UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *Identification of novel small molecule chaperone activators for neurodegenerative disease treatment*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/225991/</u>

Version: Published Version

# Article:

Chen, Han-Jou orcid.org/0000-0001-7875-8661, Ho, Anita, Jeganathan, Fiona et al. (1 more author) (2025) Identification of novel small molecule chaperone activators for neurodegenerative disease treatment. Biomedicine & pharmacotherapy = Biomédecine & pharmacothérapie. 118049. ISSN 0753-3322

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2025.118049

## Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

## Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/biopha

# Identification of novel small molecule chaperone activators for neurodegenerative disease treatment

Anita K Ho<sup>a</sup>, Fiona Jeganathan<sup>b</sup>, Magda Bictash<sup>b</sup>, Han-Jou Chen<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> York Biomedical Research Institute, Department of Biology, University of York, Wentworth Way, York YO10 5DD, UK

<sup>b</sup> Alzheimer's Research UK UCL Drug Discovery Institute, University College London, The Cruciform Building, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, London WC1E 6BT, UK

#### ARTICLE INFO

Neurodegenerative disease

Keywords:

Chaperones

TDP-43

Drug screening

HSF1

#### ABSTRACT

A pathological hallmark of neurodegenerative disease is the accumulation of aberrant protein aggregates which contribute to the cytotoxicity and are therefore a target for therapy development. One key mechanism to manage cellular protein homeostasis is heat shock proteins (HSPs), protein chaperones which are known to target aberrant protein accumulation. Activation of HSPs target aberrant TDP-43, tau and amyloid to rescue neuro-degenerative disease. As an attempt to target HSP activation for neurodegeneration therapy, we here develop a drug screening assay to identify compounds that will activate the master regulator of HSPs, the transcription factor heat shock factor 1 (HSF1). As HSF1 is bound by HSP90 which prevents its activation, we developed a NanoBRET assay, which allows us to monitor and quantify the HSF1-HSP90 interaction in living cells to screen for compounds disrupting this interaction and thereby releasing HSF1 for activation. After the optimisation and validation of the assay, a two thousand compound library was screened which produced 10 hits including two known HSP90 inhibitors. Follow-up functional study showed that one of the hits oxyphenbutazone (OPB) significantly reduces the accumulation of insoluble TDP-43 in a cell model, eliciting no signs of stress or toxicity. Overall, this study demonstrates a viable strategy for new drug discovery in targeting aberrant proteins and identifies potential candidates for translation into neurodegenerative disease treatment.

#### 1. Introduction

Neurodegenerative disorders (NDs) are characterised by the progressive loss of neurons. Depending on the specific brain region affected, the outcome would range from issues related to movement dysfunction to the development of dementia. They affected an estimate of 57.4 million of people worldwide in 2019, with a significantly increased related burden in recent years causing a significant sociological strain on our growing ageing population [1]. Despite many recent developments and newly approved treatments, currently, there is still no cure for any ND. Some NDs have a familial component with known associated genetic mutations or genetic risks, many are sporadic where environmental risks and other yet-to-identified factors contribute to the disease development. Even with the heterogeneity of disease causes and sites affected, most NDs share a common pathological hallmark of the accumulation of misfolding aberrant protein aggregates in the affected neuronal areas. The widely recognised ND associated protein aggregates include amyloid- $\beta$  and tau in Alzheimer's disease [2,3],  $\alpha$ -synuclein in Parkinson's disease [4-6], and TDP-43 in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTD) [7,8]. Studies in various cell and animal models demonstrate that the accumulation of these aberrant protein aggregates leads to neuronal cytotoxicity, eventually neurodegeneration and neuronal death [9–12]. Therefore, the targeted clearance of protein aggregates is a key strategy for therapeutic intervention.

In healthy cells, protein synthesis, folding and degradation are tightly controlled and maintained by the chaperone system. The main players of the chaperone system are the heat shock proteins (HSPs) and the master transcription factor, heat shock factor 1 (HSF1). Chaperone proteins such as HSPs are controlled by HSF1 and undertake a wide range of cellular functions including protein homeostasis, cell survival and cell metabolism [13–15]. In unstressed condition, some HSPs are present in steady level to facilitate the daily cellular function and maintain homeostasis. During stress, HSPs are further induced through a mechanism called the heat shock response (HSR) when HSF1 is released from its inhibitory binding from HSP90 [16], trimerizing and binding to the heat shock element (HSE) in the promoter region of HSPs and promoting the expressions of HSPs [17–19]. Timely and effective activation

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: han-jou.chen@york.ac.uk (H.-J. Chen).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2025.118049

Received 10 January 2025; Received in revised form 2 April 2025; Accepted 7 April 2025 Available online 15 April 2025 0753-3322/© 2025 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. This

0753-3322/© 2025 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

of HSR enhances the capacity of the cells to resolve accumulated unfolded/misfolded protein and to survive and recover from the stress [20].

Interestingly, reduced HSR efficiency is observed in aged cells with a further down-regulation of key chaperone proteins observed in various neurodegenerative disorders [14,21,22]. Reduced HSF1 protein levels are also found in AD and ALS mouse models as well as in ALS patients [22,23]. Together, these studies suggest that the compromised chaperone system may play a role in the build-up of aberrant proteinopathies that eventually lead to neurodegeneration. Indeed, studies by us and other scientists have demonstrated that up-regulating key HSPs can reduce the accumulation of protein aggregates and enhance the cell survival rate [22,24-27]. For example, HSP70 and DNAJA2 have been shown to inhibit the nucleation and elongation of tau, preventing the sequestration of tau into tau aggregates [28,29]; DNAJB6 interacts with amyloid- $\beta$  and inhibits the formation of amyloid nuclei [30]; HSP70, DNAJB2a and DNAJB5 facilitate the refolding of insoluble TDP-43 and enhance cell survival [22,31]; and overexpression of DNAJB2a in SOD1 transgenic mice reduced SOD1 aggregation [32]. These findings suggest a potential treatment via the manipulation of the HSR pathway.

To date, the majority of attempts to activate this pathway are via HSP90 inhibition to release HSF1 and these have shown some neuroprotective effects in cellular and animal models [33–35]. However, HSP90 is an abundant and important chaperone with many important client proteins that are involved in the cell cycle and cell survival pathways [36], the inhibition of which would inevitably repress the HSP90-mediated survival signalling leading to cytotoxicity, therefore unsuitable for neurodegeneration therapy [37–39]. This has led us to explore other compounds that could specifically disrupt interactions between HSP90 and HSF1 to activate the HSR as a potential therapy.

To search for new HSR activators via disruption of HSF1 and HSP90 interaction, we established a live cell-based reporting assay utilising a NanoBRET system to monitor the interaction of HSF1 and HSP90. When optimised and validated, this assay was used in a compound library screen to test ~2200 compounds from a bioreference library for inhibitors of HSF1-HSP90 interaction. A selection of hit compounds was followed up, one of which demonstrated a reduction in insoluble TDP-43 proteins and has the potential for further therapeutic development.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Plasmids, antibodies and reagents

NanoBRET expression plasmids including the p53 and MDM2 positive control were purchased from Promega (NanoBRET PPI Starter Kit, N1811, Promega). HSP90 and HSF1 cDNA were cloned into the Nano-BRET expression plasmid to generate the Nluc- and HT-tagged HSP90 and HSF1 constructs. The GFP-TDP-43 in pEGFP-C1 plasmid was generated and used in previous studies [22,40].

Primary antibodies used in this study included: mouse anti-Actin (1:5000, A1978, Sigma), rabbit anti-GAPDH (1:1000, #2118S, Cell Signaling), rabbit anti-HSF1 (1:50,000, ab52757, Abcam), mouse anti-HSP70 (1:1000, ab5439, Abcam), rabbit anti-HSP90 (1:10,000, ab203126, Abcam), rabbit anti-TDP-43 (1:2000, 10782–2-AP, Proteintech) for immunoblotting, mouse anti-phospho TDP-43 (1:2000, Cosmo Bio), rabbit anti-PABP (1:1000, ab21060, Abcam) for immuno-fluorescence staining.

Secondary antibodies used in this study included: goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, DyLight 800 4X PEG (1:10000, Thermo Scientific), goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, DyLight 680 (1:10000, Thermo Scientific) for western blot analysis, goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, DyLight 550 (1:500, Thermo Scientific), goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 647 (1:500, Thermo Scientific) for immunofluorescence staining.

Compound and reagents used in this study included: 17-AAG (1515/

1, Bio-Techne and A8476, Sigma), Arsenite (106277, Sigma), BAI1 (S8865, Selleck Chemicals LLC), DMSO (D2438, Sigma), Oxyphenbutazone (OPB) (SML0540, Sigma), XIB4035 (SML1159, Sigma).

#### 2.2. Cell culture and DNA transfection

HEK293T cells were cultured using Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM), high glucose, GlutaMAX Supplement (10566016, Gibco). SH-SY5Y cells were cultured using Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium/Nutrient Mixture F-12 (DMEM/F-12) (#11320033, Gibco). Both were supplemented with 10 % of foetal bovine serum (FBS), and maintained at 37°C, 5 % CO<sub>2</sub>. Cells were plated a day before transfection and media were refreshed before plasmid DNA transfection using Lipofectamine<sup>TM</sup> 2000 Transfection Reagent (#11668019, Life Technologies/Thermo Fisher Scientific) per manufacturer's instructions. Cells were left for 48 hours after transfection to be harvested for analysis unless otherwise stated.

#### 2.3. Compound plates for screening

The 2100 Enamine Bioreference compound library (manufacture source, provided by ARUK-DDI at UCL) was used for this study.  $10 \,\mu$ M of the compound library diluted in DMSO were stamped in 96-well white-walled tissue culture plates (#3917, Costar) with vehicle (DMSO) and positive (17-AAG,  $10 \,\mu$ M) control (as shown in Supplementary Figure 3 A), and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C prior to the screen. Plates were defrosted at room temperature for 30 minutes before the addition of HaloTag/Nluc transfected cells as described below.

#### 2.4. NanoBRET assay

The NanoBRET assay was adapted from the technical manual provided in the Promega NanoBRET Protein:Protein Interaction System (N1662, Promega). HEK293T cells were plated in a 6-well plate, or a 10 cm dish the day before transfection. On the day of transfection, cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 Transfection Reagent, with a donor-to-acceptor ratio of 1:150 (i.e. 100 ng of NanoLuc (Nluc) Luciferase construct and 15 µg of HaloTag construct per 10 cm dish) unless otherwise stated. 24 hours after transfection, cells were trypsinised and resuspended in phenol red-free Opt-MEM (#11058021, Thermo Fisher Scientific) containing 5 % FBS at a concentration of  $5 \times 10^5$  cells per ml mixing with HaloTag 618 ligand (1 µl/ ml). 100 µl of the cell mixture was plated per well of the 96-well plate containing the screened compound. The plates were then incubated for 24 hours at 37°C before the NanoBRET assay.

To measure the NanoBRET signal, Nano-Glo substrate was diluted in phenol red-free Opti-MEM at the concentration of  $10 \,\mu$ /ml and  $25 \,\mu$ l of the substrate mixture was added to each well, using the injection system of CLARIOstar plate reader (BMG Labtech), following with a 30 second double orbital shaking at 300 rpm. Donor (450–80 nm) and acceptor (610–100 nm) emissions were immediately measured after substrate addition using CLARIOstar plate reader.

#### 2.5. Data and statistical analysis

The NanoBRET signal (mBU ratio) was calculated using the following formula:

$$mBU \ ratio = \frac{acceptor 618nm \ emission}{donor 450nm \ smissions} \times 1000$$

When optimising the NanoBRET assay for HSP90-HSF1 interaction, the corrected mBU ratio was used to determine the specificity of the assay, which factors in the donor-contributed background or bleedthrough. The corrected mBU ratio is calculated by subtracting the mBU ratio of the experimental samples with HaloTag ligands from the mBU ratio without HaloTag ligands:

# Corrected mBU ratio = mBU ratio\_{+Ligand} - mBU ratio\_{-Ligand}

The fold change between with and without HaloTag ligands as well as between DMSO and 17-AAG controls were calculated to examine the separation using the following equation:

$$Fold \ change = \frac{mean \ mBU_{+Ligands \ or \ DMSO}}{mean \ mBU_{-Ligands \ or 17-AAG}}$$

Z factor and coefficient of variation (CV) were used to measure the overall assay quality and robustness [41]. Z factor between with ligand and without ligand as well as between the DMSO and 17-AAG controls is calculated using the following equation:

$$Zfactor = 1 - \frac{(3 \times STDV_{+Ligand or DMSO} + 3 \times STDV_{-Ligand or 17-AAG})}{(Mean mBU_{+Ligand or DMSO} - Mean mBU_{-Ligand or 17-AAG})}$$

The CV value of the DMSO or 17-AAG controls was calculated as follows:

$$coefficient of variation(CV) = rac{STDV_{Control}}{Mean mBU_{Control}} imes 100$$

The inter-assay CV of the controls across different plates was calculated using the same equation, with the mean and STDV of the mean mBU ratio of the controls on each plate.

In the compound library screen, raw Donor and Acceptor signals were used to plot the X-Y scatter plot to visualise the separation of the positive 17-AAG and negative DMSO controls. When necessary, Donor and Acceptor signals were normalised based on the averaged values of DMSO control on each plate, which were then used to plot the X-Y scatter plot. The % inhibition of the samples was calculated based on the average mBU ratio of DMSO and 17-AAG controls on each plate using the following equation:

$$\% inhibition = 100 \times \frac{mBU_{smaple} - Avg mBU_{DMSO}}{Avg mBU_{DMSO} - Avg mBU_{17-AAG}}$$

The data was analysed using Dotmatics Scientific R&D Platform (Insightful Science, LLC), where hits were identified as compounds with a % inhibition that is over or at the mean plus one standard deviation (SD). Pan assay interferers were excluded from the resulting list of hits based on two criteria; 1) the chemical structure, and 2) the raw Donor and Acceptor signal, with compounds excluded when the raw signal exceeds minus three SD.

Ordinary one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test were used for comparisons of more than two groups and unpaired *t*-test was used for comparing two independent groups. Results with *p* values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Data are reported as mean  $\pm$  SEM. The statistics and graphs were performed in Excel (Microsoft) and GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Prism 9 software).

#### 2.6. Cell lysate preparation

HEK293T cells transfected with HaloTag/Nluc constructs from the optimisation assay were lysed in the appropriate amount of RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris pH8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 % TX-100, 0.5 % Sodium deoxycholate, 0.1 % sodium dodecyl sulphate with protease inhibitor), sonicated and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C. Protein concentration was determined using DC Protein Assay Kit (Bio-Rad). Western blot was run with 5 µg of protein lysate.

#### 2.7. Solubility fractionation

The fractionation for protein solubility was performed using a protocol described by Chen et al. (2016) with some minor modifications. In brief, transiently transfected and compound treated HEK293T cells were harvested in RIPA buffer, sonicated for 30 seconds and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 20 minutes at 4°C. After centrifugation, the supernatant was collected as the RIPA soluble fraction. The pellet, after being washed once with RIPA buffer, was then resuspended in 10 % of the original lysis volume with urea buffer (7 M Urea, 2 M Thiourea, 4 % CHAPs and 30 mM Tris pH8.4) and collected as the insoluble detergent-resistant fraction.

#### 2.8. Western blotting and quantification analysis

Protein quantification and western blotting were performed as described before [22]. Five micrograms of cell lysate from the RIPA fraction and the equivalent liquid volume from the urea fraction were loaded. Western blot quantification was performed using the image analysis software, ImageJ (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/). Integrated band intensities were normalised to that of loading control or the RIPA fraction.

#### 2.9. Immunofluorescence

HEK293T cells were plated on Poly-D-lysine (P6407, Sigma) coated glass coverslip overnight at 37°C. After the appropriate treatment, cells were fixed in 4 % paraformaldehyde for 30 min and washed with PBS three times for 5 min. Cells were permeabilised by incubation in 0.5 % Triton X-100 (Sigma) in PBS for 15 min at room temperature, followed by blocking in 1 % goat serum, PBS for 1 hour at room temperature. Cells were incubated with primary antibody diluted in blocking solution overnight at 4°C. After washing in PBS three times for 5 min, cells were subsequently incubated with fluorescent secondary antibodies diluted in the blocking solution for 1 hour at room temperature. DAPI (Sigma) was then used to stain for nuclei before being mounted on coverslips using FluorSave Reagent (Calbiochem).

#### 2.10. Cell viability assay

The cell viability was measured using the Prestoblue<sup>™</sup> cell viability reagent according to the manufacturer's protocol (#A13262, Invitrogen). Briefly, SH-SY5Y cells were seeded at a density of  $1 \times 10^5$  cells/ ml in black 96-well plate (#4680, Corning). After an overnight incubation to allow cell attachment, cells were treated with DMSO (control) or 10  $\mu$ M of OPB (treated) for 24 hours at 37°C. Eash condition was repeated in four wells. The Prestoblue™ reagent was then added to each well to incubated with the cells for 90 minutes at 37°C (Day 1). Fluorescence signal was measured at 544/590 nm using FLUOstar Omega plate reader (BMG Labtech). The Prestoblue™ reagent was then replaced with medium containing DMSO or 10  $\mu$ M of OPB. After another 24-hour incubation, Prestoblue™ cell viability assay was repeated on Day 2. The average fluorescence signal of the no-cell control wells was subtracted from the fluorescence signal of each experimental well (normalised fluorescence signal). The percentage of cell viability was calculated using used the following equation:

% of cell viability = 
$$\left(1 - \frac{\text{normalised fluorescence signal}_{\text{treated}}}{\text{normalised fluorescence signal}_{\text{control}}}\right) \times 100$$

Multiple T-test (GraphPad Prism) was used to determine whether the cell viability was significantly affected by the OPB treatment.

#### 3. Results

# 3.1. Development and optimisation of a NanoBRET assay monitoring HSF1-HSP90 interaction

To identify compounds that will disrupt the HSF1:HSP90 proteinprotein interaction (PPI), we used a recently developed bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) assay, NanoBRET<sup>TM</sup> technology, which quantitatively detects protein interactions in living cells via measuring the energy transfer between two tags fused to our proteins of interest [42]. In the presence of PPI, the bright luminescence emission can be detected after adding the Nano-Glo® Substrate, which acts as a donor allowing energy transfer to the HaloTag for fluorescence emission. The ratio of the luminescence/fluorescence signal (mBU) reflects the PPI in cells (Fig. 1A). In this study, we established the HSF1: HSP90 PPI monitoring system using HEK293 cells for their robustness and ease to transfection.

To adapt the NanoBRET assay to our protein interaction of interest, both HSF1 and HSP90 proteins were tagged with either Nluc donor or HT acceptor at either the amino (N) or carboxy (C) terminus of the proteins to explore the best combination in obtaining the maximum mBU value (Fig. 1B). All eight combinations of HSF1-HSP90 PPI resulted in a mBU value between 2 and 3, which is considerably lower than a p53-MDM2 positive control (Fig. 1C). This is consistent with previous data showing that HSF1-HSP90 is a weak PPI and is not always detectable without chemical crosslinkers [16,43]. Encouragingly, the majority of the Z factors of these combinations were above 0.5 (Fig. 1C and Supplementary Figure 1 A), indicating that the mBU signals detected were the robust reflection of the PPI instead of background noise. Further optimisation was carried out to adjust the Nluc donor to HT acceptor ratios. Combination 1-4, which exhibited a similar level of donor signal to the positive control (Supplementary Figure 1 A), slightly enhanced the mBU value when the donor-to-acceptor plasmid ratio was further increased to 1:150 (Fig. 1D). Combination 5-8, which showed a stronger initial donor signal (Supplementary Figure 1 A), was tested with a harsher donor-to-acceptor ratio ranging from 1:200-1000, and those with a Z factor above zero were shown in Fig. 1D. Despite the improved signal from the tested combinations, they did not exceed the performance of the earlier combinations tested (Fig. 1D). The expression levels of the Nluc/HT tagged proteins from the tested combinations were also analysed by Western blot (Fig. 1E). The HT-tagged HSP90 expression level was significantly lower than the endogenous HSP90 proteins, which is one of the most abundant proteins in cells and could act as a binding competitor of the HT-tagged HSP90. Strikingly, the expression level of HT-tagged HSF1 was much higher compared to the endogenous HSF1 proteins, which may be the contributing factor resulting in improved mBU values in the NanoBRET assay. As expected, the relatively low expression levels of the Nluc-tagged proteins were not detected by the Western blot. Overall, amongst the different construct combinations and transfection ratios, Combination 2 (Nluc-HSP90 $\alpha$   $\times$ HSF1-HT) with 1:150 of Nluc donor to HT acceptor ratio we show to have the highest BRET signal (Fig. 1D) and was therefore used in the following compound screen.

A known HSP90 inhibitor 17-AAG was used as a positive control to further validate this assay. 17-AAG blocks the ATPase pocket of HSP90 thereby inhibiting the function of HSP90 including its interaction with HSF1 [44,45]. Treating cells with 17-AAG resulted in a dose-dependent decrease in the mBU signal (Fig. 1F). A 96-well plate assay with alternative columns of 17-AAG treatment yielded compact data points with coefficient of variation (CV) values of 1.75 and 1.58 of the treatment groups respectively of the whole plate, and an overall Z factor of 0.38 for detecting HSF1-HSP90 PPI (Supplementary Figure 2). A CV value determines the consistency of the assay, where small CV values indicate less variations of the assay. A Z factor reflects the effect size detected, with a value between 0.5 and 1 indicating a large separation while a value between 0 and 0.5 indicating a small separation [41]. Since the HSF1-HSP90 PPI is known to be a weak interaction, a Z factor above 0.2 in this study is regarded as a robust detection of the PPI. Thus, we used the cut-off point of CV value of 10 and Z factor of 0.2 in this study. As demonstrated in our optimisation, this assay produced consistent and robust readings for HSF1-HSP90 PPI.

#### 3.2. Screening for HSP90:HSF1 interaction disruptors

In this study, we used the 2100 Enamine Bioreference library which contains 2100 compounds of wide varieties including FDA-approved drugs, tool compounds with validated biological activity, active metabolites and new drugs currently in clinical trials. The screening was carried out in two stages. In the first stage, we screened eight plates of library compounds including positive and negative controls (Supplementary Figure 3 A). As shown in Fig. 2A, the mBU values of DMSO and 17-AAG on each plate were consistent and the mBU fold change caused by 17-AAG treatment was maintained between 1.15 and 1.19 (Fig. 2B). This was supported by the in-plate Z factor ranging from 0.26 - 0.78 and the CV values all below five, (Figs. 2A and 2B; Supplementary Table 1), demonstrating that each plate passed the consistency and robustness threshold to identify potential HSF1-HSP90- PPI inhibitors. The assays also showed plate-to-plate consistency with an inter-assay CV of both the DMSO and 17-AAG control below five (Supplementary Table 2). The results of the complete 8 plate screening were shown in Fig. 2C and D. Compounds with a % inhibition within the range of the 17-AAG control and above one standard deviation (>1 SD) were identified as hits. In this stage 1 screen, Geldanamycin, a known HSP90 inhibitor from which 17-AAG is derived, was blindly identified as one of the hits (Fig. 2C and D), validating the robustness of the assay.

Encouraged by the consistency and robustness of the assay performance, we then proceeded to stage 2 screening for the rest of the library consisting of a further 20 plates. The in-plate Z factors were all above the cut-off value (ranging from 0.43 – 0.86) and the CV values were all below five (Supplementary Figure 2D and E; Supplementary Table 3), indicating the screens were consistent and robust enough to identify PPI inhibitors. Importantly, another HSP90 inhibitor, 171009–00–0, was identified as a hit in this full screen, indicating the specificity of this assay in the second round (Fig. 3A and B; Supplementary Figure 4).

Overall in the complete screen of the 2100 Enamine Bioreference library, 8 hits in total were identified (Table 1), which had met our expectations, especially with such a small signal window of the Nano-BRET assay for a transient protein-protein interaction, and the ones demonstrating the highest % of inhibition were subsequently followed up (Fig. 3C).

#### 3.3. OPB treatment reduces the accumulation of insoluble TDP-43 in cells

Of the top three compounds, oxyphenbutazone (OPB) has previously been suggested to be a potential HSF1 activator, although its mechanism of action has not been characterised [46]. The other two identified compounds were not known to associate with HSF1 previously, but BAI1 has been shown to inhibit apoptosis [47,48] and XIB3540, also known as Aminoquinol, has been shown to promote neurite outgrowth of Neuro-2A cells [49,50], which suggested that these compounds could be effective in preventing neurodegeneration.

One of the hallmarks of neurodegenerative diseases is the accumulation of aberrant protein aggregates, which causes cytotoxicity to neurons, eventually leading to neuronal death. TPD-43 protein aggregates are found in several neurodegenerative diseases, such ALS, FTD and AD [9,51,52] and the presence of TDP-43 aggregates is associated with cytotoxicity both in mouse and cell models [22,25,53-57]. It is a known target of various HSPs and the activation of HSF1 is demonstrated to rescue TDP-43 aggregation and cytotoxicity [22,53,58]. To investigate whether the identified hit compounds can rescue aberrant proteinopathy linked to neurodegenerative disease, we tested the compound treatment in a robust TDP-43 overexpression cell model. In our initial study where TDP-43 overexpression cells were treated with 10  $\mu M$ of the three tested compounds for 24 hours, despite we did not see any significant in the endogenous protein level of HSP70 and HSP90 (Fig. 4A), we did observe a reduction of insoluble TDP-43 with XIB4035 and OPB treatment (Fig. 4A), with a significant reduction by the OPB treatment (Fig. 4B). The OPB-mediated insoluble TDP-43 clearance was dose-dependent, whereas the mode of the effect of XIB4035 was not obvious in the dosage range tested (Fig. 4C and D). None of the treatment altered the level of soluble TDP-43 (Supplementary Figure 5). In the subsequent validation study, OPB treatment was observed to enhance HSF1 trimerisation and HSP up-regulation (Supplementary

A.K. Ho et al.

Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy 187 (2025) 118049



**Fig. 1.** Optimising the NanoBRET system for HSF1-HSP90 interaction. **(A)** Illustration of the NanoBRET system. **(B)** Illustration of HSP90 and HSF1 combinations tested in this study. **(C-D)** Testing HSP90-HSF1 combinations and transfection ratio to maximise mBU value. HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with the testing combination pair for 48 hours before measuring the BRET signal. Blue- and orange-coloured bars represent the combination pairs with Nluc-tagged HSP90 and Nluc-tagged HSF1, respectively. The p53-MDM2 positive control is illustrated in grey colour. **(C)** Comparison of the mBU values of the eight HSP90-HSF1 combinations with the transfection ratio of Nluc donor to HT acceptor ratio as 1:100. The number indicates the Z factor between with HaloTag Ligand (HL) and without HL of each combination. **(D)** Comparison of different Nluc donor to HT acceptor ratios for HSP90-HSF1 combination. Different ratios were tested for transfection in HEK293T cells. The red and the black dotted line shows the maximum and minimum mBU values obtained from the Combination test with 1:100 transfection ratio shown in (C), respectively. **(E)** The expression level of the HaloTag-tagged HSP90 and HSF1. HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with Combination 1–4 and Combination 5–8 in 1:150 aratios, respectively. Cells were lysed after 48 hours of transfection in RIPA buffer followed by western blot analysis. Actin was used as a loading control. **(F)** The optimised NanoBRET assay shows a dose-dependent reduction of the mBU values when treated with a known HSP90 inhibitor, 17-AAG. HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with Combination 2 in 1:150 ratio for 24 hours, followed by a 24-hour treatment of different concentrations of 17-AAG. The mBU values were then determined and normalised to the mBU value obtained from cells treated with DMSO. Data represent normalised mBU values from 3 independent experiments, with mean  $\pm$  SD.



**Fig. 2.** The NanoBRET assay blindly identified a known compound that disrupts the HSF1-HSP90 interaction in the first stage of 2100 Enamine Bioreference library compound screen using the NanoBRET HSF1-HSP90 interaction assay. HEK239T cells were transiently transfected with Combination 2 in 1:150 ratio for 24 hours. Transfected cells mixed with HT ligand were plated on the 96-well plate containing compounds from the Enamine Bioreference library. They were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, after which mBU values were determined. The blue and red dots represent the DMSO and 17-AAG controls, respectively. Hits were labelled with empty black dots and interferers were labelled with pink dots. **(A-B)** Each point represents the **(A)** average mBU value of the DMSO and 17-AAG control and the **(B)** fold change between these two controls from each 96-well plate in the stage 1 screen. **(C)** Raw donor and acceptor signals from the stage 1 screen (8 plates) were plotted on a scatter plot graph. The red dotted lines represent the mean of signal minus 3 SD. **(D-E)** Each point represents the **(D)** Z factor between the DMSO and 17-AAG control and the **(B)** control and the **(E)** coefficient of variation (CV) of each 96-well plate in the stage 1 screen. **(F)** The % inhibition of the compounds from the stage 1 screen (8 plates). The green, blue and red dotted lines represent the mean of % inhibition plus and minus 1, 2 and 3 SD, respectively. The light red shaded region indicates the range of % inhibition of the 17-AAG controls.



**Fig. 3.** Three novel compounds were identified after the second stage of 2100 Enamine Bioreference library compound screen using the NanoBRET HSF1-HSP90 interaction assay. The rest of the 20 plates were screened using the same protocol as the stage 1 screen. The blue and red dots represent the DMSO and 17-AAG controls, respectively. Hits were labelled with empty black dots and interferers were labelled with pink dots. **(A)** Raw donor and acceptor signals from the stage 2 screen (20 plates) were normalised based on the averaged values of DMSO control on each plate. The red dotted lines represent the mean of normalised signal minus 3 SD. **(B)** The % inhibition of the compounds from the stage 2 screen (20 plates). The green, blue and red dotted lines represent the mean of % inhibition plus and minus 1, 2 and 3 SD, respectively. The light red shaded region indicates the range of % inhibition of the 17-AAG controls. **(C)** Compound structures of XIB4035, BAI and Oxyphenbutazone (OPB).

Figure 6), supporting that OPB mediates aberrant TDP-43 clearance through HSR activation.

To further investigate whether the treatment causes cellular stress, cells were stained with poly(A)-binding protein (PABP), which is a cell stress marker that forms granules in response to stress [59]. As shown in Fig. 5A, PABP stress granules were induced in cells treated with Arsenite but not 10  $\mu$ M of OPB. We also investigated whether OPB treatment would affect cell viability using PrestoBlue assay. Cell viability assessed at 1- and 2-day OPB treatment showed no significant difference

compared to the DMSO control (Fig. 5B). Taken together, these results indicated that the OPB reduces the level of insoluble TDP-43 potentially through facilitating protein refolding. Further study should be carried out to explore the potential of OPB as a new therapy for neurodegenerative disease.

### 4. Discussion

In this study, we established a robust screening assay to monitor the

#### Table 1

Compounds identified as inhibitors of HSP90-HSF1 interaction.

Compounds	mBU	% inhibition	PubChem CID
MAC2 / BAI2	2.946659	147.10 %	90488878
BAI1	3.27277	106.73 %	2729027
Oxyphenbutazone (OPB)	3.463204	89.80 %	4641
Aminoquinol / XIB4035	3.376043	84.38 %	5708341
Geldanamycin	3.769308	84.04 %	3460
L-thyroxine / T4	3.665454	80.71 %	5819
171009-00-0	3.508201	79.07 %	854958
Droxinostat / CMH	3.541815	75.12 %	568416

HSF1-HSP90 protein-protein interaction for drug screening in living cells (Fig. 1) and identified a compound, OPB to reduce levels of insoluble TDP-43 proteins (Figs. 3 and 4). OPB now serves as a potential compound for further development.

# 4.1. NanoBRET assay robustly detects weak HSF1-HSP90 PPI for screening PPI inhibitors

The activation of HSF1 has long been shown to be regulated by binding to HSP90 [16]. The interaction between HSF1 and HSP90 has been shown to be weak and requires a cross-linker to observe the interaction in co-immunoprecipitation assays [16,43]. This interaction



**Fig. 4.** Treatment with Oxyphenbutazone (OPB) reduces the level of insoluble TDP-43 aggregates significantly. HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with GFP-TDP-43 WT for 24 hours, prior to treatment with the selected hit compounds for 24 hours at  $37^{\circ}$ C. Cells were lysed with RIPA buffer followed by fractionation. **(A)** Level of insoluble GFP-TDP-43 is detected by TDP-43 antibody in the western blot. **(B)** Levels of insoluble TDP-43 from the three independent transfections are quantified, normalised to GAPDH and shown in relative to the control, with mean  $\pm$  SEM. (one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-test with all F values and degrees of freedom shown in supplementary table 8, \*, P < 0.05). **(C)** GFP-TDP-43 WT transfected cells were treated with 1, 3, 5, 7 & 10  $\mu$ M of XIB3540 or OPB for 24 hours. Level of insoluble GFP-TDP-43 is detected by a GFP antibody in the western blot. **(D)** The quantification analysis of the level of insoluble GFP-TDP-43 after 24-hour treatment of **(i)** XIB4035 and **(ii)** OPB, normalised to GAPDH and shown relative to the control, with mean  $\pm$  SEM.



**Fig. 5.** Treatment with Oxyphenbutazone (OPB) did not show any obvious signs of cell stress or toxicity. **(A)** HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with GFP vector or GFP-TDP-43 WT for 24 hours, prior to treatment with DMSO or OPB for 24 hours at  $37^{\circ}$ C. Arsenite treatment (0.25 mM for 30 mins) acted as the positive control. Cells were then fixed with 4 % Paraformaldehyde for 30 mins and stained for phosphor-TDP-43 (p-TDP) (red) and PABP (magenta). Scale bar 10  $\mu$ M. **(B)** OPB treatment did not affect cell viability. SH-SY5Y cells were treated with either DMSO or 10  $\mu$ M of OPB for 2 days at  $37^{\circ}$ C and the cell viability was measured using PrestoBlue assay 24 hours (Day 1) or 48 hours (Day 2) after treatment. Fluorescence signals, after subtracting the background signal, were shown relative to the control, with mean  $\pm$  SEM. (Multiple T-test, ns, P > 0.05).

is linked to HSR activation that it is disrupted by heat shock or by HSP90 inhibitors like 17-AAG or Geldanamycin [16,43,45,60]. In the absence of this interaction, cells show HSF1 activation [35,43,61] and the subsequent HSPs upregulation which eventually contributes to protein aggregation clearance [22,28,29]. HSP90 is an ATP-dependent chaperone that is also involved in various cellular signalling pathways such as the PI3K/AKT and kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB), playing a critical role in cell survival and cytoskeleton organisation [62]. Most of the current HSP90 inhibitors block the ATPase pocket and inhibit HSP90 functions and signalling results in enhanced cell death, making inhibition of HSP90 problematic as a therapeutic intervention [37–39]. Therefore, screening for compounds that disrupt the HSP90: HSF1 interaction, but do not inhibit HSP90 function, would provide potential candidates for developing neurodegenerative disease treatment. The FRET/BRET system has been used to study protein-protein interaction for many years, used frequently in a high-throughput setting [63]. Here, we optimised the recently developed NanoBRET assay for this HSF1-HSP90 interaction to screen for PPI inhibitors. The assay provides only a limited signal window for compound identification with a fold change under 1.5, as shown in the optimisation assay using the known HSP90 inhibitor, 17-AAG, that disrupts HSF1-HSP90 interaction [45] (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Figure 2). This is likely partly due to the nature of the relatively weak interaction between HSP90 and HSF1 [16,43]. Additionally, HSP90 is one of the most abundant proteins expressed in cells (Fig. 1E; [64]), which could bind competitively to the HT-tagged HSF1 and deplete the interaction with the Nluc-tagged HSP90. These factors could all contribute to the low BRET signal that we observed in the NanoBRET assay.

Despite the limitations, all intra- and inter-assay CV values passed the consistency and robustness threshold (Supplementary Table 1–7) and the in-plate Z factors all met the required cut-off point (Fig. 2, Supplementary Figure 3, Supplementary Table 1 and 3), indicating that the reduction of the mBU values observed reflected the disruption of the interaction. In fact, two known HSP90 inhibitors, Geldanamycin and 171009–00–0, which act similarly to the positive control 17-AAG, were identified blindly in these screens (Figs. 2 and 3), demonstrating that with the appropriate optimisation and control conditions in place, this assay is robust enough to detect weak PPI and thus able to identify reliable HSF1-HSP90 PPI inhibitors with high confidence.

# 4.2. OPB as a potential treatment to remove protein aggregates in neurodegenerative diseases

Among the eight compounds identified in this assay, three of the most promising on their % of PPI inhibitions in the screen and on their known bio-functional cellular effects, were followed up in this study. They have either been shown to associate with HSF1 activity such as OPB [46], or inhibit apoptosis and promote growth, such as BAI1 and XIB4035, respectively [47–50]. BAI1 treatment was shown to have no or slightly positive effect in increasing the level of insoluble TDP-43 protein (Fig. 4A), suggesting that it is not a promising candidate to follow up for neurodegenerative disease treatment. For XIB4035, the treatment showed a reduction in the level of insoluble TDP-43, but the decrease is not significant (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, the impact on TDP-43 is not in a liner dose-dependent manner (Fig. 4B) which suggests that the reduction of insoluble TDP-43 seen in XIB4035 treatment is likely to be indirect. Further study is required to characterise its mode of action.

Of the three compounds, OPB treatment not only generated a significant decrease in the level of insoluble TDP-43 but also acted in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 4). In parallel to OPB being identified from the NanoBRET assay as an HSF1-HSP90 PPI inhibitor, our preliminary data showed that OPB treatment increased HSF1 trimerisation and drove HSP expression (Supplementary Figure 6). This observation aligned with the previous report that OPB is a potential activator for HSF1 [46]. Despite the hints of OPB manipulating HSR, it is better known as a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) targeting the phospholipase A2 (PLA2)/cyclooxygenase (COX) [65-67]. Interestingly, COX enzymes have also been shown to be involved in neurodegenerative disease, where the expression of COX enzymes has been seen to be up-regulated in brain tissue of AD, PD and ALS patients [68,69] and can be target disease treatment. For example, COX-2 specific NSAIDs such as Rofecoxib, has been shown to inhibit the COX-2 proinflammatory signalling cascades in SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> mice, significantly decreasing the density of inflammatory cells and helping restore the number of motor neurons in SOD1 $^{\rm G93A}$  mice [68–70]. Some NSAIDs, such as ibuprofen, indomethacin and flurbiprofen, are also involved in protein aggregates clearance by targeting the activity of  $\gamma$ -secretase and transcription factors, such as nuclear factor NF- $\kappa$ B and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR $\gamma$ ), to reduce the accumulation of amyloid- $\beta$ protein [68,71]. Furthermore, the inflammation pathway can also be regulated by HSPs via COX-2 and NF-kB [72-74] where up-regulation of HSPs reduces COX-2 expression and the production of various inflammatory mediators and pro-inflammatory cytokines in cells and *in vivo* [75,76]. Overall, these suggest that OPB could activate HSR to refold the protein aggregates, and at the same time inhibit the inflammatory pathway *in vivo*, which eventually prevents neurodegeneration. Further study will be required to validate and explore the mechanism and effect of OPB in an ALS-relevant TDP-43 in vivo model, which will provide critical insights of OPB's therapeutic potential for ALS.

In summary, we established a NanoBRET system for compound screening, which allows us to monitor and quantify HSP90-HSF1 interaction in living cells in a high-throughput setting. One of the compound hits, OPB, showed an effect in reducing the insoluble TDP-43, which is the hallmark aggregates found in about 95 % of ALS patients. Therefore, we demonstrate that the NanoBRET assay is a useful tool for compound screening and OPB is a promising lead to develop potential new treatments for ALS.

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

**Chen Han-Jou:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization. **Ho Anita:** Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation. **Bictash Magda:** Resources, Methodology, Conceptualization. **Jeganathan Fiona:** Visualization, Software, Resources, Formal analysis, Data curation.

### Funding

This work was supported by the Motor Neurone Disease Association [Chen/Apr17/858–791]; the Medical Research Council to HJC [impact acceleration grant]; and the Alzheimer's Research UK grant to FJ (DDI) [ARUK-2021 DDI-UCL].

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Prof Matt Cheeseman, Prof Paul Whiting and Prof Fiona Docutterd from ARUK-UCL DDI, for professional advice and support for the project.

#### Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2025.118049.

#### References

- G.B.D.D.F. Collaborators, Estimation of the global prevalence of dementia in 2019 and forecasted prevalence in 2050: an analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019, Lancet Public Health 7 (2) (2022) e105–e125.
- [2] A. Alzheimer, R.A. Stelzmann, H.N. Schnitzlein, F.R. Murtagh, An english translation of alzheimer's 1907 paper, "uber eine eigenartige erkankung der hirnrinde", Clin. Anat. 8 (6) (1995) 429–431.
- [3] D. Allsop, Introduction to Alzheimer's disease, Methods Mol. Med 32 (2000) 1–21.
  [4] M.G. Spillantini, M.L. Schmidt, V.M. Lee, J.Q. Trojanowski, R. Jakes, M. Goedert,
- Alpha-synuclein in Lewy bodies, Nature 388 (6645) (1997) 839–840. [5] M.G. Spillantini, R.A. Crowther, R. Jakes, M. Hasegawa, M. Goedert, Alpha-
- Synuclein in filamentous inclusions of Lewy bodies from Parkinson's disease and dementia with lewy bodies, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95 (11) (1998) 6469-6473.
- [6] M. Baba, S. Nakajo, P.H. Tu, T. Tomita, K. Nakaya, V.M. Lee, J.Q. Trojanowski, T. Iwatsubo, Aggregation of alpha-synuclein in Lewy bodies of sporadic Parkinson's disease and dementia with Lewy bodies, Am. J. Pathol. 152 (4) (1998) 879–884.
- [7] T. Arai, M. Hasegawa, H. Akiyama, K. Ikeda, T. Nonaka, H. Mori, D. Mann, K. Tsuchiya, M. Yoshida, Y. Hashizume, T. Oda, TDP-43 is a component of

Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy 187 (2025) 118049

ubiquitin-positive tau-negative inclusions in frontotemporal lobar degeneration and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Biochem Biophys. Res Commun. 351 (3) (2006) 602–611.

- [8] M. Neumann, D.M. Sampathu, L.K. Kwong, A.C. Truax, M.C. Micsenyi, T.T. Chou, J. Bruce, T. Schuck, M. Grossman, C.M. Clark, L.F. McCluskey, B.L. Miller, E. Masliah, I.R. Mackenzie, H. Feldman, W. Feiden, H.A. Kretzschmar, J. Q. Trojanowski, V.M. Lee, Ubiquitinated TDP-43 in frontotemporal lobar degeneration and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Science 314 (5796) (2006) 130–133.
- [9] L.R. Hayes, P. Kalab, Emerging therapies and novel targets for TDP-43 proteinopathy in ALS/FTD, Neurotherapeutics 19 (4) (2022) 1061–1084.
- [10] U. Sehar, P. Rawat, A.P. Reddy, J. Kopel, P.H. Reddy, Amyloid beta in aging and alzheimer's disease, Int J. Mol. Sci. 23 (21) (2022).
- [11] E. Virgilio, F. De Marchi, E. Contaldi, U. Dianzani, R. Cantello, L. Mazzini, C. Comi, The role of tau beyond alzheimer's disease: a narrative review, Biomedicines 10 (4) (2022).
- [12] P. Calabresi, A. Mechelli, G. Natale, L. Volpicelli-Daley, G. Di Lazzaro, V. Ghiglieri, Alpha-synuclein in Parkinson's disease and other synucleinopathies: from overt neurodegeneration back to early synaptic dysfunction, Cell Death Dis. 14 (3) (2023) 176.
- [13] Y.E. Kim, M.S. Hipp, A. Bracher, M. Hayer-Hartl, F.U. Hartl, Molecular chaperone functions in protein folding and proteostasis, Annu Rev. Biochem 82 (2013) 323–355.
- [14] B. Margulis, A. Tsimokha, S. Zubova, I. Guzhova, Molecular chaperones and proteolytic machineries regulate protein homeostasis in aging cells, Cells 9 (5) (2020).
- [15] M.J. Binder, A.M. Pedley, The roles of molecular chaperones in regulating cell metabolism, FEBS Lett. 597 (13) (2023) 1681–1701.
- [16] J. Zou, Y. Guo, T. Guettouche, D.F. Smith, R. Voellmy, Repression of heat shock transcription factor HSF1 activation by HSP90 (HSP90 complex) that forms a stress-sensitive complex with HSF1, Cell 94 (4) (1998) 471–480.
- [17] R.E. Kingston, T.J. Schuetz, Z. Larin, Heat-inducible human factor that binds to a human hsp70 promoter, Mol. Cell Biol. 7 (4) (1987) 1530–1534.
- [18] J.T. Westwood, J. Clos, C. Wu, Stress-induced oligomerization and chromosomal relocalization of heat-shock factor, Nature 353 (6347) (1991) 822–827.
- [19] K.D. Sarge, S.P. Murphy, R.I. Morimoto, Activation of heat shock gene transcription by heat shock factor 1 involves oligomerization, acquisition of DNA-binding activity, and nuclear localization and can occur in the absence of stress, Mol. Cell Biol. 13 (3) (1993) 1392–1407.
- [20] M.K. Kurop, C.M. Huyen, J.H. Kelly, B.S.J. Blagg, The heat shock response and small molecule regulators, Eur. J. Med Chem. 226 (2021) 113846.
- [21] M. Brehme, C. Voisine, T. Rolland, S. Wachi, J.H. Soper, Y. Zhu, K. Orton, A. Villella, D. Garza, M. Vidal, H. Ge, R.I. Morimoto, A chaperome subnetwork safeguards proteostasis in aging and neurodegenerative disease, Cell Rep. 9 (3) (2014) 1135–1150.
- [22] H.J. Chen, J.C. Mitchell, S. Novoselov, J. Miller, A.L. Nishimura, E.L. Scotter, C. A. Vance, M.E. Cheetham, C.E. Shaw, The heat shock response plays an important role in TDP-43 clearance: evidence for dysfunction in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Brain 139 (Pt 5) (2016) 1417–1432.
- [23] R. Trivedi, D.A. Jurivich, A molecular perspective on age-dependent changes to the heat shock axis, Exp. Gerontol. 137 (2020) 110969.
- [24] P.E. Ash, Y.J. Zhang, C.M. Roberts, T. Saldi, H. Hutter, E. Buratti, L. Petrucelli, C. D. Link, Neurotoxic effects of TDP-43 overexpression in C. elegans, Hum. Mol. Genet 19 (16) (2010) 3206–3218.
- [25] S.J. Barmada, G. Skibinski, E. Korb, E.J. Rao, J.Y. Wu, S. Finkbeiner, Cytoplasmic mislocalization of TDP-43 is toxic to neurons and enhanced by a mutation associated with familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, J. Neurosci. 30 (2) (2010) 639–649.
- [26] N.R. Stallings, K. Puttaparthi, C.M. Luther, D.K. Burns, J.L. Elliott, Progressive motor weakness in transgenic mice expressing human TDP-43, Neurobiol. Dis. 40 (2) (2010) 404–414.
- [27] H. Wils, G. Kleinberger, J. Janssens, S. Pereson, G. Joris, I. Cuijt, V. Smits, C. Ceuterick-de Groote, C. Van Broeckhoven, S. Kumar-Singh, TDP-43 transgenic mice develop spastic paralysis and neuronal inclusions characteristic of ALS and frontotemporal lobar degeneration, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107 (8) (2010) 3858–3863.
- [28] F. Kundel, S. De, P. Flagmeier, M.H. Horrocks, M. Kjaergaard, S.L. Shammas, S. E. Jackson, C.M. Dobson, D. Klenerman, Hsp70 inhibits the nucleation and elongation of tau and sequesters tau aggregates with high affinity, ACS Chem. Biol. 13 (3) (2018) 636–646.
- [29] S.A. Mok, C. Condello, R. Freilich, A. Gillies, T. Arhar, J. Oroz, H. Kadavath, O. Julien, V.A. Assimon, J.N. Rauch, B.M. Dunyak, J. Lee, F.T.F. Tsai, M.R. Wilson, M. Zweckstetter, C.A. Dickey, J.E. Gestwicki, Mapping interactions with the chaperone network reveals factors that protect against tau aggregation, Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 25 (5) (2018) 384–393.
- [30] N. Osterlund, M. Lundqvist, L.L. Ilag, A. Graslund, C. Emanuelsson, Amyloid-beta oligomers are captured by the DNAJB6 chaperone: Direct detection of interactions that can prevent primary nucleation, J. Biol. Chem. 295 (24) (2020) 8135–8144.
- [31] R. San Gil, D. Pascovici, J. Venturato, H. Brown-Wright, P. Mehta, L. Madrid San Martin, J. Wu, W. Luan, Y.K. Chui, A.T. Bademosi, S. Swaminathan, S. Naidoo, B. A. Berning, A.L. Wright, S.S. Keating, M.A. Curtis, R.L.M. Faull, J.D. Lee, S.T. Ngo, A. Lee, M. Morsch, R.S. Chung, E. Scotter, L. Lisowski, M. Mirzaei, A.K. Walker, A transient protein folding response targets aggregation in the early phase of TDP-43-mediated neurodegeneration, Nat. Commun. 15 (1) (2024) 1508.
- [32] S.S. Novoselov, W.J. Mustill, A.L. Gray, J.R. Dick, N. Kanuga, B. Kalmar, L. Greensmith, M.E. Cheetham, Molecular chaperone mediated late-stage

neuroprotection in the SOD1(G93A) mouse model of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, PLoS One 8 (8) (2013) e73944.

- [33] M. Waza, H. Adachi, M. Katsuno, M. Minamiyama, C. Sang, F. Tanaka, A. Inukai, M. Doyu, G. Sobue, 17-AAG, an Hsp90 inhibitor, ameliorates polyglutaminemediated motor neuron degeneration, Nat. Med 11 (10) (2005) 1088–1095.
- [34] N. Fujikake, Y. Nagai, H.A. Popiel, Y. Okamoto, M. Yamaguchi, T. Toda, Heat shock transcription factor 1-activating compounds suppress polyglutamine-induced neurodegeneration through induction of multiple molecular chaperones, J. Biol. Chem. 283 (38) (2008) 26188–26197.
- [35] Y. Chen, B. Wang, D. Liu, J.J. Li, Y. Xue, K. Sakata, L.Q. Zhu, S.A. Heldt, H. Xu, F. F. Liao, Hsp90 chaperone inhibitor 17-AAG attenuates Abeta-induced synaptic toxicity and memory impairment, J. Neurosci. 34 (7) (2014) 2464–2470.
- [36] M. Taipale, D.F. Jarosz, S. Lindquist, HSP90 at the hub of protein homeostasis: emerging mechanistic insights, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 11 (7) (2010) 515–528.
- [37] M. Aguila, D. Bevilacqua, C. McCulley, N. Schwarz, D. Athanasiou, N. Kanuga, S. S. Novoselov, C.A. Lange, R.R. Ali, J.W. Bainbridge, C. Gias, P.J. Coffey, P. Garriga, M.E. Cheetham, Hsp90 inhibition protects against inherited retinal degeneration, Hum. Mol. Genet 23 (8) (2014) 2164–2175.
- [38] G. Garg, A. Khandelwal, B.S. Blagg, Anticancer inhibitors of Hsp90 function: beyond the usual suspects, Adv. Cancer Res 129 (2016) 51–88.
- [39] J. Sanchez, T.R. Carter, M.S. Cohen, B.S.J. Blagg, Old and new approaches to target the Hsp90 chaperone, Curr. Cancer Drug Targets 20 (4) (2020) 253–270.
- [40] A.L. Nishimura, V. Zupunski, C. Troakes, C. Kathe, P. Fratta, M. Howell, J.M. Gallo, T. Hortobagyi, C.E. Shaw, B. Rogelj, Nuclear import impairment causes cytoplasmic trans-activation response DNA-binding protein accumulation and is associated with frontotemporal lobar degeneration, Brain 133 (Pt 6) (2010) 1763–1771.
- [41] J.H. Zhang, T.D. Chung, K.R. Oldenburg, A simple statistical parameter for use in evaluation and validation of high throughput screening assays, J. Biomol. Screen 4 (2) (1999) 67–73.
- [42] T. Machleidt, C.C. Woodroofe, M.K. Schwinn, J. Mendez, M.B. Robers, K. Zimmerman, P. Otto, D.L. Daniels, T.A. Kirkland, K.V. Wood, NanoBRET–a novel BRET platform for the analysis of protein-protein interactions, ACS Chem. Biol. 10 (8) (2015) 1797–1804.
- [43] Y. Guo, T. Guettouche, M. Fenna, F. Boellmann, W.B. Pratt, D.O. Toft, D.F. Smith, R. Voellmy, Evidence for a mechanism of repression of heat shock factor 1 transcriptional activity by a multichaperone complex, J. Biol. Chem. 276 (49) (2001) 45791–45799.
- [44] J.M. Jez, J.C. Chen, G. Rastelli, R.M. Stroud, D.V. Santi, Crystal structure and molecular modeling of 17-DMAG in complex with human Hsp90, Chem. Biol. 10 (4) (2003) 361–368.
- [45] T. Kijima, T.L. Prince, M.L. Tigue, K.H. Yim, H. Schwartz, K. Beebe, S. Lee, M. A. Budzynski, H. Williams, J.B. Trepel, L. Sistonen, S. Calderwood, L. Neckers, HSP90 inhibitors disrupt a transient HSP90-HSF1 interaction and identify a noncanonical model of HSP90-mediated HSF1 regulation, Sci. Rep. 8 (1) (2018) 6976.
- [46] P.W. Cervantes, J.C. Corton, A gene expression biomarker predicts heat shock factor 1 activation in a gene expression compendium, Chem. Res Toxicol. 34 (7) (2021) 1721–1737.
- [47] T.P. Garner, D. Amgalan, D.E. Reyna, S. Li, R.N. Kitsis, E. Gavathiotis, Smallmolecule allosteric inhibitors of BAX, Nat. Chem. Biol. 15 (4) (2019) 322–330.
- [48] D. Amgalan, T.P. Garner, R. Pekson, X.F. Jia, M. Yanamandala, V. Paulino, F. G. Liang, J.J. Corbalan, J. Lee, Y. Chen, G.S. Karagiannis, L.R. Sanchez, H. Liang, S. R. Narayanagari, K. Mitchell, A. Lopez, V. Margulets, M. Scarlata, G. Santulli, A. Asnani, R.T. Peterson, R.B. Hazan, J.S. Condeelis, M.H. Oktay, U. Steidl, L. A. Kirshenbaum, E. Gavathiotis, R.N. Kitsis, A small-molecule allosteric inhibitor of BAX protects against doxorubicin-induced cardiomyopathy, Nat. Cancer 1 (3) (2020) 315–328.
- [49] K. Tokugawa, K. Yamamoto, M. Nishiguchi, T. Sekine, M. Sakai, T. Ueki, S. Chaki, S. Okuyama, XIB4035, a novel nonpeptidyl small molecule agonist for GFRalpha-1, Neurochem. Int 42 (1) (2003) 81–86.
- [50] K.L. Hedstrom, J.C. Murtie, K. Albers, N.A. Calcutt, G. Corfas, Treating small fiber neuropathy by topical application of a small molecule modulator of ligand-induced GFRalpha/RET receptor signaling, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111 (6) (2014) 2325–2330.
- [51] M. Neumann, I.R.A. Mackenzie, Review: Neuropathology of non-tau frontotemporal lobar degeneration, Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol. 45 (1) (2019) 19–40.
- [52] A. Meneses, S. Koga, J. O'Leary, D.W. Dickson, G. Bu, N. Zhao, TDP-43 pathology in alzheimer's disease, Mol. Neurodegener. 16 (1) (2021) 84.
- [53] S.K. Park, J.Y. Hong, F. Arslan, V. Kanneganti, B. Patel, A. Tietsort, E.M.H. Tank, X. Li, S.J. Barmada, S.W. Liebman, Overexpression of the essential Sis1 chaperone reduces TDP-43 effects on toxicity and proteolysis, PLoS Genet 13 (5) (2017) e1006805.
- [54] C. Fallini, G.J. Bassell, W. Rossoll, The ALS disease protein TDP-43 is actively transported in motor neuron axons and regulates axon outgrowth, Hum. Mol. Genet 21 (16) (2012) 3703–3718.
- [55] I.F. Wang, B.S. Guo, Y.C. Liu, C.C. Wu, C.H. Yang, K.J. Tsai, C.K. Shen, Autophagy activators rescue and alleviate pathogenesis of a mouse model with proteinopathies of the TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109 (37) (2012) 15024–15029.
- [56] M. Yamashita, T. Nonaka, S. Hirai, A. Miwa, H. Okado, T. Arai, M. Hosokawa, H. Akiyama, M. Hasegawa, Distinct pathways leading to TDP-43-induced cellular dysfunctions, Hum. Mol. Genet 23 (16) (2014) 4345–4356.
- [57] L.A. Becker, B. Huang, G. Bieri, R. Ma, D.A. Knowles, P. Jafar-Nejad, J. Messing, H. J. Kim, A. Soriano, G. Auburger, S.M. Pulst, J.P. Taylor, F. Rigo, A.D. Gitler,

#### A.K. Ho et al.

#### Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy 187 (2025) 118049

Therapeutic reduction of ataxin-2 extends lifespan and reduces pathology in TDP-43 mice, Nature 544 (7650) (2017) 367–371.

- [58] S. Lu, J. Hu, O.A. Arogundade, A. Goginashvili, S. Vazquez-Sanchez, J.K. Diedrich, J. Gu, J. Blum, S. Oung, Q. Ye, H. Yu, J. Ravits, C. Liu, J.R. Yates 3rd, D. W. Cleveland, Heat-shock chaperone HSPB1 regulates cytoplasmic TDP-43 phase separation and liquid-to-gel transition, Nat. Cell Biol. 24 (9) (2022) 1378–1393.
- [59] S. Alberti, D. Mateju, L. Mediani, S. Carra, Granulostasis: protein quality control of RNP granules, Front Mol. Neurosci. 10 (2017) 84.
- [60] R. Conde, Z.R. Belak, M. Nair, R.F. O'Carroll, N. Ovsenek, Modulation of Hsf1 activity by novobiocin and geldanamycin, Biochem Cell Biol. 87 (6) (2009) 845–851.
- [61] S. Bharadwaj, A. Ali, N. Ovsenek, Multiple components of the HSP90 chaperone complex function in regulation of heat shock factor 1 In vivo, Mol. Cell Biol. 19 (12) (1999) 8033–8041.
- [62] A. Hoter, M.E. El-Sabban, H.Y. Naim, The HSP90 family: structure, regulation, function, and implications in health and disease, Int J. Mol. Sci. 19 (9) (2018).
- [63] Y. Wu, T. Jiang, Developments in FRET- and BRET-based biosensors, Micro (Basel) 13 (10) (2022).
- [64] M. Somogyvari, S. Khatatneh, C. Soti, Hsp90: from cellular to organismal proteostasis, Cells 11 (16) (2022).
- [65] S. Saleem, R. Khan, M. Afzal, I. Kazmi, Oxyphenbutazone promotes cytotoxicity in rats and Hep3B cellsvia suppression of PGE(2) and deactivation of Wnt/betacatenin signaling pathway, Mol. Cell Biochem 444 (1-2) (2018) 187–196.
- [66] B. Gold, M. Pingle, S.J. Brickner, N. Shah, J. Roberts, M. Rundell, W.C. Bracken, T. Warrier, S. Somersan, A. Venugopal, C. Darby, X. Jiang, J.D. Warren,
  - J. Fernandez, O. Ouerfelli, E.L. Nuermberger, A. Cunningham-Bussel, P. Rath, T. Chidawanyika, H. Deng, R. Realubit, J.F. Glickman, C.F. Nathan, Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug sensitizes Mycobacterium tuberculosis to endogenous and exogenous antimicrobials, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109 (40) (2012) 16004–16011.

- [67] N. Singh, T. Jabeen, R.K. Somvanshi, S. Sharma, S. Dey, T.P. Singh, Phospholipase A2 as a target protein for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS): crystal structure of the complex formed between phospholipase A2 and oxyphenbutazone at 1.6 A resolution, Biochemistry 43 (46) (2004) 14577–14583.
- [68] N. Moussa, N. Dayoub, Exploring the role of COX-2 in Alzheimer's disease: potential therapeutic implications of COX-2 inhibitors, Saudi Pharm. J. 31 (9) (2023) 101729.
- [69] H. Nango, K. Tsuruta, H. Miyagishi, Y. Aono, T. Saigusa, Y. Kosuge, Update on the pathological roles of prostaglandin E(2) in neurodegeneration in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Transl. Neurodegener. 12 (1) (2023) 32.
- [70] Y.H. Zou, P.P. Guan, S.Q. Zhang, Y.S. Guo, P. Wang, Rofecoxib attenuates the pathogenesis of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis by alleviating cyclooxygenase-2mediated mechanisms, Front Neurosci. 14 (2020) 817.
- [71] M. Sastre, J. Walter, S.M. Gentleman, Interactions between APP secretases and inflammatory mediators, J. Neuroinflamm. 5 (2008) 25.
- [72] P. Wang, P.P. Guan, T. Wang, X. Yu, J.J. Guo, Z.Y. Wang, Aggravation of Alzheimer's disease due to the COX-2-mediated reciprocal regulation of IL-1beta and Abeta between glial and neuron cells, Aging Cell 13 (4) (2014) 605–615.
- [73] B. Kalmar, C.H. Lu, L. Greensmith, The role of heat shock proteins in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: the therapeutic potential of arimoclomol, Pharm. Ther. 141 (1) (2014) 40–54.
- [74] H.T. Schroeder, C.H. De Lemos Muller, T.G. Heck, M. Krause, P.I. Homem de Bittencourt, Heat shock response during the resolution of inflammation and its progressive suppression in chronic-degenerative inflammatory diseases, Cell Stress Chaperon-.-. 29 (1) (2024) 116–142.
- [75] A. Ialenti, P. Di Meglio, F. D'Acquisto, B. Pisano, P. Maffia, G. Grassia, M. Di Rosa, A. Ianaro, Inhibition of cyclooxygenase-2 gene expression by the heat shock response in J774 murine macrophages, Eur. J. Pharm. 509 (2-3) (2005) 89–96.
- [76] P. Thakur, B. Nehru, Inhibition of neuroinflammation and mitochondrial dysfunctions by carbenoxolone in the rotenone model of Parkinson's disease, Mol. Neurobiol. 51 (1) (2015) 209–219.