



Comparison of ROx radicals measurements in the atmospheric simulation chamber SAPHIR

Anna Novelli¹, Weidong Chen², Sebastian Dusanter³, Christa Fittschen⁴, Maria Dolores Andrés Hernández⁵, Kubistin Dagmar⁶, Coralie Schoemaeker⁴, Lisa Whalley^{7,8}, Weixiong Zhao⁹, Hendrik Fuchs¹, and the ROxCOMP team*

¹Forschungszentrum Jülich, ICE-3, Jülich, Germany (a.novelli@fz-juelich.de)

²Laboratoire de Physicochimie de l'Atmosphère, Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale, Dunkerque 59140, France

³IMT Nord Europe, Institut Mines-Télécom, Université de Lille, Center for Energy and Environment, 59000 Lille, France

⁴University Lille, CNRS, UMR 8522, PC2A – Physicochimie des Processus de Combustion et de l'Atmosphère, F-59000 Lille, France

⁵Institute of Environmental Physics, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany

⁶Hohenpeißenberg Meteorological Observatory, Deutscher Wetterdienst, Hohenpeißenberg, Germany

⁷School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

⁸National Centre of Atmospheric Science, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

⁹Laboratory of Atmospheric Physico-Chemistry, Anhui Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, HFIPS, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei, Anhui 230031, China

*A full list of authors appears at the end of the abstract

Accurate measurements of organic peroxy radicals (RO₂) are critical to understanding the formation of secondary pollutants, as the loss rate of RO₂ radicals determines the rate and fraction of ozone (O₃) and particulate matter formed. Due to their large structural variability and low concentrations in the troposphere, the measurement of RO₂ radicals in ambient air is challenging, with most techniques relying on conversion to other species before detection.

In the summer of 2022, a series of experiments covering a wide range of chemical conditions were carried out in the SAPHIR atmospheric simulation chamber at Forschungszentrum Jülich. The experiments focused on the oxidation of biogenic and anthropogenic precursors at current and future nitrogen oxides levels (from a few ppb to a few ppt of nitric oxide), using different oxidants such as hydroxyl radical (OH), O₃, and nitrate radicals (NO₃), covering daytime and nighttime conditions. One experiment was conducted by flushing the chamber with ambient air. Three different techniques were compared: Peroxy Radical Chemical Amplification (PERCA, three research groups), Laser Induced Fluorescence (LIF, three research groups) and Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometry (CIMS, one research group).

Overall, good agreement (within the stated accuracy of each instrument) was found for most of the conditions investigated, with deviations observed for one PERCA instrument for high temperatures and acyl peroxy nitrates (APNs) concentrations. The results highlight the strengths and limitations of each measurement method in terms of sensitivity, accuracy, temporal resolution

and potential interferences from other species.

ROxCOMP team: Julia Stuch, Ghoufrane Abichou, Philip T. M. Carlsson, Weidong Chen, Michelle Färber, Midhun George, Georgios I. Gkatzelis, Dwayne E. Heard, Felix Klein, Amaury Lahccen, Ahmad Lahib, Franz Rohrer, Samuel Seldon, Nesrine Shamas, Alexandre Tomas, Yangzhuoran Liu, Liu Zhou