

This is a repository copy of *Taxi Licensing Policy: The Effects of the 1985 Transport Act*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/2284/

# Monograph:

Toner, J.P. (1989) Taxi Licensing Policy: The Effects of the 1985 Transport Act. Working Paper. Institute of Transport Studies, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK.

Working Paper 273

## Reuse

See Attached

#### **Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.





## White Rose Research Online

http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/



## **Institute of Transport Studies**

**University of Leeds** 

This is an ITS Working Paper produced and published by the University of Leeds. ITS Working Papers are intended to provide information and encourage discussion on a topic in advance of formal publication. They represent only the views of the authors, and do not necessarily reflect the views or approval of the sponsors.

White Rose Repository URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/2284/

# **Published paper**

Toner, J.P. (1989) *Taxi Licensing Policy: The Effects of the 1985 Transport Act.* Institute of Transport Studies, University of Leeds. Working Paper 273

Working Paper 273

January 1989

# TAXI LICENSING POLICY: THE EFFECTS OF THE 1985 TRANSPORT ACT

Jeremy P Toner

ITS Working Papers are intended to provide information and encourage discussion on a topic in advance of formal publication. They represent only the views of the authors, and do not necessarily reflect the views or approval of the sponsors.

This work was sponsored by the Science and Engineering Research Council

#### **ABSTRACT**

TONER, J.P. (1989), "Taxi licensing policy: the effects of the 1985 Transport Act", <u>Working Paper 273</u>, Institute for Transport Studies, University of Leeds.

This paper presents the results of a survey of taxi licensing policy conducted two years after the implementation of the 1985 Transport Act.

The 1985 Transport Act substantially altered the legal framework for regulating hackney carriages ("taxis") such that a licensing authority may refuse the grant of a hackney carriage licence for the purpose of limiting the number of hackney carriages if, but only if, it is satisfied that there is no significant demand for the services of hackney carriages which is unmet. Local councils have never been permitted to impose an artificial limit on the number of private hire cars ("minicabs") in this way. This study examines the effectiveness of the legislation in setting free the market to determine the number of vehicles available for hire to the public. The existence of data sources which, it is claimed, can be used to achieve an optimal regulation of the taxi industry is considered.

It is found that taxis and hire cars are very substitutable, and that where the number of taxis is restricted, there is a compensatory extra increase in the number of hire cars. However, licensing policy is found to have no effect on the total number of vehicles available for hire. The data sources which local authorities are theoretically able to use to assist them in achieving an optimal regulatory policy are virtually non-existent.

Further work in this area is currently underway, and will be reported in this series in due course.

## Taxi Licensing Policy: the effects of the 1985 Transport Act.

- 1. Introduction
- 2. The legal framework
- 3. The survey results
- 4. Knowledge for regulating
- 5. Follow-up study

## 1. Introduction

1.1 This study of taxi licensing policy in England and Wales is presented as part of a larger project on the Economics of regulation of the taxi trade in British towns, which is being researched under the supervision of Professor K. M. Gwilliam and Mr. P. J.Mackie at the Institute for Transport Studies, University of Leeds. The purpose of the wider study is to examine the workings of rank-based taxi markets and to consider the welfare effects of different forms of regulation and organisation. This report is concerned with the state of the art of taxi and hire car licensing and considers the changes brought about by the implementation of the relevant sections of The Transport Act, 1985, which deregulated local bus services in Great Britain (outside London) and altered licensing regulations applicable to the taxi and hire car industry.

## 1.2 Aims and Objectives

The principal aim of this study was to examine the responses of local authorities to the provisions of sections 15 and 16 of the 1985 Transport Act and in particular to assess the effectiveness of the legislation in subjecting the taxi industry to the disciplines of the free market thus causing an increased supply of taxis to be made available to the consumer. A secondary aim was to measure the extent of knowledge about the trade, primarily in the form of historical data sources maintained by licensing authorities, but also including (subjective) judgments about the level of such indicators as average fare, engaged ratio, etc. Thirdly, we wished to be able to compare trends in the taxi industry pre- and post-Transport Act.

#### 1.3 The survey sample

In January 1988, we sent a 4-page questionnaire on Taxi Licensing Policy to:

333 District Councils in England and Wales

36 Metropolitan District Councils 1 Council of the Isles of Scilly

We excluded from the survey Scotland and Northern Ireland, where taxis are licensed under different legislation, and the London Boroughs, since the taxi licensing function within the Metropolitan Police Area (MPA) is exercised by the Met. Because three non-London districts are totally within the MPA, they also are not responsible for taxi licensing and cannot license hire cars. The returns from these districts were excluded from the analysis. A number of authorities license only in that part of their district which is not under the jurisdiction of the Met. These districts were, however, included in the analysis.

- 1.4 The questionnaire elicited a total of 325 replies. Three were from authorities within the MPA, and there were two joint taxi licensing schemes. There were therefore 320 valid replies incorporated within the investigation. This response rate of 86.5% decomposes into one of 87% for shire district councils and 83% for the metropolitan authorities. These figures are not significantly different, which suggests that there need be no cause for concern as to the representativeness of the sample.
- 1.5 A printout of the key indicators of the extent and degree of taxi and hire car regulation is included as an appendix to this report. For each district, we show the number of taxis and hire cars, the size of the waiting list for hackney carriage plates, the population per taxi, hire car and licensed vehicle and the ratio of hire cars to taxis. For these last four figures, we have included a ranking variable which shows an authority's position relative to others. Thus we can see that, for example, Aberconwy has the 26th highest provision of taxis per capita.

## 2. The legal framework.

2.1 The Origins of Hackney Carriage regulation.

The birth of modern regulatory practice is generally reckoned to be the Town Police Clauses Act of 1847, under which various permissive powers were granted to local Commissioners.

"The Commissioners may from Time to Time license to ply for hire...such Number of Hackney Carriages....as they think fit." (10 & 11 Vict. c. 89 s. 37)

As well as this discretion granted to Local Authorities to restrict the number of hackney carriages, the Act

established the essentials of the taxi licensing system which still apply, governing issues such as the establishment of taxi ranks, regulating what taxis and drivers should look like (up to a point), the regulation of fares (for time and distance) and their display, and regulating the physical/mechanical characteristics of taxis. In practice, not all licensing authorities had regulations for each aspect of taxi operation, and regulations differed (and differ) considerably from area to area.

## 2.2 Regulations governing private hire cars.

In Britain, regulators dealt almost exclusively with hackney carriages until 1976. Not until the passage of the Local Goverment (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act in that year were private hire cars officially recognised as existing. to that date, a number of Local Authorities had promoted private Acts to permit them to regulate the activities of non-Hackney paratransit vehicles; but there was no general 1847 legislation such as the Act.) permissive difference in the method of hiring, unappreciated by the public due to the now largely advent application of radio control to both taxis and hire cars, is the key legal distinction between hackney carriages and private hire cars. A useful definition is found in Coe (1986), where it states,

"A taxi (or hackney carriage) is a vehicle with fewer than nine seats which is licensed to 'ply for hire'. Although the term 'ply for hire' is a legal one, it is defined by case law rather than statute. It is generally understood as the right to be available on the street for hire by members of the public. Hire cars (or private hire cars) are also small vehicles that are hired as a whole but, not being licensed as taxis, are unable to ply for hire in the street and must be booked by customers through an office or agency."

Part II of the Local Government (Misc. Prov.) Act clarified, updated and extended the law concerning regulation of vehicles made available for hire to the public. Under its terms, a district council in whose area or part of whose area the 1847 Act was in force, was able to resolve that the Act apply in that area or part of area. Permissive powers to make similar regulations to those in force for hackney carriages were granted, except that a local authority was not permitted to control the fares charged by private hire drivers, nor were they allowed to refuse the grant of a private hire car licence for the purpose of limiting the number of private hire cars.

## 2.3 The situation before 1985

Prior to implementation of the Transport Act, then, a local authority could adopt one of four positions with respect to hackney carriage licensing:

- (i) adoption of the permissive powers of the Town Police Clauses Act
- (ii) adoption of the provisions of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act
- (iii) regulation by means of a local Act (rarely used)
  - (iv) no regulatory provision

Hire cars could not be licensed if (iv) was the policy pursued and, if licensed, were so either under (ii) or (iii). Authorities had to require that certain vehicle specifications be met (at whatever level the authority chose, subject to a statutory minimum) and that private hire vehicles be different in appearance from taxis.

#### 2.4 The Transport Act, 1985

The anomaly created by the existence of a relatively unregulated industry where prices and quantities are determined by market forces and the continued tight regulation of the hackney carriage sector will have been one of the driving forces behind the inclusion of clauses concerning taxicabs and private hire cars as an adjunct to the 1985 Transport Act. The clauses dealing with taxis and hire cars fall into two distinct parts, the first dealing with shared taxis and the operation of taxis as PSV's, thus attempting to blur the boundaries between hire vehicles and buses, and the second concerning taxi licensing in general and control of numbers in particular. It is this latter which is of interest here.

Section 15 of the Act removes the previously discretionary powers concerning the adoption of taxi licensing and so local authorities are now required to adopt the provisions of the 1847 Act.

Section 16 alters a local authority's power to restrict the supply of taxis. By removing the previous discretion granted to local authorities to determine the number of hackney carriages, such that now an application for a hackney carriage licence may be refused for the purpose of limiting the number of hackney carriages if, but only if, the person authorised to grant licences is satisfied that there is no significant demand for the service of hackney carriages which is unmet, the Transport Act has caused the number of taxis licensed in some areas to increase at a very great rate; in other areas, authorities have maintained previous levels of service. In all areas, however, the legal situation as regards hire cars is virtually unchanged —

there is no corresponding legal requirement to license hire cars, and there are still no entry restrictions.

#### 3 The survey results

## 3.1 Trends in licensing

Most of the empirical work on taxis and hire cars in England and Wales has been conducted under the auspices of the Transport and Road Research Laboratory (TRRL). Readers are referred especially to Coe and Jackson (1981) and Coe (1986). Two post-deregulation studies have been carried out, and are reported in Finch (1988) and Eaglestone and Silcock (1988). This paper continues and expands upon its predecessors.

From table 1, it can be seen that section 15 of the Transport Act has introduced regulation of hackney carriages to 15% of districts for the first time. The two Welsh districts of Brecknock and Dwyfor which, according to Eaglestone and Silcock had applied to the Secretary of State for Wales for permission to refrain from regulating, had both commenced regulating by 1st April 1988.

Table 1: The extent of hackney carriage licensing

State of taxi licensing	Number (per Autumn 1980 \$	rcentage) Spring 1985 \$	of district co Pre-1985 Act @	
Licensing over whole or part of area	305	312	269	316
	(82.4)	(84.6)	(84.9)	(99.1)
Licensing by	3	3	3	3
Metropolitan Police	(0.8)	(0.8)	(0.9)	(0.9)
No licensing	61	54	45	-
	(16.8)	(14.6)	(14.2)	( <b>-</b> )
Districts in sample	369	369	317	319

\* Licensing scheme either in operation or in preparation

\$ Source: Coe (1986)

@ Source: Questionnaire returns

The 1985 Transport Act has not changed the previously permissive hire car regulations. However, as table 2 shows, there has been an increase in the number of district councils licensing hire cars. Before the Act, this number had been increasing anyway, and it could be that since the Act some of those authorities newly required to license hackney carriages have also introduced a hire car licensing scheme.

Table 2: The extent of private hire car licensing

State of licensing	Autumn	entage) of dis Spring 1985 \$	trict councils Early
Licensing over whole or	1980 \$	256	1988 @ 
part of area	(58.5)	(69.4)	(84.5)
Licensing in transition	5 (1.4)	3 (0.8)	6 (1.9)
No licensing	148 (40.1)	110 (29.8)	43 (13.6)
Districts in sample	369	369	317

\$ Source: Coe (1986)

@ Source: Questionnaire returns

## 3.2 Licensing policy

In the theoretical literature, regulation of both prices and quantities in the hackney carriage sector are discussed in some detail as being the two major areas in which regulators can affect the market and/or protect the consumer. Table 3, below, shows the extent of these policies and of changes in the recent past.

Table 3: The scope of hackney carriage licensing

State of licensing	Percentage	(Number)	of district	councils
	Autumn	Spring	Pre-1985	Early
	1980 \$	1985 \$	Act @	1988 @
Percentage of sample regulating fares	75.3 (278)	78.6 (290)	_	88.9 (281)
Percentage of sample restricting entry	67.5	74.3	72.2	57.2
	(249)	(274)	(226)	(179)
Districts in sample	369	369	313	313

\$ Source: Coe (1986)

@ Source: Questionnaire returns

As can be seen, there was a gradual increase in the proportion of councils regulating quantities up to the 1985 Act. Presumably, this was caused primarily by councils adopting the 1847 Act for the first time and setting a limit to the number of licences issued. Since the Transport Act, the proportion of councils restricting entry in this way has fallen, in line with the intention of the Act. In calculating these figures, it has been assumed that those councils which have started to license taxis only as a result of the 1985 Act have a policy of free entry. So, of the 268 authorities in the sample which licensed taxis

before the Act, 179 or 66.8% still do not have a policy of free entry. Even allowing for the new licensers and their unlimited supply of taxi licences, 57.2% of authorities are restricting the number of taxis which, although a substantial fall from the pre-Act figure, is, I suspect, rather more than is compliant with the spirit of the 1985 Transport Act.

If we look more closely at the changes in quantity regulation since the Transport Act (table 4), we see that, for councils which previously regulated taxis, for every one authority which has changed its policy from one of restriction to one of free entry, almost four have continued to limit entry.

Table 4: Changes in regulatory policy;
Number (percentage) of councils with open entry

		Open	Early 1988 Restricted	Total
Desc	Open	42 (15.7)	- ( - )	42 (15.7)
Pre- section	Restricted	47 (17.5)	179 (66.8)	226 (84.3)
16	Total	89 (33.2)	179 (66.8)	268 (100.0)

#### 3.3 Vehicle numbers

Prior to the 1985 Transport Act, the growth rates of fleet sizes for hackney carriages and private hire cars over the previous five years had been 2% per annum and 6% per annum respectively (source: Coe (1986)). For districts which license both taxis and hire cars, we can extrapolate this trend and apply it to the base figures (numbers of hackneys and private hires in April 1986). In April 1986, the mean number of hackney carriages for districts which exercised their licensing function fully was 65. In these districts, there were also an average 125 private hire cars. The continuation of the previous trend would have given us 68 hackneys and 135 hire cars. The actual figures reveal that by early 1988, there were an average 76 taxis and 127 hire cars in these districts. As can be seen from table 5, expected total fleet size is equal to the actual total fleet size; it is the balance between hackneys and hire cars which has changed since the implementation of Transport Act.

Table 5: Predicted and Actual mean fleet sizes post-1985 Act.

	April 1986	Predicted 1988	Actual 1988
Mean hackneys	65	68	76
Mean hire cars	120	135	127
Mean total	185	203	203

These figures appear to suggest that hackney carriages and hire cars are substitutable to to some extent as far as the supply side is concerned. A more detailed analysis (table 6) considers the effects of regulatory policy on the split of taxis and hire cars. For authorities which, prior to 1986, had issued hackney carriage plates on demand, there was, realistically, only one policy which they could pursue post-Act, that being to continue to issue plates freely. For those districts which had previously restricted the number of hackney plates in circulation, however, there were three potential policies. Firstly, they could decide to comply fully with the spirit of the Act and implement a policy of free entry. Secondly, they could decide that, come what may, they were not going to issue any more taxi plates. The third possibility was to compromise and issue some extra licences, but to impose or envisage a new, higher limit than that which was previously in force.

Table 6: Mean fleet sizes pre- and post-Act according to licensing policy.

			FREE Always	ENTRY post S.16	RESTRI Issue	CTED ENTRY No issue
	1988	42	66	75	93	
Mean	Mean hackneys	1986	35	45	62	93
	1988	47	91	120	183	
Mean	priv hire	1986	41	97	112	158
75.000	<b>.</b>	1988	89	157	195	276
Mean total	roral	1986	76	142	174	251

The percentage changes in fleet sizes before and after the Transport Act are presented in table 7.

Table 7: Percentage Change in Mean fleet sizes pre- and post- Act according to licensing policy.

	FREE ENTRY post S.16	RESTRICTED ENTRY Issue No issue		
Hackneys	47 %	21 %	0 %	
Private hires	-6 %	7 %	16 %	
Total	11 %	12 % -	10 %	

## 4 Knowledge for regulating

#### 4.1 Fare-setting procedures

As well as asking authorities whether they prescribed the fares which hackney carriages could charge, we also asked them to tell us what evidence they took into account when setting fares. Our survey revealed that, in the main, local authorities based their decisions on a criterion of "reasonableness" of both proposed increase and absolute fare levels. This, of course, presupposes that the existing position was somewhere near the optimum.

Out of 281 districts in the sample which regulate fares, some 244 gave an indication of how they chose the levels at which to set fares. Districts were given a free response to tell us of anything for which they had regard. The most common considerations are summarised in table 8.

Table 8: Number (percentage) of councils having regard for factors in determining fare levels.

	Councils					
Factor	Number	Percentage				
RPI/Cost of living	100	41.0				
Cost of petrol/motoring	81	33.2				
Time since last increase	7	2.9				
Effect on demand	2	0.8				
Fares in other districts	98	40.2				
Trade opinion	64	26.2				
Public opinion	11	4.5				
Wages index	2	0.8				
Unemployment	1	0.4				
Public transport prices	1	0.4				
Comparison with priv hire	4	1.6				

#### 4.2 Historical data

As part of our programme of research into the taxi industry, we were interested in undertaking some sort of more formal analysis than has hitherto been attempted. To that end, we asked about data sources that might be available to us, unsure as to whether or not they existed. Much of the academic literature on taxis concerns the achievement of an optimal regulation; whether in fact that is possible, and if so, what information could be used. Even at the simplest level, a model of a taxi market would need some sort of information on things like the proportion of on the road time taxis are engaged and the earnings of cabs. Since the proponents of regulation use measures such as these in their argument, we thought we should find out if the relevant figures are known. Table 9 presents the extent of historical knowledge of the key indicators.

Table 9: Number (percentage) of councils having data on key factors in determining regulatory policy

	Cou	uncils
Factor	Number	Percentage
Fares	180	58.3
Number of taxis	195	63.3
Licence premium	11	3.6
Engaged ratio	8	2.6
Average no. of daily hirings	1	0.3
Average fare	1	0.3

Information on the value of a hackney carriage plate is limited because sales are conducted privately and are not under the control of the council. Any value quoted is usually hearsay evidence - but that can still be of use. Information on the last three indicators can sometimes be obtained from studies which have been commissioned by councils to ascertain the extent of any unmet demand, although it may be necessary to make some heroic assumptions.

## 4.3 Licence values

There are a number of interpretations of the meaning of the rent which accrues to taxi licence holders in te form of a saleable plate. Firstly, it is an indication that the exclusive right to ply for hire enables profits to be earned in excess of those which would be necessary just to maintain service. We would therefore expect that, in areas with a relatively low supply of taxis and/or restrictions on the number of plates issued, licence values would be higher than in those areas with free entry, where we might find that there is no value to a plate. Secondly, a licence value may reflect the historical cost incurred by the vendor when he first bought the plate. This value can only be sustainable,

though, if the first condition applies, that is, if working in the taxi trade (as opposed to the private hire trade or elsewhere in the economy) is sufficiently attractive to make it worthwhile buying the rights. Lastly, a licence value can indicate that the purchaser is buying a business and customer loyalty. This again is only applicable if there is some advantage to be gained which can outweigh the costs of entry.

Given the above, it might be expected that the 1985 Transport Act has changed the value of a licence. Indeed, the existence of such a premium was cited by the government as evidence that reform was necessary. Table 10 shows the range of licence values in 1985 and 1988. The 1988 series has been deflated to 1985 prices to permit comparability.

Table 10: Licence values 1985 and 1988

Licence value	Number	(percentage)	of districts		
	19	85 \$	1988 @		
More than £10,000		(6.0)	28	(8.8)	
£5,000 to £10,000		(10.3)	31	(9.7)	
£1,000 to £5,000		(15.4)	38	(11.9)	
Under £1,000		(5.1)	7	(2.2)	
Value, but not given		(16.5)	-	(-)	
No value		(46.6)	215	(67.4)	
Districts in sample	369		319		

\$ Source: Coe (1986)

@ Source: Questionnaire returns

The number of districts where licence values are zero has fallen considerably; but where they still exist, licence values are typically higher now than in 1985. This may be partly due to differing regulatory policies, with a high licence value representing a long-term policy of restricting entry and districts with such a policy being more likely to adhere to it than those with a less strict control of quantity. The average value (where a value exists at all) was £5,850 in 1985 and £7,750 in 1988, which is £6,700 in 1985 prices.

#### 4.4 Studies

A number of districts have commissioned studies of the taxi industry in their area in line with the recommendation of DTp circular 3/85, paragraph 27 of which says that assertion that hackney carriages are sufficiently easily available is not an adequate assessment of the extent and significance of any unmet demand for the services of hackney carriages in their area. Table 11 indicates the nature of these studies.

Table 11: Studies of taxis

Type of study	No.	of districts
Unmet demand by independent organisation Unmet demand planned/underway Unmet demand done in house Other type of survey (TRRL/Price Commission etc)		56 8 29 7

These studies vary quite considerably in their scope and size, but it appears that quite large numbers of authorities have taken seriously the requirement to conduct a study if they wish to continue restricting the number of taxis. Furthermore, these figures are probably now rather out of date, as more authorities engage consultants and academics to undertake such studies.

#### 5. Follow-up study.

This study has sought to outline the extent of regulatory control over the taxi and hire car industries and see how the Transport Act, 1985, has changed things. It has also shown that many of the indicators which might be used by councils to determine regulatory policy are, in practice, non-existent. For example, data on cab utilisation rates could be used to estimate demand, given assumptions about the pattern of work of the cabs; but we have found that data such as these are not readily available. We do know that, in many cases, any problems in meeting demand are associated with late-night peaks in trade. So by concentrating on the determinants of peak demand, by using data on traffic generators such as night-clubs as proxies for direct demand estimation, it may be that we could progress a little further down the road of how to achieve an optimal regulation. For this reason, we are circulating local authorities with another questionnaire, which deals with:

(a) licensing issues and change since last year;

(b) enforcement issues, which are topical because many councils which have adopted less strict limits to entry are concerned about vehicle quality; and

(c) determinants of peak demand.

We hope that this further survey will add to our understanding of the taxi and hire car industry in England and Wales, and enable us to direct our efforts at those issues which are of concern to the trade, the public and the regulators.

#### **Appendix**

This appendix contains summary detail of the state of taxi licensing in each non-London district in England and Wales. The following should be noted:

For GILLINGHAM, see ROCHESTER - entries combined.

For LANGBAURGH, see MIDDLESBROUGH - entries combined.

EPSOM & EWELL, HERTSMERE and SPELTHORNE are within the Metropolitan Police Area, and thus do not license taxis.

In the column headed SIZE OF WAITING LIST, a figure 1\*\* means that there is a waiting list, but we do not know how long it is.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- COE G A, The taxi and hire car industry in Great Britain in 1985, TRRL Research Report 68, 1986
- COE G A and JACKSON R L, <u>The taxi and private hire car industries</u>
  in England and Wales, TRRL Laboratory Report 1011, 1981
- EAGLESTONE A S and SILCOCK D T, Responses of district councils to the provivions of the 1985 Transport Act regarding taxi licensing and quantity controls, University of Newcastle Transport Operations Research Group Research Report 70, 1988
- FINCH D J, The impact of the 1985 Transport Act on the taxi industry, TRRL Research Report 148, 1988

DISTRICT	NO. OF	NO.	SIZE OF	POPN	POPN	POPN PER	RATIO OF	RANKING	RANKING	RANKING	RANKING
	TAXIS	ΟF	WAITING	PER	PER		HIRE CARS				POPN PER
		PHC	LIST	TAXI	PHC	VEHICLE	TO TAXIS	HIRE CAR	VEHICLE	RATIO	TAXI
ABERCONWY	62	50	0	855	1060	473	Λ 0	0.4	, "3	77	2.
ADUR	58	53	52	1000	1094	473 523	0.8 0.9	86 90	47 59	77 86	26 40
ALLERDALE			-	1000	1074	J_5	•	70		00	40
ALNWICK	1	23	0	29000	1261	1208	23.0	103	206	260	276
ALYN & DEESIDE	.:	_ •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	- · ·
AMBER VALLEY	92	32	0	1185	3406	879	0.3	218	145	18	57
ARFON ARUN	50	30	12	1080	1800	675	0.6	154	88	49	47
ASHFIELD	186 45	•	0	688 2378	•	•	•	•	-	•	10
ASHFORD	63	22	30	1429	4091	1059	0.3	230	100		163
AYLESBURY VALE	58	100	0	2414	1400	886	1.7	116	180 147	19 150	85 167
BABERGH	20	25	Ö				1.3			117	
BARROW-IN-FURNESS	72	154	5 0	1028	481	327	2.1	18	18	175	42
BASINGSTOKE & DNE	43	81	38	3209	1704	1113	1.9	149	190	158	217
BASSETLAW	29	29	10	3621	3621	1810	1.0	223	233	93	226
BATH	61	•	120	1377	•	•	•	•	•	•	82
BERWICK UPON TWEED BEVERLEY	34	•	0	794	•	•	-	•	•	•	18
BLABY	0	68	Ō	•	1 204	1304	•	400		•	•
BLACKBURN \	58	470	167	2500	1206 309	1206 275	0 1	100	205	24.5	4
BLACKPOOL	255	275	260	569	527	274	8.1 1.1	3 25	6	248 98	175
BLAENAU GWENT	26	35	0	3038	2257	1295	1.3	181	214	122	<sup>7</sup> 199
BLYTH VALLEY	32	29	5	2438	2690	1279	0.9	200	211	85	168
BOLSOVER	0	0	0	-	•			•		•	10,0
BOOTHFERRY	•	-	-	-		•	=	. * <del>*</del>	•		
BOSTON	22	7	6	2409	7571	1828	0 - 3	248	235	14	166
BOURNEMOUTH BRACKNELL	147 102	150	1.5		2022		1.0	i=	_ •	95	•
BRAINTREE	60	46	15 54	912 1950	2022	628	0 - 5	169	76	34	29
BRECKLAND	•		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		-	•	•		•	. •	126
BRECKNOCK	16	14	ō	3125	3571	1667	0.9	222	228	81	209
BRENTWOOD	34	55	ŏ	2118	1309	809	1.6	109	128	141	141
BRIDGNORTH	1	45	. 0	52000	1156	1130	45 . 0	95	197	263	279
BRIGHTON	270	173	163	556	867	339	0.6	60	19	54	4
BRISTOL	265	429	165	1479	914	565	1.6	65	68	142	92
BROADL AND	1	13	0	100000	7692	7143	13.0	249	250	255	281
BROMSGROVE BROXBOURNE	12 18	70	0	7500	1286	1098	5 - 8	107	188	232	259
BROXTOWE	36	58	23 0	1667	•	•	• •	•	•		108
BURNLEY	16	206	0	5500	427	<b>396</b>	1.6	• 1 4	7.0	140	757
CAMBRIDGE	120	250	80	850	408	276	12.9 2.1	16 12	30 7	254 172	253 25
CANNOCK CHASE	24		ő	3542	-	-10	2 <b>.</b> I		· ·	715	224
CANTERBURY	• .	•	•	-		-		-	<u>.</u>	•	•
CARADON	20	50	0	3650	1460	1043	2.5	123	175	187	228
CARDIFF	395	•	173	•		•	•				
CARLISLE	33	170	-	3061	594	498	5.2	36	51	229	203
CAMARTHEN			•				•	•	-		•
CARRICK CASTLE MORPETH	53 75	65	0	1491	1215	669	1.2	101	87	115	94
CASTLE MURPETH	75	•	0	667	•	-	•	•	•	•	9
CEREDIGION	•	6	Ō	-	10667	•	•	254	•	•	•
CHARNWOOD	74	.42	ő	1946	3429	1241	0.6	219	208	48	125
CHELMSFORD	59	57	ŏ	2542	2632	1293	1.0	196	213	40 90	177
								0		, ,	a, r · f

DISTRICT	NO. OF		SIZE OF		POPN		RATIO OF	RANKING	RANKING	RANKING	RANKING
	TAXIS	0F	WAITING	PER	PER		HIRE CARS		POPN PER	HC/ TAXI	
		PHC	LIST	TAXI	PHC	VEHICLE	TO TAXIS	HIRE CAR	VEHICLE	RATIO	TAXI
CHELTENHAM	128	103	0				0.8			74	
CHERWELL	44	130	Ö	2727	923	690	3.0	67	93	76 207	189
CHESTER	47	285	12	2489	411	352	6.1	13	22	235	174
CHESTERFIELD	36	60	42	2694		1010	1.7	139	169	145	188
CHESTER-LE-STREET	22		18	2364			•		-		162
CHICHESTER	43	73	0	2326	1370	862	1.7	114	140	146	158
CHILTERN	51	35	0	1804	2629	1070	0.7	195	183	61	117
CHORLEY	30	58	0	3167	1638	1080	1.9	140	184	161	213
CHRISTCHURCH	•	•	•	-		•	•		•	•	
CLEETHORPES	•		•			•	. • _	-	•	•	•
COLCHESTER	96	207	0	1510	700	479	2.2	47	48	177	100
COLWYN	•	- 1	0		4534	•	•	•			·
CONGLETON COPELAND	19	54 73	0	4474		1164	2.8	135	200	204	247
CORBY	102 77	7.5 25	0 100	706	986 2040	411	0.7	76	33	63	11
COTSWOLD	65	26	100	1108		500 791	0.3 0.4	171 203	52 122	- 15 26	8
CRAVEN	45	18	ő	-	2667	762	0.4	203 198	112	26	52 46
CRAWLEY	73	350	15	1205	251	208	4.8	2	1 1	227	40 60
CREWE & NANTWICH	39	45	ő	2513		1167	1.2	177	201	109	176
CYNON VALLEY (	33	68	ŏ	2000	971	653	2.1	75	82	169	131
DACORUM	63	150	•	2063	867	610	2.4	59	74	183	135
DARLINGTON	127	28	6	780		639	0.2	221	80	9	17
DARTFORD	94	160	0	840	494	311	1.7	19	14	148	21
DAVENTRY	18		0	3278				•	•		218
DELYN	5	114	0	13000	570	546	22.8	32	63	259	269
DERBY	110	350	102	1955	614	467	3.2	41	45	213	127
DERBYSHIRE DALES	18	80	0	3722	838	684	4.4	55	89	224	233
DERWENTSIDE	5	109	0	17200	789	754	21.8	52	110	258	272
DINEFWR	0	70	0	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•
DOVER	50	•	48	2060	•	•	•	•	•	•	134
DURHAM	•	-	•	•	-	•	•		•	•	•
DWYFOR EASINGTON	. 0	, 5	* 177	1100	315/	720	<b>.</b> .		•		
EAST CAMBRIDGESHIRE	88	45	27	1102	2156	729	0 _ 5	176	101	38	49
EAST DEVON	58	120	15	1931	933	629	2 1	70	77	170	121
EAST HAMPSHIRE	41	50	. 0		1900	1044	2.1 1.2	70 160	176	170 111	124 157
EAST HERTFORDSHIRE		•		2321	1,00	1044		. *			•
EAST LINDSEY	88	69	20	1227	1565	688	0.8	134	92	<b>72</b>	64
EAST NORTHAMPTONSHI	23	13	0	2783		1778	0.6	240	232	47	192
EAST STAFFORDSHIRE	22	100	1**	4273		770	4.5	71	117	226	244
EAST YORKSHIRE	-		•	•		•	•		•	•	•
EASTBOURNE	84	152	30	964	533	343	1.8	::::\$ <sub>7</sub>	20	155	35
EASTLEIGH	30	•	0	3667	•		•	<b> </b>	•		230
EDEN	42	•	_ 0	1048	•				•	•	43
EL'SMR PORT & NESTO		140	24	4100			7.0	34	54	241	241
ELMBRIDGE EPPING FOREST	40	26	35		4269	1682	0.6	233	229	56	191
EPPING FOREST	32	•	0	3594	•	•	•	•	•	•	225
EREWASH	27	33	•	•	•	•	1 2	•	•	117	•
EXETER	2 r 3 9	105	32	2462	914	667	1.2 2.7	66	8 <b>5</b>	113 194	171
FAREHAM	46	50			1920		1.1	163	168	100	138
FENLAND	9	15	Ō		4600		1.7	236	242	145	261
FOREST HEATH			·	, 00 ;					-	143	•
	-	_	-	-	•	. •	•	•	. •	•	. •

		-										
DISTRICT	NO. OF	ผก	SIZE OF	POPN	DODN	DODN DED	DATES OF	DANK dag				
	TAXIS	0F	WAITING	PER		POPN PER		RANKING	RANKING	RANKING	RANKING	• •
	TAKIS		LIST	TAXI	PER PHC		HIRE CARS	POPN PER	POPN PER		POPN PER	
			2131	TAAL	1 116	ACHICEE	TO TAXIS	HIRE CAR	VEHICLE	RATIO	TAXI	
FOREST OF DEAN	_	45	0	_	1689			1/9				
FYLDE	79		ŏ	848	1007	•	•	148	•	•	2.	
GEDLING		125	ō	•	888	•	• •	4	•	•	24	
GILLINGHAM	-		-		-	•	•	63	. •	•	. •	
GLANFORD	4	28	ō	17250	2464	2156	7.0	100	270	244		
GLOUCESTER	70	56	24	1343	1679	746	0.8	189 147	239	241	273	
GLYNDWR '		55	Ö	1343	745	740		50	105	74	79	
GOSPORT	23	47	ŏ	3391	1660	1114	2.0	141	101	1 / 7	224	
GRAVESHAM	56	80	Ö	1696	1188	699	1.4	97	191	167	221	
GREAT GRIMSBY	70	225	42	1286	400	305	3.2	L L	96 17	132	111	
GREAT YARMOUTH	136		0	618	400	303	J • E	11	13	214	71	
GUILDFORD	102	48		1225	2604	833	0 🖁 5	194	177	7.	7	
HALTON	225	40	ó	547	3075	464	0.2		137	36	63	•
HAMBLETON	25	-	ŏ	3040	-010	704		214	44	6	3	
HARBOROUGH	15	17		4333	3824	2031	1.1	227	977	* 100	200	
HARLOW	55	120	33	1309	600	411	2.2		237	108	245	
HARROGATE	138	75		1058	1947	685		37	33	178	74	
HART	22	•	4	3682	# 7 <b>4</b> 1		0.5	165	90	43	45	
HARTLEPOOL	37	82		2486	1122	773	2.2	• •	110		231	
HASTINGS	48	53		1667	1509	773 792		94	118	180	173	
HAVANT	35	230	47	3343	509	442	1.1	131	123	102	108	
HEREFORD	28	60	55	1714	800		6.6	22	40	238	219	
HERTSMERE				1114	800	545	2.1	53	62	176	114	
HIGH PEAK	•	•	•	-	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	·
HINCKLEY & BOSWORTH	39	16	ō	2385	5017	1401	^ <b>-</b> ,	244				
HOLDERNESS	13	33	ő	3769	5813	1691	0.4	246	230	28	164	
HORSHAM	55	40	~ 26		1485	1065	2.5	126	181	190	234	
HOVE	•			1927	2650	1116	0.7	197	193	65	123	
HULL	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
HUNTINGDON	44	93	ō	3182	1505	1022	, <b>.</b> .	470	4 - 5		. •	
HYNDBURN	50	140	82	1600		1022	2.1	130	172	174	215	
IPSWICH	101	144	0	1188	571 833	421	2.8	33	36	203	103	
ISLES OF SCILLY	4	4	Ö	500	500	490	1 - 4	54	50	131	58	
ISLWYN	15	89	ő		200	250	1.0	21	3	93	2	
KENNET	32		0	2094	•	•	5.9	•	•	234	•	
KERRIER	58	30	Ö	1483	2047	077	• -	*		•	139	
KETTERING	36	28	14		2867	977 1135	0.5.	209	165	39	93	
KINGS LYNN & W NORF	40	60	12	2000 3150	2571	1125	0.8	192	195	70	131	
KINGSWOOD	17	7	0		2100	1260	1.5	173	209	136	211	
LANCASTER	95	76	50		12429	3625	0.4	255	245	29	250	
LANGBAURGH	. , ,	10	30	1337	1671	743	8.0	146	104	74	77	
LEICESTER	140	400	ō	•	•	•	, • <u> </u>	•	•	•	•	
LEOMINSTER	3	400		17447	0500	E / 20	2.9		•	205	•	
LEWES '	100	10	0	12667	9500	5429	1.3	253	249	121	268	
LICHFIELD	100	70	0	870	8700	791	0-1	251	121	3	27	
LINCOLN	30		6	9000	1286	1125	7 - 0	107	195	241	264	
LLANELLI	30 39	75	-	2633	1053	752	2.5	85	108	187	182	
LLIW VALLEY	22	18	0	1897	4111	1298	0 = 5	231	215	35	121	
LUTON		30	0	2636	1933	1115	1 - 4	164	192	125	184	
MACCLESFIELD	50	170	-	7000	4.00=	-	•			•	-	
MAIDSTONE	-	138	O	3000	1087	798	2.8	88	124	199	198	
MALDON	1.0	20	-	: •	3.55	4		<del>-</del>	•	•	•	
MALVERN HILLS	10 34	20	0	5100	2550	1700	2.0	191	231	165	249	
INGTERN HILLS	34	19	0	1500	2684	962	0.6	199	160	45	97	

DISTRICT	NO. OF	NO.	SIZE OF	POPN	POPN	POPN PFP	RATIO OF	RANKING	RANKING	DANFTHE	DANKING
-	ZIXAT		WAITING	PER	PER		HIRE CARS	POPN PER	POPN PFR	RANKING HC/ TAXT	RANKING POPN PER
			LIST			VEHICLE	TO TAXIS	HIRE CAR		RATIO	TAXI
MANSETELD						•		-			
MANSFIELD MEDINA	44	60	70	2273		962	1.4	144	159	125	153
MEIRIONNYDD	58 2	20 25	0		2800	718	0.3	205	99	17	36
MELTON	19	18	0	16000 2263		1185 1162	12.5	105	204	253	271
MENDIP	65	42	ő	1431		869	0.9 0.6	187 179	199 142	89 55	152
MERTHYR TYDFIL			_	1,31		-	-	117	142		86
MID BEDFORDSHIRE	32	21	Ō	3438	5238	2075	0.7	241	238	58	223
MID DEVON	9	•	0	6667	•		•	•	•	•	256
MID SUFFOLK	1	20	0	76000		3619	20-0	225	244	257	280
MID SUSSEX	130	50	18		2400	667	0.4	188 /	85	24	30
MIDDLESBROUGH	94	127	70	3170		1348	1.4	185 🦎	219	123	214
MILTON KEYNES MOLE VALLEY	70	318	0	2343		423	4.5	24	37	225	160
MONMOUTH	•	•	•	•	-	-	-	. 36.	-	•	•
MONTGOMERYSHIRE	• 1	50	0 0	52000	1040	1020	50 0	9	171	344	370
NEATH	50	75	29	1300		520	50.0 1.5	83 59	. 171	264	279
NEW FOREST	74	76	ő	2162		1067	1.0	174	58 182	136 96	73 145
NEWARK & SHERWOOD	75	40	8	1533		1000	0.5	210	168	41	101
NEWBURY	44	30	ō	3045		1811	0.7	234	234	60	201
NEWCASTLE-UNDER-LYM	50	137	19	2360		631	2.7	56	78	197	161
NEWPORT	91	215	0	1440	609	428	. 2 . 4	39	39	182	87
NORTH BEDFORDSHIRE	44	133	44		1015	763	3.0	80	113	210	205
NORTH CORNWALL	19	300	0		233	219	15.8	1	2	256	232
NORTH DEVON	55	60	15	1564		748	1.1	121	106	101	102
NORTH DORSET NORTH EAST DERBYSHI	52	17	0	1000		754	0.3	213	109	16	40
NORTH HERTFORDSHIRE	14 132	34	0	6929		2021	2 - 4	207	236	184	257
NORTH KESTEVEN	26	24 48	. 0	3077	4625	712 1081	0 - 2	237	98	7	22
NORTH NORFOLK	17	63	ŏ	5294		1125	1.8 3.7	144 120	185 105	156	206
NORTH SHROPSHIRE	20	34	ŏ	2600		963	1.7	133	195 161	218 147	251 181
NORTH WARWICKSHIRE	35	11	ŏ	1714		1304	0.3	243	216	13	114
NORTH WILTSHIRE	90	40	15	1211		838	0.4	201	138	32	95
NORTH WEST LEICESTE	54	51	0			•	0.9		130	88	
NORTHAMPTON	60	165	58	2883	1048	769	2.8	8 4	115	198	195
NORTHAVON	57		0	2281		•	•	•	•	•	155
NORWICH	128	201	0	953		371	1.6	38	25	138	34
NOTTINGHAM	200	547	94	1395		373	2.7	23	26	196	84
NUNEATON & BEDWORTH	57 27	23	0	1982		1413	0 - 4	239	221	27	129
OADBY & WIGSTON OGWR	23	17	150	2304		1325	0.7	215	217	66	156
OSWESTRY	97 8	156 31	150 0	1392 4000	865		1.6	57	60	139	83
OXFORD	-	- J T	v	4000	T025	821	3.9	82	132	220	239
PENDLE	66	58	-	1288	1444	685	0.9	124	91	8 ·	. 73
PENWITH .	-		1**	-200	T-00	-		164	7.1	82	72
PETERBOROUGH '	74	107	88	1973	1364	807	1.4	113	127	133	128
PLYMOUTH	230	282	0	1143		514	1.2	69	55	114	54
POOLE	30	86	1**	4267	1488	1103	2.9	127	189	206	243
PORT TALBOT	24	26	12	2167	2000	1040	1.1	167	174	99	146
PORTSMOUTH	150	500	391	1253		289	3.3	7	10	215	69
PRESELI PEMBROKESHI		50	0		1420	1420	_ • _	117	222	•	•
PRESTON	52	164	0	2404		579	3.2	51	70	212	165
PURBECK RADNOR	35 1	15 8	0	1229	2867	860	0.4	209	139	31	65
AND TON	1	9	U		•	•	8.0	•	• -	247	•
											-

,

DISTRICT	NO. OF TAXIS	NO. OF	SIZE OF WAITING	POPN PER	POPN PER	POPN PER LICENSED		RANKING BODN DEG	RANKING	RANKING	RANKING
			LIST	TAXI		VEHICLE	TO TAXIS	HIRE CAR		-HC/ TAXI RATIO	POPN PER TAXI
READING	92	183		1457	732	487	2.0	in			_
REDDITCH	35		38	2143	7.32		2.0	48	49	162	88
REIGATE & BANSTEAD	53	69	36	2170	1667	943	1 7	•	4.5.5	•	143
RESTORMEL	74	27	0	LITTO	1001	-	1.3	144	155	119	147
RHONDOA	9		ő	8778	•	•	0.4	•	•	22	
RHUDDLAN	62	78	ő	871	692	704	1 7	•	•	•	2.63
RHYMNEY VALLEY	87	104	ő	1207		386 550	1.3	46	29	118	28
RIBBLE VALLEY	5	31	Ö	10600			1.2	78	64	110	61
RICHMONDSHIRE	66	10	ŏ		4700	1472	6.2	150	225	237	266
ROCHESTER-UPON-MEDW	200	160	158	112	4700	618	0.2	238	75	_5	13
ROCHFORD	55	5	1,0	•	•	•	0.8	•	•	74	. ·
ROSSENDALE	19	48	•	7/71	175/	070	0.1		•	2	
ROTHER	72	•	ō	1125	1354	970	2.5	111	163	188	. 222
RUGBY	40	45	16		1011	1010	•			•	53
RUNNYMEDE				2130	1911	1012	1.1	162	170	107	144
RUSHCLIFFE	20	•	•	4000	•	=	•	•	•	•	•
RUSHMOOR		•	0	4800	•	•	•	• .	•	•	248
RUTLAND	2 (	17	•	4500			•	•	-	•	•
RYEDALE	24	13	0	1500	2769	973	0.5	203	164	42	97
SALISBURY	38	24	0	2342	3708	1435	0.6	224	223	52	159
SCARBOROUGH \	47	. ~ .	40			•	•	• ;	•		
•	97	174	0	1052	586	376	1.8	35	27	153	44
SCUNTHORPE :	82	12	0		5750	734	0.1	245	103	4	23
SEDGEFIELD	30	70	1**		1329	930	2.3	110	153	181	208
SEDGEMOOR	56	20	0	1607	4500	1184	0.4	235	203	21	104
SELBY	•	•	-			•	•	<u>-</u>		•	
SEVENDAKS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	•		
SHEPWAY	88	116	82	966	733	417	1.3	49	35	120	37
SHREWSBURY & ATCHAM	29	61	~ O	•		•	2.1		•	173	
SLOUGH	66	240	1**	1500	413	324	3.6	14	16	217	97
SOUTH BEDFORDSHIRE	108	26	1**	1019	4231	821	0.2	232	133	11	41
SOUTH BUCKINGHAMSHI	9	•	0	7000			-				258
SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIR	12	18	0	9667	6444	3867	1.5	247	246	136	265
SOUTH DERBYSHIRE	0	70	•		957	957	•	73	158		, 203
SOUTH HAMS	27	25	0	2593	2800	1346	0.9	205	218	87	180
SOUTH HEREFORDSHIRE	•	•	•	-			-		-10	0.1	100
SOUTH HOLLAND		20	0		3200	•		216		•	•
SOUTH KESTEVEN			•			-			•	•	•
SOUTH LAKELAND	80	70	0	1250	1429	667	0.9	120	8 <b>5</b>	81	68
SOUTH NORFOLK	7	12	0	14143		5211	1.7	250	248	149	270
SOUTH NORTHAMPTONSH				_		•		•	240	147	210
SOUTH OXFORDSHIRE	91		0	1462	-	-	•	•	•	-	00
SOUTH PEMBROKESHIRE	34	26	0	1147	1500	650	0.8	129	81	40	90
SOUTH RIBBLE	60	67	0	1667		787	1.1	128		68	55
SOUTH SHROPSHIRE	2	20	Ö	17500		1591	10.0	153	119	104	108
SOUTH SOMERSET		•	•						226	251	274
SOUTH STAFFORDSHIRE	Ö	•	ō	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. •
SOUTH WIGHT	73	26	ŏ	740	2077	545	0 - 4		4.3	•	
SOUTHAMPTON	215	91	ő		2242			172	62	20	16
SOUTHEND-ON-SEA	164	128	Ö		1266	667 555	0 - 4	180	85	30	33
SPELTHORNE			•		1500	,,,	8.0	104	67	71	38
ST ALBANS	62	56	ō	2081	234/	1007	••	107	•	•	•
ST EDMUNDSBURY	35	65	1**	2571		1093	0.9	183	187	84	136
STAFFORD	46	83	19	2565		900	1.9	115	149	157	179
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	70	ری	1.7	6 20 3	1466	915	1.8	118	151	154	178

.

DISTRICT	NO. OF TAXIS	0F	SIZE OF WAITING LIST	POPN PER TAXI	POPN PER PHC		RATIO OF HIRE CARS TO TAXIS	RANKING POPN PER HIRE CAR		RANKING HC/ TAXI RATIO	RANKING POPN PER TAXI	
STAFFORDSHIRE MOORL	8	11	0	12000	8727	5053	1.4	252	247	128	267	
STEVENAGE	62	35	44	1194	2114	763	0.6	175	114	46	59	
STOCKTON-ON-TEES	57	118	104	3053	1475	994	2.1	125	166	171	202	
STOKE-ON-TRENT	66	387	139	3788		552	5.9	42	66	233	237	
STRATFORD-ON-AVON	43	30	0		3533	1452	0.7	220	224	62	172	
STROUD	33	41	0		2537	1405	1.2	190	220	116	212	
SUFFOLK COASTAL	60	99	0		1121	698	1.6	93	95	143	118	
SURREY HEATH	74	35	0		2286	734	0.5	182	102	37	48	
SWALE SWANSEA	83	54	. 0		2037	803	0.7	170	126	57	76	
TAFF-ELY	65 51	172	100		1087	789	2.6	89	120	192	194	
TAMWORTH	51 50	27 61	0		2593	897	0 - 5	193	148	40	81	
TANDRIDGE	25	70	0		1098	604	1.2	91	73	112	78	
TAUNTON DEANE	43	31	15 0		1100 2935	811	2.8	92	129	203	207	
TEESDALE	45		U	2110	2733	1230	0.7	211	207	. 64	140	
TEIGNBRIDGE	83		15	1181	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	
TENDRING	-	•		1101	•	•	•	•	•	•	56	
TEST VALLEY	31	105	21	3194	943	728	3 4	72	100	216	216 /	
TEWKESBURY	3	30	0	28333		2576	10.0	206	240	251	275	
THAMESDOWN	41	230	101	3780	674	572	5.6	43	69	230	235	
THANET !						•	-	-	-	-	237	
THREE RIVERS :		•	•			-	•	•	_	-	-	
THURROCK			1 **	-		-	-	-		-	,	
TONBRIDGE & MALLING	45	50	16	2222	2000	1053	1.1	167	178	103	150	
TORBAY	162	100	0	722	1170	447	0.6	96	41	51	. 14	
TORFAEN	42	75	26	2143	1200	769	1.8	99	116	152	143	
TORRIDGE	54	•	8	926	•		-	•	-	•	31	
TUNBRIDGE WELLS	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•		•	
TYNEDALE		•	•		-	•	•	•	•	•	•	
UTTLESFORD	10	•	0	•	=	•		-	•	•		
VALE OF GLAMORGAN VALE OF WHITE HORSE	ウフ	•	•	4037	•	-	•	•	-	•	. •	
VALE ROYAL	27 16	92	0	4037	•	•	• .	-	•		240	
WANSBECK			U	•	-	•	5.8	-	•	231	•	
WANSDYKE	•	-	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	
WARRINGTON	69	•	<b>3</b> 5	2652	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 02	
WARWICK	71	73	0		1603	813	1.0	138	130	97	185	
WATFORD	61	86	78	1246		517	1.4	62	57	130	105 66	
WAVENEY	80	60	0		1750	750	0.8	153	107	67	75	
WAVERLEY	78	52	Ô		2192	877	0.7	178	143	59	90	
WEALDEN	100	55	0		2309	819	0.5	184	131		70	
WEAR VALLEY	45	35	0	1467	1886	825	0.8	158	136	70	91	
WELLINGBOROUGH	29	40	0		1600	928	1.4	137	152	129	149	
WELWYN & HATFIELD	130	29	0		3241	591	0.2	217	72	10	15	
WEST DEVON	28	23	10	1500	1826	824	8.0	155	134	78	97	
WEST DORSET		•	-		•	•			•		•	
WEST LANCASHIRE	32	195	80	3344	549	471	6.1	31	46	236	220	
WEST LINDSEY	25	•	. 0		•	•	•		•	-	•	
WEST OXFORDSHIRE	45		0	1889		•	•	•	•	•	120	
WEST SOMERSET	18	16	0		1875	882	0-9	157	146	83	108	
WEST WILTSHIRE WEYMOUTH & PORTLAND	84	26	0		4038	955	0.3	229	157	12	68	
WIMBORNE	74 35	101 34	50		614	354	1.4	40	24	126	20	
W & 1162 WEY 176	35	34	0	TROO	1853	913	1.0	156	150	91	116	

DISTRICT	NO. OF TAXIS	OF	SIZE OF WAITING LIST	POPN PER TAXI	POPN PER PHC	· - · · · · - · ·	RATIO OF HIRE CARS TO TAXIS	RANKING POPN PER HIRE CAR		RANKING HC/ TAXI RATIO	RANKING POPN PER TAXI
WINCHESTER	46	40	0	2043	2350	1093	0.9	186	186	79	132
WINDSOR & MAIDENHEA	77	147	59	1714	898	589	1.9	64	71	160	114
WOKING	52		129	1673	•	, ,	•	_	•	100	110
WOKINGHAM	25	25	0	5320	5320	2660	1.0	242	241	93	252
WOODSPRING	74	152	45	2446	1191	801	2.1	98	125	168	169
WORCESTER	41	26	20	1878	2962	1149	0.6	212	198	53	119
WORTHING	60	167	0	1500	539	396	2.8	28	31	200	97
WREKIN	58	65	Ó	2241	2000	1057	1.1	167	179	106	151
WREXHAM MAELOR	26	248	55	4385	460	416	9.5	17	34	249	246
WYCHAVON		25	0		3920		•	228			•
WYCOMBE	42	84	0	3786	1893	1262	2.0	159	210	165	236
WYRE	160	98	50	613	1000	380	0.6	77	28	50	6
WYRE FOREST	68	4	18	1368	23250	1292	0.1	256	212	1	80
YNYS MON	47	21	1**	1702	3810	1176	0.4	226	202 -	33	112
YORK	110	270	264	945	385	274	2.5	8	5	185	32
BARNSLEY	58	178	0	3862	1258	949	3.1	102	156	211	238
BIRMINGHAM	576		0	2083	-	•	-		-		137
BOLTON	81	400	0	2667	540	449	4.9	29	42	228	186
BRADFORD	213	872	0	2174	5 3 1	427	4.1	三百.26	38	221	148
BURY :	20	520	48	8650	333	320	26.0	5	15	261	262
CALDERDALE	53	200	0	3623	960	759	3 8	74	111	219	227
COVENTRY	99	197	115	3141	1579	1051	2.0	"136	177	163	210
DONCASTER	-	-	•	•		•	•		•	• .	•
DUDLEY				•		•	•	•	•	•	•
GATESHEAD	75	225	130	2773	924	693	3.0	68	94	209	190
KIRKLEES	176	335	··.	2045	1075	705	1.9	87	97	159	133
KNOWSLEY	145	430	500	1103	372		3.0	6	8	208	50
LEEDS	262	1100	0	2863	682		4.2	44	65	223	193
LIVERPOOL	1417	286	0	335	1661	279	0.2	142	9	8	1
MANCHESTER	550	800	450	796	548	324	1.5	30	17	134	19
NEWCASTLE-UPON-TYNE	191	517	0	•		•	2.7	•	•	195	•
NORTH TYNESIDE	101	282		1921	688		2.8	45	53	201	122
OLDHAM	76	550	100	2895	400	351	7.2	11	21	244	196
ROCHDALE	85	630		2447	330		7 - 4	4	11	245	170
ROTHERHAM	38	250		6658	1012		6.6	79	144	239	255
ST HELENS	64	140		2969	1357		2.2	112	154	179	197
SANDWELL	-6	180		51667	1722		30.0	151	228	262	277
SALFORD	78	600		3064	398		7.7	9	23	246	204
SEFTON	271	720	4	1107	417	303	2.7	15	12	193	51
SHEFFIELD	7.0		-					_ •		•	. •
SOLIHULL	32	400		6219	498		12.5	20	43	253	254
SOUTH TYNESIDE	226	84		708	1905		0.4	161	56	23	12
STOCKPORT	108	191		2685	1518		1.8	132	162	151	187
SUNDERLAND	118	240		2636	1296	869	2.0	108	141	166	183
TAMESIDE	143	160	250	•		•	1.1	•	•	105	•
TRAFFORD	96	215	*	7/50	4//-	1077	3.5	• • •	477	•	
WAKEFIELD	85	215		3659	1447		2.5	122	173	189	229
WALSALL	35	48		7571	5521		1.4	244	243	127	260
WIGAN	135	350		2274	877	633	2.6	61	79	191	154
WIRRAL	86	608		/ 7 4 7	1001	0.7	7.1			243	•
WOLVERHAMPTON	60	247		4217	1024		4-1	81	135	222	242
BASILDON	•	•	•	•	-	•	• .	•	•	•	. •