FISEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Arthroplasty Today**

journal homepage: http://www.arthroplastytoday.org/



## Corrigendum

Corrigendum to "Revision Rates for Aseptic Loosening in the Obese Patient: A Comparison Between Stemmed, Uncemented, and Unstemmed Tibial Total Knee Arthroplasty Components" [Arthroplasty Today 32 (2025) 101,621]

Bernard H. van Duren, Amy M. Firth\*, Reshid Berber, Hosam E. Matar, Peter J. James, Benjamin V. Bloch

Nottingham Elective Orthopaedic Services, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Nottingham, United Kingdom

The authors regret errors in the published article that should be corrected as follows.

In the "Methods" section of the article Abstract, the third sentence should read:

"Those who underwent cemented TKA using a tibial stem extension (Group 1) (n = 150) and those where cementless implants were used (Group 2) (n = 101) were compared to a control group (n = 1261) using a standard cemented implant."

In the "Revisions" section within Results, the second sentence should read:

"Of the 1512 knees performed in patients with BMI in excess of 30, there were 37 all-cause revisions, of which 7 were for aseptic loosening (2 tibial, 1 femoral, and 4 involving both components)."

Finally, the figures in Table 1 were not correctly adjusted. The full and correct Table 1 is given here.

The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.

DOI of original article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artd.2025.101621.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Nottingham Elective Orthopaedics, Nottingham City Hospital, Hucknall Road, Nottingham NG5 1PB, United Kingdom. Tel.: +44 115 969 1169

 Table 1

 Overview of demographics preoperative characteristics and implant characteristics.

Total No.	Control (cemented primary Attune)		Cementless (Attune primary cementless)		Stemmed (Attune revision tray $+50 \times 14$ mm stem)	
	2443		221		162	
BMI > 30	1261	52%	101	46%	150	93%
BMI > 35	542	22%	37	17%	129	80%
BMI > 40	178	7%	8	4%	79	49%
Included in analysis: BMI > 30						
Total Prosthesis Years	8575		540		765	
Mean Follow Up (SD) (years)	6.8	5.3		5.1		
Range (years)	0-12.7		2.6-7.9		0-7.2	
Median (IQR) (years)	6.9 (5.1-8.6)		5.5 (4.2-6.6)		5.4 (4.6-6.2)	
Female	806	64%	41	41%	127	85%
Age (years)						
<65	437	35%	61	60%	82	55%
65-74	507	40%	33	33%	46	31%
≥75	317	25%	7	7%	22	15%
ASA						
I	55	4%	8	8%	2	1%
II	874	69%	83	82%	67	45%
III	325	26%	10	10%	80	53%
IV	7	1%	0	0%	1	1%
V	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Indication						
OA	1231	98%	99	98%	145	97%
Inflammatory Arthropathy	18	1%	1	1%	3	2%
AVN	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Trauma	8	1%	0	0%	1	1%
Other	2	0%	1	1%	1	1%
Cement						
Palacos $R + G$	378	30%	n/a		4	3%
Smartset GHV	883	70%	n/a		146	97%
Meniscal Constraint						
CR Mobile	755	60%	82	81%	10	7%
CR Fixed	387	31%	0	0%	70	47%
PS mobile	94	7%	19	19%	5	3%
PS Fixed	25	2%	0	0%	65	43%

ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; BMI, body mass index; CR, cruciate retaining; IQR, interquartile range; n/a, not applicable; PS, posterior stabilized; SD, standard deviation; AVN, avascular necrosis.