



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/d5sc05021a

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Quantifying Breslow intermediate reactivity in intermolecular Stetter reactions

Zhuan Duan,^a Jiayun Zhu,^b Pankaj K. Majhi,^a Alister S. Goodfellow,^{a*} AnnMarie C. O'Donoghue,^{b*} Claire M. Young^{a*} and Andrew D. Smith^{a*}

Quantification of the reactivity of the archetypal Breslow intermediate in NHC-mediated transformations has not been achievable to date and is regarded as a significant challenge due to multiple competitive pathways and their deconvolution. This manuscript describes the development of a kinetic approach to this challenge that avoids the influence of the competitive benzoin reaction and allows quantification of the reactivity of a Breslow intermediate derived from 2-pyridine carboxaldehyde and an *in situ* generated N-pentafluorophenyl substituted triazolynilidene NHC with a diverse range of Michael acceptors in the intermolecular Stetter reaction. Using this approach the pseudo first-order rate constants of >40 Michael acceptors, primarily derived from (*E*)-chalcones but also including a nitroolefin and malonic esters, were measured. Notably, incorporating electron-withdrawing substituents within the C(1)-aryl group of (*E*)-chalcones leads to a substantial enhancement in reactivity, with Hammett and Swain–Lupton analysis used to understand these observations. In addition, an unexpected additive substituent effect associated with the 4,4'-disubstitution of chalcones was observed, with DFT analysis offering insights into this intriguing phenomenon.

Received 7th July 2025
Accepted 21st August 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5sc05021a

rsc.li/chemical-science

1 Introduction

Among the many synthetic transformations that are promoted by N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) the archetypal benzoin and Stetter reactions are historically significant and synthetically versatile.^{1,2} Their demonstration and synthetic understanding have led to the diversity of NHC-promoted organocatalytic transformations that are available to the modern synthetic community. Central to both the benzoin and Stetter reactions is the catalytically competent “Breslow intermediate” (**BI**)³ that is regarded as the cornerstone of modern NHC-mediated catalysis. First characterised in 1973,^{1a} its reactivity is key to a multitude of synthetic transformations. Despite its recognised importance, a quantitative understanding of the behaviour of this transiently formed species has yet to be defined and is regarded as a significant challenge.

Focusing upon the Stetter reaction, this process was first reported in 1973,^{1a} and allows the Umpolung coupling of an aldehyde with a Michael acceptor. This provides a potentially useful way to access 1,4-difunctionalised species of the general form **4** that are widely used as synthetic building blocks for heterocycle synthesis.⁵ This process is considered to proceed through *in situ* generation of a **BI** **3** (derived from an NHC and

an aldehyde **1**), followed by addition to a Michael acceptor **2** and regeneration of the catalyst (Fig. 1a). Thiazolium, imidazolium and triazolium precursors to NHCs are most generally used, but metallophosphites⁶ and bisaminocyclopropenylenes (BACs)⁷ have also been used as catalysts to access **BI**-type intermediates in Stetter-like reactions. In practice, the Stetter reaction remains a synthetic challenge due to competitive benzoin formation while factors that control chemoselectivity are not well understood. A deeper mechanistic understanding of the Stetter reaction could facilitate more selective synthetic methods while generating significant insight into quantification of the reactivity of the **BI**.

Previous mechanistic investigations into the Stetter reaction have largely focussed on the *intramolecular* reaction of substrate **5** to give product **6** (Fig. 1b), which is well-used both for the benchmarking of new reaction conditions, and as a model mechanistic probe.⁸ For example, in 2011, reports from Rovis demonstrated that deprotonation to form the Breslow intermediate is turnover-limiting under two mechanistically different scenarios.^{9,10} In the first,⁹ in the presence of catechol and using MeOH as solvent, a primary kinetic isotope effect ($k_H/k_D = 2.7$) was observed using precatalyst **7** implicating the proton transfer step to the **BI** as rate-limiting. Further studies using a chiral NHC derived from **8** in toluene showed that the reaction process was first-order in both NHC catalyst and aldehyde substrate, with $k_H/k_D = 2.6$.¹⁰ These results were further corroborated by a kinetic study from our groups for reactions performed in buffered methanol.¹¹ This study

^aEaStCHEM, School of Chemistry, University of St Andrews, Fife, KY16 9ST, UK. E-mail: alister.s.goodfellow@gmail.com; claireyoungchemistry@gmail.com; ads10@st-andrews.ac.uk

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK. E-mail: annmarie.odonoghue@durham.ac.uk





Fig. 1 (a) The Stetter reaction; (b) mechanistic insight to the *intra*-molecular Stetter reaction; (c) previous mechanistic insight to the *intermolecular* Stetter reaction.

indicated the reaction to be first-order in NHC catalyst and zero-order in aldehyde over a broad range of aldehyde concentrations. The reaction rate was also higher for NHC precatalysts **9** with N-aryl substituents bearing electron-withdrawing units within the triazolium skeleton. This is consistent with deprotonation to form the Breslow intermediate being turnover-limiting in this reaction.

The (enantioselective) intermolecular Stetter reaction has been widely studied, yet only limited mechanistic studies of this process have been demonstrated despite the significant synthetic utility. In this context, in 2008, Enders¹² reported an asymmetric intermolecular Stetter reaction catalysed by a triazolium salt **12**-derived NHC, using a variety of substituted (*E*)-chalcones **11** and benzaldehydes **10** as starting materials, giving the desired products **13** in good yields (49–98%) and enantioselectivities (56–78% ee) (Fig. 1c). Kinetic analysis of this reaction process indicated rapid benzoin formation and subsequent sigmoidal consumption of chalcone and benzoin that correlated to the formation of the Stetter product. This led to the proposal that the aldehyde is initially converted rapidly to benzoin by the NHC. Onwards formation of the Stetter product



• Kinetic analysis • rapid benzoin formation • irreversible onwards reaction of BI to Stetter product • quantification of reactivity of >40 Michael acceptors • LFER analysis of substituent effects

Fig. 2 This work: quantifying the reactivity of the Breslow Intermediate with a range of Michael acceptors in the intermolecular Stetter reaction.

was postulated following NHC addition to benzoin, with subsequent fragmentation leading to **BI** formation. This property has been used in a synthetic setting by You, who exploited the reversibility of the benzoin reaction for the irreversible addition of benzaldehyde to imines.¹³

Significantly, despite widespread interest in the development of intermolecular Stetter reactions, the ability to quantify the reaction of presumed **BIs** with Michael acceptors remains a recognised untackled challenge, which is necessary to enable understanding and prediction of chemoselectivity. Herein we describe analysis of the reactivity of the **BI** derived from 2-pyridine carboxaldehyde **14** and an *in situ* generated N-pentafluorophenyl substituted triazolinyldene NHC derived from **16** that reacts with a wide range of Michael acceptors **15** to give products **17** (Fig. 2). This kinetic model enables quantification of Michael acceptor reactivity in the intermolecular Stetter reaction for the first time. This quantitative study (of **BI** reactivity with Michael acceptors) also revealed an additive substituent effect in chalcones through examining Hammett and Swain–Lupton linear free energy relationships, with DFT analysis offering insights into this intriguing phenomenon.

2 Results and discussion

2.1 Identification and validation of a kinetic model to quantify Breslow intermediate reactivity

An inherent problem in quantifying the reactivity of the **BI** intermediate within the intermolecular Stetter reaction is the difficulty in deconvoluting this process from the competitive benzoin reaction. In intramolecular Stetter processes, rapid intramolecular Michael addition of an assumed **BI** substantially outcompetes the benzoin reaction. However, in an intermolecular process, the reaction of the **BI** with the aldehyde starting material is normally competitive with 1,4-addition of the Stetter reaction. To mitigate the influence of the benzoin reaction, initial studies sought to increase the disparity of reaction rates between the Stetter and benzoin reactions, minimizing their interference. Building upon our previous work, heteroaromatic aldehydes exhibit exceptionally high reactivity with NHCs. Specifically, the equilibrium constant for **TI** formation increases with the introduction of 2,6-substitution on the N-aryl substituent of the triazolium salt, while N-C₆F₅ substitution leads to rapid benzoin product formation.¹⁴ With this concept in mind,






Fig. 3 Concentration profile for the reaction between pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde **14** (0.2 M) and (*E*)-chalcone **17** (0.04 M) catalysed by N-C₆F₅ triazolium derived catalyst **16** (5.0 mM) in NEt₃:NEt₃·HCl (2:1, 0.03 M) in CD₂Cl₂ at 25 °C (A and B). For simplicity and improved visual clarity, data are shown for measurements at 720 s intervals however data were collected at 360 s intervals and are shown in full in the electronic supporting information. (C) The mechanism and catalytic cycle for the reaction of pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde **14** and chalcone **17** catalysed by N-C₆F₅ triazolium derived catalyst **16**.

highly reactive aldehydes and NHC catalysts were selected to expedite the reversible benzoin reaction, with the productive Stetter reaction postulated to proceed at a reduced rate that could be controlled using a suitable Michael acceptor. Pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde **14** (0.2 M) and N-C₆F₅ triazolium salt **16** (5 mM) led to an exceptionally fast benzoin reaction¹⁵ and were chosen as the substrate and catalyst for these experiments, with (*E*)-chalcone **17** (0.04 M) used as the Michael acceptor (Fig. 3A). *In situ* reaction monitoring by ¹H NMR spectroscopy allowed a concentration profile (Fig. 3B) of the reaction to be constructed. Consistent with our previous studies, the benzoin reaction exhibits a remarkably fast reaction rate, with 0.18 M of pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde **14** rapidly and reversibly transformed into the corresponding benzoin product (~0.09 M) **19** within seconds. Slow consumption of all benzoin product to zero then follows to give Stetter product **18** (~0.02 M) that corresponds to the consumption of chalcone **17** (from 0.04 M to ~0.02 M), and enediol **20** (~0.08 M). The formation of enediol-type products from benzoin derivatives is well-documented under basic conditions,¹⁵ while the irreversibility of enediol and Stetter products were each confirmed experimentally by control reactions (see SI).¹⁶ Onwards and irreversible reaction of the **BI** with (*E*)-chalcone **17** is presumed to be the rate-determining step for the intermolecular Stetter reaction with the catalytic cycle illustrated in Fig. 3C.

Under these conditions, the initial concentration of pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde **14** is much larger than the initial concentration ([Cat]₀) of N-C₆F₅ triazolium precatalyst **16** ([aldehyde]₀ >> [Cat]₀). Although present at concentrations below the NMR

detection limit, [**BI**] can be considered constant at the beginning of the reaction when [Ald]₀ >> [NHC] as **TI** is in fast equilibrium with benzoin product **19**, presumably *via* **BI** (see SI for details). The buffered conditions with NEt₃·HCl/NEt₃ in excess facilitates reversible deprotonation (k_2) and reprotonation (k_{-2}) steps between **TI** and **BI**. Given the intermolecular Michael addition of **BI** to the chalcone is expected to be the rate-determining step in the Stetter reaction, this allows the rate equation to be expressed using eqn (1) (where [**BI**] is the concentration of **BI** and [**Ch**] is the concentration of (*E*)-chalcone **17**). As [**BI**] is constant, it can be incorporated into the pseudo first-order rate constant k'_s , shown in eqn (2). Using an initial rates method, the concentration of (*E*)-chalcone **17** ([**Ch**]) is approximated as the initial concentration ([**Ch**]₀) and eqn (2) can be rewritten as eqn (3). A value of k'_s can be calculated using the measured initial rate ($v_{\max}$) and the known [**Ch**]₀.

$$\frac{d[\text{St}]}{dt} = k_s[\text{BI}][\text{Ch}] \quad (1)$$

$$v_{\max} = k'_s[\text{Ch}] \quad (2)$$

$$k'_s = v_{\max}/[\text{Ch}]_0 \quad (3)$$

To validate this hypothesis, a series of initial rate experiments were performed at different chalcone concentrations (from 0.2 to 0.5 M) to determine k'_s using eqn (3). Four closely similar pseudo first-order rate constants k'_s (7.15, 7.10, 7.13 and $7.20 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$) were obtained (Fig. 4), confirming that eqn (3)




Fig. 4 Individual rate constants (k_s) and average rate constants (k'_s) for Stetter reactions of pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde **14** (0.3 M) with different initial concentrations of (E)-chalcone **17** (0.2 M, 0.3 M, 0.4 M and 0.5 M).

is a suitable description of the reaction and that **BI** addition to the chalcone **17** is turnover-limiting at low conversion. An average value of these rate constants, k'_s , was then calculated. Having validated this method, it was next applied to quantify the reactivity of a series of Michael acceptors reacting with the **BI** under these reaction conditions.

2.2 Application of kinetic analysis to quantifying BI reactivity with a range of Michael acceptors

Further work aimed to quantify the electronic effect of substituent variation within the chalcone motif upon the initial rate of reaction, alongside assessing the reactivity of alternative classes of Michael acceptor (MA). First, a range of chalcone derivatives

were prepared that incorporated electron donating and electron withdrawing substituents at the *para*-position within each aryl unit: C(1)–ArX' and C(3)–ArX (Fig. 5). Authentic samples of each chalcone and its respective Stetter product were prepared (see SI for further information).¹⁷ Each chalcone was then subjected to the validated test conditions, and their initial rates were measured at 3 or 4 different initial concentrations ([MA]₀). This ensures the assumption that [MA] is not changing during the initial stages of the reaction and can be approximated as ([MA]₀) is maintained across the range of substituents tested. The average value of pseudo first-order rate constants, obtained from experiments conducted at various initial concentrations of the Michael acceptors ([MA]₀), is given as the rate constant, k'_s , of their reactions with the **BI**, allowing quantification of their reactivity.

2.2.1 Effect of 4-substituent on aryl units (C(1)–ArX' and C(3)–ArX) of (E)-chalcones. The pseudo first-order rate constants (k'_s) for the reaction of mono-substituted chalcones with the **BI** derived from the addition of N-C₆F₅ triazolium pre-catalyst **16** to pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde **14** are summarized in Fig. 5. A clear trend in the rate constant with variation in substituent is observed. Notably, an increase in rate constant is observed for (E)-chalcones bearing electron-withdrawing substituents in the *para*-position of either substituent (C(1)–




Fig. 5 Rate constants (k'_s) and LFER analysis for the reaction of chalcone **17** and monosubstituted chalcones (**21–34**) with the **BI** derived from the addition of N-C₆F₅ triazolium catalyst **16** to pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde **14** at 25 °C. Entry 3 chalcone – highlighted in grey – was taken as a standard to calculate relative rates (k^{rel}).



ArX' and C(3)-ArX). Conversely, a decrease in the rate constant was observed when electron-donating substituents were present. Using the reactivity of the parent chalcone **17** as a standard, the relative rates (k^{rel}) of each Michael acceptor can be quantified (for **17** $k^{\text{rel}} = 1.00$). Notably, k^{rel} values of (*E*)-chalcones with electron-withdrawing substituents (Cl, Br, CF₃ and CN) are larger than one (Table 1, entries 4–8 and 11–15), suggesting higher reactivity toward the BI than the parent (*E*)-chalcone. For (*E*)-chalcones with electron-donating substituents (Me and OMe), relative rates (k^{rel}) below unity were obtained (Table 1, entries 1–2 and 9–10), consistent with the observations from both Ryu & Yang as well as Pacifico.^{18,19} Comparing the relative rates (k^{rel}) of (*E*)-chalcones with a given substituent upon either the C(3)-aromatic ring (ArX) or the C(1)-aromatic ring (ArX') indicates their independent influence on the relative rate. For example, comparing 4-CN ($k^{\text{rel}} = 2.21$) and 4'-CN substituted chalcones ($k^{\text{rel}} = 9.19$) is indicative of the significant impact of C(1)Ar' substitution (Table 1, entries 2 and 9). Similar trends are observed for other *para*-substituent chalcones, including those bearing electron-donating substituents (Me and OMe). Overall these trends indicate that the C(1)-aromatic group (ArX') has a more significant electronic effect on the reactivity toward the presumed BI than the C(3)-aromatic group (ArX).

2.2.2 Linear Free Energy Relationships.²⁰ In this study, the addition of BI to the chalcone is turnover-limiting allowing a linear free-energy relationship (LFER) to be established. Two standard Hammett plots were constructed to evaluate the effect of *para*-substitution on the C(1)-ArX' and C(3)-ArX aromatic groups. Two positive reaction constants ($\rho' = 1.43$ and $\rho = 0.60$,

overlaid in Fig. 5a) were obtained. This is consistent with a build-up of negative charge in the chalcone component during the turnover-limiting step, aligning with the commonly accepted ionic Stetter reaction mechanism. Notably the significantly different magnitudes of the experimental ρ -values confirms that *para*-substitution on the C(1)-ArX' has a more significant electronic effect than C(3)-ArX on the stability of the transition state associated with the turnover limiting step in the Stetter reaction. Swain–Lupton analysis (Fig. 5b and c) revealed that the relative contributions of Field (*F*) and Resonance (*R*) effects do not differ significantly between C(3)-ArX and C(1)-ArX'.

2.2.3 An Additive Effect of 4,4'-Disubstitution of (*E*)-Chalcones. Building on this observation, the effect of including the same set of substituents upon both C(1)-ArX' and C(3)-ArX within the chalcones was investigated (Fig. 6). Rate constants (k_s) for the reaction of 4,4'-disubstituted chalcones (**49–59**) with BI are summarised in Fig. 6 (ordered with increasing rate constants). The incorporation of substituents within both C(1)-ArX' and C(3)-ArX has an additive effect upon the observed reaction rate constant, with the proportional contribution reflecting the relative ρ values obtained in the Hammett studies of ArX or ArX'. For example, 4,4'-dimethyl chalcone **50**, exhibits a larger decrease in rate constant ($k_s' = 3.47 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, entry 2) than 4-methyl or 4'-methyl chalcones (4-Me, **22**, $k_s' = 5.56 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$; 4'-Me, **29**, $k_s' = 5.17 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, Fig. 5, entries 2 and 10). Similarly 4,4'-bis(trifluoromethyl) chalcone (**58**, $k_s' = 87.43 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, entry 10) demonstrates a significant increase in rate constant compared with the mono-substituted chalcones (4-CF₃, **26**, $k_s' = 15.00 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$; 4'-CF₃, **33**, $k_s' = 40.89 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$,




Fig. 6 Rate constants (k_s') and modified Hammett plot for the reaction of disubstituted chalcones (**49–59**) with the BI derived from the addition of N-C₆F₅ triazolium catalyst **16** to pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde **14** at 25 °C. Note: modified Hammett plot includes data for monosubstituted/ unsubstituted chalcones (shown in grey) and disubstituted chalcones (shown in pink).



Fig. 5 entries 7 and 14). Similar trends are also observed for 4,4'-dichloro, 4,4'-dimethoxy and 4,4'-dicyano chalcones (**56**, **58** and **59**) when compared with the corresponding mono-substituted chalcones. When the C(1)-ArX' and C(3)-ArX substituents are electronically distinct, the influence on rate constant is dominated by C(1)-ArX'. For example, comparing chalcones **51** and **54** bearing 4-OMe and 4-CF₃ substituents, the chalcone **54** bearing an electron-withdrawing CF₃ group on the 4'-position of C(1)-ArX' undergoes reaction at a faster rate (Fig. 6 entries 3 and 6). This trend is maintained across all other tested disubstituted chalcones.

To probe the linear free-energy relationship for 4,4'-disubstituted chalcones, a method that allowed a single overall Hammett σ -value for disubstituted chalcones was developed. Using the ratio of reaction constants for the two series of mono-substituted chalcones ($\rho = 0.60$ and $\rho' = 1.43$, Fig. 5a) as a correcting factor ($2.40 = \rho'/\rho$) a new Hammett plot with a modified x-axis ($\sigma_x + 2.40\sigma_{x'}$) was prepared (Fig. 6B).²¹ This Hammett plot incorporates the electronic effect of disubstituted chalcones, giving a positive reaction constant ($\rho_{(4,4')} = 0.61$, $R^2 = 0.94$, Table 2) again aligning with the build-up of negative charge in the chalcone component during the turnover-limiting step of the Stetter reaction. The excellent linear correlation for all substituents (with no major outliers even when incorporating mono-substituted chalcones in grey) demonstrates that the aryl groups are independently influencing the observed reactivity, resulting in an additive substituent effect. Given the importance of nucleophilic addition to Michael acceptors across many synthetic transformations, the additive substituent effect observed for chalcones will likely have implications for understanding the reactivity of a range of alternative reaction processes.

To the best of our knowledge, there are few literature examples of reactions exhibiting this additive effect across different aromatic substituents although there are more examples of additive substituent effects within the same aryl ring. As an example, the fluorination of 1,3-diaryl-1,3-dicarbonyl

derivatives **71** to give **72** was explored quantitatively by a range of electrophilic fluorinating agents (Fig. 7A).²² Although Swain-Lupton evaluation was not performed, the effects of mono- and di-aryl substitution were kinetically explored *via* Hammett analysis, with the ρ -value for di-substituted derivatives ~ 2 times that observed for the mono-substituted analogues. Parallels may be drawn with *acceptor* behaviour of chalcones in the present study; the fluorination study explored a similar 1,3-unsaturated keto substrate but as *donor* nucleophiles rather than as acceptors. By contrast, the S_N1 solvolysis of mono- and di-substituted diarylcarbinyl chlorides **73** in methanol, ethanol and 2-propanol solvents to give **74** did not show additivity for a broad range of substituents although additive effects were observed for a small subset of these substituents in a detailed Hammett analysis (Fig. 7B).²³ By contrast with chalcone and dicarbonyl derivatives **72**, the two aryl rings of diarylcarbinyl substrate **73** are attached to the *same carbon* thus preventing full conjugation of both rings simultaneously.

Intrigued by the additive substituent effect, DFT calculations were performed to better understand these observations. Calculations were performed at the M06-2X_{SMD(DCM)}/def2-TZVP//M06-2X_{SMD(DCM)}/def2-SVP level of theory using Gaussian16 (ref. 24) on the proposed catalytic cycle with the parent chalcone **17** in Fig. 8. These calculations align well with the experimental concentration profile in Fig. 8A, with a facile formation of benzoin **19** as the kinetic product ($\Delta^\ddagger G = 13.5$ kcal mol⁻¹, $\Delta_r G = -5.1$ kcal mol⁻¹) resulting from the addition of pyridine-2-carboxyaldehyde **14** to the **BI** (see full reaction profile in Fig. S86D). This is calculated to be favoured by $\Delta\Delta^\ddagger G = 3.1$ kcal mol⁻¹ compared to the addition of the chalcone to the **BI** ($\Delta^\ddagger G = 16.6$ kcal mol⁻¹). From benzoin **19**, the barrier to the chalcone addition is increased to $\Delta^\ddagger G = 20.6$ kcal mol⁻¹, leading to the thermodynamically favoured Stetter product ($\Delta_r G = -12.6$ kcal mol⁻¹), which is 7.1 kcal mol⁻¹ more stable than benzoin **19**.

To further explore the effect of chalcone substitution, the barrier heights and driving forces for addition of the **BI** to the chalcone across the series of mono- and di-substituted chalcones were calculated. Chalcones containing EWGs exhibit stronger thermodynamic driving forces and generally lower kinetic barriers for the formation of the corresponding NHC-chalcone adduct derived from **BI** addition to the chalcone. The experimentally observed reaction rates correlate very well to the enthalpic driving force of the reaction ($R^2 = 0.93$), with chalcones bearing electron-withdrawing substituents proceeding through a transition state with elongated C-C bond lengths in a more reactant-like transition state, consistent with the Hammond postulate (see Fig. S89). Attempts were then made to correlate charge distributions across the NHC-chalcone adduct derived from **BI** addition to the chalcone with Hammett parameters and to probe the experimentally observed additivity of Hammett parameters in the di-substituted chalcones.

Using an approach similar to Paton,²⁵ the Hammett parameters correlated strongly to the Hirshfeld charge²⁶ on the *ipso*-carbon of the mono-substituted chalcones (see Fig. S88). The charge on the *ipso*-carbon of either C(1)ArX' or C(3)ArX is almost




Fig. 7 Selected literature examples considering additive Hammett effects.






Fig. 8 Computational analysis of Hirshfeld partial charges and correlation to the experimental $\log(k_X/k_H)$. (A) Structure of adduct formed from BI addition to chalcone. (B) Orthogonality of the effect of substitution relative to the parent unsubstituted system. (C) Correlations of the (relative) sum of partial charges to the computed driving force of the reaction.


exclusively dependent on only the substituent on that specific ring. This is shown by the plot of $\Delta q_{\text{ipsoC}(1)\text{ArX}'}$ vs. $\Delta q_{\text{ipsoC}(3)\text{ArX}}$ which demonstrates the orthogonality of the two charges, showing that the two properties are independent and exert separate effects on the reactivity (Fig. 8B). Considering the incorporation of OMe substitution for example, the introduction of this electron-donating group leads to a charge accumulation of -0.017 on each *ipso*-carbon compared to the unsubstituted chalcone, regardless of the substitution of the other ring. The effect of substitution can be combined into a single feature by considering the charges on the central

portion of the chalcone (O, C(1), C(2) and C(3)). This feature shows strong correlation to the driving force, whereby positive charge accumulation (with EWGs) leads to a stronger driving force and faster rate (Fig. 8B). Substitution at C(1)-ArX' has a larger influence on the charge (and hence the rate) than substitution of C(3)-ArX. For example, using enone **33** (C(3)ArX = PhH, C(1)ArX' = 4-CF₃C₆H₄) leads to a larger accumulation of positive charge (0.0059) than enone **26** (C(3)ArX = 4-CF₃C₆H₄, C(1)ArX' = PhH; 0.0036). The larger accumulation of positive charge is consistent with the build-up of negative charge being better stabilised in the reaction (Fig. 8C). This charge analysis is in excellent agreement with the experimental observations of the additive nature of Hammett parameters and is consistent across the mono- and di-substituted chalcones.

2.2.4 Quantification of kinetic reactivity of further Michael acceptors

2.2.4.1 C(3)-heterocyclic and C(3)-alkyl substituted enones. As a further extension of this model, it was applied to quantify the effect of heterocyclic substitution at C(3) *via* the reactivity C(3)-2-pyridyl, C(3)-2-furanyl and C(3)-2-thiophenyl enone acceptors **75–77** (Fig. 9). Among these substrates the observed rate constant (k'_s) of the 2-pyridyl enone **75** is the largest ($14.69 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$) with $k'^{\text{rel}} = 2.05$ (to the parent chalcone). The 2-thiophenyl enone **76** and 2-furanyl enone **77** show similar rate constants ($3.76 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $3.94 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively), with $k'^{\text{rel}} = 0.52$ and 0.55 respectively. The reactivity of C(3)-trifluoromethyl enone **78** and C(3)-methyl enone **79** were also evaluated, with the rate constant for **78** bearing the electron

A: C(3)-heteroaryl and C(3)-alkyl phenyl enones



B: C(3)-2-furanyl LFER with C(3)-ArX' substitution




Fig. 9 Rate constants (k'_s) for the reaction of other Michael acceptors (**75–79**, **85–88**) with the BI derived from the addition of N-C₆F₅ triazolium catalyst **16** to pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde **14** at 25 °C. All rate constants shown in units $\times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

withdrawing trifluoromethyl substituent larger than that for the methyl enone **79** ($6.18 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $0.55 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ respectively), giving $k^{\text{rel}} = 0.86$ and 0.08 respectively. To further explore if the previously observed electronic effect of C(1)-ArX' substituents extended to heterocyclic systems, the effect of variation of the C(1)-ArX' substituent within a series of C(3)-2-furanyl enones (**77**, **80–83**) was evaluated. Consistent with previous observations, the inclusion of *p*-halogen substitution within C(1)-ArX' led to increased rates of reaction with respect to the parent C(3)-2-furanyl enone **77**, while the incorporation of a *p*-methyl substituent led to reduced reaction rates. Hammett analysis of this series indicated a positive ρ' value of $+1.65$ consistent with the expected build-up of negative charge (see SI for further information).

2.2.4.2 Alternative Michael acceptors. The model was next applied to another distinct set of Michael acceptors incorporating a nitroolefin, diester, sulfone and enones containing strong electron withdrawing ester or perhalogenated substituents (Fig. 10). Dimethyl 2-ethylidenemalonate **93** and (vinylsulfonyl)benzene **94** showed high reactivity with relatively large rate constants observed ($k_s' = 13.07 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_s' = 11.16 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively). However, (*E*)-(2-nitrovinyl)cyclohexane **95** exhibited a small rate constant ($k_s' = 0.29 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$) implying low reactivity toward the BI. This model is not suitable for quantification of the reactivity of Michael acceptors (**96–99**) that bear strongly electron withdrawing substituents as they exhibit exceptionally high reactivity toward the BI. Using these substrates under the standardised conditions led to reactions that proceed to high conversion exceptionally quickly that did not allow initial rates to be calculated (see SI). However, the time taken to reach 50% conversion ($t_{50\%}$) was extracted from the concentration profile for these Michael acceptors, affording an approximation of their relative reactivities. This analysis




Fig. 10 Rate constants (k_s') for the reaction of other Michael acceptors (**93–99**) with the BI derived from the addition of N-C₆F₅ triazolium catalyst **16** to pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde **14** at 25 °C. All rate constants shown in units $\times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$. $t_{50\%}$ values shown in seconds.




Fig. 11 Pictorial representation of selected relative rates (k^{rel}) for the reaction of selected Michael acceptors with the BI derived from the addition of N-C₆F₅ triazolium catalyst **16** to pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde **14** at 25 °C.

reveals that methyl (*E*)-2-oxo-4-phenylbut-3-enoate **96** and methyl (*E*)-4-oxo-4-phenylbut-2-enoate **97** show similarly high reactivity, larger than the Michael acceptors **98** and **99**. The result also gives a potential explanation why only an α -ketoester derived Stetter product was observed when competing with (*E*)-chalcones in Gravel's reported work²⁷ as this is clearly significantly more reactive.

3 Conclusions

In this study, a kinetic method that allows for the precise quantification of the reactivity exhibited by a variety of Michael acceptors when they engage with a Breslow intermediate (BI) derived from pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde **14** and the NHC derived from an NC₆F₅ substituted triazolium precatalyst **16** is described. Measurement and analysis of pseudo first-order rate constants for a set of forty-three Michael acceptors is reported using this analysis allowing quantification of the reactivity of a common Breslow intermediate. Key findings demonstrate that the introduction of electron-withdrawing groups at the *para*-positions of C(1)-ArX' and C(3)-ArX significantly augment the reactivity of chalcones in the Stetter reaction. Notably, C(1)-ArX' substitution is exceptionally sensitive to electronic modification, resulting in a substantial enhancement of reactivity as pictorially represented in Fig. 11. Notably, the reactivity of the Michael acceptors observed in this process (across chalcones, alkylidene malonates, vinylsulfones and nitrostyrenes) correlate well with the established Mayr electrophilicity (*E*) reactivity



scale.²⁸ The positive reaction constants (ρ) obtained provide evidence for the buildup of negative charge within the chalcone component during the rate-limiting step of the studied process in agreement with the widely accepted ionic mechanism of the Stetter reaction. Furthermore, an additive effect associated with the 4,4'-disubstitution of C(1)-ArX' and C(3)-ArX within chalcones has been reported. We present our preliminary investigations of this effect, which is generally relevant to the widespread examples of synthetic reactions involving chalcones as Michael acceptors.

Author contributions

Zhuan Duan – conceptualization, investigation, writing – original draft. Claire M. Young – formal analysis, project administration, writing – original draft. Alister S. Goodfellow – formal analysis, investigation, writing – review and editing. Jiayun Zhu – formal analysis, conceptualization. Pankaj K. Majhi – supervision and analysis. AnnMarie C. O'Donoghue – conceptualization, funding acquisition, project administration, writing – review and editing. Andrew D. Smith – conceptualization, funding acquisition, project administration, writing – review and editing.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Data availability

The research data supporting this publication can be accessed at <https://doi.org/10.17630/966c2f26-72a5-4a42-9c4d-9eb42eadff04>: data underpinning “Quantifying Breslow Intermediate Reactivity in Intermolecular Stetter Reactions”. University of St Andrews Research Portal; PURE ID: 320253920.

Supplementary information is available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5sc05021a>.

Acknowledgements

We thank the EPSRC (JZ and CMY, EP/S020713/1 and EP/S019359/1), the CSC St Andrews PhD scholarship scheme (ZD), the EaSI-CAT centre for Doctoral Training (ASG) and the EU (Marie-Curie Fellowship to PKM) for funding. Computations were performed on a local HPC cluster maintained by Dr H. Früchtl. To meet institutional and research funder open access requirements, any accepted manuscript arising shall be open access under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) reuse licence with zero embargo.

Notes and references

- 1 H. Stetter, R. Y. Rämisch and H. Kuhlmann, *Synthesis*, 1976, 1976, 733–735. (a) R. T. T. Ukai and T. Dokawa, *J. Pharm. Soc. Jpn.*, 1943, **63**, 296–304; (b) T. K. Das and A. T. Biju, in *Progress in Heterocyclic Chemistry*, ed. G. W. Gribble and J. A. Joule, Elsevier, 2020, vol. 31, pp. 1–82.

- 2 For seminal examples of related cyanide-catalysed Stetter and benzoin reactions, see H. Stetter and M. Schreckenberger, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1973, **12**, 81; H. Stetter, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1976, **15**, 639–647; J. L. F. Wöhler and J. Liebig, *Ann. Pharm.*, 1832, **3**, 249–289; A. Lapworth, *J. Chem. Soc., Trans.*, 1903, **83**, 995–1005.
- 3 R. Breslow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1958, **80**, 3719–3726; M. Pareek, Y. Reddi and R. B. Sunoj, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 7973–7992.
- 4 A. Berkessel, S. Elfert, V. Reddy Yatham, J.-M. Neudörfl, N. E. Schlörer and J. H. Teles, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 12370–12374; D. A. DiRocco, K. M. Oberg and T. Rovis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**(14), 6143–6145.
- 5 Y. He and Y. Xue, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2011, **115**, 1408–1417; T. Dudding and K. N. Houk, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2004, **101**, 5770–5775; M. J. White and F. J. Leeper, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2001, **66**, 5124–5131; P. Bellotti, M. Koy, M. N. Hopkinson and F. Glorius, *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, 2021, **5**, 711–725; K.-Q. Chen, H. Sheng, Q. Liu, P.-L. Shao and X.-Y. Chen, *Sci. China:Chem.*, 2020, **64**, 7–16; X. Chen, P. He, S. Xia, L. Cui, G. Zhong and L. Yang, *Chem. Rec.*, 2023, e202200279; C. De Risi, A. Brandolese, G. Di Carmine, D. Ragno, A. Massi and O. Bortolini, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2023, **29**, e202202467; D. M. Flanagan, F. Romanov-Michailidis, N. A. White and T. Rovis, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 9307–9387; T. Ishii, K. Nagao and H. Ohmiya, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 5630–5636; T. Li, Z. Jin and Y. R. Chi, *Sci. China:Chem.*, 2021, **65**, 210–223; K. Liu, M. Schwenzer and A. Studer, *ACS Catal.*, 2022, **12**, 11984–11999; B. Maji and H. Mayr, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 10408–10412; S. Mondal, A. Ghosh and A. T. Biju, *Chem. Rec.*, 2022, **22**, e202200054; M. Pareek, Y. Reddi and R. B. Sunoj, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 7973–7992; D. D. Thalakkottukara and T. Gandhi, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2022, **11**, e202200080; G. Zhen, K. Jiang and B. Yin, *ChemCatChem*, 2022, **14**, e202200099; O. Hollóczki, Z. Kelemen and L. Nyulászi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 6014–6022; M. M. Ahire and S. B. Mhaske, *Tetrahedron*, 2018, **74**, 2079–2084; H. Can Uskup, T. Yildiz, H. C. Onar and B. Hasdemir, *ACS Omega*, 2023, **8**, 14047–14052; Q.-F. Cheng, J.-W. Wang, Q.-F. Wang and Z. Liu, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2016, **27**, 1032–1035; J. R. de Alaniz and T. Rovis, *Synlett*, 2009, **8**, 1189–1207; M. Debais, A. Hamoud, R. Drain, P. Barthelemy and V. Desvergues, *RSC Adv.*, 2020, **10**, 40709–40718; D. A. DiRocco, E. L. Noey, K. N. Houk and T. Rovis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 2391–2394; C. Dresen, M. Richter, M. Pohl, S. Ludeke and M. Muller, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 6600–6603; R. C. Gomes, R. C. Barcelos, M. T. Rodrigues, H. Santos and F. Coelho, *ChemistrySelect*, 2017, **2**, 926–930; T. Jousseau, N. E. Wurz and F. Glorius, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 1410–1414; V. Nair, R. R. Paul, D. V. M. Padmaja, N. Aiswarya, C. R. Sinu and A. Jose, *Tetrahedron*, 2011, **67**, 9885–9889; A. Patra, A. Bhunia and A. T. Biju, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 4798–4801; M. Rezazadeh Khalkhali, M. M. D. Wilde and M. Gravel, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 155–159; N. E. Wurz, C. G. Daniliuc and F. Glorius, *Chem.-Eur. J.*



- J., 2012, **18**, 16297–16301; M. M. Ahire and S. B. Mhaske, *ACS Omega*, 2017, **2**, 6598–6604.
- 6 K. Takeda and T. Tanaka, *Synlett*, 1999, **6**, 705–708; M. R. Nahm, J. R. Potnick, P. S. White and J. S. Johnson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 2751–2756; M. R. Nahm, X. Linghu, J. R. Potnick, C. M. Yates, P. S. White and J. S. Johnson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 2377–2379.
- 7 M. M. D. Wilde and M. Gravel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 12651–12654; M. R. Khalkhali, M. M. D. Wilde and M. Gravel, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 155–159; B. T. Ramajaneyulu, S. Mahesh and R. V. Anand, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 3952–3955; P. K. Ranga, F. Ahmad, S. Fatma, A. Kumar, D. Baranwal and R. V. Anand, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2024, **89**, 16697–16710; S. J. Connon, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 1203–1205.
- 8 E. Ciganek, *Synthesis*, 1995, **1995**, 1311–1314. For representative examples, see: T. Lu, L. Gu, Q. Kang and Y. Zhang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2012, **53**, 6602–6605; T. Soeta, Y. Tabatake and Y. Ukaji, *Tetrahedron*, 2012, **68**, 10188–10193; Z. Rafiński and A. Kozakiewicz, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, **80**, 7468–7476; Z. Rafiński, A. Kozakiewicz and K. Rafińska, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 1404–1408; Z. Rafiński, *ChemCatChem*, 2016, **8**, 2599–2603; M.-Q. Jia, Y. Li, Z.-Q. Rong and S.-L. You, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2011, **9**, 2072–2074.
- 9 D. A. DiRocco and T. Rovis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 10402–10405.
- 10 J. L. Moore, A. P. Silvestri, J. R. de Alaniz, D. A. DiRocco and T. Rovis, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 1742–1745.
- 11 C. J. Collett, C. M. Young, R. S. Massey, A. C. O'Donoghue and A. D. Smith, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2021, 3670–3675.
- 12 D. Enders, J. Han and A. Henseler, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 3989–3991.
- 13 G.-Q. Li, L.-X. Dai and S.-L. You, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 852–854.
- 14 C. J. Collett, R. S. Massey, O. R. Maguire, A. S. Batsanov, A. C. O'Donoghue and A. D. Smith, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 1514–1522; C. J. Collett, R. S. Massey, J. E. Taylor, O. R. Maguire, A. C. O'Donoghue and A. D. Smith, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **127**, 6991–6996; C. J. Collett, C. M. Young, R. S. Massey, A. C. O'Donoghue and A. D. Smith, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2021, **2021**, 3670–3675.
- 15 Z. Duan, C. M. Young, J. Zhu, A. M. Z. Slawin, A. C. O'Donoghue and A. D. Smith, *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, **14**, 162–170.
- 16 For details see SI.
- 17 The total concentration of all NMR visible aldehyde-containing or derived species is consistently 0.18 - 0.19 M over the course of the reaction. This is below the expected total concentration of 0.2 M but can be accounted for by multiple aldehyde-containing species below the threshold for NMR detection ie [BI], [TI] and benzoin-bound aldehyde.
- 18 S. M. Kim, M. Y. Jin, M. J. Kim, Y. Cui, Y. S. Kim, L. Zhang, C. E. Song, D. H. Ryu and J. W. Yang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2011, **9**, 2069–2071.
- 19 O. Bortolini, G. Fantin, M. Fogagnolo, P. P. Giovannini, A. Massi and S. Pacifico, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2011, **9**, 8437–8444.
- 20 L. P. Hammett, *Chem. Rev.*, 1935, **17**, 125–136; L. P. Hammett, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1937, **59**, 96–103; C. Hansch, A. Leo and R. W. Taft, *Chem. Rev.*, 1991, **91**, 165–195; B. Lindberg, S. Svensson, P. Å. Malmquist, E. Basilier, U. Gelius and K. Siegbahn, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1976, **40**, 175–179; J. D. Roberts and W. T. Moreland, Jr., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1953, **75**, 2167–2173; F. H. Westheimer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1939, **61**, 1977–1980; G. Gonzalez Miera, A. Bermejo Lopez, E. Martinez-Castro, P. O. Norrby and B. Martin-Matute, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2019, **25**, 2631–2636; S. Nagorny, T. Weingartz, J. C. Namyslo, J. Adams and A. Schmidt, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2022, **26**, e202200996.
- 21 A Hammett-type plot with x-axis set to $(1/2.40)\sigma_R + \sigma_R'$, also corrects for the relative importance of the aromatic rings. The values extracted from the resulting plots do not give quantitative information about the process in either case but can be used qualitatively to identify trends.
- 22 N. Rozatian, I. W. Ashworth, G. Sandford and D. W. G. Hodgson, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 8692–8702.
- 23 S. Nishida, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1967, **32**, 2695–2697.
- 24 Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 2008, **120**, 215–241; F. Weigend and R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, **7**, 3297–3305; F. Weigend, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2006, **8**, 1057–1065; A. V. Marenich, C. J. Cramer and D. G. Truhlar, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2009, **113**, 6378–6396; M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 16, Revision C.01*, Gaussian Inc., Wallingford CT, 2019.
- 25 G. Luchini and R. S. Paton, *ACS Phys. Chem. Au*, 2024, **4**, 259–267.
- 26 F. Hirshfeld, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1977, **44**, 129–138.
- 27 E. Sánchez-Larios, K. Thai, F. Bilodeau and M. Gravel, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 4942–4945.
- 28 For select reviews see H. Mayr and A. R. Ofial, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2005, **77**, 1807–1821; H. Mayr, *Tetrahedron*, 2015, **32**, 5095–5111; For direct website access to the reactivity scales developed by Mayr and Ofial see <https://www.cup.lmu.de/oc/mayr/reaktionsdatenbank/fe/showclass/75>.

