39.28	0.02	0.05	17.12	0.27	42.63	0.25	0.19	99.80	81.38
FMVDCT_E8	38.93	0.04	0.04	19.37	0.28	40.70	0.28	0.11	99.77	78.69
FMVDCT_F8	39.31	0.03	0.03	17.00	0.28	42.99	0.25	0.18	100.06	81.60
FMVDCT_G8	39.08	0.03	0.03	18.32	0.28	41.61	0.27	0.17	99.79	79.95
FMVDCT_H8	38.75	0.02	0.02	19.70	0.30	40.67	0.28	0.12	99.86	78.38
FMVDCT_I8	39.44	0.02	0.04	15.97	0.22	43.37	0.26	0.22	99.54	82.69
FMVDCT_J8	39.60	0.00	0.04	14.47	0.19	44.69	0.24	0.33	99.55	84.46
FMVDCT_A9	39.52	0.01	0.05	14.61	0.20	44.74	0.24	0.30	99.67	84.34
FMVDCT_B9	39.27	0.01	0.03	16.57	0.25	43.20	0.25	0.23	99.81	82.07
FMVDCT_C9	39.05	0.01	0.04	17.72	0.27	42.16	0.26	0.20	99.73	80.69
FMVDCT_D9	39.20	0.02	0.05	17.19	0.25	42.57	0.21	0.19	99.69	81.31
FMVDCT_E9	39.50	0.02	0.04	16.90	0.23	42.84	0.22	0.23	99.99	81.68
FMVDCT_F9	38.30	0.02	0.03	21.07	0.31	39.00	0.28	0.09	99.10	76.48
FMVDCT_G9	38.78	0.03	0.03	20.92	0.28	39.50	0.30	0.03	99.86	76.85
FMVDCT_H9	39.21	0.02	0.02	16.99	0.28	42.51	0.26	0.21	99.50	81.44
FMVDCT_I9	39.18	0.02	0.04	16.94	0.24	42.62	0.23	0.23	99.49	81.56
FMVDCT_J9	39.78	0.01	0.05	13.64	0.19	45.22	0.24	0.29	99.42	85.35
FMVDCT_A10	39.70	0.02	0.05	14.19	0.17	44.85	0.23	0.32	99.52	84.77
FMVDCT_B10	38.94	0.02	0.05	18.76	0.27	41.56	0.21	0.19	100.01	79.56
FMVDCT_C10	39.36	0.01	0.05	18.65	0.26	41.76	0.23	0.12	100.43	79.75
FMVDCT_D10	39.20	0.03	0.04	18.01	0.27	41.82	0.26	0.19	99.82	80.31
FMVDCT_E10	39.02	0.03	0.05	18.13	0.24	41.67	0.24	0.22	99.60	80.17
FMVDCT_F10	39.28	0.03	0.02	16.13	0.23	43.45	0.26	0.28	99.69	82.56
FMVDCT_G10	38.55	0.01	0.02	20.82	0.32	39.59	0.29	0.10	99.71	76.95
FMVDCT_H10	39.07	0.01	0.06	18.12	0.26	41.75	0.23	0.15	99.64	80.20
FMVDCT_I10	39.42	0.01	0.05	16.06	0.25	43.38	0.27	0.30	99.74	82.58
FMVDCT_J10	38.41	0.03	0.03	22.20	0.36	38.42	0.24	0.04	99.74	75.22



