

promoting access to White Rose research papers



Universities of Leeds, Sheffield and York
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

This is an author produced version corrigendum to a paper published in
Geophysical Prospecting

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/77382>

Paper:

Angus, DA, Kendall, JM, Fisher, QJ, Segura, JM, Skachkov, S, Crook, AJL and Dutko, M (2011) *Modelling microseismicity of a producing reservoir from coupled fluid-flow and geomechanical simulation (vol 58, pg 901, 2010)*. Geophysical Prospecting, 59 (1). 195 - 195. ISSN 0016-8025

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2478.2010.00929.x>

Erratum

Modelling microseismicity of a producing reservoir from coupled fluid-flow and geomechanical simulation

D. A. Angus¹, J-M. Kendall², Q.J. Fisher¹, J.M. Segura^{1,3}, S. Skachkov^{1,5}, A.J.L. Crook⁴ & M. Dutko³

¹School of Earth & Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

²Department of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1RJ, UK

³Rockfield Software Ltd., Swansea, SA1 8PH, UK

⁴Three Cliffs Geomechanical Analysis, Swansea, UK

⁵Now at Total Geoscience Research Center, Aberdeen, AB12 3FG, UK

The authors of the paper above would like to highlight some errors that were not transferred correctly by the publisher at proof correction stage:

In the Model Geometry section, the sentence:

The dynamic behaviour of the fault is defined by a cohesionless Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion for the fault contact elements using the coefficient of friction m .

should read:

The dynamic behaviour of the fault is defined by a cohesionless Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion for the fault contact elements using the coefficient of friction μ .

Equation 2 describing the pseudo scalar seismic moment M_0 should read $M_0 = [(m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2)/2]^{1/2}$.

In the Discussion section, the sentence:

Within the results data file, it is observed that the events associated with the faults are moderate in pseudo scalar moment (compare Figs 3 and 7 and note the absence of moderate pseudo scalar moment shear events between 105.5 and 105.6 and lower number of shear events near left hand fault in Fig. 7) and this is likely governed by the strength and motion of the fault.

should read:

Within the results data file, it is observed that the events associated with the faults are moderate in pseudo scalar moment (compare Figs 3 and 7 and note the absence of moderate pseudo scalar moment shear events between $10^{5.5}$ and $10^{5.6}$ and lower number of shear events near left hand fault in Fig. 7) and this is likely governed by the strength and motion of the fault.

References

Angus, D.A., J-M. Kendall, Q.J. Fisher, J.M. Segura, S. Skachkov, A.J.L. Crook and M. Dutko (2010) Modelling microseismicity of a producing reservoir from coupled fluid-flow and geomechanical simulation, *Geophysical Prospecting*, **58**(5), 901-913.