EDUCATION

- Structured Long Examination Record (OSLER). *Med Teach* 1997; **19:** 7–14.
- Harden R M, Stevenson M, Downie W W, Wilson G M. Assessment of clinical competence using objective structured examination. Br Med J 1975: 1: 447–451.
- Ponnamperuma G G, Karunathilake I M, McAleer S, Davis M H. The long case and its modifications: a literature review. *Med Educ* 2009: 43: 936–941.
- Wass V, Jolly B. Does observation add to the validity of the long case? Med Educ 2001; 35: 729–734.
- Brannick M T, Erol-Korkmaz H T, Prewett M. A systematic review of the reliability of objective structured clinical examination scores. Med Educ 2011; 45: 1181–1189.
- Brown G, Manogue M, Martin M. The validity and reliability of an OSCE in dentistry. Eur J Dent Educ 1999; 3: 117–125.
- Graham R, Zubiaurre Bitzer L A, Anderson O R. Reliability and predictive validity of a comprehensive preclinical OSCE in dental education. J Dent Educ 2013; 77: 161–167.
- Boursicot K, Etheridge L, Setna Z et al. Performance in assessment: consensus statement and recommendations from the Ottawa conference. Med Teach 2011; 33: 370–383.
- Malau-Aduli B S, Teague P-A, D'Souza K et al. A collaborative comparison of objective structured clinical examination (OSCE) standard setting methods at Australian medical schools. Med Teach 2017; 39: 1261–1267.
- Bargainnier S. Fundamentals of rubrics. 2003. Available at https://www.webpages.uidaho.edu/ele/scholars/

- Practices/Evaluating_Projects/Resources/Using_ Rubrics.pdf (accessed November 2023).
- Hodges B, Regehr G, McNaughton N, Tiberius R, Hanson M. OSCE checklists do not capture increasing levels of expertise. Acad Med 1999; 74: 1129–1136.
- O'Donnell J A, Oakley M, Haney S, O'Neill P N, Taylor D. Rubrics 101: a primer for rubric development in dental education. J Dent Educ 2011; 75: 1163–1175.
- Furze J, Gale J R, Black L, Cochran T M, Jensen G M. Clinical Reasoning: Development of a Grading Rubric for Student Assessment. J Phys Ther Educ 2015; 29: 34–45.
- Field J C, Walmsley A D, Paganelli C et al. The Graduating European Dentist: Contemporaneous Methods of Teaching, Learning and Assessment in Dental Undergraduate Education. Eur J Dent Educ 2017; 21: 28–35.
- Dawson L J, Mason B G, Bissell V, Youngson C. Calling for a re-evaluation of the data required to credibly demonstrate a dental student is safe and ready to practice. Eur J Dent Educ 2017; 21: 130–135.
- Wass V, Jones R, Van der Vleuten C. Standardized or real patients to test clinical competence? The long case revisited. Med Educ 2001; 35: 321–325.
- Jones S, Bussey S, Barton R, Lunn B, Luke J, Myers A. Educational impact of a new summative assessment of clinical skills for final year medical students. 2014. Available at https://eprints.ncl.ac.uk/240521 (accessed January 2024).
- Jones S, Bussey S, Barton R, Lunn B, Luke J, Myers A. Acceptability of a new summative assessment of clinical skills for final year medical students. 2014. Available

- at https://eprints.ncl.ac.uk/240520 (accessed January 2024).
- Dacre J, Gaffan J, Dunkley L, Sturrock A. A new finals clinical examination. Clin Teach 2006; 3: 29–33.
- Traynor M, Galanouli D, Rice B, Lynn F. Evaluating the objective structured long examination record for nurse education. Br J Nurs 2016: 25: 681–687.
- Schuwirth L W T, van Der Vleuten C P. General overview of the theories used in assessment: AMEE Guide No. 57. Med Teach 2011; 33: 783–797.
- Tierney R, Simon M. What's still wrong with rubrics: focusing on the consistency of performance criteria across scale levels. Pract Assess Res Eval 2019; 9: 2.
- 28. Dickinson P, Adams J. Values in evaluation the use of rubrics. Eval Program Plann 2017; **65:** 113–116.
- Hodges B, McIlroy J H. Analytic global OSCE ratings are sensitive to level of training. Med Educ 2003; 37: 1012–1016.
- Ellis J, Dawson L, Hanks S et al. Supporting the transition from recent graduate to trainee; the Educational Transition Document. BDJ In Pract 2022; 35: 22–25.
- Mather H, Bateman H, Taylor J et al. From inputs to outputs: an analysis of the changes to learning outcomes for dental undergraduate education in the UK. Br Dent J 2022; 232: 101–107.
- Yeates P, Moult A, Cope N et al. Measuring the Effect of Examiner Variability in a Multiple-Circuit Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE). Acad Med 2021; 96: 1189–1196.
- Sturman N, Wong W Y, Turner J, Allan C. Online examiner calibration across specialties. *Clin Teach* 2018; 15: 377–381.



Open Access.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0.

Correction to: Polishing systems for modern aesthetic dental materials: a narrative review

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-024-7963-x

Author's correction note:

Review article Br Dent J 2024; 237: 607-613.

When initially published, the author list order was incorrect. The correct order is:

Adil Khan,*1 Nicholas Hodson2 and Asmaa Altaie3

¹Clinical Teaching Fellow in Restorative Dentistry, Level 6 Worsley Building, Leeds Dental Institute, Clarendon Way, Leeds, LS2 9LU, UK;
²Professor/Honorary Consultant in Restorative Dentistry, Level 6 Worsley Building, Leeds Dental Institute, Clarendon Way, Leeds, LS2 9LU, UK;
³Senior Clinical Lecturer/Honorary Consultant in Restorative Dentistry, Level 6 Worsley Building, Leeds Dental Institute, Clarendon Way, Leeds, LS2 9LU, UK.

The authors apologise for any inconvenience caused.



Open Acces

© The Author(s) 2024

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0.