

This is a repository copy of Are deprived communities exposed to higher PM2.5 concentrations? Evidence from Cangzhou, China.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/225681/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Wang, L. orcid.org/0000-0002-4627-6462, Jiang, L. orcid.org/0000-0002-1009-996X, Zhai, Z. orcid.org/0000-0002-4524-4215 et al. (3 more authors) (2025) Are deprived communities exposed to higher PM2.5 concentrations? Evidence from Cangzhou, China. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 143. 104762. ISSN 1361-9209

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2025.104762

This is an author produced version of an article accepted for publication in Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment made available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1 Are deprived communities exposed to higher PM_{2.5} concentrations?

2 Evidence from Cangzhou, China

- 3 Lewen Wang^a, Like Jiang^b, Zhiqiang Zhai^a, Lei Yu^{a, c, d}, Guohua Song^{a, e}, Yizheng Wu^{a*}
- ⁵ ^a Key Laboratory of Transport Industry of Big Data Application Technologies for
- 6 Comprehensive Transport, Beijing Jiaotong University, 3 Shangyuancun, Haidian District,
- 7 Beijing 100044, P. R. China.
- ⁸ ^b Institute for Transport Studies, University of Leeds, UK.
- ⁹ ^c College of Science and Technology, Texas Southern University, 3100 Cleburne Avenue,
- 10 Houston, Texas 77004, United States.
- ^d Shandong Jiaotong University, Ji'nan 250357, P.R. China.
- ¹² ^e MOE Engineering Research Center of Clean and Low-carbon Technology for Intelligent
- 13 Transportation, Beijing Jiaotong University, 3 Shangyuancun, Haidian District, Beijing 100044,
- 14 P.R. China.
- 15

4

16 *Corresponding author at:

17 Yizheng Wu: Beijing Jiaotong University, 3 Shangyuancun, Haidian District, Beijing 100044,

- 18 P.R. China. Email address: <u>wuyizheng@bjtu.edu.cn</u>.
- 19

20 Abstract

Deprived communities tend to face higher environmental exposure risks due to inadequate environmental protection and economic investment. Estimating high-resolution disparities in pollution exposure is key to addressing such Environmental Justice issues. This study conducted

a $PM_{2.5}$ measurement campaign in Cangzhou, China, in 2021, by using a taxi-based mobile sensing 1 system. Disparities in pollution exposure, health impacts and related economic costs were 2 calculated between deprived and affluent zones. The results showed mean values cannot represent 3 the full extent of exposure inequality, and distributional characteristics should be emphasized. 4 5 Pollutant concentrations and relative risks in some disadvantaged areas exceeded the maximum 6 values in affluent areas. Disparities are more pronounced within deprived areas, with Gini coefficients for pollution exposure of 9.12%, exceeding affluent areas (2.28%). But affluent areas 7 exhibit higher economic costs. The study contributes to portraying the inequalities of 8 9 environmental exposure in developing country cities, helping formulate policies to mitigate 10 environmental health disparities.

Keywords: Environmental justice, Health impact assessment, Deprived-affluent areas disparities,
Roadside fine particulate matters, Mobile monitoring system

13

14 **1. Introduction**

Atmospheric fine particulate matters (PM_{2.5}) pose serious health risks. Exposure to high 15 levels of PM_{2.5} can lead to fatal health problems (WHO, 2016), such as cardiorespiratory diseases 16 17 (Manisalidis et al., 2020), respiratory diseases (Orellano et al., 2020), pulmonary illnesses (Chen 18 et al., 2017). The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency states a link exists between $PM_{2.5}$ and 19 mortality rates (US EPA, 2019). Given these health damages, the WHO has adjusted the long-term 20 exposure level for PM_{2.5} in the Global Air Quality Guidelines from 10 μ g/m³ to 5 μ g/m³ in 2021. However, about 90% of the global population still live in areas with $PM_{2.5}$ exceeding 10 µg/m³ in 21 2019 (WHO, 2021). 22

The truth is that pollutants are not evenly distributed between regions. Socio-economically 1 deprived areas exhibit elevated pollutant levels, and deprived groups face higher risks of 2 3 environmental exposure (Lim et al., 2012; Badland and Pearce, 2019). The unequal socio-spatial dispersion of air pollution highlights Environmental Justice (EJ) concerns (Hsu et al., 2021; Fang 4 5 et al., 2023). EJ means that no individual shoulders a disproportionate burden of adverse 6 environmental effects due to policy or economic disadvantages. EJ associated with air pollution is 7 frequently investigated in developed urban cities. Exposure to traffic-related pollution was shown 8 to be highest among the most disadvantaged groups in New Zealand (Kingham et al., 2007). A 9 non-linear relationship between higher pollutant concentrations and deprived areas was found in 10 Los Angeles (Molitor et al., 2011). A significant positive correlation between PM_{2.5} concentrations 11 and Social Deprivation Index existed in Hong Kong (Li et al., 2018). However, the above studies lack the context of small and medium-sized cities, whose populations may be growing faster than 12 13 large urban clusters (Berdegué and Soloaga, 2018), but inequalities in pollution exposure in such 14 cities remain unclear. Furthermore, the majority of comparisons focus on affluent and deprived areas, neglecting the disparities within these regions. 15

High-resolution PM_{2.5} data are essential for EJ implementation. However, limited by 16 17 measurement methods, most studies used fixed monitoring stations data or air pollution estimation models to evaluate pollution exposure. The global average population distance to the nearest $PM_{2.5}$ 18 19 fixed monitoring station is 220 km (Martin et al., 2019). Fixed monitoring stations are usually 20 situated in bustling urban centers (Stampfer et al., 2020). Due to this sparse spatial distribution, 21 large-scale air pollution monitoring is difficult to achieve, especially in economically 22 disadvantaged areas (Hao et al., 2022). However, pollutants at the neighborhood scale are spatio-23 temporally dynamic and can change dramatically over short distances with short periods (Hoek et

al., 2008; Apte et al., 2017). Such variability cannot be captured by fixed monitoring stations. 1 Given that individuals predominantly reside and work in these street-level environments, using 2 3 high-resolution pollutant data to accurately estimate their health impacts and disparities is a necessary but challenging task (Wu et al., 2023). The utilization of low-cost sensors in conjunction 4 5 with mobility has emerged as a prevalent approach for monitoring air pollution, such as being 6 carried by trained individuals or mounted on motorcycles and probe vehicles (Targino et al., 2016; 7 Qiu et al., 2017; Munir et al., 2022; deSouza et al., 2023). In addition, mobile monitoring with 8 sensors installed on the overhead lights of taxis enables high-resolution data collection with good 9 accuracy. It provides a basis for expanding the sampling space and conducting fine-grained health impact assessments and disparities (Wu et al., 2020; Yu et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2024). 10

Moreover, inequalities in pollution exposure will exacerbate inequalities in income, 11 physical health, and well-being, significantly compromising equity in safeguarding individuals' 12 13 health and welfare. Such health inequalities can even reduce employment and productivity and 14 create severe psychological stress in deprived areas, further exacerbating impoverishment (Marmot, 2020; Tao et al., 2021). However, existing environmental policies mainly focus on the 15 sources of pollutants and their concentrations, ignoring the disproportionate adverse 16 17 environmental impacts on populations and failing to adopt a health-oriented perspective. Most people do not have a quantified concept of the human health costs of air pollution and some studies 18 19 have attempted to estimate the related public welfare costs to provide a basis for the development 20 of environmental health compensation mechanisms and supporting legislation (Maji et al., 2018; 21 Yang et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020, 2008). However, the existing studies about economic costs have been conducted mainly on a macro scale and lack assessment among different socio-22 23 economic groups. While EJ emphasizes the inequality of environmental burdens, the EJ further

implies that remedies are needed to mitigate the injustices imposed on disadvantaged groups. The 1 2 United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (United Nations, 2019) have outlined a vision to 3 diminish inequalities. Goal 3 on Good Health and Well-being emphasizes the need to protect 4 vulnerable groups living in areas with a high disease prevalence. Goal 10, Reduced Inequalities, 5 states the necessity of working to reduce inequalities. However, deprived areas are more likely to 6 be trapped in a situation where they live in highly polluted environments, where their health is 7 affected, their welfare is jeopardized, their employment opportunities are reduced, and they 8 become poorer. Such inequality may even strengthen fertility among vulnerable groups, exposing 9 more people to such hazards (Islam and McGillivray, 2020). 575 million people are predicted to live in extreme poverty worldwide by 2030 (United Nations, 2019). Therefore, conducting 10 11 assessments of disparities in pollution exposure, health impacts and economic costs will help to develop targeted and equitable air pollution prevention strategies that reduce disparities between 12 13 regions and socio-economic groups.

14 Although studies have been conducted to examine inequalities in pollution exposure among different socio-economic groups, most of them have been carried out in larger and more developed 15 cities and have used low-resolution pollution concentration data. Gaps exist in research on small 16 17 and medium-sized cities where the distribution patterns of population and pollution could be very different from those in larger and more developed cities. The fine-grained inequalities in pollution 18 19 exposure, health effects and economic costs, along with disparities within areas of different socio-20 economic positions, remain unclear. Therefore, this study aims to contribute to this knowledge 21 gap, and the major contributions of our work are highlighted below:

- 1. Hyper-localized PM_{2.5} concentrations across extensive spatial extents and long durations
 were collected by a taxi-based mobile atmospheric monitoring system in both low and high socio economic position communities in a medium-sized city in China.
- 2. More accurate and fine-grained PM_{2.5} was used for EJ study. Disparities in pollutant concentrations, health risks, and PM_{2.5}-related deaths between deprived and affluent areas were estimated. Inequalities in exposure within regions were discussed. High-resolution maps of roadside PM_{2.5} concentrations and relative risks were created, and refined health impacts were implemented. Distribution pictures of inequalities in environmental exposures and health effects were developed.

3. The fine-grained $PM_{2.5}$ -related economic costs were calculated for regions with different socio-economic status. Potential causes and hazards of disparities in pollution exposure, health effects, and economic costs were discussed, and measures for improvement were proposed.

13

14 **2. Materials and methods**

Our research includes five steps: (1) define the study region, (2) collect data, including high-resolution PM_{2.5} concentration data, population and housing price data, (3) estimate finegrained health effects, (4) quantify the economic costs of those impacts, (5) assess the disparity, including pollutant concentration and pollution exposure between areas. The following describes these steps in detail.

20 **2.1. Study area**

This study was conducted in Cangzhou, a city located in Hebei Province, China. Cangzhou was chosen as it is a heavily industrialized city in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region and an area facing serious air pollution issues. It is a typical medium-sized city with a population of 810,000, according to Tabulation on 2020 China Population Census by County, characterized by central
 area being economically developed and densely populated compared to suburbs. As shown in
 Figure 1 (a), Xinhua District, Yunhe District and Cang County are three of the districts and
 counties in Cangzhou.

5

6 **2.2. Data collection**

7 2.2.1. Source of population data

8 The study area was divided into 2,763 1km×1km grid cells, as shown in **Figure 1** (c) and 9 (d). The population data were sourced from WorldPop (https://www.worldpop.org/datacatalog/), an organization affiliated with the University of Southampton in the UK, dedicated to providing 10 11 an open platform for high-resolution global population distribution data. The raw data are provided 12 in TIFF format as raster files, with each pixel value representing the population count within its 13 corresponding area. After downloading, the data were integrated into GIS, refined to the study area 14 through mask extraction, and converted into SHP format by raster-to-vector transformation to obtain population data. More details can be seen in Supplementary Materials. 15

16

2.2.2. Source of housing price data

Existing studies have used census-level income data to classify populations into different socioeconomic status groups (Rowangould, 2013; Antonczak et al., 2023). However, such data in China is not publicly available. Research has shown that income impacts housing affordability, as housing constitutes a substantial portion of household expenditures (Määttänen and Terviö, 2014; Mirkatouli et al., 2018). Housing loans represent 75.9% of total household liabilities in China, according to a survey conducted by Statistics and Analysis Department of the People's Bank of China. The relationship between housing prices and income also influences household
 consumption levels, savings, and other factors (Berger et al., 2018; Atalay and Edwards, 2022).

_

A positive correlation has been identified between residents' socioeconomic status and housing prices (Mirkatouli et al., 2018). Using housing price levels to classify populations into high and low socioeconomic status groups is a common approach when income data is unavailable (Coffee et al., 2013). In the study by Guo et al. (2020b) and Ding et al. (2023), this method was used to analysis the equity of pollution exposure and health impacts. Therefore, given the difficulty in obtaining census level income data, our study adopts housing price levels to classify populations into high and low socioeconomic status groups.

The housing price data were sourced from Anjuke, a leading real estate information service 10 platform in China (www.anjuke.com). The platform comprehensively covers listings for new 11 homes, second-hand properties, and rental units. We collected housing prices and geographical 12 13 locations from 887 residential areas and made them into a dataset. The housing prices range from 540 to 30,071 yuan/m². House prices are not shown in some areas as some are self-built houses. 14 Residing in self-built houses is common in China (especially in small or medium-sized cities like 15 Cangzhou) due to their affordability and the absence of security and property management fees 16 17 typical in residential communities (see Figure S4 for more details). Therefore, we classified regions with housing prices exceeding the average as high socio-economic position communities, 18 19 and regions with prices below the average and lacking housing prices as deprived areas, as shown 20 in **Figure 1** (d).

21 **2.2.3.** Source of roadside PM_{2.5}

22 Roadside PM_{2.5} data were collected using a taxi-based mobile atmospheric monitoring 23 system. The detector is an SDS019-TRF laser particle sensor developed based on laser diffraction

theory by Shandong Nova Fitness Co., Ltd. The silicone tube resin material was upgraded, shock-1 absorbing screws were installed, and pre-deployment testing was conducted to mitigate the impacts 2 3 of high-temperature environments, vibrations, and strong winds. Four sensors were mounted on the headlight of the taxi, and the reliability of the monitoring dataset was ensured through 4 5 automatic fault detection by cross-checking. The device utilizes a dual-mode GPS and Beidou 6 positioning system with an accuracy of less than 20 meters, and each monitoring device can upload 7 $PM_{2.5}$ data every 3 seconds, returning latitude, longitude, timestamp, and $PM_{2.5}$ concentration 8 values. In our previous research (Wu et al., 2020), the validity of the data was confirmed through 9 spatiotemporal comparisons with fixed monitoring stations using five statistical metrics, including relative error (E_r), fractional bias (FB), normalized mean square error, geometric variance (VG), 10 11 correlation coefficient (R), and the fraction of predictions within a factor of two of the observations (FAC2). In 2021, the system was deployed on 54 taxis, collecting a total of 79,973,505 valid data, 12 13 with more than 10 million data for each season. Data monitoring was conducted continuously over 14 24 hours, with minimum hourly data exceeding 30,000 in each season. All major roads were covered, and good spatial and temporal coverage was achieved in the study area. More details can 15 be found in the Supplementary Materials. 16

Each 1km×1km grid cell was statistically analyzed to demonstrate the availability of monitoring data. **Equation (1)** was used to calculate the proportion of the population covered by monitoring, and **Equation (2)** was applied to assess the variation coefficient within each grid.

20

$$r = (\sum pop_{cell}/POP) \times 100 \tag{1}$$

Where r is the proportion of population covered by monitoring, ranging from 0 to 100, with larger values indicating more people covered. *POP* is the total population of study area. *pop_{cell}* is the grid population with monitoring data.

$$CV_{cell} = \sigma_{cell} / \mu_{cell} \tag{2}$$

Where CV_{cell} is the variation coefficient of each cell, $CV_{cell} < 1.5$ indicates that the variability between data points is not very high and the data is relatively stable; σ_{cell} , μ_{cell} are the standard deviation and mean of all roadside PM_{2.5} sampling data for each cell, respectively.

1



Figure 1 Study area. (a) The location of the study area. (b) The taxi-based mobile
 atmospheric monitoring system. (c) 1km×1km grid cells population. (d) 1km×1km grid
 cells housing price distribution.

2.3. Health impact assessment

In this study, the epidemiologic relative risk (RR) was applied to estimate the long-term health effects attributable to roadside PM_{2.5} exposure. This method is recommended by the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) (Pisoni et al., 2023) and is calculated as follows.

5

$$HI_{cell,i} = AF_{cell,i} \times Pop_{cell} \times B_i \tag{3}$$

$$AF_{cell,i} = (RR_{cell,i} - 1)/RR_{cell,i}$$
(4)

Where $HI_{cell,i}$ is the health impacts of health outcomes *i* in each cell; $AF_{cell,i}$ is defined as the population attributable fractions for health outcomes *i* in each cell; Pop_{cell} is the gridded population data; B_i is the baseline disease-specific health impacts rate for health outcomes *i*, and obtained from the China Health Statistical Yearbook; $RR_{cell,i}$ is the relative risks (RRs) of health outcomes *i* in each cell, and if $RR_{cell,i} > 1$ indicates adverse health effects. This research considered long-term exposures and calculated mortality for four health outcomes, including allcause, cardiovascular, respiratory and lung cancer mortality.

Three RR estimation functions have been developed for PM_{2.5} exposure, including the integrated exposure risk (IER) (Burnett et al., 2014), log-linear (LL) exposure-response functions (Pascal et al., 2013) and the non-linear power law (NLP) function (Chowdhury and Dey, 2016). The LL function is the recommended model for estimating health effects in high PM pollution areas (WHO, 2006) and is currently the most widely used exposure response model in China (Lin et al., 2017; Yin et al., 2017; Maji et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2024), calculated as **Equation (5)**.

20

$$RR_{cell,i} = \exp\left[\beta_i \times (\bar{c}_{cell} - c_0)\right] \tag{5}$$

21 Where β_i is the exposure response coefficient, meaning the incidence change of health outcomes 22 *i* per μ g/m³ PM_{2.5} increment, obtained from the Chinese epidemiological study (shown in **Table** 23 1); \bar{c}_{cell} is the average PM_{2.5} concentration in each cell; c_0 is the baseline PM_{2.5} concentration, and 1 is set to be 5 μ g/m³ according to the latest version of the WTO Air Quality Guidelines (WHO, 2 2021).

3 To calculate the annual mean $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations, grid cells with monitoring data for all 4 seasons were selected. The average $PM_{2.5}$ concentration in each cell is calculated as **Equation** (6).

$$\bar{c}_{cell} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{cell}} p_{cell,n} / N_{cell}$$
(6)

6 Where $p_{cell,n}$ is the PM_{2.5} concentration for the *n*th monitoring point in each cell; N_{cell} is the total

7 number of monitoring data in each cell.

Table 1 p 101 1001 ileanin	Table 1 p for four nearth outcomes for long-term exposure.	
Health outcomes	β_i (CI 95%) (Fang et al., 2016)	
All-cause mortality	5.37 (3.48,7.27)	
Cardiovascular mortality	5.91 (3.63,8.18)	
Respiratory mortality	2.54 (0.95,4.12)	
Lung cancer mortality	8.93 (4.32,13.55)	

Table 1 β for four health outcomes for long-term exposure.

9

5

8

10 2.4. Economic valuation of PM_{2.5} health impacts

The value of statistical life (VSL) is a widely available indicator used to monetize the ultimate impact on health due to air pollution. It is defined as the aggregating individuals' willingness to pay (WTP) to secure a marginal reduction in the risk of premature death, which is not the value of a person's life, but the sum of an individual's WTP (OECD, 2014). The VSL can be calculated as **Equation (7)**.

16

$$VSL = \partial WTP / \partial R \tag{7}$$

17 Where ∂WTP represents individuals' willingness to pay; ∂R represents a small eduction of 18 mortality risk. Existing studies in China have focused mainly on Beijing or nationwide, lacking localized WTP (Zeng et al., 2024). The calculation methodology recommended by the OECD incorporates parameters such as income elasticity, GDP per capita, and consumer price index (CPI), shown in **Equation (8)**. Economic costs associated with health endpoints in different regions and years can be estimated by using a baseline (base region and base year). This method has been widely applied to estimate health-related economic costs due to air pollution (OECD, 2014; Giannadaki et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020; Zeng et al., 2024). Therefore, this study calculated the economic cost of

the four health endpoints in each grid cell in Cangzhou based on the existing health-related cost
data in Beijing (Guo et al., 2010; Yin et al., 2017; Maji et al., 2018; Zeng et al., 2024).

10
$$VSL_{cell} = VSL_{(t)} \times (G_{cell,(t)}/G_{(t)})^{\tau} \times (1 + \%\Delta P + \%\Delta G_{cell})^{\tau}$$
(8)

Where VSL_{cell} is the per unit economic cost in each cell in Cangzhou in 2021; $VSL_{(t)}$ is the per 11 unit economic cost in year t in the baseline area (e.g., Beijing is usually chosen); $G_{(t)}$ is the per 12 capita GDP of Beijing in year t; ΔP is percentage alteration in CPI from year t to 2021, data 13 14 source from Hebei Statistical Yearbook (more details shown in Table S1 of Supplementary 15 Material); $G_{cell,(t)}$ is the per capita GDP for each cell in year t; ΔG_{cell} is percentage alteration 16 in GDP per capita from year t to 2021 in each cell. We used grid cell population data and GDP data to calculate. The gridded GDP data were obtained from the Resource and Environmental 17 Science Data Platform ((http://www.resdc.cn/DOI),2017.DOI:10.12078/2017121101). The 18 distributions of gridded GDP and more details can be seen in **Figure S8**. τ is the income elasticity, 19 which is set at 0.8 according to OECD (2014). 20

21 Thus, the economic costs can be calculated as **Equation (9)**.

$$EC_{cell,i} = VSL_{cell,i} \times HI_{cell,i}$$
(9)

1 Where $EC_{cell,i}$ is the economic costs for health outcomes *i* in each cell; $HI_{cell,i}$ is the health impacts 2 of health outcomes *i* in each cell.

3

6

4 **2.5.** Estimation of disparity

5 **2.5.1.** The disparity in PM_{2.5} concentration between regions

Equation (10) was used to calculate the disparities in concentration between two areas.

7
$$\lambda_{diff} = N_{(s)} / N_{a,cell} \times 100\%$$

$$s = \{c_{a,1}, \dots, c_{a,i}, \dots\}, c_{a,i} > c_{b,max}$$
(10)

8 Where λ_{diff} is defined as the disparities in concentration between areas *a* and *b*; $N_{a,cell}$ is the total 9 number of grid cells in area *a*; $N_{(s)}$ is the number of set *s*; $c_{b,max}$ is the maximum concentration 10 of grid cells in area *b*; *s* is the set of area *a* with grid cells concentration above $c_{b,max}$; $c_{a,i}$ is the 11 concentration in area *a*. In our study, area *a* and area *b* refer to deprived area and affluent area, 12 respectively.

13 **2.5.2.** The Gini coefficient in pollution exposure

The Gini coefficient is frequently employed to quantify economic inequality (Atkinson, 15 1970). The same concept can be used to assess disparities in pollution exposure (Pisoni et al., 16 2022).

17
$$G_s = 1/(2\mu_s) \times \sum_{i=1}^{C_s} \sum_{j=1}^{C_s} p_i p_j |\bar{c}_i - \bar{c}_j|$$
(11)

18
$$\mu_s = \sum_{i=1}^{C_s} p_i \bar{c}_i \tag{12}$$

Where G_s is the Gini coefficient in deprived or affluent areas, ranging from 0 to 1, with higher values representing increased inequality; *s* indicates deprived or affluent areas; C_s is the total number of grid cells; \bar{c}_i and \bar{c}_j are the average concentration of cell *i* and *j*; p_i and p_j are the proportion of population in cell *i* and *j*.

1 3. Results

2 **3.1.** Statistical information of sampling data in each grid cell

3 High coverage of monitoring was achieved within $1 \text{km} \times 1 \text{km}$ grid cells. As shown in Figure 2 (a), the number of grid cells with monitoring data each month exceeds 1,000. The 4 proportion of the population covered by monitoring (r) each month exceeds 70%. In Figure 2 (b), 5 the average number of monitoring data per grid cell in spring, summer, autumn, and winter is 6 7 12,225, 10,528, 9,862 and 8,148, respectively. Figure 2 (c) shows the coefficient of variation for each grid. In each season, the proportion of grids with $CV_{cell} > 1.5$ is less than 1% (shown in 8 9 Figure 2 (d)), indicating that the monitoring data varies within a reasonable range under the 10 restricted temporal and spatial scopes.





12 Figure 2 Statistical information of roadside PM2.5. (a) Number of grid cells with monitoring

data per month and population coverage proportion. (b) The number of monitoring data in each cell. (c) The coefficient of variation in each cell. (d) The proportion of coefficient of variation exceeding 1.5.

4

5

3

3.2. The disparities in concentration

6 Due to objective limitations, not all grid cells under the 1km×1km resolution were 7 monitored (e.g., mountainous or forested regions that were difficult to access). However, the 8 monitored areas covered over 70% of the population. Grid cells with monitoring data available for 9 all four seasons were selected, including 1,102 grid cells in low housing price areas (representing 10 55.1% of the total population) and full coverage of the 78 grid cells in high housing price areas 11 (representing 16.56% of the population). Figure 3 (a) presents a refined pollution concentration map at 1 km×1 km resolution, illustrating the spatial and temporal variability of pollutant 12 concentrations. Significant variations in roadside PM_{2.5} concentrations can be observed between 13 14 adjacent grid cells within the same season. Also, a single grid's concentration may exhibit 15 substantial changes across different seasons. However, the limited spatial coverage of stationary 16 monitoring stations fails to capture such dynamic, potentially exposing populations to elevated and 17 variable pollution levels without their awareness.

As shown in **Figure 3** (b), the average concentrations in deprived areas are close to affluent areas. However, disparities in pollutant concentration distribution between the two regions are evident. Air pollution levels are more widely distributed in underdeveloped areas. The maximum PM_{2.5} concentrations in deprived areas are much higher than affluent areas in all seasons. The highest concentrations in deprived communities were 155.60 μ g/m³, 113.55 μ g/m³, 150.68 μ g/m³, and 174.93 μ g/m³ in spring, summer, autumn, and winter, respectively, while in affluent areas were

 $50.62 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$, $39.68 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$, $52.02 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$, and $75.04 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$, respectively. Specifically, we calculated 1 2 the percentage of cells within deprived regions where concentrations surpass the maximum values in affluent areas, according to Equation (10). The λ_{diff} for four seasons were 17.97%, 5.72%, 3 15.25%, and 9.89%, respectively. Residents of these deprived regions (accounting for 5.99%, 4 5 2.29%, 5.25%, and 3.56% of the total population, respectively) live with pollution concentrations exceeding the highest concentrations in affluent areas, posing significant inequalities in air 6 7 pollution exposure. The actual values would be higher than these proportions, as concentrations in 8 some deprived areas were not monitored. However, average concentrations of pollutants fail to 9 capture such exposure differences, and environmental protection efforts in economically 10 disadvantaged areas can easily be overlooked. Addressing environmental justice in regions with 11 different socioeconomic positions needs to map inequalities across full exposure distributions.



Figure 3 The disparities in concentration. (a) High-resolution roadside PM_{2.5} concentration
maps. (b) The disparities between deprived and affluent areas.

5

1

3.3. The disparities in health impact

According to **Equations (3)** to (6), we calculated the health impacts in grid cells containing the four health outcomes of all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, respiratory mortality, and lung cancer mortality. As shown in **Figure 4** (a), the RRs of all grids are more than 1, indicating negative health impacts. The refined health impact distribution maps show that RRs are
lower in affluent areas. Some grids in deprived areas have RRs of (1.2, 1.3] for all-cause mortality,
(1.3, 1.4] for cardiovascular mortality, (1.1, 1.2] for respiratory mortality, and risks of lung cancer
mortality above 1.8, which exceeds the RRs for most grids in communities with high socioeconomic positions.

6 Figure 4 (b) shows the disparities of RRs between the two areas. The average RRs in 7 underdeveloped areas of all-cause, cardiovascular, respiratory and lung cancer mortality were 8 1.211, 1.235, 1.095, and 1.378, respectively, and 1.199, 1.221, 1.089, and 1.352 in affluent areas. 9 23.91% of grid cells in deprived areas have higher health risks for the four health outcomes than the highest values in communities with high socio-economic positions. Individuals living in 10 11 communities with lower socio-economic positions suffer a higher risk of $PM_{2.5}$ -related mortality. 12 Combined with the grid cells population dataset, the number of $PM_{2.5}$ -related deaths was calculated. The percentage of deaths attributed to all-cause, cardiovascular, respiratory, and lung 13 14 cancer in these three districts of Cangzhou was 0.142% (1,713 deaths), 0.033% (403 deaths), 0.005% (65 deaths), and 0.014% (180 deaths), respectively. This result is reasonable, and some health 15 impact studies have been conducted in Hebei Province, reporting more than 30,000 PM_{2.5}-related 16 17 deaths (including all-cause, cardiovascular diseases, respiratory diseases, and lung cancer) in some cities in 2013 (Fang et al., 2016) and 676,000 PM_{2.5}-related deaths caused by lung cancer in 2016 18 19 (Maji et al., 2018). Specifically, affluent areas had 378, 89, 14, and 40 deaths, accounting for 20 0.031%, 0.007%, 0.001%, and 0.003% of the total population, respectively. And deprived areas 21 accounted for 0.111% (1,335 deaths), 0.026% (314 deaths), 0.004% (51 deaths), and 0.012% (140 22 deaths). However, the actual values for deprived areas would be even higher, as some grids were

not monitored, whereas affluent areas achieved full coverage. More deaths occur in deprived communities, and health impacts vary unequally among social groups.





3.4. Economic valuation of PM_{2.5} health impacts

2 Figure 5 (a) shows the spatial distribution of VSL_{cell} . Overall, the VSL_{cell} is higher in 3 affluent areas (1,216,652 USD) than in deprived areas (475,763 USD). People in communities 4 with high socio-economic positions have a stronger willingness to pay. Some deprived regions also show a higher willingness to pay, as these grids have smaller populations (shown in **Figure 1**) 5 6 (c)) and are clustered with multiple factories with higher GDP (see Figure S8), leading to higher 7 GDP per capita and VSL_{cell}. The economic cost of different health endpoints varies, with all-cause 8 mortality incurring the highest, followed by cardiovascular and lung cancer mortality, and 9 respiratory mortality being the lowest, as shown in Figure 5 (b). The economic costs are higher in 10 affluent areas, with the four health outcomes being 2,396,331, 564,447, 91,145, 252,120 USD, 11 respectively, while in deprived areas are 567,660, 133,624, 21,668, 59,479 USD.

12 The result reflects the fact that the rich are more willing to pay for mitigating health damage, and people with high socio-economic positions value self-protection investments more than low-13 14 income people; for example, one study showed that the rich are more likely to invest in air filters 15 (Sun et al., 2017). This difference in individual preventive spending implies that inequalities in socio-economic status will continue to increase disparities in air pollution exposure. For example, 16 17 the rich are more likely to own cars, shielding them from environmental pollution, while 18 disadvantaged groups are more prone to commuting by motorcycle, increasing the risk of outdoor exposure. In addition, individuals with lower skill levels often engage in outdoor professions like 19 20 street cleaning and package delivery. In contrast, high-skilled workers typically work indoors, 21 reducing outdoor exposure time (Sun et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2024). Residents of underdeveloped 22 areas are also inclined to work in agriculture, as data from Cangzhou Statistical Yearbook shows 23 that the power of agricultural machinery in deprived areas far exceeds affluent areas. Individuals

in deprived areas are more likely to be directly exposed to emissions from agricultural diesel equipment. Health inequalities between regions will continue to widen if no targeted measures are taken. Many people do not have this quantified concept of the human health costs caused due to air pollution and are unaware of such disparities. Goals for reducing pollution exposure in deprived regions are still lacking in many countries' policy frameworks and action programs.

6 Moreover, PM_{2.5} has caused severe economic damage globally. The economic cost caused by PM_{2.5} in China amounted to 101.39 billion USD in 2016 and 86.887 billion USD in 2017 (Maji 7 et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2022). The cost of deaths caused by PM_{2.5} in 26 European cities was €7.8 8 9 billion in 2019 (Belis et al., 2023). 26.805 billion USD in Brazil (Wen et al., 2024), 308 million 10 USD in Rwanda, and 1.38 billion USD in Ghana (Fisher et al., 2021). This monetization of health 11 impacts helps to generate public awareness. Combating environmental pollution, minimizing 12 economic costs, reducing regional disparities, and safeguarding citizens' right to health is a 13 worldwide imperative.



Figure 5 The economic costs of PM_{2.5} health impacts. (a) The distribution of *VSL_{cell}*. (b) The economic costs for four health outcomes.

4. Discussion

4.1. Disparities in deprived and affluent areas

Areas with high housing prices were fully covered by monitoring and used as the baseline
for our analysis. A certain percentage of the deprived population was exposed to pollution levels
exceeding the highest concentrations in affluent areas in each season. The proportion of deaths

attributable to four health outcomes was higher in low housing price areas. The deprived areas had
a larger population but lower economic costs. This reveals an uneven distribution of pollution
exposure, health impacts, and economic costs across the population. Deprived communities are
exposed to higher levels of environmental pollution and health risks, which has also been
confirmed in other studies (Tonne et al., 2018; Fairburn et al., 2019; Bramble et al., 2023).

6 Are there inequalities within deprived or affluent areas? We have calculated this according to Equations (11) (12). The G_s is 2.28% for affluent areas and 9.12% for deprived areas, indicating 7 8 disparities in pollution exposure are larger within deprived areas. Deprived communities face a 9 more complex situation. Communities with lower socio-economic positions have higher health 10 risks than their external counterparts, with larger internal variations as well. In deprived areas, 11 some inhabitants dwell within the confines of mountains and forests, where the underdeveloped 12 road network and low levels of modern infrastructure contribute to a lower degree of pollution exposure. Others reside in self-built houses adjacent to farmland, where agricultural machinery 13 14 may be one of the primary sources of pollutant emissions. According to the Cangzhou Statistical Yearbook in 2021, the total power of diesel engines in Cang County (where high housing price 15 16 area are concentrated) and Xinhua and Yunhe Districts (where low housing prices are concentrated) 17 was 986,480 kW and 59,009 kW, respectively, as detailed in Figure S6. Moreover, due to the 18 prohibition of trucks entering the central urban areas, the demand for transportation necessitates 19 their operation on the peripheral suburban road networks of the city. According to a field study, 20 the number of trucks passing through the roads surrounding low housing residential area in Cang 21 County exceeds 150 per hour (more details can be seen in Figure S7), which increases the severe 22 pollution to the socioeconomically disadvantaged groups.

1 Environmental protections tend to be more favorable towards communities with high 2 socio-economic positions. For example, fixed monitoring stations and effective pollution warning systems are primarily concentrated in the central areas of small and medium-sized cities due to the 3 4 high costs of installation and maintenance. As illustrated in Figure 6, the density of these stations 5 is only 0.00343 per square kilometer in the study area, leaving large regions unmonitored. 6 Compared to the high-resolution pollution heatmap generated through mobile monitoring (Figure 3 (a)), many pollution hotspots remain undetected by the fixed network. However, as more urban 7 8 populations reside in small and medium-sized cities (Fahmi et al., 2014), expanding the spatial 9 coverage of pollution monitoring and implementing refined health impact assessments are 10 essential steps for addressing environmental pollution and reducing health risks. For instance, 11 creating air pollution monitoring networks by combining mobile monitoring methods. 12 Concentration and health risk heat maps can be developed to identify areas of high pollution levels and high health risk, such as Figure 3 (a) and Figure 4 (a). Moreover, in the suburbs of small and 13 14 medium-sized cities, agricultural activities dominate the outskirts (Pan and Wang, 2022). Strengthening emission management for agricultural equipment in deprived areas is necessary to 15 prevent direct exposure to pollutants. 16



Figure 6 Distribution of mobile monitoring and fixed monitoring stations.

1

-

4 **4.2.** Health impacts analysis of demographics

Health impacts are unevenly distributed across demographic characteristics. The exposure
response coefficient β values for four health outcomes were obtained from different cohort studies
(Chen et al., 2018; Vodonos et al., 2018; Guo et al., 2020a, 2016; Wang et al., 2020; Yang et al.,
2020). Since the World Health Organization (WHO) defines people aged 65 years and over as
older people (Organization, 2015), the population was divided into three age groups: 0-64 years,
65-74 years, and >75 years.

11 The relative risks (RRs) for four health outcomes vary by age group. The RRs are lower 12 for individuals under 65 years old, while those aged 65-74 have more burdens of PM_{2.5} attributable

all-cause, cardiovascular and lung cancer mortality. And the RRs are lower for individuals over 75
years old. The feasible reason is that susceptible residents die before reaching the 75 and older age
group, and individuals over 65 are at greater risk of PM_{2.5} exposure compared to younger
populations (Chen et al., 2018). Additionally, based on the gender-specific RRs, males are at
greater risk of PM_{2.5}-related mortality of all-cause, cardiovascular disease and lung cancer.
Significant effects of PM_{2.5} on mortality of respiratory causes were observed in females. And more
details can be seen in Figure S9.

8

9 **4.3.** The land use and pollution exposure disparities

10 In our study, pollution exposure and health risks were lower in affluent areas (mainly 11 located in city centers), while higher in deprived areas (mainly located in suburban areas), shown 12 in Figure 3 (a) and Figure 4 (a). The relationship between $PM_{2.5}$ concentration, land use, and road length were quantified by using Geographically Weighted Regression (GWR) model. 13 14 Following the steps outlined in **Figure 7**, spatial autocorrelation tests, influencing factors selection, and model construction were conducted. The result shows that roadside PM2.5 concentrations 15 exhibit a positive correlation with industrial area, expressway length, and branch road length, while 16 17 showing a negative correlation with residential areas (more details can be seen in Supplementary Materials). 18



Figure 7 The steps and results for GWR model building.

2

3

Cangzhou is a typical medium-sized city in China, characterized by a developed urban core 4 5 while industrial land is distributed in the surrounding areas far from the city center. Populations 6 with lower socioeconomic status tend to prefer low-cost housing near industrial zones (Hanna, 7 2007; Sharghi et al., 2022). This pattern is observed in similar developing country cities like China 8 (Ding et al., 2023), and south-central Chile (Romero et al., 2012), where suburbs exhibit higher 9 health risks compared to central areas. Additionally, the import and export activities in industrial 10 areas increase truck traffic, further contributing to higher $PM_{2.5}$ emissions. The road fleet 11 configurations in the central areas include no trucks but their proportion is higher in the suburbs 12 (over 20%), according to our field research.

We simulated a scenario where the industrial land area was reduced to 10% of its current size, resulting in a change in pollution concentration distribution. The PM_{2.5} concentration decreased by an average of 2.2%. And the relative risks of all-cause, cardiovascular disease, respiratory disease, and lung cancer deaths decreased by 0.007, 0.008, 0.003, and 0.014,
 respectively (more details can be seen in **Supplementary Materials**).

3 Urban planning and facility distribution influence the distribution of population exposure to pollution and raises Environmental Justice concerns. Based on the GWR model, a negative 4 5 correlation between pollution concentrations and residential areas was found, indicating that 6 higher proportions of residential zones are associated with lower PM_{2.5} concentrations. In areas 7 with high housing prices, larger proportions of land, higher green coverage, and better isolation 8 from surrounding regions effectively reduce particulate pollution and improve human health (Bi 9 et al., 2022). Wealthier populations tend to choose to reside in areas with better air quality, far 10 from degraded or polluted zones, having higher security against risks and natural hazards (Romero 11 et al., 2012). In some developed cities, employment and commercial activities have shifted to 12 suburban areas. Affluent individuals have moved to the suburbs, seeking lower population density, 13 higher living standards, and greater comfort. In contrast, disadvantaged groups are often forced to 14 live in the urban core (Daskalova and Slaev, 2015; Morris, 2019). Diverse exposure patterns exist within regions or countries. For example, air pollution levels are higher in central Shenzhen, China, 15 compared to rural areas (Guo et al., 2020b). These disparities highlight the importance of 16 17 investigating exposure differences across diverse contexts. A deeper understanding of local air pollution exposure inequalities is crucial for developing targeted policies to address health 18 19 inequities. Environmental policymakers should shift their perspective to emphasize the uneven 20 spatial distribution of building environment, air pollution, and health impacts in an effort to reduce 21 regional disparities.

1 5. Conclusions

2 In this study, disparities in pollution exposure, health effects, and related economic costs 3 between affluent and deprived areas were estimated by using the taxi-based mobile atmospheric monitoring system in a medium-sized city in China. The results showed that the mean value cannot 4 capture the full extent of inequalities in pollution exposure. The roadside PM_{2.5} concentrations in 5 6 some deprived areas exceeded the maximum concentrations in affluent areas. The relative risks of health hazards due to PM_{2.5} are higher in deprived communities. Health inequalities exist among 7 8 communities with different socio-economic positions. Given that the economic costs are higher in 9 affluent areas, people with high socio-economic positions are more willing to pay for protecting 10 their health. Existing environmental policies are pollution-centered, focusing only on pollutants 11 and their sources while neglecting the human or health-oriented approach. A shift should be made in perspective by emphasizing patterns of local disparities in air pollution exposure and 12 13 endeavoring to reduce regional disparities (e.g., between deprived and affluent areas).

However, there are still limitations in our study. We only used housing price data to distinguish between deprived and affluent areas, as income data is challenging to obtain given privacy concerns. In addition, we measured economic costs in Cangzhou based on existing studies of other regions in China and did not conduct localized willingness-to-pay surveys. Future studies could enhance localized surveys and develop pollutant concentration prediction models to characterize pollution levels in areas not covered by stationary monitoring.

20

1 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities
[grant number 2023YJS033]; the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant number
52272340].

Cangzhou City Air Pollution Hotspot Grid Management Office; Environmental Defense
Fund (EDF); Dust Control Laboratory of Shandong University; Institute of Atmospheric
Environment, Chinese Research Academy of Environmental Sciences and Beijing Huanding
Environmental Big Data Institute provide data support for this study.

References

2	Antonczak, B., Thompson, T.M., DePaola, M.W., Rowangould, G., 2023. 2020 Near-roadway population
3	census, traffic exposure and equity in the United States. Transp Res D Transp Environ 125, 103965.
4	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2023.103965
5	Apte, J.S., Messier, K.P., Gani, S., Brauer, M., Kirchstetter, T.W., Lunden, M.M., Marshall, J.D., Portier,
6	C.J., Vermeulen, R.C.H., Hamburg, S.P., 2017. High-Resolution Air Pollution Mapping with
7	Google Street View Cars: Exploiting Big Data. Environ Sci Technol 51, 6999–7008.
8	https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00891
9	Atalay, K., Edwards, R., 2022. House prices, housing wealth and financial well-being. J Urban Econ 129,
10	103438. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jue.2022.103438
11	Atkinson, A.B., 1970. On the measurement of inequality. J Econ Theory 2, 244–263.
12	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0531(70)90039-6
13	Badland, H., Pearce, J., 2019. Liveable for whom? Prospects of urban liveability to address health
14	inequities. Soc Sci Med 232, 94–105.
15	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2019.05.001
16	Belis, C.A., Matkovic, V., Ballocci, M., Jevtic, M., Millo, G., Mata, E., Van Dingenen, R., 2023.
17	Assessment of health impacts and costs attributable to air pollution in urban areas using two
18	different approaches. A case study in the Western Balkans. Environ Int 182, 108347.
19	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2023.108347
20	Berdegué, J.A., Soloaga, I., 2018. Small and medium cities and development of Mexican rural areas.
21	World Dev 107, 277-288. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.02.007
22	Berger, D., Guerrieri, V., Lorenzoni, G., Vavra, J., 2018. House prices and consumer spending. Rev Econ
23	Stud 85, 1502–1542.

1	Bi, S., Dai, F., Chen, M., Xu, S., 2022. A new framework for analysis of the morphological spatial
2	patterns of urban green space to reduce PM2. 5 pollution: A case study in Wuhan, China. Sustain
3	Cities Soc 82, 103900.
4	Bramble, K., Blanco, M.N., Doubleday, A., Gassett, A.J., Hajat, A., Marshall, J.D., Sheppard, L., 2023.
5	Exposure disparities by income, race and ethnicity, and historic redlining grade in the greater Seattle
6	area for ultrafine particles and other air pollutants. Environ Health Perspect 131, 077004.
7	Burnett, R.T., Pope III, C.A., Ezzati, M., Olives, C., Lim, S.S., Mehta, S., Shin, H.H., Singh, G., Hubbell,
8	B., Brauer, M., 2014. An integrated risk function for estimating the global burden of disease
9	attributable to ambient fine particulate matter exposure. Environ Health Perspect 122, 397-403.
10	Chen, C., Zhu, P., Lan, L., Zhou, L., Liu, R., Sun, Q., Ban, J., Wang, W., Xu, D., Li, T., 2018. Short-term
11	exposures to PM2. 5 and cause-specific mortality of cardiovascular health in China. Environ Res
12	161, 188–194.
13	Chen, R., Yin, P., Meng, X., Liu, C., Wang, L., Xu, X., Ross, J.A., Tse, L.A., Zhao, Z., Kan, H., 2017.
14	Fine particulate air pollution and daily mortality. A nationwide analysis in 272 Chinese cities. Am J
15	Respir Crit Care Med 196, 73–81.
16	Chowdhury, S., Dey, S., 2016. Cause-specific premature death from ambient PM2. 5 exposure in India:
17	Estimate adjusted for baseline mortality. Environ Int 91, 283–290.
18	Coffee, N.T., Lockwood, T., Hugo, G., Paquet, C., Howard, N.J., Daniel, M., 2013. Relative residential
19	property value as a socio-economic status indicator for health research. Int J Health Geogr 12, 1–10.
20	Daskalova, D., Slaev, A.D., 2015. Diversity in the suburbs: Socio-spatial segregation and mix in post-
21	socialist Sofia. Habitat Int 50, 42–50. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2015.07.007
22	deSouza, P., Wang, A., Machida, Y., Duhl, T., Mora, S., Kumar, P., Kahn, R., Ratti, C., Durant, J.L.,
23	Hudda, N., 2023. Evaluating the Performance of Low-Cost PM2. 5 Sensors in Mobile Settings.
24	Environ Sci Technol 57, 15401–15411.

1	Ding, Y., Wang, C., Wang, J., Wang, P., Huang, L., 2023. Revealing the impact of built environment, air
2	pollution and housing price on health inequality: an empirical analysis of Nanjing, China. Front
3	Public Health 11, 1153021.
4	Fahmi, F.Z., Hudalah, D., Rahayu, P., Woltjer, J., 2014. Extended urbanization in small and medium-
5	sized cities: The case of Cirebon, Indonesia. Habitat Int 42, 1–10.
6	Fairburn, J., Schüle, S.A., Dreger, S., Karla Hilz, L., Bolte, G., 2019. Social inequalities in exposure to
7	ambient air pollution: a systematic review in the WHO European region. Int J Environ Res Public
8	Health 16, 3127.
9	Fang, D., Wang, Q., Li, H., Yu, Y., Lu, Y., Qian, X., 2016. Mortality effects assessment of ambient PM2.
10	5 pollution in the 74 leading cities of China. Science of the Total Environment 569, 1545–1552.
11	Fang, X., Ma, Q., Wu, L., Liu, X., 2023. Distributional environmental justice of residential walking
12	space: The lens of urban ecosystem services supply and demand. J Environ Manage 329, 117050.
13	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.117050
14	Fisher, S., Bellinger, D.C., Cropper, M.L., Kumar, P., Binagwaho, A., Koudenoukpo, J.B., Park, Y.,
15	Taghian, G., Landrigan, P.J., 2021. Air pollution and development in Africa: impacts on health, the
16	economy, and human capital. Lancet Planet Health 5, e681–e688.
17	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(21)00201-1
18	Giannadaki, D., Giannakis, E., Pozzer, A., Lelieveld, J., 2018. Estimating health and economic benefits of
19	reductions in air pollution from agriculture. Science of The Total Environment 622-623, 1304-
20	1316. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.064
21	Guo, H., Li, W., Wu, J., 2020a. Ambient PM2. 5 and annual lung cancer incidence: a nationwide study in
22	295 Chinese counties. Int J Environ Res Public Health 17, 1481.
23	Guo, H., Li, W., Yao, F., Wu, J., Zhou, X., Yue, Y., Yeh, A.G.O., 2020b. Who are more exposed to
24	PM2.5 pollution: A mobile phone data approach. Environ Int 143, 105821.
25	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.105821

1	Guo, X.R., Cheng, S.Y., Chen, D.S., Zhou, Y., Wang, H.Y., 2010. Estimation of economic costs of
2	particulate air pollution from road transport in China. Atmos Environ 44, 3369–3377.
3	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.06.018
4	Guo, Y., Zeng, H., Zheng, R., Li, S., Barnett, A.G., Zhang, S., Zou, X., Huxley, R., Chen, W., Williams,
5	G., 2016. The association between lung cancer incidence and ambient air pollution in China: A
6	spatiotemporal analysis. Environ Res 144, 60–65.
7	Hanna, B.G., 2007. House values, incomes, and industrial pollution. J Environ Econ Manage 54, 100-
8	112.
9	Hao, X., Hu, X., Liu, T., Wang, C., Wang, L., 2022. Estimating urban PM2.5 concentration: An analysis
10	on the nonlinear effects of explanatory variables based on gradient boosted regression tree. Urban
11	Clim 44, 101172. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2022.101172
12	Hoek, G., Beelen, R., De Hoogh, K., Vienneau, D., Gulliver, J., Fischer, P., Briggs, D., 2008. A review of
13	land-use regression models to assess spatial variation of outdoor air pollution. Atmos Environ 42,
14	7561–7578.
15	Hsu, A., Sheriff, G., Chakraborty, T., Manya, D., 2021. Disproportionate exposure to urban heat island
16	intensity across major US cities. Nat Commun 12, 2721.
17	Islam, Md.R., McGillivray, M., 2020. Wealth inequality, governance and economic growth. Econ Model
18	88, 1-13. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2019.06.017
19	Kim, S., Ko, Y., Jang, K., 2024. Air pollution, perceived health risk, and trip decision-making:
20	Environmental justice considerations. Cities 149, 104949.
21	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2024.104949
22	Kingham, S., Pearce, J., Zawar-Reza, P., 2007. Driven to injustice? Environmental justice and vehicle
23	pollution in Christchurch, New Zealand. Transp Res D Transp Environ 12, 254–263.
24	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2007.02.004

1	Li, V.O.K., Han, Y., Lam, J.C.K., Zhu, Y., Bacon-Shone, J., 2018. Air pollution and environmental
2	injustice: Are the socially deprived exposed to more PM2. 5 pollution in Hong Kong? Environ Sci
3	Policy 80, 53–61.
4	Lim, S.S., Vos, T., Flaxman, A.D., Danaei, G., Shibuya, K., Adair-Rohani, H., AlMazroa, M.A., Amann,
5	M., Anderson, H.R., Andrews, K.G., Aryee, M., Atkinson, C., Bacchus, L.J., Bahalim, A.N.,
6	Balakrishnan, K., Balmes, J., Barker-Collo, S., Baxter, A., Bell, M.L., Blore, J.D., Blyth, F., Bonner,
7	C., Borges, G., Bourne, R., Boussinesq, M., Brauer, M., Brooks, P., Bruce, N.G., Brunekreef, B.,
8	Bryan-Hancock, C., Bucello, C., Buchbinder, R., Bull, F., Burnett, R.T., Byers, T.E., Calabria, B.,
9	Carapetis, J., Carnahan, E., Chafe, Z., Charlson, F., Chen, H., Chen, J.S., Cheng, A.TA., Child,
10	J.C., Cohen, A., Colson, K.E., Cowie, B.C., Darby, S., Darling, S., Davis, A., Degenhardt, L.,
11	Dentener, F., Des Jarlais, D.C., Devries, K., Dherani, M., Ding, E.L., Dorsey, E.R., Driscoll, T.,
12	Edmond, K., Ali, S.E., Engell, R.E., Erwin, P.J., Fahimi, S., Falder, G., Farzadfar, F., Ferrari, A.,
13	Finucane, M.M., Flaxman, S., Fowkes, F.G.R., Freedman, G., Freeman, M.K., Gakidou, E., Ghosh,
14	S., Giovannucci, E., Gmel, G., Graham, K., Grainger, R., Grant, B., Gunnell, D., Gutierrez, H.R.,
15	Hall, W., Hoek, H.W., Hogan, A., Hosgood, H.D., Hoy, D., Hu, H., Hubbell, B.J., Hutchings, S.J.,
16	Ibeanusi, S.E., Jacklyn, G.L., Jasrasaria, R., Jonas, J.B., Kan, H., Kanis, J.A., Kassebaum, N.,
17	Kawakami, N., Khang, YH., Khatibzadeh, S., Khoo, JP., Kok, C., Laden, F., Lalloo, R., Lan, Q.,
18	Lathlean, T., Leasher, J.L., Leigh, J., Li, Y., Lin, J.K., Lipshultz, S.E., London, S., Lozano, R., Lu,
19	Y., Mak, J., Malekzadeh, R., Mallinger, L., Marcenes, W., March, L., Marks, R., Martin, R.,
20	McGale, P., McGrath, J., Mehta, S., Memish, Z.A., Mensah, G.A., Merriman, T.R., Micha, R.,
21	Michaud, C., Mishra, V., Hanafiah, K.M., Mokdad, A.A., Morawska, L., Mozaffarian, D., Murphy,
22	T., Naghavi, M., Neal, B., Nelson, P.K., Nolla, J.M., Norman, R., Olives, C., Omer, S.B., Orchard,
23	J., Osborne, R., Ostro, B., Page, A., Pandey, K.D., Parry, C.D.H., Passmore, E., Patra, J., Pearce, N.,
24	Pelizzari, P.M., Petzold, M., Phillips, M.R., Pope, D., Pope, C.A., Powles, J., Rao, M., Razavi, H.,
25	Rehfuess, E.A., Rehm, J.T., Ritz, B., Rivara, F.P., Roberts, T., Robinson, C., Rodriguez-Portales,
26	J.A., Romieu, I., Room, R., Rosenfeld, L.C., Roy, A., Rushton, L., Salomon, J.A., Sampson, U.,

1	Sanchez-Riera, L., Sanman, E., Sapkota, A., Seedat, S., Shi, P., Shield, K., Shivakoti, R., Singh,
2	G.M., Sleet, D.A., Smith, E., Smith, K.R., Stapelberg, N.J.C., Steenland, K., Stöckl, H., Stovner,
3	L.J., Straif, K., Straney, L., Thurston, G.D., Tran, J.H., Van Dingenen, R., van Donkelaar, A.,
4	Veerman, J.L., Vijayakumar, L., Weintraub, R., Weissman, M.M., White, R.A., Whiteford, H.,
5	Wiersma, S.T., Wilkinson, J.D., Williams, H.C., Williams, W., Wilson, N., Woolf, A.D., Yip, P.,
6	Zielinski, J.M., Lopez, A.D., Murray, C.J.L., Ezzati, M., 2012. A comparative risk assessment of
7	burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions,
8	1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. The Lancet 380,
9	2224-2260. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61766-8
10	Lin, H., Ratnapradipa, K., Wang, X., Zhang, Y., Xu, Y., Yao, Z., Dong, G., Liu, T., Clark, J., Dick, R.,
11	Xiao, J., Zeng, W., Li, X., Qian, Z. (Min), Ma, W., 2017. Hourly peak concentration measuring the
12	PM2.5-mortality association: Results from six cities in the Pearl River Delta study. Atmos Environ
13	161, 27–33. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.04.015
14	Määttänen, N., Terviö, M., 2014. Income distribution and housing prices: An assignment model approach.
15	J Econ Theory 151, 381–410.
16	Maji, K.J., Ye, WF., Arora, M., Shiva Nagendra, S.M., 2018. PM2.5-related health and economic loss
17	assessment for 338 Chinese cities. Environ Int 121, 392–403.
18	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.09.024
19	Manisalidis, I., Stavropoulou, E., Stavropoulos, A., Bezirtzoglou, E., 2020. Environmental and health
20	impacts of air pollution: a review. Front Public Health 8, 14.
21	Marmot, M., 2020. Health equity in England: the Marmot review 10 years on. Bmj 368.
22	Martin, R. V, Brauer, M., van Donkelaar, A., Shaddick, G., Narain, U., Dey, S., 2019. No one knows
23	which city has the highest concentration of fine particulate matter. Atmos Environ X 3, 100040.
24	Mirkatouli, J., Samadi, R., Hosseini, A., 2018. Evaluating and analysis of socio-economic variables on
25	land and housing prices in Mashhad, Iran. Sustain Cities Soc 41, 695–705.

1	Molitor, J., Su, J.G., Molitor, NT., Rubio, V.G., Richardson, S., Hastie, D., Morello-Frosch, R., Jerrett,
2	M., 2011. Identifying vulnerable populations through an examination of the association between
3	multipollutant profiles and poverty. Environ Sci Technol 45, 7754–7760.
4	Morris, E.A., 2019. Do cities or suburbs offer higher quality of life? Intrametropolitan location, activity
5	patterns, access, and subjective well-being. Cities 89, 228-242.
6	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2019.02.012
7	Munir, M.M., Adrian, M., Saputra, C., Lestari, P., 2022. Utilizing low-cost mobile monitoring to estimate
8	the PM2. 5 inhaled dose in urban environment. Aerosol Air Qual Res 22, 220079.
9	OECD, 2014. The Cost of Air Pollution. OECD. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264210448-en
10	Orellano, P., Reynoso, J., Quaranta, N., Bardach, A., Ciapponi, A., 2020. Short-term exposure to
11	particulate matter (PM10 and PM2. 5), nitrogen dioxide (NO2), and ozone (O3) and all-cause and
12	cause-specific mortality: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Int 142, 105876.
13	Organization, W.H., 2015. World report on ageing and health. World Health Organization.
14	Pan, J., Wang, H., 2022. Analysis on the Development of Rural Small and Medium Enterprises and New
15	Urbanization. China's Reform and New Urbanization 99–115.
16	Pascal, M., Corso, M., Chanel, O., Declercq, C., Badaloni, C., Cesaroni, G., Henschel, S., Meister, K.,
17	Haluza, D., Martin-Olmedo, P., 2013. Assessing the public health impacts of urban air pollution in
18	25 European cities: results of the Aphekom project. Science of the Total Environment 449, 390-400.
19	Pisoni, E., Dominguez-Torreiro, M., Thunis, P., 2022. Inequality in exposure to air pollutants: A new
20	perspective. Environ Res 212, 113358. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.113358
21	Pisoni, E., Thunis, P., De Meij, A., Wilson, J., Bessagnet, B., Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Belis, C.A., Van
22	Dingenen, R., 2023. Modelling the air quality benefits of EU climate mitigation policies using two
23	different PM2.5-related health impact methodologies. Environ Int 172, 107760.
24	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2023.107760

1	Qiu, Z., Xu, X., Song, J., Luo, Y., Zhao, R., Zhou, B.X.W., Li, X., Hao, Y., 2017. Pedestrian exposure to
2	traffic PM on different types of urban roads: A case study of Xi'an, China. Sustain Cities Soc 32,
3	475-485. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2017.04.007
4	Romero, H., Vásquez, A., Fuentes, C., Salgado, M., Schmidt, A., Banzhaf, E., 2012. Assessing urban
5	environmental segregation (UES). The case of Santiago de Chile. Ecol Indic 23, 76-87.
6	Rowangould, G.M., 2013. A census of the US near-roadway population: Public health and environmental
7	justice considerations. Transp Res D Transp Environ 25, 59–67.
8	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2013.08.003
9	Sharghi, A., Nourtaghani, A., Ramzanpour, M., Gorji, R.B., 2022. Low-income housing location based on
10	affordable criteria Using AHP Model and GIS Technique (Case Study: Babolsar City). Environ Dev
11	Sustain 24, 10337–10377.
12	Stampfer, O., Austin, E., Ganuelas, T., Fiander, T., Seto, E., Karr, C.J., 2020. Use of low-cost PM
13	monitors and a multi-wavelength aethalometer to characterize PM2. 5 in the Yakama Nation
14	reservation. Atmos Environ 224, 117292.
15	Sun, C., Kahn, M.E., Zheng, S., 2017. Self-protection investment exacerbates air pollution exposure
16	inequality in urban China. Ecological economics 131, 468–474.
17	Sun, X., Zhang, R., Wang, G., 2022. Spatial-temporal evolution of health impact and economic loss upon
18	exposure to PM2. 5 in China. Int J Environ Res Public Health 19, 1922.
19	Tao, Y., Chai, Y., Zhang, X., Yang, J., Kwan, MP., 2021. Mobility-based environmental justice:
20	Understanding housing disparity in real-time exposure to air pollution and momentary psychological
21	stress in Beijing, China. Soc Sci Med 287, 114372.
22	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.114372
23	Targino, A.C., Gibson, M.D., Krecl, P., Rodrigues, M.V.C., dos Santos, M.M., de Paula Corrêa, M.,
24	2016. Hotspots of black carbon and PM2.5 in an urban area and relationships to traffic
25	characteristics. Environmental Pollution 218, 475–486.
26	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.07.027

1	Tonne, C., Milà, C., Fecht, D., Alvarez, M., Gulliver, J., Smith, J., Beevers, S., Anderson, H.R., Kelly, F.,
2	2018. Socioeconomic and ethnic inequalities in exposure to air and noise pollution in London.
3	Environ Int 115, 170–179.
4	United Nations, 2019. The sustainable development goals report 2019, The Sustainable Development
5	Goals Report 2016.
6	US, E., 2019. Integrated science assessment (ISA) for particulate matter (final report, Dec 2019). US
7	Environ. Prot. Agency.
8	Vodonos, A., Awad, Y.A., Schwartz, J., 2018. The concentration-response between long-term PM2. 5
9	exposure and mortality; A meta-regression approach. Environ Res 166, 677-689.
10	Wang, B., Eum, KD., Kazemiparkouhi, F., Li, C., Manjourides, J., Pavlu, V., Suh, H., 2020. The impact
11	of long-term PM 2.5 exposure on specific causes of death: Exposure-response curves and effect
12	modification among 53 million US Medicare beneficiaries. Environmental Health 19, 1–12.
13	Wang, J., Zhang, L., Niu, X., Liu, Z., 2020. Effects of PM2.5 on health and economic loss: Evidence
14	from Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region of China. J Clean Prod 257, 120605.
15	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120605
16	Wang, L., Wu, Y., Wang, Y., Yu, L., Song, G., 2024. Modeling Urban-Rural health disparities using a
17	Taxi-Based mobile atmospheric monitoring system. Transp Res D Transp Environ 129, 104152.
18	Wen, B., Ademi, Z., Wu, Y., Xu, R., Yu, P., Ye, T., Coêlho, M. de S.Z.S., Saldiva, P.H.N., Guo, Y., Li,
19	S., 2024. Ambient PM2.5 and productivity-adjusted life years lost in Brazil: a national population-
20	based study. J Hazard Mater 467, 133676.
21	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2024.133676
22	WHO, 2021. WHO global air quality guidelines: particulate matter (PM2. 5 and PM10), ozone, nitrogen
23	dioxide, sulfur dioxide and carbon monoxide. World Health Organization.
24	WHO, 2016. Ambient air pollution: A global assessment of exposure and burden of disease.
25	WHO, 2006. WHO air quality guidelines for particulate matter, ozone, nitrogen dioxide and sulfur
26	dioxide: Global assessment 2005: summary of risk assessment.

1	Wu, Y., Wang, L., Wang, Y., Song, G., Yu, L., 2023. Health effects of exposure to particulate matter and
2	physical activity by individual sustainable commuting modes. J Clean Prod 397, 136524.
3	Wu, Y., Wang, Y., Wang, L., Song, G., Gao, J., Yu, L., 2020. Application of a taxi-based mobile
4	atmospheric monitoring system in Cangzhou, China. Transp Res D Transp Environ 86, 102449.
5	Yang, S., Fang, D., Chen, B., 2019. Human health impact and economic effect for PM2. 5 exposure in
6	typical cities. Appl Energy 249, 316–325.
7	Yang, Y., Qi, J., Ruan, Z., Yin, P., Zhang, S., Liu, J., Liu, Y., Li, R., Wang, L., Lin, H., 2020. Changes in
8	life expectancy of respiratory diseases from attaining daily PM2. 5 standard in China: a nationwide
9	observational study. The Innovation 1.
10	Yin, H., Pizzol, M., Xu, L., 2017. External costs of PM2.5 pollution in Beijing, China: Uncertainty
11	analysis of multiple health impacts and costs. Environmental Pollution 226, 356–369.
12	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.02.029
13	Yu, Y.T., Xiang, S., Zhang, T., You, Y., Si, S., Zhang, S., Wu, Y., 2022. Evaluation of City-Scale
14	Disparities in PM2. 5 Exposure Using Hyper-Localized Taxi-Based Mobile Monitoring. Environ Sci
15	Technol 56, 13584–13594.
16	Zeng, X., Ruan, J., Li, K., Yu, C., Wang, Q., Ruan, F., 2024. Health impact and economic loss assessment
17	of indoor PM2.5 pollution: A case during the COVID-19 lockdown period. Atmos Pollut Res 15,
18	101963. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2023.101963
19	Zhang, B., Wu, B., Liu, J., 2020. PM2.5 pollution-related health effects and willingness to pay for
20	improved air quality: Evidence from China's prefecture-level cities. J Clean Prod 273, 122876.
21	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122876
22	Zhang, M., Song, Y., Cai, X., Zhou, J., 2008. Economic assessment of the health effects related to
23	particulate matter pollution in 111 Chinese cities by using economic burden of disease analysis. J
24	Environ Manage 88, 947–954. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2007.04.019
25	