

This is a repository copy of Family mapping of previously identified patients with pathogenic or likely pathogenic ALPL variants using predictive genotyping and detailed phenotyping approach: the FAME case-control study.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/225912/</u>

Version: Published Version

## Article:

Vilaca, T. orcid.org/0000-0002-9227-6076, Gossiel, F., Delaney, S. et al. (4 more authors) (2025) Family mapping of previously identified patients with pathogenic or likely pathogenic ALPL variants using predictive genotyping and detailed phenotyping approach: the FAME case-control study. JBMR Plus, 9 (5). ziaf034. ISSN 2473-4039

https://doi.org/10.1093/jbmrpl/ziaf034

#### Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

## Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.





# Family mapping of previously identified patients with pathogenic or likely pathogenic *ALPL* variants using predictive genotyping and detailed phenotyping approach: the FAME case-control study

Tatiane Vilaca<sup>1,\*</sup> , Fatma Gossiel<sup>1</sup>, Sophie Delaney<sup>2</sup>, Duncan Baker<sup>2</sup>, Sylvia Keigwin<sup>2</sup>, Richard Eastell<sup>1</sup>, Meena Balasubramanian<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Clinical Medicine, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2RX, United Kingdom <sup>2</sup>Sheffield Diagnostic Genetics Service, North East and Yorkshire Genomic Laboratory Hub, Sheffield Children's NHS Foundation Trust,

<sup>2</sup>Sheffield Diagnostic Genetics Service, North East and Yorkshire Genomic Laboratory Hub, Sheffield Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield S10 2TH, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup>Sheffield Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield S10 2TH, United Kingdom

\*Corresponding author: Tatiane Vilaca, Division of Clinical Medicine, Metabolic Bone Centre, Northern General Hospital, Herries Road, Sheffield S5 7AU, United Kingdom (t.vilaca@sheffield.ac.uk).

#### Abstract

Hypophosphatasia (HPP) is an inborn error of metabolism caused by loss-of-function variants in the ALPL gene, which encodes the tissue nonspecific isozyme of alkaline phosphatase (ALP). There is no typical phenotype in adults. We used a genotyping first approach to determine whether ALPL pathogenic variants were associated with musculoskeletal symptoms, mineral metabolism abnormalities, and an impact on quality of life. We recruited individuals with a pathogenic (or likely pathogenic) variant in ALPL gene (n=26) and their relatives (n=44). We performed genetic tests and compared the relatives with positive (n = 20) and negative (n = 24) genetic test. We applied standard questionnaires and physical tests (Brief Pain Inventory [BPI]; Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis [WOMAC]; Modified Hypophosphatasia Impact Patient Survey; Short Form of 36 Survey [SF-36]; and the Short Physical Performance Battery). In fasting blood samples, we measured creatinine, calcium, phosphate (P), parathyroid hormone (PTH), ALP, bone ALP, 25OHD-, 1,25(OH)2D, CTX, type 1 procollagen N-terminal peptide (PINP), osteocalcin, and tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase5b (TRACP5b). Relatives with positive genetic test had lower ALP (IU/L) [32.5(12.8) vs 87.8(32.6) p < .001], bone ALP (ng/mL) [6.3(4.3, 9.8) vs 17.5 (13.12-25.7) p < .001], PTH (pg/L) [28.6(20.6, 38.1) vs 40.05(25.7, 52.3) p = .03], and higher PLP(nmol/L) [162.0 (91.75, 337.5) vs 37.5 (18.25, 60.5) p < .001] and P(mmol/L) [1.36 (0.18) vs 1.05 (0.2) p < .001]. We did not find significant differences in fractures or musculoskeletal features between the groups. Greater pain scores were observed on BPI in relatives with positive genetic tests, and bone and muscle pain were more often reported by this group, but statistical tests were not significant. No differences were found in physical performance or guality of life. In conclusion, we assessed relatives of individuals with pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in the ALPL gene regardless of the presence of signs and symptoms. Biochemical abnormalities were more common in gene-positive relatives, but the prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms was comparable in relatives with positive and negative genetic tests.

**Keywords:** hypophosphatasia, alkaline phosphatase, ALPL gene, family mapping, brief pain inventory, modified hypophosphatasia impact survey, pyridoxal 5-phosphate (PLP), phosphate, musculoskeletal pain, fractures

#### Lay Summary

Hypophosphatasia (HPP) is a rare genetic condition caused by changes in the *ALPL* gene, leading to reduced activity of an enzyme called alkaline phosphatase (ALP), which is important for bone mineralization. Adults with HPP often show varied symptoms, and there is no single typical presentation. In our study, we invited individuals with a confirmed genetic change in *ALPL* and their relatives. We compared the relatives with and without the gene change. We used blood and physical tests, and questionnaires to evaluate their symptoms, physical function, and quality of life. Blood tests measured markers related to bone and mineral health, while surveys assessed pain, arthritis, and daily living impact. We found that people with the *ALPL* change had lower ALP levels, higher phosphate levels, and other differences in blood markers compared to relatives without the gene change. However, musculoskeletal symptoms, such as fractures or bone pain, were similar in both groups. While those with the gene variant reported slightly more pain, it was not a statistically significant difference. Physical performance and quality of life also showed no major differences. In conclusion, relatives without the gene to significantly worse than those without the genetic change.

Received: December 27, 2024. Revised: February 14, 2025. Accepted: February 18, 2025

© The Author(s) 2025. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/),

which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### **Graphical Abstract**

## Family mapping of ALPL variants using predictive genotyping and detailed phenotyping approach: the FAME study Parents, siblings and offspring of individuals with pathogenic or likely pathogenic ALPL variants • ALPL genetic test • Blood tests • Physical performance test

Musculoskeletal questionnaires
 Quality of life questionnaire

Relatives with positive ALPL genetic test vs relatives with negative test
Alkaline Phosphatase
Alkaline Phosphatase

Phosphate

No difference in musculoskeletal pain, fractures or quality of life

#### Introduction

Hypophosphatasia (HPP) is the inborn error of metabolism caused by pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in the *ALPL* gene.<sup>1,2</sup> The gene encodes the tissue nonspecific isoenzyme of alkaline phosphatase (TNSALP), and pathogenic variants reduce enzyme activity. The result is defective mineralization of bone and/or teeth.<sup>3</sup> The disease is characterized by extreme clinical heterogeneity and is historically classified into 6 clinical phenotypes based on age at of onset: perinatal HPP; perinatal benign HPP; infantile HPP; childhood or juvenile HPP; adult HPP; and odontohypophosphatasia without any obvious skeletal manifestations.<sup>1,4,5</sup>

Bone Alkaline Phosphatase, PTH

HPP in adults varies clinically from mild to severe. Low serum ALP activity and elevated serum pyridoxal 5'-phosphate (PLP) levels suggest the diagnosis, which may be confirmed by clinical features and/or identifying pathogenic variants in ALPL by genetic testing.<sup>6,7</sup> However, vitamin B6 deficiency may prevent the increase in PLP levels. Metatarsal and femoral fractures are often the initial manifestations in adults, and the condition may be misdiagnosed as osteoporosis, even though bone mineral density is frequently normal or even increased.<sup>8,9</sup> The most widely used treatment for osteoporosis is bisphosphonates. These drugs are pyrophosphate analogs, and they may further inhibit bone mineralization in HPP, potentially making patients with HPP more susceptible to stress fractures.<sup>10</sup> Furthermore, prolonged bisphosphonate treatment for osteoporosis is associated with an increased risk of atypical femoral fractures.<sup>10,11</sup> Previous case reports suggested that bisphosphonates use in adults with HPP can increase such fractures, and ALPL variants have been identified in patients with atypical femoral fractures.<sup>10,11</sup> The early diagnosis might prevent someone from receiving bisphosphonates

and being exposed to a higher risk of atypical femoral fractures. Furthermore, identifying a possible underlying cause for symptoms like chondrocalcinosis, enthesopathy, and musculoskeletal pain might avoid additional investigation and promote an appropriate approach, such as pain management. Another important outcome is a better-informed recurrence risk discussion despite the variability in phenotype and poor genotype/phenotype correlation for reproductive decision-making in those with the familial variant.

This study aimed to examine the relationship between the presence of a pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant in *ALPL* and musculoskeletal symptoms, laboratory tests, and other aspects of general health status in relatives of individuals with a pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant in *ALPL* using family mapping and a predictive genotyping approach. In this approach, we performed the genetic test, first looking for the family variant in all the relatives, irrespective of the presence of biochemical abnormalities or clinical signs and symptoms. Relatives with pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in *ALPL* were classified as the cases, while relatives without the variant were considered as controls.

## **Materials and Methods**

This is a single-centre, cross-sectional, observational, casecontrol study conducted at Sheffield Children's Hospital and Sheffield Teaching Hospital in Sheffield, UK.

Patients with pathogenic or likely pathogenic *ALPL* variants were invited to participate as probands.<sup>12,13</sup> Variants were classified according to ACGS Best Practice Guidelines for Variant Classification in Rare Disease 2024.<sup>12,13</sup> We invited patients who had previously taken part in research studies (including RUDY, Rare Undiagnosed Disease StudY)<sup>14,15</sup> or

were in clinical follow-up. Participants were recruited between March 2022 and July 2023.

Probands were asked to pass on a standardized letter to their first- and second-degree relatives requesting their permission to be contacted by the research team regarding possible participation in the FAME study (*FA*mily Mapping of pr*E*viously identified HPP patients using predictive genotyping and detailed phenotyping approach). We investigated parents, siblings, and adult offspring ( $\geq 16$  yr) at first or second degree. We excluded potential participants with variants of unknown significance and individuals younger than 16 yr old.

The study was approved by the South Central-Oxford A Research Ethics Committee (REC 21/SC/0376). All participants provided written informed consent. All participants (probands and relatives) underwent the whole assessment except for the genetic test performed only on the relatives, as the probands already had a genetic test confirming the presence of the pathogenic or likely pathogenic ALPL variant. A 3-generation family pedigree was obtained from each participant. We collected fasting blood samples and measured creatinine, calcium, albumin, PTH, total and bone ALP, and 25-hydroxyvitamin D with autoanalyzer (Cobas c702, e411 and e602, Roche Diagnostics). We used the IDS iSYS multidiscipline automated chemiluminescence immunoassay (Immunodiagnostic Systems) to measure CTX and Nterminal propeptide of type I collagen (PINP), osteocalcin, tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRACP5b), IGF1, and 1,25(OH)2D. We used a Chromsystems kit to derivatise and measure the PLP by HPLC with fluorescence detection. All interassay CVs were <6%. We used targeted Sanger sequencing to investigate the familial variant.

We used the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB), a validated, widely used test that assesses strength in lower limbs, gait, and balance to evaluate physical performance. SPPB includes 3 tests: the repeated chair standing test, the balance test, and the 8-foot walk course. These 3 objective measures are scored from 0 to 4, with higher scores indicating greater physical function.

We used standardized and validated questionnaires to characterize musculoskeletal symptoms.<sup>16–18</sup> All participants completed the following 5 questionnaires: a medical history and lifestyle questionnaire to assess demographics and clinical risk factors for fractures, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index (WOMAC) questionnaire,<sup>17</sup> Brief Pain Inventory (BPI) questionnaire,<sup>16</sup> a Short Form of 36 SF-Survey (SF-36),<sup>18</sup> and the modified Hypophosphatasia Impact Patient Survey (HIPS), a questionnaire to assess the symptoms of HPP including fractures, tooth loss, and spine and joint problems.<sup>19</sup>

This is an exploratory study based on family mapping. Therefore, power calculations were not performed. The results from the various assessments were compared between cases (relatives gene variant positive) and controls (relatives gene variant negative).

Analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics (version 29.0, IBM Corp.) and GraphPad Prism (version 10.2.3 GraphPad Software Inc) for Mac. All variables included in the study underwent testing for normal distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). For variables that exhibited a normal distribution, parametric tests, specifically the Student *t*-test for 2 groups. Conversely, non-normally distributed variables were subjected to nonparametric tests, such as the

Mann-Whitney U test for 2 groups. In these analyses, we used linear regression to adjust for age and sex. Because the age distribution of bone turnover markers is quadratic, we included an age-squared term. For categorical variables, we used the chi-square test as the primary method. Additionally, when sample sizes were limited, the Fisher exact test was employed as a more appropriate alternative to assess significance. A *p*-value less than .05 was considered significant. The results are reported as mean and SD [mean (SD)] for normally distributed variables and median and interquartile range for non-normally distributed variables [median (IQR)].

#### **Results**

We recruited 70 participants: 26 probands (index cases with known pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in *ALPL*) and 44 relatives, all 16 yr old or older. Eighteen probands had *ALPL* pathogenic variants, and 8 had likely pathogenic variants. Twenty relatives had a positive genetic test for the *ALPL* variant (10 pathogenic variants, 10 likely pathogenic variants), and 24 had a negative test. The list of variants (Sup table 1) and number of participants in each family (Sup Figure 1) and with each variant are shown in Supplementary Material S Figure 2 and S Table 1. All the participants with positive tests were heterozygous. The 26 families presented 17 variants. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the FAME study participants, including probands. No difference was found between the groups.

Table 2 shows the comparison of BPI, WOMAC, and SF-36 summary scores between relatives with positive and negative test to ALPL pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants. Pain scores on BPI here higher and bone and muscle pain were more often reported by relatives with positive genetic test, but statistical tests did not detect group differences (Table 2). Within the cohort of relatives with positive genetic tests (n = 20), 17 individuals, 85%, reported at least one symptom listed in the HIPS questionnaire. The most common was fractures, reported by 13 relatives (65%), followed by pain in several sites: limiting joint pain was reported by 12 (60%), bone pain by 10 individuals (50%), and muscle pain by 9 (45%). Seven individuals (35%) reported muscle weakness, 5 (25%) reported joint swelling, and 3 (15%) reported hypermobility. Tooth abnormalities were also highly prevalent. Although premature child tooth loss is a classical HPP dental abnormality, it was reported only by 5 individuals (25%), while adult tooth problems were more frequent. Excessive cavities were reported by 8 individuals (40%), loss of adult teeth by 7 (35%), and tooth abscesses by 6 (30%). The full comparison of the HIPS survey between individuals with positive and negative genetic tests is reported in Table S2. In Table 2, we show the comparison of fractures, hypermobility, pain, and dental abnormalities.

SPPB showed no difference in performance between relatives with genetic test positive or negative when we compared the SPPB scores [total score 10.5 (9, 12) vs 11.5 (9.3, 12) p = .326] nor when we assessed each test individually.

Table 3 shows the comparison of mineral metabolism and bone turnover markers between relatives with positive and negative test for pathogenic or likely pathogenic *ALPL* variants. Relatives with positive genetic test had higher phosphate and PLP and lower ALP, bone ALP, creatinine, and PTH (Table 3 and Figure 1). In unadjusted analysis, CTX and osteocalcin were lower in relatives with *ALPL*  Table 1. Characteristics of the FAME study participants (probands, relatives with positive test for ALPL variants and relatives with negative test to for ALPL variants).

	Probands $(n=26)$	Positive relative $(n=20)$	Negative relative $(n=24)$
Age (yr)	44.3 (±14.7)	42.7 (±18.2)	49.3 (±21.4)
Height (m)	1.65 [1.60, 1.72]	1.61 [1.56, 1.68]	1.69 [1.59, 1.75]
Weight (kg)	73.55 [64.2, 85.5]	81.25 [64.7, 102.2]	82.5 [65.7, 104.9]
Sex (female/total), N (%)	16 (62)	14 (70)	14 (58)
Ethnic background			
White, N (%)	26 (100)	17 (70)	24 (100)
Mixed	0	3	0
Alcohol > 14UI/w, N (%)	4 (15)	2 (10)	2 (8)
Smoke, N (%)	6 (23)	4 (20)	7 (29)
Physical activity			
Very inactive, N (%)	10 (38)	6 (30)	5 (20)
Active everyday, N (%)	11 (42)	11 (55)	14 (58)
Regular formal exercise, N (%)	3 (12)	2 (10)	4 (17)
Daily strenuous exercise, N (%)	2 (8)	0	0

Normally distributed variables are reported as means ( $\pm$ SD), and non-normally distributed as medians [interquartile range]. Categorical variables are reported as number of individuals and percentage N (%).

Table 2. Comparison of BPI, WOMAC, and SF-36 summary scores, fractures, pain, and dental abnormalities reported in HIPS between relatives with positive and negative ALPL pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants test.

	Positive	Negative	<i>p</i> value
$\overline{\text{BPI}(n=42)}$			
Pain severity score	3.75 [1.5, 5.75]	1.25 [0, 4.25]	.055
Pain interference score	4.2 [0.4, 8.0]	1 [0, 5.2]	.078
WOMAC $(n=44)$			
Total score	39 [3, 65.75]	19.5 [3.75, 43.5]	.247
SF-36 $(n = 44)$			
Physical health score	38.9 (±15.5)	41.5 (±12.3)	.543
Mental health score	40.9 (±13.7)	44.6 (±12.8)	.364
HIPS $(n=44)$			
Have you had a fracture (yes), <i>n</i> (%)	13 (65)	11 (45.8)	.2
How many fractures?, n (%)	1.15 (1.2)	0.75 (0.9)	.23
Bone pain, n (%)	10 (50)	6 (25)	.086
Hypermobility, n (%)	3 (15)	1 (4.2)	.237
Joint swelling, n (%)	5 (25)	3 (12.5)	.249
Joint pain, n (%)	10 (50)	10 (41.7)	.58
Limiting joint pain, n (%)	12 (60)	9 (37.5)	.137
Muscle weakness, <i>n</i> (%)	7 (35)	3 (12.5)	.147
Muscle pain, n (%)	9 (45)	5 (20.8)	.08
Premature child tooth loss, $n$ (%)	5 (25)	3 (12.5)	.436
Tooth abscess, n (%)	6 (30)	3 (12.5)	.261
Excessive cavities, n (%)	8 (40)	4 (16.7)	.084
Loss of adult teeth, $n$ (%)	7 (35)	7 (29.2)	.679

Normally distributed variables are reported as means ( $\pm$ SD), and non-normally distributed as medians [interquartile range]. Categorical variables are reported as number of individuals and percentage N (%).

pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants. However, when we adjusted for age and sex, CTX and osteocalcin were no longer different between the groups. Calcium, 25OH vitamin D, 1,25 (OH)<sub>2</sub> vitamin D, PINP, TRACP5b and IGF-1, and eGFR did not differ between the groups (Table 3 and Figure 1). Two relatives in the NEG group had eGFR < 60 mL/min.1.73 m<sup>2</sup>.

#### Discussion

Family mapping identified 20 individuals with an *ALPL* pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant. The mean age was 42.7, range 16-74. The clinical spectrum varied from asymptomatic to substantially affected by musculoskeletal symptoms, such as fractures, bone, and muscle pain. The increasing availability of genetic tests will likely increase the detection of individuals with *ALPL* pathogenic variants, especially those who have not presented suggestive phenotypes

like metatarsal fractures. HPP diagnosis remains a challenge despite recent advancements in genomic testing due to poor genotype-phenotype correlation and the nonspecific nature of the clinical presentation.<sup>7</sup>

No previous studies have used the genotyping first approach and standardized questionnaires to characterize relatives of individuals with *ALPL* pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants. By genotyping first, we investigate the presence of the variant regardless of biochemical or clinical abnormalities. When we characterized the relatives of individuals with *ALPL* pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants, we investigated a cohort with similar genetic and environmental backgrounds. We used standardized questionnaires to compare the prevalence of musculoskeletal features in individuals with and without *ALPL* pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants. Previous surveys have reported that fractures and pain were the most prevalent features associated

Table 3. Comparison of mineral metabolism and bone turnover markers between relatives with positive and negative test for pathogenic or likely pathogenic *ALPL* variants.

	Positive relative	Negative relative	Þ	Adjusted p
ALP (IU/L)	32.5 (±12.8)	87.8 (±32.6)	<.0001	
PLP (nmol/L)	204.5 [102.25, 325]	37.5 [18.25, 60.5]	<.0001	
Calcium (nmol/L)	2.34 (±0.09)	2.34 (±0.07)	.88	
Phosphate (nmol/L)	$1.36(\pm 0.18)$	1.04 (±0.20)	<.0001	
PTH (pg/mL)	28.6 [20.6, 38.1]	40.05 [25.7, 52.3]	.03	
Creatinine (umol/L)	70.4 (±10.6)	79.8 (±19.5)	.048	
eGFR EPI (mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	90 (±81.9)	88 (±66.5.9)	.22	
IGF-1 (ng/mL)	189.12 (±98.12)	155.4 (±72.4)	.20	
25 OH D (ng/mL)	20.4 (±8.2)	20.1 (±8.9)	.91	
$1,25OH_2D$ (ng/mL)	51.5 (±12.5)	52.3 (±17.0)	.86	
PINP (ng/mL)	48.8 [34.8, 69.3]	60.8 [42.3, 79.3]	.36	.38
CTX (ng/mL)	0.176 [0.0873, 0.460]	0.349 [0.202, 0.604]	.04	.12
TRACP5b (U/L)	2.79 [1.9, 3.4]	2.9 [2.3, 3.6]	.52	.8
Bone ALP (ng/mL)	6.3 [4.3, 9.8]	17.5 [13.1, 25.7]	<.0001	<.001
OC (ng/mL)	12.6 [10.2, 21.7]	20.9 [15.8, 26.6]	.018	.08

Normally distributed variables are reported as means (±SD), and non-normally distributed as medians [interquartile range]. Abbreviations: ALP, alkaline phosphatase; PLP, pyridoxal 5'-phosphate; PINP, type I procollagen N-terminal peptide; TRACP-5b, tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase.

with *ALPL* variants. For example, Weber et al. showed that participants with HPP reported bone (82%), joint (73%), and muscle (53%) pain, and 86% reported fractures.<sup>19</sup>

Similarly, Seefried et al.<sup>4</sup> showed that 62% of participants reported fractures or pseudofractures, 67% reported pain, and 28% reported muscular symptoms. However, fractures and musculoskeletal symptoms are not specific and are frequently found in the general population. We did not find significant differences in fractures or musculoskeletal features between the groups, but greater pain and pain interference scores were observed on BPI in relatives with positive genetic tests, and bone and muscle pain were also more often reported by this group in the HIPS survey. The consistency of the findings suggests that these features could be associated with *ALPL* variants, and a greater sample size could detect a difference. We found no difference in SF-36 general scores between the groups or in the physical performance test.

As expected, relatives with *ALPL* variants had lower ALP and greater PLP than relatives without the variant.<sup>15</sup> Low ALP remains the main hallmark of diagnosis as it raises suspicions of abnormalities in *ALPL*. However, ALP reference intervals vary, which might influence the diagnosis. Notably, liver abnormalities may raise ALP levels, leading to normal ALP levels in people with pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in *ALPL*. Moreover, some growth plates can still close up to 30 yr, increasing ALP levels up to this age. In a recent cohort, the ALP level of 43 IU/L was suggested as the threshold for detecting *ALPL* variants.<sup>15</sup> Therefore, normal levels of ALP do not entirely exclude the presence of *ALPL* variants.

In addition, we also found lower PTH (but no difference in eGFR) and higher phosphate in individuals with positive genetic tests, as well as similar levels of calcium and vitamin D. The reason for the higher phosphate levels, often higher than the upper limit of the reference interval, is unclear. Low PTH levels might contribute. In children, a high renal tubular threshold maximum for phosphorus per glomerular filtration rate has been reported.<sup>20</sup> Therefore, more research is needed to clarify mineral metabolism in adults with pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in *ALPL*.

After adjustment for age and sex, we found no difference in CTX, TRAC5b, PINP, and osteocalcin between the groups.

López-Delgado et al.<sup>21</sup> reported lower CTX and PINP in adults with low ALP compared to healthy controls. In contrast, Desborough et al.<sup>15</sup> reported higher TRACP5b and CTX in participants with positive genetic tests compared to postmenopausal women with low mineral density, most of them in treatment with bisphosphonates. However, these results are difficult to interpret because in the López-Delgado study, only 50% of the participants had the HPP diagnosis confirmed by genetic testing, and the authors suggest that low bone turnover is associated with low ALP levels.<sup>21</sup> On the other hand, in the Desborough study, most of the participants in the control group were taking bisphosphonates. Because these drugs are known to reduce BTM, this might have affected the results, as most of the control group was expected to have lower bone turnover due to the use of antiresorptive drugs.<sup>15</sup> In a Danish cohort, 40 participants with HPP on clinical followup were sex- and age-matched to healthy controls, PINP was 21% lower in the group with HPP, and there was no difference in osteocalcin and CTX. Similarly to our study, bone ALP was 3 times lower in participants with HPP.<sup>22</sup> Therefore, the decrease in bone ALP is a consistent finding in individuals with pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in the ALPL gene. In contrast, the results for other bone turnover markers are less consistent.

This study has limitations. Although the invitation to take part was open to any proband's adult relative, the enrolment in the study was voluntary, and we only had information from the relatives who decided to take part. Therefore, the family mapping could be deemed relatively incomplete. Genenegative relatives were not matched by age and gender to genepositive relatives, but age and gender did not differ between the groups. We did not collect urine samples, and we did not investigate renal phosphate handling.

However, this study also has strengths. We comprehensively characterized features associated with HPP in families affected by pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in *ALPL*. We used several biochemical and physical performance tests and validated standardized questionnaires to characterize the groups. We compared family members genetically and environmentally matched for other factors.

In summary, we assessed relatives of individuals with pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in the *ALPL* gene



**Figure 1.** Comparison of mineral metabolism and bone turnover markers in relatives with positive (POS) and negative (NEG) genetic test for *ALPL* variant. ALP (alkaline phosphatase, A), PLP (pyridoxal5-phosphate, B), bone ALP (bone alkaline phosphatase, C), calcium (C, D), phosphate (D, E), PTH (E, F), PINP (type 1 procollagen N-terminal peptide, G), CTX (H), osteocalcin (I).\*p < .05,\*\*\*\*p < .0001.

regardless of the presence of signs and symptoms. Performing the genetic test on family members identified more individuals with biochemical abnormalities, but the prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms was similar in relatives with positive and negative genetic tests. Physical function and quality of life were also comparable between these groups. While musculoskeletal features were nonspecific, biochemical abnormalities, such as lower levels of ALP and higher levels of PLP and phosphate, were associated with pathogenic or likely pathogenic *ALPL* variants. These results suggest that early *ALPL* genetic test, regardless of the presence of symptoms, did not increase the detection of symptomatic individuals.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank our physician colleagues from the Metabolic Bone Centre, and Professor Kassim Javaid and the RUDY team for supporting the recruitment. The authors acknowledge Immunodiagnostics Systems for supporting bone turnover markers and some biochemistry measurements. R.E. receives consultancy funding from Immunodiagnostic Systems, Sandoz, Samsung, CL Bio, CureTeQ, Biocon, Takeda, UCB, meeting presentations for Pharmacosmos, Alexion, UCB, Amgen and Osteolabs and grant funding from Alexion. M.B. receives consultancy and grant funding from Alexion.

## **Author contributions**

Tatiane Vilaca (Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Writing—original draft, Writing—review & editing), Fatma Gossiel (Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing—review & editing), Sophie Delaney (Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing—review & editing), Duncan Baker (Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing—review & editing), Sylvia Keigwin (Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing—review & editing), Richard Eastell (Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Supervision, Writing—review & editing), and Meena Balsubramanian (Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing—review & editing)

## **Supplementary material**

Supplementary material is available at JBMR Plus online.

## Funding

This research project was funded by Alexion. The sponsor had no influence on the study design, data analysis and manuscript preparation.

## **Conflicts of interest**

None declared.

## **Data availability**

The data from this study may be made available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## References

- 1. Whyte MP. Hypophosphatasia: an overview for 2017. *Bone*. 2017 Sep;102:15–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2017.02.011
- Tenorio J, Álvarez I, Riancho-Zarrabeitia L, et al. Molecular and clinical analysis of ALPL in a cohort of patients with suspicion of hypophosphatasia. *Am J Med Genet A*. 2017;173(3):601–610. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.37991
- Riancho JA. Diagnostic approach to patients with low serum alkaline phosphatase. *Calcif Tissue Int*. 2023;112(3):289–296. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00223-022-01039-y
- Seefried L, Dahir K, Petryk A, et al. Burden of illness in adults with hypophosphatasia: data from the global hypophosphatasia patient registry. J Bone Miner Res. 2020;35(11):2171–2178. https://doi.o rg/10.1002/jbmr.4130
- 5. Bianchi ML, Bishop NJ, Guañabens N, et al. Hypophosphatasia in adolescents and adults: overview of diagnosis and treatment.

Osteoporos Int. 2020;31(8):1445–1460. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-020-05345-9

- McKiernan FE, Berg RL, Fuehrer J. Clinical and radiographic findings in adults with persistent hypophosphatasemia. J Bone Miner Res. 2014;29(7):1651–1660. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr. 2178
- Montero-Lopez R, Farman MR, Högler F, Saraff V, Högler W. Challenges in hypophosphatasia: suspicion, diagnosis, genetics, management, and follow-up. *Horm Res Paediatr*. Aug 2024;1–10. https://doi.org/10.1159/000540692
- Genest F, Claußen L, Rak D, Seefried L. Bone mineral density and fracture risk in adult patients with hypophosphatasia. Osteoporos Int. 2021;32(2):377–385. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00198-020-05612-9
- Högler W, Langman C, Gomes da Silva H, et al. Diagnostic delay is common among patients with hypophosphatasia: initial findings from a longitudinal, prospective, global registry. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2019;20(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12891-019-2420-8
- Whyte MP. Atypical femoral fractures, bisphosphonates, and adult hypophosphatasia. J Bone Miner Res. 2009;24(6):1132–1134. https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.081253
- Shane E, Burr D, Abrahamsen B, et al. Atypical subtrochanteric and diaphyseal femoral fractures: second report of a task force of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. J Bone Miner Res. 2014;29(1):1–23. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.1998
- Farman MR, Rehder C, Malli T, et al. The global ALPL gene variant classification project: dedicated to deciphering variants. *Bone*. Jan 2024;178:116947. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bo ne.2023.116947
- Durkie M, Cassidy E-J, Berry I, et al. ACGS Best Practice Guidelines for Variant Classification in Rare Disease 2024 2024. Accessed 20 February 2024, v1.2. https://www.acgs.uk.com/media/ 12533/uk-practice-guidelines-for-variant-classification-v12-2024. pdf
- 14. Rudy study 2024. Accessed February 7, 2025. https://www.rudystu dy.org/
- Desborough R, Nicklin P, Gossiel F, et al. Clinical and biochemical characteristics of adults with hypophosphatasia attending a metabolic bone clinic. *Bone*. Mar 2021;144:115795. https://doi.o rg/10.1016/j.bone.2020.115795
- 16. Cleeland CS. *The Brief Pain Inventory User Guide*. The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center; 2009:1–11.
- 17. Bellamy N, Buchanan WW, Goldsmith CH, Campbell J, Stitt LW. Validation study of WOMAC: a health status instrument for measuring clinically important patient relevant outcomes to antirheumatic drug therapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. *J Rheumatol.* 1988;15(12):1833–1840
- Ware J, Kosinski M, Keller S. SF-36 Physical and Mental Health Summary Scales. A user's Manual. Boston MA: Health Assessment Lab, 1994.
- Weber TJ, Sawyer EK, Moseley S, Odrljin T, Kishnani PS. Burden of disease in adult patients with hypophosphatasia: results from two patient-reported surveys. *Metabolism*. 2016;65(10):1522–1530. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2016.07.006
- Whyte MP, Zhang F, Wenkert D, Mumm S, Berndt TJ, Kumar R. Hyperphosphatemia with low FGF7 and normal FGF23 and sFRP4 levels in the circulation characterizes pediatric hypophosphatasia. *Bone*. May 2020;134:115300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bone.2020.115300
- López-Delgado L, Riancho-Zarrabeitia L, García-Unzueta MT, et al. Abnormal bone turnover in individuals with low serum alkaline phosphatase. Osteoporos Int. 2018;29(9):2147–2150. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-018-4571-0
- Hepp N, Frederiksen AL, Duno M, Jørgensen NR, Jensen JB. Biochemical and clinical manifestations in adults with hypophosphatasia: a national cross-sectional study. Osteoporos Int. 2022; 33(12):2595–2605. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-022-06536-2