

Bovine endometrial organoids: A new tool to study conceptus-maternal interactions in mammals.

Jessica C. Edge¹, Olga Amelkina¹, Haidee Tinning¹, Gianluca Giovanardi¹, Elena Mancinelli², Samantha Gardner¹, Elton JR Vasconcelos³, Virginia Pensabene², Karen Forbes¹, Mary J O'Connell⁴, Peter Ruane⁵, Niamh Forde^{1,6*}

¹*Discovery and Translational Sciences Department, Leeds Institute of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom*

²*School of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom*

³*LeedsOmics, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom*

⁴*School of Life Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom*

⁵*Maternal and Fetal Health Research Centre, Division of Developmental Biology and Medicine, School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom*

⁶*Centre for Reproductive Health, Institute for Regeneration and Repair, University of Edinburgh, 5 Little France Drive, Edinburgh EH16 4UU*

* Correspondence should be addressed to: nforde2@ed.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

We developed a hormonally responsive organoid model of the bovine endometrium. Bovine glandular epithelial cells isolated from reproductive tracts were cultured in an extracellular matrix hydrogel (Cultrex 2) at 38.5°C, 5% CO₂ (n=3). RNA was extracted and qPCR confirmed the presence of gland markers: *leukemia inhibitory factor*, *mucin-1*, *insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1*, *kruppel-like factor-5* and *forkhead box protein-A2*. Organoids were imaged at specific time-points to monitor growth. Morphologically, organoids were spherical and fast-growing at passages 0 and 1, but this declined following passage 2. Passage 0 (n=3) organoids were treated with 1000 ng/ml recombinant ovine Interferon Tau (IFNT) or 10 µg/ml progesterone (P4) for 24 hours and analysed by RNASeq to assess hormone responsiveness. 373 transcripts were upregulated (padj<0.05 or log₂fold change >2) in response to P4 treatment, with downstream analysis showing significant overrepresentation (FDR<0.05) of genes associated with positive regulation of protein localisation to plasma membrane and cell periphery. Of the 240 genes significantly downregulated by P4 these were significantly overrepresented (FDR<0.05) in biological processes of cilium and cytoskeleton organisation. IFNT treatment resulted in significant upregulation of 414 genes and downregulation of 119 genes. The largest cluster associated with differentially expressed genes in response to IFNT is defence to virus and interferon signalling. Thirty genes were altered by both P4 treatment and IFNT treatment. Organoids were also shown to express conserved microRNAs, and it was possible to culture them in a microfluidics device - making them a useful model for a multitude of potential investigations.

INTRODUCTION

Understanding endometrial function, particularly in domestic species, has been limited by the lack of in vitro models that mimic the in vivo scenario, as well as the cost of in vivo approaches. Whilst in vivo approaches have been used to understand uterine luminal fluid (ULF) composition¹⁻³, actions of critical pregnancy cues like progesterone (P4) and Interferon Tau (IFNT)³⁻⁵, as well as the biosensor capability of the endometrium^{6,7}, it has been difficult to study endometrial function in a high throughput manner. An endometrium that functions correctly is critical to pregnancy success in all mammals, given it is the site of implantation, and it provides nutrient support via ULF to the developing conceptus (embryo and extra-embryonic membranes) prior to development of the placenta⁸⁻¹⁰. Moreover, dysfunction of the endometrium leads to pregnancy loss¹¹. In cattle, embryonic loss most commonly occurs in the first 3 weeks of pregnancy and is detrimental both financially - to the meat and dairy industries; as well as having a negative effect on sustainability and the environment¹². For these reasons, unravelling how the complex roles of the endometrium in embryo development and conceptus-maternal interactions interplay for successful early pregnancy is imperative.

For successful pregnancy in cattle, an adequately developed, elongated conceptus must meet an optimally primed endometrium¹³. The bovine endometrium is a complex tissue whose transcriptional and translational profile changes throughout the cycle and pregnancy^{14,15}. It comprises a stromal cell layer, with an overlying epithelial cell layer containing uterine glands (both superficial and deep glandular in nature with different phenotypes) which extend into the underlying stromal layer⁸. Factors secreted from the luminal and glandular epithelium are integral for conceptus elongation¹⁶ highlighting the necessity to understand the components of these secretions.

Until recently, 2D in vitro cultures were the most used approach to study conceptus-maternal interactions¹⁷ during the peri-implantation period of pregnancy. Many 2D cell culture models utilise cell lines, which can introduce drawbacks. One of the main concerns with using cell lines is that genetic drift or contamination may occur following repeated passaging, potentially altering the physiological behaviour of the cells¹⁸. The "normal" functioning of the cell may also be affected due to the fact that cells are often immortalized; or cancer derived cells which behave differently to a non-cancerous cell¹⁹. Although primary cells can be used to mitigate some of these issues, 2D single cell type monolayers do not

accurately recapitulate tissues and organs, introducing the need for more complex in vitro systems. Despite their limitations, these methods are robust and convenient; and if taken tentatively with knowledge of their limitations, provide effective data. For example, treatment with molecules important for early pregnancy can reveal cell type specific functional effects²⁰. However, it is undeniable that single cell type, static, in vitro cultures do not represent the complex multicellular endometrium in vivo. A study investigated the impact of using 2D vs 3D culture for bovine uterine gland fragments²¹. The mRNA expression of secretory proteins thought to be involved in conceptus development was significantly lower in 2D culture compared to 3D. Cell morphology in the 3D culture was also more physiologically accurate, with cells exhibiting cubic shapes and displaying apical and basolateral localisation of Zona Occluden 1 (ZO-1) and Beta catenin; whereas 2D culture cells were flat with non-specific localisation. This demonstrates the need to develop 3D endometrial culture approaches that more closely mimic the in vivo situation.

One such approach is the use of in vitro organoids which are self-organising, 3D structures, derived from primary tissue to represent the more complex organ that can be cultured in vitro²². Previously used to model various tissues involving epithelial cells including colon²³, prostate²⁴ and fallopian tube²⁵; a breakthrough paper developed human endometrial organoids²⁶ using both endometrial gland tissue and decidual cells. These endometrial organoids are responsive to key cycle-related hormones P4 and estrogen (E2), can withstand long-term culture and passaging, making them an excellent candidate tool to investigate endometrial function. Collection of these cells requires an invasive endometrial biopsy procedure, however more recently, menstrual flow derived organoids have been produced which respond appropriately to hormonal cues²⁷. This advancement improves accessibility and availability in menstruating species. Development of oviductal organoids from other species has also been achieved in cow, pig, dog, and horse²⁸. Applying this technology to the endometrium to develop bovine endometrial organoids would provide an additional tool for understanding complex conceptus-maternal interactions in the peri-implantation period of pregnancy. Therefore, the aim of this study was to develop and characterise a method to generate bovine endometrial organoids that respond to key cues important for pregnancy success to facilitate higher throughput studies of endometrial function in vitro.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Unless otherwise stated, all reagents were sourced from Sigma (UK). All reproductive tracts were sourced from a local abattoir.

Optimization of organoid isolation process

Reproductive tracts were selected based on CL morphology as previously described (mid-late-luteal phase²⁹). Tracts were washed with 70% ethanol, transferred to a hood and further washed with 70% ethanol (Figure 1A). The ipsilateral horn was identified, and the horn was cut above the uterine body. A cut was made down the horn toward the oviduct to expose the uterine endometrium. The endometrium was washed with 25 ml of endometrial wash buffer (Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered Saline (DPBS) and 1% ABAM) dissected from the underlying myometrium and placed in 25 mL of endometrial strip wash (HBSS with no calcium and magnesium and 1% antibiotic, antimycotic (ABAM)). The strip wash was removed and replaced with 25 ml HBSS to wash, before fragments were transferred to a petri dish for further dissection with a scalpel into approximately 1 mm fragments and returned to HBSS before digestion. Three different compositions of digestion solution were used to optimise yield of glandular epithelial cells, differing in concentration of dispase and DNase I. Each digestion composition contained 0.5 mg/ml collagenase II, 1mg/ml bovine serum albumin and 0.000036% trypsin EDTA, along with either: 1) 100 mg/ml DNase I; 2) 100 mg/ml DNase I and 1 mg/ml dispase; or 3) 1 mg/ml DNase I and 1 mg/ml dispase.

Each digestion composition was separately subjected to a 30 min and 1 hr incubation at 38.5°C whilst being agitated to optimise length of digestion. After incubation, solutions were filtered through two filters, firstly 100 µM and then 40 µM. Different fractions of the digestion were collected in order to select the one that was most glandular cell enriched. The first fraction was collected by inverting the 40 µM filter and rinsing with 5 ml stop solution (50 ml HBSS and 5 ml Foetal Bovine Serum (FBS)), followed by a 5 min centrifugation at 500 g. Supernatant was aspirated and pellet snap frozen in liquid nitrogen for subsequent analysis. The 100 µM filter was also inverted and rinsed with 5 ml stop solution; this fraction containing tissue chunks was centrifuged for 5 min at 300 g. The supernatant was transferred to another tube, centrifuged for 5 min at 500 g, supernatant aspirated and pellet snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. This process is represented in Figure 1C.

RNA extraction, cDNA conversion and qRT-PCR analysis

Total RNA was extracted from each fraction, at each time point, using the RNeasy Mini Kit and on-column DNase digestion as per manufacturer's protocol (Qiagen). RNA concentration was determined using the DeNovix DS-11 FX+ spectrophotometer (DeNovix). Extracted RNA was reverse transcribed using the High-Capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems) as per manufacturer's protocol. Primers for selected glandular markers were designed using Primer Blast to span an exon-exon junction with a minimum product size of 50 and maximum of 100. Primers with the fewest unintended targets were selected and are listed in Table 1. Quantitative-Realtime PCR (qPCR) was carried out using SYBR green (Roche) with 5 ng cDNA per reaction. qPCR was performed using the LightCycler 96 (Roche) under the following cycling conditions: preincubation at 95 °C for 5 min; 45 cycles of 95 °C for 10 sec, 56 °C for 10 sec, 72 °C for 10 sec; then melting at 95 °C for 5 sec, 65 °C for 1 min and 97 °C continuously. Absolute quantification of data was obtained to collect Ct values using LightCycler96 software before exportation to Microsoft Excel for further analysis. qPCR was carried out in technical triplicate, and the average Ct value of these three measurements was subtracted from 45 (the maximum possible Ct value) to calculate raw gene expression values for the selected markers of endometrial glands. Graphs were plotted using Graphpad Prism.

Culturing of bovine endometrial organoids

Following optimisation steps above, digestive solution 1 (0.5 mg/ml collagenase II, 100 mg/ml DNase I, 1mg/ml bovine serum albumin and 0.000036% trypsin ETDA) was used to fragment tissue for 1 hr, which was then passed through a 100 µM filter, followed by 40 µM filter. The 100 µM filter was discarded, whilst the 40 µM filter was inverted and rinsed with 5 ml of stop solution into a falcon tube. This was centrifuged at 500 x g for 5 min, the supernatant discarded, and the remaining pellet resuspended in 1 ml of Advanced DMEM/F12 medium. This was transferred to an Eppendorf tube and another 5 min centrifugation step (500 x g) was performed. The size of the pellet was estimated and resuspended in a volume of Advanced DMEM/F12 medium relative to pellet size - for a 'just visible' pellet approximately 200 µl was used and for a larger, readily visible pellet up to approximately 500 µl was used. Supplementary figure 1 shows an example of this.

Glandular organoids were then cultured using the same method as previously described²⁶. Keeping the Cultrex (Cultrex Reduced Growth Factor Basement Membrane

Extract, Type 2, R&D Systems) on ice, 12 µl of resuspended cells was taken for each well and added to 48 µl of Cultrex. After gentle pipetting up and down to mix, a 60 µl drop was placed in the centre of a well of a 24 well plate. The 24 well plate was placed in the incubator for 30 min to set and afterwards each drop was covered with 500 µl of expansion media. The expansion media²⁶ is composed of Advanced DMEM/F12 (Life Technologies), N2 supplement (Life Technologies), B27 supplement minus vitamin A (Life Technologies), Primocin 100 µg/ml (Invitrogen), N-Acetyl-L-cysteine 1.25 mM (Sigma), L-glutamine 2 mM (Life Technologies), recombinant human EGF (Peprotech) 50 ng/ml, recombinant human Noggin 100 ng/ml (Peprotech), recombinant human R-Spondin-1 400 ng/ml (Peprotech), recombinant human FGF-10 (Peprotech) 100 ng/ml, recombinant human HGF (Peprotech) 50ng/ml, nicotinamide 10nM (Sigma), and ALK-4, -5, -7 inhibitor (System Biosciences). Full details are provided in Table 2. The medium was replaced every 2-3 days.

Passaging of organoids

We also sought to determine for how long these organoids could be passaged. To test this, passages were carried out approximately every 10 days (or more at later passages where organoids were slower to expand), once the majority of organoids in the droplet had reached approximately >300 µm. If organoids were allowed to continue beyond this size they would begin to collapse and become dark in the centre. For passaging each well of the culture dish contained 500 µl of expansion media and one droplet consisting of the organoids in cultrex. These droplets were broken up in the well without removing culture media by manual pipetting 4-5 times. Two well's worth of organoids i.e. 2 technical replicates were transferred to a single Eppendorf tube and centrifuged at 2400 x g for 6 min. The supernatant was removed and 150 µl advanced DMEM/F12 added, followed by manual pipetting 300 times to break up the organoids and residual Cultrex. One ml of advanced DMEM/F12 was added and tube centrifuged at 600 x g for 6 min before aspiration of supernatant. Another 150 µl advanced DMEM/F12 was added and subjected to manual pipetting a further 100 times before adding an additional 1 ml advanced DMEM/F12 and centrifuging at 1200 x g for 6 min. Following this centrifugation step, the majority of the supernatant was aspirated. The remaining media and organoid mixture was resuspended in multiples of 48 µl of ice-cold Cultrex depending on the number of wells to be seeded in a given passage. Organoids were usually split in a 1:3 ratio. Sixty µl drops were dispensed into the centre of wells of a 24-well

plate and this was incubated at 38.5 °C for 30 min to set, followed by addition of 500 µl expansion media.

Characterisation of organoids via qRT-PCR and Immunofluorescence

In order to characterise the organoids, we examined expression of previously selected gland marker transcripts by qRT-PCR over time. Organoids (n=3) were collected at regular time points by removing expansion media and adding 500 µl fridge cold PBS to soften Cultrex. Contents of the well were pipetted up and down manually 4 times before transfer to an Eppendorf tube for centrifugation at 600 g for 6 mins. Supernatant was then aspirated, and pellet was resuspended in PBS to wash followed by a repeat centrifugation. The supernatant was aspirated again and pellets snap frozen in liquid nitrogen for subsequent RNA extraction using Qiagen RNeasy Micro Kit following the manufacturers protocol. Reverse transcription and qRT-PCR were carried out as previously described above. At each time point, organoids were also imaged by light microscopy using the Olympus CKX41 microscope to assess morphology.

Organoids for immunofluorescence analysis were plated on 13 mm round coverslips within the wells. On collection of these organoids, expansion medium was removed, droplets overlaid with 20 µl Cultrex and placed in the incubator for 20 min to set. Five hundred µl of 4% formaldehyde was then added to the well for 30 min at room temperature. This was then removed and replaced with PBS and stored at 4°C until imaging. Fixative was washed away with PBS before samples were quenched in 50mM ammonium chloride and permeabilised in 0.1% triton X-100 for 5 minutes. Samples were then incubated with rabbit anti-E-cadherin (Abcam, EP700Y), mouse anti-acetylated alpha tubulin antibody (Cell Signalling Technologies D20G3) or Ki67 (Abcam, ab15580) diluted 1/100 in PBS overnight at 4°C. Samples were subsequently washed with PBS and incubated for 1 hr at room temperature with Alexa-488-conjugated anti-mouse/rabbit secondary antibodies (Life Technologies, A32790/A32766) diluted 1/200 in PBS containing 1µg/ml DAPI and 1/50 dilution of Alexa-568-conjugated phalloidin (Life Technologies, A12380). After washes, stained samples were mounted in mowiol on glass slides and imaged in Z stacks using an apotome-equipped Zeiss Axiophot inverted fluorescence microscope and Zeiss Zen software.

Treatment of organoids with signals important for early pregnancy

Following isolation of organoids as described above, organoids (derived from n=5 different animals) at passage 0, 8 days post isolation were treated with the following:

- 1) Control (no treatment), 2) Ethanol Vehicle (100% EtOH), 3) P4 (10 µg/ml progesterone), 4) PBS Vehicle (PBS), or 5) IFNT (1000 ng/ml recombinant ovine IFNT), for 24 hr. After 24 hr, the expansion media was removed and 500 µl of cell recovery solution added. Plates were placed on ice for 1 hr. Well contents were transferred to Eppendorf tubes, pooling 2 identical wells to ensure sufficient RNA yield. Centrifugation was carried out for 5 min at 500 x g and supernatant discarded. Cells were resuspended in 500 µl of PBS by manual pipetting. An additional centrifugation at 500 x g for 5 min was carried out before supernatant was removed and pellets snap frozen prior to RNA extraction.

RNA sequencing and data processing

RNA was extracted as described above and sequencing carried out by Novogene Company Ltd (Cambridge, UK). RNA integrity and concentration was determined using the Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies USA) and Nanodrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Messenger RNA was purified from total RNA using poly-T oligo-attached magnetic beads. After fragmentation, the first strand cDNA was synthesized using random hexamer primers followed by the second strand cDNA synthesis. The library was prepared using Novogene NGS RNA Library Prep Set (PT042) following end repair, A-tailing, adapter ligation, size selection, amplification, and purification. The library was checked with Qubit and real-time PCR for quantification and bioanalyzer for size distribution detection. Quantified libraries were pooled and sequenced (6GB per sample) on Illumina Novaseq6000 platforms, according to effective library concentration and data amount and using the PE150 sequencing strategy.

Paired-end sequencing quality control was assessed through FastQC (www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc) and multiQC³⁰. Both adapters and low quality bases (QV < 20) were trimmed from reads' extremities using Trimmomatic³¹ with a minimum read length of 30 bp. An average of 23.2 million reads per sample were kept post-trimming for downstream analyses. All libraries were mapped against the bovine ARS-UCD1.2 release-109 reference genome (retrieved from https://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/release-109/fasta/bos_taurus/dna/Bos_taurus.ARS-UCD1.2.dna.toplevel.fa.gz) through STAR aligner³² with default parameters. STAR-generated sorted BAM output files were used for assigning read counts to gene features with featureCounts³³ with the following parameters: -

p -B -C -M -O --fraction. We relied on Bos_taurus.ARS-UCD1.2.109.gtf annotation file downloaded from the same ensembl ftp address above.

Differential expression analysis

Differential expression analysis was performed using DESeq2 R package³⁴ on data from 25 samples with the following treatment groups (n = 5): (i) Control (Ctrl); (ii) IFNT; (iii) PBS; (iv) P4; (v) Vehicle Control (VC). For visualisation, size factors were estimated from the count data and the Relative Log Expression (RLE) normalisation was used to obtain regularised log transformed values. These normalised values were then used for principal component analysis with plotPCA function in DESeq2 R package. Wald test was used on genes that passed an independent filtering step with the following pairwise comparison groups: IFNT vs PBS; P4 vs VC. Resulting P values were adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. Genes with absolute fold change > 2 and adjusted p-value < 0.05 were considered differentially expressed. Results were visualized in a volcano plot using ggplot R package. All tools described in this paragraph were run under the R environment version 4.4.0.

Functional enrichment analysis using DAVID and Enrichment Map

Selected genes from differential expression analysis were used for gene-set functional enrichment analysis with DAVID tool³⁵, setting species to Bos taurus. For each comparison pair, total number of genes and separately up- and downregulated genes were analysed. EASE score (modified Fisher Exact p-value of enrichment) was set to 0.05. Functional enrichment network was built based on DAVID output charts of gene-set enrichment for each comparison pair using EnrichmentMap app (v. 3.5.0)³⁶ in Cytoscape software (v.3.10.3)^{37,38} with edge cutoff set to 0.375 (merged Jaccard and Overlap coefficients). Autoannotate App (v.1.5.1) with MLC algorithm based on similarity coefficient was used to create annotated groups.

In silico protein-protein interaction analysis using STRING

In silico protein-protein interaction analysis of selected genes was performed on the basis of the STRING database for Bos taurus^{39,40}. Interaction networks were built based on the list of selected genes from each comparison pair using stringApp (v.2.1.1)⁴¹ in Cytoscape with confidence cutoff score set to 0.4. Functional enrichment of formed clusters was performed

using *Bos taurus* genome as a background, enriched terms were analysed with varying redundancy cutoff settings.

Expression of a conserved panel of microRNAs in bovine endometrial organoids in response to P4 and IFNT treatment

To test if these organoids can be used to assess key non-coding RNA molecules important for implantation, we investigated expression of a conserved set of microRNAs previously shown to be important for implantation⁴². MicroRNA expression in endometrial organoids treated with P4 and IFNT (as described above) was investigated using RNA from animals 1, 3 and 4. miRCURY LNA RT Kit (Qiagen) was used - following manufacturers' instructions - to reverse transcribe cDNA for qRT-PCR. Each reaction contained 10 ng/ μ L RNA. Custom miRCURY LNA miRNA PCR Panels (Qiagen, Cat #339330) were used which are preloaded with primers for conserved eutherian mammal specific miRNAs of interest^{26,42} and samples carried out in technical duplicate. Plates were run on the LightCycler 96 (Roche) PCR machine with the following cycle: 95 °C for 2 min; 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 sec and 56 °C for 1 min; followed by a melt curve analysis from 60-95 °C. Cq values were obtained via LightCycler 96 software and transferred to Microsoft Excel for processing. Δ Ct values were normalised by subtracting the 5S normaliser from each value. The transformation $2^{-\Delta\text{Ct}}$ was calculated for each value and transferred to GraphPad Prism for graphical representation. A one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons was performed on the values to determine statistical significance.

Culturing of organoids in a microfluidics device.

Microfluidic devices were fabricated by soft lithography in polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, Sylgard® 184, Dow Corning, MI, USA). Liquid PDMS (10:1) was casted and cured on SU8 2100 moulds (Microchem, MA, USA). SU8 2100 photoresist was spun on a silicon wafer at 2000 rpm for 30 sec to obtain a 150 μ m thick layer. Wafer covered with SU8 was exposed to UV light (wavelength= 375nm, energy=250mJ/cm²) through a film photomask designed using computer-aided design (CAD) software (AutoCAD). Once cured, the PDMS layer was peeled off from the SU8 mould and inlet and outlet ports were opened using a 1.5mm round puncher (Integra Mylex, NJ, USA). The device was then assembled by treating a glass slide (2.54 x 5.08 cm) and the PDMS layer by Oxygen Plasma (600mTorr, 100W, 30s). 500 μ L Pyrex

cloning cylinders (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburg, PA, US), used as reservoirs for cell media, were bonded to the port regions using liquid PDMS. Prior to use, devices were sterilized by UV exposure (wavelength= 254nm for 25 min). Briefly, the device consisted of a rhomboidal shaped chamber (area: 48.85 mm²) with 60° angled inlet and outlet microchannels. The design includes round PDMS pillars (diameter 100 µm) to provide structural support for the culturing chamber. For the culture of the organoids, the chamber was filled with Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PvP) to coat the chamber to avoid adhesion of cells, with the excess removed by a PBS wash. Subsequently, the organoids were loaded into the device and then incubated for 3 days. After this time, they were treated with IFNT as previously described.

RESULTS

Optimisation of Cell Isolation Process

In order to select the optimal protocol for isolation of bovine endometrial epithelial gland cells, two time points (30 min and 1 hr) and three digestion compositions were used, as well as collection of different fractions of digestion (Figure 1C) to be analysed. Isolation and culture of glands resulted in similar organoid gland morphology to that described in other species²⁶ (Figure 1B). Gland marker gene expression *IGFBP1*, *LIF*, *MUC-1*, *FOXA2* and *KLF5* were measured by qRT-PCR (Figure 1D). There was consistently lower gland marker expression for all genes in cells obtained from digestion composition 3, as well as absence of each gland marker from at least 1 sample. Cells isolated using digestion 1 and 2 displayed similar, and higher (compared to digestion 3) gland marker expression values for each gene, which resulted in selection of Digestion 1 due to fewer components and it being consistent with the ability to isolate stromal cells from the same individual. Cells isolated from the 100 µM strainer show reduced gland marker expression for all animals at both 30 min and 1 hr timepoints compared to cells isolated from the 40 µM strainer. For this reason, cells collected from inverting the 40 µM strainer were selected for use. All gland markers exhibit higher expression following 1 hr incubation compared to 30 min. Overall, conditions selected were: 1 hr incubation with Digestion mix 1, using cells taken from the 40 µM cell strainer (Figure 1B).

Response to passaging over time

Bovine endometrial organoids (n=3) were cultured with imaging at regular time points to evaluate growth and passaging outcomes. Example images of organoids from one animal are shown in Figure 2. Images for other animals (n=3) can be found in supplementary materials (Supplementary Figure 1 and 2). Similar trends can be identified in all animals. All three exhibit growth during passage 0 and 1, with animal 2 developing larger organoid structures than animal 1. Growth rate reduces at passage 2 and organoids do not expand to the size they previously reached. Growth is poor at passage 3 where there is little to no growth in any animal at any stage.

Gland marker expression was measured by qRT-PCR over three passages to characterise gene expression in the organoids. Raw Cq values were used to test gland marker expression as a preliminary method of detection of expression. All 5 genes: *KLF5*, *MUC1*, *LIF*, *FOXA2* and *IGFBP1* were expressed in all animals (n=3) but not necessarily at all time points (Figure 2O). *FOXA2* was not expressed at passage 3 in any animal and in fact this gene exhibits varied expression between animals at earlier stages. At very early stages (day 2, 5 and 7) for all genes, expression appears to vary dramatically between the animals. Upon reaching day 9 and during passage 1, gene expression of these 5 markers becomes similar. Expression of all 5 genes is reduced during passage 3.

Localisation of markers of organoids

Fluorescence microscopy analysis of bovine endometrial organoids the confirmed apicobasal polarity of the cells, with actin staining strongly present at the apical domain facing the inner lumen of the organoids and anti-E-cadherin staining localised to basolateral cell membranes (Figure 3A). Anti-acetylated alpha-tubulin antibody was used to identify ciliated cells, however the antibody staining intracellular tubulin fibres only with no apically located cilia visible (Figure 3B).

Transcriptional response of bovine organoids to Progesterone

Principal component analysis (PCA) demonstrated that biological replicate was responsible for the largest variance observed in the data (Figure 4A), however a clear separation of P4 treated organoids and vehicle or control treatments is evident. Again, control samples cluster with corresponding vehicles suggesting the vehicle has minimal effect on detected differential expression. Following 24h treatment with P4, there was a total of 169

significantly upregulated genes, with 102 significantly downregulated transcripts (Figure 4B; Supplementary Table 1). Functional enrichment analyses of these genes were carried out and protein partner interaction networks were generated. For transcripts altered by P4, there is clear clustering of genes involved in cell migration, extracellular matrix and transmembrane transport (Figure 4). Full network is shown in Supplementary Figure 3, and Supplementary File 1 provides an interactive view of the networks and data tables.

Transcriptional response of bovine organoids to IFNT

Following 24 hrs exposure to IFNT, a PCA plot (Figure 5A) demonstrates that the largest source of variance was the organoids transcriptional response to IFNT, with IFNT treated organoids clustering to the right of the plot and vehicles and their respective controls clustering to the left. Treatment with IFNT resulted in a total of 414 upregulated and 119 downregulated transcripts (Figure 5B, Supplementary Table 2). To understand the functional relevance of differentially expressed genes we undertook functional enrichment analyses and generated predicted protein-protein interaction networks. Figure 5C shows enriched functions, pathways and cellular components for up (red) and down (blue) regulated genes. For these transcripts upregulated by treatment with IFNT, the largest cluster is associated with defence to virus, amongst other large clusters including proteolysis. Supplementary File 2 provides an interactive view of the networks and data tables. Selected clusters of predicted protein-protein interactions are displayed in Figure 5D; these demonstrate that there are roles of altered transcripts in virus defence and interferon signalling, with others relating to retinol metabolism, serine protease inhibitor and ion transport. Supplementary Figure 4 shows the full interaction network.

Comparison of IFNT and P4 transcriptional response in bovine organoids

Of the transcripts altered by IFNT or P4 in the organoids, 30 of them were shared between both treatments (Figure 6A, Supplementary Table 3). Twelve of these genes are altered in the same way (e.g. increased expression in response to P4 or IFNT treatment) in response to both treatments, and 18 in the opposite way (e.g. increased expression following P4 treatment and decreased expression following IFNT treatment). There were several enriched functional processes and pathways which involve a combination of genes altered by IFNT and those altered by P4. For example, the oxidoreductase cluster in the enrichment map

involves genes from both IFNT (purple) and P4 (green) (Figure 6B). In predicted protein-protein interaction network, sodium channel cluster involves Sodium Channel Epithelial 1 Subunit Alpha (SCNN1A), which is altered by both IFNT and P4, along with 4 genes altered by P4 and 5 genes altered by IFNT (Figure 6C, Supplementary Figure 5). Collagen formation, and extracellular matrix is another cluster which exhibits multiple genes from each list functioning in the same cluster. Supplementary File 3 provides an interactive view of the networks and data tables.

Potential applications of bovine endometrial organoids

To investigate the future applications of this *in vitro* model, we examined expression of microRNAs conserved across placental mammals⁴². We detected expression of all 20 of these miRNAs in our endometrial organoids. Following this, we measured expression of the miRNAs in organoids treated with IFNT and P4. Treatment with 10 µg/ml P4 resulted in significantly ($p<0.05$) reduced expression of both miR-378a-3p and miR-708-5p compared to control (Supplementary Figure 6). However, there was no significantly differential expression compared with the vehicle control (ethanol) suggesting that effects could be due to vehicle rather than P4 (Supplementary Figure 7). There was also no differential expression of the miRNAs in response to IFNT treatment (Supplementary Figure 8). Nonetheless, this data demonstrates that conserved microRNAs are expressed in the organoids.

As future approaches will seek to culture these organoids in microfluidic devices, we cultured and treated organoids in these devices (Figure 7B), without flow. Firstly, it was possible to culture organoids in a microfluidic device: fragmentation and morphological changes were not observed, excluding detrimental effects of the pressure during loading and growth. However, treatment within the device with IFNT in static conditions, resulted in increased expression of *MX1* ($P<0.001$), *ISG15* and *RSAD2* ($P<0.01$), but there was no effect of IFNT on expression of *MX2* ($P>0.05$) compared to control. For the non-classical *ISGs* *IGFBP1*, and *FABP3* there were no effects of IFNT on expression of these transcripts ($P>0.05$) compared to non-stimulated groups (Figure 7C).

DISCUSSION

Here, we have produced novel 3D glandular epithelial endometrial organoids from bovine endometrium which respond to key pregnancy molecules P4 and IFNT. Glandular endometrial organoids are a useful model for studying endometrial function and early pregnancy events such as implantation, as uterine gland secretions are thought to be vital for implantation and fertility⁴³. We also demonstrate that these organoids express gland marker genes, conserved microRNAs, and can be cultured in microfluidic devices - vastly increasing the future research opportunities for this model. Moreover, these respond to P4 and IFNT and demonstrate a deep glandular phenotype, meaning that they respond in a phenotype specific manner to these pregnancy cues ^{44,45}. Collectively these data show a novel way to study specific aspects of endometrial function in cattle.

The full extent of the essential interactions between conceptus and uterus required to support establishment of a successful pregnancy have yet to be fully elucidated. Organoids have been successful in different human systems including endometrium²⁷ and adding this form of complexity to the bovine endometrial system could help tailor a more physiological approach to investigate interactions *in vitro*. In our model, variation can be observed in growth rate and organoid size over time between animals. To some degree this highlights animal to animal variation which is to be expected, and has been previously shown in other *in vitro* bovine models^{46,47}. However, this could also be attributed to plating density, as cell pellet volume was estimated when resuspending to plate the cells. Furthermore, for the purpose of this experiment, each animal's organoids were passaged on the same day irrespective of the organoid size, which may not have been at an optimal stage for some animals. It is possible that for some animals, the organoids never reached the appropriate size or cell density and so remained consistently smaller throughout the time course. A consideration for use of this model system is the limited longevity of the organoids, with growth halting at passage 3. This suggests that these organoids should be used for experiments during earlier passages for optimal results. However, primary cells are often difficult to maintain over a long period of time in culture⁴⁸. The reduced longevity of our bovine endometrial organoids (passage 3) compared to e.g. human endometrial organoids (>6 months passaging every 7-10 days) may be related to species-specific differences in endometrial morphology, cell proliferation, differentiation, and cellular composition of the endometrium between species with different implantation strategies^{44,49}. For example, stem cell populations have been identified in the human endometrium and are responsible for

responsible for re-population of the endometrium after it is shed post-menstruation⁵⁰. This process does not occur in the bovine endometrium, and little is known as to whether there is a stem cell population or its density⁵¹. These factors may contribute to why there is limited longevity in the bovine organoid system compared to the human endometrial organoids. However, potential changes to the modify expansion media for species specificity may help with further passaging given this media was optimised for human endometrial organoid culture²⁶. Future work to improve this model would be to analyse and optimise media composition which may improve growth and allow the organoids to persist in culture further than passage 3. A consideration for data interpretation of this system is the fact there can be some stromal cell contamination. Following passaging, we observe that these cells become fewer or absent due to the method in which the organoids are removed from the plate, which leaves behind any cells adhered to the bottom of the well. We are aware from previous work in our lab^{6,47,52,53} and by others⁵⁴ that it is challenging to achieve a 100% pure epithelial cell population from primary bovine endometrial cell isolation. Alternatively, this could highlight the potential for future co-culture systems involving a monolayer of stromal cells below a cultrex-supported droplet containing glandular organoids, as both cell types appear to survive in these conditions. The process of passaging itself was carried out on the same day for all animals, which may introduce variability due to animal differences in size of the organoids. However, within single animals there is also variation in size, and given the purpose for this experiment was initial characterization, passaging was carried out on the same day for all animals.

Candidate gland marker expression profile was quantified in the organoids to show presence or absence of gland markers (Figure 20). At many stages of growth, the expression appears very similar between animals despite there being no normalization of the data. Large differences between gland marker expression during initial stages of passage 0 could be due to variation in organoid growth rate and differences in plating densities.

Response to cues

We have shown that these organoids respond to some key cues important for early pregnancy. The organoids are P4 responsive, with many genes that are differentially expressed following exposure linked to and enriched in important biological processes during early pregnancy events. The gene exhibiting highest upregulation following P4 treatment is

GREB1 (growth regulating estrogen receptor binding 1). Fascinatingly, this gene in humans is characterised as a P4 regulated gene⁵⁵; however, it is found to be necessary for stromal cell decidualization, which does not occur in non-invasive implantation as in bovine⁵⁶. In other systems, *GREB1* is linked to oestrogen upregulation⁵⁷ and hormone induced growth of breast cancer⁵⁸ and prostate cancer⁵⁹. Therefore, it is possible that P4 upregulation of *GREB1* in bovine organoids is important for growth and proliferation of the endometrium. Janus kinase 3 (*JAK3*) is another upregulated gene following P4 treatment, which modulates immune response; and an increased immune response has been observed when *JAK3* is inhibited in the intestine⁶⁰. Correct control of the immune response during early pregnancy to allow tolerance of the developing, genetically different offspring is vital⁶¹. Considering that P4 is elevated during implantation and pregnancy⁶², in turn upregulating expression of *JAK3*, this may be a mechanism to reduce immune response against the conceptus. Due to the vehicle for P4 being ethanol, there is a vehicle effect on the transcriptome, and changes in their expression patterns need to be taken with caution. However, the PCA plot shows clustering of the vehicle and control treatments together, with clear separation of P4. Future experiments could use a form of P4 which is soluble in a different vehicle, however these are often less pure.

From our data we demonstrate bovine endometrial organoids also respond to the pregnancy recognition signal IFNT, with those transcripts that are differentially expressed implicated in processes important for early pregnancy. Defence to virus is the pathway containing the largest number of differentially expressed genes demonstrating that bovine organoids have a classical ISG response to IFNT. Genes that have classical ISG response in the organoids include upregulation of *MX1*, *MX2* and *ISG15*. Ion transport is another biological process enriched in genes altered by IFNT treatment. As the ULF is known to contain ions⁶³, and the organoids display deep glandular phenotype, this may indicate that conceptus produced IFNT acts upon the deep gland cells to alter composition of the ULF in order to support conceptus development and implantation. STRING interaction networks also highlight retinol metabolism, with differentially expressed genes involved in this process significantly upregulated with high fold changes. Retinol metabolism is important for correct development of the conceptus during early development, and it is likely that this process is involved in interactions between the endometrium and conceptus⁶⁴.

When comparing response of the glandular endometrial organoids to IFNT and P4, 30 transcripts are changed by both treatments. Enrichment maps and STRING networks are interesting as they demonstrate the interaction between the genes altered by each or both treatments, as both molecules are present during the peri-implantation period. According to transcriptional response by the organoids, it is clear these molecules have specific and different roles when interacting with these deep glandular phenotype cells of the endometrium, with only a small number changing the same pathways and networks. For example, collagen formation and extracellular matrix is highlighted as a network in which genes from both treatments interact. Extracellular matrix remodelling is a vital process during early stages of pregnancy to support implantation and subsequent placentation, with dynamic changes in extracellular matrix protein abundance throughout⁶⁵. It appears P4 and IFNT contribute to this by acting upon the deep glandular epithelial cells of the endometrium. In addition, the differences in response of these organoids to P4 and IFNT likely reflect the fact this is a deep gland phenotype of the organoids i.e. they retain expression of progesterone receptor and have a classical ISG response compared to e.g. the luminal epithelial cells during pregnancy recognition.

Our microfluidic experiment shows that it is possible to culture bovine endometrial organoids within microfluidic chambers which will allow experiments utilising this model to be carried out under controlled dynamic fluid exchange and tuned shear stress. This allows us to test hypotheses in an environment that better mimics the *in vivo* scenario. In addition, organoids have also been treated with IFNT within these devices, and the results indicate that this upregulated expression of genes that are increased in deep uterine glands during the pregnancy recognition process in ruminants i.e. it mimics the *in vivo* response⁶⁶. This increase in expression was found for both static culture and culture within microfluidic devices. This is important as the endometrium responds to the pregnancy recognition signal. *In vivo*, both classical and non-classical ISGs are stimulated in the different cells of the endometrium⁶². We show that these organoids express classical ISGs following IFNT stimulation but not non-classical ISGs. This could be due to duration of exposure as previous *in vitro* approaches have shown that 24 hr exposure is sufficient to induce classical ISGs but non-classical requiring more sustained exposure⁶⁷. Another major benefit of this protocol is for the possibility to isolate luminal epithelium and stromal cells as well as organoids from the same animal. This will facilitate future complex building of *in vitro* models incorporating all three cell types.

Interestingly, many of the miRNAs identified to be conserved across placental mammals⁴² are expressed in these bovine endometrial organoids. Whilst none of the miRNAs exhibited differential expression in response to IFNT or P4, these data would be more conclusive with a larger sample size due to the variation between individuals which is already a known issue. These miRNAs have been previously shown to exhibit differential expression in response to other important peri-implantation factors in epithelial cells of various species with different implantation strategies^{42,68,69}. Specifically modelling the deep endometrial epithelial gland component in this study means that there was no expected mode of change for these miRNAs, as this model allows us to investigate this for the first time. In response to P4 treatment, the vehicle has a large effect on expression of many of the miRNAs. This being 100% ethanol, a different vehicle such as water or DMSO would be beneficial to examine differential expression in response to P4 without the influence of the vehicle.

Future approaches will involve isolation of secretome from the organoids, culture under flow conditions to examine transcriptional response in a more physiologically accurate model, knockout of specific genes via CRISPR/CAS9, as well as optimisation of culture components to be species-specific. Furthermore, incorporation of stromal cells to produce endometrial assembloids which has already been achieved in humans^{70,71} would assist in creating an *in vitro* multicellular model of the bovine endometrium for investigating early pregnancy events.

In conclusion, we have characterised a bovine endometrial organoid culture method that results in expression of markers of endometrial glands and has important organoid features. Moreover, we show it responds to the two key molecules responsible for changing the transcriptomic landscape of the bovine endometrium during early pregnancy⁷². Collectively these data show a novel 3D method to investigate events in early pregnancy including implantation, endometrial function and communication between the mother and conceptus.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by BBSRC grant numbers BB/R017522/1, BB/X007367/1 and AN2944 Interdisciplinary DV Awards to NF. We would like to acknowledge the assistance of University of Leeds LeedsOmics facility in RNASeq data analysis, and Biorender in production of Figure 1C, 7B and the graphical abstract.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data in the process of being uploaded to GEO. Accession number will be provided in revised version.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST STATEMENT

We have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Malo Estepa, I. *et al.* Protein Synthesis by Day 16 Bovine Conceptuses during the Time of Maternal Recognition of Pregnancy. *Int J Mol Sci* **21**, 2870 (2020).
2. Sponchiado, M. *et al.* The pre-hatching bovine embryo transforms the uterine luminal metabolite composition in vivo. *Sci Rep* **9**, 8354 (2019).
3. Simintiras, C. A., Sánchez, J. M., McDonald, M. & Lonergan, P. The influence of progesterone on bovine uterine fluid energy, nucleotide, vitamin, cofactor, peptide, and xenobiotic composition during the conceptus elongation-initiation window. *Sci Rep* **9**, 7716 (2019).
4. Mathew, D. J. *et al.* Interferon tau-dependent and independent effects of the bovine conceptus on the endometrial transcriptome†. *Biol Reprod* **100**, 365–380 (2019).
5. Ahn, H. W., Farmer, J. L., Bazer, F. W. & Spencer, T. E. Progesterone and interferon tau-regulated genes in the ovine uterine endometrium: identification of periostin as a potential mediator of conceptus elongation. *Reproduction* **138**, 813–25 (2009).
6. De Bem, T. H. C. *et al.* Biosensor capability of the endometrium is mediated in part, by altered miRNA cargo from conceptus-derived extracellular vesicles. *The FASEB Journal* **38**, e23639 (2024).
7. Passaro, C. *et al.* Global transcriptomic response of bovine endometrium to blastocyst-stage embryos. *Reproduction* **158**, 223–235 (2019).
8. Tinning, H. *et al.* Review: Endometrial function in pregnancy establishment in cattle. *animal* **17**, 100751 (2023).
9. Forde, N. & Lonergan, P. Transcriptomic analysis of the bovine endometrium: What is required to establish uterine receptivity to implantation in cattle? *J Reprod Dev* **58**, 189–195 (2012).
10. Moraes, J. G. N. *et al.* Uterine influences on conceptus development in fertility-classified animals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, E1749–E1758 (2018).
11. Poliakiwski, B., Smith, D., Seekford, Z. & Pohler, K. Highlighting factors contributing to pregnancy loss in beef cattle. *Clinical Theriogenology* **17**, (2025).
12. Minten, M. A. *et al.* Effects of Fertility on Gene Expression and Function of the Bovine Endometrium. *PLoS One* **8**, 1–14 (2013).
13. Critchley, H. O. D., Maybin, J. A., Armstrong, G. M. & Williams, A. R. W. Physiology of the endometrium and regulation of menstruation. *Physiological Reviews* vol. 100 1149–1179 Preprint at <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00031.2019> (2020).

- 656 14. Forde, N. *et al.* Changes in the Endometrial Transcriptome During the Bovine Estrous
657 Cycle: Effect of Low Circulating Progesterone and Consequences for Conceptus
658 Elongation1. *Biol Reprod* **84**, 266–278 (2011).
- 659 15. Alfattah, M. A. *et al.* Transcriptomics analysis of the bovine endometrium during the
660 perioestrus period. *PLoS One* **19**, e0301005 (2024).
- 661 16. Bazer, F. W. Uterine Protein Secretions: Relationship to Development of the
662 Conceptus. *J Anim Sci* **41**, 1376–1382 (1975).
- 663 17. Fitzgerald, H. C., Schust, D. J. & Spencer, T. E. In vitro models of the human
664 endometrium: evolution and application for women’s health+. *Biol Reprod* **104**, 282–
665 293 (2021).
- 666 18. Hughes, P., Marshall, D., Reid, Y., Parkes, H. & Gelber, C. The costs of using
667 unauthenticated, over-passaged cell lines: how much more data do we need?
668 *Biotechniques* **43**, 575–586 (2007).
- 669 19. Hughes, P., Marshall, D., Reid, Y., Parkes, H. & Gelber, C. The costs of using
670 unauthenticated, over-passaged cell lines: how much more data do we need?
671 *Biotechniques* **43**, 575–586 (2007).
- 672 20. Tinning, H. *et al.* The role of CAPG in molecular communication between the embryo
673 and the uterine endometrium: Is its function conserved in species with different
674 implantation strategies? *bioRxiv* 2020.02.19.953794 (2020)
675 doi:10.1101/2020.02.19.953794.
- 676 21. SUGINO, Y., SATO, T., YAMAMOTO, Y. & KIMURA, K. Evaluation of bovine uterine
677 gland functions in 2D and 3D culture system. *Journal of Reproduction and*
678 *Development* **68**, 254–261 (2022).
- 679 22. Zhao, Z. *et al.* Organoids. *Nature Reviews Methods Primers* **2**, 94 (2022).
- 680 23. Sato, T. *et al.* Long-term expansion of epithelial organoids from human colon,
681 adenoma, adenocarcinoma, and Barrett’s epithelium. *Gastroenterology* **141**, 1762–
682 1772 (2011).
- 683 24. Karthaus, W. R. *et al.* Identification of multipotent luminal progenitor cells in human
684 prostate organoid cultures. *Cell* **159**, 163–175 (2014).
- 685 25. Kessler, M. *et al.* The Notch and Wnt pathways regulate stemness and differentiation
686 in human fallopian tube organoids. *Nat Commun* **6**, 8989 (2015).
- 687 26. Turco, M. Y. *et al.* Long-term, hormone-responsive organoid cultures of human
688 endometrium in a chemically defined medium. *Nat Cell Biol* **19**, 568–577 (2017).
- 689 27. Cindrova-Davies, T. *et al.* Menstrual flow as a non-invasive source of endometrial
690 organoids. *Commun Biol* **4**, 651 (2021).
- 691 28. Lawson, E. F. *et al.* Establishment and characterization of oviductal organoids from
692 farm and companion animals†. *Biol Reprod* **108**, 854–865 (2023).
- 693 29. Ireland, J. J., Murphee, R. L. & Coulson, P. B. Accuracy of predicting stages of bovine
694 estrous cycle by gross appearance of the corpus luteum. *J Dairy Sci* **63**, 155–160
695 (1980).
- 696 30. Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S. & Käller, M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results
697 for multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 3047–8 (2016).
- 698 31. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
699 sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).
- 700 32. Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21
701 (2013).

- 702 33. Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program
703 for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923–930
704 (2014).
- 705 34. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and
706 dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).
- 707 35. Huang, D. W., Sherman, B. T. & Lempicki, R. A. Systematic and integrative analysis of
708 large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat Protoc* **4**, 44–57 (2009).
- 709 36. Merico, D., Isserlin, R., Stueker, O., Emili, A. & Bader, G. D. Enrichment map: a
710 network-based method for gene-set enrichment visualization and interpretation.
711 *PLoS One* **5**, e13984 (2010).
- 712 37. Shannon, P. *et al.* Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of
713 biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res* **13**, 2498–504 (2003).
- 714 38. Cline, M. S. *et al.* Integration of biological networks and gene expression data using
715 Cytoscape. *Nat Protoc* **2**, 2366–82 (2007).
- 716 39. Szklarczyk, D. *et al.* STRING v10: protein-protein interaction networks, integrated over
717 the tree of life. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, D447–52 (2015).
- 718 40. Szklarczyk, D. *et al.* The STRING database in 2017: quality-controlled protein-protein
719 association networks, made broadly accessible. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**, D362–D368
720 (2017).
- 721 41. Doncheva, N. T., Morris, J. H., Gorodkin, J. & Jensen, L. J. Cytoscape StringApp:
722 Network Analysis and Visualization of Proteomics Data. *J Proteome Res* **18**, 623–632
723 (2019).
- 724 42. Taylor, A. S. *et al.* A burst of genomic innovation at the origin of placental mammals
725 mediated embryo implantation. *Commun Biol* **6**, 459 (2023).
- 726 43. Kelleher, A. M., DeMayo, F. J. & Spencer, T. E. Uterine Glands: Developmental Biology
727 and Functional Roles in Pregnancy. *Endocr Rev* **40**, 1424–1445 (2019).
- 728 44. Gray, C. A. *et al.* Developmental Biology of Uterine Glands1. *Biol Reprod* **65**, 1311–
729 1323 (2001).
- 730 45. Joyce, M. M. *et al.* Interferon stimulated gene 15 conjugates to endometrial cytosolic
731 proteins and is expressed at the uterine-placental interface throughout
732 pregnancy in sheep. *Endocrinology* **146**, 675–684 (2005).
- 733 46. Sánchez, J. M., Simintiras, C. A. & Lonergan, P. Aspects of embryo-maternal
734 communication in establishment of pregnancy in cattle. *Anim Reprod* **16**, 376–385
735 (2019).
- 736 47. Tinning, H. *et al.* The role of CAPG in molecular communication between the embryo
737 and the uterine endometrium: Is its function conserved in species with different
738 implantation strategies? *The FASEB Journal* **34**, 11015–11029 (2020).
- 739 48. Piwocka, O. *et al.* Navigating challenges: optimising methods for primary cell
740 culture isolation. *Cancer Cell Int* **24**, 28 (2024).
- 741 49. Lee, K. Y. & DeMayo, F. J. Animal models of implantation. *Reproduction* **128**, 679–695
742 (2004).
- 743 50. Cousins, F. L., Filby, C. E. & Gargett, C. E. Endometrial stem/progenitor cells-their role
744 in endometrial repair and regeneration. *Frontiers in reproductive health* **3**, 811537
745 (2021).
- 746 51. Lara, E. *et al.* Endometrial stem cells in farm animals: Potential role in
747 uterine physiology and pathology. *Bioengineering (Basel)* **5**, 75 (2018).

- 748 52. De Bem, T. H. C., Tinning, H., Vasconcelos, E. J. R., Wang, D. & Forde, N. Endometrium
749 On-a-Chip Reveals Insulin- and Glucose-induced Alterations in the Transcriptome and
750 Proteomic Secretome. *Endocrinology* **162**, bqab054 (2021).
- 751 53. Tinning, H., Vasconcelos, E. J. R., Wang, D. & Forde, N. A bovine 3D endometrium-on-
752 a-chip reveals the role of conceptus-derived factors CAPG and PDI in conceptus-
753 endometrial communication. *Biol Reprod* (2025) doi:10.1093/biolre/ioaf077.
- 754 54. Cronin, J. G., Turner, M. L., Goetze, L., Bryant, C. E. & Sheldon, I. M. Toll-Like Receptor
755 4 and MYD88-Dependent Signaling Mechanisms of the Innate Immune System Are
756 Essential for the Response to Lipopolysaccharide by Epithelial and Stromal Cells of the
757 Bovine Endometrium¹. *Biol Reprod* **86**, 51, 1–9 (2012).
- 758 55. Camden, A. J. *et al.* Growth regulation by estrogen in breast cancer 1 (GREB1) is a
759 novel progesterone-responsive gene required for human endometrial stromal
760 decidualization. *Mol Hum Reprod* **23**, 646–653 (2017).
- 761 56. MacIntyre, D. M. *et al.* Implantation-Associated Changes in Bovine Uterine Expression
762 of Integrins and Extracellular Matrix¹. *Biol Reprod* **66**, 1430–1436 (2002).
- 763 57. Laviolette, L. A., Hodgkinson, K. M., Minhas, N., Perez-Iratxeta, C. & Vanderhyden, B.
764 C. 17 β -estradiol upregulates GREB1 and accelerates ovarian tumor progression in
765 vivo. *Int J Cancer* **135**, 1072–1084 (2014).
- 766 58. Rae, J. M. *et al.* GREB1 is a critical regulator of hormone dependent breast cancer
767 growth. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* **92**, 141–149 (2005).
- 768 59. Rae, J. M. *et al.* GREB1 is a novel androgen-regulated gene required for prostate
769 cancer growth. *Prostate* **66**, 886–894 (2006).
- 770 60. Wang, H. *et al.* The Role of JAK-3 in Regulating TLR-Mediated Inflammatory Cytokine
771 Production in Innate Immune Cells. *The Journal of Immunology* **191**, 1164–1174
772 (2013).
- 773 61. Fair, T. The contribution of the maternal immune system to the establishment
774 of pregnancy in cattle. *Front Immunol* **6**, 7 (2015).
- 775 62. Spencer, T. E., Forde, N. & Lonergan, P. The role of progesterone and conceptus-
776 derived factors in uterine biology during early pregnancy in ruminants¹. *J Dairy Sci* **99**,
777 5941–5950 (2016).
- 778 63. Gao, H. *et al.* Select Nutrients in the Ovine Uterine Lumen. I. Amino Acids, Glucose,
779 and Ions in Uterine Luminal Flushings of Cyclic and Pregnant Ewes¹. *Biol Reprod* **80**,
780 86–93 (2009).
- 781 64. Spiegler, E., Kim, Y.-K., Wassef, L., Shete, V. & Quadro, L. Maternal-fetal transfer and
782 metabolism of vitamin A and its precursor. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1821**, 88–98 (2012).
- 783 65. Yamada, O., Todoroki, J., Takahashi, T. & Hashizume, K. The Dynamic Expression of
784 Extracellular Matrix in the Bovine Endometrium at Implantation. *Journal of Veterinary*
785 *Medical Science* **64**, 207–214 (2002).
- 786 66. Johnson, G. A., Austin, K. J., Collins, A. M., Murdoch, W. J. & Hansen, T. R. Endometrial
787 ISG17 mRNA and a related mRNA are induced by interferon-tau and localized to
788 glandular epithelial and stromal cells from pregnant cows. *Endocrine* **10**, 243–252
789 (1999).
- 790 67. Mansouri-Attia, N. *et al.* Endometrium as an early sensor of in vitro embryo
791 manipulation technologies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **106**,
792 5687–5692 (2009).

68. Edge, J. C. *et al.* MicroRNAs emerging coordinate with placental mammals alter pathways in endometrial epithelia important for endometrial function. *iScience* **26**, 106339 (2023).
69. Smith, W. *et al.* An evolutionarily conserved microRNA, miR-185-5p, regulates key pathways that may contribute to implantation failure. *bioRxiv* (2025) doi:10.1101/2025.01.15.633123.
70. Rawlings, T. M., Makwana, K., Tryfonos, M. & Lucas, E. S. Organoids to model the endometrium: implantation and beyond. *Reproduction and Fertility* **2**, R85–R101 (2021).
71. Rawlings, T. M. *et al.* Modelling the impact of decidual senescence on embryo implantation in human endometrial assembloids. *Elife* **10**, e69603 (2021).
72. Forde, N. & Lonergan, P. Interferon-tau and fertility in ruminants. *Reproduction* **154**, F33–F43 (2017).

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. *Isolation of bovine endometrial organoids.* **(A)** Bovine uterus; note the corpus luteum - highlighted by arrowhead - in the mid-secretory phase. **(B)** Light microscopy image of organoids resulting from isolation by digestion method 1 and incubation time of 1 hr. **(C)** Schematic diagram demonstrating workflow of isolating cells to generate bovine endometrial organoids. Cells were isolated using different combinations of digestion solutions and fractions of cell strainers for varying times to determine optimal isolation methods for glandular organoid isolation. **(D)** Comparison of gland markers LIF, MUC1, KLF5, FOXA2, and IGFBP1, in cells using different isolation methods. Gene expression in cells isolated from the 40µM strainer or the 100µM strainer from bovine endometrium (n=3) following either 30 min or 1 hr incubation at 38.5 °C 5% CO₂ in digestion mix 1 (0.5mg/ml collagenase II, 100µg/ml DNase), 2 (0.5mg/ml collagenase II, 1mg/ml dispase, 100µg/ml DNase) or 3 (0.5mg/ml collagenase II, 1mg/ml dispase, 1µg/ml DNase).

Figure 2: *Representative light microscopy images of bovine endometrial organoids (n=2) at different time points of growth.* **(A)** Day 5, **(B)** Day 7, **(C)** Day 9, **(D)** Passage 1 + 5 days, **(E)** Passage 1 + 7 days **(F)** Passage 1 + 10 days. **(G)** Passage 2 + 2 days, **(H)** Passage 2 + 7 days, **(I)** Passage 2 + 10 days, **(J)** Passage 2 + 15 days. **(K)** Passage 3 + 3 days, **(L)** Passage 3 + 7 days, **(M)** Passage 3 + 10 days, **(N)** Passage 3 + 14 days. **(O)** Raw gene expression (raw CT value subtracted from 45 - maximum CT value) of gland markers KLF5, MUC1, LIF, FOXA2 and IGFBP1 in bovine endometrial organoids (n=3) at the following points of growth post isolation: day 2, day 7, day 9, passage 1 + 10 days, passage 2 + 15 days and passage 3 + 14 days.

Figure 3. *Epithelial morphology of bovine endometrial organoids.* 3D fluorescence microscopy of **(A)** anti-E-cadherin (green), or **(B)** anti-acetylated alpha-tubulin with phalloidin (red) and DAPI (blue) stained bovine endometrial organoid. Main panel shows x-y cross section through the centre of the organoid and upper and rightmost panels show x-z and y-z cross sections, respectively. **(C)** Bovine endometrial organoid stained with Ki67 (green), DAPI (blue) and phalloidin (red).

Figure 4. *Effect of progesterone treatment on bovine endometrial organoids.* **(A)** Principal component analysis plot representing variation in samples from control and treatment groups. Ctrl – Control; VC – vehicle control. **(B)** Volcano plot showing differentially expressed genes in P4 vs VC samples (Wald test, $p_{adj} < 0.05$, $|FC| > 2$). p_{adj} – adjusted p-value; FC – fold change. **(C)** Functional terms and pathways enriched in samples from treatment or control groups. Enrichment map displays enriched gene-sets based on differentially expressed genes in P4 vs VC organoids. **(D)** STRING network of predicted protein interactions for genes responding to P4. The Markov Cluster Algorithm (MCL) was used for clustering based on interaction score, with granularity set to 2 and edge weight cutoff set to 0.4. Protein interaction clusters were analysed for functional enrichment within StringApp; only selected clusters are shown. Supplementary File 1 contains the web session of both networks for interactive view.

Figure 5. *Effect of IFNT treatment on bovine endometrial organoids.* **(A)** Principal component analysis plot representing variation in samples from control and treatment groups. Ctrl – Control; VC – vehicle control. **(B)** Volcano plot showing differentially expressed genes in IFNT vs PBS samples (Wald test, $p_{adj} < 0.05$, $|FC| > 2$). p_{adj} – adjusted p-value; FC – fold change. **(C)** Functional terms and pathways enriched in samples from treatment or control groups. Enrichment map displays enriched gene-sets based on differentially expressed genes in P4 vs VC organoids. **(D)** STRING network of predicted protein interactions for genes responding to IFNT. The Markov Cluster Algorithm (MCL) was used for clustering based on interaction score, with granularity set to 2 and edge weight cutoff set to 0.4. Protein interaction clusters were analysed for functional enrichment within StringApp; only selected clusters are shown. Supplementary File 2 contains the web session of both networks for interactive view.

Figure 6. *Separate and shared effects of P4 and IFNT treatment on bovine endometrial organoids.* **(A)** Venn diagram depicting shared differentially expressed genes from P4 vs VC and IFNT vs PBS comparisons. **(B)** Functional terms and pathways enriched in organoids after P4 or IFNT treatments. Enrichment map displays enriched gene-sets based on differentially expressed genes in P4vsVC and IFNTvsPBS organoids. **(C)** STRING network of predicted protein interactions for genes responding to P4 or IFNT. The Markov Cluster

Algorithm (MCL) was used for clustering based on interaction score, with granularity set to 2 and edge weight cutoff set to 0.4. Protein interaction clusters were analysed for functional enrichment within StringApp; only selected clusters are shown. SupplementaryFile 3 contains the web session of both networks for interactive view.

Figure 7. Potential applications for bovine endometrial organoids as an in vitro model. (A) Expression of selected microRNAs of interest in bovine endometrial organoids. Organoids (n=3) were cultured for 9 days with no treatment and microRNA expression investigated by quantitative real-time PCR, with values normalised to 5s. **(B)** Images comparing morphology of bovine endometrial organoids cultured in traditional static well conditions (top) and static microfluidic devices (bottom). **(C)** Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of selected canonical (*ISG15*, *MX1*, *MX2*, *RSAD2*) and non-classical ISGs (*IGFBP1*, *FABP3*) in organoids treated with IFNT (recombinant ovine IFNT 100 ng/μl) for 24 hrs in a microfluidic device under static conditions. Black circles represent gene expression in organoids following treatment with IFNT, white circles represent gene expression in organoids following PBS Control treatment. Significant differences in gene expression are marked with an asterix (*) when $p < 0.05$ or two asterix (**) when $p < 0.01$. Analysis was carried out using paired t-test.