

This is a repository copy of Realization and Generalized Frequency Response for Nonlinear Input-Output MAPS.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/77883/

### Monograph:

Chanane, B. and Banks, S.P. (1988) Realization and Generalized Frequency Response for Nonlinear Input-Output MAPS. Research Report. Acse Report 336. Dept of Automatic Control and System Engineering. University of Sheffield

#### Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

#### **Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.





Realization

and

Generalized Frequency Response

for

Nonlinear Input-Output Maps

by

B. Chanane and S. P. Banks

Department Of Control Engineering

University Of Sheffield

Mappin Street

SHEFFIELD, S1 3JD

## **Abstract**

The purpose of this paper is to develop a realization theory and present the 'generalized frequency response' for an input-output map introduced in a previous paper. Relations with the realization of finite Volterra series will be outlined. A simple example will be given to show that the new representations have improved convergence properties.

i



where

$$ad_X^0 Y = Y$$

$$ad_X Y = ad_X^1 Y = [X, Y] = XY - YX \quad (Lie bracket)$$

$$ad_Y^{m+1} Y = [X, ad_Y^m Y] , m \ge 1 \quad (1.2)$$

# 2 Nonlinear input-output maps for bilinear sys-

## tems:

Consider the bilinear system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_j N_j x, \quad x(0) = x_0$$

$$y = Cx \quad (2.1)$$

where  $A, N_j, C$  are constant matrices of suitable dimensions. We shall present in this section a new input-output map to represent this system.

Let  $u_0(t) = 1$ ,  $t \ge 0$  and  $N_0 = A$ , then (2.1) becomes

$$\dot{x} = \sum_{j=0}^{m} u_j N_j x, \quad x(0) = x_0$$

$$y = Cx \quad (2.2)$$

Consider the change of variable

$$z = e^{-N_k \int_0^t u_k(\tau) d\tau} x \tag{2.3}$$

we have  $z(0) = z_0 = x_0$ .

Let  $U_k(t) = \int_0^t u_k(\tau) d\tau$ . It is easy to prove [4] that the nonlinear input-output

map is given by

$$y(t) = Ce^{N_{k}U_{k}(t)}x_{0} +$$

$$+ \sum_{l\geq 1} \sum_{j_{1}\neq k} \dots \sum_{j_{l}\neq k} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\tau_{l}} \dots \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}}$$

$$Ce^{N_{k}[U_{k}(t)-U_{k}(\tau_{l})]}N_{j_{l}}e^{N_{k}[U_{k}(\tau_{l})-U_{k}(\tau_{l-1})]}N_{j_{l-1}}$$

$$\dots N_{j_{2}}e^{N_{k}[U_{k}(\tau_{2})-U_{k}(\tau_{1})]}N_{j_{1}}e^{N_{k}U_{k}(\tau_{1})}x_{0}$$

$$u_{j_{l}}(\tau_{l}) \dots u_{j_{1}}(\tau_{1})d\tau_{1} \dots d\tau_{l}$$

$$(2.4)$$

Returning to (2.1), the other representation [4] is given by

$$y(t) = C\Phi(t,0)x_{0} + \sum_{k\geq 1} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\tau_{k}} \dots \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} C\Phi(t,\tau_{k}) A\Phi(\tau_{k},\tau_{k-1}) A \dots A\Phi(\tau_{2},\tau_{1}) A\Phi(\tau_{1},0)x_{0} d\tau_{1} \dots d\tau_{k}$$
(2.5)

where,  $\Phi(t,\tau) = \Gamma^{-1}(t)\Gamma(\tau)$  and  $\Gamma$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix solution of

$$\dot{\Gamma} = -\Gamma \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_j N_j , \quad \Gamma(0) = I$$
 (2.6)

Example:

As a trivial example, we consider A and N commuting. Thus, the input-output map corresponding to the system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + uNx$$

$$u = Cx$$

is given by

$$y(t) = Ce^{At + NU(t)}x_0 \quad . \tag{2.7}$$

Therefore, the series representation introduced here (see also [4]) and the Volterra series are given by

$$y_1(t) = Ce^{NU(t)} \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{(At)^k}{k!} x_0$$

$$y_2(t) = Ce^{At} \sum_{k>0} \frac{(NU(t))^k}{k!} x_0$$

respectively. Obviously, if a truncation is to be made,  $y_1$  will give a better estimate than  $y_2$  for most u's.

Moreover, in our representation, we have the freedom to select the stable part of the system, whereas in Volterra series representation, we are bound to take A stable in order for the series to converge on  $[0,\infty]$  [9]. Of course, one of the main advantages of Volterra series representation is its 'multilinear' nature.

# 3 Input-Output stability of bilinear systems:

In this section we shall present sufficient conditions for the  $L^{\infty}$  – stability of bilinear systems. Detailed proofs can be found in [4]. We claim the following:

#### Theorem 1:

A sufficient condition for the system (2.2) to be  $L^{\infty}$  – stable is that the following hold:

- (i) There exist at least one  $N_j$   $(j=0,\ldots,m)$  (say  $N_k$ ) having all its eigenvalues with negative real parts,
- (ii)  $\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_0^t [-\rho_k u_k(\tau) + \alpha_k \parallel P_k(\tau) \parallel] d\tau < \infty$

where  $\alpha_k > 0$  and  $\rho_k > 0$  are such that  $||e^{N_k t}|| \le \alpha_k e^{-\rho_k t}$ ,  $P_k(t) = \sum_{j \ne k} u_j N_j$  and  $u_k \ge 0$ .

Furthermore, if the limit is  $-\infty$  then  $y(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

#### Corollary 1:

A sufficient condition for the system (2.2) to be  $L^{\infty}$  – stable is that the following hold:

- (i) There exist at least one  $N_j$   $(j=0,\ldots,m)$  (say  $N_k$ ) having all its eigenvalues with negative real parts,
- (ii)  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_0^t [-\rho_k u_k(\tau) + \alpha_k \sum_{j\neq k} |u_j(\tau)| ||N_j||] d\tau < \infty$ where  $\alpha_k > 0$  and  $\rho_k > 0$  are such that  $||e^{N_k t}|| \le \alpha_k e^{-\rho_k t}$ ,  $P_k(t) = \sum_{j\neq k} u_j N_j$ and  $u_k \ge 0$ .

Furthermore, if the limit is  $-\infty$  then  $y(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

## Theorem 2:

A sufficient condition for the system (2.2) to be  $L^{\infty}$  – stable is that the following hold:

- (i) There exist a positive constant  $\alpha$  and a non-decreasing function  $\rho$  satisfying  $\rho(0) = 0$  such that  $\|\Phi(t,\tau)\| \le \alpha e^{-[\rho(t)-\rho(\tau)]}$  for  $t \ge \tau \ge 0$
- (ii)  $\lim_{t\to+\infty} [-\rho(t) + \alpha \parallel A \parallel t] \neq \infty$

Furthermore, if the limit is  $-\infty$  then  $y(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

#### Corollary 2:

A sufficient condition for the system to be  $L^{\infty}-stable$  is that  $u_j$ ,  $j=1,\ldots,m$  satisfy the following inequality:

 $\min\{\rho_1 - \alpha_1 \parallel E_1 + \sum_{j=1}^m u_j N_j \parallel, -\rho_2 + \alpha_2 \parallel E_2 - \sum_{j=1}^m u_j N_j \parallel\} \ge \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \parallel A \parallel$  where  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are stable matrices satisfying  $\parallel e^{E_i t} \parallel \le \alpha_i e^{-\rho_i t}$ ,  $t \ge 0$  for some  $\alpha_i, \rho_i > 0$ .

Hint: Take  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2$  and,

$$\rho(t) = \int_0^t \max \left\{ \rho_1 - \alpha_1 \parallel E_1 + \sum_{j=1}^m u_j(\tau) N_j \parallel, -\rho_2 + \alpha_2 \parallel E_2 - \sum_{j=1}^m u_j(\tau) N_j \parallel \right\} d\tau$$
(3.1)

and use theorem 2.

#### Remark:

The sufficient condition in corollary 2 has a nice geometric interpretation in terms of the location of  $\sum_{j=1}^{m} u_j N_j$  with respect to the balls centered at  $-E_1$  and  $E_2$  with radius  $\frac{\rho_1}{\alpha_1} - \alpha_2 \parallel A \parallel$  and  $\frac{\rho_2}{\alpha_2} + \alpha_1 \parallel A \parallel$  respectively.

# 4 Realization of a nonlinear i/o map:

Consider the nonlinear input-output map given by

$$y(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_1} \dots \int_0^{\tau_2} Ce^{N[U(t)-U(\tau_l)]} Ae^{N[U(\tau_l)-U(\tau_{l-1})]} A$$

$$\dots Ae^{N[U(\tau_2)-U(\tau_1)]} Ae^{NU(\tau_1)} x_0 d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_l$$
(4.1)

where again  $U(t) = \int_0^t u(\tau)d\tau$ .

Define  $z_1, \ldots, z_{l+1}$  by

$$z_{l+1}(t) = e^{NU(t)}x_0$$

$$z_l(t) = \int_0^t e^{N[U(t)-(\tau)]}Az_{l+1}(\tau)d\tau$$

$$\vdots$$

$$z_1(t) = \int_0^t e^{N[U(t)-U(\tau)]}Az_2(\tau)d\tau \qquad (4.2)$$

Therefore, differentiating with respect to t, we obtain,

$$\dot{z} = \overline{A}z + u\overline{N}z$$

$$y = \overline{C}z$$
(4.3)

where

$$\overline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & A \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \overline{N} = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & N & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & N \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\overline{C} = (C,0,\ldots,0), z = \left(\begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ \vdots \\ z_{l+1} \end{array}\right), z(0) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ x_0 \end{array}\right) = z_0 \quad (4.4)$$

with dimensions  $[(l+1)n] \times [(l+1)n], [(l+1)n] \times [(l+1)n], n \times [(l+1)n], (l+1)n$ and (l+1)n respectively.

Note that  $\overline{A}$  is nilpotent of degree l , i.e.,  $\overline{A}^l \neq 0$  and  $\overline{A}^{l+1} = 0$ .

If we consider now the map obtained from the  $(l+1)^{th}$  term of the Volterra series, we obtain,

$$\dot{z} = \overline{\overline{A}}z + u\overline{\overline{N}}z$$

$$y = \overline{\overline{C}}z$$
(4.5)

where,

$$\overline{\overline{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & A \end{pmatrix}, \overline{\overline{N}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & N & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & N & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & N \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(4.6)$$

and  $\overline{\overline{C}} = \overline{C}$ .

Therefore, we can see that

$$\overline{A} = S^{+}\overline{\overline{A}}$$

$$\overline{\overline{N}} = S^{+}\overline{N}$$

$$\overline{\overline{C}} = \overline{\overline{C}}$$
(4.7)

where  $S^+$  is the operator

$$S^{+} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & \dots & 0 \\ & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & I \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(4.8)$$

An immediate generalization is to consider the input-output map defined by

$$y(t) = e^{NU(t)}x_0$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{l} \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_k} \dots \int_0^{\tau_2} Ce^{N[U(t)-U(\tau_k)]} A \dots$$

$$\dots Ae^{N[U(\tau_2)-U(\tau_1)]} Ae^{NU(\tau_1)} x_0 d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_k$$
(4.9)

If we define, as in section (4),  $z_1, \ldots z_{l+1}$ , we obtain

$$\dot{z} = \overline{A}z + u\overline{N}z$$

$$y = C^*z \tag{4.10}$$

where, this time,  $\overline{C}^* = (C, \dots, C)$ .

Similar result for the finite Volterra series can be obtained and  $\overline{\overline{C}}^* = \overline{C}^*$ .

#### Remark:

There is clearly a one to one map between this representation and the Volterra series representation, and since we know how to solve a minimal realization from the Volterra series, we can deduce a minimal realization for this representation. From the above discussion and the remark we have proved:

#### Theorem 3:

Given an i/o map of the form (4.9) there exists a minimal bilinear realization.

# 5 Generalized frequency response:

In [2] (see also [3])we have introduced the notion of the 'generalized frequency response' as follows:

Consider a system S given in terms of an input-output map

$$S: \mathbb{R}^n \times L^2_w[0,\infty] \longrightarrow L^2_w[0,\infty] \tag{5.1}$$

defined by

$$y(t) = S(x_0, u(.))(t)$$
 (5.2)

where u and y are respectively the input and the output of the system and  $x_0$  is the initial state in some given state-space realization. For each fixed initial state  $x_0$ , we have a map

$$S_{x_0} = S(x_0, \cdot) : L_w^2[0, \infty] \longrightarrow L_w^2[0, \infty]$$

$$(5.3)$$

For simplicity, we have assumed scalar input and scalar output.

Let  $\mathcal I$  denotes the natural isomorphism between  $L^2_w[0,\infty]$  and  $\ell^2$ , then the 'generalized frequency response' for S is the induced map from  $\ell^2$  to  $\ell^2$  such that the diagram

commutes.

In this section, we shall derive the 'generalized frequency response' associated with the input-output map (4.9). First we shall Consider the bilinear system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + uNx, \quad x(0) = x_0$$

$$y = Cx \tag{5.4}$$

We have,

$$x(t) = e^{NU(t)}x_0 + \int_0^t e^{N[U(t) - U(\tau)]} Ax(\tau) d\tau$$
 (5.5)

from which we get

$$y(t) = e^{NU(t)}x_0 +$$

$$+ \sum_{k\geq 1} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\tau_{k}} \dots \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} Ce^{N[U(t)-U(\tau_{k})]} A \dots \\ \dots Ae^{N[U(\tau_{2})-U(\tau_{1})]} Ae^{NU(\tau_{1})} x_{0} d\tau_{1} \dots d\tau_{k}$$
 (5.6)

We claim the following:

Theorem 4:

A sufficient condition for the nonlinear operator h defined by (5.6) to map  $L^2_w[0,\infty] \cap L^\infty[0,\infty]$  into itself is that the following hold:

- (i) N has all its eigenvalues with negative real parts,
- (ii) there exists a function  $\eta$  such that  $\int_0^t [-\rho u(\tau) + \alpha \parallel A \parallel] d\tau \leq \eta(t) < \infty$  with  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \eta(t) = -\infty$ .

where  $\alpha > 0$  ,  $\rho > 0$  are such that  $||e^{Nt}|| \le \alpha e^{-\rho t}$  ,  $t \ge 0$ .

Proof:

(5.5) yields

$$||x(t)|| \le \alpha e^{-\rho U(t)} ||x_0|| + \alpha \int_0^t e^{-\rho [U(t)-U(\tau)]} ||A|| ||x(\tau)|| d\tau$$
 (5.7)

Using Gronwall's lemma, we obtain

$$e^{\rho U(t)} \parallel x(t) \parallel \leq \alpha \parallel x_0 \parallel e^{\parallel A \parallel t} \tag{5.8}$$

Therefore,

$$|y(t)| \leq \alpha ||C|| ||x_0|| e^{\int_0^t [-\rho u(\tau) + \alpha ||A||] d\tau}$$

$$\leq \alpha ||C|| ||x_0|| e^{\eta(t)} < \infty$$

hence,

$$|| y(t) ||_{w}^{2} = \int_{0}^{\infty} | y(t) |^{2} w(t) dt$$

$$\leq \alpha^{2} || C ||^{2} || x_{0} ||^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} w(t) e^{2\eta(t)} dt \qquad (5.9)$$

Using the Cauchy-Bouniakovsky inequality we obtain,

$$||y||_{w}^{2} \le \alpha^{2} ||C||^{2} ||x_{0}||^{2} \{ \int_{0}^{\infty} w^{2}(t)dt : \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\eta(t)}dt \}^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$$
 (5.10)

Theorem 5:

The 'generalized frequency response' associated with the input-output map (4.9) is the map  $s: \ell^2 \longrightarrow \ell^2$  defined by  $\overline{y} = s(\overline{u})$  where

$$y_{k} = Cv_{k}^{0}x_{0} + \sum_{m=1}^{l} \sum_{j_{1}>0} \dots \sum_{j_{m+1}>0} \beta_{j_{1}\dots j_{m+1}}^{m,k} Cv_{j_{m+1}}^{0} v_{j_{m}} \dots v_{j_{1}}x_{0}$$
 (5.11)

and  $v_k^0 = v_k^0(\overline{u})$  and  $v_k = v_k(\overline{u})$  are given by (5.20) and (5.21).

Proof:

Consider  $v_0$  and v defined by

$$v_0(t) = e^{NU(t)}$$

$$v(t) = e^{-NU(t)}Ae^{NU(t)}$$
(5.12)

We have

$$v_0(t) = \sum_{m \ge 0} N^m \frac{1}{m!} U^m(t)$$
 (5.13)

and the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula (1.1) yields

$$v(t) = \sum_{m \ge 0} (-1)^m a d_N^m A \frac{1}{m!} U^m(t)$$
 (5.14)

but

$$\frac{1}{m!}U^m(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_m} \dots \int_0^{\tau_2} u(\tau_1) \dots u(\tau_m) d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_m \qquad (5.15)$$

Thus

$$v_0(t) = \sum_{m \ge 0} N^m \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_m} \dots \int_0^{\tau_2} u(\tau_1) \dots u(\tau_m) d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_m$$
 (5.16)

and

$$v(t) = \sum_{m>0} (-1)^m a d_N^m A \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_m} \dots \int_0^{\tau_2} u(\tau_1) \dots u(\tau_m) d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_m$$
 (5.17)

Assume u, v, and  $v_0$  are in  $L^2_w[0,\infty]$ , so we can write  $u=\sum_{k\geq 0}u_ke_k$ ,

$$v = \sum_{k \geq 0} v_k e_k$$
, and  $v^0 = \sum_{k \geq 0} v_k^0 e_k$ 

Now assume that the basis  $\{e_j\}$  satisfies the assumption

#### (A) the functions

$$\alpha_{j_1...j_m}^m : t \longrightarrow \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_m} \ldots \int_0^{\tau_2} e_{j_1}(\tau_1) \ldots e_{j_m}(\tau_m) d\tau_1 \ldots d\tau_m$$

 $\mathbf{a}$ nd

$$\beta^m_{j_1\dots j_{m+1}}\ :\ t\ \longrightarrow e_{j_{m+1}}(t)\int_0^t\int_0^{\tau_m}\dots\int_0^{\tau_2}e_{j_1}(\tau_1)\dots e_{j_m}(\tau_m)d\tau_1\dots d\tau_m$$

belong to  $L^2_w[0,\infty]$  for all indices.

Then, under assumption (A), we can write

$$\alpha_{j_1...j_m}^m(t) = \sum_{k>0} \alpha_{j_1...j_m}^{m,k} e_k(t)$$
 (5.18)

 $\mathbf{a}$ nd

$$\beta_{j_1...j_{m+1}}^m(t) = \sum_{k>0} \beta_{j_1...j_{m+1}}^{m,k} e_k(t)$$
 (5.19)

Therefore,

$$v_k^0 = \sum_{m \ge 0} \sum_{j_1 \ge 0} \dots \sum_{j_m \ge 0} N^m \alpha_{j_1 \dots j_m}^{m,k} u_{j_1} \dots u_{j_m} = v_k^0(\overline{u})$$
 (5.20)

$$v_k = \sum_{m>0} \sum_{j_1>0} \dots \sum_{j_m>0} (-1)^m a d_N^m A \alpha_{j_1...j_m}^{m,k} u_{j_1} \dots u_{j_m} = v_k(\overline{u}) \quad (5.21)$$

Thus,

$$y_k = Cv_k^0 x_0 + \sum_{m=1}^l \sum_{j_{m+1} > 0} \dots \sum_{j_1 > 0} \beta_{j_1 \dots j_{m+1}}^{m,k} Cv_{j_{m+1}}^0 v_{j_m} \dots v_{j_1} x_0$$
 (5.22)

where  $y_k = \langle y |$ ,  $e_k \rangle_w$ . Hence, we have proved the theorem.

# 6 Conclusion:

In this paper we have presented a realization theory for an input-output map introduced in [4], outlining the link with the realization of finite Volterra series. We have defined the 'generalized frequency response' [2](see also [3]) for this input-output map. A simple example has been included to show how this representation compares with the Volterra series representation.

## References

- [1] C. Bruni, G. DiPillo and G. Koch, 'On the Mathematical Models Of Bilinear Systems', Ricerche di Automatica, 2 pp. 11-26, 1972.
- [2] S. P. Banks and B. Chanane, 'A generalized frequency response for nonlinear systems', I.M.A. J. Of Math. Cont. & Inf., 5, 147-166, 1988.
- [3] S. P. Banks and B. Chanane, 'On frequency response for nonlinear systems', to appear in the Conference Proceedings of the Fifth I.M.A. Conference on Control Theory, 1988.
- [4] B. Chanane and S. P. Banks, 'Nonlinear Input-Output Maps For Bilinear Systems and Stability', submitted to I.M.A. J. Of Math. Cont. & Inf., 1988
- [5] C. Lesiak and A. J. Krener, 'The Existence And Uniqueness Of Volterra Series For Nonlinear Systems', I.E.E.E. Trans. Aut. Cont., AC-23, pp. 1090-1095, 1978.
- [6] W. J. Rugh. Nonlinear System Theory: The Volterra/Wiener Apprach Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore, MD, 1981.
- [7] P. D'Alessandro, A. Isodori and Ruberti, 'Realization And Structure Theory Of Bilinear Systems', S.I.A.M. J. Control. 12 pp 517-535, 1974.
- [8] S. P. Banks, Mathematical Theories Of Nonlinear Systems Prentice-Hall. 1988

[9] R. W. Brockett, 'Convergence Of Volterra Series On Infinite Intervals And Bilinear Approximations', in 'Nonlinear Systems And Applications' edited by V. Lakshmikantham, Academic Press, 1977.

517.93 (1)

[10] M. Fliess and F. Lamnahabi-Lagarrigue, 'Volterra Series and Optimal Control', in Algebraic and Geometric Methods in Nonlinear Control Theory, D. Reidel Publishing Company, (Eds.) M. Fliess and M. Hazewinkel, pp 371-387, 1986.

620. 836 (4)

[11] Bourbaki, Groupes et Algebre de Lie, Chap 2 et Chap 3, Hermann, Paris, 1972.