



This is a repository copy of *Asia–Pacific consensus for the management of osteoporosis in men*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/228834/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Huang, C.-F., Ho, C.-J., Lin, S.-Y. et al. (49 more authors) (2025) Asia–Pacific consensus for the management of osteoporosis in men. *Osteoporosis International*, 36 (7). pp. 1105-1114. ISSN 0937-941X

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-025-07559-1>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC) licence. This licence allows you to remix, tweak, and build upon this work non-commercially, and any new works must also acknowledge the authors and be non-commercial. You don't have to license any derivative works on the same terms. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>



Asia–Pacific consensus for the management of osteoporosis in men

Chun-Feng Huang^{1,2,3} · Cheng-Jung Ho^{4,5} · Sung-Yen Lin^{4,6,7,8} · Jawl-Shan Hwang⁹ · Ta-Wei Tai¹⁰ · Jung-Fu Chen¹¹ · Shih-Te Tu¹² · Ding-Cheng Chan^{13,14,15} · Rong-Sen Yang¹⁶ · Hsuan-Yu Chen¹⁶ · Keh-Sung Tsai¹⁷ · Tien-Tsai Cheng¹⁸ · Fang-Ping Chen¹⁹ · Wei-Chieh Hung^{20,21} · Yin-Fan Chang²² · Der-Sheng Han^{23,24} · Manju Chandran²⁵ · Ang Seng Bin^{26,27} · Joon Kiong Lee²⁸ · Swan Sim Yeap²⁹ · Yoon-Sok Chung^{30,31} · Kwang-Kyoun Kim³² · Peter Ebeling³³ · Unnop Jaisamrarn³⁴ · Dipendra Pandey³⁵ · Serge Ferrari³⁶ · Eugene McCloskey³⁷ · Natthinee Charatcharoenwitthaya³⁸ · Akira Taguchi³⁹ · Sarath Lekamwasam⁴⁰ · Tuan Van Nguyen^{41,42} · E. Michael Lewiecki⁴³ · Kenneth G. Saag⁴⁴ · Ching-Chou Tsai⁴⁵ · Fernando Marín⁴⁶ · Satoshi Mori⁴⁷ · Kyu Ri Hwang⁴⁸ · Julie Li-Yu⁴⁹ · John J. Carey⁵⁰ · David Kendler⁵¹ · Ching Lung Cheung^{52,53} · Huei-Kai Huang⁵⁴ · Vilai Kuptniratsaikul⁵⁵ · Wing P. Chan⁵⁶ · Siew Pheng Chan⁵⁷ · Lan T. Ho-Pham⁵⁸ · Fen Lee Hew⁵⁹ · Huipeng Shi⁶⁰ · Ian Reid⁶¹ · John A. Kanis⁶² · Chung-Hwan Chen^{4,5,6,63,64,65,66} · Chih-Hsing Wu^{22,67,68} 

Received: 3 May 2025 / Accepted: 28 May 2025 / Published online: 4 June 2025
© The Author(s) 2025

Abstract

Summary Osteoporosis in men is an underdiagnosed and undertreated condition that leads to significant morbidity and mortality, particularly in the aging population. This consensus report provides tailored guidelines for diagnosing, preventing, and treating male osteoporosis in the Asia–Pacific region by integrating global best practices with regional adaptations.

Purpose To establish evidence-based, region-specific guidelines for the management of male osteoporosis in the Asia–Pacific region, addressing demographic and lifestyle factors.

Methods Expert feedback was gathered through premeeting reviews, consensus conferences, and collaborative discussions. A life-course approach was employed to align international best practices with Asia–Pacific-specific needs, emphasizing continuous monitoring and intervention from middle age onward.

Results The 12 consensus strategies systematically approach male osteoporosis management, addressing screening, diagnosis, treatment, and long-term follow-up. Recommendations include the assessment of fracture risk for men aged 50 years and above, use of dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) testing for men aged 70 years and above, lifestyle modifications, and pharmacological interventions such as bisphosphonates, denosumab, and anabolic agents for high-risk patients. Secondary causes of osteoporosis were highlighted, along with the establishment of fracture liaison services (FLSs) to improve long-term care. A life-course approach was proposed to optimize bone health throughout men's lives.

Conclusion This consensus provides a comprehensive framework tailored to the Asia–Pacific region for diagnosing, preventing, and managing osteoporosis in men. By addressing region-specific challenges and promoting evidence-based interventions, the latest guidelines incorporating the consensus may depict the conceptual direction in reducing fracture risk and improving long-term bone health outcomes for osteoporosis in men.

Keywords Asia–Pacific region · consensus · fractures · Men · osteoporosis

Introduction

Osteoporosis in men has long been underdiagnosed and undertreated, leading to higher fracture-related morbidity and mortality rates than those in women [1–3]. Although often underrecognized, the condition remains clinically significant, with prevalence rates among men over 50 ranging from 1.3% in Taiwan to 8.8% in South Korea. In the

Chung-Hwan Chen and Chih-Hsing Wu contributed equally to this work.

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

Asia–Pacific region, the incidence of hip fractures in men is rising more rapidly than in women, and men now account for approximately 30% of all global hip fractures, with notably higher post-fracture mortality rates. Furthermore, substantial regional differences in female-to-male hip fracture ratios—ranging from 1.4–1.7 in China to 3.1 in Japan—underscore the urgent need for gender- and region-specific osteoporosis prevention strategies [4]. This consensus provides insights from regional and international experts to establish guidelines tailored to the Asia–Pacific population for diagnosing, preventing, and treating male osteoporosis. These recommendations integrate international best practices, such as International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF), with adaptations that consider the demographic, environmental, and lifestyle factors relevant to the Asia–Pacific region.

Methods

This study was developed through extensive collaboration, with feedback collected from experts across the region. This expert feedback was synthesized to create a comprehensive guideline for shaping the final consensus that aligns with the region's needs and challenges. Each expert contributed by reviewing the premeeting draft recommendation, attending the consensus conference, and/or providing insightful comments based on their clinical experience and the latest research. The consensus integrates both global best practices and Asia–Pacific contextual adaptations, with evidence sourced from peer-reviewed publications, clinical guidelines, and registry data.

The consensus recommendations were structured to reflect a life-course approach, which emphasizes continuous monitoring and intervention from middle age onward. This strategy, while consistent with worldwide best practices, incorporates adjustments tailored to the demographic and lifestyle profiles of men in the Asia–Pacific area.

Results

We developed a consensus on male osteoporosis management in the Asia–Pacific region, incorporating extensive recommendations from global experts, with particular emphasis on insights specific to the Asia–Pacific context. The 12 consensus strategies present a comprehensive approach to male osteoporosis management, systematically addressing key areas from prevention and diagnosis to treatment and long-term follow-up. The recommendations cover screening strategies, diagnostic approaches, and evidence-based interventions appropriate for men to stop fracture in the Asia–Pacific region (Table 1). Comprehensive strategies include alertness

of osteoporotic fracture risk for men aged 50 years and above, with additional bone density assessment via dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) for those over 70 years. The consensus underscores lifestyle modifications—such as smoking cessation, reduced alcohol intake, regular exercise, and adequate protein and vitamin D intake—to support bone health. For treatment, antiresorptive medications, such as bisphosphonates or denosumab, are recommended as first-line options, with anabolic agents prioritized for high-risk patients. Furthermore, it advocates establishing fracture liaison services (FLSs) to enhance long-term osteoporosis care and ensure effective resource allocation and healthcare system integration.

1. Implement targeted screening in men aged 50 years and above, using international and Asia–Pacific-developed tools¹ to assess osteoporosis or fracture risk and perform DXA in those aged 70 years and older for intervention decisions.

Bone turnover markers are used to monitor treatment effects and enhance compliance, recognizing the limitations due to cost, interassay variability, intrasubject physiological fluctuations, and lack of consistent norms. Testing in men over the age of 50 years is crucial owing to the growing recognition of male osteoporosis and its associated risks. For fracture risk assessment, it is suggested to use internationally recognized techniques such as FRAX, which has received substantial global validation. While regionally produced instruments like MOSTAi (which requires additional external validation) and COSA are available for their own local population, FRAX remains the most completely validated instrument for predicting fracture risk across the Asia–Pacific regions and international populations [5–7]. BONEcheck™ is the first tool that incorporates the polygenic risk score PRS to predict 5-year fracture risk [8]. The Bone Health and Osteoporosis Foundation (BHOFF), formerly the National Osteoporosis Foundation, specifically recommends DXA scans for men aged 70 and older to guide clinical interventions. Current data support DXA screening in older men as it enables earlier diagnosis, which is essential for preventing major fractures [9].

2. Conduct DXA scans via the international standard Caucasian female reference for men. Develop and validate male-specific reference databases tailored to Asian populations as needed.

¹ FRAX (Fracture Risk Assessment Tool), MORES (Male Osteoporosis Risk Estimation Score), Asia–Pacific-developed tools (such as MOSTAi (Male Osteoporosis Self-Assessment Tool for Taiwan), COSA (Chinese Osteoporosis Screening Algorithm), Garvan (Garvan Fracture Risk Calculator and/or BONEcheck™)).

Table 1 Consensus of 12 strategies for managing osteoporosis in men in the Asia–Pacific region

Actions	Objectives
1. Screen men aged 50 and above	Early detection of osteoporosis risk
2. Use NHANES III database for DXA scan	More sensitive bone density assessment for men
3. Evaluate risk factors for diagnosis	Comprehensive risk assessment
4. Monitor bone turnover	Track bone metabolism and adjust treatment
5. Ensure vitamin D and calcium intake	Provide essential nutrients for bone health
6. Recommend lifestyle changes	Increase activity, reduce fall and fracture risk
7. Use antiresorptive drugs (e.g., denosumab)	Reduce fracture incidence
8. Consider anabolic agents for high-risk cases	Improve bone strength and density
9. Treat hypogonadism with testosterone	Support bone and muscle health in men
10. Implement fracture liaison services (FLS)	Prevent secondary fractures and improve care coordination
11. Reinforce adherence and follow-up	Ensure long-term treatment success
12. Promote lifelong bone health	Emphasize prevention and care throughout the lifespan

DXA dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, *NHANES* National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

DXA scans typically use a reference database of bone mineral density (BMD) from a young, healthy population to calculate T-scores. The International Society for Clinical Densitometry (ISCD) recommends the use of a uniform Caucasian (nonrace-adjusted) female reference database for calculating T-scores in both men and women across all ethnic groups. Although the necessity for male-specific reference databases would be an issue in Asian populations due to their fragile fracture epidemiology, current international consensus favors continued application of the NHANES III Caucasian female reference database for DXA interpretation in men. The emphasis should be on accurately estimating fracture risk rather than creating sex- or region-specific reference databases, which may not necessarily improve clinical outcomes or diagnostic value [10].

3. Systematically assess secondary factors associated with increased fracture risk—such as hypogonadism, glucocorticoid use, and vitamin D deficiency—and incorporate findings into individualized diagnostic and treatment plans.

The diagnosis and management of osteoporosis in men must include a thorough evaluation of secondary causes that contribute to bone loss. Conditions such as hypogonadism, diabetes, and glucocorticoid use, along with lifestyle factors such as smoking and alcohol consumption, significantly influence osteoporosis risk [11]. Moreover, the impact of E-cigarettes on bone health remains uncertain, as limited research suggests potential risks but provides no definitive evidence [12]. Addressing these secondary factors in diagnosis and treatment plans ensures that all underlying contributors to osteoporosis are considered and managed to increase the effectiveness of treatment. Hypogonadism, in particular, is strongly associated with decreased BMD in

men, and its treatment is an integral part of managing male osteoporosis [13].

4. Bone turnover markers are used to monitor treatment effects and enhance compliance, recognizing the limitations due to cost, interassay variability, intrasubject physiological fluctuations, and lack of consistent norms.

Bone turnover markers (BTMs), such as P1 NP (type I procollagen amino-terminal propeptide) and CTX (C-terminal telopeptide of type I collagen), are useful for monitoring treatment effectiveness [14]. They can indicate changes in bone formation and resorption, helping clinicians adjust therapies. However, the variability in these markers and the lack of standardized reference ranges make them less reliable as standalone tools. Despite these limitations, BTMs have the potential to improve patient compliance by providing a clear, measurable indicator of treatment efficacy, which helps patients stay engaged in their therapy [15].

5. Ensure sufficient vitamin D (≥ 800 IU/day) and calcium intake (1000–1200 mg/day) through diet and/or supplementation while avoiding overdose.

Adequate intake of vitamin D and calcium is essential for maintaining bone health. Vitamin D facilitates calcium absorption, and together, these nutrients help maintain bone mineralization and reduce fracture risk. Clinical studies recommend a daily intake of at least 800 IU of vitamin D and 1000–1200 mg of calcium for men with osteoporosis [16]. However, caution is advised to avoid overdosing, which can lead to complications such as hypercalcemia [17]. Proper nutritional counseling and monitoring can help ensure that men receive adequate but safe levels of these nutrients.

6. Lifestyle modifications, including smoking cessation, reduced alcohol consumption, regular exercise,

and sufficient protein intake, should be encouraged to improve musculoskeletal health and prevent fractures.

Lifestyle modifications play a pivotal role in preventing osteoporosis and related fractures in men. Smoking and excessive alcohol consumption have both been linked to accelerated bone loss and increased fracture risk [18]. Though research directly on e-cigarettes and bone health is relatively limited, existing evidence suggests they may have a negative impact on bone metabolism. Until more definitive data becomes available, caution is advised, and both traditional and e-cigarettes should be avoided as part of bone healthcare [12]. Regular exercise, particularly weight-bearing and resistance exercises, has been shown to increase bone density and improve musculoskeletal health, reducing the likelihood of fractures. Additionally, sufficient protein intake is necessary for bone matrix formation and maintaining muscle strength, which can help prevent falls [19]. Clinical research confirms that these lifestyle changes are essential for long-term bone health.

7. Prioritize bisphosphonates (e.g., alendronate, risedronate, zoledronate) or denosumab as first-line therapies for most men with osteoporosis.

Bisphosphonates, such as alendronate, risedronate, and zoledronate, are considered first-line treatments for osteoporosis because of their ability to increase bone mineral density and reduce fracture risk. Denosumab, a monoclonal antibody, is also effective at increasing the BMD and reducing fractures, making it an important alternative for patients who cannot tolerate bisphosphonates; nevertheless, discontinuation of this agent can result in rapid bone loss. Although limited in number, validated clinical trials support the use of these medications in men, providing evidence of a significant reduction in the risk of vertebral and nonvertebral fractures [20].

8. For patients with imminent or very high fracture risk, anabolic agents are preferred as first-line agents, and they should be sequentially followed by antiresorptive therapy.

In cases where men are at very high risk for fractures (> 30% 10-year major osteoporotic fracture risk or > 4.5% 10-year hip fracture risk), anabolic agents such as teriparatide, abaloparatide, and romosozumab should be prioritized [21]. These agents work by stimulating bone formation, leading to significant increases in bone density and reductions in fracture risk. After an initial course of anabolic therapy, antiresorptive treatments such as bisphosphonates or denosumab can be used to maintain improvements in bone density. Studies confirm that this sequential approach is particularly effective in men with severe osteoporosis [22].

9. Testosterone therapy should be reserved for men with symptomatic hypogonadism. Its effect on fracture risk reduction has not been established.

Testosterone replacement therapy (TRT) has been shown to improve bone density in men with hypogonadism. Clinical trials indicate that TRT can help increase BMD, particularly in the spine, but its effect on fracture risk reduction remains inconclusive, and some studies have noted an increased fracture incidence among treated individuals. While TRT may improve BMD in men with symptomatic hypogonadism, standard osteoporosis treatments (such as bisphosphonates or other approved therapies) continue to be the primary interventions for managing bone health in these patients, with testosterone therapy serving as an adjunctive approach when clinically indicated for hypogonadal symptoms [23, 24].

10. Implement FLSs as standardized care pathways to optimize fracture prevention, improve musculoskeletal outcomes, and ensure cost-effective healthcare integration.

FLSs are multidisciplinary programs that ensure that patients who have suffered osteoporotic fractures receive appropriate follow-up care to prevent future fractures. To ensure quality and consistency in FLS implementations, programs should compare their results to national clinical standards and worldwide best practice frameworks. The IOF Capture the Fracture® Best Practice Framework establishes a comprehensive global standard that includes critical performance factors such as fracture identification rate, time to DXA, treatment initiation rate, and long-term adherence. The development of national FLS registries enables real-time feedback and benchmarking among institutions. These programs have been highly successful in reducing the incidence of secondary fractures by improving diagnosis, treatment, and patient education. Clinical research shows that integrating FLSs into healthcare systems is both cost-effective and beneficial for long-term patient outcomes [25].

11. Emphasize long-term adherence with regular follow-ups every 6–12 months to monitor treatment effects, prevent adverse events, and make necessary adjustments.

Long-term adherence to osteoporosis treatments is essential for reducing fracture risk and maintaining bone density. Regular follow-up visits every 6–12 months allow healthcare providers to monitor treatment progress, assess for any side effects, and adjust treatment plans as needed. Studies indicate that treatment adherence is one of the most significant challenges in managing osteoporosis, with many patients discontinuing their medications within a year [26]. Regular follow-up and patient education are critical to improving adherence and ensuring effective long-term management.

A life-course approach to osteoporosis management involves addressing bone health at all stages of life, from youth through old age [27]. This approach emphasizes

prevention, early detection, and continuous management to reduce fracture risk and improve bone health outcomes over time. For men in Asia–Pacific, this involves using screening tools (e.g., FRAX) at the age of 50 years, maintaining proper nutrition and physical activity, and applying appropriate medical interventions as necessary. Research suggests that adopting a long-term perspective on bone health may contribute to improved patient outcomes, potentially reducing fracture incidence and enhancing quality of life [28]. By recognizing bone health as a lifelong concern, healthcare providers can help men maintain stronger bones and avoid osteoporosis-related complications throughout their lives.

Discussion

Osteoporosis in men is an emerging public health issue that has gained increasing recognition in recent years. Historically, osteoporosis was considered primarily a woman's disease, leading to a lack of awareness and underdiagnosis in men. However, current research demonstrates that men are at substantial risk for osteoporotic fractures, especially as they age. The strategy of implementing a comprehensive framework focuses on comprehensive recommendations for men's osteoporosis screening, diagnosis, treatment, and long-term management, with the goal of significantly reducing fracture risk and burden. It stresses the integration of worldwide best practices with region-specific considerations, recognizing the environmental and lifestyle factors that influence male osteoporosis in this region. Table 2 offers a comparative overview of recent guidelines and reviews that have been published on osteoporosis in men. These guidelines refine the current frameworks by customizing recommendations to the Asia–Pacific region's distinct demographic and lifestyle considerations.

One of the key findings of recent research is that men tend to lose bone mass more rapidly after the age of 50 years, particularly if they have underlying conditions such as hypogonadism or if they use glucocorticoids. This makes early screening and intervention critical for preventing fractures and maintaining bone health in men. The consensus recommendations highlight the importance of fracture risk assessment in men with clinical risk factors for osteoporosis. The incorporation of region-specific tools guarantees that the recommendations are tailored to the specific needs of the Asia–Pacific male population, even if FRAX remains the world's most widely used survey tool.

In addition to screening, addressing secondary causes of bone loss is essential for improving treatment outcomes. Conditions such as hypogonadism and diabetes, along with lifestyle factors such as smoking and alcohol consumption, have a profound impact on bone health. The consensus stresses the importance of evaluating these secondary causes and incorporating them into

diagnosis and treatment plans. For example, testosterone therapy is recommended for men with symptomatic hypogonadism, although its use should be closely monitored.

Pharmacological treatment plays a central role in managing male osteoporosis. Bisphosphonates and denosumab are well-established therapies that have been shown to reduce fracture risk in men. For high-risk patients, anabolic agents offer an additional treatment option, followed by antiresorptive therapies to maintain bone density gains [29, 30]. The consensus advocates for a personalized approach to treatment, ensuring that medications are tailored to the individual's risk profile and response to therapy.

Lifestyle modifications are also crucial in preventing and managing osteoporosis in men [31]. Smoking cessation, alcohol reduction, regular exercise, and adequate nutrition are fundamental strategies for improving bone density and reducing the risk of falls and fractures. These modifications not only enhance bone health but also contribute to overall well-being and longevity.

Finally, the consensus suggests the value of considering bone health as a long-term priority, proposing that appropriate assessment and intervention at different life stages may help optimize skeletal health in men. This perspective encourages clinicians to view osteoporosis management as part of a broader approach to musculoskeletal health across the lifespan. This perspective ensures that bone health is addressed early and maintained through preventive measures and timely treatments as needed.

Conclusion

The Asia–Pacific consensus on male osteoporosis management provides a comprehensive framework for diagnosing, treating, and preventing osteoporosis in men. By incorporating both global best practices and region-specific considerations, the recent guidelines provide a roadmap that healthcare providers can use to enhance the management of male osteoporosis. Early screening, lifestyle modifications, and pharmacological interventions such as bisphosphonates, denosumab, and anabolic agents are essential for reducing fracture risk and improving bone health outcomes. The integration of FLSs and a life-course approach further strengthens the long-term management of male osteoporosis in the Asia–Pacific region.

By combining evidence-based interventions with tailored approaches for the Asia–Pacific male population, this consensus represents a significant step forward in improving the care and management of osteoporosis in men. As the burden of osteoporosis continues to increase with increasing age, these guidelines offer a roadmap for healthcare providers to provide optimal care and enhance the quality of life for men at risk of or suffering from osteoporosis.

Table 2 Recent guidelines and reviews on osteoporosis in men

Authors	Title	Highlights	Source
Bandeira et al	Male osteoporosis	Male osteoporosis screening and treatment focus on early detection through bone mineral density measurement and fracture risk assessment, alongside personalized interventions including pharmacologic treatments and lifestyle modifications to reduce fracture risk and improve bone health	Arch Endocrinol Metab. 2022;66:739–747
Beaudart C et al	Efficacy of osteoporosis pharmacological treatments in men	Systematic review and meta-analysis of pharmacological treatment effectiveness in male osteoporosis	Aging Clin Exp Res 2023;35:1789–1806
Björnsdóttir S et al	Male osteoporosis-what are the causes, diagnostic challenges, and management	Screening and treatment for male osteoporosis emphasize the use of fracture risk assessment tools and bone density measurements, alongside individualized pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic interventions, to effectively reduce fracture risks and improve overall bone health in men	Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2022;36:101,766
Black DM et al	Treatment-related changes in bone mineral density as a surrogate biomarker for fracture risk reduction	Analysis of treatment efficacy markers in male osteoporosis, providing guidance for clinical decision-making	Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2020;8:672–682
Bouvard B et al	French recommendations for the management and treatment of male osteoporosis	Details French national guidelines for screening, diagnosis, and treatment of male osteoporosis, with focus on risk stratification and treatment selection	Rheum Rev 2021;88:173–182
Bruhn R et al	Epidemiology of male osteoporosis in Denmark (1996–2018)	Osteoporosis in men, often underdiagnosed and undertreated, affects nearly one in four men over 50, with increasing prevalence and treatment initiation rates, highlighting the need for improved fracture risk assessment, early diagnosis, and comprehensive management strategies	Osteoporos Int. 2023;34:935–942
Chandran M et al	The health and economic burden of osteoporotic fractures in Singapore	Analysis of osteoporosis burden and treatment strategies in Asian men, with recommendations for management approaches	Arch Osteoporos 2019;14:114
Fuggle NR et al	Evidence-based guideline for the management of osteoporosis in men	Comprehensive guideline addressing screening, diagnosis, and treatment approaches specific to male osteoporosis. Emphasizes the importance of risk assessment and individualized treatment plans	Nat Rev Rheumatol.2024;20:241–251
Gates M et al	Screening for the primary prevention of fragility fractures among adults aged 40 years and older in primary care: systematic reviews of the effects and acceptability of screening and treatment, and the accuracy of risk prediction tools	Male osteoporosis screening and treatment emphasize early risk assessment, utilizing tools like bone mineral density and fracture risk evaluation, alongside pharmacologic and lifestyle interventions, to effectively prevent fractures and improve skeletal health	Syst Rev. 2023;12:51
Gregson CL et al	UK clinical guideline for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis	For male osteoporosis, the guidelines emphasize fracture risk assessment and intervention thresholds, focusing on men aged 50 and older, and recommend treatments such as antiresorptive and anabolic agents to provide a comprehensive approach to fracture prevention and management	Arch Osteoporos. 2022;17:58

Table 2 (continued)

Authors	Title	Highlights	Source
Kanis JA et al	Algorithm for the management of patients at low, high and very high risk of osteoporotic fractures	Risk-stratified approach to osteoporosis management, including specific considerations for male patients	Osteoporos Int 2020;31:1–12
Keaveny TM et al	Osteoporosis treatment prevents hip fracture similarly in both sexes: the FOCUS observational study	For male osteoporosis, the guidelines emphasize fracture risk assessment and personalized treatment, demonstrating that osteoporosis treatments are equally effective in preventing fractures in both sexes and underscoring the need for increased focus on screening and management for men	J Bone Miner Res. 2024;39:1424–1433
Morin SN et al	Clinical practice guideline for management of osteoporosis and fracture prevention in Canada: 2023 update	For male osteoporosis, the focus is on fracture risk assessment and the implementation of both pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic interventions, with an emphasis on early detection and personalized treatment to prevent fractures and support skeletal health in men aged 50 and older	CMAJ. 2023;195:E1333-E1348
Narla RR et al	Rationale for osteoporosis screening in men	Targeted screening for male osteoporosis, particularly in high-risk groups, identifies men at fracture risk and enables timely interventions to reduce fractures and associated complications	Osteoporos Int. 2024 (In Press) 10.1007/s00198-024-07337-5
Sng GGR et al	Osteoporosis in men—east and west: can the twain meet? A perspective from Asia	Discusses the underdiagnosis and undertreatment of osteoporosis in men, highlighting disparities in BMD and treatment between Asian and Western populations. Evaluates the efficacy of screening tools and pharmacological treatments tailored for Asian men	Osteoporosis and Sarcopenia, 2024;10:131–144
Vilaca T et al	Osteoporosis in men	Osteoporosis in men, often overlooked, is diagnosed using the same criteria as in women, with management focusing on addressing secondary causes, lifestyle modifications, adequate calcium and vitamin D, exercise, and pharmacological treatments like bisphosphonates, denosumab, and teriparatide to increase bone mineral density and reduce fracture risks	Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2022;10:273–283
Yu F et al	The epidemiology of osteoporosis, associated fragility fractures, and management gap in China	For Chinese men, osteoporosis screening and treatment emphasize early fracture risk assessment using tools such as bone mineral density measurement and individualized interventions, addressing the underdiagnosis and treatment gaps to prevent fractures and improve bone health	Arch Osteoporos. 2019;14:32

Acknowledgements We would like to thank the Taiwanese Osteoporosis Association and Asian Federation of Osteoporosis Societies for their support of this consensus. We also appreciate the valuable content advice provided by all participants of the discussion meeting, including Shau-Huai Fu.

Data availability Data are available on reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Declarations

Conflicts of interest None.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

References

- Ebeling PR (2013) Osteoporosis in men. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 25(4):542–552
- Sng GGR, Reginster JY, Alokail MS, Chandran M (2024) Osteoporosis in men—east and west: can the twain meet? A perspective from Asia. *Osteoporosis Sarcopenia* 10(4):131–144
- Chandran M, Brind'Amour K, Fujiwara S et al (2023) Prevalence of osteoporosis and incidence of related fractures in developed economies in the Asia Pacific region: a systematic review. *Osteoporos Int* 34(6):1037–1053
- Chan LL, Ho YY, Taylor ME et al (2024) Incidence of fragility hip fracture across the Asia-Pacific region: a systematic review. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 123:105422
- Li CC, Liu IT, Cheng TT et al (2024) Decomposing and simplifying the Fracture Risk Assessment Tool—a module from the Taiwan-specific calculator. *JBM R Plus* 8(5):ziae039
- Schini M, Johansson H, Harvey NC, Lorentzon M, Kanis JA, McCloskey EV (2024) An overview of the use of the fracture risk assessment tool (FRAX) in osteoporosis. *J Endocrinol Invest* 47(3):501–511
- Cheung CL, Li GH, Li HL, Mak C, Tan KC, Kung AW (2023) Development and validation of the Chinese osteoporosis screening algorithm (COSA) in identification of people with high risk of osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Sarcopenia* 9(1):8–13
- Nguyen DT, Ho-Le TP, Pham L et al (2023) BONEcheck: a digital tool for personalized bone health assessment. *Osteoporos Sarcopenia* 9(3):79–87
- LeBoff MS, Greenspan SL, Insogna KL et al (2022) The clinician's guide to prevention and treatment of osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* 33(10):2243
- Kanis JA, McCloskey EV, Harvey NC et al (2023) The need to distinguish intervention thresholds and diagnostic thresholds in the management of osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* 34(1):1–9
- Pouresmaeili F, Kamalidehghan B, Kamarehei M, Goh YM (2018) A comprehensive overview on osteoporosis and its risk factors. *Ther Clin Risk Manag* 14:2029–2049
- Nicholson T, Scott A, Newton Ede M, Jones SW (2021) Do E-cigarettes and vaping have a lower risk of osteoporosis, nonunion, and infection than tobacco smoking? *Bone Joint Res* 10:188–191
- Goettmoeller T, Bena J, Pantalone KM (2022) Lack of bone mineral density testing in men with hypogonadism: a clinical conundrum. *J Endocr Soc* 6(10):bvac129
- Park SY, Ahn SH, Yoo JI et al (2019) Position statement on the use of bone turnover markers for osteoporosis treatment. *J Bone Metab* 26(4):213–224
- Wu CH, Chang YF, Chen CH et al (2021) Consensus statement on the use of bone turnover markers for short-term monitoring of osteoporosis treatment in the Asia-Pacific region. *J Clin Densitom* 24(1):3–13
- Gielen E, Boonen S, Vanderschueren D et al (2011) Calcium and vitamin d supplementation in men. *J Osteoporos* 2011:875249
- Vieth R (2006) Critique of the considerations for establishing the tolerable upper intake level for vitamin D: critical need for revision upward. *J Nutr* 136(4):1117–1122
- Yang CY, Cheng-Yen Lai J, Huang WL, Hsu CL, Chen SJ (2021) Effects of sex, tobacco smoking, and alcohol consumption on osteoporosis development: evidence from Taiwan biobank participants. *Tob Induc Dis* 19:52
- Kędzia G, Woźniak M, Samborski W, Grygiel-Górniak B (2023) Impact of dietary protein on osteoporosis development. *Nutrients* 15(21):4581
- Tu KN, Lie JD, Wan CKV et al (2018) Osteoporosis: a review of treatment options. *P T* 43(2):92–104
- Curtis EM, Reginster JY, Al-Daghri N et al (2023) Management of patients at very high risk of osteoporotic fractures through sequential treatments. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 34(4):695–714
- Tai TW, Chen HY, Shih CA et al (2024) Asia-Pacific consensus on long-term and sequential therapy for osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Sarcopenia* 10(1):3–10
- Snyder PJ, Bauer DC, Ellenberg SS et al (2024) Testosterone treatment and fractures in men with hypogonadism. *N Engl J Med* 390(3):203–211
- Bhasin S, Brito JP, Cunningham GR et al (2018) Testosterone therapy in men with hypogonadism: an endocrine society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 103(5):1715–1744
- Walters S, Khan T, Ong T, Sahota O (2017) Fracture liaison services: improving outcomes for patients with osteoporosis. *Clin Interv Aging* 12:117–127
- Alahmari MM, AlHilali AI, Thabet TA et al (2023) Impact of medication adherence on bone mineral density and fracture risk in patients with osteoporosis: a systematic review. *Cureus* 15(7):e42115
- Harvey N, Dennison E, Cooper C (2014) Osteoporosis: a life-course approach. *J Bone Miner Res* 29(9):1917–1925
- Kerr C, Bottomley C, Shingler S et al (2017) The importance of physical function to people with osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* 28(5):1597–1607
- Bandeira L, Lewiecki EM (2022) Anabolic therapy for osteoporosis: update on efficacy and safety. *Arch Endocrinol Metab* 66(5):707–716
- Fuggle NR, Beaudart C, Bruyère O et al (2024) Evidence-based guideline for the management of osteoporosis in men. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 20(4):241–251
- Albrecht BM, Stalling I, Foettinger L, Recke C, Bammann K (2022) Adherence to lifestyle recommendations for bone health in older adults with and without osteoporosis: cross-sectional results of the outdoor active Study. *Nutrients* 14(12):2463

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Authors and Affiliations

Chun-Feng Huang^{1,2,3} · Cheng-Jung Ho^{4,5} · Sung-Yen Lin^{4,6,7,8} · Jawl-Shan Hwang⁹ · Ta-Wei Tai¹⁰ · Jung-Fu Chen¹¹ · Shih-Te Tu¹² · Ding-Cheng Chan^{13,14,15} · Rong-Sen Yang¹⁶ · Hsuan-Yu Chen¹⁶ · Keh-Sung Tsai¹⁷ · Tien-Tsai Cheng¹⁸ · Fang-Ping Chen¹⁹ · Wei-Chieh Hung^{20,21} · Yin-Fan Chang²² · Der-Sheng Han^{23,24} · Manju Chandran²⁵ · Ang Seng Bin^{26,27} · Joon Kiong Lee²⁸ · Swan Sim Yeap²⁹ · Yoon-Sok Chung^{30,31} · Kwang-Kyoun Kim³² · Peter Ebeling³³ · Unnop Jaisamrarn³⁴ · Dipendra Pandey³⁵ · Serge Ferrari³⁶ · Eugene McCloskey³⁷ · Natthinee Charatcharoenwitthaya³⁸ · Akira Taguchi³⁹ · Sarath Lekamwasam⁴⁰ · Tuan Van Nguyen^{41,42} · E. Michael Lewiecki⁴³ · Kenneth G. Saag⁴⁴ · Ching-Chou Tsai⁴⁵ · Fernando Marín⁴⁶ · Satoshi Mori⁴⁷ · Kyu Ri Hwang⁴⁸ · Julie Li-Yu⁴⁹ · John J. Carey⁵⁰ · David Kendler⁵¹ · Ching Lung Cheung^{52,53} · Huei-Kai Huang⁵⁴ · Vilai Kuptniratsaikul⁵⁵ · Wing P. Chan⁵⁶ · Siew Pheng Chan⁵⁷ · Lan T. Ho-Pham⁵⁸ · Fen Lee Hew⁵⁹ · Huipeng Shi⁶⁰ · Ian Reid⁶¹ · John A. Kanis⁶² · Chung-Hwan Chen^{4,5,6,63,64,65,66} · Chih-Hsing Wu^{22,67,68} 

✉ Chih-Hsing Wu
paulo@mail.ncku.edu.tw

¹ Faculty of Medicine, School of Medicine, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Taipei, Taiwan

² Department of Leisure Services Management, Chaoyang University of Technology, Taichung, Taiwan

³ Division of Family Medicine, En Chu Kong Hospital, New Taipei City, Taiwan

⁴ Orthopedic Research Center, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

⁵ Department of Orthopedics, College of Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

⁶ Regenerative Medicine and Cell Therapy Research Center, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

⁷ School of Post-Baccalaureate Medicine, College of Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

⁸ Department of Orthopedics, Kaohsiung Medical University Gangshan Hospital, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

⁹ Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taoyuan, Taiwan

¹⁰ Department of Orthopedics, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

¹¹ Division of Metabolism and Endocrinology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Chang Gung University College of Medicine, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

¹² Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, Changhua Christian Hospital, Changhua, Taiwan

¹³ Department of Geriatrics and Gerontology, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

¹⁴ Department of Internal Medicine, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

¹⁵ Superintendent Office, National Taiwan University Hospital Bei-Hu Branch, Taipei, Taiwan

¹⁶ Department of Orthopaedics, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

¹⁷ Superintendent Office, Far Eastern Polyclinic of Far Eastern Medical Foundation, Taipei, Taiwan

¹⁸ Division of Rheumatology, Allergy, and Immunology, Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medicine, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Chang Gung University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

¹⁹ Keelung Osteoporosis Prevention and Treatment Center, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Keelung, Taiwan

²⁰ Department of Family Medicine and Community Medicine, E-Da Hospital, I-Shou University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

²¹ School of Medicine for International Students, College of Medicine, I-Shou University School, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

²² Department of Family Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

²³ Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, National Taiwan University Hospital, Bei-Hu Branch, Taipei, Taiwan

²⁴ Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

²⁵ Osteoporosis and Bone Metabolism Unit, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore, Singapore

²⁶ DUKE NUS Medical School, Singapore, Singapore

²⁷ Family Medicine Service, KK Women's and Children's Hospital, Singapore, Singapore

²⁸ Department of Orthopedics, Beacon Hospital, Petaling Jaya, Malaysia

²⁹ Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Subang Jaya Medical Centre, Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia

³⁰ Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon, Republic of Korea

- 31 Institute On Aging, Ajou University Medical Center, Suwon, Republic of Korea
- 32 Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Konyang University College of Medicine, Daejeon, Republic of Korea
- 33 Department of Medicine, School of Clinical Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, VIC, Australia
- 34 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand
- 35 Department of Orthopedics and Trauma Surgery, National Trauma Centre, National Academy of Medical Sciences, Kathmandu, Nepal
- 36 Service of Bone Diseases, Department of Medicine, Geneva University Hospital and Faculty of Medicine, Geneva, Switzerland
- 37 Division of Clinical Medicine, School of Medicine & Population Health, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK
- 38 Division of Endocrinology, Thammasat University, Bangkok, Thailand
- 39 Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology, Matsumoto Dental University, Shiojiri, Japan
- 40 Department of Medicine, University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka
- 41 Centre for Health Technologies, University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, Australia
- 42 Tam Anh Research Institute, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam
- 43 New Mexico Clinical Research & Osteoporosis Center, Albuquerque, NM, USA
- 44 University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA
- 45 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan
- 46 Department of Endocrinology, Quironsalud University Hospital, Madrid, Spain
- 47 Bone and Joint Surgery, Seirei Hamamatsu General Hospital, Hamamatsu, Japan
- 48 Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Seoul Metropolitan Government-Seoul National University Boramae Medical Center, Seoul, Republic of Korea
- 49 Department of Medicine, University of Santo Tomas Hospital, España, Manila, Philippines
- 50 Department of Medicine, University of Galway, Galway, Ireland
- 51 Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada
- 52 Department of Pharmacology and Pharmacy, The University of Hong Kong, Pok Fu Lam, Hong Kong, China
- 53 Laboratory of Data Discovery for Health (D4H), Hong Kong Science Park, Pak Shek Kok, Hong Kong, China
- 54 Department of Family Medicine, Hualien Tzu Chi Hospital, Buddhist Tzu Chi Medical Foundation, Hualien, Taiwan
- 55 Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Mahidol University, Salaya, Thailand
- 56 Department of Radiology, Wan Fang Hospital, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan
- 57 Division of Endocrinology, Department of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- 58 BioMedicine Research Center, Pham Ngoc Thach University of Medicine, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam
- 59 Division of Endocrinology, Department of Medicine, Subang Jaya Medical Centre, Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia
- 60 Department of Orthopedics, National Center for Orthopedics, Shanghai 6Th People's Hospital, Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China
- 61 Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand
- 62 Centre for Metabolic Bone Diseases, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK
- 63 College of Medicine, Ph.D. Program in Biomedical Engineering, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan
- 64 Institute of Medical Science and Technology, National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan
- 65 Graduate Institute of Animal Vaccine Technology, College of Veterinary Medicine, National Pingtung University of Science and Technology, Pingtung, Taiwan
- 66 Graduate Institute of Materials Engineering, College of Engineering, National Pingtung University of Science and Technology, Pingtung, Taiwan
- 67 Department of Family Medicine, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan
- 68 Institute of Gerontology, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, No.1, University Road, Tainan City 701, Taiwan