

This is a repository copy of Modern era radical radiotherapy toxicity: a preliminary CONCORDE analysis of the calibration arm.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/229112/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Proceedings Paper:

Horne, A., Brown, S., Gilbert, A. et al. (16 more authors) (2024) Modern era radical radiotherapy toxicity: a preliminary CONCORDE analysis of the calibration arm. In: Radiotherapy and Oncology. ESTRO 2024, 03-07 May 2024, Glasgow, UK. , S1652-S1655.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8140(24)01671-2

© 2024 The Authors. Except as otherwise noted, this author-accepted version of an abstract published in Radiotherapy and Oncology is made available via the University of Sheffield Research Publications and Copyright Policy under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.





Help 🗸

Д

J

1232

Modern era radical radiotherapy toxicity: a preliminary CONCORDE analysis of the calibration arm

Ashley Horne¹, Sarah Brown², Alexandra Gilbert³, Vicky Coyle⁴, Kevin Nicholas Franks², Adam Hassani⁵, Stephen James Harrow⁶, Matthew Hatton⁷, Crispin Hiley⁸, Jessica Kendall², Rachel Phillip², Matthew Norris², Jamie B Oughton², Paul Shaw⁹, Fiona Walker², Huiqi Yang¹⁰, Anthony J Chalmers¹¹, Alastair Greystoke¹², Corinne Faivre-Finn¹³ ¹The Christie NHS Foundation Trust, Oncology Department, Manchester, United Kingdom. ²University of Leeds, Leeds Institute of Clinical Trials Research, Leeds, United Kingdom. ³University of Leeds, Leeds Institute of Medical Research at St James's, Leeds, United Kingdom. ⁴Queens University, Patrick G Johnston Centre for Cancer Research, Belfast, United Kingdom. ⁵Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals, Northern Centre for Cancer Care, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom. ⁶Western General Hospital, Edinburgh Cancer Centre, Edinburgh, United Kingdom. ⁷University of Sheffield, Weston Park Cancer Centre, Sheffield, United Kingdom. ⁸University College London, Research Department of Oncology, London, United Kingdom. ⁹Velindre University NHS Trust, Velindre Cancer Centre, Cardiff, United Kingdom. ¹⁰Cambridge University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, United Kingdom. ¹¹University of Glasgow, School of Cancer Sciences, Glasgow, United Kingdom. ¹²Newcastle University, Sir Bobby Robson Clinical Trials Unit, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, United Kingdom. ¹³University of Manchester, Division of Cancer Sciences, Manchester, United Kingdom

Торіс

Clinical: Lung

Keywords

lung cancer, radiotherapy, toxicity

Purpose/Objective

CONCORDE is a UK-based phase Ib platform study whose primary aim is to assess dose limiting toxicities (DLTs) for DNA damage response inhibitors (DDRis) when prescribed alongside curative-intent radiotherapy(1,2). Participants not suitable for concurrent chemo-radiotherapy are randomised to receive either a DDRi +/- consolidation durvalumab in combination with radiotherapy (experimental arms) or to radiotherapy alone +/- consolidation durvalumab (calibration arm).

Few studies using contemporary radiotherapy either alone or in the sequential setting have reported in recent years. Therefore, a calibration arm, using modern radiotherapy techniques, is incorporated into the CONCORDE study to ensure the safety and toxicity data in the experimental combination arms are interpretable within the context of the current study. This data is required to account for improvements in radiotherapy techniques and quality assurance, aiding interpretation rather than permitting formal comparison between arms.

This preliminary analysis aims to describe radiotherapy data accumulated thus far and clinician assigned acute and late toxicity (with a focus on oesophagitis and pneumonitis) experienced by patients receiving radiotherapy

J j

Primary objective: to assess the safety and determine the recommended phase II dose of each DDRi, based on the occurrence of DLTs, used in combination with radical thoracic radiotherapy for patients with locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).

Key Eligibility: Inoperable stage IIB/IIIA-C NSCLC, not suitable for concurrent chemoradiotherapy with Karnofsky Performance Score≥70.

All patients receive 60Gy in 30 fractions over 6 weeks. State of the art radiotherapy planning and delivery is mandated (4DCT planning, fixed beam IMRT or VMAT, daily online CBCT with adaptation as required). See table 1 for dose constraints to the Organs at Risk.

Organ	Planning parameter	Dose constraint			
	V20	≤30%			
Lungs (-GTV)					
	Mean lung dose	18Gy			
	V50	<33%			
Oesophagus					
	Max dose 1cc	63Gy			

Table 1: Dose constraints to the organs at risk

A secondary endpoint is the collection of acute and late toxicity up to 2 years post-radiotherapy as graded by CTCAE V5.0 and through patient reported outcome measures (PROMs). CTCAE toxicity is assessed weekly during radiotherapy, for a minimum of six weekly up to 6 months post-radiotherapy (6-, 12-, 18- and 24-weeks) and subsequently every three months (9-, 12-, 15-, 18-, 21- and 24-months). PROMs are also collected at baseline and at the end of radiotherapy, 3-, 6-, 12-, 18- and 24-months post-radiotherapy.

This preliminary analysis will describe toxicity experienced, the CTCAE grade, time of onset alongside radiotherapy planning data.

The study is sponsored by the University of Leeds and funded by Cancer Research UK and AstraZeneca.

Results

As of 15/10/2023, 65 patients have been registered in the study from 9 UK centres, of which 49 were randomised in one of 3 arms (CONCORDE-A olaparib, CONCORDE-B AZD1390 and CONCORDE-C ceralasertib with consolidation durvalumab). Of those randomised: 19 patients have or are currently receiving radiotherapy alone, of which 10 received induction chemotherapy.

The 13 radiotherapy alone patients who have completed the short DLT period (4.5 months post start of radiotherapy) will be the focus of this summary. 6 of these patients have also completed the long DLT period (13.5 months post start of radiotherapy).

Two patients experienced grade ≥ 2 pneumonitis (grade 2=1, grade 3=1) and 5 patients experienced grade ≥ 2 oesophagitis (all grade 2). No patients experienced significant pneumonitis and oesophagitis together. One patient who developed pneumonitis developed symptoms during radiotherapy and one following completion of radiotherapy. All patients who developed oesophagitis were recorded as developing it during radiotherapy.

ESTRO 2024

j.oughton@leeds.ac.uk

J

	radiotilerapy)			N	¥		
Grade ≥2 pneumonitis	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Number	2	11	2	11	2	11	2
Mean	12	64.83	81.66	17.86	25.89	11.10	14.74
SD	11.31	58.45	22.66	6.53	2.60	3.26	1.35
Min	4	15.72	65.64	10.75	24.05	6.92	13.78
Max	20	221.50	97.69	29.00	27.73	16.60	15.69

Table 1: Summarises radiotherapy statistics between those who experienced grade ≥2 pneumonitis and those who did not.

Grade ≥2 oesophagitis	Toxicity occurred (weeks from start of radiotherapy) Yes	GTV volume (cm)		Oesophagus V50 (Gy)		Oesophagus max dose 1cc (Gy)	
		No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Number	5	8	5	8	5	8	5
Mean	3	90.15	31.04	12.19	12.50	43.19	48.03
SD	0.71	58.26	12.72	14.98	11.81	19.00	16.25
Min	2	25.56	15.72	0.00	0.00	17.18	28.30
Max	4	221.50	50.62	35.96	24.67	60.49	60.13

Table 2: Summarises radiotherapy statistics between those who experienced grade ≥2 oesophagitis and those who did not.

Conclusion

In this unplanned preliminary analysis, we report low rates of toxicity in patients who receive radical radiotherapy alone in the modern radiotherapy era. Despite small numbers and therefore difficult to draw meaningful conclusions a 7% risk of developing grade 3 pneumonitis is in keeping with figures previously quoted in the literature (3). On reviewing radiotherapy planning statistics, we observe that the patients who experienced more significant pneumonitis had greater GTV volume, lung V20 and mean lung doses. Furthermore patients who experienced more significant oesophagitis had higher oesophagus V50 and oesophagus max dose 1cc.

The integration of a calibration arm will continue to help the interpretation and attribution of toxicity in the experimental arms of the CONCORDE study. A more complete analysis will be performed on this cohort upon study completion with an opportunity to perform more in-depth analysis that includes patient, tumour and dosimetric factors, as well as considering radiosensitivity through the integration of exploratory data (including genomic, radiomic and cell-free DNA features) and PROMs.

For further trial information and rationale please see: <u>https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04550104</u>

ISRCTN10142971

Trials unit contact: ctru_concorde@leeds.ac.uk

References

1. Walls GM, Oughton JB, Chalmers AJ, Brown S, Collinson F, Forster MD, et al. CONCORDE: A phase I platform study of novel agents in combination with conventional radiotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer. Clinical and Translational Radiation Oncology. 2020 Nov;25:61–6.

0 / ESTRO 2024	¢	J	j.oughton@leeds.ac.uk
Sep 6;9:877.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• , 、• • •	,