

This is a repository copy of *Phenotyping asthma and/or COPD using 129Xe MRI and comprehensive physiologic testing*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <a href="https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/233119/">https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/233119/</a>

Version: Accepted Version

#### Article:

Marshall, H. orcid.org/0000-0002-7425-1449, Smith, L.J. orcid.org/0000-0002-5769-423X, Biancardi, A.M. orcid.org/0009-0000-2765-0773 et al. (24 more authors) (2025) Phenotyping asthma and/or COPD using 129Xe MRI and comprehensive physiologic testing. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine. rccm.202501-0288OC. ISSN: 1073-449X

https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202501-0288oc

© 2025 The Authors. Except as otherwise noted, this author-accepted version of a journal article published in American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine is made available via the University of Sheffield Research Publications and Copyright Policy under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

#### Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



# Phenotyping Asthma and/or COPD Using <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI and

## **Comprehensive Physiologic Testing**

- 3 Helen Marshall<sup>1,2</sup>, Laurie J. Smith<sup>1</sup>, Alberto M Biancardi<sup>1,2</sup>, Guilhem J. Collier<sup>1</sup>,
- 4 Ho-Fung Chan<sup>1</sup>, Paul J.C. Hughes<sup>1</sup>, Martin L. Brook<sup>1,2</sup>, Joshua R. Astley<sup>1</sup>, Ryan
- 5 Munro<sup>1</sup>, Smitha Rajaram<sup>1</sup>, Andrew J. Swift<sup>1,2</sup>, David Capener<sup>1</sup>, Jody Bray<sup>1</sup>,
- 6 James E. Ball<sup>1</sup>, Oliver Rodgers<sup>1</sup>, Demi-Jade Jakymelen<sup>1</sup>, Bilal A. Tahir<sup>1,2</sup>,
- 7 Madhwesha Rao<sup>1</sup>, Graham Norquay<sup>1</sup>, Nicholas D. Weatherley<sup>1</sup>, Leanne
- 8 Armstrong<sup>1</sup>, Latife Hardaker<sup>3</sup>, Alberto Papi<sup>4</sup>, Helen K. Reddel<sup>5</sup>, Hana
- 9 Müllerová<sup>6</sup>, Rod Hughes<sup>7</sup>, Jim M. Wild<sup>1,2</sup> for the NOVELTY Scientific
- 10 Community and NOVELTY study investigators

1

2

- <sup>1</sup>POLARIS, Section of Medical Imaging and Technologies, Division of Clinical
- Medicine, School of Medicine and Population Health, University of Sheffield,
- Sheffield, UK; <sup>2</sup>Insigneo Institute, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK; <sup>3</sup>Priory
- 14 Medical Group, York, UK; <sup>4</sup>University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy; <sup>5</sup>The Woolcock
- 15 Institute of Medical Research, Macquarie Medical School; Faculty of Medicine,
- 16 Health and Human Sciences, Macquarie University; Sydney Local Health District;
- and the University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia; <sup>6</sup>Respiratory and
- 18 Immunology, Medical and Payer Evidence Strategy, BioPharmaceuticals Medical,
- 19 AstraZeneca, Cambridge, UK; <sup>7</sup>Early Respiratory & Immunology Clinical
- 20 Development, AstraZeneca, Cambridge, UK
- 21 Correspondence to: Helen Marshall, POLARIS, Division of Clinical Medicine
- University of Sheffield, 18 Claremont Crescent, Sheffield, S10 2TA. Email:
- 23 h.marshall@sheffield.ac.uk. Phone: +44 (0)114 2159145.

- 24 **Word count: 3678** (max 3,500)
- 25 **Running title**: Phenotyping asthma and/or COPD using <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI (46/50 characters
- 26 including spaces)
- 27 **Descriptor:** 8.17 Imaging: Physiologic Correlates
- 28 This article has an online data supplement, which is accessible from this issue's
- 29 table of content online at www.atsjournals.org.
- 30 At a Glance Commentary
- 31 **Scientific Knowledge on the Subject:** Asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary
- disease (COPD) significantly overlap by conventional diagnostic criteria, yet
- important treatment differences remain. People with both asthma and COPD have
- worse clinical outcomes than people with a single diagnosis. Hyperpolarized xenon-
- 129 magnetic resonance imaging (129Xe MRI) and pulmonary function tests (PFTs)
- are sensitive to lung function and structure.
- What This Study Adds to the Field: This research shows the utility of xenon-129
- magnetic resonance imaging (129Xe MRI) in the phenotyping of patients with asthma
- 39 and/or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) to obtain diagnostic
- 40 information (and therefore guide appropriate treatment) including when conventional
- 41 lung function tests are normal. In this real-world population of primary care patients
- with asthma and/or COPD, lung function was lower and structure more abnormal in
- 43 asthma+COPD than in asthma, but better than in COPD. Among people with normal
- forced expiratory volume in 1 second or transfer factor of the lung for carbon
- 45 monoxide, patients with COPD had reduced and more heterogeneous ventilation,

- greater acinar dimensions, and lower gas exchange on <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI than patients with
- 47 asthma.

#### 48 **Author Contributions**

- 49 **Conceptualization:** J.M.W. and R.H. designed the study.
- 50 **Methodology:** L.H. recruited patients, recorded patient reported outcomes,
- administered bronchodilator, and provided clinical care to patients during study visits.
- L.A. coordinated study visits. D.C., J.B., G.J.C., and H.-F.C. acquired the MRI scans.
- R.M., O.R., J.E.B., G.N., G.J.C., and J.M.W. polarized <sup>129</sup>Xe, and maintained the
- 54 polarizer and regulatory manufacturing licensing. O.R., J.M.W., and M.R. maintained
- 55 the radiofrequency coils. D.C., J.B., H.Ma., and P.J.C.H. administered gas for MRI
- scans. L.J.S. and D.-J.J. performed pulmonary function tests. M.L.B. managed data
- 57 transfer and storage.
- Formal analysis: A.M.B. managed the ventilation analysis workflow. A.M.B., H.Ma.,
- J.R.A., and R.M. performed ventilation MRI analysis. H.-F.C. performed diffusion
- 60 MRI analysis. G.J.C. performed IDEAL MRI analysis. P.J.C.H. performed T<sub>1</sub> MRI
- analysis. S.R. radiologically scored the <sup>1</sup>H MR images. A.J.S. radiologically reviewed
- the MR images. M.L.B., H.Ma., and L.J.S. collated the MRI and PFT metrics. H.Ma.
- performed the statistical analysis. H.Ma., L.J.S., G.J.C., H.-F.C., N.D.W., J.M.W.,
- 64 A.M.B., and P.J.C.H. performed the initial data interpretation. H.R. suggested the sex
- 65 differences and exacerbations analyses. H.Ma. prepared the outline of the paper.
- Writing original draft preparation: all authors.
- Writing reviewing and editing: all authors.

- 68 **Support:** The NOVELTY study is funded by AstraZeneca. Lung imaging and lung
- 69 function assessments were performed at the POLARIS Lung Imaging Centre
- (University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK), which received research grant funding from
- the Medical Research Council (MR/M008894/1).

## Abstract (250/250 words)

72

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

- Rationale: Asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) significantly overlap by conventional diagnostic criteria, yet important treatment differences remain, and people with both asthma and COPD ('asthma+COPD') have worse clinical outcomes than people with a single diagnosis. Hyperpolarized xenon-129
- 77 magnetic resonance imaging (129Xe MRI) and pulmonary function tests (PFTs) are
- sensitive to lung function and structure.
- Objective: To determine whether <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI alongside PFTs can aid phenotyping of real-world patients with asthma and/or COPD.
- Methods: Patients ≥16 years with physician-assigned asthma and/or COPD were
  recruited from primary care. <sup>129</sup>Xe and proton MRI, multiple-breath nitrogen washout,
  airwave oscillometry, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide (TLco), body
  plethysmography, and spirometry were assessed post-bronchodilator. Differences
  between diagnostic groups were assessed.
  - **Results:** The study assessed 165 patients. <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI and PFT metrics differed significantly between diagnostic groups. On <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI, patients with COPD had significantly reduced and more heterogeneous ventilation, greater acinar dimensions and lower gas transfer, in addition to lower spirometry, greater airways resistance and reactance, and more air trapping than patients with asthma. Similarly, <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI metrics demonstrated greater abnormalities in COPD than asthma when comparing only those with normal forced expiratory volume in 1 second or TLco. Lung function and structure were worse in asthma+COPD than asthma and better than COPD.

- 94 **Conclusions:** 129Xe MRI alongside PFTs provide phenotypically distinct airway
- 95 disease signatures to aid diagnosis of asthma and/or COPD. 129Xe MRI is highly
- sensitive to minimal lung disease and identifies functional/structural phenotypes that
- 97 may help to guide treatment decisions.
- 98 **Key words:** asthma, COPD, xenon-129 MRI, pulmonary function tests.

## Introduction

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

Asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are heterogeneous obstructive lung diseases, and some patients have characteristics of both (1, 2). The NOVEL observational longiTudinal study (NOVELTY, NCT02760329) has previously demonstrated that disease characteristics overlap between patients with asthma, asthma+COPD, and COPD, and that conventional criteria poorly differentiate between these diagnostic groups (3). Despite this, there are important differences in treatment recommendations; for example, long-acting bronchodilators alone are recommended for patients with COPD but are contraindicated for patients with asthma (2). Randomized controlled trials that inform treatment guidelines generally require patients to satisfy stringent inclusion criteria; the resulting highly selective patient sample restricts the validity of results to fewer than 10% of real-world patients (1, 2, 4). Studies often exclude patients who have features of both diseases, the prevalence of which is between 15% and 32% of patients who have diagnoses of asthma or COPD (2). These patients exhibit worse quality of life, and more hospitalizations and exacerbations when compared with those with a single diagnosis (5). Furthermore, patients with asthma+COPD are more likely to die or be hospitalized if treated with bronchodilators alone, rather than bronchodilators in combination with inhaled corticosteroids (6). Improved characterization of the lung function and structure of patients with asthma+COPD in order to determine if they have more asthma-like or more COPD-like disease could help guide management and treatment.

More extensive multimodal investigation of lung structure and function that goes

beyond diagnostic labels and spirometry may reveal the presence of phenotypes that will inform our understanding of underlying mechanisms and point to potential treatment strategies. Hyperpolarized xenon-129 magnetic resonance imaging (129Xe MRI) is new to clinical practice, highly sensitive to regional lung function abnormalities in patients with obstructive lung disease (7), and can provide novel insights for phenotyping obstructive lung disease, such as alveolar microstructure and gas exchange (8). Studies utilizing <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI have observed increased ventilation heterogeneity in asthma (9) and in COPD (10), and elevated acinar dimensions (11) and reduced gas exchange (12) in COPD compared with the lungs of healthy volunteers. Pulmonary function tests (PFTs) such as multiple-breath nitrogen washout (MBNW) and airwave oscillometry (AOS) have increasing clinical potential and can provide complementary information about global small airways obstruction, ventilation heterogeneity, airways resistance, and lung compliance (13, 14). The Advanced Diagnostic Profiling (ADPro) substudy of NOVELTY is using <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI and comprehensive PFT assessments to phenotype lung disease (15). In this work, we aimed to determine: (i) whether <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI and comprehensive PFTs, including MBNW and AOS, can aid in the phenotyping of a real-world population of patients with physician-assigned asthma and/or COPD; and (ii) whether <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI could differentiate diagnostic groups when forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV<sub>1</sub>) or transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide (TLco) were within the normal ranges. Some of the results of this study have previously been reported in congress abstracts (16-18).

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

#### Methods

Patients ≥16 years old with a physician-assigned or suspected diagnosis of asthma and/or COPD participating in NOVELTY (15), were recruited from two primary care centers in York, UK. Patients were assessed at the University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK, during a single visit between July 2020 and June 2021. The following order of assessments was performed ≥20 minutes after the administration of inhaled salbutamol 400 μg: <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI, proton (¹H) MRI, MBNW, AOS, TLco, body plethysmography, and spirometry (~90 min after salbutamol administration).

#### **Demographics and Clinical Metrics**

Demographic data, Chronic Airways Assessment Test (CAAT) (19), St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) (20), and Respiratory Symptom Questionnaire (RSQ) scores (21), physician-reported exacerbations over the previous year, hemoglobin level, and neutrophil and eosinophil count were taken from the NOVELTY database at the timepoint closest to the ADPro visit.

#### **MRI and PFTs**

<sup>1</sup>H (structural proton) and <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI were acquired supine using a 1.5T whole-body MRI system. The following MRI metrics were calculated: ventilation defect percent (VDP; percentage of unventilated lung), ventilation heterogeneity index (VH<sub>I</sub>; the interquartile range of the coefficient of variation of signal intensity within ventilated regions), mean diffusive length scale (Lm<sub>D</sub>; a measure of acinar dimension), ratio of <sup>129</sup>Xe dissolved in the red blood cells to <sup>129</sup>Xe in the airspaces (RBC/gas), ratio of <sup>129</sup>Xe dissolved in the alveolar membrane to <sup>129</sup>Xe in the airspaces (M/gas), and ratio of <sup>129</sup>Xe dissolved in the red blood cells to <sup>129</sup>Xe in the alveolar membrane (RBC/M;

169 measures of alveolar gas exchange) (15). Figure 1 depicts the application of <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI in assessing lung ventilation, acinar microstructure, and gas exchange. 170 171 All PFTs were performed after MRI and according to international guidelines (22-26). The lung clearance index (LCI) was calculated from MBNW; AOS was used to 172 173 measure the resistance and reactance at 5 Hz, the difference between the resistance at 5 and 20 Hz (R5–R20), and the area under the reactance curve (AX); 174 and total lung capacity (TLC), residual volume (RV), and RV/TLC were measured 175 using body plethysmography. TLco was calculated from gas transfer. Spirometry, 176 including FEV<sub>1</sub>, forced vital capacity (FVC), FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC, and forced expiratory flow at 177 25–75% of the FVC (FEF<sub>25–75%</sub>) was performed. Measurements were converted to z-178 scores (lower limit of normal -1.64) (27-30). 179

#### Statistical Analysis

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

Differences between physician-assigned diagnoses (asthma, asthma+COPD, and COPD) were assessed using Kruskal-Wallis tests with Dunn's correction (nonnormal data) or analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests with Tukey's correction (normal data) in GraphPad Prism. Prism diagnosis signatures were created to compare differences in key metrics between diagnosis groups. Spearman's correlations between key metrics were performed with Holm-Sidak correction for multiple comparisons ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

Sub-analyses were performed to investigate specific subgroups: (i) differences in <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI VDP, VH<sub>I</sub>, and Lm<sub>D</sub> were assessed in patients with normal FEV<sub>1</sub> (z-score >-1.64); (ii) differences in <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI RBC/gas, RBC/M, and Lm<sub>D</sub> were assessed in

- patients with normal TLco (z-score >-1.64); and (iii) <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI, PFT, and clinical metrics were assessed in age-matched patients.
- Methods details and additional exploratory analyses are presented in the supplement.

#### Results

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

Patient Demographics and Clinical Characteristics by Physician-Assigned

**Diagnosis** 

Patient demographics and clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Overall, 165 patients (aged 27-82 years) were assessed; 83 patients (50.3%) had a physician-assigned diagnosis of asthma, 55 (33.3%) had a physician-assigned diagnosis of asthma+COPD, and 27 (16.4%) had a physician-assigned diagnosis of COPD. When compared with patients with COPD, patients with asthma were younger (median: 52.8 vs. 69.9 years) and were less likely to be current smokers (15.7% vs. 48.1%). Similarly, compared with patients with asthma+COPD, patients with asthma were younger (median: 52.8 vs. 61.9 years), less likely to be current smokers (15.7% vs. 32.7%), and had lower CAAT scores (median: 10.0 vs. 14.0), SGRQ scores (median: 15.4 vs. 31.4), and RSQ scores (median: 2.0 vs. 4.0), indicating better health status and fewer symptoms. There was a lower proportion of female patients in the asthma+COPD group (40.0%) compared with the COPD group (70.4%), and a higher percentage of patients with physician-assigned severe disease in the asthma+COPD group (43.6%) compared with the asthma (21.7%) or COPD (18.5%) groups. As expected, there were medication differences between physician-assigned diagnosis groups (Table 1 and supplementary Table E1).

## <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI and PFT Results by Physician-Assigned Diagnosis

214

Figure 2 shows example <sup>129</sup>Xe MR images from a patient from each diagnostic group 215 with normal FEV<sub>1</sub> and normal TLco. 216 Among all patients, many MRI and PFT metrics were different between patients with 217 COPD versus asthma (P < 0.001) and asthma+COPD versus asthma (P < 0.05) 218 (Figure 3 and Table 2). VDP, Lm<sub>D</sub>, RBC/gas, M/gas, and LCI were also different 219 between COPD versus asthma+COPD (P < 0.01 or P < 0.05). Patients with COPD 220 had worse spirometry (FEV<sub>1</sub>, FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC and FEF<sub>25-75%</sub>), lower gas transfer, more gas 221 trapping, more heterogeneous ventilation, greater acinar dimensions, higher airways 222 reactance, and higher peripheral airways resistance than patients with asthma. VDP 223 was abnormal (>2.16%) (31) in 47% of patients with asthma, 87% of patients with 224 asthma+COPD, and 100% of patients with COPD. Acinar dimensions (Lm<sub>D</sub>) were 225 abnormally large (>1.64 z-scores) (31) in 55.6% of patients with COPD, 46.3% of 226 227 patients with asthma+COPD, and 6.2% of patients with asthma. Considerable heterogeneity was also observed in some variables within diagnostic groups as seen 228 in the wide range of data observed, for example, for VDP in COPD and AX in 229 asthma+COPD. Additional metrics are presented in supplementary Table E2 and the 230 numbers of patients with each measurement are summarized in supplementary 231 Table E3. The diagnostic signatures of patients with asthma, asthma+COPD, and 232 COPD are shown in Figure 4. 233 Abnormalities on anatomical <sup>1</sup>H MRI were observed in 19.0% of patients, of which 234 atelectasis was the most common (asthma: 9.6%; asthma+COPD: 14.8%; COPD: 235 11.1%) (supplementary Table E4). Post-bronchodilator air trapping was present in 236 three (3.7%) patients with asthma, but not in patients with asthma+COPD or COPD. 237

Emphysema was observed on anatomical <sup>1</sup>H MRI in one patient with asthma+COPD and one with COPD.

#### <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI Metrics in Patients with Normal FEV<sub>1</sub> or Normal TLco

In patients with spirometry data, post-bronchodilator FEV<sub>1</sub> was normal (z-score >-1.64) in 95.1%, 67.9%, and 46.2% of patients with asthma, asthma+COPD, and COPD, respectively. In 126 patients with normal post-bronchodilator FEV<sub>1</sub>, ventilation defects were prevalent (Figure 5, supplementary Table E5) and 61.1% had abnormal VDP. In this group of patients, <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI metrics of ventilation abnormality and acinar dimensions were smaller (closer to age-matched normal) in asthma than in COPD or asthma+COPD groups (*P* < 0.0001). Acinar dimensions were also smaller (closer to age-matched normal) in asthma+COPD than in COPD (*P* < 0.05). 16.0% of patients had abnormally large acinar dimensions (Lm<sub>D</sub> >1.64 z-scores) (Table E5). In patients with gas transfer data, post-bronchodilator TLco was normal (z-score >-1.64) in 95.1%, 77.4%, and 46.2% of patients with asthma, asthma+COPD, and COPD, respectively. In 131 patients with normal TLco, <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI metrics of gas transfer and acinar dimensions were worse in COPD than in asthma groups (RBC/gas, Lm<sub>D</sub>: *P* < 0.001; RBC/M: *P* < 0.05) (Figure 5) and 14.6% of patients had enlarged acinar dimensions.

#### <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI and PFT Metrics in Age-Matched Patients

The trends observed in the whole dataset remained in the subset of age-matched patients, albeit with reduced statistical significance due to the smaller number of patients included (supplementary Table E6; supplementary Figure E1). Differences

with a statistical significance of P < 0.0001 between patients with physician-assigned asthma and COPD remained for VDP, Lm<sub>D</sub>, LCI, FEV<sub>1</sub> z-score, and TLco z-score.

#### **Correlations Between Metrics**

There were moderate-strong correlations between <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI and PFT metrics (supplementary Figure E2). Strong negative correlations were observed between VDP and FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC z-score, VH<sub>I</sub> and FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC z-score, and Lm<sub>D</sub> and TLco z-score. VDP and VH<sub>I</sub> showed moderate positive correlations with Lm<sub>D</sub> and LCI. CAAT, SGRQ, and exacerbations in the previous year had the weakest correlations with MRI and PFT metrics. Smoking pack-years showed moderate correlation with VDP, VH<sub>I</sub>, Lm<sub>D</sub>, RBC/gas, FEV<sub>1</sub> z-score, TLco z-score, and LCI.

Three-dimensional plots of the relationships between FEV<sub>1</sub> z-score, VDP, and LCI (supplementary Figure E3A) and TLco z-score, RBC/gas, and Lm<sub>D</sub> (supplementary Figure E3B) show reasonable separation, and some overlap, between populations of patients with asthma alone (red dots) and COPD alone (blue dots). Supplementary Figure E3B shows the direct influence of lung microstructure on gas exchange; in patients with increased acinar dimensions (Lm<sub>D</sub>), the reduction in gas exchange surface area was associated with impaired transport of <sup>129</sup>Xe into the blood

#### **Discussion**

(RBC/gas) and reduced TLco.

Among patients with physician-assigned diagnoses of asthma and/or COPD, <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI and PFT metrics provided phenotypically distinct signatures of airways disease. Patients with COPD had increased ventilation defects and acinar dimensions and reduced gas exchange on <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI when compared to those with asthma, and

increased ventilation heterogeneity, airways resistance and lung compliance. Metrics were more similar in asthma+COPD and COPD, although significant differences in some metrics (VDP, Lm<sub>D</sub>, RBC/gas, M/gas, and LCI) were observed. Substantial heterogeneity was also seen within diagnostic groups. Of significance, patients with asthma+COPD or COPD but with a normal FEV<sub>1</sub>, had significantly worse <sup>129</sup>Xe-ventilation and acinar-dimension metrics than those with asthma. Similarly, among patients with normal TLco, <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI gas-transfer and acinar-dimension metrics were worse in those with COPD versus those with asthma. These findings, alongside the substantial heterogeneity within diagnostic groups with normal FEV<sub>1</sub> or TLco, highlight the powerful capability of <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI to phenotype patients with minimal global and regional airways disease.

The differences between the diagnostic groups suggest that there are fundamental structural and functional differences that can be detected using advanced imaging alongside PFTs. Higher VDP, indicating that <sup>129</sup>Xe cannot access a greater proportion of the lung (15, 32), indicates increased airway obstruction, possibly due to mucus plugging and destruction of the small airways (33). The median VDP for patients with asthma in this study was low relative to the upper limit of normal for a healthy population (31), indicating that patients with asthma had, on average, more preserved lung function with limited ventilation obstruction; despite this, VDP values of up to 17.4% were observed, which is similar to the findings of others (34-36). Median VDP was higher, indicative of less preserved lung function, among patients with asthma+COPD and COPD versus asthma, and to a lesser extent, in those with COPD versus asthma+COPD. <sup>129</sup>Xe diffusion MRI demonstrated that acinar dimensions (measured by Lm<sub>D</sub>) were larger in patients with COPD and asthma+COPD than those with asthma, and that more than half of patients with

COPD and approaching half of patients with asthma+COPD had abnormally large acinar dimensions, indicative of emphysema (15, 37). This suggests that the low rate of emphysema detected on anatomical <sup>1</sup>H MRI (two patients in total) is a limitation of <sup>1</sup>H MRI methods used in detecting subtle changes in parenchymal tissue density rather than a genuine reflection of the amount of emphysema in the study population and that <sup>129</sup>Xe diffusion MRI is sensitive to early emphysematous changes that are not evident on <sup>1</sup>H MRI. Furthermore, RBC/gas, a marker of gas transfer efficiency between the alveoli and the blood, and M/gas, an indicator of pulmonary tissue density (38), were lower in patients with COPD compared with those with asthma and asthma+COPD consistent with the presence of emphysema. Overall, these <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI findings indicate a phenotype of reduced and more heterogeneous ventilation (possibly due to a greater extent of small airways disease and/or mucus plugging) and reduced gas exchange (likely because of emphysema) in COPD, and to a lesser extent in asthma+COPD, compared with asthma. LCI mirrored the <sup>129</sup>Xe ventilation MRI results of increased ventilation heterogeneity in COPD compared to asthma+COPD, and in asthma+COPD compared to asthma. Similarly, TLco matched <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI results showing greater gas transfer in asthma compared to asthma+COPD and COPD. Patients with asthma had less air trapping than patients with COPD or asthma+COPD. AOS found greater airways resistance

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

<sup>129</sup>Xe MRI metrics detected abnormalities in patients with normal FEV<sub>1</sub> or TLco, demonstrating the exquisite sensitivity of functional MRI to identify and phenotype subclinical disease. Hyperpolarized gas (<sup>129</sup>Xe or Helium-3) ventilation MRI is known

and increased lung compliance in COPD than asthma, highlighting differences in

underlying lung mechanics between the diagnoses.

to be highly sensitive to early-stage obstructive lung disease, for example detecting ventilation abnormalities in children with cystic fibrosis with normal spirometry, LCI, and computed tomography (CT) (39). Hyperpolarized gas MRI measurements of acinar dimensions have shown sensitivity to emphysematous changes in asymptomatic smokers (40), while gas transfer (RBC/M and RBC/gas) measurements have not previously been assessed in clinical populations with normal conventional lung function (40). Here we highlight that <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI metrics are often abnormal and phenotypically different between diagnostic groups in patients where the FEV<sub>1</sub> or TLco are normal, thus highlighting the power and sensitivity of <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI to be used to diagnose and phenotype patients with mild and/or earlystage airways disease. <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI and PFT metrics reflect the severity and extent of different lung disease pathophysiology in an individual allowing personalized assessment and management. For example, assessment of a patient with asthma+COPD in the clinic with <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI and PFTs would provide a picture of whether the lung pathophysiology was more asthma-like or COPD-like and their treatment could be tailored accordingly. Similarly, <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI provides novel lung physiology signatures allowing greater confidence in the diagnosis of airways disease. For example, even in a patient with normal FEV<sub>1</sub> and TLco, enlarged acinar dimensions (Lm<sub>D</sub>), reduced gas transfer (RBC/gas, RBC/M), and reduced pulmonary tissue density (M/gas) on <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI are indicative of emphysema and COPD. Distinguishing asthma with persistent airflow limitation from COPD is important due to differences in the treatment options for asthma and COPD. 129Xe MRI and advanced PFTs can aid in this; a patient with asthma and persistent airflow limitation could have ventilation defects, increased LCI and airways resistance but with normal acinar dimensions,

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

gas exchange, and reactance. Whereas a patient with COPD could have ventilation defects, increased LCI and airways resistance but also enlarged acinar dimensions, reduced gas exchange, and increased reactance.

For all <sup>129</sup>Xe and PFT metrics, the extent of lung function or structure impairments in patients with asthma+COPD was greater than in patients with asthma and less than in patients with COPD. Given the poorer clinical outcomes of patients with asthma+COPD when compared to patients with a single diagnosis previously reported (5), the results of the comparison between asthma+COPD and COPD are somewhat counterintuitive. The dissonance could be due to the differences in patient populations studied; however, in this cohort recruited from primary care, it suggests that any poorer clinical outcomes experienced by the asthma+COPD patient group would not be caused by having fundamentally worse lung function or structure than the COPD patient group.

The assessment of patients post-bronchodilator minimized the contribution of airflow obstruction due to smooth muscle contraction, and bronchodilator responsiveness has been found not to discriminate between asthma and COPD in the wider NOVELTY study (41). Bronchodilator responsiveness data were not acquired in this cohort at this timepoint, so differential bronchodilator responsiveness effects between diagnosis groups cannot be ruled out. In addition to differences in lung physiology between diagnoses, as expected, there were differences in clinical variables between diagnosis groups, such as medications, symptom scores, and smoking status. However, these clinical variables are intrinsic to the diagnoses and will have had an unquantifiable contribution to the differences in lung physiology that were observed.

Earlier studies have shown that <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI metrics are age dependent: acinar dimensions increase with age (37) and gas transfer reduces with age (42). The agematched subanalysis supports the finding that the differences observed between diagnosis groups in the whole population were not due to older age in the COPD versus asthma groups.

There have been limited studies to date investigating the use of <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI in diagnosing patients with obstructive lung disease. Existing studies tend to be small, use only one or two MRI metrics, are limited to a single patient population, or lack comparison between diagnostic groups (10-12, 32, 35, 43, 44). A previous study reported relationships between hyperpolarized gas MRI VDP and AOS metrics in patients with asthma and patients with COPD (44). Correlations have been reported between <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI metrics and PFTs in some small studies, including: VDP and spirometry in patients with asthma and COPD (10, 32, 35), VDP and RV/TLC, and VH<sub>I</sub> and spirometry in patients with asthma (32, 35), apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) and spirometry in patients with COPD (11), and ADC and TLco and TLco/alveolar volume in patients with COPD (10, 11, 43). In this study, we found moderate-strong correlations between <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI and PFT metrics; in particular, strong negative correlations were observed between VDP and FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC z-score, VH<sub>I</sub> and FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC z-score, and Lm<sub>D</sub> and TLco z-score.

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first study to investigate <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI and PFT characteristics in a population of patients with a physician-assigned diagnosis of asthma+COPD and the largest <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI study conducted to date.

NOVELTY enrolls a broad patient population by including patients according to their physician-assigned diagnosis from both primary and secondary care and by avoiding

stringent inclusion criteria. Therefore, findings from the NOVELTY dataset are generalizable to the heterogenous population of patients who present in clinical practice.

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

Limitations include the uneven sample sizes of the diagnostic groups, particularly the small size of the group of patients with COPD, and uneven proportions of male and female patients within each diagnostic group. The higher proportion of patients with physician-assigned disease severity in the asthma+COPD group may have contributed to the overlap of lung physiology metrics between the asthma+COPD and COPD groups. Additionally, during the assessment period, those with asthma or COPD were advised to "shield" themselves from COVID-19 and two national lockdowns took place in the UK (November 2020 and January-March 2021); asthma exacerbations were substantially lower than usual over the 18 months following March 2020 than prior to COVID-19 (45). Clinical variables such as symptom scores and exacerbation history were acquired on average 7-12 months before the MRI and PFTs were performed, which may have reduced the correlations between clinical and physiological variables. Although MRI and PFT data from healthy volunteers are available in the literature, there was no control group assessed as part of this study. CT is clinically established for the detection of emphysema and structural abnormalities in the lung, and whilst <sup>1</sup>H MRI shows promise its clinical use and evidence base is limited. CT images were not acquired due to the associated radiation dose, resulting in a lack of gold-standard structural imaging data. Finally, the attending physician determined the patient's diagnostic label and disease severity according to their expertise and judgment, which may not have been in accordance with guideline recommendations.

phenotypically different in COPD compared to asthma. Importantly, <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI metrics were sensitive to lung function abnormalities and were able to differentiate people with COPD from those with asthma when conventional lung function tests were normal. Patients with physician-diagnosed COPD on <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI had worse ventilation, greater acinar dimensions and lower gas transfer than patients with asthma, in addition to worse spirometric limitation, greater airways resistance and lung compliance, and greater air trapping. Lung function and structure were worse in asthma+COPD than in asthma, and better in asthma+COPD than in COPD. These data demonstrate the power and sensitivity of <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI and PFTs in identifying phenotypes of airways disease to potentially guide clinical management, including in patients with otherwise minimal evidence of disease.

#### **Author Disclosures**

HMa, LJS, AMB, GJC, H-FC, PJCH, MLB, JRA, RM, SR, AJS, DC, JB, JEB, OR, D-JJ, IS, BAT, MR, GN, NDW, LA, and JMW are employees of the University of Sheffield, which received institutional grants from AstraZeneca to perform the ADPro study. Outside of the submitted work, HMa has received research grants from GSK and the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council; JMW has received research grants from GSK, MRC, NIHR, and the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council; PJCH was supported by a research grant from Yorkshire Cancer Research; AJS has received research grants and payment or honoraria for lectures/presentations/speakers bureaus from Janssen Pharmaceuticals; BAT has received personal fees from Yorkshire Cancer Research for his senior fellowship; NDW has received support from Boehringer Ingelheim for attending meetings and has received fees for advisory board membership; and HMa, JMW, and LJS have received support from AstraZeneca for attending meetings. HMü and RH are employees of AstraZeneca. Outside of the submitted work, RH has received personal fees from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, GSK, and Novartis.

# **Data Sharing**

De-identified participant data underlying the findings described in this manuscript may be obtained in accordance with AstraZeneca's data-sharing policy described at <a href="https://astrazenecagrouptrials.pharmacm.com/ST/Submission/Disclosure">https://astrazenecagrouptrials.pharmacm.com/ST/Submission/Disclosure</a>. Data for studies directly listed on Vivli can be requested through Vivli at <a href="https://vivli.org/">https://vivli.org/</a>. Data for studies not listed on Vivli could be requested through Vivli at <a href="https://vivli.org/members/enquiries-about-studies-not-listed-on-the-vivli-platform/">https://vivli.org/members/enquiries-about-studies-not-listed-on-the-vivli-platform/</a>. AstraZeneca Vivli member page is also available outlining further details:

https://vivli.org/ourmember/astrazeneca/. The NOVELTY protocol is available at

https://astrazenecagrouptrials.pharmacm.com.

## **Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to thank the patients who participated in this study, the NOVELTY Scientific Community, and the NOVELTY study investigators who are listed in full in supplementary Tables E10 and E11. This is independent research carried out at the National Institute of Health and Care Research (NIHR) Sheffield Biomedical Research Centre The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of AstraZeneca, the NIHR, or the Department of Health and Social Care. AstraZeneca was granted a courtesy review. Medical writing support, including assisting authors with development of the outline and initial draft and incorporation of comments, was provided by Katie Webster, BSc, and Matt Brownsword, PhD, and editorial support, including referencing, figure preparation, formatting, proofreading, and submission was provided by Jess Fawcett, BSc, all of Core (a division of Prime, London, UK), supported by AstraZeneca, according to Good Publication Practice Guidelines (Link).

## 481 References (max 50)

- 1. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. Global Strategy for the
  Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary
  Disease, 2023 Report. 2023 January 20, [cited 2025 Jan 17]. Available from:
  <a href="https://goldcopd.org/2023-gold-report-2/">https://goldcopd.org/2023-gold-report-2/</a>.
- 2. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention (2025 update). 2025 May 2025 [cited 2025 11 June]. Available from: <a href="https://www.ginaasthma.org">www.ginaasthma.org</a>.
- 3. Reddel HK, Vestbo J, Agusti A, Anderson GP, Bansal AT, Beasley R, Bel EH,
  Janson C, Make B, Pavord ID, Price D, Rapsomaniki E, Karlsson N, Finch
  DK, Nuevo J, de Giorgio-Miller A, Alacqua M, Hughes R, Mullerova H,
  Gerhardsson de Verdier M, Novelty study investigators. Heterogeneity within
  and between physician-diagnosed asthma and/or COPD: NOVELTY cohort.

  Eur Respir J 2021; 58: 2003927.
- 495 4. Herland K, Akselsen JP, Skjonsberg OH, Bjermer L. How representative are
  496 clinical study patients with asthma or COPD for a larger "real life" population
  497 of patients with obstructive lung disease? *Respir Med* 2005; 99: 11–19.
- 498 5. Alshabanat A, Zafari Z, Albanyan O, Dairi M, FitzGerald JM. Asthma and COPD
   499 Overlap Syndrome (ACOS): A Systematic Review and Meta Analysis. *PLoS* 500 One 2015; 10: e0136065.
- 6. Gershon AS, Campitelli MA, Croxford R, Stanbrook MB, To T, Upshur R,
   Stephenson AL, Stukel TA. Combination long-acting β-agonists and inhaled
   corticosteroids compared with long-acting β-agonists alone in older adults with
   chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *JAMA* 2014; 312: 1114–1121.
- Stewart NJ, Smith LJ, Chan HF, Eaden JA, Rajaram S, Swift AJ, Weatherley ND,
   Biancardi A, Collier GJ, Hughes D, Klafkowski G, Johns CS, West N, Ugonna
   K, Bianchi SM, Lawson R, Sabroe I, Marshall H, Wild JM. Lung MRI with
   hyperpolarised gases: current & future clinical perspectives. *Br J Radiol* 2022;
   95: 20210207.
- 8. Coxson HO, Leipsic J, Parraga G, Sin DD. Using pulmonary imaging to move
   chronic obstructive pulmonary disease beyond FEV1. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014; 190: 135-144.
- 9. Svenningsen S, Kirby M, Starr D, Leary D, Wheatley A, Maksym GN, McCormack DG, Parraga G. Hyperpolarized (3) He and (129) Xe MRI: differences in asthma before bronchodilation. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2013; 38: 1521–1530.
- 10. Kirby M, Svenningsen S, Owrangi A, Wheatley A, Farag A, Ouriadov A, Santyr
   GE, Etemad-Rezai R, Coxson HO, McCormack DG, Parraga G.
   Hyperpolarized 3He and 129Xe MR imaging in healthy volunteers and

519 patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Radiology* 2012; 265: 600–610.

- 11. Kaushik SS, Cleveland ZI, Cofer GP, Metz G, Beaver D, Nouls J, Kraft M,
   Auffermann W, Wolber J, McAdams HP, Driehuys B. Diffusion-weighted
   hyperpolarized 129Xe MRI in healthy volunteers and subjects with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Magn Reson Med* 2011; 65: 1154–1165.
- 12. Qing K, Ruppert K, Jiang Y, Mata JF, Miller GW, Shim YM, Wang C, Ruset IC, Hersman FW, Altes TA, Mugler JP, 3rd. Regional mapping of gas uptake by blood and tissue in the human lung using hyperpolarized xenon-129 MRI. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2014; 39: 346–359.
- 13. Kuo CR, Jabbal S, Lipworth B. I Say IOS You Say AOS: Comparative Bias in Respiratory Impedance Measurements. *Lung* 2019; 197: 473–481.
- 14. Chukowry PS, Spittle DA, Turner AM. Small Airways Disease, Biomarkers and COPD: Where are We? *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2021; 16: 351–365.
- 15. Marshall H, Wild JM, Smith LJ, Hardaker L, Fihn-Wikander T, Mullerova H,
   Hughes R. Functional imaging in asthma and COPD: design of the NOVELTY
   ADPro substudy. *ERJ Open Res* 2023; 9: 00344–02022.
- 16. Marshall H, Smith L, Biancardi A, Collier G, Chan H-F, Hughes P, Astley J,
   Munro R, Rajaram S, Capener D, Bray J, Smith I, Armstrong L, Hardaker L,
   Fihn-Wikander T, Hughes R, Wild J. A comparison of 129Xe MRI and
   advanced lung function testing in patients with asthma and /or COPD: The
   NOVELTY ADPro substudy. Eur Respir J 2021; 58: PA1872.
- Marshall H, Smith LJ, Biancardi A, Collier GJ, Chan HF, Hughes PJC, Brook ML,
   Astley J, Munro R, Rajaram S, Swift AJ, Capener D, Bray J, Ball J, Rodgers
   O, Jakymelen D, Smith I, Tahir BA, Rao M, Norquay G, Weatherley ND,
   Armstrong L, Hardaker L, Fihn-Wikander T, Hughes R, Wild JM. Physiological
   Phenotypes of Patients with Asthma and/or COPD Using 129Xe MRI. Am J
   Respir Crit Care Med 2022; 205: A2175.
- 18. Smith L, Marshall H, Jakymelen D, Biancardi A, Collier G, Chan H, Hughes P,
   Brook M, Astley J, Munro R, Rajaram S, Swift A, Capener D, Bray J, Ball J,
   Rodgers O, Smith I, Tahir B, Rao M, Norquay G, Weatherley N, Armstrong L,
   Hardaker L, Fihn-Wikander T, Hughes R, Wild J. 129Xe-MRI and lung
   function to phenotype ventilation heterogeneity in asthma and/or COPD. Eur
   Respir J 2022; 60: 2865.
- 19. Tomaszewski EL, Atkinson MJ, Janson C, Karlsson N, Make B, Price D, Reddel HK, Vogelmeier CF, Mullerova H, Jones PW, Community NS, investigators Ns. Chronic Airways Assessment Test: psychometric properties in patients with asthma and/or COPD. *Respir Res* 2023; 24: 106.
- 557 20. Jones PW, Quirk FH, Baveystock CM. The St George's Respiratory 558 Questionnaire. *Respir Med* 1991; 85 Suppl B: 25–31;discussion 33–37.

21. Karlsson N, Atkinson MJ, Mullerova H, Alacqua M, Keen C, Hughes R, Janson C, Make B, Price D, Reddel HK. Validation of a diagnosis-agnostic symptom questionnaire for asthma and/or COPD. *ERJ Open Res* 2021; 7: 00828–02020.

22. Robinson PD, Latzin P, Verbanck S, Hall GL, Horsley A, Gappa M, Thamrin C,
 Arets HG, Aurora P, Fuchs SI, King GG, Lum S, Macleod K, Paiva M, Pillow
 JJ, Ranganathan S, Ratjen F, Singer F, Sonnappa S, Stocks J, Subbarao P,
 Thompson BR, Gustafsson PM. Consensus statement for inert gas washout
 measurement using multiple- and single- breath tests. *Eur Respir J* 2013; 41:
 507–522.

- 23. Wanger J, Clausen JL, Coates A, Pedersen OF, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Crapo R, Enright P, van der Grinten CP, Gustafsson P, Hankinson J,
   Jensen R, Johnson D, Macintyre N, McKay R, Miller MR, Navajas D,
   Pellegrino R, Viegi G. Standardisation of the measurement of lung volumes.
   Eur Respir J 2005; 26: 511–522.
- 24. Graham BL, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Cooper BG, Jensen R, Kendrick A,
   MacIntyre NR, Thompson BR, Wanger J. 2017 ERS/ATS standards for single-breath carbon monoxide uptake in the lung. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 49: 1600016.
- 25. King GG, Bates J, Berger KI, Calverley P, de Melo PL, Dellaca RL, Farre R, Hall
   GL, Ioan I, Irvin CG, Kaczka DW, Kaminsky DA, Kurosawa H, Lombardi E,
   Maksym GN, Marchal F, Oppenheimer BW, Simpson SJ, Thamrin C, van den
   Berge M, Oostveen E. Technical standards for respiratory oscillometry. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 55: 1900753.
- 26. Graham BL, Steenbruggen I, Miller MR, Barjaktarevic IZ, Cooper BG, Hall GL,
   Hallstrand TS, Kaminsky DA, McCarthy K, McCormack MC, Oropez CE,
   Rosenfeld M, Stanojevic S, Swanney MP, Thompson BR. Standardization of
   Spirometry 2019 Update. An Official American Thoracic Society and
   European Respiratory Society Technical Statement. Am J Respir Crit Care
   Med 2019; 200: e70-e88.
- 27. Quanjer PH, Stanojevic S, Cole TJ, Baur X, Hall GL, Culver BH, Enright PL,
   Hankinson JL, Ip MS, Zheng J, Stocks J, ERS Global Lung Function Initiative.
   Multi-ethnic reference values for spirometry for the 3-95-yr age range: the
   global lung function 2012 equations. *Eur Respir J* 2012; 40: 1324–1343.
- 28. Hall GL, Filipow N, Ruppel G, Okitika T, Thompson B, Kirkby J, Steenbruggen I,
   Cooper BG, Stanojevic S, contributing GLINm. Official ERS technical
   standard: Global Lung Function Initiative reference values for static lung
   volumes in individuals of European ancestry. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 57: 2000289.
- Stanojevic S, Graham BL, Cooper BG, Thompson BR, Carter KW, Francis RW,
   Hall GL, Global Lung Function Initiative Twg, Global Lung Function Initiative
   T. Official ERS technical standards: Global Lung Function Initiative reference
   values for the carbon monoxide transfer factor for Caucasians. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 50: 1700010.

- 30. Oostveen E, Boda K, van der Grinten CP, James AL, Young S, Nieland H,
  Hantos Z. Respiratory impedance in healthy subjects: baseline values and
  bronchodilator response. *Eur Respir J* 2013; 42: 1513-1523.
- 31. Collier G, Biancardi A, Chan H-F, Marshall H, L. S, Saunders L, Stewart N,
  Norquay G, Hughes P, Wild J. Dependence of diffusion and ventilation
  metrics on hyperpolarized 129Xe lung MRI with demographics in healthy
  volunteers [abstract]. International Society for Magnetic Resonance in
  Medicine Annual Meeting. Honolulu, Hawai'i, USA; 2025. p. 0917.
- 32. Ebner L, He M, Virgincar RS, Heacock T, Kaushik SS, Freemann MS, McAdams
   HP, Kraft M, Driehuys B. Hyperpolarized 129Xenon Magnetic Resonance
   Imaging to Quantify Regional Ventilation Differences in Mild to Moderate
   Asthma: A Prospective Comparison Between Semiautomated Ventilation
   Defect Percentage Calculation and Pulmonary Function Tests. *Invest Radiol* 2017; 52: 120–127.
- 33. McDonough JE, Yuan R, Suzuki M, Seyednejad N, Elliott WM, Sanchez PG,
   Wright AC, Gefter WB, Litzky L, Coxson HO, Paré PD, Sin DD, Pierce RA,
   Woods JC, McWilliams AM, Mayo JR, Lam SC, Cooper JD, Hogg JC. Small-Airway Obstruction and Emphysema in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary
   Disease. N Engl J Med 2011; 365: 1567–1575.
- 34. Marshall H, Kenworthy JC, Horn FC, Thomas S, Swift AJ, Siddiqui S, Brightling CE, Wild JM. Peripheral and proximal lung ventilation in asthma: Short-term variation and response to bronchodilator inhalation. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2021; 147: 2154-2161.e2156.
- 35. Mussell GT, Marshall H, Smith LJ, Biancardi AM, Hughes PJC, Capener DJ,
   Bray J, Swift AJ, Rajaram S, Condliffe AM, Collier GJ, Johns CS, Weatherley
   ND, Wild JM, Sabroe I. Xenon ventilation MRI in difficult asthma: initial
   experience in a clinical setting. *ERJ Open Res* 2021; 7: 00785–02020.
- 36. Svenningsen S, Kjarsgaard M, Haider E, Venegas C, Konyer N, Friedlander Y,
   Nasir N, Boylan C, Kirby M, Nair P. Effects of Dupilumab on Mucus Plugging
   and Ventilation Defects in Patients with Moderate-to-Severe Asthma: A
   Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Am J Respir Crit Care
   Med 2023; 208: 995-997.
- 37. Petersson-Sjögren M, Chan HF, Collier GJ, Norquay G, Olsson LE, Wollmer P, Londahl J, Wild JM. Airspace Dimension Assessment (AiDA) by inhaled nanoparticles: benchmarking with hyperpolarised <sup>129</sup>Xe diffusion-weighted lung MRI. *Sci Rep* 2021; 11: 4721.
- 38. Myc L, Qing K, He M, Tustison N, Lin Z, Manichaikul AW, Patrie J, Cassani J,
   Nunoo-Asare RN, Huang Y, Obaida Z, Tafti S, Ropp AM, Miller GW, Mata J,
   Altes T, Mugler J, Shim YM. Characterisation of gas exchange in COPD with
   dissolved-phase hyperpolarised xenon-129 MRI. *Thorax* 2021; 76: 178–181.
- 39. Marshall H, Horsley A, Taylor CJ, Smith L, Hughes D, Horn FC, Swift AJ, Parra-Robles J, Hughes PJ, Norquay G, Stewart NJ, Collier GJ, Teare D,

- 643 Cunningham S, Aldag I, Wild JM. Detection of early subclinical lung disease in 644 children with cystic fibrosis by lung ventilation imaging with hyperpolarised 645 gas MRI. *Thorax* 2017; 72: 760–762.
- 40. Swift AJ, Wild JM, Fichele S, Woodhouse N, Fleming S, Waterhouse J, Lawson
   RA, Paley MNJ, Van Beek EJR. Emphysematous changes and normal
   variation in smokers and COPD patients using diffusion 3He MRI. *Eur J Radiol* 2005; 54: 352-358.
- 41. Beasley R, Hughes R, Agusti A, Calverley P, Chipps B, Del Olmo R, Papi A,
   Price D, Reddel H, Müllerová H, Rapsomaniki E. Prevalence, Diagnostic
   Utility and Associated Characteristics of Bronchodilator Responsiveness. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2024; 209: 390–401.
- 42. Collier GJ, Smith LJ, Saunders LC, Swift AJ, Marshall H, Stewart NJ, Norquay G,
   Hughes PJC, Thomspson AAR, Wild JM. Age, sex, and lung volume
   dependence of dissolved xenon-129 MRI gas exchange metrics. *Magn Reson Med* 2024; 92: 1471–1483.
- 43. Matin TN, Rahman N, Nickol AH, Chen M, Xu X, Stewart NJ, Doel T, Grau V,
   Wild JM, Gleeson FV. Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: Lobar
   Analysis with Hyperpolarized <sup>129</sup>Xe MR Imaging. *Radiology* 2017; 282: 857–868.
- 44. Eddy RL, Westcott A, Maksym GN, Parraga G, Dandurand RJ. Oscillometry and
   pulmonary magnetic resonance imaging in asthma and COPD. *Physiol Rep* 2019; 7: e13955.
- 45. Shah SA, Quint JK, Sheikh A. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on asthma
   exacerbations: Retrospective cohort study of over 500,000 patients in a
   national English primary care database. *Lancet Reg Health Eur* 2022; 19:
   100428.

# **Tables and Figures**

# Table 1. Patient Demographics and Clinical Characteristics

Statistical	Comparison	(MD	[95%	CI]; <i>P</i>	Value)	)

	All	Asthma	Asthma+COPD	COPD	Asthma vs.	Asthma vs. COPD	Asthma+COPD
	Patients	(n = 83)	( <i>n</i> = 55)	(n = 27)	Asthma+COPD		vs. COPD
	( <i>n</i> = 165)						
Female	86	44	22 (40.0%)	19	13.0% (-5.3, 31.3); 0.19	-17.4% (-40.1, 5.4);	-30.4% (-54.7, -6.1);
	(52.1%)	(53.0%)		(70.4%)		0.17	0.02
Age, years	60.3	52.8	61.9 (14.5)	69.9	-9.1 (-14.0, -4.2);	-13.3 (-19.5, -7.1);	-4.2 (-10.8, 2.4); 0.35
	(21.4)	(21.8)		(13.2)	0.0004	< 0.0001	
BMI, kg/m²	27.3 (8.0)	27.7 (8.9)	27.6 (8.5)	26.7 (7.4)	1.8 (-0.5, 4.2); 0.45	3.4 (0.4, 6.4); <b>0.045</b>	1.5 (-1.7, 4.7); 0.66
Ethnicity							

Asian	1 (0.6%)	1 (1.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1.2% (-2.4, 4.8); > 0.99	1.2% (-2.4, 4.8);	0 (0, 0); NA
						> 0.99	
Other	1 (0.6%)	1 (1.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1.2% (-2.4, 4.8); > 0.99	1.2% (-2.4, 4.8);	0 (0, 0); NA
Other	1 (0.070)	1 (1.270)	0 (0.076)	0 (0.070)	1.270 ( 2.4, 4.0), 2 0.00	> 0.99	0 (0, 0), 1471
						> 0.99	
White	163 (98.8)	81 (97.6)	55 (100%)	27 (100%)	-2.4% (-7.2, 2.4); 0.67	-2.4% (-8.1, 3.3); >	0 (0, 0); NA
						0.99	
Physician-assessed di	sease severity						
Mild	42	22	10 (18.2%)	10	8.3% (-7.1, 23.8); 0.35	-10.5% (-33.5,	-18.9% (-42.5, 4.8);
	(25.5%)	(26.5%)		(37.0%)		12.5); 0.42	0.11
Madausta	70	40	04 (00 00()	40	40.00/ / 4.0.04.0) 0.40	7.40/ / 40.7.04.4)	0.00/ / 04.7 40.0
Moderate	76	43	21 (38.2%)	12	13.6% (-4.6, 31.9); 0.16	7.4% (-16.7, 31.4);	-6.3% (-31.7, 19.2);
	(46.1%)	(51.8%)		(44.4%)		0.66	0.76
Severe	47	18	24 (43.6%)	5 (18.5%)	-21.9% (-39.3, -4.6);	3.2% (-16.4, 22.8);	25.1% (2.7, 47.5);
	(28.5%)	(21.7%)			0.01	0.94	0.047

#### **Smoking status**

51	44	7 (12.7%)	0 (0.0%)	40.3% (24.9, 55.7);	53.0% (39.8, 66.2);	12.7% (1.2, 24.3);
(30.9%)	(53.0%)			< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.13
70	26	30 (54.5%)	14	-23.2% (-41.3, -5.2);	-20.5% (-44.3, 3.3);	2.7% (-23.0, 28.4);
(42.4%)	(31.3%)		(51.9%)	0.01	0.09	0.99
44	13	18 (32.7%)	13	-17.1% (-33.2, -0.9);	-32.5% (-55.3,	-15.4% (-40.7, 9.9);
(26.7%)	(15.7%)		(48.1%)	0.03	-9.6); <b>&lt; 0.0001</b>	0.27
9.2 (36.7)	0.0 (9.0)	25.0 (41.4)	42.0	-23.0 (-31.8, -14.3);	-35.3 (-46.5,	-12.3 (-24.1, -0.5);
			(42.8)	< 0.0001	-24.2); <b>&lt; 0.0001</b>	0.18
24.0	9.2 (19.5)	34.4 (32.7)	42.0	-19.7 (-31.8, -7.6);	-27.6 (-41.6,	-7.9 (-21.4, 5.5); 0.70
(37.0)			(42.8)	< 0.001	-13.6); <b>&lt; 0.0001</b>	
0.8 (0.0,	0.6 (0.0,	0.9 (0.0, 7.0)	1.0 (0.0,	-0.3 (-0.9, 0.2); > 0.99	-0.4 (-1.0, 0.3);	-0.0 (-0.7, 0.6);
8.0)	2.0)		8.0)		> 0.99	> 0.99
11.0	10.0	14.0 (16.0)	13.0	-3.8 (-7.4, -0.1); <b>0.037</b>	-3.4 (-8.1, 1.2); 0.20	0.3 (-4.6, 5.2); > 0.99
(13.0)	(12.5)		(12.0)			
	(30.9%) 70 (42.4%) 44 (26.7%)  9.2 (36.7)  24.0 (37.0) 0.8 (0.0, 8.0) 11.0	(30.9%) (53.0%)  70 26 (42.4%) (31.3%)  44 13 (26.7%) (15.7%)  9.2 (36.7) 0.0 (9.0)  24.0 9.2 (19.5) (37.0)  0.8 (0.0, 0.6 (0.0, 8.0) 2.0)  11.0 10.0	(30.9%) (53.0%)  70 26 30 (54.5%) (42.4%) (31.3%)  44 13 18 (32.7%) (26.7%) (15.7%)  9.2 (36.7) 0.0 (9.0) 25.0 (41.4)  24.0 9.2 (19.5) 34.4 (32.7) (37.0)  0.8 (0.0, 0.6 (0.0, 0.9 (0.0, 7.0) 8.0) 2.0)  11.0 10.0 14.0 (16.0)	(30.9%)       (53.0%)         70       26       30 (54.5%)       14         (42.4%)       (31.3%)       (51.9%)         44       13       18 (32.7%)       13         (26.7%)       (15.7%)       (48.1%)         9.2 (36.7)       0.0 (9.0)       25.0 (41.4)       42.0         (42.8)         24.0       9.2 (19.5)       34.4 (32.7)       42.0         (37.0)       (42.8)         0.8 (0.0,       0.6 (0.0,       0.9 (0.0, 7.0)       1.0 (0.0,         8.0)       2.0)       8.0)         11.0       10.0       14.0 (16.0)       13.0	(30.9%)       (53.0%)       < 0.0001	(30.9%)         (53.0%)         c 0.0001         c 0.0001           70         26         30 (54.5%)         14         -23.2% (-41.3, -5.2);         -20.5% (-44.3, 3.3);           (42.4%)         (31.3%)         (51.9%)         0.01         0.09           44         13         18 (32.7%)         13         -17.1% (-33.2, -0.9);         -32.5% (-55.3, -3.6);           (26.7%)         (15.7%)         (48.1%)         0.03         -9.6); < 0.0001           9.2 (36.7)         0.0 (9.0)         25.0 (41.4)         42.0         -23.0 (-31.8, -14.3);         -35.3 (-46.5, -3.6);           (42.8)         < 0.0001         -24.2); < 0.0001         -24.2); < 0.0001           24.0         9.2 (19.5)         34.4 (32.7)         42.0         -19.7 (-31.8, -7.6);         -27.6 (-41.6, -27.6);           (37.0)         (42.8)         < 0.001         -13.6); < 0.0001           0.8 (0.0)         0.6 (0.0, 0.9 (0.0, 7.0)         1.0 (0.0, -0.3 (-0.9, 0.2); > 0.99         -0.4 (-1.0, 0.3);           8.0)         2.0)         8.0)         > 0.99           11.0         10.0         14.0 (16.0)         13.0         -3.8 (-7.4, -0.1); 0.037         -3.4 (-8.1, 1.2); 0.20

SGRQ score	24.5	15.4	31.4 (32.6)	26.7	-10.8 (-20.3, -1.4);	-9.5 (-21.6, 2.5);	1.3 (-11.2, 13.8);
	(32.9)	(29.4)		(33.3)	0.017	0.19	> 0.99
RSQ score	3.0 (5.0)	2.0 (4.5)	4.0 (4.0)	4.0 (9.0)	-2.3 (-3.9, -0.7);	-2.3 (-4.3, -0.3);	-0.0 (-2.2, 2.1);
	,	,	,	,	0.0022	0.06	> 0.99
% with FPC	30.0%	21.7%	39.6%	33.3%	-17.9% (-37.1, -1.3);	-11.7% (-37.6,	6.3% (-21.6, 34.1);
					0.07	14.2); 0.44	0.82
	0.0 (0.1)	0.0.(0.0)	0.0 (0.1)	0.0 (0.0)	0.04 / 0.05 0.40	0.00 ( 0.04 0.05)	0.44 ( 0.05 0.00)
Baseline eosinophils,	0.2 (0.1)	0.2 (0.2)	0.2 (0.1)	0.2 (0.3)	0.04 (-0.05, 0.13);	-0.08 (-0.21, 0.05);	-0.11 (-0.25, 0.02);
×10 <sup>3</sup> μL <sup>-1</sup>					> 0.99	> 0.99	0.47
Baseline neutrophils,	4.2 (1.8)	3.7 (1.6)	4.4 (1.8)	5.0 (1.7)	-0.5 (-1.3, 0.2); 0.18	-1.1 (-2.2, -0.0);	-0.6 (-1.7, 0.5); 0.40
×10³ μL <sup>-1</sup>						0.034	
Hemoglobin, gL <sup>-1</sup>	143.0	142.0	144.5 (19.0)	139.5	-1.8 (-9.0, 5.5); > 0.99	3.0 (-7.5, 13.6);	4.8 (-6.0, 15.6); 0.39
	(18.0)	(15.5)		(14.7)		> 0.99	
GINA (2016/2017)†							
G							
1	34 (25%)	14 (17%)	19 (35%)	0 (NA)	-19.5% (-36.1, -2.9);	NA	NA
					0.02		

2	4 (2.9%)	2 (2.4%)	2 (3.7%)	0 (NA)	-1.2% (-8.4, 6.0);	NA	NA
					> 0.99		
3	30 (22%)	25 (30%)	5 (9.3%)	0 (NA)	21% (7.1, 35.0); <b>0.01</b>	NA	NA
4	40 (29%)	23 (28%)	17 (31%)	0 (N/A)	-3.2% (-20.3, 13.9);	NA	NA
					0.83		
5	30 (22%)	19 (23%)	11 (20%)	0 (N/A)	2.9% (-12.5, 18.3); 0.85	NA	NA
GOLD (2019) <sup>†</sup>							
1	42 (55%)	0 (NA)	31 (60%)	11 (44%)	NA	NA	19.3% (-6.1, 44.6);
							0.16
2	25 (32%)	0 (NA)	18 (35%)	7 (28%)	NA	NA	4.9% (-19.2, 29.0);
							0.85
3	8 (10%)	0 (NA)	2 (3.8%)	6 (24%)	NA	NA	-22.3% (-42.3, -2.3);
							0.01

4	2 (2.6%)	0 (NA)	1 (1.9%)	1 (4.0%)	NA	NA	-1.9% (-11.7, 8.0);
							> 0.99
<u>Medications</u> †							
LAMA	50 (30%)	11 (13%)	27 (49%)	12 (44%)	-35.8% (-52.4, -19.2);	-31.2% (-53.7,	4.6% (-21.1, 30.3);
					< 0.0001	-8.6); <b>&lt; 0.0001</b>	0.87
LABA	3 (1.8%)	2 (2.4%)	1 (1.8%)	0 (0%)	0.6% (-4.8, 6.0); > 0.99	2.4% (-3.3, 8.1); >	1.8% (-3.5, 7.2);
						0.99	> 0.99
ICS	111 (69%)	67 (81%)	37 (69%)	7 (28%)	11.6% (-4.8, 28.0); 0.17	51.1% (29.4, 72.8);	39.5% (15.6, 63.3);
						< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Triple therapy	64 (39%)	11 (13%)	38 (69%)	15 (56%)	-55.8% (-71.6, -40.1);	-42.3% (-64.9,	13.5% (-11.6, 38.7);
					< 0.0001	-19.7); <b>&lt; 0.0001</b>	0.34
Biologic	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0, 0); NA	0 (0, 0); NA	0 (0, 0); NA

Definition of abbreviations: <sup>129</sup>Xe = xenon-129; BMI = body mass index; CAAT = Chronic Airways Assessment Test; CI, confidence interval; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FPC = frequent productive cough; GINA = Global Initiative for Asthma score; GOLD = Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease stage; ICS = inhaled corticosteroids; LABA = long-acting beta agonist; LAMA = long-acting muscarinic antagonist; MD, mean difference; NA, not applicable; RSQ = Respiratory Symptom Questionnaire; SGRQ = St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire.

- Data are presented as n (%) or median (IQR), except exacerbation data which are presented as mean (min, max).
- \*Physicians were asked to record exacerbations as "During the past 12 months, on how many occasions has your patient experienced an exacerbation of
- their asthma or COPD beyond the patient's usual day-to-day variance?".
- <sup>†</sup>Percentages were calculated using the number of patients with information available (see supplementary Table E3).

## Statistical Comparison (MD [95% CI]; P Value)

	All Patients	Asthma	Asthma+COPD	COPD	Asthma vs.	Asthma vs.	Asthma+
	( <i>n</i> = 165)	(n = 83)	( <i>n</i> = 55)	(n = 27)	Asthma+ COPD	COPD	COPD vs. COPD
<sup>129</sup> Xe MRI n	netrics						
VDP, %	4.2 (9.4)	2.0 (2.7)	8.2 (9.9)*,†	18.6 (15.3)*	-7.0 (-9.7, -4.4); <b>&lt; 0.0001</b>	-15.5 (-18.8, -12.2); <b>&lt; 0.0001</b>	-8.5 (-12.0, -4.9); <b>0.022</b>
VHı	11.0 (5.1)	9.1 (2.6)	16.5 (5.7)*	20.2 (7.3)*	-8.0 (-9.3, -6.7); < <b>0.0001</b>	-11.3 (-13.0, -9.7); <b>&lt; 0.0001</b>	-3.4 (-5.2, -1.6); 0.29
Lm <sub>D</sub> , μm	301.5 (55.0)	284.3 (28.5)	327.1 (53.4)*,†	342.9 (72.8)*	-41.05 (-55.31, -26.80); <b>&lt; 0.0001</b>	-69.04 (-87.07, -51.00); <b>&lt; 0.0001</b>	-27.98 (-47.11, -8.86); <b>0.002</b>
RBC/gas	0.0028 (0.0015)	0.0031 (0.0016)	0.0025 (0.0011)*,†	0.0018 (0.0010)*	0.00085 (0.00042, 0.00129); <b>0.0001</b>	0.00146 (0.00092, 0.00200); < <b>0.0001</b>	0.00060 (0.00003, 0.00118); <b>0.02</b>

M/gas	0.0091 (0.0030)	0.0096 (0.0030)	0.0089 (0.0029)*,†	0.0077 (0.0029)*	0.00099 (0.00006,	0.00259 (0.00113,	0.00127 (0.00007,
					0.00191); <b>0.033</b>	0.00339);	0.00248); <b>0.036</b>
						< 0.0001	
Spirome	etry, MBNW, TLco, b	ody plethysmograp	hy, and airwave oscil	llometry metrics			
FEV <sub>1</sub> %	90.8 (24.9)	98.5 (18.7)	81.6 (21.1)*	70.7 (41.4)*	18.2 (10.4, 26.0);	27.5 (17.6, 37.5);	9.4 (-1.2, 20.0);
predicted					< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.81
FEV <sub>1</sub> z-	-0.63 (1.57)	-0.11 (1.35)	-1.22 (1.54)*	-1.89 (2.38)*	1.17 (0.65, 1.68);	1.70 (1.04, 2.35);	0.53 (-0.17, 1.23);
score					< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.89
FEV <sub>1</sub> z-	35 (21.7)	4 (4.9)	17 (32.1)	14 (53.8)	-	-	-
score							
<-1.64 <sup>‡</sup>							
FEV <sub>1</sub> /	-1.51 (1.9)	-1.03 (1.41)	-2.11 (1.94)*	-3.01 (1.59)*	1.33 (0.84, 1.82);	2.01 (1.39, 2.63);	0.68 (0.01, 1.34);
FVC z-					< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.18
score							

FEV <sub>1</sub> /	66.8 (18.8)	72.9 (11.9)	59.4 (16.4)*	50.1 (18.1)*	13.6 (9.1, 18.1);	12.1 (15.4, 26.9);	7.5 (1.4, 13.6);
FVC					< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.16
FFF	4 40 (4 05)	0.70 (4.54)	1 00 (1 10)*	0.40.(4.00)*	1.05 (0.70, 1.70)	1 77 (1 10 0 05)	0.50 / 0.40 4.40
FEF <sub>25-75%</sub>	-1.49 (1.65)	-0.78 (1.54)	-1.93 (1.46)*	-2.49 (1.36)*	1.25 (0.79, 1.70);	1.77 (1.19, 2.35);	0.52 (-0.10, 1.14);
z-score					< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.12
FVC z-	0.32 (1.19)	0.48 (1.21)	0.04 (1.10)	0.23 (1.49)	0.17 (-0.24, 0.59);	0.29 (-0.24, 0.82);	0.12 (0.45, 0.68);
score					0.38	0.72	> 0.99
LCI	8.8 (2.6)	8.0 (1.7)	9.8 (2.9)*,†	11.0 (4.0)*	-2.0 (-2.8, -1.2);	-3.6 (-4.7, -2.6);	-1.6 (-2.7, -0.5);
					< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0025
TLco z-	-0.05 (1.69)	0.32 (1.10)	-0.52 (1.81)*	-1.84 (3.21)*	< <b>0.0001</b> 0.98 (0.39, 1.57);	< <b>0.0001</b> 2.25 (1.49, 3.00);	<b>0.0025</b> 1.26 (0.46, 2.06);
TLco z- score	-0.05 (1.69)	0.32 (1.10)	-0.52 (1.81)*	-1.84 (3.21)*			
score		` '	, ,	. ,	0.98 (0.39, 1.57); < <b>0.0001</b>	2.25 (1.49, 3.00); < <b>0.0001</b>	1.26 (0.46, 2.06); 0.20
	-0.05 (1.69) 0.67 (1.11)	0.32 (1.10) 0.44 (0.90)	-0.52 (1.81)* 0.83 (1.29)*	-1.84 (3.21)* 1.19 (2.03)*	0.98 (0.39, 1.57);	2.25 (1.49, 3.00);	1.26 (0.46, 2.06);
score		` '	, ,	. ,	0.98 (0.39, 1.57); < <b>0.0001</b>	2.25 (1.49, 3.00); < <b>0.0001</b>	1.26 (0.46, 2.06); 0.20
score RV/TLC		` '	, ,	. ,	0.98 (0.39, 1.57); < 0.0001 -0.62 (-1.05,	2.25 (1.49, 3.00); < 0.0001 -0.92 (-1.46,	1.26 (0.46, 2.06); 0.20 -0.30 (-0.84,
score RV/TLC		` '	, ,	. ,	0.98 (0.39, 1.57); < 0.0001 -0.62 (-1.05,	2.25 (1.49, 3.00); < 0.0001 -0.92 (-1.46,	1.26 (0.46, 2.06); 0.20 -0.30 (-0.84,

AX z- 1.40 (1.69) 1.10 (1.59) 1.82 (1.55)\* 2.58 (2.38)\* -0.75 (-0.26, -1.34 (-1.99, -0.59 (-1.27, score -0.24); **0.0017** -0.68); **< 0.0001** 0.10); 0.11

Definition of abbreviations: <sup>129</sup>Xe = xenon-129; AX = area under the reactance curve; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEF<sub>25-75%</sub> = forced expiratory flow at 25% and 75% of the pulmonary volume; FEV<sub>1</sub> = forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity; LCI = lung clearance index; Lm<sub>D</sub> = mean diffusive length scale (acinar dimensions); MBNW = multiple-breath nitrogen washout; MD = mean difference; M/gas = ratio of <sup>129</sup>Xe dissolved in the alveolar membrane to <sup>129</sup>Xe in the airspaces; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; R5–R20 = difference between resistance at 5 Hz and 20 Hz; RBC/gas = ratio of <sup>129</sup>Xe dissolved in the red blood cells to <sup>129</sup>Xe in the airspaces; RV = residual volume; TLC = total lung capacity; TLco = transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide; VDP = ventilation defect percent; VH<sub>I</sub> = ventilation heterogeneity index. Data are presented as median (interquartile range). Significant *P* values of < 0.05 are emboldened. \*Difference compared with the asthma group (*P* < 0.05). †Difference compared with the COPD group (*P* < 0.05). †The percentage was calculated using the number of patients who completed spirometry (161, 82, 53, and 26 for all patients, and patients with asthma, asthma,

# Figure 1. Application of <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI to assess functionality of lung ventilation, acinar microstructure, and gas exchange.

The patient inhales <sup>129</sup>Xe and holds it within their lungs during a short breath-hold. Top: in a <sup>129</sup>Xe ventilation image, the signal is proportional to the density of gas, with black depicting unventilated regions, which could be caused by obstruction of the airways. Middle: in an <sup>129</sup>Xe map of acinar dimensions, lighter colors depict enlarged alveolar spaces which may indicate an emphysema phenotype. In healthy acini, <sup>129</sup>Xe bounces off the alveolar, duct, and bronchiole boundaries, diffusing a shorter distance during imaging than in emphysematous acini where airspaces are enlarged and boundaries destroyed. Bottom: in a <sup>129</sup>Xe map of gas exchange, darker colors depict areas of reduced gas transfer which may indicate an emphysema phenotype with reduced xenon dissolved in M and reduced <sup>129</sup>Xe bound to the RBCs. A small proportion of inhaled gaseous <sup>129</sup>Xe (blue) dissolves into the M (green) and transfers to the RBCs (orange) allowing measurement of gas exchange. Lm<sub>D</sub> = mean diffusive length scale; M = membrane; M/gas = ratio of <sup>129</sup>Xe dissolved in the alveolar membrane to <sup>129</sup>Xe in the airspaces; RBC = red blood cell; RBC/gas = ratio of <sup>129</sup>Xe dissolved in the red blood cells to <sup>129</sup>Xe in the airspaces; RBC/M = ratio of <sup>129</sup>Xe dissolved in the red blood cells to <sup>129</sup>Xe in the alveolar membrane; VDP = ventilation defect percent; VH<sub>I</sub> = ventilation heterogeneity index.

- Figure 2. Example <sup>129</sup>Xe MR images from patients with normal FEV<sub>1</sub> and TLco; (top) a patient with asthma, (middle) a patient with asthma+COPD, and (bottom) a patient with COPD.
- Whole lung values are presented. <sup>129</sup>Xe = xenon-129; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; Lm<sub>D</sub> = mean diffusive length scale (acinar dimensions); MR = magnetic resonance; RBC/gas = ratio of <sup>129</sup>Xe dissolved in the red blood cells to <sup>129</sup>Xe in the airspaces; VDP = ventilation defect percent.

- Figure 3. Key <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI and PFT metrics grouped according to physicianassigned diagnosis.
- 716 Whiskers denote minimum and maximum values. PFTs: (A) FEV<sub>1</sub> z-score, (B) TLco z-score, (C) RV/TLC z-score, (D) LCI, and (H) AX z-score. 129Xe MRI: (E) VDP, (F) LmD (acinar dimensions), and 717 (G) RBC/gas (gas transfer). 129Xe = xenon-129; AX = area under the reactance curve; COPD = 718 719 chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV<sub>1</sub> = forced expiratory volume in 1 second; LCI = lung 720 clearance index; Lm<sub>D</sub> = mean diffusive length scale; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; ns = not 721 significant; TLco = transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide; PFT = pulmonary function test; RBC/gas = ratio of <sup>129</sup>Xe dissolved in the red blood cells to <sup>129</sup>Xe in the airspaces; RV = residual 722 723 volume; TLC = total lung capacity; VDP = ventilation defect percent.
- Figure 4. Diagnosis <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI/PFT signatures in patients with physicianassigned (*A*) asthma, (*B*) asthma+COPD, (*C*) COPD, and (*D*) in all patients.

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

The center is at -1.1. Radar plots have been generated from the median values of each metric in each diagnostic group; however, values have been transformed for the purpose of visual comparison between groups (see the **supplementary materials** for the methodology). Higher scores, towards the outer edge of the plot, indicate that a higher degree of lung abnormalities has been identified by <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI and PFTs. <sup>129</sup>Xe = xenon-129; AX = airway reactance; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; LCI = lung clearance index; Lm<sub>D</sub> = mean diffusive length scale; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; PFT = pulmonary function test; RBC/gas = ratio of <sup>129</sup>Xe in the red blood cells to <sup>129</sup>Xe in the airspaces; TLco = transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide.

- Figure 5. Patients with normal FEV<sub>1</sub> (A-C) or normal TLco (D-F): <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI
- 735 metrics for patients with physician-assigned diagnoses of asthma,
- 736 asthma+COPD, and COPD.
- 737 Whiskers denote minimum and maximum values. 129 Xe MRI metrics for physician-assigned diagnosis
- groups of asthma, asthma+COPD, and COPD. Top row: patients with normal FEV<sub>1</sub>; (A) VDP, (B) VH<sub>I</sub>,
- and (C) Lm<sub>D</sub> (acinar dimensions). Bottom row: patients with normal TLco; (D) RBC/gas (gas transfer),
- 740 (E) RBC/M, and (F) Lm<sub>D</sub> (acinar dimensions). Differences between physician-assigned diagnosis
- groups, presented as MD (CI); P value: (A) VDP<sub>asthma</sub> vs. VDP<sub>asthma+COPD</sub> = -4.9 (-6.8, -3.0)%;
- 742 < 0.0001,  $VDP_{asthma}$  vs.  $VDP_{COPD} = -7.2 (-10.2, -4.3)\%$ ; < 0.0001; (B)  $VH_{lasthma}$  vs.  $VH_{lasthma+COPD} = -7.2 (-10.2, -4.3)\%$ ;
- 743 -2.6 (-3.6, -1.7); < 0.0001,  $VH_{lasthma}$  vs.  $VH_{ICOPD} = -4.3 (-5.8, -2.8)$ ; < 0.0001; (C)  $Lm_{Dasthma}$  vs.
- 744  $Lm_{Dasthma+COPD} = -29.8 (-43.9, -15.7) \mu m$ ; < 0.0001,  $Lm_{Dasthma}$  vs.  $Lm_{DCOPD} = -60.4 (-82.1, -38.7) \mu m$ ;
- 745 < 0.0001,  $Lm_{Dasthma+COPD}$  vs.  $Lm_{DCOPD} = -30.7 (-54.0, -7.3)\mu m$ ; 0.0064; (D) RBC/gas<sub>asthma</sub> vs.
- 746 RBC/gasasthma+COPD = 0.00066 (0.00016, 0.00116); 0.0118, RBC/gasasthma vs. RBC/gascOPD = 0.0012
- 747  $(0.00042, 0.00198); 0.0004; (E) RBC/M_{asthma} vs. RBC/M_{COPD} = 0.070 (0.004, 0.137); 0.0357; (F)$
- 748 Lm<sub>Dasthma</sub> vs. Lm<sub>Dasthma+COPD</sub> =  $-31.4 (-42.3, -20.5)\mu m$ ; < 0.0001, Lm<sub>Dasthma</sub> vs. Lm<sub>DCOPD</sub> = <math>-29.3
- 749 (-46.8, -11.8)µm; 0.0003.
- 750 <sup>129</sup>Xe = xenon-129; CI, confidence interval; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV<sub>1</sub> =
- forced expiratory volume in 1 second; Lm<sub>D</sub> = mean diffusive length scale; MD, mean difference; MRI =
- magnetic resonance imaging; RBC/gas = ratio of <sup>129</sup>Xe dissolved in the red blood cells to <sup>129</sup>Xe in the
- 753 airspaces; RBC/M = red blood cell/membrane; TLco = transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide;
- 754 VDP = ventilation defect percent;  $VH_1$  = ventilation heterogeneity index.