

This is a repository copy of Controls on uranium isotope fractionation in the Late Paleoproterozoic ocean.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/227937/</u>

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Kunert, A., Poulton, S.W. orcid.org/0000-0001-7621-189X, Canfield, D.E. et al. (3 more authors) (Accepted: 2025) Controls on uranium isotope fractionation in the Late Paleoproterozoic ocean. Earth and Planetary Science Letters. ISSN 0012-821X (In Press)

This is an author produced version of an article accepted for publication in Earth and Planetary Science Letters, made available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.





Figure 1. Paleogeography of the supercontinent Nuna at ~1850 Ma (after Wan et al., 2015; S. Zhang et al., 2012) showing the Animikie Basin along the northern continental coast, and modern location of the Animikie Group preserved in central North America (after Poulton et al., 2010). Paleogeographic configuration and age is approximate as Wan et al. (2015) and Zhang et al. (2012) mapped ~1820 Ma and ~1740 Ma, respectively.



Figure 2. Geochemical profiles for Rove/Virginia cores. Yellow markers are euxinic, red markers are ferruginous, and blue markers are suboxic based on Fe speciation (after Poulton et al. 2004, 2010). Total organic carbon (TOC) content is from Poulton et al. (2010). One oxic sample (white marker) may be present in the dynamic interval of 89-MC-1. Dashed vertical line in uranium isotope profiles is upper continental crust isotope composition and solid blue line is seawater. Error on isotope compositions is long term reproducibility of ±0.09‰ or ±2σ on replicate measurements, whichever is larger. Vertical axis is height (metres) above the contact with the Gunflint/Biwabik iron formations.



Figure 3. Covariations between select redox sensitive trace metal enrichment factors (EF) and TOC versus $\delta^{238}U_{auth}$ in Rove and Virginia samples. Solid blue line is modern seawater $\delta^{238}U$ and the dashed black line is modern $\delta^{238}U$ input to the oceans from rivers (derived from upper continental crust, UCC).



Figure 4. Uranium isotope and elemental profiles for the dynamic interval within 89-MC-1. Marker colour represents redox based on Fe speciation from Poulton et al. 2010, as described in previous figures. Grey markers are elemental concentrations (V, Mo, Re and U). Solid blue line in $\delta^{238}U_{auth}$ profile is modern seawater composition, and dashed line is upper continental crust. Vertical axis is height above the top of the Gunflint iron formation in metres.



Figure 5. Model of Animikie Basin unifying controls on uranium isotope offsets during fractionation from seawater (Δ_{sed-sw}) . Offsets represent average $(\pm 1\sigma) \, \delta^{238} U_{auth}$ from the Rove and Virginia cores less the modern $\delta^{238} U_{riv}$ (-0.29%), thus these represent minimum offsets for the average sample in the interval. Maximum offsets can be determined using the minimum $\delta^{238} U_{sw}$ for the Proterozoic of -0.73%, which will increase each Δ_{sed-sw} value by 0.44%. The blue bar represents the approximate lateral extent where TOC is elevated and microbially mediated reduction may be the dominant control on U isotope fractionation. Orange bars represent low TOC areas where abiotic reduction and fractionation processes are thought to dominate. Lateral extent of secondary processes like non-diffusion-limited (NDL) conditions and down-slope redeposition ("slope") are represented by black bars. Black squares are the initial positions of the four drill cores and white squares are their final positions. Note that these represent temporal, rather than spatial transitions as sea level transgressed and bottom water conditions at each location migrated landward. Diagram not to scale.

Tables

Table 1. Authigenic uranium isotope compositions ($\delta^{238}U_{auth}$) for Rove (89-MC-1, GF-3) and Virginia (MGS-2, MGS-8) formation cores in the Animikie Basin. Redox conditions are based on Fe speciation (Poulton et al. 2004, 2010). 'Dynamic' 89-MC-1 is an interval from 86.00 to 86.303 m that contains coarse pyrite grains and fluctuating redox. All other samples are considered steady state with respect to redox conditions. Bracketed values are sample counts.

	Dynamic	Steady State				
	89-MC-1	89-MC-1	GF-3	MGS-2	MGS-8	All Cores
Euxinic	0.43 ± 0.03‰ (2)	$0.14 \pm 0.09\% (10)$	$0.12 \pm 0.12\%$ (11)	0.41 ± 0.11‰ (4)		0.17 ± 0.15‰ (25)
Ferruginous	0.39 ± 0.19‰ (8)	$0.05 \pm 0.12\%$ (11)		$0.12 \pm 0.16\%$ (18)	$-0.15 \pm 0.24\%$ (5)	0.02 ± 0.18‰ (34)
Low Oxygen	<i>Oxic</i> : 0.26‰ (1)	$-0.18 \pm 0.30\%$ (5)				$-0.18 \pm 0.30\%$ (5)
AVERAGE	0.38 ± 0.16‰ (11)	0.04 ± 0.19‰ (26)	0.12 ± 0.12‰ (11)	0.18 ± 0.19‰ (22)	$-0.15 \pm 0.24\%$ (5)	$0.09 \pm 0.20\%$ (64)