



This is a repository copy of *Effectiveness of a symptom-clinic intervention delivered by general practitioners with an extended role for people with multiple and persistent physical symptoms in England: the Multiple Symptoms Study 3 pragmatic, multicentre, parallel-group, individually randomised controlled trial.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/225560/>

Version: Published Version

---

**Article:**

Burton, C., Mooney, C., Sutton, L. et al. (16 more authors) (2025) Effectiveness of a symptom-clinic intervention delivered by general practitioners with an extended role for people with multiple and persistent physical symptoms in England: the Multiple Symptoms Study 3 pragmatic, multicentre, parallel-group, individually randomised controlled trial. Health and Social Care Delivery Research. ISSN 2755-0060

<https://doi.org/10.3310/wygw4673>

---

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

# Effectiveness of a symptom-clinic intervention delivered by general practitioners with an extended role for people with multiple and persistent physical symptoms in England: the Multiple Symptoms Study 3 pragmatic, multicentre, parallel-group, individually randomised controlled trial

This page provides information about a publication describing research funded by the Health and Social Care Delivery Research programme under award number 15/136/07, which has been published in a third-party journal. For information about copyright and reproduction of the original publication, please see the publisher's website.

## Publication

Burton C, Mooney C, Sutton L, White D, Dawson J, Neilson AR, *et al.* Effectiveness of a symptom-clinic intervention delivered by general practitioners with an extended role for people with multiple and persistent physical symptoms in England: the Multiple Symptoms Study 3 pragmatic, multicentre, parallel-group, individually randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet* 2024;403:2619–29. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(24\)00700-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00700-1)

## Abstract

### Background

People with multiple and persistent physical symptoms have impaired quality of life and poor experiences of health care. We aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of a community-based symptom-clinic intervention in people with multiple and persistent physical symptoms, hypothesising that this symptoms clinic plus usual care would be superior to usual care only.

### Methods

The Multiple Symptoms Study 3 was a pragmatic, multicentre, parallel-group, individually randomised controlled trial conducted in 108 general practices in the UK National Health Service in four regions of England between Dec 6, 2018, and June 30, 2023. Participants were individually randomised (1:1) to the symptom-clinic intervention plus usual care or to usual care only via a computer-generated, pseudo-random list stratified by trial centre. Allocation was done by the trial statistician and concealed with a centralised, web-based randomisation system; masking participants was not possible due to the nature of the intervention. The symptom-clinic intervention was a sequence of up to four medical consultations that aimed to elicit a detailed clinical history, fully hear and validate the participant, offer rational explanations for symptoms, and assist the participant to develop ways of managing their symptoms; it was delivered by general practitioners with an extended role. The primary outcome was Patient Health Questionnaire-15 (PHQ-15) score 52 weeks after randomisation, analysed by intention to treat. The trial is registered on the ISRCTN registry (ISRCTN57050216).

### Findings

354 participants were randomly assigned; 178 (50%) were assigned to receive the community-based symptoms clinic plus usual care and 176 (50%) were assigned to receive usual care only. At the primary-outcome point of 52 weeks, PHQ-15 scores were 14.1 (SD 3.7) in the group receiving usual care and 12.2 (4.5) in the group receiving the intervention. The adjusted between-group difference of  $-1.82$  (95% CI  $-2.67$  to  $-0.97$ ) was statistically significantly in favour of the intervention group ( $p < 0.0001$ ). There were 39 adverse events in the group receiving usual care and 36 adverse events in the group receiving the intervention. There were no statistically significant between-group differences

in the proportion of participants who had non-serious adverse events ( $-0.03$ , 95% CI  $-0.11$  to  $0.05$ ) or serious adverse events ( $0.02$ ,  $-0.02$  to  $0.07$ ). No serious adverse event was deemed to be related to the trial intervention.

### **Interpretation**

Our symptom-clinic intervention, which focused on explaining persistent symptoms to participants in order to support self-management, led to sustained improvement in multiple and persistent physical symptoms.

### **Funding**

This publication was funded by the Health and Social Care Delivery Research programme as a part of award number 15/136/07.

This article reports on one component of the research award Multiple Symptoms Study 3: pragmatic trial of a community based clinic for patients with persistent (medically unexplained) physical symptoms. For more information about this research please view the award page [<https://fundingawards.nihr.ac.uk/award/15/136/07>]

### **DOI**

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(24\)00700-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00700-1)