

This is a repository copy of Inflation in non-local hybrid metric-Palatini gravity.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/226811/</u>

Version: Published Version

## Article:

Bombacigno, F. orcid.org/0000-0003-2869-0907, De Angelis, M. orcid.org/0000-0003-3432-8250, van de Bruck, C. orcid.org/0000-0001-8311-8941 et al. (1 more author) (2025) Inflation in non-local hybrid metric-Palatini gravity. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2025 (05). 025. ISSN 1475-7516

https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2025/05/025

#### Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/



## PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

# Inflation in non-local hybrid metric-Palatini gravity

To cite this article: Flavio Bombacigno et al JCAP05(2025)025

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

## You may also like

- <u>New class of hybrid metric-Palatini scalartensor theories of gravity</u> A. Borowiec and A. Kozak
- <u>The virial theorem and the dark matter</u> problem in hybrid metric-Palatini gravity Salvatore Capozziello, Tiberiu Harko, Tomi S. Koivisto et al.
- <u>Higgs inflation model with non-minimal</u> <u>coupling in hybrid Palatini approach</u> Brahim Asfour, Aatifa Bargach, Ahmed Errahmani et al.

ournal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics

RECEIVED: January 15, 2025 REVISED: March 17, 2025 ACCEPTED: April 10, 2025 PUBLISHED: May 13, 2025

## Inflation in non-local hybrid metric-Palatini gravity

Flavio Bombacigno<sup>(1)</sup>,<sup>a,\*</sup> Mariaveronica De Angelis<sup>(1)</sup>,<sup>b</sup> Carsten van de Bruck<sup>(1)</sup> and William Giarè<sup>(1)</sup>

- <sup>a</sup>Departament de Física Teòrica and IFIC, Centro Mixto Universitat de València CSIC, Universitat de València,
- Burjassot 46100, València, Spain
- <sup>b</sup>School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, University of Sheffield, Hounsfield Road, Sheffield S3 7RH, U.K.

*E-mail:* flavio2.bombacigno@uv.es, mdeangelis1@sheffield.ac.uk, c.vandebruck@sheffield.ac.uk, w.giare@sheffield.ac.uk

ABSTRACT: Within the framework of hybrid metric-Palatini gravity, we incorporate nonlocalities introduced via the inverse of the d'Alembert operators acting on the scalar curvature. We analyze the dynamical structure of the theory and, adopting a scalar-tensor perspective, assess the stability conditions to ensure the absence of ghost instabilities. Focusing on a special class of well-defined hybrid actions — where local and non-local contributions are carried by distinct types of curvature — we investigate the feasibility of inflation within the resulting Einstein-frame multi-field scenario. We examine how the non-minimal kinetic couplings between the fields, reflecting the non-local structure of the original frame, influence the number of e-folds and the field trajectories. To clarify the physical interpretation of our results, we draw analogies with benchmark single-field inflation scenarios that include spectator fields.

KEYWORDS: Gauss-Bonnet-Lovelock-Horndeski-Palatini etc gravity theories, modified gravity, inflation

ARXIV EPRINT: 2412.15064

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

#### Contents

1	Introduction	1
<b>2</b>	Non-localities for hybrid metric-Palatini gravity	5
3	Ghost free configurations	8
4	Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker cosmology	11
	4.1 The case $V = 0$	13
	4.2 The case $V \neq 0$	16
5	Conclusions	18
$\mathbf{A}$	Ghosts for the purely metric and Palatini case	21
в	Diagonalization for $\sigma_2 = 0$	<b>2</b> 1
С	Expressions of the $G$ function	21

## 1 Introduction

General Relativity (GR) represents our current best theoretical framework for describing and understanding gravitational interactions. Since its introduction in 1915, it has consistently demonstrated its ability to explain well-known phenomena, such as Mercury's perihelion precession, which could not be accounted for within the context of Newtonian gravity. Moreover, it quickly became evident that GR predicted previously unforeseen phenomena, all of which have been corroborated by direct observations, including (but not limited to) the bending of light in gravitational fields [1], the existence of black holes (BHs) [2], and the propagation of gravitational waves (GWs) [3].

Despite its remarkable success, GR faces several significant theoretical challenges. In strong-field regimes, such as near black holes and the Big Bang, the theory predicts singularities where physical quantities like curvature, temperature, or density diverge, causing physical laws (as we know them) to break down. Furthermore, all attempts to reconcile GR with quantum mechanics have so far been unsuccessful, limiting the theory's predictivity, especially in regimes where quantum gravitational effects — such as spacetime fluctuations at the Planck scale — are expected to play a crucial role. At these scales (possibly relevant for early Universe cosmology) GR struggles to provide a consistent framework, and quantum gravity effects are anticipated to dominate. Finally, GR is non-renormalizable because the gravitational coupling constant has dimensions, unlike the coupling constants in other fundamental forces, which are dimensionless. This leads to divergences in quantum field theory at high energies, meaning that quantum corrections produce infinite values that cannot be absorbed into a finite number of parameters. Consequently, GR becomes somewhat inconsistent in the high-energy limit, preventing it from being effectively integrated into quantum field theory frameworks.

To compound the challenges, when it comes to cosmological scales, GR and the Standard Model of particles (SM) on their own somehow fail to provide a coherent and satisfactory description of several observed phenomena. At the top of the list, we might mention the fact that the current accelerated expansion of the Universe sharply contrasts with GR's predictions when only the matter content expected from the SM is considered. This problem led to re-introduce a cosmological constant term in the Einstein field equations (initially proposed by the same Einstein in 1917 to achieve a static Universe, reflecting the prevailing *consensus* at the time that the Universe was unchanging and stationary), which is not free from conceptual problems [4-29] and seems challenged by recent observations [30-32]. Similarly, several independent observations, including the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) radiation and Large Scale Structure (LSS) of the Universe, contrast with what is expected in GR when only the matter content predicted by the SM is taken into account, providing indirect yet strong evidence for a missing mass in the universe commonly referred to as dark matter (DM). Last but not least, another de Sitter phase of accelerated expansion — inflation [33–36] — appears necessary to set appropriate initial conditions and explain key features of the observable Universe, such as its remarkable flatness and the thermal equilibrium of the CMB radiation. The microphysical interpretation of these features remains unclear within our current understanding of fundamental interactions.<sup>1</sup>

Adopting a critical perspective, it is certainly worth pondering whether GR is the ultimate theory of gravitation, or rather the low-energy limit of a more fundamental theory capable of addressing both the theoretical challenges surrounding our current understanding of gravitational interactions and the missing pieces in the Universe's puzzle outlined above. In fact, this possibility has motivated a significant portion of the high-energy physics and gravity community to explore various extended theories of gravity beyond GR.

Among the many scenarios explored, f(R) theories of gravity stand out due to their conceptual simplicity, relevance, and flexibility. These theories have been extensively studied in various cosmological contexts [75], demonstrating remarkable versatility in providing frameworks that can potentially account for a wide range of phenomena across energy scales differing by several orders of magnitude. At the heart of f(R) gravity is the idea of replacing the Ricci scalar R in the Einstein-Hilbert action of GR with a function f(R), which introduces additional degrees of freedom. The central theme across different applications is the modified dynamical evolution of the gravitational degrees of freedom, which is driven by the extra scalar field embedded in the f(R) formalism [76, 77]. While not exhaustive, it is worth noting that the inclusion of extra degrees of freedom in the effective gravitational action has proven to be a promising framework for addressing the dynamics of gravitating systems in the presence of DM [78–80]. These theories have also been proposed as viable alternatives for triggering a phase of repulsive gravity responsible for the current accelerated expansion of the Universe within dark energy (DE) models, as well as for inducing nearly de Sitter dynamics in the early Universe in the context of inflationary cosmology. In fact, when it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Many have argued that (part of) the tensions and anomalies emerging in recent years in cosmological and astrophysical data (most notably the Hubble tension [37–49] and the weak lensing discrepancy [50–61]) may be traced back to limitations of GR on cosmological scales, hindering its ability to fully capture the dynamics of the Universe either at the background or perturbation level. Without claiming completeness, we refer readers interested in works exploring the impact of modified gravity theories on cosmological tensions to refs. [62–74].

comes to inflation, it is no exaggeration to say that the most widely accepted models favoured by current observations — most notably the one proposed by Alexei Starobinsky [81] — are grounded in f(R) gravity (see, e.g., [81–89] and references therein).

That said, f(R) gravity is not free from limitations. These theories are often inadequate in the weak-field regime and require a screening mechanism [90–94]. To address this and other limitations, alternative formulations to metric gravity have been proposed where the connection is treated as an independent variable, encapsulating local invariance under GL(4) gauge transformations [95]. A notable example pertains to the Palatini gravity formalism, where the metric and the connection are varied independently in the action, resulting in distinct properties for the scalar field in the context of f(R) gravity [76, 96]. While this approach has been able to account for the late-time cosmic acceleration models, significant limitations remains due to gradient instabilities in cosmological perturbations [97]. In the spirit of hitting two targets with the same arrow — circumventing some of the shortcomings of both the Palatini and metric f(R) approaches — hybrid metric-Palatini gravity has been devised in recent years [98, 99] (see also [100]) where both types of formulations are considered within a unique theoretical framework and a viable screening mechanism is already exhibited at the level of the Jordan frame [101]. The implications in the cosmology of the extended dynamical content have received some attention [102–104].

An alternative approach, inspired by a quantum field theory perspective, to address the same limitations and challenges of GR involves considering non-local interaction terms in the Einstein-Hilbert action. The resulting non-local gravity theories, explored over the past decade, offer perspectives that are somewhat different from both f(R) models and hybrid metric-Palatini gravity, see, e.g., ref. [105] and references therein for reviews. Long story short, depending on the type of non-locality implemented, these non-local theories can be distinguished in general terms in infinite derivative and integral kernel theories of gravity, based respectively on analytic transcendental functions of the d'Alembert operator  $\Box$  or on the inverse operator  $\Box^{-1}$ . Infinite derivative models have demonstrated some interesting hints in addressing the issue of renormalizability, unitary and UV completion in gravitational theories beyond GR [106, 107], as well as in preventing the appearance of singularities as byproduct of the non-local smearing mechanism [108, 109]. Integral kernel theories were originally introduced in the seminal papers [110-112], where it is was discussed the possibility of reproducing the late-time expansion of the Universe via the application of the  $\Box^{-1}$  operator to the scalar curvature R. However, some criticalities of such an approach were outlined in ref. [113], and further extensions were proposed in ref. [114] by authors in order to amend the original flaws.

Drawing inspiration from the distinct challenges and opportunities presented by non-local gravity, f(R) models, and hybrid metric-Palatini gravity, this work takes a pioneering step forward by exploring the implications of incorporating non-localities into the hybrid metric-Palatini gravity framework, rigorously assessing the stability conditions of these combined theories and their applicability, particularly in the context of early Universe cosmology. We consider non-localities in the form of powers of the inverse of the d'Alembert operator, which we assume to act on both the type of curvatures, i.e. on the metric R and the Palatini  $\mathcal{R}$  Ricci scalar. In doing so, we extend the analysis of the purely metric case of ref. [115] to

embed also Palatini contributions, by following the hybrid formalism developed for  $f(R, \mathcal{R})$ theories in refs. [98, 99, 101]. Furthermore, always in analogy with ref. [115], we adopt the perspective of considering the non-local theory as equivalent to a *local* scalar-tensor model, where non-localities are *localized* via a suitable procedure relying on a set of auxiliary fields. That allows us to bypass the ambiguity of the retarded boundary conditions for the integral operator  $\Box^{-1}$  (see discussion in ref. [115] and [116, 117] for recent applications in gravitational wave phenomenology), as it was instead pursued in the original formulation<sup>2</sup>[110, 111] (see also ref. [114]).

We thereby demonstrate that a naïve extension of ref. [115] to the hybrid metric-Palatini case is not sufficient to remove the presence of ghost instabilities, whose number we show to depend on the sum of the highest powers of the  $\Box^{-k}$  operators acting on the metric and the Palatini curvature. We discuss how this is an unavoidable property of every non-degenerate non-local  $F(R, \mathcal{R}, \ldots, \Box^{-m}R, \ldots, \Box^{-n}\mathcal{R})$  action, even when a purely Palatini approach is enforced, in contrast with the findings of standard  $f(\mathcal{R})$  gravity where no additional degrees of freedom are excited. Following these considerations, we look then at specific configurations where degeneracy is explicitly violated, consisting in metric (Palatini) f(R) ( $f(\mathcal{R})$ ) models supplemented by Palatini (metric) non-local terms, where non-localities are linearly coupled to the curvature and introduce deformations to the Starobinsky-like potential, providing a novel path to test the robustness of the model. We elucidate how such a hybridization mechanism is capable of restoring dynamical stability, and we derive a set of algebraic constraints assuring the absence of ghost modes in the corresponding three-dimensional scalar field space. In the second part of the work we address the feasibility of slow-roll inflation in such a theoretical framework, and as a preliminary step we assess the well-posedness of the first-order slow-roll parameter, ultimately resulting in additional constraints among the derivatives of the potential and the fields. We then numerically evaluate possible inflationary scenarios at the level of background evolution, analyzing how both the trajectories of the scalar fields over the potential and the e-fold number are affected by different choices of the initial non-localities. We focus in particular on quadratic metric f(R) models accompanied by Palatini non-local terms, which turn out to be the only viable scenario for a finite slow-roll phase, being the quadratic Palatini  $f(\mathcal{R})$  case with metric non-localities plagued by an infinite slow-rolling stage along one scalar field direction. Eventually, for every reliable scenario exhibiting the adequate number of e-folds, we numerically check a *posteriori* the consistency of the no-ghost and slow-roll conditions along the dynamical evolution of the scalar fields.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we discuss the general framework, elucidating how ghost instabilities are introduced at the level of the scalar-tensor representation in the Einstein frame. In section 3 we analyse two special configurations where local and non-local terms are carried by different types of curvature, which we proved to be dynamically stable. In section 4 the general conditions for having a well-behaved slow-roll inflationary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In this respect, it is important to highlight that the choice of a priori independent affine connection does not invalidate the assumptions of [115]. As discussed in [118], the generalization of the D'Alembert operator to curved spacetimes does not introduce additional non-Riemannian couplings for  $\Box$  acting on scalar fields. This implies that torsion and non-metricity do not enter the definition of the wave operator, which is ultimately determined only by the metric. For a detailed and instructive analysis on the role of non-Riemannian geometry in the generalization of quantum field theories from Minkowski to curved space-times see [118].

phase are set, and we numerically determine the e-fold number and the trajectories of the fields over the potential for different initial non-local terms, ultimately resulting in distinct non-diagonal kinetic terms and potential contributions in the Einstein frame. Finally, conclusions are drawn in section 5.

Conventions about the formalism adopted and the fundamental constants are established as follows. The gravitational coupling is set as  $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$ , with c = 1, and the spacetime signature is chosen mostly plus. The definition of the Riemann tensor we used is displayed by  $\mathcal{R}^{\rho}_{\mu\sigma\nu} = \partial_{\sigma}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\sigma} + \Gamma^{\rho}_{\tau\sigma}\Gamma^{\tau}_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\tau\nu}\Gamma^{\tau}_{\mu\sigma}$ .

## 2 Non-localities for hybrid metric-Palatini gravity

The starting point of our analysis is the non-local model discussed in ref. [115], that here we extend along the lines of the hybrid framework introduced in refs. [99, 101]. We consider the action

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} F(R, \mathcal{R}, \Box^{-1}R, \dots, \Box^{-m}R, \Box^{-1}\mathcal{R}, \dots, \Box^{-n}\mathcal{R}),$$
(2.1)

where F is a function both of the Ricci curvature R, which is built from the Levi-Civita connection for the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , and the Palatini Ricci curvature  $\mathcal{R}$  depending instead on the independent connection  $\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}$ , i.e.

$$R = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}(g) \tag{2.2}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma). \tag{2.3}$$

In order to put the original action in a scalar-tensor form, we rewrite eq. (2.1) as

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( F(R,\mathcal{R},\vec{\alpha},\vec{\beta}) - \lambda_1 (\Box \alpha_1 - R) - \rho_1 (\Box \beta_1 - \mathcal{R}) + -\sum_{i=2}^m \lambda_i (\Box \alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}) - \sum_{j=2}^n \rho_j (\Box \beta_j - \beta_{j-1}) \right),$$
(2.4)

where we introduced the *n*-tuple and *m*-tuple of real scalar fields  $\alpha_i, \beta_j$ , denoted respectively by  $\vec{\alpha}$  and  $\vec{\beta}$ , and the related Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda_i, \rho_j$ . Variation of eq. (2.1) with respect to  $\lambda_i, \rho_j$  guarantees that the original formulation is consistently recovered, how it is showed by

$$\begin{split} \delta_{\lambda_1} S &\to \alpha_1 = \Box^{-1} R, \\ \delta_{\lambda_2} S &\to \alpha_2 = \Box^{-1} \alpha_1 = \Box^{-2} R, \\ & \dots \\ \delta_{\lambda_i} S &\to \alpha_i = \Box^{-1} \alpha_{i-1} = \Box^{-i} R, \end{split}$$

and analogously for  $\rho_j$  and the Palatini contribution. Then, the  $F(R, \mathcal{R}, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta})$  part can be further rearranged as

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial \chi} (R - \chi) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta} (\mathcal{R} - \eta) + F(\chi, \eta, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}) \right), \qquad (2.5)$$

so that by defining  $F_{\chi} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \chi} \equiv \phi$  and  $F_{\eta} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta} \equiv \xi$ , we can rewrite the action as

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( (\phi + \lambda_1) R + (\xi + \rho_1) \mathcal{R} - W \left( \phi, \xi, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}, \vec{\lambda}, \vec{\rho} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^m (\nabla_\mu \alpha_j \nabla^\mu \lambda_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n (\nabla_\mu \beta_j \nabla^\mu \rho_j) \right),$$
(2.6)

where we assumed  $F_{\chi\chi}F_{\eta\eta} - F_{\chi\eta}^2 \neq 0$  in order to have a well-defined inversion

$$\begin{cases} \chi = f(\phi, \xi, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}) \\ \eta = g(\phi, \xi, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}) . \end{cases}$$
(2.7)

We also introduced the potential term

$$W = \phi f(\phi, \xi, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}) + \xi g(\phi, \xi, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}) - F(\phi, \xi, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{j-1} \lambda_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{j-1} \rho_j, \qquad (2.8)$$

provided the identification  $\alpha_0, \beta_0 = 0$ . Now, by varying eq. (2.6) with respect to the connection  $\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}$ , under the hypothesis of vanishing torsion  $(\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\nu\mu} = 0)$  and metric compatibility  $(\nabla_{\rho}g_{\mu\nu} = 0)$ , it is possible to show that the equation for the connection takes the form

$$\nabla_{\rho} \left( \sqrt{-g} \Xi g^{\mu\nu} \right) = 0, \qquad (2.9)$$

where  $\Xi \equiv \xi + \rho_1$ , which admits as solution the Levi-Civita connection for the conformal metric  $h_{\mu\nu} = \Xi g_{\mu\nu}$ , i.e.

$$\Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} h^{\rho\sigma} \left( \partial_{\mu} h_{\nu\sigma} + \partial_{\nu} h_{\mu\sigma} - \partial_{\sigma} h_{\mu\nu} \right).$$
(2.10)

In terms of the original metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and the scalar field  $\Xi$ , this can be further rewritten as

$$\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\rho\sigma}\left(\partial_{\mu}g_{\nu\sigma} + \partial_{\nu}g_{\mu\sigma} - \partial_{\sigma}g_{\mu\nu}\right) + \frac{1}{2\Xi}\left(\delta^{\rho}_{\ \nu}\partial_{\mu}\Xi + \delta^{\rho}_{\ \mu}\partial_{\nu}\Xi - g_{\mu\nu}\partial^{\rho}\Xi\right),\tag{2.11}$$

so that it is possible to express the Palatini curvature as

$$\mathcal{R} = R + \frac{3\nabla_{\mu}\Xi\nabla^{\mu}\Xi}{2\Xi^2} - \frac{\Box\Xi}{\Xi}.$$
(2.12)

We note that assuming from the very beginning a torsionless and metric-compatible connection is not mandatory, as a dynamical equivalent result can be obtained by solving the original equation of the connection for the different components of torsion and non-metricity. In this case, indeed, once the spurious degrees of freedom of the affine connection have been gauged out by virtue of the projective symmetry of the model, the non-Riemannian parts of the connection can be completely solved in terms of the derivatives of the scalar field  $\Xi$ , so that the final expression of the Palatini curvature is still displayed by (2.12) (see refs. [76, 96, 119] for technical details). It is then possible to rearrange the action (2.6) into the form

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( (\phi + \lambda_1 + \Xi)R + \frac{3\nabla_\mu \Xi \nabla^\mu \Xi}{2\Xi} - W \left( \phi, \xi, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}, \vec{\lambda}, \vec{\rho} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^m (\nabla_\mu \alpha_j \nabla^\mu \lambda_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n (\nabla_\mu \beta_j \nabla^\mu \rho_j) \right).$$
(2.13)

At this stage, we observe that is always possible to perform a linear field redefinition of the form

$$\begin{cases} \phi + \lambda_1 + \Xi = \Phi \\ \lambda_i = a_{11}^{(i)} \psi_1^{(i)} + a_{12}^{(i)} \psi_2^{(i)} \\ \alpha_i = a_{21}^{(i)} \psi_1^{(i)} + a_{22}^{(i)} \psi_2^{(i)} \\ \rho_i = b_{11}^{(i)} \omega_1^{(i)} + b_{12}^{(i)} \omega_2^{(i)} \\ \beta_i = b_{21}^{(i)} \omega_1^{(i)} + b_{22}^{(i)} \omega_2^{(i)} , \end{cases}$$

$$(2.14)$$

which is well-defined as long as the Jacobian of the transformation is non trivial. Such a requirement leads in this case to the condition

$$|J| = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \det A^{(i)} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \det B^{(j)} \neq 0,$$
(2.15)

where we defined the submatrices

$$A^{(i)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{(i)} & a_{12}^{(i)} \\ a_{21}^{(i)} & a_{22}^{(i)} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B^{(i)} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11}^{(i)} & b_{12}^{(i)} \\ b_{21}^{(i)} & b_{22}^{(i)} \end{pmatrix},$$
(2.16)

and it is easy to see that  $|J| \neq 0$  simply amounts to require

$$\det A^{(i)}, \ \det B^{(j)} \neq 0 \quad \forall \ i, j.$$

$$(2.17)$$

Under these conditions, the action can be rearranged as

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa^{2}} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left( \Phi R + \frac{3(\nabla \Xi)^{2}}{2\Xi} - W(\Phi, \Xi, \vec{\psi}_{1}, \vec{\psi}_{2}, \vec{\omega}_{1}, \vec{\omega}_{2}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} K_{(i)}^{kl} \nabla_{\mu} \psi_{k}^{(i)} \nabla^{\mu} \psi_{l}^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} H_{(j)}^{kl} \nabla_{\mu} \omega_{k}^{(j)} \nabla^{\mu} \omega_{l}^{(j)} \right),$$
(2.18)

with k, l = 1, 2 and

$$K_{(i)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{(i)} a_{21}^{(i)} & \frac{a_{11}^{(i)} a_{22}^{(i)} + a_{12}^{(i)} a_{21}^{(i)}}{2} \\ \frac{a_{11}^{(i)} a_{22}^{(i)} + a_{12}^{(i)} a_{21}^{(i)}}{2} & a_{12}^{(i)} a_{22}^{(i)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_{(i)} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11}^{(i)} b_{21}^{(i)} & \frac{b_{11}^{(i)} b_{22}^{(i)} + b_{12}^{(i)} b_{21}^{(i)}}{2} \\ \frac{b_{11}^{(i)} b_{22}^{(i)} + b_{12}^{(i)} b_{21}^{(i)}}{2} & b_{12}^{(i)} b_{22}^{(i)} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2.19)

A simple realization for the matrices  $A_{(i)}, B_{(j)}$  is displayed by

$$A_{(i)} = B_{(j)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(2.20)

which allows us to rewrite  $K_{(i)}, H_{(j)}$  in the diagonal form

$$K_{(i)} = H_{(j)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall i, j$$
 (2.21)

so that we obtain

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \Phi R + \frac{3(\nabla \Xi)^2}{2\Xi} + (\Psi + \Omega) - W(\Phi, \Xi, \vec{\psi}_1, \vec{\psi}_2, \vec{\omega}_1, \vec{\omega}_2) \right), \quad (2.22)$$

where we introduced the shortcut notation

$$\Psi \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( (\nabla \psi_1^{(i)})^2 - (\nabla \psi_2^{(i)})^2 \right) , \qquad (2.23)$$

$$\mathbf{\Omega} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( (\nabla \omega_1^{(j)})^2 - (\nabla \omega_2^{(j)})^2 \right) \,. \tag{2.24}$$

Eventually, we can rewrite the action in the Einstein frame defined by the conformal transformation  $q_{\mu\nu} = \Phi g_{\mu\nu}$ , which results in

$$S_E = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-q} \left( R(q) - \frac{3(\nabla\Phi)^2}{2\Phi^2} + \frac{3(\nabla\Xi)^2}{2\Phi\Xi} + \frac{(\Psi + \Omega)}{\Phi} - \frac{W(\Phi, \Xi, \vec{\psi}_1, \vec{\psi}_2, \vec{\omega}_1, \vec{\omega}_2)}{\Phi^2} \right).$$
(2.25)

It is clear, then, that by fixing the order N = n + m of non-localities, the theory is always endowed with at least N ghosts, irrespective of the sign of the field  $\Phi$  and the form of the function F. Moreover, if we require that  $\Phi > 0$  for the conformal transformation to be well-defined, an additional ghost is present for  $\Xi > 0$ , which agrees with the results of [101]. In particular, by selecting the  $\Phi > 0$  branch we can re-define the fields as

$$\Phi = e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi_C}, \qquad \Xi = \sigma_{\xi} \frac{\Xi_C^2}{6}, \qquad (2.26)$$

where  $\sigma_{\Xi} = \pm 1$  is the sign of the field  $\Xi$ , and rewrite the action in its final form

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-q} \left( R(q) - (\nabla\Phi_C)^2 + \frac{\sigma_{\xi}(\nabla\Xi_C)^2 + \Psi + \Omega}{e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi_C}} - \frac{W(\Phi, \Xi, \vec{\psi}_1, \vec{\psi}_2, \vec{\omega}_1, \vec{\omega}_2)}{e^{2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi_C}} \right),\tag{2.27}$$

where all the kinetic terms have a canonical form up to a possible coupling with the field  $\Phi_C$ . In appendix A we briefly discuss the peculiar case where only one type of curvature is considered in the initial action, showing how this does not alter the main conclusion about the structure and the number of ghost fields.

#### **3** Ghost free configurations

In ref. [115] it was outlined that ghost instabilities can be removed by simply considering the linear coupling between the metric Ricci curvature and the nonlocal part of the action. Here we extend such a result, and we present two specific models where dynamics is stabilized by supplementing f(R)-like theories with nonlocal terms retaining the same kind of coupling of ref. [115]. In doing this, we follow the idea of ref. [98], and we pursue *hybridization* additively, by endowing the f(R) term with a nonlocal part depending on the type of curvature not contained in the original f function argument. That amounts to considering two possible configurations, displayed by the following Lagrangians:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = f(\mathcal{R}) + R G(\Box^{-1} R) - V(\Box^{-1} R), \qquad \mathcal{L}_2 = f(R) + \mathcal{R} G(\Box^{-1} \mathcal{R}) - V(\Box^{-1} \mathcal{R}).$$
(3.1)

For the sake of completeness, we also included a function V which does not affect the stability of the scalar modes (see discussion below) but plays the role of a potential term for the resulting scalar-tensor theory. We also note that configurations in eq. (3.1) fall outside the discussion of section 2, in that condition  $F_{RR}F_{RR} - F_{RR}^2 \neq 0$  is now evaded. The first case we address is the Palatini  $f(\mathcal{R})$  theory in the presence of metric nonlocalities as in  $\mathcal{L}_1$ , which by following the procedure illustrated in section 2 can be recast in the following scalar-tensor form

$$\begin{split} S_1 &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( f(\mathcal{R}) + R \, G(\Box^{-1}R) - V(\Box^{-1}R) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \xi \mathcal{R} - U(\xi) + (\lambda + G(\alpha))R + \nabla_\mu \alpha \nabla^\mu \lambda - V(\alpha)) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( (\xi + \lambda + G(\alpha))R + \frac{3(\nabla\xi)^2}{2\xi} + \nabla_\mu \alpha \nabla^\mu \lambda - (V(\alpha) + U(\xi)) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \phi R + \frac{3(\nabla\xi)^2}{2\xi} + \nabla_\mu \alpha \nabla^\mu (\phi - \xi - G(\alpha)) - W(\alpha, \xi) \right), \end{split}$$

where between the third and the fourth line we introduced the field  $\phi \equiv \xi + \lambda + G(\alpha)$ . Moving to the Einstein frame the action can be further rearranged as

$$S_{1} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^{2}} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-q} \left( \tilde{R} - \frac{3(\nabla\phi)^{2}}{2\phi^{2}} + \frac{3(\nabla\xi)^{2}}{2\phi\xi} + \frac{\nabla_{\mu}\alpha\nabla^{\mu}(\phi - \xi - G(\alpha))}{\phi} - \frac{W(\alpha,\xi)}{\phi^{2}} \right),$$
(3.2)

with the kinetic matrix displayed by

$$K_{1} = \frac{1}{\phi} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2\phi} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2\xi} & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & G'(\alpha) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3.3)

We recall that the absence of ghosts is guaranteed if the kinetic matrix is positive definite, which usually is understood, for symmetric matrices, as the positiveness of its eigenvalues. Even if these can be found in principle by diagonalizing the kinetic matrix, due to the unknown dependence of the function G on the scalar field  $\alpha$ , it is in general not possible to recover the functional relation between the old field base and the diagonal one, so that the original action cannot be explicitly rewritten in the latter. Therefore, in looking for equivalent definitions of positiveness which could ease the analysis, we resort to the so-called Sylvester's criterion, which for a symmetric real matrix allows us to consider the signs of the determinants of the upper left  $k \times k$  submatrices  $M_k$ , with  $1 \le k \le n$ , where n is the dimension of the original matrix. By applying this to  $K_1$  we then demand that the following conditions hold simultaneously:

$$\begin{split} ||M_1|| &= \frac{3}{2\phi^2} > 0, \\ ||M_2|| &= -\frac{9}{4\phi^3\xi} > 0, \\ ||M_3|| &= \frac{3(\phi - \xi) - 18G'(\alpha)}{8\phi^4\xi} > 0, \end{split}$$

and it is easy to check that the solution for the set of inequalities is given by  $\phi > 0, \xi < 0, G'(\alpha) > \frac{\phi-\xi}{6}$  (we disregard the configuration with  $\phi < 0, \xi > 0, G'(\alpha) < \frac{\phi-\xi}{6}$ , since in this case the conformal transformation defining the Einstein frame is not well-behaved). Eventually, we note that some of the kinetic terms of  $K_1$  can be put in their canonical form by redefining the fields as in section 2, i.e.

$$\phi = e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi_c}, \ \xi = -\frac{\Xi_c^2}{6}, \ \Psi_c = \int \sqrt{G'(\alpha)} d\alpha, \tag{3.4}$$

with the definition of  $\Psi_c$  which has to be understood for an assigned  $G(\alpha)$  function. That allows us to rewrite eq. (3.2) as

$$S_{1} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^{2}} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-q} \left( \tilde{R} - (\nabla\Phi_{c})^{2} - \frac{(\nabla\Xi_{c})^{2} + (\nabla\Psi_{c})^{2}}{e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi_{c}}} + \frac{d\alpha}{d\Psi_{c}} \nabla^{\mu}\Psi_{c} \left( \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\nabla_{\mu}\Phi_{c} + \frac{\Xi_{c}}{3e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi_{c}}} \nabla_{\mu}\Xi_{c} \right) - \frac{W_{1}(\Psi_{c}, \Xi_{c})}{e^{2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi_{c}}} \right).$$
(3.5)

We stress that in this case the potential is separable in the fields  $\Psi_c, \Xi_c$ , up to a global factor depending solely on the field  $\Phi_c$ , i.e.

$$W_1(\Psi_c, \Xi_c) = V(\alpha(\Psi_c)) + U\left(-\frac{\Xi_c^2}{6}\right).$$
(3.6)

We anticipate that this peculiar configuration forbids a clear implementation of an inflationary scenario, in that it does not provide a clear mechanism for preventing the field  $\Phi_c$  to slow roll indefinitely (as we discuss in more detail in section 5).

For what concerns the model  $\mathcal{L}_2$ , it formally retains the same structure of  $\mathcal{L}_1$ , but with the two curvature interchanged, so that we deal with an initial metric f(R) theory supplemented by Palatini nonlocalities. In this case the procedure of localization results in

$$\begin{split} S_2 &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( f(R) + \mathcal{R} \, G(\Box^{-1}\mathcal{R}) - V(\Box^{-1}\mathcal{R}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \xi R - U(\xi) + (\rho + G(\beta))\mathcal{R} + \nabla_\mu \beta \nabla^\mu \rho - V(\beta) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( (\xi + \rho + G(\beta))R + \frac{3(\nabla(\rho + G(\beta)))^2}{2(\rho + G(\beta))} + \nabla_\mu \beta \nabla^\mu \rho - (V(\beta) + U(\xi)) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \phi R + \frac{3(\nabla\psi)^2}{2\psi} + \nabla_\mu \beta \nabla^\mu (\psi - G(\beta)) - W_2(\beta, \phi - \psi) \right), \end{split}$$

where now we redefined the fields as  $\phi = \xi + \rho + G(\beta)$ ,  $\psi \equiv \rho + G(\beta)$ , with  $\beta$  kept untouched. In the Einstein frame,  $S_2$  can be rearranged as

$$S_{2} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^{2}} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-q} \left( \tilde{R} - \frac{3(\nabla\phi)^{2}}{2\phi^{2}} + \frac{3(\nabla\psi)^{2}}{2\phi\psi} + \frac{\nabla_{\mu}\beta\nabla^{\mu}(\psi - G(\beta))}{\phi} - \frac{W_{2}(\beta, \phi - \psi)}{\phi^{2}} \right),$$
(3.7)

and the kinetic matrix is now displayed by

$$K_{2} = \frac{1}{\phi} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2\phi} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2\psi} & -\frac{1}{2}\\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & G'(\beta) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3.8)

Following the discussion for  $K_1$ , we see that in this case the Sylvester's criterion gives us the conditions

$$\begin{split} ||M_1|| &= \frac{3}{2\phi^2} > 0, \\ ||M_2|| &= -\frac{9}{4\phi^3\psi} > 0, \\ ||M_3|| &= -\frac{3(\psi + 6G'(\beta))}{8\phi^4\psi} > 0, \end{split}$$

whose only feasible solution is now  $\phi > 0, \psi < 0, G'(\beta) > -\frac{\psi}{6}$ . Then, by redefining the scalar fields as in the case of  $S_1$ , by simply trading the roles of  $\xi, \alpha$  with  $\psi, \beta$ , the action  $S_2$  takes the form

$$S_{2} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^{2}} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-q} \left( \tilde{R} - (\nabla \Phi_{c})^{2} - \frac{(\nabla \Xi_{c})^{2} + (\nabla \Psi_{c})^{2}}{e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi_{c}}} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{d\beta}{d\Psi_{c}} \frac{\Xi_{c}}{e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi_{c}}} \nabla^{\mu}\Psi_{c}\nabla_{\mu}\Xi_{c} - \frac{W_{2}(\Phi_{c},\Psi_{c},\Xi_{c})}{e^{2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi_{c}}} \right).$$
(3.9)

In this case the potential displays the nice property of a U component depending both on the  $\Xi_c$  and the  $\Phi_c$  fields,

$$W_2(\Phi_c, \Psi_c, \Xi_c) = V(\beta(\Psi_c)) + U\left(e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi_c} + \frac{\Xi_c^2}{6}\right),$$
(3.10)

which can potentially prevent the latter from an endless slow rolling phase. The functional dependence on  $\Psi_c$  is still separable, and in general, as discussed in section 5, it can be conveniently neglected when interested into inflation. We conclude this section by noting that eq. (3.9) can be further manipulated into a diagonal form, but since it does not contribute to a significant improvement in the numerical analysis, we choose to report the corresponding expression in appendix B in order to not overburden the mathematical exposition. Instead, we consider an application of the theory to inflationary cosmology in the very early universe.

#### 4 Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker cosmology

In this section we perform a numerical analysis of the homogeneous and isotropic cosmological background described by the flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker line element

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a(t)^{2}(dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2}), \qquad (4.1)$$

with a(t) denoting the scale factor. In order to present the equations of motion in a more compact way, it is useful to rewrite eq. (3.5) and eq. (3.9) in the concise form

$$S_{i} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^{2}} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-q} \left( \tilde{R} - (\nabla\Phi)^{2} - \frac{(\nabla\Xi)^{2} + (\nabla\Psi)^{2}}{e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi}} + \frac{d\chi_{i}}{d\Psi} \nabla^{\mu}\Psi \left( \sigma_{i}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\nabla_{\mu}\Phi + \frac{\Xi_{c}}{3e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi}}\nabla_{\mu}\Xi \right) - Y_{i} \right),$$

$$(4.2)$$

where  $\chi_i = \alpha, \beta$  and  $\sigma_i = 1, 0$  for i = 1, 2 respectively, and we dropped the *c* subscript for the sake of clarity. We also introduced the generalized potential  $Y_i = \frac{W_i}{e^2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}\Phi}}$ . Then, by varying eq. (4.2) with respect to  $\Phi, \Xi$  and  $\Psi$  we obtain

$$\Box \Phi + \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi}}{\sqrt{6}} \left( (\nabla \Xi)^2 + (\nabla \Psi)^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial \Phi} + \frac{\sigma_i}{\sqrt{6}} \nabla_\mu \left( \frac{d\chi_i}{d\Psi} \nabla^\mu \Psi \right) - \frac{\Xi}{3\sqrt{6}} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi} \frac{d\chi_i}{d\Psi} \nabla_\mu \Psi \nabla^\mu \Xi = 0,$$
(4.3)

$$\Box \Xi - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \nabla_{\mu} \Xi \nabla^{\mu} \Phi - \frac{e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi}}{2} \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial \Xi} + \frac{\Xi}{6} \left( \frac{d^2 \chi_i}{d\Psi^2} (\nabla \Psi)^2 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{d\chi_i}{d\Psi} \nabla_{\mu} \Phi \nabla^{\mu} \Psi + \frac{d\chi_i}{d\Psi} \Box \Psi \right) = 0, \qquad (4.4)$$

$$\Box \Psi - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \nabla_{\mu} \Psi \nabla^{\mu} \Phi - \frac{e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi}}{2} \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial \Psi} + \frac{d\chi_i}{d\Psi} \left( \frac{\sigma_i}{\sqrt{6}} e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi} \Box \Phi + \frac{\Xi}{6} \Box \Xi + \frac{(\nabla \Xi)^2}{6} - \frac{\Xi}{3\sqrt{6}} \nabla_{\mu} \Phi \nabla^{\mu} \Xi \right) = 0.$$
(4.5)

Now, turning our attention to the equation for the metric field

$$G_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_{\mu} \Phi \nabla_{\nu} \Phi - \frac{\nabla_{\mu} \Xi \nabla_{\nu} \Xi + \nabla_{\mu} \Psi \nabla_{\nu} \Psi}{e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \Phi}} + \frac{d\chi_{i}}{d\Psi} \nabla_{\mu} \Psi \left( \sigma_{i} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \nabla_{\nu} \Phi + \frac{\Xi_{c}}{3e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \Phi}} \nabla_{\nu} \Xi \right) + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \left( (\nabla \Phi)^{2} + \frac{(\nabla \Xi)^{2} + (\nabla \Psi)^{2}}{e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \Phi}} - \frac{d\chi_{i}}{d\Psi} \nabla^{\rho} \Psi \left( \sigma_{i} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \nabla_{\rho} \Phi + \frac{\Xi_{c}}{3e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \Phi}} \nabla_{\rho} \Xi \right) + Y_{i} \right) = 0,$$

$$(4.6)$$

it is easy to derive from the tt-component the well-known Friedmann equation

$$H^{2} = \frac{1}{6} \left( \dot{\Phi}^{2} + e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi} (\dot{\Xi}^{2} + \dot{\Psi}^{2}) - \frac{d\chi_{i}}{d\Psi} \dot{\Psi} \left( \sigma_{i} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \dot{\Phi} + e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi} \frac{\Xi}{3} \dot{\Xi} \right) + Y_{i} \right), \qquad (4.7)$$

whereas the ij-component results in

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \dot{\Phi}^2 + e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi} (\dot{\Xi}^2 + \dot{\Psi}^2) - \frac{d\chi_i}{d\Psi} \dot{\Psi} \left( \sigma_i \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \dot{\Phi} + e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi} \frac{\Xi}{3} \dot{\Xi} \right) \right), \tag{4.8}$$

with  $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$ . We require the leading order slow-roll parameter to be positive, i.e.  $\epsilon_0 \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} > 0$ , usually corresponding in inflaton models with a single scalar field to neglect

effective phantom dark energy scenarios (w < -1). Moreover, we can recast the no-ghost condition in terms of the fields  $\Phi, \Xi, \Psi$  as

$$\frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{d\chi_i}{d\Psi}\right)^2 \left(\sigma_i e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi} + \frac{\Xi^2}{6}\right) < 1.$$

$$(4.9)$$

In the following subsections, we present and discuss the numerical results obtained by integrating the equations of motion outlined in this section, considering different case studies. We distinguish between two cases:  $V(\Box^{-1}\mathcal{R}) = 0$  and  $V(\Box^{-1}\mathcal{R}) \neq 0$ . For each case, we examine the impact of different functional forms of the kinetic coupling. The algorithm used to integrate the equations of motion is based on previous work by some of us [120–123], with modifications made to suit these particular cases.

#### 4.1 The case V = 0

In this section we specialize the analysis to models with  $V(\Box^{-1}\mathcal{R}) = 0$ . As discussed in section 3, such a condition does not affect the dynamical stability of the theory, but just deprives the global potential of the dependence on the field  $\Psi$ . Furthermore, we consider for the local f(R)  $(f(\mathcal{R}))$  part a simple quadratic correction to the standard GR term, with hybrid non-localities given by the function G. That amounts to consider actions of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = a_1 \mathcal{R} + b_1 \mathcal{R}^2 + R G(\Box^{-1} R), \qquad \mathcal{L}_2 = a_2 R + b_2 R^2 + \mathcal{R} G(\Box^{-1} \mathcal{R}), \qquad (4.10)$$

which by following the procedure described in section 3 result in the Einstein frame potential:

$$Y_i(\Phi,\Xi,\Psi) = \frac{\left((1-\sigma_i)e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi} + (-1)^{\sigma_i}\frac{\Xi^2}{6} - a_i\right)^2}{4b_i e^{2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi}}.$$
(4.11)

The existence of a global minimum is a necessary property we demand in broad terms the potential to be endowed with, in order for the slow-rolling phase to terminate at some point in the trajectories' space for the scalar fields and the reheating stage to be possible to settle. By inspection of eq. (4.11), we see then that for  $\sigma_1 = 1$  the potential  $Y_1$  is independent of the field  $\Phi$ , so that at least in the  $\Phi$ -direction the fields are expected to keep to slow roll, even if eventually they reach the minimum in the subspace spanned by  $\Psi$  and  $\Xi$ . In this work, we are mainly interested in slow-rolling realizations of inflationary scenarios, and we leave for a subsequent analysis the discussion of alternative mechanisms in multi-field cosmology, e.g. ultra-slow roll, hybrid or rapid turn inflation. Our primary goal is indeed to demonstrate that a hybrid non-local extension of standard f(R) gravity is theoretically compatible with an inflationary paradigm at least at the level of background dynamics, so that we look for the simplest realization of such a configuration, leaving aside for the moment the issues related to the role of perturbations. For all these reasons, we consider from now on only the case  $\sigma_2 = 0$ , where the potential  $Y_2$  simplifies in

$$Y_2(\Phi,\Xi) = \frac{\left(e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi} + \frac{\Xi^2}{6} - a_2\right)^2}{4b_2 e^2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi}.$$
(4.12)

In general, we seek a well-shaped plateau potential with a minimum to enable inflation to occur by choosing, in the numerical implementations, suitable values for  $a_2$  and  $b_2$ . However, the shape of the potential is not tightly constrained by these chosen values. Indeed, by requiring the potential to be positive we obtain the constraints  $a_2 > 0$  and  $b_2 > 0$ , with  $a_2$  determining the location of the minimum and  $\frac{1}{b_2}$  the height of the potential. For this configuration the no-ghost condition can be rearranged as

$$0 < \left(\frac{d\chi_2}{d\Psi}\frac{\Xi}{6}\right)^2 < 1,\tag{4.13}$$

which according to the form of the function  $\chi_2(\Psi)$  determines the sub-region of plane  $\{\Xi, \Psi\}$ where the motion of the scalar fields must be confined. We remark that for  $\sigma_2 = 0$  the field  $\Phi$  does not enter the former inequality and motion is not a priori constrained in the  $\Phi$ -direction. The explicit dependence of  $\chi_2$  on  $\Psi$  is established by the original choice of the non-local coupling G, but since the  $\Psi$ -factor in eq. (4.13) is the same appearing in the equations of motion (4.3)–(4.5), it is more reasonable for the sake of numerical computation to deal directly with different possible choices of  $K(\Psi) \equiv \frac{d\chi_2}{d\Psi}$ . Specifically, we will discuss in the following the two configurations displayed by

$$K(\Psi) = k\Psi^{1+n}, \qquad K(\Psi) = ke^{n\Psi}, \tag{4.14}$$

that we dub respectively power-law and exponential kinetic coupling case, inasmuch as  $K(\Psi)$  settles the non-diagonal kinetic terms in (4.2). The original function G can be obtained by reversing the definition of  $\Psi$ , i.e.

$$G - G_0 = \int \left(\frac{d\Psi}{d\chi_2}\right)^2 d\chi_2, \qquad (4.15)$$

where  $\Psi = \Psi(\chi_2)$  is derived by the inversion of

$$\chi_2 - \chi_{2,0} = \int K(\Psi) \, d\Psi.$$
(4.16)

Some attention must be paid to the integration constants  $G_0, \chi_{2,0}, \Psi_0$  (with the latter stemming out from the r.h.s. of eq. (4.16)), in that they can lead to some undesired features in the original action (3.1). As an example, we note that a non-vanishing  $G_0$  introduces at the level of the action a linear term in the type of scalar curvature not contained in the original f(R) function, thereby evading the hypotheses of section 3. An analogous effect is also expected to be triggered by some (non-trivial) combination of  $\chi_{2,0}$  and  $\Psi_0$ , and this implies that the set of integration constants  $\{G_0, \chi_{2,0}, \Psi_0\}$  is in principle constrained and some mutual conditions must be implemented not to violate dynamical stability. This can be for instance appreciated by looking at the constant coupling case K = k, where we have

$$G(\chi_2) = G_0 + \frac{1}{k^2} \left( \chi_2 - \chi_{2,0} \right), \qquad (4.17)$$

and the condition  $G_0 = \frac{\chi_{2,0}}{k^2}$  must be accordingly enforced. Therefore, working directly with  $K(\Psi)$  allows us to avoid such subtleties and to look for safe configurations at the level of the scalar-tensor reformulation.

#### 4.1.1 Case I: power-law kinetic coupling

The first case we analyse is the power-law kinetic coupling

$$K(\Psi) = k\Psi^{1+n},\tag{4.18}$$

whose corresponding  $G(\chi_2)$  function is displayed in appendix C. We begin by exploring the case where n = -1, which leads to a constant non-local term. This simplification reduces the complexity of the equations of motion, resulting in field trajectories that exhibit straight-forward dependencies on the initial conditions. The kinetic terms introduce non-diagonal contributions in the Einstein frame, influencing the background evolution. From now on, we decide to consider the non-local contributions with  $k \ll 1$  in order to study these terms acting as perturbations. In figure 1, we integrate the whole system of equations (4.3), (4.4), (4.5) and it is carried up to the end of inflation which occurs for  $\epsilon_0 = 1$ . Numerical simulations indicate that inflationary scenarios are viable, with the fields gradually rolling along the potential (bottom right of figure 1). However, careful selection of specific parameter values is essential to avoid ghost instabilities and to ensure a sufficient number of e-folds. Indeed, we point out that in this case the no-ghost condition (4.13) takes the form

$$\left| k \Xi \Psi^{1+n} \right| < 6. \tag{4.19}$$

The central role of the inflaton field is underscored by the behavior of the  $\Phi$ -field, while the  $\Xi$ -field settles promptly to zero. Employing eq. (4.12), the potential lacks dependence on  $\Psi$ , and the coupling between  $\Xi$  and  $\Psi$  primarily arises from the non-local term. This coupling generates an initial kick to the  $\Psi$  field, after which it experiences drag due to the expansion of the universe. It is worth noticing that when the dependence of  $\Psi$  is turned on in eq. (4.18), the non-local coupling becomes significant in the dynamics of the fields. Indeed, increasing the degree of eq. (4.18) the  $\Psi$  field stabilises at higher values becoming almost completely frozen (see figure 1). This model can be treated in the same vein as single-field inflation with a spectator field, given that its dynamical content is embedded in the  $\Phi$  field only. Additionally, the above will be compared to n = 0 considering  $V \neq 0$  in the following section.

#### 4.1.2 Case II: exponential kinetic coupling

In this subsection we explore the following form

$$K(\Psi) = ke^{n\Psi},\tag{4.20}$$

with the corresponding  $G(\chi_2)$  reported in appendix C. Dynamical stability is satisfied for

$$|k \Xi e^{n\Psi}| < 6. \tag{4.21}$$

The exponential coupling again plays a crucial role in this context. As n moves toward positive values, the interaction between  $\Xi$  and  $\Psi$  becomes more pronounced, allowing  $\Psi$  to stabilise at lower values following an initial drop, figure 2. Moreover, the field appears almost frozen reducing the dynamics to a single field scenario with a spectator field.



**Figure 1.** Power-law coupling case with V = 0: normalised fields trajectories and shaded potential profile  $Y_2(\Phi, \Xi)$  with  $a_2 = 2.3$ ,  $b_2 = 0.001$ , k = 0.1 for n = 0 (blue), n = -1 (orange) and n = 1 (green).

## 4.2 The case $V \neq 0$

In this section, we enlarge our analysis to encompass the case where a non-vanishing  $V(\Box^{-1}\mathcal{R})$  function is considered in the initial action. This amounts to enriching the global potential  $Y_2$  with a dependence on the field  $\Psi$ , obtained via the definition (4.16). In particular, following the discussion at the beginning of section 4, we select for V a simple quadratic expression, i.e.  $V(\chi_2) = V_0 \chi_2^2$ , where  $V_0$  is constant so that the Einstein frame global potential is given by

$$Y_2(\Phi, \Xi, \Psi) = \frac{V_0 \chi_2^2(\Psi) + \left(e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}\Phi}} + \frac{\Xi^2}{6} - a_2\right)^2}{4b_2 e^{2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}\Phi}}}.$$
(4.22)

## 4.2.1 Case I: power-law kinetic coupling

For  $K(\Psi)$  as in eq. (4.18), we have

$$\chi_2 = \frac{k}{n+2} \Psi^{n+2}, \tag{4.23}$$

where we set for the sake of simplicity the integration constants as  $\chi_{2,0} = \frac{k\Psi_0^{n+2}}{n+2}$ . For this choice, the potential term V is displayed by

$$V(\Psi) = \frac{k^2 V_0}{(n+2)^2} \Psi^{2(n+2)}.$$
(4.24)



Figure 2. Exponential coupling case with V = 0: normalised fields trajectories and shaded potential profile  $Y_2(\Phi, \Xi)$  with  $a_2 = 2.3$ ,  $b_2 = 0.001$ , k = 0.1 for n = 2 (blue), n = -1 (orange), n = 1 (green).

Focusing on n = 0, where  $K(\Psi) \approx \Psi$ , there are no main differences from the case described in subsection 4.1.1. Additionally, the condition  $H^2/Y_{\Psi\Psi} \gg 1$  indicates that the field is light during inflation and hence it is Hubble damped and not driven to zero by the potential; instead, during inflation its kinetic energy drops and the field becomes constant. The change in the strength of the coupling also results in a change in potential amplitude (see figure 3), which, in turn, would lead to an increase or decrease in the scalar spectral amplitude  $A_s$ of primordial perturbations.

#### 4.2.2 Case II: exponential kinetic coupling

For  $K(\Psi)$  as in eq. (4.20), we have

$$\chi_2 = \frac{k}{n} e^{n\Psi},\tag{4.25}$$

where this time we set the integration constants as  $\chi_{2,0} = \frac{k}{n}e^{n\Psi_0}$ . In this case, the potential term V is given by

$$V(\Psi) = \frac{k^2 V_0}{n^2} e^{2n\Psi}.$$
(4.26)



**Figure 3.** Power-law non-locality with  $V \neq 0$ : normalised fields trajectories and shaded potential profiles  $Y_2(\Phi, 0, \Psi)$  with  $a_2 = 2.3$ ,  $b_2 = 0.001$ , k = 0.1 for n = 0 (blue) and n = -1 (orange).

The modification of the Starobinsky-like potential (4.22) by  $V(\Psi)$  for  $\Phi \to 0$  allows inflation to happen, for a given shape of  $V(\Psi)$ , see figure 4. Also in this case,  $H^2/Y_{\Psi\Psi} \gg 1$  is satisfied. The resulting field dynamics is very similar to the power-law case.

#### 5 Conclusions

In this work, we explored non-local corrections in the form of powers of the inverse d'Alembert operator within the framework of hybrid metric-Palatini gravity. Specifically, we considered non-localities acting on both types of curvature: the metric Ricci scalar R and the Palatini Ricci scalar  $\mathcal{R}$ . We approached the non-local theory as equivalent to a local scalar-tensor model, where the non-local terms are localized through a procedure involving auxiliary fields, circumventing the ambiguity associated with imposing retarded boundary conditions for the integral operator  $\Box^{-1}$ . The main findings of our analysis can be summarized as follows:

• We rigorously demonstrated that non-degenerate hybrid metric-Palatini models supplemented by non-local terms built out of the inverse of the d'Alembert operators are generically plagued by ghost instabilities. These can be conveniently displayed by recasting the theory into a scalar-tensor framework, where the interplay between local and non-local terms is made explicit. We show that the number of ghost instabilities depends on the sum of the highest powers of the  $\Box^{-k}$  operators acting on the metric



**Figure 4.** Exponential coupling with  $V \neq 0$ : normalised fields trajectories and shaded potential profiles  $Y_2(\Phi, 0, \Psi)$  with  $a_2 = 2.3$ ,  $b_2 = 0.001$ , k = 0.1 for n = 1 (orange) and n = -1 (blue).

and the Palatini curvature. We discuss how this is an unavoidable property of every non-degenerate non-local  $F(R, \mathcal{R}, \ldots, \Box^{-m}R, \ldots, \Box^{-n}\mathcal{R})$  action, even when a purely Palatini approach is enforced, in contrast with the findings of standard  $f(\mathcal{R})$  gravity where no additional degrees of freedom are excited. This result extends the outcomes of ref. [115] for the purely metric case and is in agreement with the doubling of the degrees of freedom exhibited by generalized hybrid metric-Palatini gravity [99, 101] with respect to the single curvature cases.

• We prove that Lagrangian densities characterized by the condition  $f_{RR}f_{\mathcal{RR}} - f_{R\mathcal{R}}^2 = 0$ are sufficient for removing ghost instabilities, provided local and non-local terms are associated to distinct types of curvature. In particular, we established that simple modifications of well-known f(R)  $(f(\mathcal{R}))$  theories with Palatini (metric) non-local terms, where curvature is linearly coupled to functions of the  $\Box^{-1}$  operator, are fit for the purpose and can contain the additional dynamical content of the model to three scalar fields, where for comparison generalized hybrid models display two.

Building on these considerations, in the second part of the work, we focused on a specific class of well-defined hybrid actions where local and non-local contributions were associated with distinct types of curvature. We examined configurations consisting of metric (Palatini) f(R) ( $f(\mathcal{R})$ ) models supplemented by Palatini (metric) non-local terms, where degeneracy was

explicitly violated and non-localities were linearly coupled to the curvature. We investigated the applicability of these theories in the context of early Universe cosmology and studied the feasibility of inflation within the resulting Einstein-frame multi-field scenario. We analyzed both the role of non-localities and the influence of non-minimal kinetic couplings between the fields, reflecting the non-local structure of the original frame, on the background dynamics, the number of e-folds, and the field trajectories. As a preliminary step, we also assessed the well-posedness of the first-order slow-roll parameter, which ultimately resulted in additional constraints among the derivatives of the potential and the fields. Our analysis revealed that non-localities introduced deformations to the Starobinsky-like potential, providing a novel pathway to test the robustness of the model.

More quantitatively, we explored two key configurations motivated by the role played by non-local interaction terms in the resulting potential, considering two different scenarios:  $V(\Box^{-1}\mathcal{R}) = 0$  and  $V(\Box^{-1}\mathcal{R}) \neq 0$ . In the first case, the dynamics are dominated by the coupling between the non-local terms and the scalar fields and we show that the behavior of the scalar fields does not depend critically on the choice of kinetic coupling  $K(\Psi)$ . Indeed, in both cases of power-law and exponential form, the  $\Xi$  field promptly sets in the minimum of the potential while  $\Psi$  freezes due to Hubble damping and  $\Phi$  drives inflation, effectively reducing the system to a single-field scenario with a spectator field. Moreover, the stability of these trajectories hinges on satisfying the no-ghost condition. The inclusion of the potential term V enriches the inflationary dynamics by allowing more intricate interactions between the fields. The quadratic form of V introduces additional constraints that help terminate inflation. It is evident that the effective mass of the  $\Psi$  field is light, implying that for general initial conditions it is not driven to zero during inflation.

Overall, we demonstrated that non-local effects not only introduce an interplay between the dynamics of the fields by inducing deformations in the Starobinsky-like potential, but also have direct consequences on the background dynamics during the slow-roll phase of evolution. These effects leave characteristic footprints, offering a novel avenue for testing the robustness of the Starobinsky model and providing a significant first step toward characterizing a richer phenomenology that could help clarify the presence of potential non-localities in gravitational interactions at high energy scales characterizing the inflationary Universe. While a clear limitation of our analysis lies in focusing exclusively on the background-level effects of nonlocalities, our findings provide a solid foundation for further investigations into inflationary perturbations and their associated observational signatures within the framework of non-local hybrid metric-Palatini gravity.

#### Acknowledgments

The work of FB has been supported by grants PID2020-116567GB-C21, PID2023-149560NB-C21 funded by MCIU/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and FEDER, UE. MDA is supported by an EPSRC studentship. WG and CvdB are supported by the Lancaster-Sheffield Consortium for Fundamental Physics under STFC grant: ST/X000621/1.

#### A Ghosts for the purely metric and Palatini case

Following the procedure described in section 2, it is easy to check that when the initial function F solely depends on one type of curvature, the final action in the Einstein frame can be recast as

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-q} \left( R(q) - \delta_i \left(\nabla\Theta\right)^2 + \frac{\mathbf{\Pi}}{e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Theta}} - \frac{W(\Theta, \vec{\pi}_1, \vec{\pi}_2)}{e^{2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Theta}} \right),\tag{A.1}$$

where  $\delta_i = 0, 1$  for the Palatini and metric configuration, respectively, and we denoted with  $\Theta$  and  $\Pi$  the generic field representation associated to each case. We observe that for  $\delta_i = 1$  the results of [115] are reproduced, i.e. N ghost fields appear, while selecting  $\delta_i = 0$ deprives  $\Theta$  of proper dynamics, and in this case, it can be completely expressed in terms of the other fields, as in ordinary Palatini  $f(\mathcal{R})$  theories. Indeed, variation of (A.1) with respect to  $\Theta$  results in the equation

$$2W - \frac{\partial W}{\partial \Theta} = e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Theta} \mathbf{\Pi},\tag{A.2}$$

which once W is assigned, can be in principle solved for  $\Theta = \Theta(\vec{\pi}_1, \vec{\pi}_2)$ . We remark that also in this case N ghost show up in  $\Pi$ , so that the theory is still dynamically unstable.

#### B Diagonalization for $\sigma_2 = 0$

In this appendix we report the diagonal form for (3.9), which is obtained by the field redefinition  $\Psi = \eta + \omega$ ,  $\Xi = \eta - \omega$ , leading to:

$$S_{2} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^{2}} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-q} \left( R - (\nabla\Phi)^{2} - \frac{K_{-}(\eta,\omega)(\nabla\eta)^{2} + K_{+}(\eta,\omega)(\nabla\omega)^{2}}{e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi}} + \frac{V(\beta(\eta+\omega)) + U\left(e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi} + \frac{(\eta-\omega)^{2}}{6}\right)}{e^{2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi}} \right),$$
(B.1)

where we introduced the kinetic coefficients

$$K_{\mp}(\eta,\omega) \equiv 2 \mp \frac{\eta-\omega}{3} \left. \frac{d\beta}{d\Psi} \right|_{\Psi=\eta+\omega}.$$
 (B.2)

#### C Expressions of the *G* function

Following the procedure outlined in section 5, the non-local G functions corresponding to a power-law in the Einstein frame is given by:

$$G(\chi_2) = G_0 + \frac{1}{nk} \left[ \Psi_0^{-n} - \left( \Psi_0^{n+2} + \frac{n+2}{k} \left( \chi_2 - \chi_{2,0} \right) \right)^{-\frac{n}{n+2}} \right], \qquad n \neq 2 \qquad (C.1)$$

$$G(\chi_2) = G_0 + \frac{\Psi_0^2}{2k} \left( e^{\frac{2(\chi_2 - \chi_{2,0})}{k}} - 1 \right), \qquad n = 2 \qquad (C.2)$$

while an exponential coupling results in

$$G(\chi_2) = G_0 + \frac{\chi_2 - \chi_{2,0}}{ke^{n\Psi_0} \left(ke^{n\Psi_0} + n\left(\chi_2 - \chi_{2,0}\right)\right)}.$$
 (C.3)

#### References

- D. Walsh, R.F. Carswell and R.J. Weymann, 0957 + 561 A, B Twin quasistellar objects or gravitational lens, Nature 279 (1979) 381 [INSPIRE].
- [2] EVENT HORIZON TELESCOPE collaboration, First M87 Event Horizon Telescope Results. I. The Shadow of the Supermassive Black Hole, Astrophys. J. Lett. 875 (2019) L1 [arXiv:1906.11238]
   [INSPIRE].
- [3] LIGO SCIENTIFIC and VIRGO collaborations, Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116 (2016) 061102 [arXiv:1602.03837] [INSPIRE].
- [4] V. Sahni and A.A. Starobinsky, The case for a positive cosmological Lambda term, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 9 (2000) 373 [astro-ph/9904398] [INSPIRE].
- [5] S.M. Carroll, The Cosmological constant, Living Rev. Rel. 4 (2001) 1 [astro-ph/0004075] [INSPIRE].
- [6] P.J.E. Peebles and B. Ratra, The Cosmological Constant and Dark Energy, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75 (2003) 559 [astro-ph/0207347] [INSPIRE].
- T. Padmanabhan, Cosmological constant: The Weight of the vacuum, Phys. Rept. 380 (2003)
   235 [hep-th/0212290] [INSPIRE].
- [8] E.J. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, Dynamics of dark energy, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 15 (2006) 1753 [hep-th/0603057] [INSPIRE].
- [9] R.R. Caldwell and M. Kamionkowski, The Physics of Cosmic Acceleration, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 59 (2009) 397 [arXiv:0903.0866] [INSPIRE].
- [10] M. Li, X.-D. Li, S. Wang and Y. Wang, Dark Energy, Commun. Theor. Phys. 56 (2011) 525 [arXiv:1103.5870] [INSPIRE].
- [11] J. Martin, Everything You Always Wanted To Know About The Cosmological Constant Problem (But Were Afraid To Ask), Comptes Rendus Physique 13 (2012) 566 [arXiv:1205.3365]
   [INSPIRE].
- [12] S. Weinberg, The Cosmological Constant Problem, Rev. Mod. Phys. 61 (1989) 1 [INSPIRE].
- [13] L.M. Krauss and M.S. Turner, The cosmological constant is back, Gen. Rel. Grav. 27 (1995) 1137 [astro-ph/9504003] [INSPIRE].
- [14] S. Weinberg, The cosmological constant problems, in the proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Sources and Detection of Dark Matter in the Universe (DM 2000), Springer (2000) [D0I:10.1007/978-3-662-04587-9\_2] [astro-ph/0005265] [INSPIRE].
- [15] V. Sahni, The cosmological constant problem and quintessence, Class. Quant. Grav. 19 (2002) 3435 [astro-ph/0202076] [INSPIRE].
- [16] J. Yokoyama, Issues on the cosmological constant, in the proceedings of the 12th Workshop on General Relativity and Gravitation, Tokyo, Japan, 25–28 November 2002 [gr-qc/0305068] [INSPIRE].
- [17] S. Nobbenhuis, Categorizing different approaches to the cosmological constant problem, Found. Phys. 36 (2006) 613 [gr-qc/0411093] [INSPIRE].
- [18] C.P. Burgess, The Cosmological Constant Problem: Why it's hard to get Dark Energy from Micro-physics, in the proceedings of the 100e Ecole d'Ete de Physique: Post-Planck Cosmology, Les Houches, France, 8 July-2 August 2013 [DOI:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198728856.003.0004]
   [arXiv:1309.4133] [INSPIRE].

- [19] A. Joyce, B. Jain, J. Khoury and M. Trodden, Beyond the Cosmological Standard Model, Phys. Rept. 568 (2015) 1 [arXiv:1407.0059] [INSPIRE].
- [20] P. Bull et al., Beyond ΛCDM: Problems, solutions, and the road ahead, Phys. Dark Univ. 12 (2016) 56 [arXiv:1512.05356] [INSPIRE].
- [21] B. Wang, E. Abdalla, F. Atrio-Barandela and D. Pavon, Dark Matter and Dark Energy Interactions: Theoretical Challenges, Cosmological Implications and Observational Signatures, Rept. Prog. Phys. 79 (2016) 096901 [arXiv:1603.08299] [INSPIRE].
- [22] R. Brustein and P.J. Steinhardt, Challenges for superstring cosmology, Phys. Lett. B 302 (1993) 196 [hep-th/9212049] [INSPIRE].
- [23] E. Witten, The cosmological constant from the viewpoint of string theory, in the proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Sources and Detection of Dark Matter in the Universe (DM 2000), Marina del Rey, U.S.A., 23–25 February 2000 [hep-ph/0002297] [INSPIRE].
- [24] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A.D. Linde and S.P. Trivedi, De Sitter vacua in string theory, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 046005 [hep-th/0301240] [INSPIRE].
- [25] J. Polchinski, The Cosmological Constant and the String Landscape, in the proceedings of the 23rd Solvay Conference in Physics: The Quantum Structure of Space and Time, Brussels, Belgium, 1–3 December 2005 [hep-th/0603249] [INSPIRE].
- [26] U.H. Danielsson and T. Van Riet, What if string theory has no de Sitter vacua?, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 27 (2018) 1830007 [arXiv:1804.01120] [INSPIRE].
- [27] I. Zlatev, L.-M. Wang and P.J. Steinhardt, Quintessence, cosmic coincidence, and the cosmological constant, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 896 [astro-ph/9807002] [INSPIRE].
- [28] D. Pavon and W. Zimdahl, Holographic dark energy and cosmic coincidence, Phys. Lett. B 628 (2005) 206 [gr-qc/0505020] [INSPIRE].
- [29] H.E.S. Velten, R.F. vom Marttens and W. Zimdahl, Aspects of the cosmological "coincidence problem", Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 3160 [arXiv:1410.2509] [INSPIRE].
- [30] DESI collaboration, DESI 2024 VI: cosmological constraints from the measurements of baryon acoustic oscillations, JCAP 02 (2025) 021 [arXiv:2404.03002] [INSPIRE].
- [31] W. Giarè et al., Robust preference for Dynamical Dark Energy in DESI BAO and SN measurements, JCAP 10 (2024) 035 [arXiv:2407.16689] [INSPIRE].
- [32] W. Giarè, Dynamical Dark Energy Beyond Planck? Constraints from multiple CMB probes, DESI BAO and Type-Ia Supernovae, arXiv:2409.17074 [INSPIRE].
- [33] A.H. Guth, The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 347 [INSPIRE].
- [34] A.D. Linde, A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution of the Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy and Primordial Monopole Problems, Phys. Lett. B 108 (1982) 389 [INSPIRE].
- [35] A. Albrecht and P.J. Steinhardt, Cosmology for Grand Unified Theories with Radiatively Induced Symmetry Breaking, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 1220 [INSPIRE].
- [36] A. Vilenkin, The Birth of Inflationary Universes, Phys. Rev. D 27 (1983) 2848 [INSPIRE].
- [37] L. Verde, T. Treu and A.G. Riess, Tensions between the Early and the Late Universe, Nature Astron. 3 (2019) 891 [arXiv:1907.10625] [INSPIRE].

- [38] E. Di Valentino et al., Snowmass2021 Letter of interest cosmology intertwined II: The hubble constant tension, Astropart. Phys. 131 (2021) 102605 [arXiv:2008.11284] [INSPIRE].
- [39] E. Di Valentino et al., In the realm of the Hubble tension a review of solutions, Class. Quant. Grav. 38 (2021) 153001 [arXiv:2103.01183] [INSPIRE].
- [40] L. Perivolaropoulos and F. Skara, Challenges for ΛCDM: An update, New Astron. Rev. 95 (2022) 101659 [arXiv:2105.05208] [INSPIRE].
- [41] N. Schöneberg et al., The H0 Olympics: A fair ranking of proposed models, Phys. Rept. 984 (2022) 1 [arXiv:2107.10291] [INSPIRE].
- [42] P. Shah, P. Lemos and O. Lahav, A buyer's guide to the Hubble constant, Astron. Astrophys. Rev. 29 (2021) 9 [arXiv:2109.01161] [INSPIRE].
- [43] E. Abdalla et al., Cosmology intertwined: A review of the particle physics, astrophysics, and cosmology associated with the cosmological tensions and anomalies, JHEAp 34 (2022) 49
   [arXiv:2203.06142] [INSPIRE].
- [44] E. Di Valentino, Challenges of the Standard Cosmological Model, Universe 8 (2022) 399 [INSPIRE].
- [45] M. Kamionkowski and A.G. Riess, The Hubble Tension and Early Dark Energy, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 73 (2023) 153 [arXiv:2211.04492] [INSPIRE].
- [46] W. Giarè, CMB Anomalies and the Hubble Tension, arXiv: 2305.16919
   [D0I:10.1007/978-981-99-0177-7\_36] [INSPIRE].
- [47] J.-P. Hu and F.-Y. Wang, Hubble Tension: The Evidence of New Physics, Universe 9 (2023) 94
   [arXiv:2302.05709] [INSPIRE].
- [48] L. Verde, N. Schöneberg and H. Gil-Marín, A Tale of Many H0, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.
   62 (2024) 287 [arXiv:2311.13305] [INSPIRE].
- [49] E. Di Valentino and D. Brout, *The Hubble Constant Tension*, Springer (2024)
   [D0I:10.1007/978-981-99-0177-7] [INSPIRE].
- [50] DES collaboration, Dark Energy Survey Year 3 results: Cosmological constraints from galaxy clustering and weak lensing, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) 023520 [arXiv:2105.13549] [INSPIRE].
- [51] E. Di Valentino et al., Cosmology Intertwined III:  $f\sigma_8$  and  $S_8$ , Astropart. Phys. 131 (2021) 102604 [arXiv:2008.11285] [INSPIRE].
- [52] E. Di Valentino and S. Bridle, Exploring the Tension between Current Cosmic Microwave Background and Cosmic Shear Data, Symmetry 10 (2018) 585 [INSPIRE].
- [53] KILO-DEGREE SURVEY and DES collaborations, DES Y3 + KiDS-1000: Consistent cosmology combining cosmic shear surveys, Open J. Astrophys. 6 (2023) 2305.17173 [arXiv:2305.17173]
   [INSPIRE].
- [54] T. Tröster et al., Cosmology from large-scale structure: Constraining ΛCDM with BOSS, Astron. Astrophys. 633 (2020) L10 [arXiv:1909.11006] [INSPIRE].
- [55] C. Heymans et al., KiDS-1000 Cosmology: Multi-probe weak gravitational lensing and spectroscopic galaxy clustering constraints, Astron. Astrophys. 646 (2021) A140 [arXiv:2007.15632] [INSPIRE].
- [56] R. Dalal et al., Hyper Suprime-Cam Year 3 results: Cosmology from cosmic shear power spectra, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 123519 [arXiv:2304.00701] [INSPIRE].

- [57] S. Chen et al., Analysis of DESI×DES using the Lagrangian effective theory of LSS, Phys. Rev. D 110 (2024) 103518 [arXiv:2407.04795] [INSPIRE].
- [58] J. Kim et al., The Atacama Cosmology Telescope DR6 and DESI: structure formation over cosmic time with a measurement of the cross-correlation of CMB lensing and luminous red galaxies, JCAP 12 (2024) 022 [arXiv:2407.04606] [INSPIRE].
- [59] DES collaboration, Dark energy survey year 3 results: cosmology from galaxy clustering and galaxy-galaxy lensing in harmonic space, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 536 (2024) 1586
   [arXiv:2406.12675] [INSPIRE].
- [60] J. Harnois-Deraps et al., KiDS-1000 and DES-Y1 combined: cosmology from peak count statistics, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 534 (2024) 3305 [arXiv:2405.10312] [INSPIRE].
- [61] A. Dvornik et al., KiDS-1000: Combined halo-model cosmology constraints from galaxy abundance, galaxy clustering and galaxy-galaxy lensing, Astron. Astrophys. 675 (2023) A189 [Erratum ibid. 688 (2024) C3] [arXiv:2210.03110] [INSPIRE].
- [62] T. Schiavone, G. Montani and F. Bombacigno, f(R) gravity in the Jordan frame as a paradigm for the Hubble tension, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 522 (2023) L72 [arXiv:2211.16737]
   [INSPIRE].
- [63] G. Montani, M. De Angelis, F. Bombacigno and N. Carlevaro, Metric f(R) gravity with dynamical dark energy as a scenario for the Hubble tension, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 527 (2023) L156 [arXiv:2306.11101] [INSPIRE].
- [64] L.A. Escamilla, D. Fiorucci, G. Montani and E. Di Valentino, Exploring the Hubble tension with a late time Modified Gravity scenario, Phys. Dark Univ. 46 (2024) 101652 [arXiv:2408.04354]
   [INSPIRE].
- [65] G. Montani, N. Carlevaro and M. De Angelis, Modified Gravity in the Presence of Matter Creation: Scenario for the Late Universe, Entropy 26 (2024) 662 [arXiv:2407.12409] [INSPIRE].
- [66] S. Banerjee, M. Petronikolou and E.N. Saridakis, Alleviating the H0 tension with new gravitational scalar tensor theories, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 024012 [arXiv:2209.02426] [INSPIRE].
- [67] M. Petronikolou and E.N. Saridakis, Alleviating the  $H_0$  Tension in Scalar-Tensor and Bi-Scalar-Tensor Theories, Universe 9 (2023) 397 [arXiv:2308.16044] [INSPIRE].
- [68] E.N. Saridakis, Solving both H0 and σ8 tensions in f(T) gravity, in the proceedings of the 16th Marcel Grossmann Meeting on Recent Developments in Theoretical and Experimental General Relativity, Astrophysics and Relativistic Field Theories, World Scientific (2023)
   [D0I:10.1142/9789811269776\_0139] [arXiv:2301.06881] [INSPIRE].
- [69] S. Mandal, O. Sokoliuk, S.S. Mishra and P.K. Sahoo, H0 tension in torsion-based modified gravity, Nucl. Phys. B 993 (2023) 116285 [arXiv:2301.06328] [INSPIRE].
- [70] F. Bouchè, S. Capozziello and V. Salzano, Addressing Cosmological Tensions by Non-Local Gravity, Universe 9 (2023) 27 [arXiv:2301.01503] [INSPIRE].
- S.A. Adil, M.R. Gangopadhyay, M. Sami and M.K. Sharma, Late-time acceleration due to a generic modification of gravity and the Hubble tension, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 103534
   [arXiv:2106.03093] [INSPIRE].
- [72] E. Specogna, E. Di Valentino, J. Levi Said and N.-M. Nguyen, Exploring the growth index γL: Insights from different CMB dataset combinations and approaches, Phys. Rev. D 109 (2024) 043528 [arXiv:2305.16865] [INSPIRE].

- [73] E. Specogna, W. Giarè and E. Di Valentino, *Planck-PR4 anisotropy spectra show (better)* consistency with General Relativity, arXiv:2411.03896 [INSPIRE].
- [74] M. Ishak et al., Modified Gravity Constraints from the Full Shape Modeling of Clustering Measurements from DESI 2024, arXiv:2411.12026 [INSPIRE].
- [75] S.M. Carroll, V. Duvvuri, M. Trodden and M.S. Turner, Is Cosmic Speed-Up Due to New Gravitational Physics?, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 043528 [astro-ph/0306438] [INSPIRE].
- [76] G.J. Olmo, Post-Newtonian constraints on f(R) cosmologies in metric and Palatini formalism, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 083505 [gr-qc/0505135] [INSPIRE].
- [77] F. Moretti, F. Bombacigno and G. Montani, Gauge invariant formulation of metric f(R)gravity for gravitational waves, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 084014 [arXiv:1906.01899] [INSPIRE].
- [78] T.P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, f(R) Theories Of Gravity, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82 (2010) 451
   [arXiv:0805.1726] [INSPIRE].
- [79] S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov and V.K. Oikonomou, Modified Gravity Theories on a Nutshell: Inflation, Bounce and Late-time Evolution, Phys. Rept. 692 (2017) 1 [arXiv:1705.11098]
   [INSPIRE].
- [80] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, f(R) theories, Living Rev. Rel. 13 (2010) 3 [arXiv:1002.4928]
   [INSPIRE].
- [81] A.A. Starobinsky, A New Type of Isotropic Cosmological Models Without Singularity, Phys. Lett. B 91 (1980) 99 [INSPIRE].
- [82] S. Gottlober, V. Muller and A.A. Starobinsky, Analysis of inflation driven by a scalar field and a curvature squared term, Phys. Rev. D 43 (1991) 2510 [INSPIRE].
- [83] G. Cognola et al., A class of viable modified f(R) gravities describing inflation and the onset of accelerated expansion, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 046009 [arXiv:0712.4017] [INSPIRE].
- [84] S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, Modified f(R) gravity unifying R\*\*m inflation with Lambda CDM epoch, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 026007 [arXiv:0710.1738] [INSPIRE].
- [85] M. Artymowski and Z. Lalak, Inflation and dark energy from f(R) gravity, JCAP **09** (2014) 036 [arXiv:1405.7818] [INSPIRE].
- [86] Q.-G. Huang, A polynomial f(R) inflation model, JCAP 02 (2014) 035 [arXiv:1309.3514] [INSPIRE].
- [87] L. Sebastiani and R. Myrzakulov, F(R) gravity and inflation, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. 12 (2015) 1530003 [arXiv:1506.05330] [INSPIRE].
- [88] C. van de Bruck and L.E. Paduraru, Simplest extension of Starobinsky inflation, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 083513 [arXiv:1505.01727] [INSPIRE].
- [89] D.J. Brooker, S.D. Odintsov and R.P. Woodard, Precision predictions for the primordial power spectra from f(R) models of inflation, Nucl. Phys. B 911 (2016) 318 [arXiv:1606.05879] [INSPIRE].
- [90] A.W. Brookfield, C. van de Bruck and L.M.H. Hall, Viability of f(R) Theories with Additional Powers of Curvature, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 064028 [hep-th/0608015] [INSPIRE].
- [91] T. Faulkner, M. Tegmark, E.F. Bunn and Y. Mao, Constraining f(R) Gravity as a Scalar Tensor Theory, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 063505 [astro-ph/0612569] [INSPIRE].
- [92] P. Brax, C. van de Bruck, A.-C. Davis and D.J. Shaw, f(R) Gravity and Chameleon Theories, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 104021 [arXiv:0806.3415] [INSPIRE].

- [93] C. Burrage and J. Sakstein, Tests of Chameleon Gravity, Living Rev. Rel. 21 (2018) 1 [arXiv:1709.09071] [INSPIRE].
- [94] P. Brax, S. Casas, H. Desmond and B. Elder, Testing Screened Modified Gravity, Universe 8 (2021) 11 [arXiv:2201.10817] [INSPIRE].
- [95] A. Baldazzi, O. Melichev and R. Percacci, Metric-Affine Gravity as an effective field theory, Annals Phys. 438 (2022) 168757 [arXiv:2112.10193] [INSPIRE].
- [96] G.J. Olmo, Palatini Approach to Modified Gravity: f(R) Theories and Beyond, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 20 (2011) 413 [arXiv:1101.3864] [INSPIRE].
- [97] T. Koivisto and H. Kurki-Suonio, Cosmological perturbations in the palatini formulation of modified gravity, Class. Quant. Grav. 23 (2006) 2355 [astro-ph/0509422] [INSPIRE].
- [98] T. Harko, T.S. Koivisto, F.S.N. Lobo and G.J. Olmo, Metric-Palatini gravity unifying local constraints and late-time cosmic acceleration, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 084016 [arXiv:1110.1049] [INSPIRE].
- [99] N. Tamanini and C.G. Boehmer, Generalized hybrid metric-Palatini gravity, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 084031 [arXiv:1302.2355] [INSPIRE].
- [100] S. Karamitsos, Quasi-Palatini Formulation of Scalar-Tensor Gravity, arXiv:2503.06886 [INSPIRE].
- [101] F. Bombacigno, F. Moretti and G. Montani, Scalar modes in extended hybrid metric-Palatini gravity: weak field phenomenology, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 124036 [arXiv:1907.11949]
   [INSPIRE].
- [102] S. Capozziello et al., Cosmology of hybrid metric-Palatini f(X)-gravity, JCAP **04** (2013) 011 [arXiv:1209.2895] [INSPIRE].
- [103] S. Capozziello et al., Hybrid metric-Palatini gravity, Universe 1 (2015) 199 [arXiv:1508.04641] [INSPIRE].
- [104] J.L. Rosa, S. Carloni, J.P.S. Lemos and F.S.N. Lobo, Cosmological solutions in generalized hybrid metric-Palatini gravity, Phys. Rev. D 95 (2017) 124035 [arXiv:1703.03335] [INSPIRE].
- [105] S. Capozziello and F. Bajardi, Nonlocal gravity cosmology: An overview, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 31 (2022) 2230009 [arXiv:2201.04512] [INSPIRE].
- [106] F. Briscese, L. Modesto and S. Tsujikawa, Super-renormalizable or finite completion of the Starobinsky theory, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 024029 [arXiv:1308.1413] [INSPIRE].
- [107] A.S. Koshelev, L. Modesto, L. Rachwal and A.A. Starobinsky, Occurrence of exact R<sup>2</sup> inflation in non-local UV-complete gravity, JHEP 11 (2016) 067 [arXiv:1604.03127] [INSPIRE].
- [108] T. Biswas, E. Gerwick, T. Koivisto and A. Mazumdar, Towards singularity and ghost free theories of gravity, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 031101 [arXiv:1110.5249] [INSPIRE].
- [109] L. Buoninfante, A.S. Koshelev, G. Lambiase and A. Mazumdar, *Classical properties of non-local*, ghost- and singularity-free gravity, JCAP 09 (2018) 034 [arXiv:1802.00399] [INSPIRE].
- [110] S. Deser and R.P. Woodard, Nonlocal Cosmology, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 111301
   [arXiv:0706.2151] [INSPIRE].
- [111] C. Deffayet and R.P. Woodard, Reconstructing the Distortion Function for Nonlocal Cosmology, JCAP 08 (2009) 023 [arXiv:0904.0961] [INSPIRE].
- [112] S. Deser and R.P. Woodard, Observational Viability and Stability of Nonlocal Cosmology, JCAP 11 (2013) 036 [arXiv:1307.6639] [INSPIRE].

- [113] E. Belgacem, A. Finke, A. Frassino and M. Maggiore, *Testing nonlocal gravity with Lunar Laser Ranging*, JCAP 02 (2019) 035 [arXiv:1812.11181] [INSPIRE].
- [114] S. Deser and R.P. Woodard, Nonlocal Cosmology II Cosmic acceleration without fine tuning or dark energy, JCAP 06 (2019) 034 [arXiv:1902.08075] [INSPIRE].
- [115] A. De Felice and M. Sasaki, Ghosts in classes of non-local gravity, Phys. Lett. B 743 (2015) 189
   [arXiv:1412.1575] [INSPIRE].
- [116] A. Carleo, Constraints on non-local gravity from binary pulsars gravitational emission, Phys. Lett. B 848 (2024) 138410 [arXiv:2312.02862] [INSPIRE].
- [117] S. Capozziello, M. Capriolo, A. Carleo and G. Lambiase, Non-locality in quadrupolar gravitational radiation, JCAP 02 (2025) 049 [arXiv:2412.13629] [INSPIRE].
- [118] A. Delhom, Minimal coupling in presence of non-metricity and torsion, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 728 [arXiv:2002.02404] [INSPIRE].
- [119] D. Iosifidis, A.C. Petkou and C.G. Tsagas, Torsion/non-metricity duality in f(R) gravity, Gen. Rel. Grav. 51 (2019) 66 [arXiv:1810.06602] [INSPIRE].
- [120] M. De Angelis and C. van de Bruck, Adiabatic and isocurvature perturbations in extended theories with kinetic couplings, JCAP 10 (2023) 023 [arXiv:2304.12364] [INSPIRE].
- [121] W. Giarè, M. De Angelis, C. van de Bruck and E. Di Valentino, Tracking the multifield dynamics with cosmological data: a Monte Carlo approach, JCAP 12 (2023) 014 [arXiv:2306.12414] [INSPIRE].
- [122] C. Cecchini et al., Testing scale-invariant inflation against cosmological data, JCAP 07 (2024)
   058 [arXiv:2403.04316] [INSPIRE].
- [123] M. De Angelis, C. Cecchini and M. Rinaldi, Tracing cosmic stretch marks: probing scale invariance in the early Universe, in the proceedings of the 17th Marcel Grossmann Meeting: On Recent Developments in Theoretical and Experimental General Relativity, Gravitation, and Relativistic Field Theories, Pescara, Italy, 7–12 July 2024 [arXiv:2410.05977] [INSPIRE].