



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Relapse after cessation of weekly tocilizumab for giant cell arteritis: a multicentre service evaluation in England*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/227379/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Quick, V., Abusalameh, M., Ahmed, S. et al. (72 more authors) (2024) Relapse after cessation of weekly tocilizumab for giant cell arteritis: a multicentre service evaluation in England. *Rheumatology*, 63 (12). pp. 3407-3414. ISSN 1462-0324

<https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kead604>

This item is protected by copyright. This is an author produced version of an article published in *Rheumatology*. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Title

Relapse after cessation of weekly tocilizumab for giant cell arteritis: a multicentre service evaluation in England.

Authorship list

Vanessa Quick¹, Mahdi Abusalameh², Sajeel Ahmed³, Hoda Alkoky¹, Marwan Bukhari^{4,5}, Stuart Carter⁶, Fiona L. Coath⁷, Brian Davidson⁸, Parveen Doddamani⁹, Shirish Dubey^{10,11}, Georgina Ducker¹², Bridget Griffiths³, Nicola Gullick^{13,14}, Jonathan Heaney³, Amelia Holloway¹⁵, Ei Ei Phyu Htut¹⁶, Mark Hughes¹⁷, Hannah Irvine¹⁰, Alison Kinder⁹, Asim Kurshid¹⁸, Joyce Lim¹⁹, Dalia R. Ludwig²⁰, Mariam Malik⁸, Louise Mercer²¹, Ben Mulhearn^{22,23}, Jagdish R. Nair^{24,25}, Rikesh Patel²⁶, Joanna Robson^{27,28}, Sarah Tansley^{22,23}, Pratyasha Saha¹², the TOC STOP 2022 Investigators, and Sarah L. Mackie^{19,29}

Author affiliations

¹Rheumatology Department, Luton and Dunstable University Hospital, Bedfordshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Bedford, UK, ²Rheumatology Department, Royal Devon University Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, Devon, UK, ³Rheumatology Department, The Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle, UK, ⁴Lancaster University, Bailrigg Lane, Lancaster, UK, ⁵Rheumatology Department, Royal Lancaster Infirmary, Lancaster, UK, ⁶Rheumatology Department, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK, ⁷Rheumatology Department, Southend University Hospital NHS Trust, Southend, UK, ⁸Rheumatology Department, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton, UK, ⁹Rheumatology Department, University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, Leicester, UK ¹⁰Department of Rheumatology, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK, ¹¹Nuffield Dept of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK, ¹²Rheumatology Department, Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Norwich, UK. ¹³Rheumatology Department, University Hospitals Coventry & Warwickshire NHS Trust, ¹⁴Rheumatology Department, Coventry & Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, ¹⁵Rheumatology Department, Kings College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK, ¹⁶Department of Rheumatology, Addenbrookes Hospital, Cambridge University Hospitals, UK, ¹⁷Rheumatology Department, Royal Cornwall Hospitals NHS Trust, Cornwall, UK, ¹⁸Rheumatology Department, University Hospitals Dorset NHS Foundation Trust, UK, ¹⁹Leeds Institute of Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK, ²⁰Rheumatology Department University College London NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK, ²¹Rheumatology Department, Stockport NHS Foundation Trust, Stockport, UK, ²²Department of Life Sciences, University of

Bath, UK, ²³Royal United Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases, Royal United Hospitals Bath, UK, ²⁴Rheumatology Department, Liverpool University Hospitals (Aintree), Liverpool, UK, ²⁵The National Behcet's Centre of Excellence, Liverpool, UK, ²⁶Rheumatology Department, Manchester University Foundation NHS Trust, Manchester Royal Infirmary, UK, ²⁷Centre for Health and Clinical Research, University of the West of England, Bristol, ²⁸Department of Rheumatology, University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, ²⁹NIHR Leeds Biomedical Research Centre, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK.

Author ORCID iDs

Vanessa Quick	0000-0003-1507-4697
Mahdi Abusalameh	0000-0001-7703-7707
Marwan Bukhari	0000-0003-4311-5222
Stuart Carter	0000-0002-4138-0631
Fiona L Coath	0000-0002-4110-8806
Shirish Dubey	0000-0002-9979-6066
Georgina Ducker	0000-0002-9822-0604
Bridget Griffiths	0000-0001-5568-572X
Nicola Gullick	0000-0001-8970-4116
Amelia Holloway	0000-0002-3184-931X
Mark Hughes	0009-0009-1752-7830
Joyce Lim	0000-0003-0127-0435
Ben Mulhearn	0000-0003-2119-2155
Jagdish R Nair	0000-0002-4623-5943
Joanna Robson	0000-0002-7939-5978
Pratyasha Saha	0000-0003-4212-5875
Sarah Tansley	0000-0003-4633-9598
Sarah L Mackie	0000-0003-2483-5873

Corresponding author

Vanessa Quick, Department of Rheumatology, Luton and Dunstable Hospital, Lewsey Road, Luton, LU4 0DZ, UK. Email: v.quick@nhs.net. ORCID iD: 0000-0003-1507-4697.

Abstract

Background/Objectives

The National Health Service in England funds 12 months of weekly subcutaneous tocilizumab (qwTCZ) for patients with relapsing or refractory giant cell arteritis (GCA). During the COVID-19 pandemic, some patients were allowed longer treatment. We sought to describe what happened to patients after cessation of qwTCZ.

Methods

Multi-centre service evaluation of relapse after stopping qwTCZ for GCA. The log-rank test was used to identify significant differences in time to relapse.

Results

336 GCA patients were analysed from 40 centres, treated with qwTCZ for a median (interquartile range, IQR) of 12 (12-17) months. At time of stopping qwTCZ, median (IQR) prednisolone dose was 2 (0-5) mg/day. By 6, 12 and 24 months after stopping qwTCZ, 21.4%, 35.4% and 48.6% respectively had relapsed, requiring an increase in prednisolone dose to a median (IQR) of 20 (10-40) mg/day. 33.6% of relapsers had a major relapse as defined by EULAR. Time to relapse was shorter in those that had previously also relapsed during qwTCZ treatment ($P=0.0017$); in those not in remission at qwTCZ cessation ($P=0.0036$); and in those with large vessel involvement on imaging ($P=0.0296$). Age ≥ 65 , gender, GCA-related sight loss, qwTCZ treatment duration, TCZ taper, prednisolone dosing, and conventional synthetic DMARD use were not associated with time to relapse.

Conclusion

Up to half our patients with GCA relapsed after stopping qwTCZ, often requiring a substantial increase in prednisolone dose. One third of relapsers had a major relapse. Extended use of TCZ or repeat treatment for relapse should be considered for these patients.

250/250 words

Key words

giant cell arteritis, vasculitis, tocilizumab, NICE guidance, service evaluation, relapse.

Key messages

- (1) Up to half of patients relapsed after stopping tocilizumab; one in three relapses were major.
- (2) Relapses after stopping tocilizumab required a substantial increase in prednisolone dose.
- (3) Patients who had already relapsed during tocilizumab therapy were more likely to relapse after stopping.

Introduction

Giant cell arteritis (GCA) is a primary systemic vasculitis treated with long-term glucocorticoid to prevent ischaemic complications such as visual loss [1]. Relapses require treatment escalation [2], which can cause significant glucocorticoid toxicity [3]. Weekly subcutaneous tocilizumab (qwTCZ) is licensed for GCA on the basis of clinical trial evidence of reduced relapse rate and cumulative glucocorticoid requirements [4,5].

Following approval by The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), 12 months' treatment with TCZ for patients with refractory or relapsing GCA has been available through the English National Health Service (NHS) since July 2018 (Technology Appraisal TA518) [6] (Fig. S1 online). Treatment beyond 12 months is not currently funded in England. From July 2020, during the COVID-19 pandemic, NHS England permitted more than 12 months' treatment for GCA patients believed to be at higher risk of relapse (LV-GCA on imaging or biopsy, history of critical ischaemia, or active disease between months 6-12 on TCZ with eventual disease control); this arrangement ceased on 31st March 2022.

We designed a multi-centre service evaluation to describe clinical outcomes after qwTCZ cessation in patients with GCA in England, focusing on the time to first relapse.

Methods

Inclusion criteria

From November 2022 to January 2023, NHS rheumatology departments (centres) in England were invited to take part in TOC STOP by submitting anonymised data on all their GCA patients who had completed at least one month of qwTCZ and had at least one follow-up after qwTCZ had been stopped. Follow-up started when qwTCZ was stopped. One dose of intravenous TCZ 8mg/kg was considered equivalent to four weeks' subcutaneous TCZ 162mg/week.

Data collection and information governance

Approval from an ethics committee and patient consent were not required as TOC STOP was defined as a service evaluation with the Health Research Authority decision tool following advice from the Chair of the Oxford (UK) Research Ethics Committee. Each participating centre registered TOC STOP with their audit department, identified relevant patients using local databases, and reviewed their patients' medical records. Data were recorded on a standardised Microsoft Excel spreadsheet, supported by a Frequently Asked Questions list generated during a pilot of 62 patients conducted at two participating centres (Luton and Leeds). To minimise the risk of inadvertent patient identification and for reasons of feasibility and parsimony, data collection was kept to core variables, all durations were rounded to nearest month, and the only patient demographics collected were gender and age ≥ 65 years. Each centre obtained local Caldicott Guardian approval to export their anonymised data to Luton and Dunstable Hospital, UK, for amalgamation. All identifiable data were removed before export. Data queries were completed and centre identifiers removed to create the final dataset for analysis.

Definitions

Remission was defined as absence of signs or symptoms of GCA with centre-defined normal laboratory markers of inflammation (CRP, ESR, or plasma viscosity).

Relapse was either documented by a consultant or confirmed by consultant review of the medical notes, and defined as an increase in disease activity of sufficient severity to require a change of treatment plan such as slowed glucocorticoid taper, glucocorticoid dose increase, or addition of conventional synthetic disease modifying antirheumatic drug (csDMARD). This definition allowed inclusion of those not in remission at qwTCZ cessation, who may still have responded over their treatment course: GCA disease states are not binary; there exists a continuum where patients are neither in full remission nor in acute relapse, e.g., stable low disease activity. Time of relapse was defined as time of treatment plan change, rounded to the nearest month.

Major relapse was defined as relapse with clinical features of ischaemia e.g., jaw claudication, visual symptoms, visual loss, scalp necrosis, stroke, limb claudication and/or evidence of active aortic inflammation causing progressive aortic or large

vessel stenosis, dilatation, or dissection [7]; and could occur at any time during follow-up.

Large vessel (LV-) GCA was defined as GCA involving the aorta and/or its major branches such as the axillary, subclavian or carotid arteries and confirmed by imaging at any time since diagnosis (wall thickening, oedema, increased tracer uptake, or stenosis deemed to be due to vasculitis). Cranial GCA could include headache, scalp tenderness, jaw or tongue claudication, scalp necrosis or abnormality of temporal arteries on examination, biopsy or imaging. GCA phenotype was classified as pure cranial, pure LV-GCA, or mixed (both cranial and LV-GCA).

csDMARD was defined as any of oral/subcutaneous methotrexate, leflunomide, azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil or cyclophosphamide given for GCA. Specific csDMARDs were not collected.

Optional variables, if collected by a centre, were required for all patients submitted by that centre; these included GCA relapse whilst on qwTCZ and death during follow-up.

For the purpose of this analysis, patients were deemed to fulfil the pandemic extension criteria if they had at least one of LV-GCA, GCA-related sight loss, or GCA relapse whilst on qwTCZ.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were shown as numbers and percentages. Continuous variables were shown as the median with interquartile range, as the data were not normally distributed. Baseline characteristics of relapsers and non-relapsers after qwTCZ cessation were compared with the 2-tailed Z-test or non-parametric *t*-test to identify variables of interest for time to first relapse analysis.

In further data exploration, correlations between variables were analysed with polyserial (categorical vs continuous variables) or tetrachoric correlation (categorical vs categorical variables).

For time to first relapse analysis, we plotted Kaplan-Meier curves with 95% Hall-Wellner bands. We also plotted curves for variables of interest identified *a priori* or

from the data exploration phase. A log-rank test was used to compare time to relapse between subgroups.

In an exploratory multivariable analysis, we fitted a Cox proportional-hazard model to investigate the association between relapse after qwTCZ cessation and a number of variables.

A P -value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed with SAS version 9.4.

Results

Data from 379 patients from 40 centres across England were submitted. Centres submitted a median of 5 (IQR 2-12) patients; 7 centres submitted 20 or more.

43 patients were excluded from the analysis. 23/43 did not fulfil the inclusion criteria as they had no follow-up after qwTCZ cessation, whilst 20/43 had commenced TCZ treatment before publication of NICE TA518 [5] so criteria for commencement and cessation may have differed. 336 patients remained for the analysis. There were no missing values in the compulsory dataset.

Patients and treatments up to the time of stopping qwTCZ

Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1, which also includes a comparison between those with and without GCA relapse after qwTCZ cessation. 61.3% had evidence of LV-GCA on imaging during their disease course, likely reflecting a propensity for LV involvement in relapsing/refractory patients. 43.2% were co-prescribed a csDMARD during the last three months of qwTCZ.

Median prednisolone dose at start of treatment with qwTCZ was 20 (10-40) mg/day, and 2 (0-5) mg/day at qwTCZ cessation, $P=0.0001$.

Median duration of qwTCZ was 12 (12-17) months. 78/336 (23.2%) patients received <12 months, 96/336 (28.6%) received 12 months, and 162/336 (48.2%) received >12 months qwTCZ (Graph S1 online).

286/336 (85.1%) patients were in remission at the time they stopped qwTCZ. For the patients where these optional data were collected, 47/285 (16.5%) patients had relapsed whilst receiving qwTCZ.

Treatments during follow-up after qwTCZ cessation

Median duration of follow-up after qwTCZ cessation was 10 (5-18) months (Graph S2 online).

After cessation of qwTCZ, 57/336 (17.0%) “tapered” their TCZ treatment by taking it at intervals greater than one week. Therefore, 17% patients took tapered dose TCZ for a proportion of their follow-up (as follow-up started when qwTCZ was stopped). Median duration of tapered TCZ was 6 (2-13) months; 41/57 patients took tapered TCZ for 3 months or more. Median duration of qwTCZ in those that tapered was 12 (7-16.5) months.

Reflecting prescribing patterns in England, 53.3% received a csDMARD during the first 4 weeks after cessation of qwTCZ.

Relapse during follow-up after qwTCZ cessation

110/336 patients (32.7%) relapsed after stopping qwTCZ. Of these, 37/110 (33.6%) had a major relapse, representing 11.0% (37/336) of analysed patients. Median peak prednisolone dose used to treat relapse after qwTCZ cessation was 20 (10-40) mg/day. In those with major relapse, median peak prednisolone dose was 30 (15-60) mg/day. As expected, those that relapsed after stopping qwTCZ had been followed up for longer than non-relapsers. Where these optional data were collected, 17/301 (5.65%) died during the follow-up period after qwTCZ cessation.

Relapse after qwTCZ cessation occurred in 52/243 (21.4%), 55/155 (35.4%), 45/100 (45.0%) and 35/72 (48.6%) of those followed up for 6, 12, 18 and 24 months respectively (Kaplan-Meier curve, Fig. 1a). Time to relapse was significantly shorter in those with LV-GCA ($P=0.0296$) (Fig. 1b), in those who had relapsed during qwTCZ ($P=0.0017$) (Fig. 1c), and in those not in remission at qwTCZ cessation ($P=0.0036$) (Fig. 1d).

There was no clear relationship between time to relapse and qwTCZ duration, TCZ tapering, or csDMARD use (Fig. S1e-f online).

20/57 (35.1%) patients that tapered TCZ relapsed after stopping qwTCZ. 12/57 (21.1%) relapsed whilst on their TCZ taper.

Exploratory descriptive correlations and multivariable Cox regression are shown in supplementary tables online.

Status at last follow-up

Patients who had relapsed following qwTCZ cessation were taking a higher dose of prednisolone at last follow-up than those who had not relapsed (median 6 (3-15) mg/day vs 0 (0-5) mg/day).

Discussion

In this multicentre service evaluation of 336 patients who received qwTCZ for relapsing or refractory GCA, 35.4% had relapsed by one year and 48.6% by two years after qwTCZ cessation, requiring substantial prednisolone dose escalation back to ranges comparable to those taken at the start of TCZ treatment: median 20 (10-40) mg/day. 33.6% relapsers had a major relapse. Time to relapse was shorter for those who had relapsed during qwTCZ therapy although this was an optional variable collected in only 85% patients. Time to relapse was shorter for those with LV involvement, although as we allowed LV-GCA to be detected at any time, there may have been more vascular imaging in relapsing patients compared to non-relapsers. Finally, time to relapse was shorter in patients who were not in remission at qwTCZ cessation.

Age ≥ 65 , gender, GCA-related sight loss, qwTCZ treatment duration, TCZ taper, prednisolone dosing and csDMARD use were not associated with relapse, in contrast to some previous reports [2,8,9,10,11,12,13]. However, patient heterogeneity and variations in clinical practice in our non-randomised evaluation may have obscured a real association (e.g., extended duration TCZ was restricted to those fulfilling criteria believed to be associated with relapse; and GCA monitoring/treatment practices may have changed during/after COVID-related local and national lockdowns).

The strengths of this study include the large representative sample. Feasibility and generalisability were maximised by the strong incentive to keep accurate lists of GCA

patients prescribed TCZ to ensure central reimbursement; the standardised prescribing mandated by NHS England; and the focused data collection.

Our definition of relapse (worsening of disease activity requiring treatment change) was less restrained than EULAR's (return of disease activity) [7], and patients were selected for severity as NHS England restricts TCZ to relapsing or refractory GCA [6]. Despite this, our relapse rate of approximately 50% at two years was similar to meta-analyses of GCA patients treated with glucocorticoid alone [14] and methotrexate [2], the open label extension of the GiACTA trial [15], and other TCZ studies [12,16]. However, major relapse occurred more often (11.0%) than was reported in a meta-analysis of 2745 GCA patients (3.3%) [17].

Limitations of the retrospective design and reliance on clinical records meant that some variables, such as cumulative prednisolone dose, were not possible to calculate. Other than death, reasons for loss to follow-up were also not collected; nor did we collect data on reasons for early qwTCZ cessation or TCZ tapering, where this occurred.

In conclusion, TOC STOP provides descriptive real-world data on GCA patient outcomes in England after qwTCZ cessation. Relapse occurred in up to half of patients requiring a substantial increase in prednisolone dose. These data challenge the concept of GCA as a self-limiting disease and support a change to NHS England policy such as extended use of TCZ for GCA beyond 12 months or repeat treatment for GCA relapse.

2012/2000

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Hugh Davies, Chair of the Oxford Research Ethics Committee, Oxford UK, for his advice during the development of the evaluation protocol. We also thank Stephen Sah, of Luton and Dunstable Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and Hammersmith Medicines Research, London, UK, for statistical support.

The TOC STOP 2022 Investigators:

Saad Ahmed, Rheumatology Department, Colchester Hospital University NHS Foundation Trust, Colchester, UK; **Ismael Atchia**, Rheumatology Department, Northumbria Healthcare NHS Trust, Northumberland, UK; **James Bluett**, Rheumatology Department, Pennine MSK Partnership Ltd, Integrated Care Centre, Oldham, UK. and Versus Arthritis Centre for Genetics and Genomics, Centre for Musculoskeletal Research, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK; **Alex Connew**, Rheumatology Department, Furness General Hospital, Barrow in Furness, Cumbria, UK; **Elizabeth Coulson**, Rheumatology Department, Northumbria Healthcare NHS Trust, Northumberland, UK; **Helena Crawshaw**, Rheumatology Department, Gloucestershire Royal Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Gloucester, UK; **Ursula Davies**, Rheumatology Department, Surrey and Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust, UK; **Denise De Lord**, Rheumatology Department, East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust, Kent, UK; **Gurdeep Dulay**, Rheumatology Department, Portsmouth Hospitals University NHS Trust, Portsmouth, UK; **Amanda Embuldeniya**, Rheumatology Department, Surrey and East Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust, UK; **Carmen Fonesca**, Rheumatology Department, Whittington Health NHS Trust, London, UK; **James Galloway**, Rheumatology Department, King's College London, London, UK; **William Gubbins**, Rheumatology Department, Portsmouth Hospitals University NHS Trust, Portsmouth, UK; **Thushyanthan Guruparan**, Rheumatology Department, Isle of White NHS Trust, Isle of White, UK; **Rebecca Heaton**, Rheumatology Department, Stockport NHS Foundation Trust, Stockport, UK; **Martin James**, Rheumatology Department, Royal Devon University Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, Devon, UK; **Shalini Janagan**, Rheumatology department, Bristol Royal Infirmary, University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, UK; **Hilary Johnson**, Rheumatology Department, Gloucestershire Royal Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Gloucester, UK; **Areej Karim**, Rheumatology Department, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK; **Salema Khalid**, Rheumatology Department, Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust, Salisbury, UK; **Kath Kinsey**, Rheumatology Department, Pennine MSK Partnership Ltd, Integrated Care Centre, Oldham, UK; **Gouri Koduri**, Rheumatology Department, Southend University Hospital NHS Trust, Southend, UK; **Stuart Linton**, Rheumatology Department, Isle of Wight NHS Trust, St. Mary's Hospital, Parkhurst Road, Newport, UK; **Elizabeth MacPhie**, Rheumatology Department, Lancashire & South Cumbria NHS Foundation Trust, UK; **Arti Mahto**, Rheumatology Department, Kings College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; **Chetan Mukhtyar**, Vasculitis Service, Rheumatology department, Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Norwich, UK; **Anupama C Nandagudi**, Rheumatology Department, Mid and South Essex NHS Foundation Trust; **Pradip Nandi**, Rheumatology Department, Northampton General Acute NHS Trust, Northampton, UK; **Fathelrahman Osman**, Rheumatology Department, Liverpool University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, UK; **Sophie Plumb**, Rheumatology Department, Wye Valley NHS Trust, Hereford, UK; **Eavan Quinlan**, Rheumatology Department, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK; **Angela Redfern**, Liverpool University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, UK; **Marian Regan**, Rheumatology Department, University Hospitals of Derby and Burton NHS Foundation Trust, Derby, UK; **Vanessa Reid**, Rheumatology Department, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester University Foundation NHS Trust, Manchester, UK; **Dipak Roy**, Rheumatology Department, Tameside & Glossop Integrated Care NHS Foundation Trust, Ashton-under-Lyne, UK; **Sadaf Saeed**, Rheumatology Department, North Bristol NHS Trust, Bristol, UK; **Abbey Smith**, Rheumatology Department, The Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, UK; **Lauren Steel**, Rheumatology Department, Colchester Hospital University NHS Foundation Trust, Colchester, UK; **Rhys Thomas**, Rheumatology Department, Whittington Health NHS Trust, London, UK; **Adaeze Ugwoke**, Department of Rheumatology, Addenbrookes Hospital, Cambridge University Hospitals, Cambridge, UK; **Sarah Villar**, North Bristol NHS Trust, Bristol, UK; **Emma Williams**, Rheumatology Department, Royal Hampshire County Hospital, Hampshire, UK; **Fiona Wood**, Rheumatology Department, Furness General Hospital, Barrow in Furness, Cumbria, UK;

Mingrui Xie, Rheumatology Department, University College London NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK.

Funding: No specific funding was received from any bodies in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors to carry out the work described in this article.

Disclosure statement: **V.Q.** has received honoraria for educational and/or advisory services or travel support from Novartis, Abbvie, Pfizer and Roche and is a Trustee of the Charity PMRGCAuk. **M.A.** has received honoraria for educational services and support to attend national and international meetings from Chugai, Abbvie, UCB, Pfizer, and Janssen. **M.B.** has been sponsored to attend regional, national and international meetings by UCB celltech, Roche/Chugai, Pfizer, Abbvie, Merck, Mennarini, Janssen, Bristol-Myers Squib, Novartis and Eli-lilly He has received honoraria for speaking and attended advisory boards with Bristol-Myers Squib, UCB celltech, Roche/Chugai, Pfizer, Abbvie, Merck, Mennarini, Sanofi-aventis, Eli-Lilly, Janssen, Amgen, Novartis and Gilead. **S.D.** has received honoraria for educational and advisory services from Janssen and Boehringer-Ingelheim. **N.G.** has received research funding from AbbVie, Astra Zeneca, Lilly and Novartis, and speaker fees/honoraria from AbbVie, Janssen, Lilly, Novartis and UCB, Sponsorship for national and international meeting has been provided by Janssen, Lilly, Novartis and UCB. **J.H.** has received support for international meeting attendance from CSL Vifor. **M.H.** has received speaker fees from Abbvie. **A.K.** has received speaker fees from Galapagos and Novartis and consultancy fees from Novartis. **L.M.** as been sponsored to attend national and international meetings by UCB, Abbvie, Nordic Pharma. **S.L.M.** reports: consultancy on behalf of her institution for Roche/Chugai, Sanofi, AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Pfizer; Investigator on clinical trials for Sanofi, GSK, Sparrow; speaking/lecturing on behalf of her institution for Roche/Chugai, Vifor, Pfizer, UCB and Novartis; chief investigator on STERLING-PMR trial, funded by NIHR; patron of the charity PMRGCAuk. No personal remuneration was received for any of the above activities. Support from Roche/Chugai to attend EULAR2019 in person and from Pfizer to attend ACR Convergence 2021 virtually. S.L.M. is supported in part by the NIHR Leeds Biomedical Research Centre. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR, the NIHR Leeds Biomedical

Research Centre, the National Health Service or the UK Department of Health and Social Care.

Data availability statement

Anonymised data are available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at *Rheumatology* online.

References

1. Moreel L, Betrains A, Molenberghs G, Vanderschueren S, Blockmans D. Epidemiology and predictors of relapse in giant cell arteritis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Joint Bone Spine*. 2023 Jan;90(1):105494.
2. Mainbourg S, Addario A, Samson M, Puéchal X, François M, Durupt S, et al. Prevalence of Giant Cell Arteritis Relapse in Patients Treated with Glucocorticoids: A Meta-Analysis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2020 Jun;72(6):838-849.
3. Castan P, Dumont A, Deshayes S, Boutemy J, Martin Silva N, Maigné G, et al. Impact of Glucocorticoid Cumulative Doses in a Real-Life Cohort of Patients Affected by Giant Cell Arteritis. *J Clin Med*. 2022 Feb 16;11(4):1034.
4. Stone JH, Tuckwell K, Dimonaco S, Klearman M, Aringer M, Blockmans D, et al. Trial of Tocilizumab in Giant-Cell Arteritis. *N Engl J Med*. 2017 Jul 27;377(4):317-328.
5. Villiger PM, Adler S, Kuchen S, Wermelinger F, Dan D, Fiege V, Bütikofer L, Seitz M, Reichenbach S. Tocilizumab for induction and maintenance of remission in giant cell arteritis: a phase 2, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2016 May 7;387(10031):1921-7.
6. Nice.org.uk [Internet]. Tocilizumab for treating giant cell arteritis, NICE Technology Appraisal Guidance [18 April 2018, cited 26 May 2023]. Available from: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta518>.

7. Hellmich B, Agueda A, Monti S, Buttgereit F, de Boysson H, Brouwer E, et al. 2018 Update of the EULAR recommendations for the management of large vessel vasculitis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2020 Jan;79(1):19-30.
8. Clément J, Duffau P, Constans J, Schaefferbeke T, Viallard JF, Barcat D, et al. Real-world Risk of Relapse of Giant Cell Arteritis Treated with Tocilizumab: A Retrospective Analysis of 43 Patients. *J Rheumatol*. 2021 Sep;48(9):1435-1441.
9. Unizony SH, Bao M, Han J, Luder Y, Pavlov A, Stone JH. Treatment failure in giant cell arteritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2021 Nov;80(11):1467-1474.
10. Calderón-Goercke M, Castañeda S, Aldasoro V, Villa I, Moriano C, Romero-Yuste S, et al. Tocilizumab in refractory giant cell arteritis. Monotherapy versus combined therapy with conventional immunosuppressive drugs. Observational multicenter study of 134 patients. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 2021 Apr;51(2):387-394.
11. Regola F, Cerudelli E, Bosio G, Andreoli L, Tincani A, Franceschini F, Toniati P. Long-term treatment with tocilizumab in giant cell arteritis: efficacy and safety in a monocentric cohort of patients. *Rheumatol Adv Pract*. 2020 May 15;4(2):rkaa017.
12. Matza MA, Dagincourt N, Mohan SV, Pavlov A, Han J, Stone JH, Unizony SH. Outcomes during and after long-term tocilizumab treatment in patients with giant cell arteritis. *RMD Open*. 2023 Apr;9(2):e002923.
13. Tomelleri A, Campochiaro C, Farina N, Mariotti L, Baldissera E, Grayson PC, et al. Effectiveness of a two-year tapered course of tocilizumab in patients with giant cell arteritis: A single-centre prospective study. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 2023 Apr;59:152174. doi: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2023.152174.
14. Mainbourg S, Tabary A, Cucherat M, Gueyffier F, Lobbes H, Aussedat M, et al. Indirect Comparison of Glucocorticoid-Sparing Agents for Remission Maintenance in Giant Cell Arteritis: A Network Meta-analysis. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2022 Oct;97(10):1824-1835.
15. Stone J, Han J, Aringer M, Blockmans D, Brouwer E, Cid MC, et al. Long term effect of tocilizumab in patients with giant cell arteritis: open label phase of the Giant Cell Arteritis Actemra (GiACTA) trial. *The Lancet Rheumatology* 2021;5:E328-E336. doi.org/10.1016/ S2665-9913(21)00038-2.

16. Adler S, Reichenbach S, Gloor A, Yerly D, Cullmann JL, Villiger PM. Risk of relapse after discontinuation of tocilizumab therapy in giant cell arteritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2019 Sep 1;58(9):1639-1643.
17. Aussedat M, Lobbes H, Samson M, Euvrard R, Lega JC, Mainbourg S. Epidemiology of major relapse in giant cell arteritis: A study-level meta-analysis. *Autoimmun Rev*. 2022 Jan;21(1):102930.