

RESEARCH ARTICLE



In silico peptide self-assembly reveals the importance of N-terminal motifs and the inhibition mechanism of the mutation L38M in α -synuclein fibrillation

Van T. T. Nguyen¹ | Sabine M. Ulamec² | David J. Brockwell² |
Sheena E. Radford² | Carol K. Hall¹

¹Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA

²Astbury Centre for Structural Molecular Biology, School of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

Correspondence

Carol K. Hall, Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA.
Email: hall@ncsu.edu

Funding information

National Science Foundation, Grant/Award Numbers: CBET 1835838, CBET1743432

Review Editor: Carol Beth Post

Abstract

Alpha-synuclein (α Syn) is a presynaptic protein associated with several neurodegenerative diseases. While the non-amyloid component (NAC) region of the α Syn sequence (residues 65–90) forms the core of all α Syn fibrils, recent findings suggest that the flanking regions play a key role in initiating or preventing amyloid formation. Two motifs in the N-terminal region, named P1 (α Syn [36–42]) and P2 (α Syn [45–57]), have been shown to be key modulators of fibril formation, with deletion of these regions or single-point mutations in the P1 region inhibiting amyloid formation of full-length α Syn. In this study, we use the coarse-grained molecular dynamics package DMD/PRIME20 to simulate the self-assembly of the P1 and P2 regions, alongside longer segments P3 (α Syn [36–57]) and P3Next (α Syn [27–57]), and single-point mutations: focusing primarily on L38M, L38A, and V40A, and additionally examining Y39A and S42A as secondary variants, all of which have different effects on fibril formation of the full-length protein in vitro. The results show that P1, P2 and P3 have a high propensity to form parallel β -sheets while P3Next tends to form β -hairpins within fibrillar structures. The L38M substitution reduces the formation of both parallel β -sheets and β -hairpins, consistent with the inability of full-length α Syn containing L38M to form amyloid fibrils in vitro at neutral pH and to aggregate in vivo in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. In contrast, simulations of L38A and V40A show no such effect, consistent with their minimal impact on full-length α Syn fibrillation. The simulation results suggest that the presence of P1/P2 hairpins are required to unleash the amyloid potential of α Syn and offer a structural explanation of how L38M mutation in this region protects the protein from amyloid formation.

KEYWORDS

amyloid fibril formation, DMD/PRIME20 simulations, inhibitory mechanism, mutation inhibitor, N-terminal motifs/segments/fragments, parallel β -sheets, peptide self-assembly, α -synuclein, β -hairpins

1 | INTRODUCTION

Amyloid formation by α -synuclein (α Syn), a presynaptic neuronal protein, is associated with several neurodegenerative diseases known as synucleinopathies (Bisi et al., 2021), including Parkinson's disease (PD) (Goetz, 2011; Parkinson, 2002), PD dementia (Emre et al., 2007), dementia with Lewy bodies (McKeith et al., 2005), and multiple system atrophy (Grazia Spillantini et al., 1998). α Syn fibrils are the major constituents of Lewy bodies and Lewy neurites—the cytosolic filamentous inclusions found in neuronal cells of people with synucleinopathies (Spillantini et al., 1998). Since the connection between α Syn aggregation and PD pathology was established in 1997 (Spillantini et al., 1997), researchers have focused on understanding the aggregation pathways, fibrillar structures, and toxicity of α Syn, with the aim of designing potential therapeutics.

Human α Syn is a 140-amino acid protein that modulates neurotransmitter release (Cabin et al., 2002; Murphy et al., 2000). Under physiological conditions, it exists as disordered soluble monomers (Burré et al., 2013; Fauvet et al., 2012), membrane-bound (helical) monomers (Eliezer et al., 2001; Ulmer et al., 2005), and oligomers (Dear et al., 2020; Lorenzen et al., 2014; Santos et al., 2024). Full-length α Syn comprises three regions (Figure 1): the N-terminal domain (α Syn [1–60]), the central hydrophobic NAC region (α Syn[61–95]), and the C-terminal domain (α Syn[96–140]). Although the NAC region forms the fibril core in all resolved α Syn amyloid structures (Sawaya et al., 2021), the N- and C-terminal regions play critical roles in regulating nucleation and aggregation kinetics (Celej et al., 2012; Doherty et al., 2020; Farzadfard et al., 2022; Guzzo et al., 2022; Sorrentino & Giasson, 2020).

Multiple lines of evidence implicate the N-terminal region in promoting α Syn self-assembly. Six of the seven familial PD mutations are found within α Syn[46–53] (Doherty et al., 2020), and modifications to the imperfect KTKEGV repeats within α Syn [9–30] strongly modulate aggregation (Kessler et al., 2003). The Pre-NAC fragment (α Syn [47–56]) forms steric-zipper protofilaments in isolation (Rodriguez et al., 2015). The formation of transient β -hairpins in residues α Syn [37–54] is suggested to be an important element in initiating oligomerization by acting as intermolecular binding elements (Yu et al., 2015). Multiple experimental approaches that target this same motif have been shown to inhibit α Syn full-length (α Syn-FL) oligomerization and fibrillation. In one approach, β -wrapin proteins (AS69 (Mirecka et al., 2014), AS10 (Orr et al., 2016)) bind specifically to the α Syn [37–54] region and stabilize β -hairpin conformations, forming complexes that sequester this aggregation-prone motif and prevent its participation in fibril growth. In another approach, double-cysteine mutants within this region (Agerschou




FIGURE 1 α Syn regions and the peptide fragments that were simulated herein. The amphipathic N-terminal (green) contains residues α Syn[1–60]. The central non-amyloid component (NAC) region (red) contains residues α Syn[61–95]. The acidic C-terminal region (orange) covers the last 45 residues. P1 and P2 are short motifs in the N-terminal region (light green). The sequences of P1, P2, P3, P3Next, and C1ext are listed. Note the charges on the termini are blocked in the simulation (see Methods).

et al., 2020; Shaykhalishahi et al., 2015) stabilize β -hairpins and restrict conformational flexibility, thereby inhibiting fibril elongation of α Syn-FL (Agerschou et al., 2020; Shaykhalishahi et al., 2015).

Two motifs in the N-terminal region of α Syn were identified by Doherty et al., 2020 as key modulators of amyloid formation in α Syn-FL: P1 (G₃₆VLYVGS₄₂) and P2 (K₄₅EGVVHGVATVAE₅₇). Deletion of P1, or of both P1 and P2, or substitution of these regions with alternating glycine–serine sequences, inhibits α Syn aggregation in vitro. Deletion of P1 alone prevents amyloid formation at neutral pH, whereas at lower pH deletion of both P1 and P2 is required to achieve the same. Mutational analysis of P1 shows that mutation L38M inhibits the nucleation phase of α Syn-FL amyloid formation but does not prevent fibril elongation from wild-type seeds (Ulamec et al., 2022). More recent research and analyses have reinforced the central role of P1 in oligomer-to-fibril conversion (Santos et al., 2024) and demonstrated that targeting this region with nanobodies suppresses fibril formation (Gialama et al., 2024).

We investigate the self-assembly mechanisms of the P1 and P2 motifs to understand their roles in α Syn-FL amyloid formation and to clarify how the L38M mutation inhibits fibrillation. The spontaneous aggregation of α -synuclein peptide fragments was studied using discontinuous molecular dynamics (DMD) simulations with the coarse-grained PRIME20 force field, which employs a three-bead backbone with directional hydrogen bonding and residue-specific side-chain interactions to capture secondary structure formation and sequence specificity (Cheon et al., 2010; Marchut & Hall, 2006; Nguyen & Hall, 2004; Voegler-Smith & Hall, 2001a). We focus on a series of fragments of increasing length: P1 (α Syn [36–42]), P2 (α Syn [45–57]), and P3 (α Syn [36–57]), which span P1, P2 and their connecting residues. In addition to L38M, two non-inhibitory mutations, L38A and V40A, were simulated for comparison. We

TABLE 1 Simulation conditions for all sequences.

Sequences	T (K)	Concentration	Number of peptides
P1	310	10 mM	48
P2	307	10 mM	24
P3	330	10 mM	24
P3Next	330	10 mM	24
C1ext	330	10 mM	24

also studied P3Next (α Syn [27–57]), which extends P3 toward the N-terminus to assess the influence of flanking residues on P1/P2 assembly. We also simulate C1ext (α Syn [14–35]), an extended peptide sequence derived from the C1 motif (α Syn [14–20]) which was selected as a control to mimic the characteristics of the P1 peptide by Doherty et al. (2020). They reported that deletion of the C1 motif in full-length α Syn did not produce the same inhibitory effect as deletion of the P1 motif in the same experimental conditions. The C1ext sequence was extended to match the length of P3 to assess whether PRIME20 overpredicts aggregation in α Syn-derived peptides. All peptides are summarized in Figure 1.

2 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1 | Wild-type α Syn fragments assemble into fibrillar structures in all simulations

The spontaneous self-assembly of α Syn peptide fragments was investigated using DMD simulation with the coarse-grained PRIME20 (Protein Intermediate-Resolution Model) force field. Systems containing 48 P1 peptides or 24 P2, P3, or P3Next peptides were simulated individually under conditions which allowed the fibrillation process to be completed within a reasonable time frame, resulting in well-ordered secondary structures. Independent simulations were performed for each peptide under each condition explored: three replicas for each P1 variant and for P2, four replicas for each P3 variant, and seven replicas for each P3Next variant. Hence a total of 59 simulations were performed. Simulation concentrations and temperatures are listed in Table 1 and the details of each simulation are listed in Table S1. Each simulation started with a system of peptides in random coil configurations. The systems were equilibrated at fixed temperatures, chosen to have enough energy to escape local minima but not so much as to prevent fibrillation. In the DMD/PRIME20 simulations, all the wild-type fragments (P1, P2, P3, and P3Next) assembled into fibril-like structures with varying types of internal organization. In our simulations, a fragment is considered to be “aggregated” if more than 50% of the runs yield a well-ordered assembly rich in β -sheet structure.

The simulations of P1-WT show that this sequence favors parallel arrangements of β -strands when forming a β -sheet, which then tends to stack in an antiparallel arrangement. Snapshots of a P1-WT simulation are shown in Figure 2. At the beginning of the simulation, all P1-WT peptides were in disordered configurations. As time evolved, seven peptides quickly formed a small, disordered cluster (0.24 μ s) with two parallel layers stacking in antiparallel arrangement. This cluster then grew to form a larger disordered oligomer (0.35 μ s). The peptides in this oligomer then rearranged themselves into a five-layer β -sheet-rich conformation (4.08 μ s). The five-layer structure dissociated and became a smaller four-layer structure (4.18 μ s). By $\sim$ 14 μ s, the P1-WT systems had formed a four-layer fibril with parallel β -sheets stacked in an antiparallel arrangement; this structure persisted until the end of the simulation ($\sim$ 32 μ s). On average, $90\% \pm 3\%$ of the residues in the fibril adopted parallel β -strand conformations. Antiparallel strands appear randomly within the P1-WT β -sheets. The time evolution of the percentage of residues in antiparallel β -strands (Figure S1a) and potential energy (Figure S1b) reveals the coupling between structural organization and energetic stabilization during aggregation. A rapid energy drop within the first few microseconds coincides with a decrease in the number of residues in antiparallel β -strands. After approximately 5 μ s, both quantities reached steady values, indicating that the systems had attained stable aggregated states.

In contrast to the P1 peptides, P2 peptides formed single-layer parallel β -sheets that curved into U-shaped or S-shaped fibrils (Figure 3a; Supplementary Movies 2 and 3), which elongated (as opposed to stacking into multilayer assemblies). This curvature arises from the presence of Gly51 near the center of the sequence and the formation of an inward-facing hydrophobic core with charged residues exposed to solvent. Consequently, fragments containing P2 exhibit a high tendency to form bent structures, including β -hairpins. These results are consistent with experimental observations of the PreNAC fragment (α Syn [47–56]), which forms a nanocrystal structure with antiparallel stacked β -sheets. Each β -sheet contained in-register parallel β -strands with a slight bend at G51 on each strand (Rodriguez et al., 2015). Figure S2 presents our simulated structures alongside the PreNAC region of the nanocrystal structure to highlight similarities in key structural features.

The P3-WT peptides formed single-layer β -sheets containing mixtures of parallel and antiparallel strands, the relative proportions of which varied across simulations, indicating that P3-WT can access multiple aggregation pathways. This observation is consistent with the polymorphic behavior observed experimentally in α Syn-FL oligomers (Guzzo et al., 2022). As shown in Figure S3, simulation runs in which antiparallel




FIGURE 2 Snapshots at different times during the early stage of fibril formation of P1-WT fragments from one simulation. See also Supplementary Movie 1.

β -sheets remained dominant for extended periods tended to exhibit slower fibril aggregation compared with runs that rapidly transitioned from antiparallel to parallel β -sheet arrangements. The aggregation process of P3-WT Run 3 is recorded in Supplementary Movie 4. In contrast to full-length α -synuclein, where intramolecular interactions between the domains (Dedmon et al., 2005), intermolecular interactions between proteins (Carulla et al., 2005), and protein-solvent interactions (Becker et al., 2023) facilitate repeated dissociation and re-association during fibril maturation, our fragment simulations emphasize local aggregation within the P1/P2 motifs. Early in the simulations, peptides undergo frequent association and dissociation events, reflected by large energy fluctuations (Figure 3c). Once oligomers formed, peptides generally remained stably associated, with fewer pathways for complete dissociation due to the absence of long-range interdomain and solvent-mediated interactions. Local rearrangements and occasional peptide dissociation still occurred to optimize packing, as evidenced by energy fluctuations (Figure 3c), hydrogen-bond dynamics (Figure S4), and Supplementary Movies. Consequently, our simulations primarily capture early aggregation kinetics and local structural reorganization of the P1/P2 motifs, rather than long-timescale fibril maturation. To ensure that we do not over-predict aggregation of P3-WT, we also simulated fragment C1ext in the same conditions as for the P3 system.

C1ext is an extension of fragment C1 that was predicted to have low amyloid propensity by the Zyggregator method (Doherty et al., 2020). C1ext did not aggregate in any of our simulations (Figure S5), consistent with the prediction.

Simulations of P3Next-WT produced β -sheet fibrils with diverse morphologies, all of which contained β -hairpin motifs (Figures 4a, S6). Analysis of β -hairpin counts across seven simulations shows consistent distributions with similar ranges and averages, indicating reproducible behavior rather than kinetic trapping (Figure 4b). Across all seven simulations, β -hairpin counts are most frequently observed between three and five, with values spanning from near zero to approximately eight, demonstrating broad conformational sampling (Figure 4c). The average percentage of β -hairpins formed within a single fibril was $23\% \pm 1\%$. Supplementary Movie 5 displays the aggregation process of P3Next-WT in Run 4.

Simulations of various α Syn-WT motifs reveal structural tendencies that favor parallel β -sheets and β -hairpin formation, both of which are associated with enhanced α Syn-FL fibrillation (Rodriguez et al., 2015; Tuttle et al., 2016; Williams et al., 2018; Wu & Baum, 2010; Yu et al., 2015; Zhou & Kurouski, 2020). In the simulations of P3-WT, the fibrils with higher numbers of parallel residues aggregated faster than those with fewer parallel residues, consistent with previous finding that antiparallel transient interactions delay




FIGURE 3 (a) Simulation snapshot of final conformation and schematic representation of U-shaped and S-shaped conformation of P2 fibrils. Red indicates G51 and green shows all other residues. (b) Simulation snapshots of P3 fragments at the end of each run show mixed fibrils with different percentage of parallel residues within the fibrils. As P3 contains both P1 and P2, the color code is used to display the position of P1 (red), P2 (green), and the in-between residues α Syn [43, 44] (blue). (c) Total interaction energy vs. time for the four runs of P3-WT.

fibrillation (Wu & Baum, 2010) and must rearrange to form stable parallel fibrils (Zhou & Kurouski, 2020). Our P3Next-WT (α Syn [27–57]) simulations predicted β -hairpin formation in all simulations. In comparison, Yu et al. (2015). found that β -hairpins formed within the P1/P2 region of α Syn-FL act as intermolecular binding

elements that promote on-pathway parallel oligomer formation, and that the isolated fragment α Syn [36–55] has an intrinsic propensity to form β -hairpins. However, because our peptide extends further toward the N-terminus than theirs does, the resulting hairpins display differences in geometry and in the positioning of




FIGURE 4 Conformational variability and β -hairpin statistics of P3Next-WT across seven independent simulations. (a) Snapshots at the ends of the simulations of P3Next-WT fragments reveal that the number of β -hairpins varies in the P3Next-WT fibrils. A color code is used to display the position of P1 (red), P2 (green), the in-between residues α Syn [43, 44] (blue), and the additional residues in P3Next compared to P3 (orange). Enlarged section from the snapshot of Run 7 shows β -hairpins in the fibril. (b) Distribution of β -hairpin content for each of the seven independent P3Next-WT trajectories, shown as violin plots. Each violin displays the full probability density of β -hairpin counts during a run, with horizontal bars marking the mean and vertical lines indicating extrema. (c) Pooled histogram of β -hairpin content across all frames from all seven WT trajectories shows that distribution is centered around 3–5 β -hairpins.

TABLE 2 PRIME20 simulation results for all α Syn sequences. The term “aggregates” in the table means that β -sheets formed.

Sequences	PRIME20 simulations
P1-L38A	Aggregates
P1-V40A	Aggregates
P1-L38M	Aggregates
P3-L38A	Doesn't aggregate
P3-V40A	Inconclusive
P3-L38M	Aggregates
P3Next-L38A	Aggregates
P3Next-V40A	Aggregates
P3Next-L38M	Aggregates

specific residues within the loop, with residue 38 often located at or near the turn. This extension provides an important advantage, as it enables us to capture how single-point mutations at sites such as L38 and V40 alter the local structure—an issue addressed in the following section.

2.2 | Effect of single-point mutations L38A, V40A, and L38M on the self-assembly of fragments P1, P3, and P3Next

We next investigated the effect of single point amino acid substitutions, L38A, V40A, and L38M on amyloid formation of α Syn fragments. Previous experiments (Ulamec et al., 2022) showed that the substitutions L38A and V40A have no effect on the kinetics of amyloid formation of α Syn-FL, but that L38M inhibits α Syn-FL amyloid assembly (fibrils were not observed at neutral pH over the time course of the experiment). As no experiments have been performed on the equivalent fragments (substitutions in P1, P2, P3, and P3Next), DMD/PRIME20 simulations were performed on these fragments containing these amino acid changes (Table 2) under identical conditions to those used for the wild-type equivalents (Table 1). To assess β -sheet formation, we classified each peptide variant as “aggregates” if β -sheets formed in more than 50% of simulations, “inconclusive” if 35%–50% formed β -sheets, and “doesn't aggregate” if fewer than 35% of simulations showed any β -sheet formation.

Simulations of all P1 variants (WT, L38A, V40A, and L38M) resulted in multilayer fibril formation, but with distinct morphologies (Figures 5a and S7) and β -strand arrangements (Figure 5b). P1-L38A formed prism-like fibrils composed of parallel β -sheets, with reduced antiparallel content ($2\% \pm 0\%$) relative to WT ($10\% \pm 3\%$). The irregularity in P1-L38A fibrils resulted from a bend at V40 that created an exposed gap between stacked β -sheets, allowing monomeric P1-L38A to bind at this site and extend into a new β -sheet (Figure S8). P1-V40A

consistently formed ordered two-layer fibrils with antiparallel stacking between parallel β -sheet layers and an antiparallel content similar to WT ($10\% \pm 4\%$). In contrast, P1-L38M fibrils contained fewer parallel strands and exhibited a significantly higher proportion of antiparallel residues ($35\% \pm 2\%$). These results demonstrate that single-point mutations within P1 alter fibril structures and β -strand arrangements, with L38M uniquely promoting antiparallel arrangements.

We next examined how sequence alterations affect the self-assembly of P3. In all four simulations, P3-L38M formed single-layer fibrils composed of mixed parallel and anti-parallel strands, similar to P3-WT. In contrast, P3-L38A failed to aggregate in three of four runs, even when simulations were extended to $\sim 39 \mu$ s (Figure S9). The results obtained for P3-V40A were inconclusive as two runs formed mixed fibrils, while all peptides remained as random coils in the other two runs (Figure S9). Overall, both L38A and V40A reduced the aggregation propensity of P3 relative to WT. These trends differ from experimental observations for α Syn-FL, where L38A and V40A do not affect fibrillation and L38M inhibits aggregation (Ulamec et al., 2022). In contrast, P3-L38M aggregated consistently in our simulations. This prompted us to consider a peptide that extends beyond P3 toward the N-terminal, P3Next (α Syn [27–57]) (Figure 1). Note that extension at the C-terminus was not considered as this region incorporates NAC. The increase in peptide length allows assessment of the effect of N-terminal flanking residues. The different behaviors of P3 and P3Next arise from the positions of the mutated residues relative to the β -hairpin-forming region. In the P3 peptide, residues 38 and 40 lie at the N-terminus and cannot participate in the backbone hydrogen-bond network or sidechain packing that stabilizes β -hairpins. Extending the sequence to P3Next places these residues within or adjacent to the turn/strand regions, allowing them to directly influence local backbone geometry, inter-strand packing, and hairpin nucleation.

Simulations of single-point substitutions in P3Next also show that the L38M mutation reduces β -hairpin formation in fibril structures. Final snapshots are shown in Figures 6a and S10–S12. To quantify the impact of sequence variation, we measured the percentage of β -hairpins in the fibrils formed at the end of each simulation (Figure 6b). Although all P3Next-L38M simulations formed fibrils, the average β -hairpin content ($16\% \pm 2\%$) was lower than that of P3Next-WT ($23\% \pm 1\%$). The percentage of β -hairpins in fibrils differs significantly between P3Next-L38M and -WT ($p = 0.009$). In contrast, P3Next-L38A and P3Next-V40A exhibited higher average β -hairpin content ($46\% \pm 8\%$ and $36\% \pm 6\%$, respectively), although these differences were not statistically significant relative to that for WT ($p = 0.052$ and 0.103). To assess whether differences in β -hairpin content among P3Next variants could arise





FIGURE 5 (a) Snapshots of final configurations of P1 peptide simulations with the sequence substitutions L38M, L38A, or V40A, as well as the wild-type P1 (P1-WT). Although all four sequences formed multi-layer fibrils, the number of parallel and antiparallel β -strands are different. Each fibril is shown from two different angles through separate snapshots. (b) Average percentage of antiparallel residues within a fibril.

from limited sampling, we performed a statistical power and sample size analysis (Cohen, 2013). For each comparison to P3Next-WT, standardized effect sizes (Cohen, 2013) were calculated, and the number of simulations required was estimated (Table S3; see Supplementary Information for details). Variants with large effects, such as P3Next-L38M and P3Next-L38A, require only 4–5 simulations, indicating that our seven simulations are sufficient. P3Next-V40A, which has only moderate effect, requires 9 simulations. These

results indicate that the current sampling adequately captures large mutation-induced differences in β -hairpin formation and that additional simulations are unlikely to alter the qualitative conclusions. The finding that P3Next-L38M has a lower propensity to form β -hairpins compared with P3Next-L38A, -V40A, and -WT is consistent with previous reports suggesting that β -hairpin formation involving P1/P2 could be an important factor in initiating self-assembly of α Syn-FL (Mirecka et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2015).




FIGURE 6 (a) Snapshot of β -hairpin formation in all P3Next variant fibrils. (b) The percentage of β -hairpins formed in each fibril. The average percentage of β -hairpins in P3Next-L38M fibril is less than in the fibrils of the other variants. P3Next-WT and L38M aggregated in all seven runs, L38A and V40A did not aggregate in all simulations. The dashed lines and the numbers above them indicate the average percentage of β -hairpins formed by each variant. The figure also displays probability values indicating statistical significance at the top.

In simulations of L38A and V40A in the P3 and P3Next variants, a small number of simulated systems failed to aggregate, suggesting either kinetic trapping or reduced thermodynamic stability. To distinguish between these possibilities, non-aggregating systems were subjected to a brief temperature-elevation step followed by annealing back to the original simulation temperature, similar to a simulated annealing (Kirkpatrick et al., 1983). Several previously non-

aggregating samples reorganized into β -sheets after this perturbation (Figures S13, S14), indicating that their initial failure to aggregate arose from kinetic traps. In contrast, a subset of samples remained disordered, suggesting thermodynamic effects. These systems were then simulated at lower temperatures (325 and 320 K), and results showed enhanced aggregation, with shorter lag times at 320 K (Figures S15–S17). Comparison across temperatures shows that the L38A

and V40A mutations reduce the effective fibrillization temperature relative to the wild type. These results indicate that the non-aggregating behavior observed for these variants arises from a combination of kinetic trapping and mutation-induced changes in thermodynamic stability.

In addition to the mutations L38M, L38A, and V40A, we simulated two additional variants, Y39A and S42A, which have been reported to increase lag times and to result in the formation of trapped oligomers in α -synuclein fibrillation (Santos et al., 2024; Ulamec et al., 2022). All the simulation conditions and results are listed on Table S2. For P1, both Y39A and S42A exhibited higher antiparallel β -strand content than P1-WT, -L38A, and -V40A, but lower than -L38M, consistent with our overall conclusions. The average percentage of antiparallel content for P1-Y39A and -S42A are $30\% \pm 2\%$ and $14\% \pm 1\%$, respectively (Figure S19). However, P3Next-Y39A and -S42A did not show the reduction in β -hairpin formation that is observed in L38M (Figure S22). Structural analysis indicates that Y39A, which is located at the β -hairpin turn, tightens the turn and enhances β -hairpin formation ($30\% \pm 3\%$) compared with L38M ($16\% \pm 2\%$) and WT ($23\% \pm 1\%$). S42A, which is positioned on the β -strand away from the turn, has little effect; therefore, its average β -hairpin content ($23\% \pm 3\%$) is similar to that of WT. These results highlight the importance of mutation position in modulating β -hairpin structure and aggregation behavior.

Our findings should be interpreted within the scope of the coarse-grained PRIME20 model, which captures the essential physics of peptide aggregation while enabling efficient sampling. PRIME20 was parameterized against 711 PDB protein structures and has successfully reproduced aggregation pathways and fibril morphologies of multiple amyloidogenic peptides in prior studies (Cheon et al., 2010, 2011, 2012, 2015; Dong et al., 2023; Latshaw et al., 2014; Latshaw & Hall, 2015; Wagoner et al., 2012, 2014; Wang et al., 2016; Wang & Hall, 2018). Because PRIME20 does not include explicit solvent or full atomistic interactions, we interpret our results in terms of structural tendencies—such as β -strand registry and β -hairpin formation—rather than absolute thermodynamic stability. To assess the physical stability of representative DMD-predicted fibrils at atomistic resolution, one P1-WT and one P1-L38M fibril were reconstructed to all-atom resolution using PULCHRA (Protein Chain Reconstruction Algorithm) (Rotkiewicz & Skolnick, 2008) and subjected to explicit-solvent molecular dynamics simulations. Across six independent 500-ns trajectories, the reconstructed fibrils retained their β -sheet architectures (Figures S23 and S28), with most peptide chains exhibiting stable C α RMSD values (<2 Å) (Figures S24–S26 and S29–S31) and no sustained loss of β -sheet content (Figures S27 and S32). The absence of significant β -sheet loss of

content indicates that the atomistic system preserves the DMD-predicted fibril arrangements.

3 | DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Although α Syn is involved in many neurodegenerative diseases, the mechanism of its amyloid assembly and the role of the N- and C-terminal domains in fibrillation remain unclear. Combining experimental and computational methods can provide insights into molecular interactions that drive or inhibit amyloid formation, including the effects of amino acid substitutions. For α Syn, oligomerization is thought to be initiated by the formation of β -hairpins within the PreNAC (P2) region (Yu et al., 2015). Conversion/growth of the oligomer to the fibril is accelerated by the presence of parallel as opposed to antiparallel conformations (Williams et al., 2018; Wu & Baum, 2010). We have shown that deleting P1 and P2 (Doherty et al., 2020), or mutating Leu 38 to Met (L38M) in P1 (Ulamec et al., 2022) inhibits α Syn-FL amyloid formation through different effects. In this paper, we use DMD/PRIME20 simulations to provide insight at the molecular level into the impact of these regions on the fibrillation of α Syn fragment variants (P1, P2, P3, P3Next, including both WT and mutated sequences).

Overall, we draw two conclusions from evaluating the fibrillar structures that are formed in the simulations of the peptide variants (WT and mutated). First, WT fragments have a high propensity to form fibrillation-prone structures such as parallel β -sheets and β -hairpins. Second, the mechanism by which L38M prevents the aggregation of α Syn-FL is not by reducing the aggregation propensity of the sequence itself, but by altering its propensity to form the fibrillation-prone structures in the early stages of amyloid formation. The simulations showed that the L38M substitution in the peptide fragment reduces the formation of parallel β -strands for P1 variants and β -hairpins for P3Next variants compared to the WT fragments. In contrast to L38M, the other single-point substitutions, P1-L38A and P1-V40A, increased parallel β -fibril formation for P1 variants and had higher percentages of β -hairpins in their P3Next fibrils. Based on our conclusions and the suggested aggregation mechanism by Yu et al. (2015). (Figure 7a), we propose two possible inhibition pathways for the L38M point mutation, as illustrated in Figure 7b.

The first inhibition pathway shown in Figure 7b suggests that the L38M mutation reduces β -hairpin formation in the P1/P2 region which is proposed to act as an intermolecular binding site during the early stages of oligomerization (Yu et al., 2015) (Figure 7b2.1). This reduction in β -hairpin formation may also hinder the oligomer from adopting conformations necessary for fibril conversion. This aligns with the recent report by Santos et al. (2024), which suggests that the P1/P2 region




FIGURE 7 (a) A possible mechanism for α Syn-FL-WT aggregation (Yu et al., 2015). (b) A possible mechanism for L38M inhibition of α Syn-FL. L38M affects α Syn-FL by reducing β -hairpin formation in P1/P2 region and by inducing antiparallel structures. The colored blocks indicate the regions that are simulated: P1 (red), P2 (green). The rest of the α Syn-FL protein is gray.

adopts a folded or partially-folded conformation with specific structural characteristics crucial for oligomer-to-fibril conversion. Although the 38th residue is observed in the hairpins formed by all variants in the simulations, its relative position and the loop length differ between L38M and the other variants. In simulations of P3Next-L38M, residue 38 is typically located within the loop region, occupying either the first or second loop position. In contrast, in P3Next-L38A, V40A or -WT, residue 38 is frequently positioned at the start of the loop or remains part of the β -strand immediately preceding the loop. Although targeting different mutations on the P1/P2 regions from us, Agerschou et al. (2020) suggest that the precise position of the β -hairpin is critical to the inhibitory efficiency. An additional observation from our simulations is that the loop in the P3Next-L38M β -hairpin is likely to contain four residues, while the β -hairpins of other variants generally contain three residues. Studies have shown that a shorter loop length stabilizes the β -hairpin structure and accelerates hairpin formation due to a tighter hydrophobic core (Andersen et al., 2006; Colombo et al., 2003). The preference of L38M for a longer loop length compared to the other variants may reflect methionine's bulkier side chain relative to leucine and alanine, causing it to need additional space within the loop.

The second inhibition pathway suggests that L38M increases antiparallel oligomers (Figure 7b2.2), potentially slowing amyloid formation by requiring rearrangement into fibrillation-prone parallel oligomers (Figure 7b3.1). Alternatively, it could prevent α Syn-FL

fibrillation by stabilizing unproductive oligomers trapped in an antiparallel state (Figure 7b3.2). This interpretation is supported by multiple experimental studies showing that antiparallel oligomers are typically associated with off-pathway or prefibrillar species rather than mature α Syn fibrils. Wu and Baum (2010) described off-pathway antiparallel oligomer conformations that were incompatible with fibril growth. Celej et al (2012). demonstrated that toxic oligomers can adopt antiparallel β -sheets which differed from the observed amyloid fibrils. Chen et al. (2015) characterized kinetically trapped oligomers that failed to grow to fibrils. Williams et al. (2018) reported structural polymorphism among oligomers showing that varying differences in β -strand orientation determine whether an oligomer can convert into a fibril or remain trapped in oligomeric states. In addition, Guzzo et al. (2022) reviewed antiparallel-rich intermediates, linking them to off-fibrillation pathways. Taken together, these studies provide precedents for interpreting panel b4 as off-pathway antiparallel oligomers that hinder productive fibril assembly.

4 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1 | Discontinuous molecular dynamics (DMD) and PRIME20 force field

DMD is an event-driven simulation approach that employs discontinuous interaction potentials, enabling

efficient sampling at constant temperature using the Andersen thermostat (Andersen, 1980). PRIME20 is an implicit-solvent, intermediate-resolution coarse-grained protein model developed for use with DMD simulations (Cheon et al., 2010; Nguyen et al., 2004; Nguyen & Hall, 2004; Voegler-Smith & Hall, 2001a, 2001b). Each amino acid is represented by four beads, with explicit treatment of backbone geometry, stereochemistry, terminal caps, and directional hydrogen bonding, allowing secondary structure elements such as α -helices and β -sheets to emerge without the use of biasing potentials (Cheon et al., 2010; Voegler-Smith & Hall, 2001a).

PRIME20 was parameterized using a knowledge-based approach against native structures from 711 proteins in the Protein Data Bank and validated by its ability to discriminate native folds from decoys (Cheon et al., 2010). DMD/PRIME20 has been validated extensively through comparisons with experimental data. Prior studies reproduced fibril formation of A β 16–22 (Cheon et al., 2011), crowding-accelerated aggregation (Latshaw et al., 2014), prion nucleation pathways (Wang, Latshaw, & Hall, 2017), and the thermodynamic phase diagram of A β 16–22 aggregation (Wang et al., 2019). More recent work combined PRIME20 with atomistic MD to investigate the effect of anionic amino acids on co-assembly dynamics and nanofiber structure (Dong et al., 2023). The model has also been applied by other groups to study protein folding and aggregation in confinement (Javidpour et al., 2008, 2009; Javidpour & Sahimi, 2011), universal aggregation scaling laws (Zheng et al., 2016, 2018), and single polypeptide chain folding in Monte Carlo simulations (Böker & Paul, 2022). Collectively, these studies demonstrate that PRIME20 reliably captures experimentally relevant aggregation behavior and is broadly applicable to protein folding, self-assembly, and disease-related amyloid systems.

4.2 | Relating reduced units to real units in DMD/PRIME20 simulations

The PRIME20 model measures time and temperature in terms of reduced units. The real temperature (T , Kelvin) is a linear function of the reduced temperature in PRIME20. The correlation $T^* = (T + 115.79)/2288.46$ was obtained by matching the folding temperature of alanine-rich polypeptides in DMD/PRIME20 simulations to experimental values (Wang et al., 2016). Conversion to real-time was performed by comparing self-diffusion coefficients of specific peptides in PRIME20 simulations to simulations of the same peptides computed in atomistic simulation at the same concentration. Wang et al. did this conversion using A β (16–22) and found that 1 unit of the reduced time in PRIME20 equals approximately 0.96 ns in real-time (Wang, Shao, & Hall, 2017).

4.3 | Simulation procedure

All DMD/PRIME20 simulations started from random coil conformations; the peptides aggregated spontaneously to form equilibrium structures (Cheon et al., 2011; Latshaw et al., 2014; Nguyen & Hall, 2004). Simulation temperatures were selected heuristically, consistent with prior PRIME20 studies (Cheon et al., 2010; Nguyen & Hall, 2004; Wang et al., 2016). For each wild-type peptide, trial simulations were performed over a range of reduced temperatures (0.175–0.200) to identify conditions that allowed efficient sampling and formation of ordered aggregates within feasible simulation times, while avoiding kinetic trapping in disordered states. All mutant peptides were simulated at the same reduced temperature as their corresponding wild-type sequence to enable direct comparison of mutation effects. Although replica-exchange methods (Sugita & Okamoto, 1999) could provide a more systematic approach, they are not readily compatible with event-driven DMD simulations. This pragmatic strategy is consistent with established practice in coarse-grained aggregation studies. Each simulation started at a high temperature of 1028 K. Once all the peptides in the simulated system were relaxed into random coils, the temperature was reduced gradually to the desired simulation temperature. The production simulation then proceeded for at least 15 μ s. For systems that did not aggregate or had not formed a stable structure, simulations were extended to over 35 μ s. P1, which is only 7 residues long, was simulated in systems with 48 peptide chains (twice the size of other peptide systems) so that the β -sheet alignment and stacking in P1 fibril could be better observed. Details of simulation systems are listed on Table 1, S1 and S2.

4.4 | Quantification of secondary structures

4.4.1 | Quantification of parallel and antiparallel residues

The number of parallel and antiparallel residues in the system was determined using the Define Secondary Structure of Proteins (DSSP) algorithm (Gorelov et al., 2024; Kabsch & Sander, 1983). This method is implemented within our DMD/PRIME20 software suite, which enables identification of hydrogen bonds between backbone atoms. Our algorithm applies the elementary hydrogen-bond patterns for parallel and antiparallel β -bridges, as defined by DSSP, to determine the hydrogen-bonding motif for each residue.

4.4.2 | Quantification of β -hairpins

Secondary structure assignments were obtained using visual molecular dynamics (Humphrey et al., 1996) with

the STRIDE algorithm (Frishman & Argos, 1995). These assignments were used to identify β -hairpins and β -strands. A β -hairpin was defined as a strand containing a turn of 2–5 residues, with each turn residue located at least seven residues from either terminus and flanked by at least three consecutive “extended beta” residues on each side. This criterion avoids misidentifying strand termini as turns and ensures the presence of two β -strand segments connected by a turn. A β -strand was defined as a segment containing at least four consecutive “extended beta” residues that participate in a β -sheet. The percentage of β -hairpins was calculated as the total number of β -hairpins divided by the total number of β -strands, multiplied by 100.

4.4.3 | Statistical analysis of β -hairpin formation

Statistical significance of differences in the percentage of β -hairpin formation between each P3Next mutant and WT was evaluated using Welch's *t*-test (Welch, 1951). *P*-values were calculated using the T.TEST function in Microsoft Excel (two-tailed, type = 3), which accounts for unequal variances and unequal sample sizes. A two-tailed test was used to detect differences in either direction relative to the wild-type. Differences were considered statistically significant at *p* < 0.05.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Van T. T. Nguyen: Investigation; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing; visualization; data curation; formal analysis. **Sabine M. Ulamec:** Investigation; writing – review and editing; validation. **David J. Brockwell:** Supervision; writing – review and editing; validation. **Sheena E. Radford:** Validation; supervision; writing – review and editing. **Carol K. Hall:** Validation; supervision; funding acquisition; project administration; resources.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by funds from the National Science Foundation (Grants CBET 1835838 and CBET1743432). SMU was funded by Wellcome (215062/Z/18/Z). SER is a Royal Society Professorial Research Fellow (RSRP/R1/211057).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that they have no known competing interests that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the manuscript and the Supplementary Information. Final PDB structures, trajectory and energy profiles, parallel and antiparallel β -sheet analyses, secondary structure profiles obtained using

VMD, analysis scripts, Excel spreadsheets, and Supplementary Movies 1–5 are available at: <https://github.com/CarolHall-NCSU-CBE/Alpha-Synuclein-Nterminal-Fragments-Simulations-VN.git>. The DMD/PRIME20 simulation software is available at: <https://github.com/CarolHall-NCSU-CBE/Serial-DMD-PRIME20>.

ORCID

Van T. T. Nguyen  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8779-6667>

David J. Brockwell  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0802-5937>

REFERENCES

- Agerschou ED, Borgmann V, Wördehoff MM, Hoyer W. Inhibitor and substrate cooperate to inhibit amyloid fibril elongation of α -synuclein. *Chem Sci*. 2020;11:11331–7.
- Andersen HC. Molecular dynamics simulations at constant pressure and/or temperature. *J Chem Phys*. 1980;72:2384–93.
- Andersen NH, Olsen KA, Fesinmeyer RM, Tan X, Hudson FM, Eidenschink LA, et al. Minimization and optimization of designed β -hairpin folds. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2006;128:6101–10.
- Becker S, Giller K, Sieme D, Rezaei-Ghaleh N. Maturation of amyloid β fibrils alters their molecular stability. *Phys Chem Chem Phys*. 2023;25:15099–103.
- Bisi N, Feni L, Peqini K, Pérez-Peña H, Ongeri S, Pieraccini S, et al. α -Synuclein: an all-inclusive trip around its structure, influencing factors and applied techniques. *Front Chem*. 2021;9:666585.
- Böcker A, Paul W. Thermodynamics and conformations of single polyaniline, Polyserine, and polyglutamine chains within the PRIME20 model. *J Phys Chem B*. 2022;126:7286–97.
- Burré J, Vivona S, Diaio J, Sharma M, Brunker AT, Südhof TC. Properties of native brain α -synuclein. *Nature*. 2013;498:E4–6.
- Cabin DE, Shimazu K, Murphy D, Cole NB, Gottschalk W, McIlwain KL, et al. Synaptic vesicle depletion correlates with attenuated synaptic responses to prolonged repetitive stimulation in mice lacking α -synuclein. *J Neurosci*. 2002;22:8797–807.
- Carulla N, Caddy GL, Hall DR, Zurdo J, Gairi M, Feliz M, et al. Molecular recycling within amyloid fibrils. *Nature*. 2005;436:554–8.
- Celej MS, Sarroukh R, Goormaghtigh E, Fidelio GD, Ruysschaert JM, Raussens V. Toxic prefibrillar α -synuclein amyloid oligomers adopt a distinctive antiparallel β -sheet structure. *Biochem J*. 2012;443:719–26.
- Chen SW, Drakulic S, Deas E, Oubrai M, Aprile FA, Arranz R, et al. Structural characterization of toxic oligomers that are kinetically trapped during α -synuclein fibril formation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2015;112:E1994–2003.
- Cheon M, Chang I, Hall CK. Extending the PRIME model for protein aggregation to all 20 amino acids. *Proteins*. 2010;78:2950–60.
- Cheon M, Chang I, Hall CK. Spontaneous formation of twisted A β 16–22 fibrils in large-scale molecular-dynamics simulations. *Biophys J*. 2011;101:2493–501.
- Cheon M, Chang I, Hall CK. Influence of temperature on formation of perfect tau fragment fibrils using PRIME20/DMD simulations. *Protein Sci*. 2012;21:1514–27.
- Cheon M, Hall CK, Chang I. Structural conversion of A β 17–42 peptides from disordered oligomers to U-shape protofilaments via multiple kinetic pathways. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2015;11:e1004258.
- Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. New York: Routledge; 2013. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203771587>
- Colombo G, de Mori GMS, Roccatano D. Interplay between hydrophobic cluster and loop propensity in β -hairpin formation: a mechanistic study. *Protein Sci*. 2003;12:538–50.

- Dear AJ, Michaels TCT, Meisl G, Klennerman D, Wu S, Perrett S, et al. Kinetic diversity of amyloid oligomers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2020;117:12087–94.
- Dedmon MM, Lindorff-Larsen K, Christodoulou J, Vendruscolo M, Dobson CM. Mapping long-range interactions in α -synuclein using spin-label NMR and ensemble molecular dynamics simulations. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2005;127:476–7.
- Doherty CPA, Ulapec SM, Maya-Martinez R, Good SC, Makepeace J, Khan GN, et al. A short motif in the N-terminal region of α -synuclein is critical for both aggregation and function. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 2020;27:249–59.
- Dong XY, Liu R, Seroski DT, Hudalla GA, Hall CK. Programming co-assembled peptide nanofiber morphology via anionic amino acid type: insights from molecular dynamics simulations. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2023;19:e1011685.
- Eliezer D, Kutluay E, Bussell R, Browne G. Conformational properties of α -synuclein in its free and lipid-associated states 1 Edited by P. E. Wright. *J Mol Biol*. 2001;307:1061–73.
- Emre M, Aarsland D, Brown R, Burn DJ, Duyckaerts C, Mizuno Y, et al. Clinical diagnostic criteria for dementia associated with Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord*. 2007;22:1689–707.
- Farzadfar A, Pedersen JN, Meisl G, Somavarapu AK, Alam P, Goksøyr L, et al. The C-terminal tail of α -synuclein protects against aggregate replication but is critical for oligomerization. *Commun Biol*. 2022;5:123.
- Fauvet B, Mbefo MK, Fares MB, Desobry C, Michael S, Ardah MT, et al. α -synuclein in central nervous system and from erythrocytes, mammalian cells, and *Escherichia coli* exists predominantly as disordered monomer. *J Biol Chem*. 2012;287:15345–64.
- Frishman D, Argos P. Knowledge-based protein secondary structure assignment. *Proteins*. 1995;23:566–79.
- Gialama D, Vadukul DM, Thrush RJ, Radford SE, Aprile FA. A potent Sybody selectively inhibits α -synuclein amyloid formation by binding to the P1 region. *J Med Chem*. 2024;67:9857–68.
- Goetz CG. The history of Parkinson's disease: early clinical descriptions and neurological therapies. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*. 2011;1:a008862.
- Gorelov S, Titov A, Tolicheva O, Konevega A, Shvetsov A. DSSP in GROMACS: tool for defining secondary structures of proteins in trajectories. *J Chem Inf Model*. 2024;64:3593–8.
- Grazia Spillantini M, Anthony Crowther R, Jakes R, Cairns NJ, Lantos PL, Goedert M. Filamentous α -synuclein inclusions link multiple system atrophy with Parkinson's disease and dementia with Lewy bodies. *Neurosci Lett*. 1998;251:205–8.
- Guzzo A, Delarue P, Rojas A, Nicolai A, Maisuradze GG, Senet P. Wild-type α -synuclein and variants occur in different disordered dimers and pre-fibrillar conformations in early stage of aggregation. *Front Mol Biosci*. 2022;9:910104.
- Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K. VMD: Visual molecular dynamics. *J Mol Graph*. 1996;14:33–8.
- Javidpour L, Sahimi M. Confinement in nanopores can destabilize α -helix folding proteins and stabilize the β structures. *J Chem Phys*. 2011;135:125101.
- Javidpour L, Tabar MRR, Sahimi M. Molecular simulation of protein dynamics in nanopores. I. Stability and folding. *J Chem Phys*. 2008;128:115105.
- Javidpour L, Tabar MRR, Sahimi M. Molecular simulation of protein dynamics in nanopores. II. Diffusion. *J Chem Phys*. 2009;130:085105.
- Kabsch W, Sander C. Dictionary of protein secondary structure: pattern recognition of hydrogen-bonded and geometrical features. *Biopolymers*. 1983;22:2577–637.
- Kessler JC, Rochet J-C, Lansbury PT. The N-terminal repeat domain of α -synuclein inhibits β -sheet and amyloid fibril formation. *Biochemistry*. 2003;42:672–8.
- Kirkpatrick S, Gelatt CD, Vecchi MP. Optimization by simulated annealing. *Science*. 1983;220:671–80.
- Latshaw DC, Cheon M, Hall CK. Effects of macromolecular crowding on amyloid β (16–22) aggregation using coarse-grained simulations. *J Phys Chem B*. 2014;118:13513–26.
- Latshaw DC, Hall CK. Effects of hydrophobic macromolecular crowders on amyloid β (16–22) aggregation. *Biophys J*. 2015;109:124–34.
- Lorenzen N, Nielsen SB, Buell AK, Kaspersen JD, Arosio P, Vad BS, et al. The role of stable α -synuclein oligomers in the molecular events underlying amyloid formation. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2014;136:3859–68.
- Marchut AJ, Hall CK. Spontaneous formation of annular structures observed in molecular dynamics simulations of polyglutamine peptides. *Comput Biol Chem*. 2006;30:215–8.
- McKeith IG, Dickson DW, Lowe J, Emre M, O'Brien JT, Feldman H, et al. Diagnosis and management of dementia with Lewy bodies: third report of the DLB consortium. *Neurology*. 2005;65:1863–72.
- Mirecka EA, Shaykhalishahi H, Gauhar A, Akgül Ş, Lecher J, Willbold D, et al. Sequestration of a β -hairpin for control of α -synuclein aggregation. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2014;53:4227–30.
- Murphy DD, Rueter SM, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VM-Y. Synucleins are developmentally expressed, and α -synuclein regulates the size of the presynaptic vesicular Pool in primary hippocampal neurons. *J Neurosci*. 2000;20:3214–20.
- Nguyen HD, Hall CK. Molecular dynamics simulations of spontaneous fibril formation by random-coil peptides. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2004;101:16180–5.
- Nguyen HD, Marchut AJ, Hall CK. Solvent effects on the conformational transition of a model polyalanine peptide. *Protein Sci*. 2004;13:2909–24.
- Orr AA, Wördehoff MM, Hoyer W, Tamamis P. Uncovering the binding and specificity of β -Wrapins for amyloid- β and α -synuclein. *J Phys Chem B*. 2016;120:12781–94.
- Parkinson J. An essay on the shaking palsy. *JNP*. 2002;14:223–36.
- Rodriguez JA, Ivanova MI, Sawaya MR, Cascio D, Reyes FE, Shi D, et al. Structure of the toxic core of α -synuclein from invisible crystals. *Nature*. 2015;525:486–90.
- Rotkiewicz P, Skolnick J. Fast procedure for reconstruction of full-atom protein models from reduced representations. *J Comput Chem*. 2008;29:1460–5.
- Santos J, Cuellar J, Pallarès I, Byrd EJ, Lends A, Moro F, et al. A targetable N-terminal motif orchestrates α -synuclein oligomer-to-fibril conversion. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2024;146:12702–11.
- Sawaya MR, Hughes MP, Rodriguez JA, Riek R, Eisenberg DS. The expanding amyloid family: structure, stability, function, and pathogenesis. *Cell*. 2021;184:4857–73.
- Shaykhalishahi H, Gauhar A, Wördehoff MM, Grüning CSR, Klein AN, Bannach O, et al. Contact between the β 1 and β 2 segments of α -synuclein that inhibits amyloid formation. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2015;54:8837–40.
- Sorrentino ZA, Giasson BI. The emerging role of α -synuclein truncation in aggregation and disease. *J Biol Chem*. 2020;295:10224–44.
- Spillantini MG, Crowther RA, Jakes R, Hasegawa M, Goedert M. α -Synuclein in filamentous inclusions of Lewy bodies from Parkinson's disease and dementia with Lewy bodies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1998;95:6469–73.
- Spillantini MG, Schmidt ML, Lee VMY, Trojanowski JQ, Jakes R, Goedert M. α -Synuclein in Lewy bodies. *Nature*. 1997;388:839–40.
- Sugita Y, Okamoto Y. Replica-exchange molecular dynamics method for protein folding. *Chem Phys Lett*. 1999;314:141–51.
- Tuttle MD, Comellas G, Nieuwkoop AJ, Covell DJ, Berthold DA, Kloepper KD, et al. Solid-state NMR structure of a pathogenic fibril of full-length human α -synuclein. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 2016;23:409–15.
- Ulapec SM, Maya-Martinez R, Byrd EJ, Dewison KM, Xu Y, Willis LF, et al. Single residue modulators of amyloid formation in the N-terminal P1-region of α -synuclein. *Nat Commun*. 2022;13:4986.

- Ulmer TS, Bax A, Cole NB, Nussbaum RL. Structure and dynamics of micelle-bound human α -synuclein. *J Biol Chem*. 2005;280:9595–603.
- Voegler-Smith A, Hall CK. α -helix formation: discontinuous molecular dynamics on an intermediate-resolution protein model. *Proteins*. 2001a;44:344–60.
- Voegler-Smith A, Hall CK. Assembly of a tetrameric α -helical bundle: computer simulations on an intermediate-resolution protein model. *Proteins*. 2001b;44:376–91.
- Wagoner VA, Cheon M, Chang I, Hall CK. Fibrillization propensity for short designed hexapeptides predicted by computer simulation. *J Mol Biol*. 2012;416:598–609.
- Wagoner VA, Cheon M, Chang I, Hall CK. Impact of sequence on the molecular assembly of short amyloid peptides. *Proteins*. 2014;82:1469–83.
- Wang Y, Bunce SJ, Radford SE, Wilson AJ, Auer S, Hall CK. Thermodynamic phase diagram of amyloid- β (16–22) peptide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2019;116:2091–6.
- Wang Y, Hall CK. Seeding and cross-seeding fibrillation of N-terminal prion protein peptides PrP(120–144). *Protein Sci*. 2018;27:1304–13.
- Wang Y, Latshaw DC, Hall CK. Aggregation of $\alpha\beta$ (17–36) in the presence of naturally occurring phenolic inhibitors using coarse-grained simulations. *J Mol Biol*. 2017;429:3893–908.
- Wang Y, Shao Q, Hall CK. N-terminal prion protein peptides (PrP (120–144)) form parallel in-register β -sheets via multiple nucleation-dependent pathways. *J Biol Chem*. 2016;291:22093–105.
- Wang Y, Shao Q, Hall CK. N-terminal prion protein peptides (PrP (120–144)) form parallel in-register β -sheets via multiple nucleation-dependent pathways. *J Biol Chem*. 2017;292:20655.
- Welch BL. On the comparison of several mean values: an alternative approach. *Biometrika*. 1951;38:330–6.
- Williams JK, Yang X, Baum J. Interactions between the intrinsically disordered proteins β -synuclein and α -synuclein. *Proteomics*. 2018;18:1800109.
- Wu K-P, Baum J. Detection of transient interchain interactions in the intrinsically disordered protein α -synuclein by NMR paramagnetic relaxation enhancement. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2010;132:5546–7.
- Yu H, Han W, Ma W, Schulten K. Transient β -hairpin formation in α -synuclein monomer revealed by coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulation. *J Chem Phys*. 2015;143:243142.
- Zheng S, Javidpour L, Shing KS, Sahimi M. Dynamics of proteins aggregation. I. Universal scaling in unbounded media. *J Chem Phys*. 2016;145:134306.
- Zheng S, Shing KS, Sahimi M. Dynamics of proteins aggregation. II. Dynamic scaling in confined media. *J Chem Phys*. 2018;148:104305.
- Zhou L, Kurouski D. Structural characterization of individual α -synuclein oligomers formed at different stages of protein aggregation by atomic force microscopy-infrared spectroscopy. *Anal Chem*. 2020;92:6806–10.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Nguyen VTT, Ulamec SM, Brockwell DJ, Radford SE, Hall CK. In silico peptide self-assembly reveals the importance of N-terminal motifs and the inhibition mechanism of the mutation L38M in α -synuclein fibrillation. *Protein Science*. 2026;35(4):e70530. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.70530>