

This is a repository copy of Parallels between biological invasions and human migration are flawed and undermine both disciplines. Response to Ahmed et al..

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/226764/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

South, J. orcid.org/0000-0002-6339-4225, Barbulescu, R., Macêdo, R.L. et al. (63 more authors) (Accepted: 2025) Parallels between biological invasions and human migration are flawed and undermine both disciplines. Response to Ahmed et al. BioScience. ISSN 0006-3568 (In Press)

This is an author produced version of an article accepted for publication in BioScience, made available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/



BioScience

Parallels between biological invasions and human migration are flawed and undermine both disciplines. Response to Ahmed et al.

Journal:	BioScience
Manuscript ID	BIOS-25-0144.R1
Manuscript Type:	Viewpoint
Date Submitted by the Author:	02-May-2025
Complete List of Authors:	South, Josie; University of Leeds, Faculty of Biological Sciences Barbulescu, Roxana; University of Leeds, Sociology and Social Policy, Faculty of Social Sciences Macâdo, Rafael ; Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries in the Forschungsverbund Berlin eV; Freie Universität Berlin, Institute of Biology Musseau, Camille; Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries in the Forschungsverbund Berlin eV; Freie Universität Berlin, Guareschi, Simone; Rey Juan Carlos University Alamenciak, Tim; Carleton University, Institute of Environmental and Interdisciplinary Science Alberti, Gabriella; University of Leeds, Leeds University Business School Allen, Sylvie; University of Leeds, School of Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences Bacher, Sven; University of Leeds, School of Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences Bacher, Sven; University of Leeds, School of Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences Benson, Michaela; Lancaster University, Department of Sociology Bernard-Verdier, Maud; Sorbonne Université, 10Centre d'Écologie et des Sciences de la Conservation (CESCO) Bibi, Rashida; University of Sheffield, School of Sociological Studies, Politics and International Relations Boatcă, Manuela; Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Institut für Soziologie Bolpagni, Rossano; University of Parma, Department of Chemistry, Life Sciences and Environmental Sustainability Brown, Timothy M.; University of Leeds, School of Biology, Faculty of Biological Science; University of Manchester, Department of Sociology Canavan, Susan; Ollscoil na Gaillimhe – University of Galway, School of Natural Sciences Castro, Esther Neira; Queen's University Belfast, School of History, Anthropology, Philosophy and Politics Conlon, Deirdre; University of Leeds, School of Geography Demoule, Jean-Paul; University of Leeds, School of Biology, Faculty of Biological Conlon, Deirdre; University of Leeds, School of Biology, Faculty of Biological Conlon, Deirdre; University of Leeds, School of Biology, Faculty of Biological

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
10	
17	
10	
20	
20 21	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 38 39 30 31 31 32 31 31 32 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 37 38 37 38 38 39 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 38 37 38 37 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	
22	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41 42	
42 43	
43 44	
44 45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

Sciences
Faist, Thomas; Bielefeld University, Faculty of Sociology
Garelli, Glenda; University of Leeds, School of Geography
Gervazoni, Paula; Estación Experimental de Zonas Áridas
Gidley, Ben; Birkbeck University of London, School of Social Sciences
Gippet, Jérôme M. W.; University of Fribourg, Department of Biology
Harwood, Matthew; University of Leeds, School of Biology, Faculty of
Biological Sciences
Heger, Tina; Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries
(IGB); Freie Universität Berlin, Institute of Biology; Technical University
of Munich, School of Life Sciences
Henke, Theresa; Estación Experimental de Zonas Áridas Hill, Sara; University of Sheffield, School of Sociological Studies, Politics
and International Relations
Hobbs, Joshua; PRHS University of Leeds, IDEA, The Ethics Centre
Hodson, James; University of Leeds, School of Biology, Faculty of
Biological Sciences
Holmes, George; University of Leeds, School of Earth and Environment,
Faculty of Environment
Hulme, Phil; Lincoln University, Bioprotection Aotearoa, Department of
Pest-Management and Conservation
Jones, Hannah; University of Warwick, Department of Sociology
Khosa, Dumisani; South African National Parks, Scientific Services
Kilkey, Majella; University of Sheffield, School of Sociological Studies,
Politics and International Relations
Kontou, Danai; University of Leeds, School of Biology, Faculty of
Biological Sciences
Lavanchy, Anne; University of Applied Sciences and Arts, Department of
Social Work
Lewis, Hannah; University of Sheffield, School of Sociological Studies, Politics and International Relations
Giralt, Rosa Mas; University of Leeds, School of Geography; University of
Leeds, Lifelong Learning Centre
Meyerson, Laura; University of Rhode Island, Deptartment of Natural
Resources Science
Novoa, Ana; Estación Experimental de Zonas Áridas; Institute of Botany
Czech Academy of Sciences
Pattison, Zarah; University of Stirling, School of Biological and
Environmental Science
Pipek, Pavel; Institute of Botany Czech Academy of Sciences; Charles
University, Department of Ecology, Faculty of Science
Probert, Anna F.; University of New England, Zoology Discipline, School
of Environmental and Rural Science Pyšek, Petr; Institute of Botany Czech Academy of Sciences; Charles
University, Department of Ecology, Faculty of Science
Ricciardi, Anthony; McGill University, Department of Biology
Roberts, Jonathan David; University of Leeds, School of Biology and
School of History
Ruland, Florian; Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland
Fisheries (IGB); Freie Universität Berlin, Institute of Biology; Náttúrustofa
Vesturlands
Saul, Wolf-Christian; Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland
Fisheries (IGB) ; Freie Universität Berlin, Institute of Biology
Shackleton, Ross; Swiss Federal Institute for Forest Snow and Landscape
Research WSL
Sigona, Nando; University of Birmingham, Department of Social Policy,
Sociology and Criminology
Simberloff, Daniel; University of Tennessee, Department of Ecology and
Evolutionary Biology Solomos, John; University of Warwick, Department of Sociology
Sun, Li; University of Leeds, Sociology and Social Policy, Faculty of Social
Sun, Er, Oniversity of Leeus, Sociology and Social Policy, Faculty of Social

	Sciences Waite, Louise; University of Leeds, School of Geography Wilson, Pip; University of Leeds, School of Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences; University of Leeds, School of Earth and Environment, Faculty of Environment Yannelli, Florencia A.; Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Argentine Institute for Dryland Research, CONICET Vathi, Zana; Edge Hill University, Department of History, Geography and Social Sciences Yemane, Tesfalem; University of Liverpool, Department of Geography and Planning Vieten, Ulrike M; Queen's University Belfast, School of Social Sciences, Education and Social Work Vimercati, Giovanni; University of Fribourg, Department of Biology Zambelli, Elena; Maynooth University, Department of Sociology Lieurance, Deah; Penn State University, Department of Ecosystem Science and Management
Abstract:	n/a



- Parallels between biological invasions and human migration are flawed and undermine both disciplines. Response to Ahmed et al.
- Josie South¹, Roxana Barbulescu², Rafael L. Macêdo^{3,4}, Camille L. Musseau³, Simone Guareschi⁵, Tim Alamenciak⁶, Gabriella Alberti⁷, Sylvie Allen¹, Sven Bacher⁸, Emma Baker¹, Michaela Benson⁹, Maud Bernard-Verdier¹⁰, Rashida Bibi¹¹, Manuela Boatcă¹², Rossano Bolpagni¹³, Timothy M. Brown^{1,14}, Bridget Byrne¹⁵, Susan Canavan¹⁶, Esther Neira Castro¹⁷, Deirdre Conlon¹⁸, Jean-Paul Demoule¹⁹, Alison M. Dunn¹, Thomas Faist²⁰, Glenda Garelli¹⁸, Paula Gervazoni²¹, Ben Gidley²², Jérôme M. W. Gippet⁸, Matthew Harwood¹, Tina Heger ^{3,4,23}, Theresa Henke²¹, Sara Hill¹¹, Joshua Hobbs²⁴, James Hodson¹, George Holmes²⁵, Phillip E. Hulme²⁶, Hannah Jones²⁷, Dumisani Khosa²⁸, Majella Kilkey¹¹, Danai Kontou¹, Anne Lavanchy²⁹, Hannah Lewis¹¹, Rosa Mas Giralt^{18,30}Laura A. Meyerson³¹, Ana Novoa^{21,32}, Zarah Pattison³³, Pavel Pipek^{32,34}, Anna F. Probert³⁵, Petr Pyšek^{32,34}, Anthony Ricciardi³⁶, Jonathan David Roberts³⁷, Florian Ruland^{3,4,38}, Wolf-Christian Saul^{3,4}, Ross Shackleton³⁹, Nando Sigona⁴⁰, Daniel Simberloff⁴¹, John Solomos²⁷, Li Sun², Louise Waite¹⁸, Pip Wilson^{1,25}, Florencia A. Yannelli⁴², Zana Vathi⁴³, Tesfalem Yemane⁴⁴, Ulrike M Vieten⁴⁵, Giovanni Vimercati⁸, Elena Zambelli⁴⁶, Deah Lieurance^{47*}

²Sociology and Social Policy, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Leeds, United

Kingdom

- ³Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries (IGB), Germany
- ⁴Institute of Biology, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany
- ⁵Rey Juan Carlos University (URJC), Madrid, Spain
- ⁶Institute of Environmental and Interdisciplinary Science, Carleton University, Canada
 - ⁷Leeds University Business School, University of Leeds, United Kingdom
- ⁸Department of Biology, University of Fribourg, Switzerland
- ⁹Department of Sociology, Lancaster University, United Kingdom
- ¹⁰Centre d'Écologie et des Sciences de la Conservation (CESCO), Sorbonne Université –
- *Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle – CNRS, Paris, France*
- ¹¹School of Sociological Studies, Politics and International Relations, University of Sheffield, United Kingdom
- ¹²Institut für Soziologie, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Germanv
- ¹³Department of Chemistry, Life Sciences and Environmental Sustainability, University of
- Parma, Parma, Italy
- ¹⁴School of Philosophy, Religion and History of Science, Faculty of Arts, Humanities and
- Cultures, University of Leeds, United Kingdom
- ¹⁵Department of Sociology, University of Manchester, United Kingdom
- ¹⁶ School of Natural Sciences, Ollscoil na Gaillimhe – University of Galway, Ireland
- ¹⁷School of History, Anthropology, Philosophy and Politics, Oueen's University Belfast, United Kingdom
- ¹⁸School of Geography, University of Leeds, United Kingdom
- ¹⁹Université de Paris I Panthéon Sorbonne, France
- ²⁰Faculty of Sociology, Bielefeld University, Germany
- ²¹Estación Experimental de Zonas Áridas (EEZA-CSIC), Spain
- ²²School of Social Sciences, Birkbeck University of London, United Kingdom
- ²³Technical University of Munich, School of Life Sciences, Germany
- ²⁴IDEA, The Ethics Centre, PRHS University of Leeds, United Kingdom

¹School of Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, United Kingdom

2		
3	50	²⁵ School of Earth and Environment, Faculty of Environment, University of Leeds, United
4	51	Kingdom
5	52	²⁶ Bioprotection Aotearoa, Department of Pest-Management and Conservation, Lincoln
6 7	53	University, New Zealand
7 8	54	²⁷ Department of Sociology, University of Warwick, United Kingdom
8 9	55	²⁸ Scientific Services, South African National Parks, South Africa
10		
11	56	²⁹ Department of Social Work, University of Applied Sciences and Arts, Western Switzerland
12	57	³⁰ Lifelong Learning Centre, University of Leeds, United Kingdom
13	58	³¹ Department of Natural Resources Science, University of Rhode Island, USA
14	59	³² Institute of Botany, Czech Academy of Sciences, Czech Republic
15	60	³³ School of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Stirling, Scotland ³⁴
16	61	Department of Ecology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Czech Republic
17	62	³⁵ Zoology Discipline, School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New
18	63	England, Armidale, Australia
19	64	³⁶ Department of Biology, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
20	65	³⁷ School of Biology and School of History, University of Leeds, United Kingdom
21	66	
22		³⁸ Náttúrustofa Vesturlands, Stykkishólmur, Iceland
23	67	³⁹ Swiss Federal Research Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL,
24 25	68	Switzerland
25 26	69	⁴⁰ Department of Social Policy, Sociology and Criminology University of Birmingham,
26 27	70	United Kingdom
27	71	⁴¹ Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Tennessee, United States
29	72	⁴² Argentine Institute for Dryland Research, CONICET and Universidad Nacional de Cuyo,
30	73	Argentina
31	74	⁴³ Department of History, Geography and Social Sciences, Edge Hill University, United
32	75	Kingdom
33	76	⁴⁴ Department of Geography and Planning, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom
34	77	⁴⁵ School of Social Sciences, Education and Social Work, Queen's University Belfast, United
35	78	
36		Kingdom 46Den autor of Secielary, Manue oth University, Indeed
37	79	⁴⁶ Department of Sociology, Maynooth University, Ireland
38	80	⁴⁷ Department of Ecosystem Science and Management, Penn State University, United States
39	81	
40	82	*Corresponding author information dzl5661@psu.edu
41	83	The authors wish to be considered equally as a consortium
42	84	
43 44	85	A recent article by Ahmed et al. (2025) attempts to draw parallels and assess distinctions
44 45	86	between biological invasions and the human migration. This comparison conflates two globally
46	87	occurring phenomena in a scientifically flawed way and risks the misappropriation of scientific
47	88	concepts for ideological and political agendas. The repeated use of ' <i>similarity</i> ' and ' <i>parallels</i> '
48	89	throughout the text, including in the title, could easily lead to misconceptions among broader
49	90	
50		audiences, such as educators and policymakers, who can help shape public discourse. Despite
51	91	their acknowledgement that comparing introductions of non-native species to human migration
52	92	"may be inappropriate and cause confusion," Ahmed et al. argue that it reveals "complex
53	93	parallels that are potentially fruitful to explore." However, they fail to make their case.
54	94	
55	95	While interdisciplinary analogies can sometimes yield fresh insights, applying concepts of
56	96	biological invasions to human migration is both conceptually flawed and ethically problematic.
57	97	Invasion science examines ecological processes and the subsequent environmental, economic,
58	98	and public health impacts. In contrast, migration studies explore the drivers of human
59	99	movement and their effects on individuals, communities, and countries, emphasizing that
60	//	

human migration-unlike biological invasions-is a single-species phenomenon in which individuals are not passive agents. Although external forces like war or famine can drive their movement, humans actively make decisions and respond to these pressures. This distinction is overlooked by Ahmed et al., when they wrongly compare human migration to interspecific invasional meltdown-a process involving the accumulation of multiple non-native species and their compounded ecological impacts, not merely a group of conspecifics (Simberloff and Von Holle 1999).

Such analogies are not only scientifically inaccurate but also carry serious ethical implications. In framing human migration through the lens of biological invasions, Ahmed et al. falsely portray migrants as threats. For example, they misapply the concept of *establishment*, which in invasion biology refers to the formation of self-sustaining populations of a species outside its historical range, often as a precursor toward spread and negative impacts. When this logic is extended to human migrants, it risks implying that their integration or success is inherently problematic, potentially reinforcing anti-immigration sentiments. This error is compounded by their application of frameworks designed to categorize the impacts of non-native species on human society [e.g., Socio-economic Impact Classification of Alien Taxa (SEICAT: Bacher et al., 2018)] in evaluating human migrants. This is incompatible and inappropriate for human-to-human interactions.

- Similarly, by forcing comparisons between the standard framework describing pathways of non-native species introductions (Hulme et al. 2008) and to human migrants, the authors frame migration as a process largely controlled by the recipient country, equating deprecatory terms including 'contaminant', 'stowaway', and 'escape' with the deeply complex socio-cultural phenomenon of immigration. Likewise, Ahmed et al. equate language used for neutral classification in medicine and invasion science with human migration, resulting in unacceptable comparisons that liken refugees to at-risk species or harmful diseases, depict successful migrants as filling ecological niches, and equate the containment of migrants with the containment of infectious disease, harmful contaminants, or invasive species. This approach dehumanizes these groups by reinforcing the comparisons Ahmed et al. themselves cautioned against and prevents scientific interdisciplinary progress.
- In contrast, robust interdisciplinarity, such as the use of welfare economics by invasion scientists to develop the SEICAT (Bacher et al. 2018), or the integration of sociological analysis to incorporate context-sensitive Indigenous knowledge (Brondízio et al. 2021), prioritises conceptual rigor and fosters genuine dialogue between disciplines to avoid misconceptions. Ahmed et al., by contrast, neglect the scientific collaboration needed to bring social sciences and invasion ecology together for effective interdisciplinary work in invasion science (Guareschi et al. 2024). As a result, they neither advance invasion science nor provide meaningful insights into human migration. For social scientists in migration studies, drawing parallels between biological invasions crossing biogeographic or jurisdictional boundaries and human migration occurring within or across jurisdictional boundaries reflects a conceptual mismatch rather than a scientifically sound comparison. Such comparisons fail to apply key distinctions, particularly the role of agency and intentionality in human migration, and risk oversimplifying or misrepresenting the complex social, political, and economic drivers that shape human migration.

Apart from failing to demonstrate heuristic value, Ahmed et al.'s misguided comparison of humans to non-native species, even as an academic exercise, is needlessly provocative, especially at a time when scientific concepts and associated data are increasingly misused for ideological and political purposes that disproportionately harm marginalized groups. This also highlights the responsibility of scientific journals and editors in this regard. Even if studies

such as Ahmed et al.'s review were scientifically sound, those with strong ethical implications and high potential to impact marginalized groups should be scrutinized more carefully for their ethical implications during decision for publication. This is especially relevant as ecologists increasingly engage with their peers in the social sciences. We urge that future research and publication practices should prioritize ethical integrity, especially when addressing topics with significant social impacts.

In summary, by drawing untenable equivalencies between biological invasions and human migration, Ahmed et al. open the door for both intentional and unintentional misuse instead of preventing it. Their stated caveats in the review are undermined by the fact that the authors themselves disregard them in their own synthesis. We strongly recommend such comparisons should be avoided altogether and reiterate Ahmed et al.'s own warning that this analogy is "fundamentally flawed and dangerous and so these two phenomena should not be directly compared".

¹⁹₂₀ 161 **References**

²¹ 162 Ahmed DA, Sousa R, Bortolus A, Aldemir C, Angeli NF, Błońska D, ... Haubrock PJ. 2025.

163 Parallels and discrepancies between non-native species introductions and human

164 migration. *Biological Reviews*. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.70004

Bacher S, Blackburn TM, Essl F, Genovesi P, Heikkilä J, Jeschke JM, ... Kumschick S. 2018.
Socio-economic impact classification of alien taxa (SEICAT). *Methods in Ecology and*

27 166 Socio-economic impact classification of alien taxa (SEICAT). *Meth* 28 167 *Evolution* 9: 159–168. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12844

Brondízio ES, Aumeeruddy-Thomas Y, Bates P, Carino J, Fernández-Llamazares Á, Ferrari
MF, ... Samakov A. 2021. Locally based, regionally manifested, and globally relevant:

170 Indigenous and local knowledge, values, and practices for nature. *Annual Review of*

33 171 Environment and Resources 46: 481–509.
34

35 172 Guareschi S, Mathers KL, South J, Navarro LM, Renals T, Hiley A, ... Wood PJ. 2024.

Framing challenges and polarized issues in invasion science: toward an interdisciplinary *BioScience* 74: 825–839. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biae084

Hulme, P. E., Bacher, S., Kenis, M., Klotz, S., Kühn, I., Minchin, D., ... Vilà, M. 2008.
Grasping at the routes of biological invasions: a framework for integrating pathways into

⁴¹ 177 policy. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 45: 403-414.

⁴³ 178 Simberloff, D., Von Holle, B. 1999. Positive interactions of nonindigenous species:

- ⁴⁴ 179 invasional meltdown?. *Biological invasions* 1: 21-32.
- 46 180

1	
2 3	101
4	181
5 6	182
7 8	183
9	184
10 11	185
12 13	
14	
15 16	
17 18	
19	
20 21	
22	
23 24	
25 26	
27	
28 29	
30	
31 32	
33 34	
35	
36 37	
38 39	
40	
41 42	
43 44	
45	
46 47	
48 49	
50	
51 52	
53 54	
55	
56 57	
57	