



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/241857/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Vijay, M. and Nair, K.P.S. (2026) Role of shared decision-making and early palliative care in progressive long-term neurological conditions. *Annals of Palliative Medicine*, 15 (1). p. 2. ISSN: 2224-5820

<https://doi.org/10.21037/apm-25-71>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Role of shared decision-making and early palliative care in progressive long-term neurological conditions

Mithila Vijay^{1,2}, Krishnan Padmakumari Sivaraman Nair^{1,2,3^}

¹Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK; ²The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK; ³Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK

Correspondence to: Professor Krishnan Padmakumari Sivaraman Nair, MBBS, MD, DM, FRCP. Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK; Honorary Professor, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK; Consultant Neurologist, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Glossop Road, Sheffield S10 2 JF, UK. Email: siva.nair@nhs.net.

Keywords: Shared decision-making (SDM); palliative care (PC); long-term neurological conditions

Submitted Jul 18, 2025. Accepted for publication Nov 12, 2025. Published online Jan 9, 2026.

doi: 10.21037/apm-25-71

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-25-71>

A progressive long-term neurological condition (PLTNC) is a progressive illness of the nervous system that impacts a person and their family for the rest of their life. The trajectory of PLTNCs can differ greatly. Patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) typically have a life expectancy of two to four years after diagnosis, whereas those with conditions such as multiple sclerosis (MS), and Parkinson's disease (PD) may live for decades with significant ongoing symptoms and disabilities. The clinicians managing PLTNCs often need to select from different treatment options. The approaches towards making the treatment choices include: (I) the clinician recommending a treatment they believe aligns with the patient's values, in order to support the patient's decision-making; (II) the clinician choosing the treatment they consider best and giving the patient the option to either silently agree or object—this is known as “informed non-dissent” (III) the clinician and patient working together to decide on a treatment through a collaborative process known as shared decision-making (SDM) (1-3).

SDM is a collaborative process where healthcare providers, patients, friends, and families engage in discussions that incorporate their values, preferences, and goals of the patient alongside clinical evidence. SDM includes empowering the patients and families to understand the trajectory of the PLTNC, treatment options,

and potential outcomes. The patients then collaborate with the clinicians to make informed choices that align with their goals and values. SDM supports patient autonomy and self-determination without entirely removing clinician input. It creates a collaborative relationship in which the clinician contributes medical expertise, while the patient offers insight into their own body and personal values (1-3). SDM ensures that patients receive care tailored to their individual needs, leading to higher satisfaction and improved psychological well-being. In many cases, treatments may have uncertain benefits and unpredictable risks, making it ethically appropriate to support patient autonomy in making decisions based on their own values (1-3).

At the initial stages of PLTNCs, neurologists often concentrate on diagnosis and disease-specific treatments. However, individuals with these conditions still face many needs that are not being adequately addressed (4). Usually, the neurology teams managing PLTNCs seek palliative care (PC) support only when the patient approaches end-of-life. Early PC involves initiation of PC early during the disease trajectory of PLTNC. The goal of early PC is to give patients, their families, and caregivers a stronger sense of control while improving their overall quality of life, throughout the course of the PLTNC. Advantages of early PC in PLTNC include better focus on management of symptoms such as refractory pain, advance care planning

[^] ORCID: 0000-0002-4004-2315.

(ACP), addressing psychosocial and spiritual needs, and support for the family and carers, right from the time of diagnosis. Early PC enhance quality of life and alleviate symptoms such as pain, breathing difficulties, bowel problems, and sleep disturbances. Brief PC interventions have been shown to reduce symptoms and lessen the burden on caregivers in PLNTCs (5,6).

Spirituality goes beyond religious beliefs and includes broader ideas such as meaning, hope, peace, creativity, and resolving unfinished matters, regardless of a person's faith. Since spirituality and religion are part of a person's cultural identity, they help to understand how cultural values, traditions, and social background influence patients' and their families medical decisions. According to the National Consensus Project for Quality Palliative Care, addressing spiritual, religious, and existential needs is one of the eight key elements of quality PC (7-9). Early discussions about spiritual aspects will help patients and family to accept and cope with the PLTNC.

The nature of PLTNCs means patients may eventually lose their ability to make and/or convey their own treatment decisions. ACP is a process that helps individuals to document their wishes and preferences for future medical care. The patient could choose a representative to make decisions on their behalf if they lose the ability to make decisions for themselves (10). The treating team, patient and family should use SDM to formulate the advanced care plan. The treating team, patients and family need to discuss the diagnosis, prognosis, and plan for interventions (or advance directives to refuse) such as gastrostomy, ventilatory support, and hospitalisation. The ACP made following the principles of SDM, allows patients to make choices about their treatment and end-of-life care while they are still able. Sometimes, patients may not wish to engage in these discussions. Clinicians should gently assess whether the patient is prepared to engage in these conversations.

Patients with PLNC face barriers to access SDM and PC. The specialist PC services are unable to keep up with the growing demand from patients with PLTNC and Neurology teams may lack training in communication skills required to discuss withholding and/or withdrawal of life sustaining treatments and end of life care (11). Neurology service providers need training to use SDM for ACP in PLTNC (12). They should be able to recognize the need for and should have access to specialized palliative input not only during the end-of-life phase but also throughout the course of the PLTNC.

We propose an integrated care approach for individuals

with PLTNCs, led primarily by the neurology team using SDM for symptom management, advanced care planning, spiritual support, and assistance for family and friends. The neurology teams need to have access to specialists in PC for opinion and brief interventions as and when required.

Acknowledgments

None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the Guest Editors (Eva Oldenburger and Johan Menten) for the series "Multidisciplinary and Holistic Palliative Care" published in *Annals of Palliative Medicine*. The article has undergone external peer review.

Peer Review File: Available at <https://apm.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/apm-25-71/prf>

Funding: This work was supported by the NIHR Sheffield Biomedical Research Centre (BRC)/NIHR Sheffield Clinical Research Facility (CRF). The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC).

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://apm.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/apm-25-71/coif>). The series "Multidisciplinary and Holistic Palliative Care" was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. The authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the

formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Gula AL, Walter JK, Morrison W, et al. Exploring Ethical Dimensions in Neuropalliative Care. *Semin Neurol* 2024;44:534-42.
2. Sullivan LS, Adler M, Arenth J, et al. Shared Decision-Making in Palliative Care: A Maternalistic Approach. *Narrat Inq Bioeth* 2021;11:211-20.
3. Kon AA. The shared decision-making continuum. *JAMA* 2010;304:903-4.
4. Mohamed Abu Baker A, Moore H, Baster K, et al. Psychometric Properties of LUN-MS: A New Questionnaire to Identify the Unmet Needs of People With Multiple Sclerosis. *Adv Rehabil Sci Pract* 2023;12:27536351231197142.
5. Veronese S, Gallo G, Valle A, et al. Specialist palliative care improves the quality of life in advanced neurodegenerative disorders: NE-PAL, a pilot randomised controlled study. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 2017;7:164-72.
6. Edmonds P, Hart S, Wei Gao, et al. Palliative care for people severely affected by multiple sclerosis: evaluation of a novel palliative care service. *Mult Scler* 2010;16:627-36.
7. McCann Klug L. The Specialty Chaplain on the Palliative Care Team: A Narrative Review. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care* 2023;40:1021-8.
8. Ho JQ, Nguyen CD, Lopes R, et al. Spiritual Care in the Intensive Care Unit: A Narrative Review. *J Intensive Care Med* 2018;33:279-87.
9. Bang SH, Noh H, Handzo G, et al. Healthcare chaplains' perspectives on working with culturally diverse patients and families. *Palliat Support Care* 2024. [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1017/S147895152400066X.
10. Rietjens JAC, Sudore RL, Connolly M, et al. Definition and recommendations for advance care planning: an international consensus supported by the European Association for Palliative Care. *Lancet Oncol* 2017;18:e543-51.
11. Oliver D, Borasio GD, Veronese S, et al. Current collaboration between palliative care and neurology: a survey of clinicians in Europe. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 2020. [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1136/bmjspcare-2020-002322.
12. Dawson B, McConvey K, Gofton TE. When to initiate palliative care in neurology. *Handb Clin Neurol* 2022;190:105-25.

Cite this article as: Vijay M, Nair KPS. Role of shared decision-making and early palliative care in progressive long-term neurological conditions. *Ann Palliat Med* 2026;15(1):2. doi: 10.21037/apm-25-71