

*promoting access to White Rose research papers*



**Universities of Leeds, Sheffield and York**  
**<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>**

---

This is the Author's Accepted version of an article published in **Human  
Reproduction**

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/78300>

---

**Published article:**

Alwan, NA, Greenwood, DC, Simpson, NAB, McArdle, HJ, Godfrey, KM and Cade, JE (2011) *Dietary iron intake during early pregnancy and birth outcomes in a cohort of British women*. Human Reproduction, 26 (4). 911 - 919. ISSN 0268-1161

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/humrep/der005>

---

1 TITLE: DIETARY IRON INTAKE DURING EARLY PREGNANCY AND BIRTH  
2 OUTCOMES IN A COHORT OF BRITISH WOMEN

3

4 Corresponding author:

5 Dr Nisreen A Alwan MBChB MSc MPH MRCP MFPH

6 Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Fellow

7 Nutritional Epidemiology Group

8 Centre for Epidemiology & Biostatistics

9 University of Leeds

10 School of Food Science and Nutrition

11 Leeds LS2 9JT

12 United Kingdom

13 Tel: +44 113 343 6990

14 E-mail: N.Alwan@leeds.ac.uk

15

16 Co-authors:

17 Dr Darren C Greenwood, Senior Lecturer, Division of Biostatistics, Centre for Epidemiology  
18 & Biostatistics, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

19 Mr Nigel A B Simpson, Senior Lecturer, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology,  
20 University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

21 Professor Harry J McArdle, Deputy Director (Science), Rowett Institute of Nutrition and  
22 Health, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK

23 Professor Keith Godfrey, Professor of Epidemiology and Human Development, MRC  
24 Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, and Deputy Director Southampton NIHR Nutrition, Diet &  
25 Lifestyle Biomedical Research Unit, Southampton, UK

26 Professor Janet E Cade, Head of the Nutritional Epidemiology Group, Centre for  
27 Epidemiology & Biostatistics, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

28

29

30 ABSTRACT

31 BACKGROUND

32 Iron deficiency during pregnancy is associated with adverse birth outcomes, particularly, if  
33 present during early gestation. Iron supplements are widely recommended during  
34 pregnancy, but evidence of their benefit in relation to infant outcomes is not established.  
35 This study was performed in the UK, where iron supplements are not routinely  
36 recommended during pregnancy, to investigate the association between iron intake in  
37 pregnancy and size at birth.

38 METHODS

39 From a prospective cohort of 1274 pregnant women aged 18–45 years, dietary intake was  
40 reported in a 24-h recall administered by a research midwife at 12-week gestation. Dietary  
41 supplement intake was ascertained using dietary recall and three questionnaires in the first,  
42 second and third trimesters.

43 RESULTS

44 Of the cohort of pregnant women, 80% reported dietary iron intake below the UK Reference  
45 Nutrient Intake of 14.8 mg/day. Those reported taking iron-containing supplements in the  
46 first, second and third trimesters were 24, 15 and 8%, respectively. Women with dietary iron  
47 intake >14.8 mg/day were more likely to be older, have a higher socioeconomic profile and  
48 take supplements during the first trimester. Vegetarians were less likely to have low dietary  
49 iron intake [odds ratio = 0.5, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.4, 0.8] and more likely to take  
50 supplements during the first and second trimesters. Total iron intake, but not iron intake from  
51 food only, was associated with birthweight centile (adjusted change = 2.5 centiles/10 mg  
52 increase in iron, 95% CI: 0.4, 4.6). This association was stronger in the high vitamin C intake  
53 group, but effect modification was not significant.

54 CONCLUSION

55 There was a positive relationship between total iron intake, from food and supplements, in  
56 early pregnancy and birthweight. Iron intake, both from diet and supplements, during the first  
57 trimester of pregnancy was higher in vegetarians and women with a better socioeconomic  
58 profile.

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

## 75 INTRODUCTION

76

77 Iron deficiency during pregnancy is still common in developed countries (1-4). It is  
78 associated with adverse birth outcomes such as small for gestational age (SGA), preterm  
79 birth and delayed offspring neurological development, particularly if present during the first  
80 half of pregnancy (5-9). There is evidence from animal studies that low iron intake during  
81 pregnancy adversely affects the offspring's blood pressure, obesity levels and other  
82 cardiovascular outcomes in the long-term (10-14). Iron supplements are widely  
83 recommended and used during pregnancy worldwide (15, 16). There are far more studies  
84 examining the effect of iron supplements during pregnancy than those measuring total  
85 dietary iron intake in the mother and investigating its association with birth outcomes (17-  
86 21). However, the evidence on what benefit iron supplements contribute to infant outcomes  
87 is still not established (22), and their routine use has its drawbacks such as gastrointestinal  
88 side effects and interactions with other micronutrients especially if taken as part of a  
89 multivitamin-mineral supplement (23-26). Iron supplements can also reduce the absorption  
90 of dietary non-haem iron (24), and can increase oxidative stress and the production of free  
91 radicals (27, 28). Therefore, they are not routinely recommended during pregnancy in the  
92 UK (29).

93 In the USA, dietary iron intake of 27 mg/day during pregnancy is recommended (30). In the  
94 US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III), median iron intake in  
95 pregnant women was 15 mg/day (31). In the UK, the Reference Nutrient Intake (RNI) for  
96 women aged 19-50 years is 14.8 mg/day, and Lower Reference Nutrient Intake (LRNI) is 8  
97 mg/day, with no specific recommended increment during pregnancy (32). The RNI is the  
98 amount of a nutrient that is enough to ensure that the needs of 97.5% of the population are  
99 being met. LRNI is the amount adequate for only the small number of people who have low  
100 requirements (2.5%) (32). The mean daily dietary intake of total iron from the 2001 National

101 Diet and Nutrition Survey (NDNS) in Great Britain was 10 mg for women aged 19-64 years  
102 (33). Around 25% of women aged 19-64 years, 41% of women aged < 34 years, and 53% of  
103 women receiving income-benefits had daily dietary iron intakes less than the LRNI. Such  
104 low levels of iron intake were also seen in other European countries such as Denmark (34).  
105 There is evidence from nutritional surveys in the UK and Norway that women's dietary  
106 patterns change little with pregnancy (35, 36). In the latter survey, 96% of pregnant women  
107 had an iron intake < 18 mg/day with an average iron intake of 11 mg /day (36). In order to  
108 meet the iron demand in pregnancy, women would need to make considerable changes in  
109 their dietary pattern which some argue to be unrealistic, hence the recommendation of iron  
110 supplements. However, it has been shown that iron transfer to the foetus is better in non-  
111 iron-supplemented than in supplemented women (37).

112 Dietary iron occurs in two forms: haem and non-haem. About 95% of iron in the average  
113 British diet is in the form of non-haem iron(38). The extent to which non-haem iron is  
114 absorbed is highly variable and depends on the individual's iron status and other dietary  
115 components. Ascorbic acid enhances non-haem iron absorption when consumed as part of  
116 a meal (39), while high calcium intakes during pregnancy might reduce non-haem iron  
117 absorption leading to iron deficiency (40). Haem iron comes mainly from meat. It has a  
118 higher bioavailability and is well-absorbed. Its absorption is further facilitated by organic  
119 compounds present in meat called meat-factors (39). Unlike non-haem iron, haem iron  
120 absorption is influenced little by other dietary constituents. It also enhances non-haem iron  
121 absorption from other foods consumed at the same time. Recent evidence suggests that  
122 haem and non-haem iron may have different associations with individual health outcomes  
123 (41).

124 Results of studies investigating the relationship between dietary maternal iron intake during  
125 pregnancy and size at birth and/or gestational age are conflicting (9, 42-51). Many studies

126 that assessed total iron intake did not model the relationships separately for iron from food  
127 and that from dietary supplements. Neither did they consider the potential differential effects  
128 of haem and non-haem iron. One study assessed the relationship between ascorbic acid  
129 and anaemia and well as vitamin C intake and iron status (9), however the potential  
130 interaction between iron intake and and the vitamin C intake and other micronutrients has  
131 not been explored (52). The aims of this study were to investigate the association between  
132 maternal iron intake during early pregnancy and both birthweight and gestational age, to  
133 assess whether any relationships differ by source of iron (food versus dietary supplements)  
134 or by type of iron (haem versus non-haem), and to explore the role of vitamin C intake as an  
135 effect modifier.

## 136 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 137 STUDY DESIGN AND PARTICIPANTS

138 The Caffeine and Reproductive Health (CARE) study is a prospective birth cohort in which  
139 low-risk pregnant women aged 18-45 years with singleton pregnancies were prospectively  
140 recruited at 8 to 12 weeks gestation from the Leeds Teaching Hospitals maternity units  
141 between 2003 and 2006. This was part of a multicentre study into maternal diet and birth  
142 outcomes. Women with concurrent medical disorders, psychiatric illness, HIV infection, or  
143 hepatitis B infection were excluded. Eligible women were identified by screening their pre-  
144 booking maternity notes. They were then sent detailed information about the study and were  
145 asked to return a reply slip to state whether they were willing to take part. Those who agreed  
146 to participate were then interviewed. This interview was conducted either at the hospital, the  
147 participant's general practice, or her home by a research midwife. Demographic details were  
148 obtained using a self-reported questionnaire. Information was obtained from the hospital  
149 maternity records on antenatal pregnancy complications and delivery details (gestational  
150 age at delivery, birthweight and sex of the baby). Data on haemoglobin (Hb) levels and

151 mean corpuscular volume (MCV) at 12 and 28 weeks pregnancy were available for a sub-  
152 sample of the cohort which was selected randomly from the main sample using study  
153 identification numbers. All women participating in the study gave informed written consent  
154 and the study was approved by the Leeds West Local Research Ethics Committee  
155 (reference number 03/054).

#### 156 ASSESSMENT OF DIET AND SUPPLEMENT USE

157 Supplement use was ascertained throughout pregnancy using questionnaires in the first,  
158 second and third trimesters. The questionnaires were interviewer-administered during the  
159 first (up to 12 weeks gestation) and third trimester (from 28 weeks gestation) and self-  
160 administered during the second trimester (13-27 weeks gestation). The respondents were  
161 asked to report the type/brand, frequency and the amount of all the dietary supplements  
162 they were using during each trimester.

163 Dietary and supplement intake was reported through a 24-hour dietary recall administered  
164 by a research midwife at 8-12 weeks gestation. Values for the proportion of haem iron in  
165 each type of meat were used to derive haem values for each of the food codes. These  
166 values were derived by recording the meat content of each product, together with food  
167 tables values (53), to calculate a weighted mean meat content of each food item consumed.  
168 A literature search was carried out to arrive at 'haem factors' for different animal products  
169 that reflect the haem iron content of these foods. Values derived from the Schricker and  
170 modified Schricker methods, and the Hornsey method were used to calculate mean values  
171 for haem iron (54, 55). These values were then used to generate total iron values for each  
172 relevant food (56). The non-haem iron values were derived as the difference between total  
173 iron from food tables (53) and calculated haem values. Total iron was derived from adding  
174 dietary intake and supplement intake as reported in the recall. Iron content of each  
175 supplement reported was added to the dietary intake multiplied by total number of



176 supplement tablets/capsules taken during the 24-hour recall. Vitamin C intake from the diet  
177 was reported in the 24-hour recall and categorized into above or equal to/below the RNI of  
178 50 mg/d.

#### 179 ASSESSMENT OF OUTCOMES

180 The two primary outcome measures were birthweight and preterm birth. Birthweight was  
181 measured in grams, and as expressed as customised centile using charts which take into  
182 account gestational age, maternal height, weight, ethnicity and parity, and neonatal  
183 birthweight and sex (57). Duration of gestation was calculated from the date of the last  
184 menstrual period, and confirmed by ultrasound scans dating at around 12 and 20 weeks  
185 gestation. Small for gestational age (SGA) was defined as less than the 10<sup>th</sup> centile for  
186 gestational age. Preterm birth was defined as delivery at less than 37 weeks (259 days)  
187 gestation.

188

#### 189 ASSESSMENT OF PARTICIPANTS CHARACTERISTICS

190 Socioeconomic status (SES) was assessed using the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD)  
191 score. The IMD 2007 combines a number of indicators (chosen to cover a range of  
192 economic, social and housing issues) into a single deprivation score for each small area in  
193 England. This allows each area to be ranked relative to one another according to their level  
194 of deprivation (58). IMD however, is an area, not an individual, deprivation measure.

195 Mothers' educational level, smoking status, alcohol intake, parity, ethnicity, pre-pregnancy  
196 weight, past history of miscarriage, long-term chronic illness and vegetarian diet were self-  
197 reported in a first-trimester questionnaire. Salivary cotinine levels were measured using an  
198 enzyme- linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Cozart Bioscience, Oxfordshire, UK).

199 Participants were classified on the basis of these cotinine concentrations as active smokers  
200 (>5 ng/ml), passive/occasional smokers (1-5 ng/ml), or non-smokers (<1 ng/ml) (59).

#### 201 STATISTICAL POWER CALCULATIONS

202 Comparing birthweights between mothers with dietary iron intake of > 14.8 mg/day (the  
203 recommended UK RNI for women of childbearing age) to those with  $\leq$  14.8 mg/day during  
204 the first trimester of pregnancy, using the ratios of the low-intake to the high-intake group  
205 and the standard deviation for birthweight identified in this study (SD=577 g), we had 85%  
206 power to detect a difference of 120 g in birthweight between the two groups for  $P < 0.05$  and  
207 a two-sided test.

#### 208 STATISTICAL METHODS

209 Univariable comparisons were made using Student's t-test for continuous variables and chi-  
210 square test for categorical variables. Multiple linear regression using birthweight /  
211 customised birth centile as continuous outcomes, and unconditional logistic regression with  
212 preterm birth and SGA as binary outcomes were performed using STATA version 11  
213 (College Station, TX, 2009).

214 Analysis was undertaken using dietary iron intake as a continuous variable and a binary  
215 variable using the UK RNI cut-off of 14.8 mg/day. Total iron from diet and supplements,  
216 assessed by the 24-hour recall, was analysed as a continuous variable. Intake of iron-  
217 containing supplements was analysed as a binary variable. Maternal height, weight,  
218 ethnicity, parity, neonatal gestation at delivery and baby's sex were taken into account in the  
219 definition for customised birth centile, and were adjusted for in the model for birthweight.  
220 Statistical adjustment was also made for maternal age, salivary cotinine levels and alcohol  
221 consumption. Sensitivity analyses for the linear model were performed by excluding  
222 vegetarians from the model, and adding an interaction term for daily vitamin C intake in the

223 model. Subgroup analysis using the multiple linear model was performed using type of  
224 dietary iron (haem versus non-haem). Multiple linear regression was also used to explore  
225 the association between iron intake and Hb and MCV levels at 12 and 28 weeks of  
226 pregnancy.

## 227 RESULTS

### 228 IRON INTAKE

229 1257 women had dietary recall information in the first trimester. The mean dietary iron intake  
230 from food was 11.5 mg/day (SD =5.3) with only 20% (n=257) of women reporting intake >  
231 14.8 mg/day (95% CI: 18%, 23%). 24% of women reported iron intake  $\leq$  the UK LRNI of 8  
232 mg/day (95% CI: 22%, 27%). Only 4% reported a dietary iron intake of more than the US  
233 recommended intake during pregnancy of 27 mg/day (95% CI: 3%, 5%). Mean haem iron  
234 intake was 0.6 mg/day (SD=0.8). This estimate for haem iron changed little after excluding  
235 the 114 reported vegetarian participants (with a haem iron intake of zero). Mean non-haem  
236 iron intake was 10.9 mg/day (SD=5.2) (Table I).

237 20% of participants (95% CI: 18%, 22%) reported taking iron-containing supplements in the  
238 recall compared to 24% (95% CI: 22%, 26%) in the first trimester questionnaire (Kappa  
239 agreement = 0.85). 15% (95% CI: 13%, 18%) and 8% (95% CI: 7%, 10%) reported taking  
240 iron-containing supplements in the second and third trimester questionnaires respectively.  
241 Mean total iron intake from diet and supplements, as recorded in the recall, was 16.5  
242 mg/day (SD=21.1). 34% (95% CI: 32%, 37%) of women had an iron intake > 14.8mg/day  
243 from diet and supplements. Only 11 participants reported taking iron-only preparations in the  
244 recall, which were assumed to be the conventional therapeutic preparation with a dose of 65  
245 mg iron/tablet, and 5 reported taking a preparation of iron and folic acid which contains 100  
246 mg iron per dose. Median total iron excluding these 16 participants was 14.3 mg/day

247 (SD=8.4). Only 8, 21 and 29 participants reported taking iron-only supplements in the first,  
248 second and third trimester questionnaires respectively.

#### 249 CHARACTERISTICS OF WOMEN WITH HIGH VERSUS LOW IRON INTAKE GROUPS

250 Women with dietary iron intake > 14.8 mg/day were more likely to be older, report a higher  
251 total energy intake (Kcal/day), have a university degree, be vegetarian, and take daily  
252 supplements during the first trimester including iron-containing supplements. They were less  
253 likely to be smokers, live in an area with the worst IMD quartile, or have a long-term illness  
254 (Table II). Vegetarian participants were less likely to have dietary iron intake  $\leq$  14.8 mg/day  
255 (unadjusted OR=0.5, 95% CI: 0.4, 0.8, P=0.004). Vegetarians were also more likely to take  
256 iron-containing supplements during the first and second trimester (OR=2.9, 95% CI: 2.0, 4.3,  
257 P<0.0001 for the 1<sup>st</sup> trimester, OR=2.9, 95% CI: 1.9, 4.4, P<0.0001 for the 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester).

258

#### 259 BIRTH OUTCOMES

260 There were 1259 babies with information on birthweight. Mean birthweight was 3439 g  
261 (SD=577 g) with 4.4% babies weighing less than 2500 g (n=55). 13% (n=166) weighed less  
262 than the 10<sup>th</sup> centile, 8% (n=99) less than the 5<sup>th</sup> centile, and 5% (n=65) less than the 3<sup>rd</sup>  
263 centile. 9% of babies (n=118) weighed more than the 90<sup>th</sup> centile. Of the 1234 pregnancies  
264 with information on gestational age, 55 (4.5%) delivered before 37 weeks gestation.

#### 265 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BLOOD INDICES AND BIRTH OUTCOME

266 558 and 572 participants had information on haemoglobin (Hb) and mean corpuscular  
267 volume (MCV) at 12 and 28 weeks gestation respectively. Mean Hb was 12.7 g/dl (SD=0.9  
268 g/dl) at 12 weeks and 11.5 g/dl (SD=1 g/dl) at 28 weeks. The proportion of participants with  
269 Hb < 11 g/dl was 3% at 12 week and 23% at 28 weeks. Mean MCV was 90 fl (SD=5.0 fl) at  
270 12 weeks and 89 fl (SD=5.5 fl) at 28 weeks. There was no relationship between customised

271 birth centile or birthweight in grams and Hb/MCV at 12 or 28 weeks pregnancy in this study.  
272 Hb at 28 weeks was associated with SGA (unadjusted OR per g/dL increase in Hb =1.4,  
273 95% CI: 1.1, 1.8, P=0.02; OR adjusted for maternal age, salivary cotinine levels and alcohol  
274 intake =1.4, 95% CI: 1, 1.8, P=0.03). Adjusting for dietary iron intake did not alter this  
275 relationship.

#### 276 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BLOOD INDICES AND DIETARY INTAKE

277 There was no relationship between Hb/MCV at 12 or 28 weeks pregnancy with dietary iron  
278 intake in the first trimester. However, there was a positive relationship between taking iron-  
279 containing supplements as reported in the first trimester questionnaire and Hb at 12 and 28  
280 weeks, and MCV at 28 weeks. The relationship remained significant for Hb at 12 and 28  
281 weeks after adjusting for maternal age, ethnicity, parity, educational attainment, vegetarian  
282 diet, and IMD score in multiple linear regression model. Taking iron-containing supplements  
283 in the second trimester was also positively associated with Hb at 28 weeks (Table III).

284

#### 285 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN IRON INTAKE AND BIRTHWEIGHT

286 Dietary iron intake from food was significantly related to birthweight measured on the  
287 customised birth centile (unadjusted change per 10 mg/day increase in dietary iron intake  
288 during the first trimester = 5.2 centile points, 95% CI: 2.2, 8.2, P=0.001). Adjusting for  
289 maternal age, salivary cotinine levels and alcohol intake attenuated this relationship  
290 (adjusted change = 3.1 centile points, 95% CI: -0.2, 6.3, P=0.07) (Table IV). The estimate  
291 changed little when excluding vegetarians, or including calcium or zinc intake as interaction  
292 terms with iron intake (data not shown). Considering birthweight in grams as an outcome,  
293 the unadjusted change per 10 mg/day increase in dietary iron intake was 70 g (95% CI: 10,  
294 130, P=0.02). When adjusting for maternal age, cotinine levels, alcohol intake, maternal

295 weight, height, parity, ethnicity, gestational age and baby's sex, the change was 34 g (95%  
296 CI: -13, 80, P=0.2).

297 There was no relationship between haem iron intake and customised birth centile  
298 (unadjusted change per 1 mg/day increase in haem iron intake = -1.2 centile points, 95% CI:  
299 -3.3, 0.8, P=0.2), while the relationship was statistically significant for non-haem iron  
300 (unadjusted change per 1 mg/day increase in non-haem iron intake = 0.6, 95% CI: 0.3, 0.9,  
301 P<0.0001; adjusted change=0.3, 95% CI: 0, 0.9, P=0.05). There was a positive relationship  
302 between total iron intake, from food and supplements, with customised birth centile  
303 (unadjusted change per 10 mg/day increase in total iron intake = 4.3, 95% CI: 2.4, 6.3,  
304 P<0.0001, adjusted change = 2.5, 95% CI 0.4, 4.6, P=0.02) (Table IV).

#### 305 ROLE OF VITAMIN C INTAKE

306 The relationship between dietary iron intake from food and customised birth centile was  
307 significant in participants with vitamin C intake above 50 mg/day (adjusted change per 10  
308 mg/day increase in dietary iron intake = 3.7, 95% CI: 0.1, 7.3, P= 0.04), compared to -1.9  
309 (95% CI: -11.1, 7.5, P= 0.7, n= 253) for those with vitamin C intake  $\leq$  50 mg/day. However,  
310 the interaction between iron and vitamin C intakes on the outcome was not significant (P=  
311 0.3). Similar relationships were observed for non-haem iron and total iron intake from diet  
312 and supplements using an interaction term between iron intake and vitamin C intake in the  
313 models (Table IV).

#### 314 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN IRON INTAKE AND SMALL FOR GESTATIONAL AGE (SGA)

315 Participants with dietary iron intake equal to or less than 14.8 mg/day were 1.6 times more  
316 likely to have a SGA baby (95% CI: 1.0, 2.5, P=0.05). However, the adjusted relationship  
317 was not significant (1.4, 95% CI: 0.9, 2.3, p=0.2). This pattern is similar for total iron intake  
318 from diet and supplements (Table IV).

### 319 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN IRON INTAKE AND PRETERM BIRTH

320 There was no relationship between iron intake from diet only, or diet and supplements as  
321 recorded in the recall diary in the first trimester, and preterm birth (Table IV).

### 322 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INTAKE OF IRON-CONTAINING SUPPLEMENTS AND BIRTH

#### 323 OUTCOMES

324 There was no association between daily intake of iron-containing supplements in the first  
325 and second trimester and customised birth centile. There was an inverse association  
326 between taking iron-containing supplements in the third trimester (73% of which as part of  
327 multivitamin-mineral preparations) and customised birth centile adjusted for salivary cotinine  
328 levels, alcohol intake and maternal age (adjusted difference= -10.7, 95% CI= -16.7, -4.8, P  
329 <0.0001).

330

### 331 DISCUSSION

332 This study shows a positive relationship between both total iron intake (from food and  
333 supplements) and non-haem iron intake, derived from 24-hour dietary recall in the first  
334 trimester of pregnancy, and birthweight. There was no association between iron intake and  
335 preterm birth.

### 336 STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

337 This was a large prospective cohort study. Although a randomised controlled trial is the gold  
338 standard study design to investigate causality, this design would be difficult to execute  
339 especially when the exposure is dietary intake. The response rate to take part in the study  
340 was 20% out of all the women who were invited, and the percentage of low birthweight  
341 babies (<2500 g) in this study (4.4%) was less than the National (7.2%) and the Yorkshire &

342 Humber region average (7.8%) for 2007 (60). This raises the possibility that women who are  
343 more likely to have low birthweight babies were less likely to participate in this study. We  
344 have used customised birth centile which takes into account gestational age, maternal  
345 height, weight, ethnicity and parity, and neonatal birthweight and sex. However, it does not  
346 take into account paternal height which has been shown to be related to birthweight (61,  
347 62).

348 Dietary iron intake was ascertained using 24-hour dietary recall recorded by a midwife-  
349 administered interview at around 12-weeks gestation. This method has been validated, and  
350 found to be comparable to other dietary assessment methods such as food frequency  
351 questionnaires and food diaries in estimating iron intake (63). However, 24-hour recall has  
352 its limitations such as failure to recall diet accurately and the chance of consuming non-  
353 typical diet during the day prior to the assessment. Whilst the study has a large sample size  
354 and hence good probable estimates of mean daily intake, these estimates may be more  
355 widely dispersed than in reality due to the use of this dietary assessment method. It  
356 therefore may over-estimate the proportion of mothers with extremely high or low iron  
357 intakes, for example the proportion with daily iron intake < UK LRNI (24% in our sample).  
358 However, there is evidence, when validating 24-hour recalls against other methods of  
359 dietary assessment, that recall is prone to over-reporting low intakes and under-reporting  
360 high intakes (64).

361 The estimation of haem iron intake may have been subject to greater error than the  
362 estimation of non-haem intake, given that it constitutes a smaller proportion of total dietary  
363 iron. The use of supplements was recorded both in the 24-hour recall and the interviewer-  
364 administered and self-reported questionnaires. The extent of agreement was high between  
365 the two methods in this study for reporting iron-containing supplements intake, however  
366 there is potential for measurement error using both methods. It is unlikely that women with



367 adverse outcomes would have reported their supplement-use pattern or dietary intake  
368 differently to other women since it is a prospective study, therefore reducing the chance of  
369 differential bias. We decided to add the supplements reported in the recall, rather than the  
370 questionnaire, to add to the dietary iron to derive the total iron intake variable as they were  
371 both reported in the same recall.

## 372 INTERPRETATION OF FINDINGS

373 We found that non-haem, rather than haem iron, was positively related to size at birth. This  
374 raises the possibility that the observed relationship is due to residual confounding by an  
375 unmeasured factor associated with both non-haem iron intake and size at birth. We  
376 therefore carried out a sensitivity analysis by excluding vegetarians as vegetarian status  
377 may be associated with a generally healthier diet & lifestyle. This did not change the  
378 regression estimates. It could be that participants with higher intake of haem iron are more  
379 likely to have adverse birth outcomes due to lifestyle and socioeconomic factors associated  
380 with high meat intake (65), thus counteracting any positive effect for haem iron. However,  
381 adjusting for educational status and IMD group did not change the results (data not shown).  
382 Findings from the Motherwell cohort study suggest that a diet high in low-quality meat might  
383 itself reduce fetal growth, perhaps through stimulating a stress response in the mother (66).

384 Adjustment for total energy intake is recommended if it is a confounder of the relationship  
385 being examined (67). However, we did not adjust for it here because it did not fulfill the  
386 definition of a “true” confounder. Confounding can result if total energy intake is associated  
387 with both the exposure of interest and the main outcome (68), which is not the case in this  
388 study as total energy intake was not associated with birthweight (data not shown).

389 Although effect modification was not significant for vitamin C, the stronger association  
390 between iron intake and birthweight in participants whose vitamin C intake was more than  
391 50 mg/d is of interest as vitamin C is the best known enhancer of iron absorption (52, 68).

392 Effect modification was not significant for vitamin C. We used a cut-off of the pregnancy RNI  
393 of 50 mg/day for vitamin C, but the threshold where daily vitamin C intake starts to have an  
394 effect on iron absorption in vivo is not exactly known.

395 Hb and MCV were used as proxies for iron status to assess the extent of agreement with  
396 iron intake levels. However, there are major limitations for the use of Hb and MCV levels as  
397 indicators of iron status as they do not represent specific or sensitive measures of body iron  
398 stores (69). We found no association between dietary iron intake and Hb or MCV levels.  
399 This is not a surprising finding as these blood indices are only affected when iron deficiency  
400 is pronounced. It is difficult to determine the direction of the relationship between iron-  
401 containing supplements and Hb. Anaemic participants are more likely to take iron-containing  
402 supplements. This is supported by the stronger positive relationship between taking iron-  
403 containing supplements in the first trimester and Hb at 28 weeks compared to that at 12  
404 weeks gestation.

#### 405 CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS FOR RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

406 This study confirms a positive association between total iron intake, from food and  
407 supplements, in the first trimester of pregnancy and customised birth centile. Although iron  
408 intake from food alone is not significantly associated with birthweight after adjustment,  
409 intake of non-haem iron is more strongly associated with birthweight than haem iron. Further  
410 research is needed to explore the role of vitamin C intake in the relationship between dietary  
411 and supplementary iron intake and birth outcomes. A randomised controlled trial of high  
412 dietary iron intake combined with vitamin C at mealtimes during early pregnancy can  
413 providesome important insights. Public health messages about increasing iron intake during  
414 early pregnancy and ways to optimise iron absorption, whether from diet or supplements,  
415 need to be promoted.

## 416 AUTHOR'S ROLES

417 JC Cade, DC Greenwood and NAB Simpson contributed to the study design and data  
418 collection. NA Alwan performed the statistical analysis with assistance from DCG. NAA  
419 wrote the first draft of the paper. All authors participated in the reporting stage, and have  
420 seen and approved the final draft of the paper.

## 421 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

422 We would like to thank all the women who participated in this study. The research midwives,  
423 Vivien Dolby & Heather Ong, for administering the dietary recall, Sinead Boylan for  
424 recruitment and data collection, Kay White and Alastair Hay for laboratory analysis of  
425 cotinine levels and James Thomas for database management.

## 426 FUNDING

427 This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust [Grant number WT87789 to N.A.A.] and the  
428 Food Standards Agency, United Kingdom [Grant number T01033].

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

## 437 REFERENCES

- 438 1. Bergmann RL, Gravens-Müller L, Hertwig K, Hinkel J, Andres B, Bergmann KE, Dudenhausen JW. Iron  
439 deficiency is prevalent in a sample of pregnant women at delivery in Germany. *European Journal of Obstetrics*  
440 *& Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*. 2002; 102(2):155-60.
- 441 2. Milman N, Clausen J, Byg KE. Iron status in 268 Danish women aged 18–30 years: influence of  
442 menstruation, contraceptive method, and iron supplementation. *Annals of Hematology*. 1998; 77(1):13-9.
- 443 3. Beard JL. Iron deficiency: assessment during pregnancy and its importance in pregnant adolescents.  
444 *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 1994; 59(2):502S.
- 445 4. Robinson S, Godfrey K, Denne J, Cox V. The determinants of iron status in early pregnancy. *British Journal*  
446 *of Nutrition*. 1998; 79(03):249-55.
- 447 5. Beard JL. Why iron deficiency is important in infant development. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2008;  
448 138(12):2534-6.
- 449 6. Rao R, Georgieff MK. Iron in fetal and neonatal nutrition. *Seminars In Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*. 2007;  
450 12(1):54-63.
- 451 7. Scholl TO. Iron status during pregnancy: setting the stage for mother and infant. *American Journal of*  
452 *Clinical Nutrition*. 2005; 81(5):1218S-22.
- 453 8. Zhou L-M, Yang W-W, Hua J-Z, Deng C-Q, Tao X, Stoltzfus RJ. Relation of Hemoglobin Measured at  
454 Different Times in Pregnancy to Preterm Birth and Low Birth Weight in Shanghai, China. *American Journal of*  
455 *Epidemiology*. 1998; 148(10):998-1006.
- 456 9. Baker PN, Wheeler SJ, Sanders TA, Thomas JE, Hutchinson CJ, Clarke K, Berry JL, Jones RL, Seed PT, Poston  
457 L. A prospective study of micronutrient status in adolescent pregnancy. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*.  
458 2009; 89(4):1114.
- 459 10. Gambling L, Dunford S, Wallace D, Zuur G, Solanky N, Kaila S, McArdle H. Iron deficiency during pregnancy  
460 affects postnatal blood pressure in the rat. *J Physiol*. 2003; 552:603-10.
- 461 11. Zhang J, Lewis R, Wang C, Hales N, Byrne C. Maternal dietary iron restriction modulates hepatic lipid  
462 metabolism in the fetuses. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 2005; 288(1):R104-11.
- 463 12. Lisle S, Lewis R, Petry C, Ozanne S, Hales C, Forhead A. Effect of maternal iron restriction during  
464 pregnancy on renal morphology in the adult rat offspring. *British Journal of Nutrition*. 2003; 90(1):33-9.
- 465 13. Andersen HS, Gambling L, Holtrop G, McArdle HJ. Maternal iron deficiency identifies critical windows for  
466 growth and cardiovascular development in the rat postimplantation embryo. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2006;  
467 136(5):1171.
- 468 14. Gambling L, Dunford S, McArdle HJ. Iron deficiency in the pregnant rat has differential effects on  
469 maternal and fetal copper levels. *The Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry*. 2004; 15(6):366-72.
- 470 15. World Health Organization (WHO). Iron and Folate Supplementation. Standards for Maternal and  
471 Neonatal Care. Geneva: World Health Organization. Department of Making Pregnancy Safer (MPS); 2006.
- 472 16. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Recommendations to prevent and control iron  
473 deficiency in the United States. . *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*. 1998; 77(RR-3):1-29.
- 474 17. Christian P, Khatry SK, Katz J, Pradhan EK, LeClerq SC, Ram Shrestha S, Adhikari RK, Sommer A, West KP.  
475 Effects of alternative maternal micronutrient supplements on low birth weight in rural Nepal: double blind  
476 randomised community trial supplements *BMJ*. 2003; 326:571-.
- 477 18. Cogswell ME, Parvanta I, Ickes L, Yip R, Brittenham GM. Iron supplementation during pregnancy, anemia,  
478 and birth weight: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2003; 78:773–81.
- 479 19. Pena-Rosas J, Viteri F. Effects of oral iron supplementation with or without folic acid for women during  
480 pregnancy (Review). *The Cochrane Database for Systematic Reviews*. 2008; Issue 2.
- 481 20. Preziosi P, Prual A, Galan P, GDaouda H, boureima H, Hercberg S. Effect of iron supplementation on the  
482 iron status of pregnant women: cosequences for newborns. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1997; 66:1178-82.
- 483 21. Vaidya A, Saville N, Shrestha BP, de L Costello AM, Manandhar DS, Osrin D. Effects of antenatal multiple  
484 micronutrient supplementation on children's weight and size at 2 years of age in Nepal: follow-up of a double-  
485 blind randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*. 2008; 371(9611):492-9.
- 486 22. Pena-Rosas JP, Viteri FE. Effects and safety of preventive oral iron or iron+folic acid supplementation for  
487 women during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2009; (4):CD004736.

- 488 23. O'Brien KO, Zavaleta N, Caulfield LE, Yang DX, Abrams SA. Influence of prenatal iron and zinc supplements  
489 on supplemental iron absorption, red blood cell iron incorporation, and iron status in pregnant Peruvian  
490 women. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 1999; 69(3):509.
- 491 24. Roughead ZK, Hunt JR. Adaptation in iron absorption: iron supplementation reduces nonheme-iron but  
492 not heme-iron absorption from food. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2000; 72(4):982.
- 493 25. O'Brien KO, Zavaleta N, Caulfield LE, Wen J, Abrams SA. Prenatal iron supplements impair zinc absorption  
494 in pregnant Peruvian women. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2000; 130(9):2251.
- 495 26. Rossander-Hulten L, Brune M, Sandstrom B, Lonnerdal B, Hallberg L. Competitive inhibition of iron  
496 absorption by manganese and zinc in humans. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 1991; 54(1):152.
- 497 27. Casanueva E, Viteri FE. Iron and oxidative stress in pregnancy. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2003; 133(5 Suppl  
498 2):1700S-8S.
- 499 28. Scholl TO. Iron status during pregnancy: Setting the stage for mother and infant. *American Journal of*  
500 *Clinical Nutrition*. [Conference Paper]. 2005; 81 (suppl):1218S-22S.
- 501 29. NICE. Antenatal care: Routine care for the healthy pregnant woman. London: National Institute for  
502 Clinical Excellence 2008.
- 503 30. IOM. Dietary reference intakes for vitamin A, vitamin K, arsenic, boron, chromium, copper, iodine, iron,  
504 manganese, molybdenum, nickel, silicon, vanadium, and zinc. Washington DC: Institute of Medicine, National  
505 Academy Press; 2001.
- 506 31. National Center for Health Statistics. Plan and Operation of the Third National Health and Nutrition  
507 Examination Survey, 1988–94; 1994.
- 508 32. Department of Health. Dietary Reference Values for food energy and nutrients for the United Kingdom.  
509 Report of the Panel on Dietary Reference Values of the Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy. London:  
510 Her Majesty's Stationery Office; 1991.
- 511 33. FSA. National Diet and Nutrition Survey: Adults aged 19 to 64, Volume 3 London: Food Standards Agency;  
512 2003.
- 513 34. Andersen N, Fagt S, Groth M, Hartkopp H, Moller A, Ovesen L, Warming D. Dietary habits in Denmark.  
514 Main Results. Copenhagen: National Food Agency of Denmark; 1995.
- 515 35. Crozier S, Robinson S, Godfrey K, Cooper C, Inskip H. Dietary patterns change little from before to during  
516 pregnancy. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2009; 139(1956-1963).
- 517 36. Trygg K, Lund-Larsen K, Sandstad B, Hoffman HJ, Jacobsen G, Bakketeig LS. Do pregnant smokers eat  
518 differently from pregnant non-smokers. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 1995; 9(3):307-19.
- 519 37. O'Brien KO, Zavaleta N, Abrams SA, Caulfield LE. Maternal iron status influences iron transfer to the fetus  
520 during the third trimester of pregnancy. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2003; 77(4):924.
- 521 38. Food Standards Agency. National Diet and Nutrition Survey: Adults aged 19 to 64, Volume 3 London: Food  
522 Standards Agency; 2003.
- 523 39. Skikne B, Baynes RD. Iron absorption. In: Brock J, Halliday J, Pippard M, Powell L, editors. Iron metabolism  
524 in health and disease. London: Saunders; 1994.
- 525 40. Robinson S, Godfrey K, Denne J, Cox VBJN-. The determinants of iron status in early pregnancy. *British*  
526 *Journal of Nutrition*. 1998; 79(249-55).
- 527 41. Tzoulaki I, Brown I, Chan Q, Van Horn L, Ueshima H, Zhao L, Stamler J, Elliott P. Relation of iron and red  
528 meat intake to blood pressure: cross sectional epidemiological study. *BMJ*. 2008; 337:a258-.
- 529 42. Doyle W, Crawford MA, Wynn AHA, Wynn SW. The association between maternal diet and birth  
530 dimensions. *Journal of Nutritional and Environmental Medicine*. 1990; 1(1):9-17.
- 531 43. Godfrey K, Robinson S, Barker DJP, Osmond C, Cox V. Maternal nutrition in early and late pregnancy in  
532 relation to placental and fetal growth. *British Medical Journal*. 1996; 312(7028):410.
- 533 44. Mathews F, Youngman L, Neil A. Maternal circulating nutrient concentrations in pregnancy: implications  
534 for birth and placental weights of term infants. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2004; 79(1):103.
- 535 45. Scholl TO, Hediger ML. Anemia and iron-deficiency anemia: compilation of data on pregnancy outcome.  
536 *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1994; 59(2):492S-500.
- 537 46. Scholl TO, Hediger ML, Fischer RL, Shearer JW. Anemia vs iron deficiency: increased risk of preterm  
538 delivery in a prospective study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1992; 55(5):985-8.
- 539 47. Lagiou P, Mucci L, Tamimi R, Kuper H, Lagiou A, Hsieh CC, Trichopoulos D. Micronutrient intake during  
540 pregnancy in relation to birth size. *European Journal of Nutrition*. 2005; 44(1):52-9.

- 541 48. Al-Shoshan AA. Diet history and birth weight relationship among Saudi pregnant women. *Pakistan Journal*  
542 *of Medical Sciences*. 2007; 23(2):176.
- 543 49. Mitchell E, Robinson E, Clark P, Becroft D, Glavish N, Pattison N, Pryor J, Thompson J, Wild C. Maternal  
544 nutritional risk factors for small for gestational age babies in a developed country: a case-control study.  
545 *Archives of Disease in Childhood Fetal and Neonatal Edition*. 2004; 89(5):F431.
- 546 50. Haste FM, Brooke OG, Anderson HR, Bland JM. The effect of nutritional intake on outcome of pregnancy  
547 in smokers and non-smokers. *British Journal of Nutrition*. 1991; 65(03):347-54.
- 548 51. Mathews F, Yudkin P, Neil A. Influence of maternal nutrition on outcome of pregnancy: prospective  
549 cohort study. *British Medical Journal*. 1999; 319(7206):339.
- 550 52. Gibney MJ, Margetts BM, Kearney JM, Arab L. Public Health Nutrition. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing 2004.
- 551 53. McCance RA. Composition of foods. Royal Society of Chemistry; 1990.
- 552 54. Schriker BR, Stouffer D. Measurement and content of nonheme and total iron in muscle. *Journal of Food*  
553 *Science*. 1982; 47(3):740-3.
- 554 55. Hornsey H. The colour of cooked cured pork. 1. Estimation of the nitric oxide-haem pigments. *Journal of*  
555 *the science of food and agriculture* 1956; 7:541.
- 556 56. O'Hara BA. The joint effects of genotype and nutrient intake on iron status in women (PhD thesis). Leeds:  
557 University of Leeds; 2004.
- 558 57. Gardosi J. Customised fetal growth standards: rationale and clinical application. *Semin Perinatol*. 2004;  
559 28:33-40.
- 560 58. Department for Communities and Local Government. Indices of deprivation. London; 2009 [updated  
561 2009; cited 2009 29 July]; Available from: [www.communities.gov.uk](http://www.communities.gov.uk).
- 562 59. CARE Study Group. Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and risk of fetal growth restriction: a large  
563 prospective observational study. *BMJ*. 2008; 337:a2332.
- 564 60. Office for National Statistics. Low birth weight live births 2007. London; [2009; cited 2010 27 July]; Data  
565 for Neighbourhoods and Regeneration ]. Available from: <http://www.data4nr.net/resources/524>.
- 566 61. Morrison J, Williams GM, Najman JM, Andersen MJ. The Influence of Paternal Height and Weight on Birth  
567 weight. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*. 1991; 31(2):114-6.
- 568 62. Nahum GG, Stanislaw H. Relationship of paternal factors to birth weight. *Journal of reproductive*  
569 *medicine*. 2003; 48(12):963-8.
- 570 63. Bingham SA, Gill C, Welch A, Cassidy A, Runswick SA, Oakes S, Lubin R, Thurnham DI, Key TJ, Roe L.  
571 Validation of dietary assessment methods in the UK arm of EPIC using weighed records, and 24-hour urinary  
572 nitrogen and potassium and serum vitamin C and carotenoids as biomarkers. *International Journal of*  
573 *Epidemiology*. 1997; 26(Supplement 1):S137.
- 574 64. Gersovitz M, Madden JP, Smiciklas-Wright H. Validity of the 24-hr. dietary recall and seven-day record for  
575 group comparisons. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*. 1978; 73(1):48.
- 576 65. Hulshof KFAM, Brussaard JH, Kruizinga AG, Telman J, Lowik MRH. Socio-economic status, dietary intake  
577 and 10[emsp14]y trends: the Dutch National Food Consumption Survey. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2003; 57(1):128-37.
- 578 66. Herrick K, Phillips DIW, Haselden S, Shiell AW, Campbell-Brown M, Godfrey KM. Maternal consumption of  
579 a high-meat, low-carbohydrate diet in late pregnancy: relation to adult cortisol concentrations in the offspring.  
580 *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*. 2003; 88(8):3554.
- 581 67. Willett WC, Howe GR, Kushi LH. Adjustment for total energy intake in epidemiologic studies. *American*  
582 *Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 1997; 65(4):1220S.
- 583 68. Pearl J. Why there is no statistical test for confounding, why many think there is, and why they are almost  
584 right. Causality: models, reasoning, and inference. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000.
- 585 69. Milman N. Iron and pregnancy--a delicate balance. *Annals of Hematology*. 2006; 85(9):559-65.
- 586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594

595 TABLE I: AVERAGE IRON INTAKE FROM FOOD AND DIETARY SUPPLEMENTS AS REPORTED IN  
 596 FIRST TRIMESTER 24-HOUR DIETARY RECALL (N=1257)  
 597

	Mean	Standard deviation	Median	Interquartile range
Iron intake from food (mg/day)	11.5	5.3	10.5	8.1 , 13.7
Haem iron intake (mg/day)	0.6	0.8	0.3	0.1, 0.8
Non-haem iron intake (mg/day)	10.9	5.2	10	7.6, 13.0
Total iron from food and supplements (mg/day)	16.5	21.1	11.8	8.6, 19.1
Total iron from food and supplements excluding therapeutic iron preparations ( $\geq 65$ mg/dose) (mg/day)	14.3	8.4	11.6	8.5, 18.6

598

599

600

601

TABLE II: CHARACTERISTICS OF WOMEN BY DIETARY IRON INTAKE DURING THE FIRST TRIMESTER REPORTED IN A 24-HOUR DIETARY RECALL (N=1257)

	Dietary iron intake		P value *
	>14.8 mg/day <sup>#</sup> (n=257)	≤ 14.8 mg/day (n=1000)	
<b>Dietary iron intake (mg/day) (mean, 95% CI)</b>	19.6 (15.0, 31.7)	9.4 (4.5, 13.8)	-
<b>Age of mother (yrs) (mean, 95% CI)</b>	31 (30, 31)	30 (29, 30)	0.004
<b>Pre-pregnancy weight (kg) (mean, 95% CI)</b>	66 (64, 68)	68 (67, 68)	0.1
<b>Total energy intake (kcal) (mean, 95% CI)</b>	2777(2657,2897)	1958(1924,1991)	<0.0001
<b>(MJ) (mean, 95% CI)</b>	11.6 (11.1, 12.1)	8.2 (8.1, 8.3)	
<b>Active smoker at 12 weeks (%) (95% CI)</b>	8 (5, 12)	20 (17, 23)	<0.0001
<b>IMD** most deprived quartile (%) (95% CI)</b>	25 (20, 31)	32 (29, 35)	0.03
<b>Caucasian (%) (95% CI)</b>	91 (87, 95)	94 (92, 95)	0.2
<b>Higher education (%) (95% CI)</b>	52 (49, 58)	35 (32, 39)	<0.0001
<b>Vegetarian (ovo-lacto) (%) (95% CI)</b>	13 (10, 18)	8 (6, 10)	0.004
<b>Primigravida (%) (95% CI)</b>	47 (41, 54)	46 (43, 49)	0.7
<b>History of long term illness (%) (95% CI)</b>	9 (6, 13)	14 (12, 16)	0.04
<b>Average alcohol consumption more than 0.5 units/day throughout pregnancy (%) (95% CI)</b>	30 (24, 36)	26 (23, 29)	0.2
<b>Past history of miscarriage (%) (95% CI)</b>	20 (16, 26)	25 (22, 27)	0.08
<b>Report taking any form of daily supplements in the first trimester questionnaire (%) (95% CI)</b>	87 (82, 91)	81 (78, 83)	0.01
<b>Report taking daily iron-containing supplements in the first trimester (questionnaire) (%) (95% CI)</b>	29 (23, 35)	23 (20, 25)	0.04

# Reference nutrient intake (RNI) for iron for women aged 19-50 years in the UK

\* P-value using two-sample t-test for continuous variables, chi-squared test for categorical variables

\*\* Index of multiple deprivation



TABLE III: THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DIETARY AND SUPPLEMENTAL IRON INTAKE AND MATERNAL BLOOD INDICES (Hb AND MCV) DURING PREGNANCY

	Unadjusted change	95% CI	P	Adjusted change*	95% CI	P value
<b>Dietary iron intake = &lt; 14.8 mg/day in the first trimester</b>						
<b>Hb at 12 weeks (g/dL)</b>	0.1	-0.1, 0.3	0.2	0.09	-0.1, 0.3	0.4
<b>Hb at 28 weeks (g/dL)</b>	-0.1	-0.3, 0.1	0.3	-0.1	-0.3, 0.1	0.4
<b>MCV at 12 weeks (fL**)</b>	0.2	-0.1, 1.2	0.7	0.3	-0.7, 1.3	0.6
<b>MCV at 28 weeks (fL)</b>	-0.9	-2.0, 0.2	0.1	-0.8	-1.9, 0.3	0.2
<b>Daily intake of iron-containing supplements in the first trimester</b>						
<b>Hb at 12 weeks (g/dL)</b>	0.3	0.1, 0.4	0.005	0.2	0.05, 0.4	0.01
<b>Hb at 28 weeks (g/dL)</b>	0.4	0.2, 0.6	<0.0001	0.3	0.2, 0.5	<0.0001
<b>MCV at 12 weeks (fL**)</b>	0.6	-0.4, 1.5	0.2	0.1	-0.8, 1.1	0.8
<b>MCV at 28 weeks (fL)</b>	1.3	0.4, 2.4	0.008	0.8	-0.2, 1.8	0.1
<b>Daily intake of iron-containing supplements in the second trimester</b>						
<b>Hb at 28 weeks (g/dL)</b>	0.3	0.1, 0.6	0.002	0.2	0.0, 0.5	0.05
<b>MCV at 28 weeks (fL)</b>	1.5	0.4, 2.8	0.01	0.7	-0.05, 2.0	0.3

\*Adjusted for: maternal age, ethnicity, chronic illness, Index of multiple deprivation score, educational attainment, parity and vegetarian diet in a linear regression model

\*\*Femtolitres

TABLE IV: THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MATERNAL DIETARY IRON INTAKE (MG/DAY) DURING PREGNANCY AND CUSTOMISED SIZE AT BIRTH, LEEDS, UNITED KINGDOM, 2003-2006

<b>Customised birth centile</b>						
(takes into account: maternal pre-pregnancy weight, height, parity, ethnicity, gestation and baby's sex)						
	<b>Unadjusted change</b>	<b>95% CI</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>Adjusted change*</b>	<b>95% CI</b>	<b>P-value</b>
Dietary iron intake †	5.2	2.2, 8.2	0.001	3.1	-0.2, 6.3	0.07
Dietary iron intake in participants with vitamin C intake > 50 mg/day †	5.3	1.9, 8.6	0.002	3.9	0.4, 7.5	0.03
Non-haem iron intake †	5.7	2.6, 8.8	<0.0001	3.4	0.0, 8.8	0.05
Non-haem iron intake in participants with vitamin C intake > 50 mg/day †	5.9	2.5, 9.3	0.001	4.4	0.7, 8.0	0.02
Haem iron intake ††	-1.2	-3.3, 0.8	0.2	-0.7	-2.8, 1.4	0.6
Total iron intake *** †	4.3	2.4, 6.3	<0.0001	2.5	0.4, 4.6	0.02
Total iron intake *** in participants with vitamin C intake > 50 mg/day †	4.4	2.2, 6.5	<0.0001	3.0	0.7, 5.4	0.01
<b>Small for gestational age (&lt;10% centile)</b>						
	<b>Unadjusted OR **</b>	<b>95% CI</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>Adjusted OR*</b>	<b>95% CI</b>	<b>P</b>
Dietary iron intake (≤ 14.8 mg/day)	1.6	1.0, 2.5	0.05	1.4	0.9, 2.3	0.2
Total iron intake *** (≤ 14.8 mg/day)	1.5	1.0, 2.1	0.04	1.2	0.8, 1.8	0.3
<b>Preterm birth (&lt;37 weeks gestation)</b>						
	<b>Unadjusted OR **</b>	<b>95% CI</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>Adjusted OR*</b>	<b>95% CI</b>	<b>P</b>
Dietary iron intake (≤ 14.8 mg/day)	1.1	0.7, 2.3	0.7	1.0	0.5, 2.3	0.8
Total iron intake *** (≤ 14.8 mg/day)	1.5	0.8, 2.7	0.2	1.3	0.7, 2.5	0.4

\*Adjusted for maternal age, salivary cotinine levels and alcohol intake in a multiple linear regression model, with an interaction term between iron and vitamin C intakes where the estimates are reported in the table to be for iron intake in the group with vitamin C intake > 50 mg/day

\*\*Odds ratio with dietary iron intake > 14.8 mg/day as the reference group

†Percentage point change in customised centile per 10 mg/day increase in iron intake

†† Percentage point change in customised centile per 1 mg/day increase in haem iron intake

\*\*\* From food and supplements excluding therapeutic iron supplement takers (≥ 65mg/dose)