



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/236956/>

Version: Published Version

Proceedings Paper:

Katibi, O.S., Andrew, P., Pinnock, A. et al. (2025) P16 Effect of moisturisers on allergen-induced inflammation and skin barrier. In: British Journal of Dermatology. 40th British Society for Paediatric and Adolescent Dermatology Annual Meeting 2025, 07-08 Nov 2025, Nottingham, United Kingdom. ISSN: 0007-0963. EISSN: 1365-2133.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/bjd/ljaf465.024>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC) licence. This licence allows you to remix, tweak, and build upon this work non-commercially, and any new works must also acknowledge the authors and be non-commercial. You don't have to license any derivative works on the same terms. More information and the full terms of the licence here:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS



**University of
Sheffield**



**UNIVERSITY
of York**

Results

Skin barrier integrity was reduced by all three treatments relative to NTC as indicated by elevated TEWL (OO 30.68 ± 16.08 , CO 30.72 ± 15.60 and EC 31.99 ± 16.92 compared to NTC $26.15 \pm 13.58 \text{ g/m}^2/\text{h}$; $P=0.0067$). The strongest APT reactions (graded 0–4) were seen following pre-treatment with EC(2.28 ± 1.03) when compared to the NTC (1.70 ± 0.90 , $P=0.0147$), followed by OO (2.00 ± 1.032), then CO (1.93 ± 0.84).

Conclusion

Some moisturisers decrease skin barrier integrity and increase reactions to allergens coming in contact with the skin. Further studies are required to better understand the mechanisms of this effect and the role of moisturiser use in the broader development of atopic diseases.

Abstract citation ID: **ijaf465.024**

P16 Effect of moisturisers on allergen-induced inflammation and skin barrier

Oludolapo Sherifat Katibi,^{1,2} Paul Andrew,³ Abigail Pinnock,³ Kirsty Brown,³ Michael Cork,^{1,3} and Simon Danby³

¹*Sheffield Children's Hospital, Sheffield, UK; ²University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria; and ³University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK*

Abstract

Introduction

Recent evidence suggests that moisturisers are not beneficial in preventing development of atopic dermatitis (AD) in infants but can increase risk of allergic sensitisation. Given that emollient vehicles can modify the delivery of 'actives' into the skin it is important to examine their effects on cutaneous sensitization to environmental allergens.

Objectives

To determine the effects of regularly using emollients on cutaneous reactions to house dust mite allergen.

Materials and Methods

Observer-blind study in 40 adults with house dust mite sensitisation. Participants underwent 4 weeks of treatment with olive oil OO, coconut oil CO and a basic emollient cream EC applied on 3 different areas of both forearms with a fourth area left as a no-treatment control (NTC). Skin barrier integrity was assessed with tape-stripping trans-epidermal water loss (TEWL) and atopy patch tests (APT) were performed.

NO COMPROMISE, JUST CLEARANCE

Bimzelx®▼ (bimekizumab) offers the opportunity for complete, fast, and lasting skin clearance and proven PsA efficacy¹⁻⁷

68.2%

(n=238/349)

of patients
with PsO achieved
PASI 100 at Week 16

(vs 1.2% placebo [n=1/86], p<0.0001)***²

75.9%

(n=265/349)

of patients
with PsO achieved
PASI 75 at Week 4

(vs 1.2% placebo [n=1/86], p<0.0001)***²

76.9%

(N=52)[†]

of patients
with PsO achieved
PASI 100 at 5 years³

(vs 1.2% placebo [n=1/86], p<0.0001)***²

51.5%

(n=222/431)

50.6%

(n=135/267)

of biologic-naïve
and TNFi-IR PsA patients
achieved **ACR 50 at
Week 104/100**, respectively^{4,5,6}

BIMZELX was well tolerated, the most frequently reported adverse reactions were: upper respiratory tract infections and oral candidiasis. Other common reported adverse reactions include tinea infections, ear infections, herpes simplex infections, oropharyngeal candidiasis, gastroenteritis, folliculitis, headache, rash, dermatitis, eczema, acne, injection site reactions, fatigue, and vulvovaginal mycotic infection (including vulvovaginal candidiasis).⁴

This promotional material has been created and funded by UCB Pharma Ltd and is intended for healthcare professionals in the UK.

BIMZELX is indicated for the treatment of: moderate to severe plaque PsO in adults who are candidates for systemic therapy; active PsA, alone or in combination with methotrexate, in adults who have had an inadequate response, or who have been intolerant, to one or more DMARDs; active nr-axSpA with objective signs of inflammation as indicated by elevated CRP and/or MRI, in adults who have responded inadequately, or are intolerant, to NSAIDs; active AS in adults who have responded inadequately or are intolerant to conventional therapy; and active moderate to severe HS (acne inversa) in adults with an inadequate response to conventional systemic HS therapy.⁴

Prescribing information for United Kingdom [click here](#).
Please refer to the SmPC for further information.

These data are from different clinical trials and cannot be directly compared.

Co-primary endpoints PASI 90 and IGA 0/1 at Week 16 were met.^{**}Secondary endpoints. [†]N= mNRI, missing data were imputed with mNRI (patients with missing data following treatment discontinuation due to lack of efficacy or a TRAE were counted as non-responders; multiple imputation methodology was used for other missing data).

²43.9% (n=189/431), and 43.4% (n=16/267) of biologic-naïve and TNFi-IR PsA patients achieved the primary endpoint of ACR 50 at Week 16 in BE OPTIMAL and BE COMPLETE, respectively (vs 10.0% [n=28/281] and 6.8% [n=9/133] placebo, p<0.0001); 54.5% (n=235/431) and 51.7% (n=138/267) maintained it at Week 52 (NRI).⁴⁻⁶

³ACR 50, ≥50% response in the American College of Rheumatology criteria; AS, ankylosing spondylitis; CRP, C-reactive protein; DMARD, disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; HS, hidradenitis suppurativa; IGA, Investigator's Global Assessment; (m)NRI, (modified) non-responder imputation; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; nr-axSpA, non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; PASI 75/90/100, ≥75/90/100% improvement from baseline in Psoriasis Area and Severity Index; PsA, psoriatic arthritis; PsD, psoriatic disease; PsO, psoriasis; TNFi-IR, tumour necrosis factor-α inhibitor – inadequate responder; TRAE, treatment-related adverse event.

⁴References: 1. Gordon KB, et al. Lancet. 2021;397(10273):475–486. 2. Blauvelt. 2025. AAD Presentation 62275. 3. Mease PJ, et al. Rheumatol Ther. 2024;11(5):1363–1382. 4. BIMZELX SmPC. 5. Ritchlin CT, et al. Ann Rheum Dis. 2023;82(11):1404–1414. 6. Coates LC, et al. RMD Open. 2024;10(1):e003855. 7. Strober B, et al. AAD 2024;oral presentation.

▼This medicine is subject to additional monitoring. This will allow quick identification of new safety information. Adverse events should be reported. Reporting forms and information can be found at www.yellowcard.mhra.gov.uk for the UK. Adverse events should also be reported to UCB Pharma Ltd at UCBCareyUK@UCB.com or 0800 2793177 for UK.