



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Synergistic inactivation effect of ultrasound and nano-emulsified basil essential oil on the metabolic responses of Salmonella on sprouts*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/225555/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Liu, Z., Sun, P., He, X. et al. (7 more authors) (2025) Synergistic inactivation effect of ultrasound and nano-emulsified basil essential oil on the metabolic responses of Salmonella on sprouts. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 431. 111082. ISSN 0168-1605

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2025.111082>

---

This is an author produced version of an article accepted for publication in *International Journal of Food Microbiology* made available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

**Synergistic inactivation effect of ultrasound and nano-emulsified basil essential  
oil on the metabolic responses of *Salmonella* on sprouts**

Zifei Liu<sup>a</sup>, Peiwen Sun<sup>a</sup>, Xichen He<sup>a</sup>, Dingsong Lin<sup>a</sup>, Lijing Ke<sup>b</sup>, Chao Shi<sup>c</sup>,  
Hongshun Yang<sup>d</sup>, Zejia Lin<sup>a\*</sup>, Lin Chen<sup>e\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Science, National University  
of Singapore, Singapore, 117543, Singapore*

<sup>b</sup> *School of Food Science and Nutrition, Faculty of Environment, University of Leeds,  
Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK College of Food Science and Engineering,*

<sup>c</sup> *College of Food Science and Engineering, Northwest A&F University, Yangling,  
Shaanxi 712100, China*

<sup>d</sup> *College of Light Industry and Food Sciences, Zhongkai University of Agriculture and  
Engineering, Guangzhou, 510225, China*

<sup>e</sup> *School of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, Nanyang  
Technological University, Singapore, 637459, Singapore*

\*Corresponding author:

\* Zejia Lin, email address: [e0669601@u.nus.edu](mailto:e0669601@u.nus.edu)

\* Lin Chen, email address: [chen.lin@ntu.edu.sg](mailto:chen.lin@ntu.edu.sg)

## Abstract

This study investigated the effectiveness and mechanisms of ultrasound, nano-emulsified basil essential oil, and their combination (NBEO\_US) in inactivating *Salmonella enterica* cells laid over pea sprouts. The results demonstrated that the combined treatment was more effective than individual treatments in inactivating the target. This led to a decrease of 4.5 to 5.1 log CFU/g. Transmission electron microscopy showed that NBEO\_US exacerbates the disruption of the bacteria morphology. Additionally, the leakage of cell constituents (proteins and nucleotide) demonstrated that NBEO\_US disrupted the structural integrity of *S. enterica* cells. In addition, the metabolomics analysis using <sup>1</sup>H NMR showed that NBEO\_US had a detrimental effect on energy and amino acid metabolism in bacterial cells, specifically affecting glycolysis and amino acid production. Also, NBEO\_US affected the Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas pathway in *S. enterica* cells by decreasing the activity of hexokinase, phosphofructokinase, and pyruvate kinase. Finally, the application of NBEO\_US resulted in a substantial ( $P < 0.05$ ) increase in the hardness of the treated pea sprouts while simultaneously decreasing their lightness. The present investigation illustrates the synergistic antibacterial mechanism of ultrasound and nano-emulsified basil essential oil against *S. enterica* strains using sprouts as a food model. Understanding the microbiological changes in metabolic pathways resulting from this combined treatment might enable the optimization of sanitization strategies by specifically targeting vulnerable metabolic pathways. This, in turn, promotes the safer production of fresh produce.

**Keywords:** Foodborne pathogen; antibacterial mechanism; NMR, metabolomics, fresh produce

## 1. Introduction

*Salmonella* is among the most significant and prevalent foodborne pathogens globally (Bajpai et al., 2012). It incites disease in humans and food-producing animals through an array of virulence factors controlled by over 200 pathogenic genes (Marcus et al., 2000; Sheng et al., 2020). According to the 2021 data from the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), salmonellosis is the second most common bacterial foodborne infection in Europe, with an estimated 60, 050 cases occurring annually (Naushad et al., 2023). In recent years, the rise in consumption of fresh agricultural products has resulted in increased outbreaks associated with contaminated fresh agricultural products. Among resolved foodborne outbreaks, pea sprouts have been identified as a high-risk vector for transmitting *Salmonella*, which has been implicated as a primary source in 64 investigated outbreaks (Bhandare et al., 2024; Chahar et al., 2023). Based on the high prevalence and severity of *Salmonella* contamination in sprout products, the sprout industry urgently requires effective disinfection measures targeting *Salmonella* to ensure safety.

Synthetic food preservatives have been applied as antiseptics for sprouts due to their strong antioxidant and inactivation effect on microorganisms (Li et al., 2022). However, residual amounts of synthetic chemical compounds and aqueous solutions in the sprouts are potential risks to food safety. The naturally extracted plant-derived essential oils (EOs) are generally recognized as safe (GRAS) and provide antibacterial activity through secondary metabolites of terpenoids and phenolic compounds (FDA, 2013). As a broad-spectrum antimicrobial agent, basil essential oil (BEO) can effectively inhibit *Salmonella* spp., *Listeria* spp., and other foodborne pathogens (Meenu et al., 2023).

70 However, EOs can be limited by the higher costs compared with synthetic compounds  
71 and the need for high concentrations to achieve antimicrobial effects. Consequently, the  
72 primary focus regarding EOs revolves around advancing technologies to enhance their  
73 antibacterial efficacy (Cho et al., 2020). In emerging food technology, the advent of  
74 nano-sized EO emulsions, characterized by their precise minuteness at the nanoscale,  
75 signifies a transformative breakthrough. The diminutive particle size enhanced stability  
76 and solubility, ensuring optimal dispersal in food media. Recent studies found that  
77 nano-emulsified *Thymus daenensis* essential oil exhibited superior disinfection  
78 capabilities to traditional EOs (Moghimi et al., 2016).

79  
80 Previous studies have shown that ultrasound (US) combined with essential oil nano-  
81 emulsion can improve antibacterial application. Ultrasound is a widely recognized non-  
82 thermal technique applied to inactivate microorganisms (Carstens et al., 2019).  
83 Sonoporation involves the application of Ultrasound waves, which exert mechanical  
84 forces on the cell membrane, leading to alterations in its permeability and enhancing  
85 the efflux of intracellular amino acids and peptides (Erriu et al., 2014; Wang et al.,  
86 2021). Ultrasound waves above 20 kHz penetrate substances into cells, creating many  
87 gas bubbles in a liquid phase (Lauteri et al., 2023). When the bubbles cannot be retained  
88 in the solution, they condense and create strong shock waves, shear force, and  
89 mechanical force, disrupting the bacteria's membrane (Firouz et al., 2023). However,  
90 singly utilizing the Ultrasound as an activation method might reveal limited  
91 effectiveness; combining the Ultrasound with other sterilizing technologies has been  
92 proven to be a successful bactericidal strategy (Millan-Sango et al., 2016). Recent  
93 studies have shown that Ultrasound, combined with different treatments for bacteria  
94 inactivation, can achieve a better antibacterial effect (Yang et al., 2023; Yoon et al.,

2021). However, research on Ultrasound and BEO nano-emulsions and their combined antibacterial effect against *Salmonella* inoculated onto sprouts has not been reported.

This study investigated the antibacterial effects of nano-emulsified basil essential oils (NBEO), Ultrasound, and their combination treatment (NBEO\_US) on the *S. enterica* strains inoculated onto pea sprouts. The impact of three treatment groups on the physicochemical properties of the pea sprouts was also investigated. Specifically, the study differentiated the variances among different treatment methods and elucidated the antibacterial mechanisms at each type of metabolic level.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1 Bacterial preparation

*Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Enterica (ATCC 13076), *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium (ATCC 14028), and *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Newport (ATCC 6962) were obtained from the Department of Food Science and Technology, National University of Singapore. Each *S. enterica* strain was cultured in 30 mL Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB, Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) and incubated overnight at 37 °C. Cell pellets were collected by centrifuged at 8,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C and washed twice with 0.1% peptone water (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Ultimately, the pellets were resuspended in 200 µL of 0.1% peptone water for subsequent inoculation of pea sprouts. Also, the bacteria were resuspended for further analysis.

### 2.2 BEO composition analysis via GC-MS

Basil (*Ocimum basilicum*) essential oil (BEO) was purchased from NOW Foods

(Bloomington, Illinois). The compositions of BEO were measured using gas chromatograph 7890A equipped with a 7200C mass spectrometer (Agilent Scientific Instruments, California, USA) (Moghimi et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2023). BEO was filtered and diluted in n-hexane at a ratio of 1: 200. The conditions of GC were as follows: HP-5MS column (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm); carrier gas: Helium (99.999% purity); flow rate: 1mL/min; split ratio 1:20; inlet temperature: 250 °C; temperature program: 65 °C isothermal for 3 min, 5 °C/min ramp to 280 °C and isothermal for 30 min. A 70-eV potential was set for the electron ionization mode to ionize particles with high-energy electrons. Using the peak area shown in GC results, the relative contents of the detected components were determined.

### 2.3 Preparation and characterization of nano-emulsified basil essential oil

For nano-emulsion preparation according to a previous study with some modifications (Hai et al., 2022). An aqueous mixture of BEO (5% w/v), Tween 80 (0.3% w/v), and corn oil (0.5% w/v) was homogenized at 8,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C to produce a coarse nano-emulsion. The coarse nano-emulsion was treated with an ultrasound crusher (Scientz-II D; Ningbo Scientz, Zhejiang, China) at high power (20 kHz, 500 W) for 9 min with on and off pulse mode every 2 s. The characteristics of the oil droplet in NBEO were measured using a ζ-potential cum Nano Particlesizer (Brookhaven Instruments, New York, USA). The droplet size, ζ potential, and polydispersity index (PDI) of NBEO were monitored for 90 d at 25 °C (Salvia-Trujillo et al., 2013).

### 2.4 Inoculation on pea sprouts

Fresh pea sprouts were purchased from FairPrice, Singapore, which were dried after rinsing the surface with peptone water (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, Mo., U.S.A.). Each

portion of sprout samples was  $10.0 \pm 0.3$  g. To sterilize the surface of the pea sprouts, all samples were laid flat on the surface and irradiated with UV light on both the front and back sides for 30 min (Guo et al., 2022; Hadjok et al., 2008). After that, samples (10 g) without inoculation were suspended in 90 mL of peptone water (0.1%) and plated on Xylose lysine deoxycholate (XLD) agar (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) to ensure no survival of naturally contaminating *Salmonella*. Each sample was inoculated with an individual *Salmonella* strain by aseptically depositing the concentrated cell suspension (200  $\mu$ L) which was prepared in Section 2.1 at 15 random locations. Then the samples were subjected to a 3 h drying process within a laminar flow biosafety cabinet. The inoculated population of *Salmonella* was verified by suspending 10 g of inoculated samples into 90 mL of peptone water (0.1%) and plating on XLD. The initial level of *Salmonella* in all samples was ensured to be approximately 8 log CFU/g.

## 2.5 Sanitising treatments on pea sprouts

The washing method was based on the previous studies with appropriate modifications (Wang et al., 2022). The inoculated samples (10 g) were assigned to four treatments: Control (100 mL DI water), Ultrasound (100 mL DI water, 241 W/cm<sup>2</sup>), NBEO (100 mL, 0.5 mg/mL NBEO), and NBEO\_US (100 mL NBEO, 241 W/cm<sup>2</sup>). Ultrasound treatment was performed with a 6 mm diameter ultrasonic probe, the probe was immersed at least 2 cm below the liquid surface, avoided contact with pea sprouts, and the power parameter was set at 241 W/cm<sup>2</sup> with on and off pulse mode every 5 s (Gul et al., 2018). For NBEO\_US treatment, samples immersed in NBEO were subjected to sonication using previous parameters. During processing, all samples were immersed in various solutions for a duration of 10 min to guarantee the complete sanitization effectiveness of the treatments. Subsequently, the samples were gently rinsed with



phosphate-buffered saline (0.2 mol/L, pH 7.5) in order to neutralize any remaining NBEO. The verification of successful neutralization was achieved by evaluating the pH of the final wash solution. Following 20 min dehydration period in the laminar flow biosafety cabinet, each sample was transferred to a sterile stomacher bag containing 90 mL of 0.1% sterile peptone water and homogenized in stomacher (IUL Instruments, Germany) for 120 s. Then, 100  $\mu$ L of the treated samples were spread on XLD plates and incubated at 37 °C for 48 h.

## 2.6 Determination of intracellular materials leakage

The membrane integrity was evaluated according to the concentrations of cell constituents in the supernatant after being treated with different treatments. The test was designed based on Hai et al. (2022) with modifications. Briefly, the bacteria suspension of each *S. enterica* strain was centrifuged, washed based on the method of 2.1, and resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline buffer. Where the concentration of bacteria cells was 8 log CFU/mL to obtain enough leakage contents. After four treatments: Control (DI water), Ultrasound (241 W/cm<sup>2</sup>), NBEO (0.5 mg/mL), and NBEO\_US (0.5 mg/mL NBEO, 241 W/cm<sup>2</sup>), each group of *S. enterica* suspension was centrifuged at (8,000  $\times$  g, 10 min, 4 °C) to obtain supernatant samples. The absorbance at 260 nm for the supernatants from four treatment groups was measured using an UV-Vis spectrophotometer (UV-2600, Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan). In order to quantify protein leakage, 100  $\mu$ L of supernatant was mixed with an equal amount of Bradford reagent and incubated for 5 min. Then the absorbance at 595 nm was measured using a Plate reader (Agilent Scientific Instruments, California, USA). Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) (Sigma-Aldrich, Singapore) was employed as standard.

## 2.7 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis of *S. enterica*

TEM observations were carried out to investigate the ultrastructure alterations of the effect of Ultrasound, NBEO, and NBEO\_US on the integrity of *S. enterica* cells. Samples were prepared based on 2.6, then centrifuged for 5 min at 4 °C at 12,000 × g, and collected precipitation was fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 16 h at 37 °C. The samples were then exposed to the copper mesh for 5 min. The copper mesh was dyed with 4.5% (w/w) phosphotungstic acid for 5 min, dried, and analysed using TEM (JEM-3010, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan).

## 2.8 Extraction of metabolites in *Salmonella*

The metabolites of *S. enterica* were extracted according to a previous study (Lin et al., 2024) and divided into Control, Ultrasound, NBEO, and NBEO\_US groups. Inoculated pea sprouts (200 g) were used in each group for metabolic analysis. Following the treatment of the pea sprouts, the solutions were promptly collected and centrifuged at 8,000 × g for 1 min at 4 °C to remove pea sprout debris. *S. enterica* cells pellets were harvested from the suspensions by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 15 min (4 °C). The pellets were mixed with 1 mL of ice-cold methanol-d<sub>4</sub> (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Tewksbury, MA, USA) and immediately frozen the samples using liquid nitrogen (three cycles of freeze-thawing) to breakdown the cell membrane. The metabolites in ice-cold methanol-d<sub>4</sub> were stored overnight (−20 °C), and the supernatant obtained after centrifugation (12,000 × g, 20 min, 4 °C) was subsequently used for NMR analysis (Lin, Wang, et al., 2022). Trimethylsilyl propanoic acid was added to the supernatant as an internal reference at a final concentration of 1 mM. The mixture was vortexed thoroughly, and 600 µL was subsequently transferred to an NMR tube for further analysis. Metabolite's extraction of different groups was prepared in

triplicate.

## 2.9 Analysis of metabolites using <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy

The samples were analyzed using a Bruker DRX-500 NMR spectrometer (Bruker, Rheinstetten, Germany) equipped with a Triple Inverse Gradient probe (Huang et al., 2022). The standard Bruker NOESY pulse sequence was used to obtain the <sup>1</sup>H spectrum with a width of 10 ppm. The acquisition time was set at 3.3 s, with a relaxation delay of 2 s, and the temperature was maintained at 25 °C. The obtained spectra of Control, Ultrasound, NBEO, and NBEO\_US groups were processed using Mestre-nova (Mestrelab SL, Santiago, Spain) to produce the organized database to analyze multiple variables. The qualitative and quantitative analyses of metabolites were performed using the Chenomx NMR suite software (Chenomx Inc., Canada).

## 2.10 Activity of enzymes analysis in the Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas (EMP) pathway

After treating pea sprouts, different treatment solutions were collected and centrifuged respectively based on the method of section 2.8. The *S. enterica* cells pellets' enzyme activity of the hexokinase (HK), pyruvate kinase (PK), and phosphofructokinase (PFK) were measured using the HK, PK, and PFK assay kits (Keming Biotechnology, Jiangsu, China).

## 2.11 Color and texture analysis of sprouts

Pea sprout leaves were evaluated for color changes using a Minolta Colorimeter CM-3500d (Konica Minolta, Tokyo, Japan). The samples' color values were independently replicated three times for the Control, Ultrasound, NBEO, and NBEO\_US groups (Del-

Valle et al., 2005; Kasputis et al., 2024). Measuring the firmness of the upper leaves of pea sprouts involves assessing the tissue's resistance when subjected to a cutting force. Position the fixture with the prepared pea sprout sample under the texture analyzer's probe (TA-XT2i, Stable Micro System, Surrey, UK) fitted with a knife blade (TA-42). The maximum peak force was recorded as firmness (Li et al., 2018).

## 2.12 Statistical analysis

All measurements were conducted in triplicate independently. Experimental data were analyzed statistically using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) by SPSS software (version 26.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). The significance of the difference was defined at  $P < 0.05$ .

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1 Composition of BEO

Table 1 lists the primary BEO compounds that were identified by GC-MS. Estragole constitutes about 97.62% of the overall component, which was discovered to be the predominant component in BEO. Estragole is a common compound found in several aromatic plants (Da Costa et al., 2021), which has been discovered to possess a significant antibacterial effect against *Pseudomonas syringae* (Song et al., 2016). Other minor compounds such as eucalyptol (1,8-cineole) and linalool are also reported to be antibacterial against *Salmonella* (Prakash et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2018).

### 3.2 Characteristics of basil nano-emulsions

This study measured droplet size, PDI, and  $\zeta$  potential to assess three important physical properties of the emulsion, which can significantly affect the stability of colloidal

systems. The nano-emulsion exhibited an average droplet size ranging from 198.14 – 228.35 nm, as reported in Table 2, indicating a well-defined particle size distribution. Moreover, the PDI value indicates the width of the droplet size distribution (Liu et al., 2023). Additionally, the PDI value is a measure of the breadth of the droplet size distribution, ranging from 0 to 1. The closer the PDI value is to 1, the broader the droplet size distribution (Liu et al., 2023). From Table 2, the PDI values of BEO under a range of 0.149 to 0.252 were all below 0.30, indicating excellent emulsion stability (Pérez-Córdoba et al., 2018). Additionally, the  $\zeta$  potential of -24.779 – 33.906 mV suggested low stability of the nano-emulsion. All these characteristics remained relatively unchanged during the 90-day storage period, confirming the outstanding physical stability of these nano-emulsions during storage at 25°C for 90 days.

### 3.3 Antibacterial effect of different treatments against *S. enterica*

The antibacterial effects on three strains of *S. enterica* were assessed by analyzing the survival of populations on XLD plates following different treatment conditions. Ultrasound, NBEO, and NBEO\_US treatments effectively reduced the population of *S. enterica* on pea sprouts. Three treatments were reduced by approximately 0.71 – 5.35 log CFU/g on three *S. enterica* strains (Table 3). The Ultrasound treatment exhibited a relatively mild bacteriostatic effect (0.71 – 1.18 log CFU/g), while the NBEO treatment showed a slight increase compared to the Ultrasound treated group (1.04 – 1.35 log CFU/g). However, when compared with the Ultrasound and NBEO treatment alone, the reduction of *S. enterica* was significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the NBEO\_US treatment (4.35 – 5.35 log CFU/g). The results indicated that *S. Newport* (ATCC 6962) was the most vulnerable to NBEO\_US after comparing with other strains, reduced by 4.91 – 5.35 log CFU/g. Conversely, the *S. Typhimurium* (ATCC 14028) experienced the least

decrease. Overall, the combined use of ultrasound and NBEO should better antibacterial effects at lower concentrations and shorter treatment times compared to treatment alone. Additionally, in order to elucidate the fundamental reasons for the significant differences in various treatments, it would be beneficial to investigate the metabolic responses and bactericidal mechanisms of *S. enterica* during different treatment approaches.

### 3.4 Changes in intracellular materials leakage of *S. enterica*

Ultrasound and NBEO treatments can damage the cell membrane of *S. enterica*, causing cytoplasm constituent leakage. This phenomenon can be interpreted by the occurrence of protein and nucleic acid leakage (Hollander & Yaron, 2021). As shown in Fig. 1A, the Control group detected a relatively low protein concentration (0.29 – 2.86 µg/mL). The concentration showed a significant increase ( $P < 0.05$ ) after three strains of *S. enterica* were treated with Ultrasound (6.96 – 9.23 µg/mL), NBEO (16.56 – 18.83 µg/mL) and reached peak concentration when combining these two methods (22.27 – 23.70 µg/mL). Combine treatment demonstrated excellent antibacterial effectiveness against three strains of *S. enterica*. The leakage of nucleic acid was indicated by the absorbance under 260 nm (Moghimi et al., 2016). As shown in Fig. 1B, the results and increasing trends are similar to protein leakage. Although low absorbance was detected in the Control group, the absorbance significantly increased after being treated with Ultrasound (0.297 – 0.367 of OD<sub>260</sub>), NBEO (1.029 – 1.194 of OD<sub>260</sub>), and NBEO\_US (1.313 – 1.661 of OD<sub>260</sub>) treatments ( $P < 0.05$ ). Intracellular content leakage results provide strong evidence suggesting that NBEO\_US can disrupt the cell membrane of *S. enterica* and combining these two treatments could be more effective. The results also indicate that NBEO is more advantageous than Ultrasound in disrupting cell

membranes under current treatment conditions. Overall, NBEO\_US may target the cell membrane and induce the loss of intracellular macromolecular contents by disrupting the membrane integrity, which results in cell death.

### 3.5 Morphological changes of *S. enterica*

The morphological changes in *S. enterica* cells were observed by TEM. For *S. Newport* ATCC 6962, the cells of the control group (Fig. 2A1) were smooth and full, with no obvious wrinkles, complete surface, and regular morphology, and the cells were in a typical short rod shape. In the NBEO treatment group (Fig. 2A3), the cells' surface had a small wrinkling, and the cells were able to retain their fundamental form of a short rod. When the cells were treated by the Ultrasound treatment alone (Fig. 2A2), it can be observed that the cells had obvious cavitation characteristics, and some cells were broken inward (Lin, Chen, et al., 2022). For NBEO\_US treatment (Fig. 2A4), most of the cells were broken, there were traces of a large number of cell contents leaking and escaping around them, and the cell morphology and structure were severely damaged (Srivastava et al., 2021). Moreover, the TEM images of *S. Enteritidis* ATCC 13076 after treatments (Fig. 2B1-B4) showed that the cells could not maintain the basic standard rod shape after Ultrasound and NBEO treatments, the cell morphology was the most severely injured after the combined treatment of NBEO\_US, which is similar to the TEM images of *S. Typhimurium* ATCC 14028 after treatments (Fig. 2C1-C4). In this study, TEM analysis visually demonstrated that cells experienced varying degrees of damage following different treatments. These observations clearly confirmed that the application of ultrasound and NBEO resulted in significant physical damage to *S. enterica* cells, primarily through disruption of the cell wall and disintegration of internal cellular structures, ultimately leading to the release of cellular contents and accelerating

*S. enterica* cells death and disintegration.

### 3.6 Discriminative metabolites change and pathways perturbation upon various treatments

To achieve a comprehensive understanding of *Salmonella*'s response to different treatments, pairwise comparisons of the acquired metabolic profiles of the Control, Ultrasound, NBEO, and NBEO\_US groups were performed using the OPLS-DA model. In this model,  $R^2Y$  represents the quality factor, and  $Q^2$  represents the predictor factor. Both factors values of all comparison groups (Ultrasound vs. Control:  $R^2Y = 0.980$ ,  $Q^2 = 0.913$ ; NBEO vs. Control:  $R^2Y = 0.849$ ,  $Q^2 = 0.671$ ; NBEO\_US vs. Control:  $R^2Y = 0.932$ ,  $Q^2 = 0.754$ ) exceeded 0.5, suggesting that our models exhibited exceptional interpretability and reliability (Chen et al., 2020). In addition, the OPLS-DA score plot for each pair clearly showed substantial disparities (Fig. S1), suggesting that the antimicrobial effects of NBEO and Ultrasound treatment were prominent at the metabolic level (Zhang et al., 2021). The variable importance for projection (VIP) is often used to identify possible discriminative metabolites in OPLS-DA models (Li et al., 2021). In our work, metabolites with a VIP value greater than 1 were considered the most important variables in the analysis, shown in Fig. 3A1-C1. Based on these screened metabolites, the pathway analysis was conducted to show how three treatments affected the *S. enterica* strains based on the KEGG database (Fig. 3A2-C2).

Overall, 15, 31, and 33 pathways were predicted for *S. enterica* in the Ultrasound, NBEO, and NBEO\_US groups, respectively. Specifically, for *S. enterica* under Ultrasound treatment, arginine, proline metabolism, and tyrosine metabolism were the most influential pathways (Fig. 3A2). For *S. enterica* under the NBEO treatment,



aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis, propanoate metabolism, and amino acid metabolism (i.e., tyrosine metabolism and proline metabolism) were significantly altered (Fig. 3B2). Lastly, for *S. enterica* under the NBEO\_US treatment, the alternative pathways showed significant similarities to the NBEO groups, including aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis, amino acid metabolism, glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, pyruvate metabolism, and ABC transporters metabolism. These similarities were seen to varying degrees between these two groups. (Fig. 3C2). Overall, it was discovered that most pathways impacted by NBEO\_US treatment belong to the amino acid biosynthesis-related processes and the sugar metabolism pathways.

A schematic model illustrating the antimicrobial mechanisms of the Ultrasound and NBEO was proposed based on the metabolic analysis results (Fig. 4). On the one hand, the antibacterial function of ultrasound is primarily attributed to the cavitation effect, which is induced by shock waves. This process can disrupt the structure of *Salmonella* by rupturing cavitation bubbles (Zupanc et al., 2019). Furthermore, the accumulation of free radicals produced by water hydrolysis during the implosion process can oxidize functional molecules containing reactive residues (Zhao et al., 2022). Unsurprisingly, when subjected to Ultrasound treatment, the breakdown of amino acids surpassed their synthesis. This may be related to the fact that amino acid anabolism is particularly susceptible to adverse stressors, such as mechanical force, heat, or drying (Lin et al., 2024). Moreover, among the three strains, the depletion of some essential amino acids is significantly substantial (Fig. S2), possibly due to the formation of Universal Stress Proteins (USP). These proteins can aid bacterial cells in overcoming external pressures and adapting to environmental conditions, including hypoxia and oxidative stress (Miliute et al., 2015).

On the other hand, the lipophilic properties of EO facilitate its diffusion and interaction with components within the cell (Kim et al., 2016). Increased membrane permeability may result in the efflux of cytoplasmic contents, such as  $K^+$ , which could subsequently impact ATP production (Giorgi et al., 2018). Consequently, this leads to a growth inhibition pattern and further disruption of intracellular metabolic flux (Mackenzie et al., 2020). Furthermore, significantly higher contents of lactate, allantoin, catechol, arginine, caprate, alanine, acetamide, isovalerate, guanidinoacetate, alloisoleucine, and anthranilate, etc., were recorded upon EO treatment. This could be due to the need to eliminate denatured and aggregated proteins, which inevitably occur after being treated with NBEO or NBEO\_US, to maintain cellular homeostasis (Nikoo et al., 2016). Hence, unnecessary proteins are degraded by proteases into reusable amino acids (Ciechanover, 2005). Additionally, amino acids such as proline, acting as protective elements of the cell structure, are upregulated in their biosynthesis (Vettore et al., 2021).

### **3.7 Changes in the key enzyme of the EMP pathway**

Analysis of the NMR results revealed that the NBEO\_US treatment significantly affected pathways associated with glycolysis. Key enzymes in the EMP pathway were subsequently investigated. As shown in Fig. 5, the EMP pathway is a significant respiratory and metabolic pathway in most bacteria. HK, PFK, and PK are three important enzymes influencing the EMP pathway (He et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2019). Fig. 5 showed that the Control group's enzyme activities remained stable or increased, and all three enzyme activities showed significant reduction ( $P < 0.05$ ) following different treatments with the highest pronounced reduction recognized in the combined treatment group. These results suggested that the inhibition ability of NBEO and

Ultrasound against *S. enterica* respiratory metabolism can be perceived by the change of these enzymes. For the HK enzyme, after 6 h, the Control group increased from 2.209 to 2.609 pmol/min/10<sup>4</sup> cells. At the same time, there was a significant decrease for all other treatments (Fig. 5A). In addition, PFK exhibited resistance to NBEO and NBEO\_US, where the control treatment increased by 12.286 pmol/min/10<sup>4</sup> cells after 6 h of incubation. In contrast, the treatments with NBEO and NBEO\_US decreased by 10.078 and 15.272 pmol/min/10<sup>4</sup> cells, respectively (Fig. 5B). At the same time, PK enzyme showed significant resistance to the treatment groups, after 6 h treated, the Control, Ultrasound, NBEO, NBEO\_US groups reduced by 0.197, 0.906, 1.149, and 1.371 pmol/min/10<sup>4</sup> cells, respectively (Fig. 5C). In summary, there is a drastic decline in the trends of the three enzymes, HK, PFK, and PK, which consistent with those in Fig. 6 for *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Newport*. This suggests that by disrupting the EMP pathway, NBEO\_US may effectively suppress *S. enterica*'s respiratory metabolism.

### 3.8 Color analysis and firmness measurement

Antibacterial compounds may cause dehydration, discoloration, softening, and other visual defects in products (Lucera et al., 2012). Therefore, their potential positive or negative impacts on the physical properties of the product should also be considered.

The physical properties of pea sprouts before and after the treatments were determined and compared in Table 4. The results showed that in terms of color parameters, only *L*\* of the Ultrasound group is significantly different from other groups. However, the difference was a small margin, and the  $\Delta E^*$  indicates no significant difference between groups regarding color, which is consistent with our previous research (Chen et al., 2022). In terms of firmness, after sanitizing treatments, the firmness of the sprouts increased. The NBEO and NBEO\_US groups showed the highest firmness, followed

by the Ultrasound and Control groups, which might be because NBEO reduced the firmness decrease (Zambrano-Zaragoza et al., 2014). The overall color and firmness properties of pea sprouts were consistent with the expected results. The treated samples maintained characteristics close to the untreated ones, with the effects on total color difference and firmness of the pea sprouts remaining within acceptable limits.

#### 4. Conclusion

The bactericidal effect and potential mechanism of Ultrasound, NBEO, and NBEO\_US treatments on three *S. enterica* strains inoculated onto pea sprouts were manifested in this study. The results demonstrated that NBEO\_US treatment significantly inhibited the growth of three *S. enterica* strains, compromised bacterial cell membrane integrity, induced the leakage of intracellular contents, and preserved the hardness of pea sprouts. Fluctuations in marker metabolites and pathway changes reveal that glycolysis and protein synthesis are affected in *S. enterica*. Furthermore, NBEO\_US could also influence the EMP pathway of *S. enterica* by reducing the activity of three key enzymes (PFK, HK, and PK). Overall, this study offers a novel, environmentally friendly, and sustainable technique to prevent pathogens and provide great potential for application in the preservation of fruits and vegetables.

#### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National University of Singapore, Faculty of Science,

470 Food Science and Technology Department (E-160-00-0007-02).

471

472

## References

- 473 Bajpai, V. K., Baek, K. H., & Kang, S. C. (2012). Control of *Salmonella* in foods by  
474 using essential oils: A review. *Food Research International*, 45(2), 722-734.  
475 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2011.04.052>
- 476 Bhandare, S., Lawal, O. U., Colavecchio, A., Cadieux, B., Zahirovich-Jovich, Y., Zhong,  
477 Z., Tompkins, E., Amitrano, M., Kukavica-Ibrulj, I., Boyle, B., Wang, S.,  
478 Levesque, R. C., Delaquis, P., Danyluk, M., & Goodridge, L. (2024). Genomic  
479 and Phenotypic Analysis of *Salmonella enterica* Bacteriophages Identifies Two  
480 Novel Phage Species. *Microorganisms*, 12(4).
- 481 Carstens, C. K., Salazar, J. K., & Darkoh, C. (2019). Multistate outbreaks of foodborne  
482 illness in the United States associated with fresh produce from 2010 to 2017.  
483 *Frontiers in microbiology*, 10, 2667. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02667>
- 484 Chahar, M., Gollop, R., Kroupitski, Y., Shemesh, M., & Saldinger, S. S. (2023). Control  
485 of *Salmonella* in mung bean sprouts by antagonistic spore-forming Bacilli.  
486 *Food control*, 143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2022.109276>
- 487 Chen, L.-p., Zhang, H.-w., Zhang, X.-m., Zhang, F., Xue, C.-h., Xue, Y., Tang, Q.-j., &  
488 Li, Z.-j. (2020). Identification of potential peptide markers for the shelf-life of  
489 Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*) during anhydrous preservation via mass  
490 spectrometry-based peptidomics. *LWT*, 134, 109922.
- 491 Chen, L., Liu, Q., Zhao, X., Zhang, H. F., Pang, X. Y., & Yang, H. S. (2022).  
492 Inactivation efficacies of lactic acid and mild heat treatments against  
493 *Escherichia coli* strains in organic broccoli sprouts. *Food control*, 133, Article  
494 108577. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.108577>
- 495 Cho, T. J., Park, S. M., Yu, H., Seo, G. H., Kim, H. W., Kim, S. A., & Rhee, M. S.

496 (2020). Recent Advances in the Application of Antibacterial Complexes Using  
 497 Essential Oils. *Molecules*, 25(7), 1752. [https://www.mdpi.com/1420-](https://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/25/7/1752)  
 498 [3049/25/7/1752](https://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/25/7/1752)  
 499 Ciechanover, A. (2005). Proteolysis: from the lysosome to ubiquitin and the proteasome.  
 500 *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 6(1), 79-86.  
 501 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm1552>  
 502 Da Costa, D., Exbrayat-Héritier, C., Rambaud, B., Megy, S., Terreux, R., Verrier, B., &  
 503 Primard, C. (2021). Surface charge modulation of rifampicin-loaded PLA  
 504 nanoparticles to improve antibiotic delivery in *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms.  
 505 *Journal of Nanobiotechnology*, 19, 1-17. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-020-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-020-00760-w)  
 506 [00760-w](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-020-00760-w)  
 507 Del-Valle, V., Hernandez-Munoz, P., Guarda, A., & Galotto, M. J. (2005). Development  
 508 of a cactus-mucilage edible coating (*Opuntia ficus indica*) and its application to  
 509 extend strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa*) shelf-life. *Food Chemistry*, 91(4), 751-  
 510 756. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.07.002>  
 511 Erriu, M., Blus, C., Szmukler-Moncler, S., Buogo, S., Levi, R., Barbato, G.,  
 512 Madonnaripa, D., Denotti, G., Piras, V., & Orru, G. (2014). Microbial biofilm  
 513 modulation by ultrasound: Current concepts and controversies. *Ultrasonics*  
 514 *Sonochemistry*, 21(1), 15-22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2013.05.011>  
 515 FDA. (2013). *Direct food substances affirmed as generally recognized as safe*. .  
 516 [https://www.fda.gov/food/food-ingredients-packaging/generally-recognized-](https://www.fda.gov/food/food-ingredients-packaging/generally-recognized-safe-gras)  
 517 [safe-gras](https://www.fda.gov/food/food-ingredients-packaging/generally-recognized-safe-gras)  
 518 Firouz, M. S., Sardari, H., Soofiabadi, M., & Hosseinpour, S. (2023). Ultrasound  
 519 assisted processing of milk: Advances and challenges. *Journal of Food Process*  
 520 *Engineering*, 46(6). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpe.14173>

Giorgi, C., Marchi, S., & Pinton, P. (2018). The machineries, regulation and cellular functions of mitochondrial calcium. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 19(11), 713-730. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-018-0052-8>

Gul, O., Saricaoglu, F. T., Besir, A., Atalar, I., & Yazici, F. (2018). Effect of ultrasound treatment on the properties of nano-emulsion films obtained from hazelnut meal protein and clove essential oil. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, 41, 466-474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2017.10.011>

Guo, C., He, Y., Wang, Y., & Yang, H. (2022). NMR-based metabolomic investigation on antimicrobial mechanism of *Salmonella* on cucumber slices treated with organic acids. *Food Control*, 137, 108973. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2022.108973>

Hadjok, C., Mittal, G., & Warriner, K. (2008). Inactivation of human pathogens and spoilage bacteria on the surface and internalized within fresh produce by using a combination of ultraviolet light and hydrogen peroxide. *Journal of applied microbiology*, 104(4), 1014-1024.

Hai, Y., Zhou, D., Lam, Y. L. N., Li, X., Chen, G., Bi, J., Lou, X., Chen, L., & Yang, H. (2022). Nanoemulsified clove essential oils-based edible coating controls *Pseudomonas* spp.-causing spoilage of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fillets: Working mechanism and bacteria metabolic responses. *Food Research International*, 159, 111594.

He, Q., Guo, M., Jin, T. Z., Arabi, S. A., & Liu, D. (2021). Ultrasound improves the decontamination effect of thyme essential oil nanoemulsions against *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 on cherry tomatoes. *International journal of food microbiology*, 337, 108936. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2020.108936>

Hollander, A., & Yaron, S. (2021). Pore-forming treatments induce aggregation of



546 *Salmonella* Senftenberg through protein leakage. *Food Microbiology*, 96,  
547 103721. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2020.103721>

548 Huang, S., Lim, S. Y., Lau, H., Ni, W. Z., & Li, S. F. Y. (2022). Effect of glycinebetaine  
549 on metabolite profiles of cold-stored strawberry revealed by 1H NMR-based  
550 metabolomics. *Food Chemistry*, 393, Article 133452.  
551 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.133452>

552 Kasputis, T., Hosmer, K. E., He, Y., & Chen, J. (2024). Ensuring food safety:  
553 Microfluidic-based approaches for the detection of food contaminants.  
554 *Analytical Science Advances*, n/a(n/a), e202400003.  
555 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/ansa.202400003>

556 Kim, H. E., Grant, A. R., Simic, M. S., Kohnz, R. A., Nomura, D. K., Durieux, J., Riera,  
557 C. E., Sanchez, M., Kapernick, E., Wolff, S., & Dillin, A. (2016). Lipid  
558 Biosynthesis Coordinates a Mitochondrial-to-Cytosolic Stress Response. *Cell*,  
559 166(6), 1539-+. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.027>

560 Lauteri, C., Ferri, G., Piccinini, A., Pennisi, L., & Vergara, A. (2023). Ultrasound  
561 Technology as Inactivation Method for Foodborne Pathogens: A Review. *Foods*,  
562 12(6), Article 1212. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12061212>

563 Li, J. H., Zhang, M. Q., Chang, C. H., Gu, L. P., Peng, N., Su, Y. J., & Yang, Y. J. (2018).  
564 Molecular forces and gelling properties of heat-set whole chicken egg protein  
565 gel as affected by NaCl or pH. *Food Chemistry*, 261, 36-41.  
566 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.03.079>

567 Li, X., Tu, Z. C., Sha, X. M., Ye, Y. H., & Li, Z. Y. (2022). Flavor, antimicrobial activity  
568 and physical properties of gelatin film incorporated with of ginger essential oil.  
569 *Journal of Food Science and Technology-Mysore*, 59(2), 815-824.  
570 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-021-05080-x>

571 Li, Y., Liang, L., Xu, C., Yang, T., & Wang, Y. (2021). UPLC-Q-TOF/MS-based  
 572 untargeted metabolomics for discrimination of navel oranges from different  
 573 geographical origins of China. *LWT*, 137, 110382.  
 574 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.110382>

575 Lin, L., Gu, Y., Li, C., Vittayapadung, S., & Cui, H. (2018). Antibacterial mechanism  
 576 of  $\epsilon$ -Poly-lysine against *Listeria monocytogenes* and its application on cheese.  
 577 *Food Control*, 91, 76-84.  
 578 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2018.03.025>

579 Lin, Z., Chen, T., Zhou, L., & Yang, H. (2022). Effect of chlorine sanitizer on metabolic  
 580 responses of *Escherichia coli* biofilms “big six” during cross-contamination  
 581 from abiotic surface to sponge cake. *Food Research International*, 157, 111361.

582 Lin, Z., Jiang, S., Zwe, Y. H., Zhang, K., & Li, D. (2024). Glycogen plays a key role in  
 583 survival of *Salmonella Typhimurium* on dry surfaces and in low-moisture foods.  
 584 *Food Research International*, 175, 113714.  
 585 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2023.113714>

586 Lin, Z., Wang, G., Li, S., Zhou, L., & Yang, H. (2022). Dual-Species Biofilms Formed  
 587 by *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* Enhance Chlorine Tolerance. *Applied and*  
 588 *Environmental Microbiology*, 88(22), e01482-01422.  
 589 <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.01482-22>

590 Liu, Y., Kai, Y., & Yang, H. (2023). Biodegradable fish gelatin/chitosan-based active  
 591 films alter chill-stored golden pomfret (*Trachinotus blochii*) metabolites mainly  
 592 through modulating four metabolic pathways. *Food Packaging and Shelf Life*,  
 593 36, 101046. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2023.101046>

594 Lucera, A., Costa, C., Conte, A., & Del Nobile, M. A. (2012). Food applications of  
 595 natural antimicrobial compounds. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 3, 287.

596 Mackenzie, J. S., Lamprecht, D. A., Asmal, R., Adamson, J. H., Borah, K., Beste, D. J.  
 597 V., Lee, B. S., Pethe, K., Rousseau, S., Krieger, I., Sacchettini, J. C., Glasgow,  
 598 J. N., & Steyn, A. J. C. (2020). Bedaquiline reprograms central metabolism to  
 599 reveal glycolytic vulnerability in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Nature*  
 600 *Communications*, 11(1), Article 6092. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19959-4)  
 601 [19959-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19959-4)  
 602 Marcus, S. L., Brumell, J. H., Pfeifer, C. G., & Finlay, B. B. (2000). *Salmonella*  
 603 pathogenicity islands: big virulence in small packages. *Microbes and Infection*.  
 604 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1286-4579\(00\)00273-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1286-4579(00)00273-2)  
 605 Meenu, M., Padhan, B., Patel, M., Patel, R., & Xu, B. (2023). Antibacterial activity of  
 606 essential oils from different parts of plants against *Salmonella* and *Listeria* spp.  
 607 *Food Chemistry*, 404, 134723.  
 608 Miliute, I., Buzaitė, O., Baniulis, D., & Stanys, V. (2015). Bacterial endophytes in  
 609 agricultural crops and their role in stress tolerance: a review. *Zemdirbyste-*  
 610 *Agriculture*, 102(4), 465-478. <https://doi.org/10.13080/z-a.2015.102.060>  
 611 Millan-Sango, D., Garroni, E., Farrugia, C., Van Impe, J. F., & Valdramidis, V. (2016).  
 612 Determination of the efficacy of ultrasound combined with essential oils on the  
 613 decontamination of *Salmonella* inoculated lettuce leaves. *LWT*, 73, 80-87.  
 614 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2016.05.039>  
 615 Moghimi, R., Ghaderi, L., Rafati, H., Aliahmadi, A., & McClements, D. J. (2016).  
 616 Superior antibacterial activity of nanoemulsion of *Thymus daenensis* essential  
 617 oil against *E-coli*. *Food Chemistry*, 194, 410-415.  
 618 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.07.139>  
 619 Naushad, S., Ogunremi, D., & Huang, H. (2023). *Salmonella*: A Brief Review.  
 620 *Salmonella-Perspectives for Low-Cost Prevention, Control and Treatment*.

621 Nikoo, M., Benjakul, S., & Rahmanifarah, K. (2016). Hydrolysates from marine  
 622 sources as cryoprotective substances in seafoods and seafood products. *Trends*  
 623 *in Food Science & Technology*, 57, 40-51.  
 624 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2016.09.001>

625 Pérez-Córdoba, L. J., Norton, I. T., Batchelor, H. K., Gkatzionis, K., Spyropoulos, F.,  
 626 & Sobral, P. J. (2018). Physico-chemical, antimicrobial and antioxidant  
 627 properties of gelatin-chitosan based films loaded with nanoemulsions  
 628 encapsulating active compounds. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 79, 544-559.  
 629 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2017.12.012>

630 Prakash, A., Vadivel, V., Rubini, D., & Nithyanand, P. (2019). Antibacterial and  
 631 antibiofilm activities of linalool nanoemulsions against *Salmonella*  
 632 *Typhimurium*. *Food Bioscience*, 28, 57-65.  
 633 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbio.2019.01.018>

634 Salvia-Trujillo, L., Rojas-Grau, M. A., Soliva-Fortuny, R., & Martin-Belloso, O. (2013).  
 635 Effect of processing parameters on physicochemical characteristics of  
 636 microfluidized lemongrass essential oil-alginate nanoemulsions. *Food*  
 637 *Hydrocolloids*, 30(1), 401-407. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2012.07.004>

638 Sheng, L., Zhang, Z., Sun, G., & Wang, L. (2020). Light-driven antimicrobial activities  
 639 of vitamin K3 against *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and  
 640 *Salmonella Enteritidis*. *Food Control*, 114, 107235.  
 641 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2020.107235>

642 Song, Y.-R., Choi, M.-S., Choi, G.-W., Park, I.-K., & Oh, C.-S. (2016). Antibacterial  
 643 activity of cinnamaldehyde and estragole extracted from plant essential oils  
 644 against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* causing bacterial canker disease  
 645 in kiwifruit. *The plant pathology journal*, 32(4), 363.

646 <https://doi.org/10.5423/PPJ.NT.01.2016.0006>

647 Srivastava, S., Singh, S., Saraf, S. A., Chourasia, M. K., Mathew, J., & Pandey, A. C.

648 (2021). Encapsulation of Baicalein in Cinnamon Essential Oil Nanoemulsion

649 for Enhanced Anticancer Efficacy Against MDA-MB-231 Cells.

650 *Bionanoscience*, 11(4), 1049-1060. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s12668-021-00900-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12668-021-00900-y)

651 [y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12668-021-00900-y)

652 Sun, Y., Cai, X., Cao, J., Wu, Z., & Pan, D. (2018). Effects of 1, 8-cineole on

653 carbohydrate metabolism related cell structure changes of *Salmonella*. *Frontiers*

654 *in microbiology*, 9, 1078. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01078>

655 Vettore, L. A., Westbrook, R. L., & Tennant, D. A. (2021). Proline metabolism and

656 redox; maintaining a balance in health and disease. *Amino Acids*, 53(12), 1779-

657 1788. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-021-03051-2>

658 Wang, W., Sang, Y., Liu, J., Liang, X., Guo, S., Liu, L., Yuan, Q., Xing, C., Pan, S., &

659 Wang, L. (2021). Identification of novel monoclonal antibodies targeting the

660 outer membrane protein C and lipopolysaccharides for *Escherichia coli*

661 O157:H7 detection. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 130(4), 1245-1258.

662 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.14849>

663 Wang, Y., Gao, X., & Yang, H. (2022). Integrated metabolomics of “big six”

664 *Escherichia coli* on pea sprouts to organic acid treatments. *Food Research*

665 *International*, 157, 111354.

666 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2022.111354>

667 Yang, H., Song, L. Y., Sun, P. W., Su, R. Y., Wang, S. Q., Cheng, S., Zhan, X. J., Lu, X.,

668 Xia, X. D., & Shi, C. (2023). Synergistic bactericidal effect of ultrasound

669 combined with citral nanoemulsion on *Salmonella* and its application in the

670 preservation of purple kale. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, 92, Article 106269.

671 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2022.106269>

672 Yang, Y. D., Li, M., Zhang, Z., & Peng, B. Z. (2019). Correlation analysis of key  
 673 enzyme activities and aroma compounds during fermentation of simulated juice  
 674 system with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Lwt-Food Science and Technology*, 108,  
 675 214-220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.03.072>

676 Yoon, J. H., Jeong, D., Lee, S. B., & Kim, S. R. (2021). Control of *Listeria*  
 677 *monocytogenes* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in enoki mushrooms  
 678 (*Flammulina velutipes*) by combined treatments with organic acids, nisin, and  
 679 ultrasound. *Food control*, 129, Article 108204.  
 680 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.108204>

681 Zambrano-Zaragoza, M. L., Mercado-Silva, E., Del Real, L. A., Gutierrez-Cortez, E.,  
 682 Cornejo-Villegas, M. A., & Quintanar-Guerrero, D. (2014). The effect of nano-  
 683 coatings with alpha-tocopherol and xanthan gum on shelf-life and browning  
 684 index of fresh-cut "Red Delicious" apples. *Innovative Food Science &*  
 685 *Emerging Technologies*, 22, 188-196.  
 686 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2013.09.008>

687 Zhang, H., Liu, J., Wen, R., Chen, Q., & Kong, B. (2021). Metabolomics profiling  
 688 reveals defense strategies of *Pediococcus pentosaceus* R1 isolated from Harbin  
 689 dry sausages under oxidative stress. *LWT*, 135, 110041.  
 690 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.110041>

691 Zhang, J., Yang, J., Duval, C., Edin, M. L., Williams, A., Lei, L., Tu, M., Pourmand, E.,  
 692 Song, R., Graves, J. P., DeGraff, L. M., Wong, J. J. L., Wang, Y., Sun, Q.,  
 693 Sanidad, K. Z., Wong, S., Han, Y., Zhang, Z., Lee, K. S. S., . . . Zhang, G. (2023).  
 694 CYP eicosanoid pathway mediates colon cancer-promoting effects of dietary  
 695 linoleic acid. *The FASEB Journal*, 37(7), e23009.

696 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.202300786R>

697 Zhao, L., Poh, C. N., Wu, J. Y., Zhao, X., He, Y., & Yang, H. S. (2022). Effects of  
 698 electrolysed water combined with ultrasound on inactivation kinetics and  
 699 metabolite profiles of *Escherichia coli* biofilms on food contact surface.  
 700 *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, 76, Article 102917.  
 701 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2022.102917>

702 Zupanc, M., Pandur, Z., Perdih, T. S., Stopar, D., Petkovsek, M., & Dular, M. (2019).  
 703 Effects of cavitation on different microorganisms: The current understanding of  
 704 the mechanisms taking place behind the phenomenon. A review and proposals  
 705 for further research. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, 57, 147-165.  
 706 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2019.05.009>

## Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Protein (A) and nucleic acid (B) leakage of *S. enterica* cells under different antibacterial treatments: Control (CK), Ultrasound (US), nano-emulsified basil essential oil (NBEO), Combine (NBEO\_US). (Mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3)

Fig. 2. TEM images of *S. enterica* cells under different antibacterial treatments: Control (CK), Ultrasound (US), nano-emulsified basil essential oil (NBEO), Combine (NBEO\_US). The TEM images of *S. Newport* ATCC 6962 after treatments with Control (A1); Ultrasound (A2); NBEO (A3); and NBEO\_US (A4). The TEM images of *S. Enteritidis* ATCC 13076 after treatments with Control (B1); Ultrasound (B2); NBEO (B3); and NBEO\_US (B4). The TEM images of *S. Typhimurium* ATCC 14028 after treatments with Control (C1); Ultrasound (C2); NBEO (C3); and NBEO\_US (C4). The duration of action was 10 min for all treatment groups. Magnification = 10,000  $\times$ , bar marker = 500 nm.

Fig. 3. Major metabolites and pathway analysis of *S. enterica* cells under different antibacterial treatments: Control (CK), Ultrasound (US), nano-emulsified basil essential oil (NBEO), Combine (NBEO\_US). Screened metabolites with VIP > 1 of group Control and Ultrasound (A1), Control and NBEO (B1), Control and NBEO\_US (C1); Overview of the pathway analysis of group Control and Ultrasound (A2), Control and NBEO (B2), Control and NBEO\_US (C2).

Fig. 4. Proposed schematic of mechanisms of action about nano-emulsified basil essential oils and ultrasound on *Salmonella*.



Fig. 5. Landscape of Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas pathway (Referenced with Lin et al. (2018)). The activities of hexokinase (HK), phosphofructokinase (PFK), and pyruvate kinase (PK) of *S. Enteritidis* under different antibacterial treatments: Control (CK), Ultrasound (US), nano-emulsified basil essential oil (NBEO), Combine (NBEO\_US). (A) HK in *S. Enteritidis*; (B) PFK in *S. Enteritidis*; (C) PK in *S. Enteritidis*. The data are represented in mean  $\pm$  SD of three replicates.

Fig. 6. The activities of hexokinase (HK), phosphofructokinase (PFK), and pyruvate kinase (PK) of *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Newport* under different sanitizing treatments: Control (CK), Ultrasound (US), nano-emulsified basil essential oil (NBEO), Combine (NBEO\_US). (A1) HK in *S. Typhimurium*; (A2) HK in *S. Newport*; (B1) PFK in *S. Typhimurium*; (B2) PFK in *S. Newport*; (C1) PK in *S. Typhimurium*; (C2) PK in *S. Newport*. The data are represented in mean  $\pm$  SD of three replicates. Mean values labeled with different lowercase letters are significantly different from the others in same time ( $P < 0.05$ ).