



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/235917/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Abel, K.M., Edwardes, A., Tranter, H. et al. (2025) Core Mental Health Data Set (CMHDS) methods feasibility paper. *BMJ Health & Care Informatics*, 32 (1). e101446. ISSN: 2632-1009

<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjhci-2025-101446>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

Core Mental Health Data Set (CMHDS) methods feasibility paper

Kathryn Mary Abel,^{1,2} Auden Edwardes,¹ Heidi Tranter ,^{1,2} Paul Dark,³ Robert D Sandler,^{4,5} Philip A Kalra,³ Ann John,⁶ Martin Wildman,^{4,7} Philip Bell,⁸ Nawar Diar Bakerly,^{9,10} Pauline Whelan^{2,11}

To cite: Abel KM, Edwardes A, Tranter H, *et al.* Core Mental Health Data Set (CMHDS) methods feasibility paper. *BMJ Health Care Inform* 2025;**32**:e101446. doi:10.1136/bmjhci-2025-101446

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjhci-2025-101446>).

Received 21 January 2025
Accepted 07 November 2025

ABSTRACT

Objectives Little research focuses on mechanisms underlying the well-recognised relationship between mental and physical health, or its potential to influence adherence and response to treatments. This short report summarises results of the National Institute for Health and Care Research-funded ‘Core Mental Health Data Set (CMHDS)’ study to embed a digital tool for routine collection of mental health data in physical health studies. **Methods** Four chief investigators of physical health trials were approached to embed the CMHDS into their study. Two trials, one for people receiving specialist cystic fibrosis (CF) care, and the established Salford Kidney Study (SKS) successfully managed to embed CMHDS. **Results** A combined 478 participants from both studies were invited to complete the CMHDS. Of those approached, 88% agreed to complete CMHDS; 44% completed it. In the SKS, people who completed CMHDS were significantly younger and had higher estimated glomerular filtration rates and were from least deprived areas. In the CF study, there was no significant difference in characteristics of participants who did or did not complete the tool. **Discussion** It was feasible, and researchers and participants considered it acceptable, to embed the CMHDS in physical health studies as part of routine data collection. **Conclusion** Future studies should embed the CMHDS routinely and encourage completion to minimise bias and optimise the added value of having mental health covariates or predictor variables in physical health studies.

INTRODUCTION

The relationship between mental and physical health is well-recognised yet poorly understood. People with physical long-term conditions, such as ischaemic heart disease, cancer and autoimmune conditions, report higher rates of mental ill health than the general population.^{1 2} Little research examines mechanisms underlying this relationship, or whether/how mental health influences response to treatment. From 2022 to 2023, over 1 million people participated in National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR)-funded studies,³ of which >90% examined physical health conditions, yet fewer than 2% collected concurrent mental

health data. Most clinical/cost-effectiveness studies collect quality of life measures, focusing on physical functioning and symptom burden, but do not reliably ascertain mental health status.⁴ For the first time, a novel co-developed digital tool, the Core Mental Health Data Set (CMHDS), collected mental health data in physical health studies.⁵ This study aimed to explore the feasibility of embedding CMHDS in physical health studies, not to capture links between physical and mental health.

METHODS

The CMHDS was co-developed with over 100 stakeholders and is a composite, digital questionnaire (see online supplemental appendix 1). Stepped consent within the CMHDS allowed participants to complete some or all questions. Feasibility was assessed and measured across three elements (online supplemental appendix 2). This was a convenience sample, with researchers contacting as many of the cohort as possible in the study period (online supplemental appendix 3). Of those contacted, 139 were spoken to; 61 did not respond and were not counted.

RESULTS

Four chief investigators agreed to embed the CMHDS; only the cystic fibrosis (CF) and Salford Kidney Study (SKS) studies did so. 478 participants across both studies were invited to complete the CMHDS. Of those, 88% agreed to complete it, and 44% ultimately completed it. Response rates were lower in the CF study; all participants were approached via email, with two email reminders for non-responders (online supplemental appendix 4).

In the SKS, participants who consented to and completed the CMHDS were significantly younger (median age 62 (IQR 54–74) vs 71 (61–80) years, $p<0.001$), had higher renal function (estimated glomerular filtration rate



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2025. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ Group.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Heidi Tranter;
heidi.tranter@manchester.ac.uk

34 (20–61) vs 29 (18–42) mL/min, $p=0.049$) and were more likely to be from higher socioeconomic groups. 56% of responders were in index of multiple deprivation (IMD) quintiles 4 or 5, compared with 29% of non-respondents, of whom almost half were in the lowest IMD quintile. In the CF study, there were no significant differences in participant characteristics between respondents and non-respondents (online supplemental appendix 5).

DISCUSSION

It was acceptable to researchers, staff and participants to embed the CMHDS. This has potential to improve knowledge regarding the influence of mental health on uptake and outcomes of physical health interventions. Age and socioeconomic status appeared to influence completion of the CMHDS in our study. Older individuals may access the internet less frequently, and therefore may be less likely to complete online questionnaires.⁶ Additionally, individuals from more deprived areas have higher rates of severe mental illness and may experience digital exclusion, so may be also less likely to complete the CMHDS. Therefore, we expect younger adults from less deprived areas to be more likely to engage with the CMHDS, as reflected in the respondent characteristics. The convenience sampling method may have led to under-representation of those with more severe mental illness from more deprived areas.

Future work should address perceptions regarding the importance of mental health data collection for improving health; clarifying how data are accessed and used to improve outcomes, especially for those in lower socioeconomic groups. Embedding the CMHDS in high-throughput routine settings such as acute care triage or general practice is also likely to optimise uptake. The DATAMIND hub⁷ will take this work forward, including cultural adaptation of the CMHDS to improve completion rates. Mandating the CMHDS for use in NIHR and Medical Research Council funded physical health studies would grow the data value in Department of Health and Social Care funded clinical research and provide a unique, low-cost, high value resource to understand mechanisms linking mental and physical health.

Author affiliations

¹Centre for Women's Mental Health, The University of Manchester Faculty of Biology Medicine and Health, Manchester, UK

²GM.Digital Research Unit, Greater Manchester Mental Health NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK

³Salford Care Organisation, Northern Care Alliance NHS Foundation Trust, Salford, UK

⁴Adult CF Centre, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK

⁵Division of Population Health, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

⁶School of Health Data Science, Swansea University, Swansea, UK

⁷Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK

⁸Primer, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

⁹Oaklands Hospital, Salford, UK

¹⁰Euxton Hall Hospital, Chorley, UK

¹¹Division of Informatics, Imaging and Data Sciences, The University of Manchester Faculty of Biology Medicine and Health, Manchester, UK

Acknowledgements We would like to acknowledge collaborators: the UK Clinical Research Network, Dr Angela Parker, Dr Martin Rutter, the PRIMER PPIE Group, Martin Rathfelder, Dr Amanda Lamb and Connected Health Cities, Dr Natalie Banner and Understanding Patient Data, Dr Tom Foley, Professor John Ainsworth, the Digital Health Software Team (University of Manchester) and the Youth Connection Advisory Group. We would like to acknowledge the physical health trial participants who completed the CMHDS.

Contributors The order of authors reflects the contribution of the project team to the short report. Principal investigator KMA is the guarantor. KMA led the project and provided academic and clinical oversight to the project. AE was a Research Associate on the project and was involved in project delivery and drafting outputs. HT was a Research Associate on the project and was involved in project delivery and drafting outputs. PD is the Chief Investigator of a NIHR HTA physical health clinical trial in patients lacking mental capacity who are at substantial risk of poor psychological outcomes. He will support the feasibility study and its interpretation. RDS is the Chief Investigator of a physical health clinical trial (Cystic Fibrosis Study). He supported the feasibility study and its interpretation. PAK is the Chief Investigator of a physical health clinical trial (Salford Kidney Study). He supported the feasibility study and its interpretation. AJ provided clinical and academic guidance. She has extensive experience of mental health data sets. She is a Principal Investigator of the National Centre for Mental Health and MRC Mental Health Pathfinder. She guided the data set development. MW is the Chief Investigator of a physical health clinical trial (Cystic Fibrosis Health) and is taking forward the CMHDS in a physical health clinical trial related to asthma. PB provided PPIE support and input from the PRIMER PPIE group. NDB is the Chief Investigator of a physical health clinical trial (Colour COPD). He supported the feasibility study and its interpretation. PW led the technical work package and provided overall project management.

Funding NIHR Research for Patient Benefit Award (NIHR201104). The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the DHSC.

Competing interests PW is director and shareholder of CareLoop Health, a for-profit digital mental health company and Prism Life, a small research and consultancy company. Neither is involved in this research, nor do they pose conflicts. Declaring for transparency.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval The study was approved by the University of Manchester's Research Ethics Committee (2021-11713-20046). Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

ORCID id

Heidi Tranter <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1530-4018>

REFERENCES

- 1 Launders N, Kirsh L, Osborn DPJ, *et al*. The temporal relationship between severe mental illness diagnosis and chronic physical comorbidity: a UK primary care cohort study of disease burden over 10 years. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2022;9:725–35.

- 2 Sloan M, Wincup C, Harwood R, *et al.* Prevalence and identification of neuropsychiatric symptoms in systemic autoimmune rheumatic diseases: an international mixed methods study. *Rheumatology* 2024;63:1259–72.
- 3 NIHR annual report 2022/23. 2024. Available: <https://www.nihr.ac.uk/nihr-annual-report-202223>
- 4 Higginson IJ, Carr AJ. Using quality of life measures in the clinical setting. *BMJ* 2001;322:1297–300.
- 5 The core mental health dataset. NIHR Funding; 2023. Available: <https://fundingawards.nihr.ac.uk/award/NIHR201104> [Accessed 21 May 2025].
- 6 Pierce M, McManus S, Jessop C, *et al.* Says who? The significance of sampling in mental health surveys during COVID-19. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2020;7:567–8.
- 7 DATAMIND. The health data research hub for mental health. 2023. Available: <https://datamind.org.uk/> [Accessed 10 Jan 2024].