

promoting access to White Rose research papers



Universities of Leeds, Sheffield and York
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

This is an author produced version of a paper published in **British Journal of Nutrition**.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/78310/>

Paper:

Rhodes, LE, Darby, G, Farrar, MD, Bennett, S, Watson, REB, Massey, KA, Nicolaou, A, Clarke, KA, Dew, TP and Williamson, G (2013) *Oral green tea catechin metabolites are incorporated into human skin and protect against UV radiation-induced cutaneous inflammation in association with reduced production of pro-inflammatory eicosanoid 12-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid*. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 110 (5). 891 - 900.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0007114512006071>

Oral green tea catechin metabolites are incorporated into human skin and protect against UVR-induced cutaneous inflammation in association with reduced production of pro-inflammatory **eicosanoid 12-HETE**

Lesley E Rhodes¹, Gemma Darby¹, Karen A Massey², Kayleigh A Clarke³, Tristan P Dew³, Mark D Farrar¹, Susan Bennett¹, Rachel EB Watson¹, Gary Williamson³, Anna Nicolaou²

¹Dermatology Centre, Institute of Inflammation and Repair, University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Hospital, Manchester, UK, ²School of Pharmacy and Centre for Skin Sciences, School of Life Sciences, University of Bradford, Bradford, UK, ³School of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Leeds, Leeds.

Address correspondence to: L. E. Rhodes, Photobiology Unit, Dermatology Centre, University of Manchester, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Hospital, Manchester, M6 8HD, UK. Tel: +44 161 2061150. Fax: +44 161 2061156. Email:

lesley.e.rhodes@manchester.ac.uk

Running head: GTC, skin uptake and UVR-induced 12-HETE

Key words: green tea catechins, bioavailability, skin, 12-HETE

Abbreviations used: COX, cyclooxygenase; CYP, cytochrome P450; EC, (-)-epicatechin; ECG, (-)-epicatechin-3-*O*-gallate; EGC, (-)-epigallocatechin; EGCG, (-)-epigallocatechin-3-*O*-gallate; GTC, green tea catechins; HETE, hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid; LOX, lipoxygenase; MED, minimal erythema dose; MRM, multiple reaction monitoring; PL, phospholipase; PG, prostaglandin; TPA, 12-*O*-tetradecanpylphorbol-13-acetone; UVR, ultraviolet radiation.

1 ABSTRACT

2 Green tea catechins (GTC) reduce ultraviolet radiation (UVR)-induced inflammation in
3 experimental models but human studies are scarce, and their cutaneous bioavailability and
4 mechanism of photoprotection are unknown. We aimed to examine oral GTC cutaneous
5 uptake, ability to protect human skin against erythema induced by a UVR dose-range, and
6 impact on potent cyclooxygenase and lipoxygenase-produced mediators of UVR-
7 inflammation, prostaglandin (PG)E₂ and 12-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid (HETE),
8 respectively. In an open oral intervention study, 16 healthy humans (phototype I/II) were
9 given low-dose GTC (540 mg) with vitamin C (50 mg) daily for 12 weeks. Pre- and post-
10 supplementation, buttock skin was exposed to UVR and resultant erythema quantified. Skin
11 blister fluid and biopsies were taken from unexposed and UVR-exposed skin 24h-post a pro-
12 inflammatory UVR challenge (3 minimal erythema doses). Urine, skin tissue and fluid were
13 analysed for catechin content, and skin fluid for PGE₂ and 12-HETE, by liquid
14 chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry. Fourteen completing subjects were
15 supplement-compliant (12F, median 42.5y, range 29-59y). Benzoic acid levels were
16 increased in skin fluid post-supplementation ($P=0.03$), and methylated gallic acid and several
17 intact catechins and hydroxyphenyl-valerolactones were detected in skin tissue and fluid.
18 Area-under-curve analysis for UVR-erythema revealed reduced response post-GTC
19 ($P=0.037$). Pre-supplementation, PGE₂ and 12-HETE were UVR-induced ($P=0.003$,
20 $P=0.0001$). After GTC, UVR-induced 12-HETE reduced from mean \pm SD 64 \pm 42 to 41 \pm 32
21 pg/ μ L ($P=0.01$) while PGE₂ was unaltered. Thus GTC intake results in incorporation of
22 catechin metabolites in human skin associated with abrogated UVR-induced 12-HETE; this
23 may contribute to protection against sunburn inflammation and potentially longer-term UVR-
24 mediated damage.

25 INTRODUCTION

26 Ultraviolet radiation (UVR) in sunlight is a key environmental stressor impacting on skin
27 health. Acute effects include sunburn (an inflammatory response), immune-suppression and
28 photosensitivity, while repeated exposures lead to photoageing and photocarcinogenesis ⁽¹⁾.
29 Sunburn is characterised clinically by erythema due to vasodilatation, and histologically a
30 dermal infiltrate of neutrophils and mononuclear cells is observed ^(2, 3). Activation of
31 cutaneous phospholipase (PL) A₂ by UVR is a key part of the inflammatory response,
32 releasing membrane esterified fatty acids, including arachidonic acid that is subsequently
33 metabolised by cyclooxygenase (COX), lipoxygenase (LOX) and cytochrome P450 (CYP)
34 isozymes to produce eicosanoids with vasodilatory and chemoattractant properties ⁽⁴⁾. Potent
35 pro-inflammatory mediators prostaglandin (PG) E₂ and 12- hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid
36 (HETE) are the most abundant eicosanoids at the peak of the sunburn response, correlating
37 with UVR-upregulated expression of COX-2 and 12-LOX in human skin ⁽⁴⁾.

38 The polyphenols are plant-derived molecules, many exhibiting anti-inflammatory
39 properties ^(5, 6). Their oral intake is associated with health benefits including reduced risk of
40 cancer and cardiovascular disease ^(7, 8). Studies performed largely in experimental models
41 suggest polyphenols from various sources may protect skin against adverse effects of UVR,
42 including carcinogenesis ^(1, 9, 10). Green tea is widely consumed worldwide and contains
43 several polyphenols of the catechin family, i.e. green tea catechins (GTC), principally (-)-
44 epicatechin (EC), (-)-epicatechin-3-*O*-gallate (ECG), (-)-epigallocatechin (EGC) and (-)-
45 epigallocatechin-3-*O*-gallate (EGCG; ¹¹). Emerging evidence suggests GTC can protect
46 against cutaneous damage. Specifically, oral GTC protected against UVR-induced skin
47 inflammation and carcinogenesis in hairless mice ⁽¹²⁾, whilst in humans, topically applied
48 GTC reduced UVR-induced DNA damage, erythema and leucocytic infiltrate ^(13, 14), and oral
49 green tea extract reduced skin erythema following a UVR challenge near the sunburn
50 threshold ⁽¹⁵⁾. Some of these effects may be mediated via effects on COX and LOX isozymes,
51 as EGCG, EGC, ECG and EC have been reported to reduce the production of PGE₂ and/or
52 12-HETE in experimental systems ⁽¹⁶⁻¹⁸⁾ and oral GTC to reduce UVR-induced COX-2
53 protein expression and PGE₂ production in mouse epidermis ⁽¹⁰⁾. However, it is unknown
54 whether these findings have relevance to human skin.

55 Despite increasing evidence of their photoprotective potential, there is a dearth of
56 information on cutaneous bioavailability of oral GTC in humans, reflecting the challenges of
57 their tissue assessment. Moreover, the molecular mechanism(s) underlying protection from
58 UVR-induced inflammation is unexplored in humans. Potentially this may be conveyed

59 through impact on key COX and LOX-derived pro-inflammatory eicosanoids mediating the
60 sunburn response, which additionally exhibit promoting properties in skin carcinogenesis ^{(4,}
61 ^{19, 20)}. Thus, the aims of our novel study were to examine directly in humans *in vivo* for
62 evidence of cutaneous uptake of orally administered GTC, to evaluate for impact of GTC on
63 sunburn over a range of pro-inflammatory UVR doses, and explore whether the underlying
64 mechanism of protection could be GTC modulation of PGE₂ and/or 12-HETE formation.

65 **METHODS**

66 **Subjects and study design**

67 This was an open oral intervention study conducted in the Photobiology Unit, Dermatology
68 Centre, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Hospital, Manchester, UK. Subjects (n=16) were
69 white Caucasian males and females, sun-reactive skin type I-II (easy sunburn, minimal
70 tanning). The exclusion criteria were: history of skin cancer or a photosensitivity disorder;
71 use of a sunbed or sunbathing in the 3 months prior to the study, taking photoactive
72 medication or nutritional supplements, consuming more than 2 cups of tea per day, and
73 currently pregnant or breastfeeding. Ethical approval was obtained from the North
74 Manchester Research Ethics Committee (reference 08/H1006/79). Written informed consent
75 was obtained from the participants and the study adhered to Declaration of Helsinki
76 principles.

77

78 **Dietary supplements**

79 Subjects took oral supplements comprising 540 mg GTC with 50 mg vitamin C, daily for 12
80 weeks. These were in the form of 3 capsules each containing 450 mg green tea extract (total
81 1350 mg tea, 540 mg GTC; Table 1) and 2 capsules each containing 25 mg vitamin C (total
82 50 mg vitamin C), and were taken with breakfast each morning. The low dose vitamin C was
83 added to stabilise the green tea extract in the gut lumen ⁽²¹⁾; oral vitamin C supplementation
84 alone has been shown to have no impact on UVR-erythema ⁽²²⁾. Supplements were provided
85 by Nestec Ltd (Lausanne, Switzerland) and packaged by Laboratoire LPH (St Bonnet de
86 Rochefort, France). Compliance was assessed by counting the residual capsules in the
87 dispensed containers that volunteers were asked to return, and through analysis of 24 h urine
88 samples collected from all volunteers pre and after 1 day, 6 weeks and 12 weeks
89 supplementation.

90

91 **UVR exposure**

92 UVR exposures were performed using a solar simulator with emission of UVB and UVA
93 mimicking that of sunlight (emission 290-400 nm; Newport Spectra-Physics Ltd). Irradiance
94 of the light source was measured 10 cm from the source prior to each irradiation, using a
95 radiometer (model IL 730A; International Light, USA) calibrated for use with the light
96 source, to ensure consistency of doses applied. The minimal erythema dose (MED) of UVR
97 of each subject was assessed at baseline and post-supplementation, following application of a
98 geometric series of 10 doses of solar simulated UVR (erythemally weighted doses 6.6-68

99 mJ/cm²) to upper buttock skin (1 cm diameter circular sites). Irradiated sites were examined
100 visually after 24 h, with the MED defined as the lowest dose producing visually discernible
101 erythema. Erythema at each site was quantified as described in the following section. At 24 h
102 prior to skin tissue and blister fluid sampling, doses of UVR of 3 x the individual's pre-
103 supplementation MED were given to sites on one buttock; this dose was selected in order to
104 provoke an inflammatory response sufficient to significantly elevate cutaneous eicosanoid
105 levels ⁽⁴⁾.

106

107 **Quantification of the UVR-induced erythematous responses**

108 The intensity of erythema (erythema index) was quantified using a reflectance instrument
109 (Diastron) in n=10 subjects. Readings were taken in triplicate from each exposed site and
110 from adjacent unexposed skin, and erythema expressed as the difference between these
111 readings (ΔE). Dose-response modelling was performed using a dedicated data analysis
112 package (Regional Medical Physics Department, Gateshead & Tyneside Health Authority,
113 UK) to calculate each subject's D₃₀, the UVR dose producing a ΔE of 30 arbitrary units, a
114 threshold value that approximates an individual's visual MED.

115

116 **Skin biopsy and suction blister fluid sampling**

117 UVR-exposed (3 x MED) and -protected areas of upper buttock skin were sampled at
118 baseline and post-supplementation; UVR exposures were limited to 1 buttock and the other
119 buttock provided the unexposed skin and blister fluid samples. Skin punch biopsies (5 mm
120 diameter) were taken after intradermal injection of lignocaine, as described ⁽⁴⁾, snap frozen
121 and stored at -80°C. Suction blisters were raised using suction cups with a central aperture
122 diameter of 1 cm and vacuum of 250 mm Hg as described previously ⁽⁴⁾. Skin blister fluid
123 was aspirated with a 23-gauge needle, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until
124 analysis. Samples destined for polyphenol analysis were combined with 25 μ L NaH₂PO₄ (0.4
125 mol/L, pH 3.6) containing 200 g/L ascorbic acid and 1 g/L EDTA, prior to freezing.

126

127 **Eicosanoid analysis**

128 Eicosanoids in skin blister fluid were analysed by liquid chromatography coupled to
129 electrospray ionisation tandem mass spectrometry (LC/ESI-MS/MS) as described previously
130 ^(23, 24). In summary, skin fluid samples (typically 50-200 μ L) were diluted with methanol-
131 water (15% w/w) up to 3 mL. Internal standards (40 ng PGB₂-d₄ and 80 ng 12-HETE-d₈;

132 Cayman Chemicals) were then added and resultant solutions acidified to pH 3.0, followed by
133 solid-phase extraction (C18-E cartridges; Phenomenex) to reduce matrix effects and semi-
134 purify the lipid mediators. Eicosanoids were analysed on a C18 column (Luna 5 μm ;
135 Phenomenex) using a Waters Alliance 2695 HPLC pump coupled to a triple-quadrupole mass
136 spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ionisation probe (Quattro Ultima, Waters).
137 Instrument control and data acquisition were performed using MassLynx 4.0 software
138 (Waters). The following multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) transitions were used for the
139 assay: PGE₂ m/z 351 > 271; 12-HETE m/z 319 > 179.

140

141 **Polyphenol analysis of urine, skin tissue and blister fluid**

142 Urine was collected in HCl-washed flasks containing ascorbate (approx 1 g/L), and stored in
143 aliquots at -80°C . Blister fluid and urine samples were enzymatically hydrolysed in line with
144 previous literature⁽²⁵⁾, with adjustments. Following thawing at 5°C , urine was adjusted to pH
145 5.0 with NaOH (0.1 mol/L). A 40 μL aliquot of urine or blister fluid was combined with 4 μL
146 NaH_2PO_4 solution (0.4 mol/L, pH 5.0) containing 200 g/L ascorbic acid and 1 g/L EDTA, 20
147 μL sodium acetate buffer (0.2 mol/L, pH 5.0) containing 0.012 μg taxifolin internal standard
148 (Extrasynthese) and 5 U sulfatase (Type VIII, Sigma). Based on previous optimization work,
149 100 U and 200 U β -glucuronidase (Type X, Sigma) in NaH_2PO_4 (75 mmol/L, pH 6.8) were
150 added to blister and urine samples, respectively, and incubated at 37°C for 45 and 60 min
151 respectively. Samples were extracted with 3 x 250 μL ethyl acetate, with vortexing and
152 centrifugal separation at each step. The combined extracts were dried under nitrogen and
153 frozen at -80°C . Samples and reagents were handled on ice throughout extraction. Dried
154 samples were reconstituted with 12 μL 20% (v/v) acetonitrile containing 1 g/L ascorbic acid,
155 and sealed in a micro-well plate before analysis. With the exception of hippuric acids (which
156 were poorly partitioned into ethyl acetate), the average extraction efficiency for catechins and
157 phenolic acids reported (Table 2) was $84.7 \pm 13.0\%$, whilst internal standard extraction
158 efficiency was consistently at 100%.

159 Polyphenol-conjugates required extraction from biopsy tissue before enzyme
160 hydrolysis. Additionally, Chu et al⁽²⁶⁾ highlighted problems using traditional
161 ascorbate/EDTA solutions to stabilise catechins when handling tissue, owing to intrinsic iron
162 content, and proposed the use of sodium dithionite, a reducing agent that does not take part in
163 Fenton reactions. Biopsies were thawed at room temperature immediately before extraction
164 then kept on ice throughout the procedure. Biopsies were washed in hexane to remove blood
165 residue. A section of dermis was separated with a scalpel and weighed. To this, 250 μL

166 nitrogen-flushed chloroform containing 0.1 g/L butylated hydroxytoluene, and 250 μ L
167 sodium dithionite (0.3 mol/L) in sodium acetate buffer (0.2 mol/L, pH 5.0) were added.
168 Samples were homogenized (Turrax micro homogenizer, IKA), with the sample being
169 returned to ice at regular intervals, then vortexed and separated by centrifugation. The
170 aqueous layer was removed and a second 250 μ L aliquot of sodium dithionate in sodium
171 acetate buffer added for a repeat extraction. Excess chloroform was removed via nitrogen
172 drying, and the combined extracts mixed with 50 μ L sodium acetate buffer (0.2 mol/L, pH
173 5.0) containing 0.012 μ g taxifolin internal standard, 10 U sulfatase and 200 U β -
174 glucuronidase. After 60 min incubation at 37°C the extraction proceeded as for blisters/urine,
175 using 3 x 400 μ L ethyl acetate.

176 Samples were analysed using an Agilent 1200 SL HPLC system, which comprised a
177 binary pump, degasser, well plate autosampler (5°C), and column oven (35°C) connected to a
178 6410 triple quadrupole LC-MS/MS. A 5 μ L aliquot was injected onto a Kinetex C18
179 microbore column (2.6 μ m, 150 x 2.1 mm; Phenomenex) running a binary gradient of LC-
180 MS grade water (Millipore) vs. acetonitrile (Fisher) both with 0.2% (v/v) formic acid, at 0.3
181 mL/min. The gradient started at 5% acetonitrile for first 5.8 min, rose to 30% over 29.2 min,
182 then increased to 95% acetonitrile over 2.4 min. This was held for a further 3.6 min to wash
183 the column then returned to 5% acetonitrile over 3.6 min, re-equilibrating over a further 10.9
184 min. The flow was passed into an electrospray source, with gas temperature 350°C, flowing
185 at 11L/min, with a 30 psi nebulizer pressure. Analytes were detected in negative mode, using
186 Dynamic MRM acquisition. Where available, analyte transmission and MS² transition
187 parameters were individually optimized using standards. Internal standards for EC, (+)-
188 catechin, EGC, ECG, EGCG and taxifolin were obtained from Extrasynthese. The retention
189 times of gallic acid, catechin gallate and gallic acid gallate were determined by placing
190 aqueous solutions of the relevant epi-isomers into a boiling water bath for 1 h. **The**
191 **chromatographic method did not distinguish between (+)- and (-)- enantiomers.** The 3' and 4'
192 mono-methylated forms of EC and EGC were obtained from Nacalai Tesque. Benzoic acid,
193 3-hydroxy benzoic acid, hippuric acid, 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid, and 3-(2',4'-
194 dihydroxyphenyl)propionic acid were obtained from Fluka and 4-hydroxy benzoic acid from
195 Aldrich. Vanillic acid, 3,5 dihydroxy benzoic acid, gallic acid, syringic acid, 3- and 4-
196 hydroxyphenyl acetic acids and 3-(3'-hydroxyphenyl)-propionic acid were obtained from
197 Alfa Aesar. 3- and 4- methyl gallic acids were obtained from Apin Chemicals, and 2,4-
198 dihydroxy benzoic acid, 2,4,6-trihydroxy benzoic acid, 2-hydroxyphenyl acetic acid, and 2-
199 hydroxy hippuric acid from Acros Organics. All standards were of HPLC quality (>95%

200 purity). As commercial standards for hydroxyphenyl-valerolactones were not available, these
201 were tentatively identified using previously reported MS² fragment patterns⁽²⁷⁾. Analyte
202 transmission and quantifying/qualifying MS² transition parameters were individually
203 optimized using repeat injections of extracted urine. A total of 3 hydroxyphenyl-
204 valerolactones were followed, namely 5-(3',4',5'-trihydroxyphenyl)- γ -valerolactone (M4;
205 m/z 223 > 179+138), 5-(3',4'-dihydroxyphenyl)-valerolactone (M6; m/z 207 > 163+122) and
206 5-(3',5'-dihydroxyphenyl)-valerolactone (M6': m/z 207 > 163+123). M6 vs M6' retention
207 time was differentiated using a synthetic M6 standard⁽²⁸⁾, which was used to quantify all
208 hydroxyphenyl-valerolactones. Following peak integration, peak areas were normalised to
209 internal standard. Whilst response factors for hippuric and benzoic acids were low (on
210 column limit of quantitation of 3.45 pmol and 50 pmol respectively) the universally high
211 levels of these compounds in urine, skin fluid and tissue meant quantification was achievable.
212 The average on column limit of quantitation for all other compounds was 380 fmol \pm 365
213 fmol.

214

215 **Statistical analysis**

216 Parametric data were tested using the paired t-test. The Wilcoxon signed rank test was used
217 for data not satisfying assumptions of normality. Analyses were performed using StatsDirect
218 (v2.7.7, StatsDirect Ltd.). Statistical significance was accepted at $P < 0.05$. Data are shown as
219 mean \pm SD and presented graphically as mean \pm SEM.

220

221 **RESULTS**

222 **Study subjects and compliance**

223 Of the 16 subjects recruited to the study, 1 withdrew before completion for reasons unrelated
224 to the study. The supplement was well tolerated; 4 subjects reported mild nausea following its
225 ingestion. Post-supplementation, all 4 major epicatechins and their metabolites were present
226 in urine at day 1, week 6 and week 12, from 14 of the 15 subjects completing the study
227 (Table 2). Thus, 1 subject was non-compliant and 14 subjects (12 female) with a median age
228 of 42.5 years (range 29-59) were included in study analyses.

229

230 **Urinary metabolites**

231 Of 35 tea phenolics and metabolites investigated, t-test analysis showed 20 components were
232 significantly higher in week 12 urine samples compared to baseline ($P < 0.05$; $n=13$ due to
233 absent record of one sample volume; Table 2), whilst 8 of these were consistently higher in

234 all participants. As well as several intact catechins, gallic acid and methylated metabolites,
235 hydroxyphenyl-valerolactones, benzoic acid and its glycine conjugate, hippuric acid were all
236 increased in urine following GTC consumption. **Based on a daily intake of 129.2 μmol of EC
237 and 482.9 μmol of EGC respectively, average urine excretion of all intact EC and EGC
238 metabolites (including methylated forms) represented 6.1 and 7.1% of the dose, respectively.**

239

240 **Skin uptake**

241 Skin fluid and biopsy (dermal) samples were taken from a subgroup of 10 participants at
242 baseline and week 12, and subjected to qualitative analysis (Table 3). A total of 20 different
243 phenolic compounds were observed in both sample types following supplementation. In
244 blister fluid, hippuric, benzoic and 4-hydroxybenzoic acids were consistently present in all 10
245 participants. Interestingly, methylated gallic acid and several intact catechins and catechin
246 ring-fission products were also observed, with 4-*O*-methyl gallic acid present in half of the
247 subjects, and EGC, M4 and M6 hydroxyphenyl-valerolactones observed in fluid from 2
248 participants (Figure 1). Change from baseline was only statistically significant for benzoic
249 acid ($P = 0.03$). Benzoic acid and its 4-hydroxylated form were also detected in all biopsy
250 samples, whilst hippuric acid was only observed in 6 volunteers. Following supplementation,
251 4'-*O*-methylated EGC (n=4), EGC (n=1), EC (n=2), EGCG (n=1) and 4-*O*-methyl gallic acid
252 (n=2), were observed in the dermis of certain volunteers.

253

254 **UVR erythema dose-response**

255 The median MED was 35 mJ/cm^2 at baseline and this was unchanged post-supplementation.
256 Dose-response analysis showed a small increase in D_{30} from a mean $\pm\text{SD}$ of 28.0 ± 7.7
257 mJ/cm^2 at baseline to 32.9 ± 11.0 mJ/cm^2 post supplementation although this did not reach
258 statistical significance ($P = 0.17$). However, GTC supplementation resulted in a significant
259 decrease in erythema at the maximum UVR dose given (68 mJ/cm^2 erythemally weighted
260 UVR) with ΔE falling from 100.2 ± 21.4 at baseline to 81.2 ± 23.2 post-supplementation ($P =$
261 0.006; Figure 2a). Area under curve analysis of the UVR-erythema dose-response showed a
262 significant reduction in the erythema response post-supplementation ($P = 0.037$; Figure 2b).

263

264 **Production of PGE₂**

265 Pre-supplementation, mean $\pm\text{SD}$ concentration of PGE₂ in blister fluid from unexposed skin
266 was 49.1 ± 34.9 $\text{pg}/\mu\text{L}$. **Production of PGE₂ significantly increased by ~2.3-fold following**

267 exposure to 3 x MED UVR ($P = 0.003$; Figure 3a). Post-supplementation, PGE₂ in unexposed
268 skin was similar to baseline (47.5 ± 30.5 pg/ μ L). Exposure to the same UVR dose as at
269 baseline produced a significant rise in PGE₂ (~2.4-fold; $P = 0.001$), with no significant
270 difference in PGE₂ concentration between exposed skin at baseline and post-supplementation.

271

272 **Production of 12-HETE**

273 Pre-supplementation, the concentration of 12-HETE was significantly ~5-fold higher in
274 UVR-exposed skin compared to unexposed skin ($P = 0.0001$). Following supplementation,
275 the UVR-induced rise in 12-HETE was ~2.7-fold ($P = 0.004$; Figure 3b), with significantly
276 lower concentration of 12-HETE in UVR-exposed skin compared to baseline ($P = 0.01$), and
277 no significance difference in unexposed skin.

278

279 **DISCUSSION**

280 This human oral intervention study is novel in several respects: it evaluates cutaneous uptake
281 of catechins and catechin metabolites, measures the impact of low dose green tea
282 supplementation on pro-inflammatory UVR challenges to the skin, and examines the
283 potential for protection through reduction of pro-inflammatory eicosanoid production. Our
284 data provide the first evidence that GTC can be taken up into the skin following oral intake in
285 humans, and indicate their complex skin incorporation pattern. Significant reduction was
286 found in the cutaneous UVR-erythema dose-response, with greatest effect at higher doses,
287 and this reduced inflammation may be attributable to the associated significant abrogation of
288 UVR-upregulation of the potent pro-inflammatory 12-LOX metabolite, 12-HETE. In
289 contrast, no evidence was found for mediation of the protection conferred by GTC through an
290 impact on the COX-2 metabolite PGE₂.

291 The finding that GTC protect against UVR-induced erythema in humans is supported
292 by previous studies of its topical application^(13, 14) and a recent oral study⁽¹⁵⁾. In the latter,
293 volunteers consumed a green tea beverage providing a much higher dose of 1402 mg
294 catechins/day for 12 weeks and this protected against the threshold erythema induced by the
295 single UVR dose tested. We found a small (non-statistically significant) effect at the
296 threshold value D₃₀ and demonstrated how oral supplementation with GTC can protect
297 against the inflammation produced over a range of higher UVR doses, such as can be
298 achieved when individuals over-expose themselves to sunlight. Since one large cup of green
299 tea (250 ml) contains approximately 300 mg of catechins (EC, ECG, EGC and EGCG) then

300 the modest level of GTC intake in our study, i.e. approximately 540 mg, is seen to be readily
301 achievable in daily life, and this is already consumed in many parts of the world.

302 Compliance with supplement ingestion was confirmed by demonstration of the
303 urinary content of all four major categories of catechins in GTC, in all but one completing
304 volunteer who was then excluded. As expected, the predominant intact catechins found in
305 urine were not gallate esters, and the bioavailability of EC and EGC was in-line with reported
306 studies^(29, 30). **GTC intervention resulted in a significant increase in the excretion of the
307 majority of intact catechins from baseline at day 1, and throughout the 12-week study, with
308 no apparent accumulation or adaptive response during this time. However, the excretion of
309 several general polyphenol breakdown products, including hippuric, benzoic and syringic
310 acids, were only significantly elevated from baseline after 12 weeks intervention.** Hippuric
311 acid has previously been reported as the primary urinary metabolite following both green and
312 black tea intervention, with participants excreting 3.8 ± 0.3 and 4.2 ± 0.3 mmol/24 h
313 respectively, following a 6g/day intervention with tea solids⁽³¹⁾. Whilst hippuric acid was
314 indeed the major urinary metabolite detected in our study (5.3 ± 1.7 mmol/24 h post
315 supplementation), its significant increase from baseline (**at week 12**) was only in the order of
316 ~30%. Hippuric acid is a terminal metabolite of benzoic acid, which itself is a colonic
317 breakdown product common to various phenolic substances. Hippuric acid excretion is
318 therefore not unique to GTC *per se*, and its use as a biomarker of catechin consumption in
319 free-living populations is limited. Hydroxyphenyl-valerolactones are catechin metabolites
320 produced by colonic ring fission: M4 and M6' are predominantly derived from EGC, and M6
321 from EC⁽²⁷⁾. Previously, Lee et al⁽³⁰⁾ reported M6 as accounting for 11.2% of EC dose in 8
322 human subjects, although considerable variability was observed in M6 plasma levels. **Urinary
323 M4 was reported to account for just 1.4% of the EGC dose. In our study, M6 accounted for
324 ~24% of EC dose on average at week 12, with M4 and M6' accounting for ~4% and ~3% of
325 the EGC dose. Levels of hydroxyphenyl-valerolactone excretion were significantly increased
326 compared to baseline at day 1 and throughout the 12 week intervention, without a significant
327 change in the level of excretion between acute and chronic GTC consumption. Therefore, we
328 propose that these compounds may therefore serve as a useful biomarker of EC and EGC
329 intake, over both the short and long term.**

330 Detecting polyphenols and metabolites in tissues is a challenge since they bind to
331 proteins, are at low levels and extraction methods are in development. We discovered that
332 benzoic acid, its 4-hydroxyl form and its glycine-conjugate hippuric acid were typically
333 present in both skin blister fluid and dermis. Wide inter-individual differences in oral

334 bioavailability and metabolism of polyphenols in foods are commonly reported^(30, 32).
335 Consistent with this, intact catechins, gallic acids and catechin ring-fission products were
336 observed in the skin fluid and dermal samples of some, but not all volunteers following GTC
337 supplementation. However, significant post-supplement increases in blister fluid benzoic acid
338 content indicates that volunteers experienced an increase in polyphenol metabolites in the
339 target area as a consequence of GTC intervention, at least partially derived from metabolism
340 by colonic microflora.

341 The reduced inflammatory response to UVR on GTC was associated with significant
342 reduction in UVR-induction of the hydroxy fatty acid 12-HETE, the most abundant pro-
343 inflammatory eicosanoid induced in human skin by UVR exposure. As well as being a
344 leucocyte chemoattractant, this potent keratinocyte-derived mediator has been shown to cause
345 a dose-related erythema when applied to human skin *in vivo*⁽³³⁾. While more attention has
346 focused on the role of PGE₂ in mediating erythema, COX-2 inhibitors only partially suppress
347 UVR-erythema whilst completely suppressing UVR-induced PGE₂⁽³⁴⁾, and LOX-derived
348 mediators could also contribute⁽³⁵⁾. Promotion of neutrophil and mononuclear cell migration
349 into the dermis by 12-HETE may further augment the dermal vasodilatation and leucocytic
350 infiltration through neutrophil release of vasodilatory nitric oxide, reactive oxygen species
351 and chemokines⁽³⁶⁾. Other antioxidant and cell signalling activities of GTC may also
352 contribute to reduction of UVR-inflammation^(1, 9), including through modulation of
353 transcription factor NF- κ B⁽³⁷⁾, nitric oxide^(19, 38) and reduced formation/enhanced repair of
354 UVR-induced DNA damage^(10, 14, 39).

355 Our data indicate a direct effect of oral GTC on 12-LOX and/or possibly CYP
356 isoforms producing 12-HETE following UVR, but not on COX-2 (Figure 4). This contrasts
357 with studies in prostate and colon cancer cell lines, where the most abundant polyphenolic
358 compound in tea, EGCG, inhibited protein and/or mRNA expression of COX-2^(40, 41).
359 However, EGCG, EGC and ECG are reported to inhibit LOX activity in colonic mucosa⁽¹⁶⁾
360 and EC to inhibit activity of human platelet 12-LOX⁽¹⁷⁾. Topical green tea polyphenols (1-24
361 mg in 200 μ L acetone) in mice reduced the activity of both LOX and COX enzymes after 12-
362 *O*-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate-induced tumour production, resulting in decreased PGE₂
363 and 12-HETE production⁽⁴²⁾. Differences in findings are not unexpected between
364 experimental models and human skin *in vivo*, and the catechin dose applied might also
365 influence outcomes^(43, 44).

366 Ultraviolet radiation is the principal aetiological factor in the majority of skin cancers,
367 through its actions as a tumour-promoter, as well as an initiator of DNA damage that can lead

368 to mutagenesis, and repeated acute UVR insults to the skin are a risk factor for skin cancer
369 development. Interestingly, 12-HETE is over-expressed in a variety of human tumours,
370 including skin cancer, and it has tumour promoting ability which is thought to be conveyed
371 by its anti-apoptotic and angiogenic properties ^(45, 46). Moreover, inhibitors of 12-HETE are
372 successful in protecting against tumorigenesis in cancer cell lines ⁽⁴⁷⁾. This adds to other
373 evidence suggesting GTC may have potential for development as an effective and safe
374 chemopreventive agent in humans, as in murine UVR-induced skin tumours ⁽⁹⁾.

375 In summary, this work indicates that following oral ingestion, green tea catechin
376 metabolites reach the skin target organ in humans, and that they suppress the biosynthesis of
377 eicosanoid 12-HETE and sunburn erythema induced by pro-inflammatory UVR challenges.
378 Manipulation of pro-inflammatory signalling pathways through supplementation with
379 nutritional bioactives is an attractive strategy for photoprotection in humans, and may
380 represent a complementary approach to topical sunscreens which are infrequently and
381 generally poorly applied ⁽⁴⁸⁾. Further studies are indicated to assess 12-LOX as a molecular
382 target of oral GTC in human skin, alongside scrutiny for their potential longer-term
383 photoprotective benefit.

384 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

385 Supported by grant BB/G005575/1 from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research
386 Council (BBSRC) Diet and Health Research Industry Club (DRINC). We thank Begonia
387 Batolomé (Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación CSIC-UAM, Madrid)
388 for the kind provision of M6 hydroxyphenyl-valerolactone standard, and Andrew Healey
389 (Analytical Centre, University of Bradford) for excellent technical assistance.
390 LER, GW, AN and REBW designed the research; GD, KAM, KAC, TPD and SB conducted
391 the research; GD, KAM, KAC, TPD, MDF and AN analyzed data; LER, GD and MDF wrote
392 the paper and all authors contributed to later drafts; LER, GW and AN had primary
393 responsibility for final content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. None of
394 the authors declared a conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Swindells K & Rhodes LE (2004) Influence of oral antioxidants on ultraviolet radiation-induced skin damage in humans. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed* **20**, 297-304.
2. Hawk JL, Murphy GM & Holden CA (1988) The presence of neutrophils in human cutaneous ultraviolet-B inflammation. *Br J Dermatol*, **118**, 27-30.
3. Strickland I, Rhodes LE, Flanagan BF *et al.* (1997) TNF- α and IL-8 are upregulated in the epidermis of normal human skin after UVB exposure: correlation with neutrophil accumulation and E-selectin expression. *J Invest Dermatol* **108**, 763-8.
4. Rhodes LE, Gledhill K, Masoodi M *et al.* (2009) The sunburn response in human skin is characterized by sequential eicosanoid profiles that may mediate its early and late phases. *FASEB J* **23**, 3947-56.
5. Navarro-Peran E, Cabezas-Herrera J, Sanchez-Del-Campo L *et al.* (2008) The anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer properties of epigallocatechin-3-gallate are mediated by folate cycle disruption, adenosine release and NF-kappaB suppression. *Inflamm Res* **57**, 472-8.
6. Rahman I, Biswas SK & Kirkham PA (2006) Regulation of inflammation and redox signaling by dietary polyphenols. *Biochem Pharmacol* **72**, 1439-52.
7. Lambert JD & Elias RJ (2010) The antioxidant and pro-oxidant activities of green tea polyphenols: A role in cancer prevention. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **501**, 65-72.
8. Hooper L, Kroon PA, Rimm EB (2008) Flavonoids, flavonoid-rich foods, and cardiovascular risk: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Clin Nutr* **88**, 38-50.
9. Afaq F & Mukhtar H (2006) Botanical antioxidants in the prevention of photocarcinogenesis and photoaging. *Exp Dermatol* **15**, 678-84.
10. Meeran SM, Akhtar S & Katiyar SK (2009) Inhibition of UVB-induced skin tumor development by drinking green tea polyphenols is mediated through DNA repair and subsequent inhibition of inflammation. *J Invest Dermatol* **129**, 1258-70.
11. Neveu V, Perez-Jimenez J, Vos F *et al.* (2010) Phenol-Explorer: an online comprehensive database on polyphenol contents in foods. *Database (Oxford)*. **2010**, bap024..
12. Afaq F, Ahmad N & Mukhtar H (2003) Suppression of UVB-induced phosphorylation of mitogen-activated protein kinases and nuclear factor kappa B by green tea polyphenol in SKH-1 hairless mice. *Oncogene* **22**, 9254-64.

13. Katiyar SK, Matsui MS, Elmets CA *et al.* (1999) Polyphenolic antioxidant (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate from green tea reduces UVB-induced inflammatory responses and infiltration of leukocytes in human skin. *Photochem Photobiol* **69**, 148-53.
14. Katiyar SK, Perez A & Mukhtar H (2000) Green tea polyphenol treatment to human skin prevents formation of ultraviolet light B-induced pyrimidine dimers in DNA. *Clin Cancer Res* **6**, 3864-9.
15. Heinrich U, Moore CE, De Spirt S *et al.* (2011) Green tea polyphenols provide photoprotection, increase microcirculation, and modulate skin properties of women. *J Nutr* **141**, 1202-8.
16. Hong J, Smith TJ, Ho CT *et al.* (2001) Effects of purified green and black tea polyphenols on cyclooxygenase and lipoxygenase-dependent metabolism of arachidonic acid in human colon mucosa and colon tumour tissues. *Biochem Pharmacol* **62**, 1175-83.
17. Schewe T, Sadik C, Klotz LO *et al.* (2001) Polyphenols of cocoa: inhibition of mammalian 15-lipoxygenase. *Biol Chem* **382**, 1687-96.
18. Singh T & Katiyar SK (2011) Green tea catechins reduce invasive potential of human melanoma cells by targeting COX-2, PGE₂ receptors and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. *PLoS One* **6**, e25224.
19. Rhodes LE, Belgi G, Parslew R *et al.* (2001) Ultraviolet-B-induced erythema is mediated by nitric oxide and prostaglandin E₂ in combination. *J Invest Dermatol* **117**, 880-5.
20. Pilkington SM, Watson RE, Nicolaou A *et al.* (2011) Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids: photoprotective macronutrients. *Exp Dermatol* **20**, 537-43.
21. Chen ZY, Zhu QY, Wong YF *et al.* (1998) Stabilizing effect of ascorbic acid on green tea catechins. *J Agr Food Chem* **46**, 2512-6.
22. McArdle F, Rhodes LE, Parslew R *et al.* (2002) UVR-induced oxidative stress in human skin in vivo: effects of vitamin C supplementation. *Free Rad Biol Med* **33**, 1355-62.
23. Masoodi M & Nicolaou A (2006) Lipidomic analysis of twenty-seven prostanoids and isoprostanes by liquid chromatography/electrospray tandem mass spectrometry. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* **20**, 3023-9.
24. Masoodi M, Mir AA, Petasis NA *et al.* (2008) Simultaneous lipidomic analysis of three families of bioactive lipid mediators leukotrienes, resolvins, protectins and related

- hydroxy-fatty acids by liquid chromatography/electrospray tandem mass spectrometry. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* **22**, 75-83.
25. Li C, Lee MJ, Sheng S *et al.* (2000) Structural identification of two metabolites of catechins and their kinetics in human urine and blood after tea ingestion. *Chem Res Toxicol* **13**, 177-84.
 26. Chu KO, Wang CC, Chu CY *et al.* (2004) Determination of catechins and catechin gallates in tissues by liquid chromatography with coulometric array detection and selective solid phase extraction. *J Chrom B* **810**, 187-95.
 27. Sang S, Lee MJ, Yang I *et al.* (2008) Human urinary metabolite profile of tea polyphenols analyzed by liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry with data-dependent acquisition. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* **22**, 1567-78.
 28. Sanchez-Patan F, Chioua M, Garrido I *et al.* (2011) Synthesis, analytical features, and biological relevance of 5-(3',4'-dihydroxyphenyl)-gamma-valerolactone, a microbial metabolite derived from the catabolism of dietary flavan-3-ols. *J Agric Food Chem* **59**, 7083-91.
 29. Van Amelsvoort JJM, Van Het Hof KH, Mathot JNJJ *et al.* (2001) Plasma concentrations of individual tea catechins after a single oral dose in humans. *Xenobiotica* **31**, 891-901.
 30. Lee MJ, Maliakal P, Chen L *et al.* (2002) Pharmacokinetics of tea catechins after ingestion of green tea and (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate by humans: formation of different metabolites and individual variability. *Cancer Epidem Biomar* **11**, 1025-32.
 31. Mulder TP, Rietveld AG & van Amelsvoort JM (2005) Consumption of both black tea and green tea results in an increase in the excretion of hippuric acid into urine. *Am J Clin Nutr* **81**, 256S-60S.
 32. Hong YJ & Mitchell AE (2004) Metabolic profiling of flavonol metabolites in human urine by liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry. *J Agric Food Chem* **52**, 6794-801.
 33. Wollard PM, Cunningham FM, Murphy GM *et al.* (1989) A comparison of the proinflammatory effects of 12(R)- and 12(S)-hydroxy-5,8,10,14-eicosatetraenoic acid in human skin. *Prostaglandins* **38**, 465-71.
 34. Kozuka T, Francis DM & Greaves MW (1983) Arachidonic acid metabolites and the skin. *Ann Acad Med Singapore* **12**, 87-91.

35. Ruzicka T (1992) The role of the epidermal 12-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid receptor in the skin. *Eicosanoids* **5**, S63-5.
36. Dowd PM, Kobza Black A *et al.* (1985) Cutaneous responses to 12-hydroxy-5,8,10,14-eicosatetraenoic acid (12-HETE). *J Invest Dermatol* **84**, 537-41.
37. Luo D, Min W, Lin XF *et al.* (2006) Effect of epigallocatechingallate on ultraviolet B-induced photodamage in a keratinocyte cell line. *Am J Chin Med* **34**, 911-22.
38. Galleano M, Pechanova O & Fraga CG (2010) Hypertension, nitric oxide, oxidants, and dietary plant polyphenols. *Curr Pharm Biotechnol* **11**, 837-48.
39. Nichols JA & Katiyar SK (2010) Skin photoprotection by natural polyphenols: anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and DNA repair mechanisms. *Arch Dermatol Res* **302**, 71-83.
40. Hussain T, Gupta S, Adhami VM *et al.* (2005) Green tea constituent epigallocatechin-3-gallate selectively inhibits COX-2 without affecting COX-1 expression in human prostate carcinoma cells. *Int J Cancer* **113**, 660-9.
41. Peng G, Dixon DA, Muga SJ *et al.* (2006) Green tea polyphenol (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate inhibits cyclooxygenase-2 expression in colon carcinogenesis. *Mol Carcinogenesis* **45**, 309-19.
42. Katiyar SK, Agarwal R, Wood GS *et al.* (1992) Inhibition of 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate-caused tumor promotion in 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene-initiated SENCAR mouse skin by a polyphenolic fraction isolated from green tea. *Cancer Res* **52**, 6890-7.
43. Ahmad N, Feyes DK, Nieminen AL *et al.* (1997) Green tea constituent epigallocatechin-3-gallate and induction of apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in human carcinoma cells. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **89**, 1881-6.
44. Ahmad N, Gupta S & Mukhtar H (2000) Green tea polyphenol epigallocatechin-3-gallate differentially modulates nuclear factor κ B in cancer cells versus normal cells. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **376**, 338-46.
45. Tang DG, Chen YQ & Honn KV (1996) Arachidonate lipoxygenases as essential regulators of cell survival and apoptosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **93**, 5241-6.
46. Winer I, Normolle DP, Shureiqi I *et al.* (2002) Expression of 12-lipoxygenase as a biomarker for melanoma carcinogenesis. *Melanoma Res* **12**, 429-34.
47. Greene ER, Huang S, Serhan CN *et al.* (2011) Regulation of inflammation in cancer by eicosanoids. *Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat* **96**, 27-36.

48. Rhodes LE & Diffey BL (1996) Quantitative assessment of sunscreen application technique by in vivo fluorescence spectroscopy. *J Soc Cosmet Chem* **47**, 109-15.

TABLE 1Catechin and gallic acid content of green tea extract¹

GTC	mg/450 mg capsule
Gallic acid	0.4 ± 0.0
Catechin	2.1 ± 0.0
Epicatechin	12.5 ± 0.2
Gallocatechin	12.4 ± 0.6
Epigallocatechin	49.3 ± 3.9
Catechin gallate	0.3 ± 0.0
Epicatechin gallate	26.0 ± 0.2
Gallocatechin gallate	4.5 ± 0.4
Epigallocatechin gallate	72.6 ± 3.1
Total	180.0 ± 8.3

¹ Values are mean ±SD. Contents of 3 capsules were homogenized and extracted in triplicate.

TABLE 2

Green tea catechins and their metabolites significantly increased in urine post-supplementation (n=13)

Compound	Amount excreted in urine (µmol)							
	Baseline		Day One		Week 6		Week 12	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
EC ¹	0.3	0.4	7 ⁵	4	5 ⁵	4	7 ⁵	5
3'- <i>O</i> -methyl EC ¹	0.06	0.08	0.6 ⁵	0.3	0.5 ⁵	0.4	0.6 ⁵	0.3
4'- <i>O</i> -methyl EC ¹	0.04	0.05	0.2 ⁴	0.2	0.2 ⁴	0.2	0.3 ⁵	0.2
ECG	0.000	0.002	0.01 ⁵	0.01	0.02 ⁵	0.01	0.01 ⁴	0.01
EGC ¹	0.2	0.4	22 ⁵	13	20 ⁵	16	25 ⁵	20
3'- <i>O</i> -methyl EGC ¹	0.01	0.04	0.2 ⁵	0.1	0.2 ⁵	0.2	0.2 ⁵	0.2
4'- <i>O</i> -methyl EGC ¹	0	0	8 ⁴	8	8 ⁴	9	9 ⁴	8
EGCG	0.00	0.01	0.06 ⁵	0.05	0.06 ⁵	0.04	0.08 ⁴	0.09
Catechin	0.01	0.02	0.2 ⁵	0.1	0.1 ⁵	0.1	0.2 ⁵	0.2
Gallocatechin	0	0	0.4 ⁴	0.5	0.3 ³	0.5	0.6 ⁴	0.6
Gallocatechin gallate	0	0	0.003	0.00	0	0	0.01 ⁵	0.02
Gallic acid	0.6	0.7	1	1	0.7 ³	0.5	1 ⁴	1
3- <i>O</i> -methyl gallic acid	0.6	0.6	1	1	0.9	0.8	1 ³	1
3-hydroxybenzoic acid	1	1	2	2	2	3	4 ³	4
M4 valerolactone ^{1,2}	0.3	0.4	30 ⁴	27	18 ³	25	21 ⁴	21
M6' valerolactone ²	0.5	0.7	18 ⁴	16	12 ⁴	13	15 ⁴	15
M6 valerolactone	10	12	33 ⁴	25	27 ³	28	31 ³	24
Syringic acid	2	1	4	5	3	2	4 ³	4
Benzoic acid	81	83	95	60	101	132	140 ³	120
Hippuric acid	4000	2200	5100	2500	4300	1900	5300 ³	1700

¹ Increased excretion of metabolite from baseline to week 12 in 100% of subjects

² M4 and M6' hydroxyphenyl-valerolactone calculated as M6 equivalents

³ $P < 0.001$ (2-tailed paired t-test), from baseline

⁴ $P < 0.01$ (2-tailed paired t-test), from baseline

⁵ $P < 0.05$ (2-tailed paired t-test), from baseline

TABLE 3

Presence of green tea catechins and their metabolites in skin blister fluid and tissue samples post-supplementation (week 12; n=10)¹

Compound	Skin blister fluid		Skin biopsy	
	Change from average baseline value	Detected in n participants	Change from average baseline value	Detected in n participants
Benzoic acid	+36% ²	10	ND	10
4-OH-benzoic acid	ND	10	ND	10
Hippuric acid	ND	10	ND	6
4- <i>O</i> -Me-gallic acid	ND	5	ND	2
EC	-	-	PPS	2
EGC	PPS	2	PPS	1
EGC-4-Me	-	-	PPS	4
EGCG	-	-	PPS	1
M4 valerolactone	PPS	2	-	-
M6 valerolactone	PPS	2	-	-

¹ Paired t-test performed only for compounds present in all subjects. EC, epicatechin; ECG, epicatechin-3-*O*-gallate; EGC, epigallocatechin; EGCG, epigallocatechin-3-*O*-gallate; ND, no significant difference; PPS, only present post-supplementation.

² $P = 0.03$ (2-tailed paired t-test) compared with baseline.

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1. LC-MS/MS total ion current chromatogram of major compounds in skin fluid (A) and dermal skin tissue extract (B) post green tea catechin supplementation (week 12). Peak identities and multiple reaction monitoring m/z transitions are 1. M4 hydroxyphenyl-valerolactone (223>179); 2. 4-hydroxybenzoic acid (137>93); 3. Hippuric acid (178>134); 4. 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid (153>109); 5. M6 hydroxyphenyl-valerolactone (207>163); 6. Epicatechin (289>245); 7. 3-(3'-hydroxyphenyl)-propionic acid (165>121); 8. Benzoic acid (121>77).

FIGURE 2. Impact of oral green tea catechins on UV radiation-induced erythema. Erythema response to solar simulated UV radiation at the D_{30} and the highest dose (68 mJ/cm^2), pre and post 12 weeks supplementation (A). UV radiation-erythema dose-response curves pre (circles) and post (squares) 12 weeks supplementation (B). Data are mean \pm SEM, $n=10$. $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$ (2-tailed paired t-test).

FIGURE 3. Concentration of PGE_2 (A; $n=10$) and 12-HETE (B; $n=14$) in skin fluid from unexposed skin and skin exposed to 3 x MED solar simulated UVR both pre- and post-supplementation for 12 weeks with green tea catechins. Data are mean \pm SEM. $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$, $***P < 0.001$ (2-tailed paired t-test for PGE_2 , Wilcoxon signed rank test for 12-HETE). HETE, hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid; MED, minimal erythema dose; PG, prostaglandin.

FIGURE 4. Schematic to illustrate proposed mechanism of the impact of GTC and metabolites on UV radiation-induced 12-HETE production. COX, cyclooxygenase; cPLA₂, cutaneous phospholipase A₂; GTC, green tea catechins; HETE, hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid; LOX, lipoxygenase.







