

This is a repository copy of A comparison of strategies used by lecturers to improve students' perceived feelings towards statistics – a study that's Stat-tastic!.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/225416/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Riach, A.C. orcid.org/0000-0001-7703-5605, Shaker, A.J., Marshall, E. et al. (2 more authors) (Accepted: 2025) A comparison of strategies used by lecturers to improve students' perceived feelings towards statistics – a study that's Stat-tastic! Teaching Statistics. ISSN 0141-982X (In Press)

This is an author produced version of an article, accepted for publication in Teaching Statistics, made available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

- **Title: A comparison of strategies used by lecturers to improve**
- 2 students' perceived feelings towards statistics a study that's
- 3 Stat-tastic!
- 4
- 5 Authors: Riach, A. C.¹, Shaker, A.J.², Marshall, E.³, Binkowski, K. P.⁴, Kay, W. P.⁵
- 6
- ⁷ ¹School of Biology, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK
- ⁸ ²Department of Mathematics and Physical Sciences, La Trobe University, Plenty Rd,
- 9 Bundoora, 3086 Australia
- ³Sigma Centre, Coventry University, Priory Street, Coventry, CV1 5FB, UK
- ⁴School of Mathematics and Physical Sciences, Balaclava Rd, North Ryde, NSW 2109,
- 12 Australia
- ¹³ ⁵School of Biosciences, Museum Ave, Cardiff, CF10 3AX, UK
- 14
- 15
- 16 Running head: Strategies, feelings towards statistics
- 17
- 18 Keywords: Teaching Statistics, lecturer qualities, anxiety,
- 19
- 20
- 21 Abstract
- 22 One way of addressing anxiety in students studying statistics as a non specialist are

dedicated statistics anxiety workshops, but some students are too anxious to attend 23 such sessions. In this multi-university study, lecturers presented content from such a 24 workshop in one slide per session throughout their statistics teaching. This "Stat-tastic 25 thoughts" approach was compared to other strategies, typically used in statistics 26 teaching to address statistics anxiety, by students scoring each strategy for how it 27 affected their feelings towards statistics. While all strategies had a positive effect on 28 feelings, staff approachability, the lecturer's attitude towards the subject, and attempts 29 at humour or fun, consistently scored highest. Our findings suggest that it is these 30 elements of a statistics course that students think statistics educators should 31 concentrate their limited time and energy on to increase their students' positive 32 feelings towards statistics. 33

34

35 **1 INTRODUCTION**

Statistics anxiety, defined by Zeidner [49] as the "extensive worry, intrusive thoughts, mental disorganisation, tension, and physiological arousal when encountering statistical situations", is reported to be one of the most prevalent forms of situational anxiety among students in Higher Education (HE) [36], especially for students engaged in "non-mathematical" disciplines [10]. Students often have misconceptions about statistics – such as its difficulty or relevance [38] – and negative thoughts about their 42 own ability, which contributes to their anxiety. Importantly, students may not recognize

43 that their struggles often stem from their anxiety rather than a lack of ability, nor that

44 many of their peers share similar feelings.

Statistics anxiety is a related but distinct construct from maths anxiety (but see [40]), 45 though many students transfer their anxiety about mathematics to learning statistics 46 [30, 49]. Being statistics- or maths-anxious is detrimental to learning, with students 47 showing higher levels of intrusive thoughts and being less able to regulate their 48 emotions [17]. Moreover, anxiety is linked to motivation, metacognition, and 49 performance [12, 22]; anxious students are therefore more likely to practise avoidance 50 behaviours [32, 2], procrastinate [35], postpone work [22], lack persistence, and are 51 less likely to use deep learning strategies or self-regulate their learning [12, 35]. These 52 behaviours can contribute to a negative feedback loop of adverse learning, leading to 53 poor performance, which increases anxiety further. When pre-occupied by worry, 54 working memory capacity is reduced [2, 48], making learning more challenging. 55 Reducing statistics anxiety is therefore essential to empowering students to 56 self-regulate their emotions and improving their own learning, ultimately improving 57 both student experience and attainment. 58

Mitigating statistics anxiety is a multifaceted challenge that requires addressing both 59 the physiological and emotional responses that interfere with cognitive processes. 60 Encouragingly, interventions that focus on emotional regulation, cognitive reappraisal, 61 and tackling negative beliefs about statistical abilities have shown promise in 62 reducing the impact of anxiety [33, 44]. For example, cognitive reappraisal training – 63 such as tackling the negative belief that you need to have "a mathematical brain" or 64 previous maths qualifications to study statistics [38, 2] – has been linked to improved 65 emotional control and a more accurate perception of statistical challenges, which can 66 lead to long-term reductions in anxiety [44, 23, 33]. 67

Beyond cognitive reappraisal training, statistics lecturers have a multitude of tangible 68 strategies that they can use to mitigate statistics anxiety [4], each addressing a 69 different facet of the student experience. These include breaking complex material 70 into smaller, more manageable segments; using practical-based assessments; and 71 fostering cooperative learning environments between staff and students [28, 47]. 72 Other strategies, like incorporating real-world examples and offering regular 73 low-stakes quizzes, aim to engage students while also alleviating their fears [20, 29, 74 37, 19]. Likewise, methods such as reflective journaling, utilising virtual discussion 75 boards, and providing platforms to ask questions and receive immediate feedback, 76 have been employed to give students the opportunity to express and process their 77 anxieties [7]. 78

An effective, holistic strategy to reduce anxiety is fostering a positive and supportive learning environment. Students are more likely to attempt learning statistics when the classroom atmosphere is engaging, enjoyable, and collaborative [45]. Instructors who use both verbal and non-verbal immediacy behaviours — such as providing positive

feedback and being approachable – can further reduce student apprehension, 83 promote a sense of belonging, and improved students' perceived quality of learning 84 [46, 6, 43, 15]. Indeed, simply smiling in class, engaging in conversation, and praising 85 students have been shown to be effective in promoting student outcomes [46]. 86

The use of humour or fun are commonly used strategies to make statistics feel more 87 accessible (reviewed by [20, 45]). While these have been shown to engage most 88 audiences, they pose the risk of being seen as a distraction by students who are not 89 negatively predisposed towards statistics and who may prefer a more formal focus on 90 the content [27]. Another approach that has returned mixed results is encouraging 91 students to work with peers [1], which has been shown to promote self-efficacy in 92 some, but not all, learners, and especially not for students working within groups 93 where peers are not perceived to be contributing equally [5]. 94

Workshops that directly address statistics anxiety have been used in an attempt to 95 reduce anxiety [24], and have been found to improve student self-efficacy and increase 96 the value that students attribute to statistics [16]. However, research also highlights 97 that students are often too anxious to attend ad-hoc statistics anxiety workshops [24]. 98 One approach to overcome this is to deliver such workshops as a compulsory element 99 of a timetabled course or module. This increases the potential to reach all students 100 who may be anxious about statistics, yet suffers from the limitation that students who 101 may already feel positive about statistics may consider the workshops unnecessary. A 102 compromise is to integrate content from statistics anxiety workshops more 103 seamlessly throughout an otherwise compulsory statistics training course; something 104 that to our knowledge has not previously been attempted. 105

Several studies have recognised the importance of implementing multiple techniques 106 to reduce statistics anxiety [28, 31], but few have evaluated the effectiveness of 107 different teaching strategies employed simultaneously [47, 28]. To our knowledge, no 108 research has yet examined a range of teaching strategies simultaneously and in 109 multiple universities. In this study, we hence address two outstanding research gaps 110 by (i) implementing several statistics-anxiety reducing interventions simultaneously in 111 a multi-cohort study and by (ii) including a novel intervention that integrates content 112 from a statistics anxiety workshop one slide per lecture in a compulsory course. This 113 study offers unique insights into the comparative effectiveness of different strategies, 114 and whether their efficacy is consistent across student cohorts. Our results provide 115 guidance for statistics educators to decide what teaching interventions to implement, 116 or what general approaches to take, to most effectively mitigate statistics anxiety. 117

118

2 METHODS 119

2.1 Respondents 120

Students from four HE institutions took part in the study: two universities from the UK
and two from Australia, with two different cohorts in one of the Australian universities
(La Trobe). Students were mostly first year undergraduates on a broad range of
degrees (Table1).

- 126 Table 1. Characteristics of the student cohorts that the respondents were part of and
- 127 *details of the survey and intervention approach used.*

Institution	Year of study	Degrees	Statistical Software Taught	When were students surveyed	How intervention s were used
Macquarie University	First year undergradu ate and some Masters	Wide spectrum of around 60 different non-statistic al BSc Science majors	Excel	After the last assessment	During live-lectures
La Trobe University (module ran twice giving data from two groups)	First year undergradu ate	Science, Life Science, Health science, Computing	Mostly Jamovi and some R	Four weeks before the final assessment	During a statistics subject called <i>Making</i> <i>Sense of</i> <i>Data</i>
Cardiff University	First year undergradu ate	Biosciences degree; Biological Sciences, Zoology, Biomedical Science, Neuroscien ce, or Biochemistr y	R	After the final taught session (five weeks before assessment deadline)	During the statistics part of a <i>Skills for Science</i> module
University of Leeds	First year undergradu ate	Biology, Genetics, Zoology, Ecology and	SPSS	Five weeks before assessment deadline.	During the statistics part of a <i>Practical</i> <i>Skills and</i>

Conservatio			
n or Natural			
Sciences			

Data Analysis module

128

129 **2.2 Stat-tastic slides and other interventions**

Slides featuring "Stat-tastic Thoughts" (Supplementary material A) were shown to 130 students during lectures (1 per lecture) as part of a typical statistics course or module. 131 To make data collection across different institutions possible, the stat-tastic slide 132 intervention was flexible in terms of exactly which slides and the number of slides that 133 were included in each course. Lecturers used between 7-10 of a possible 10 slides 134 with their cohort, enabling them to use their professional judgement to tailor the use of 135 slides appropriately for their specific cohort and their own personal teaching style. 136 This also accommodated the varying number of lectures taught. We viewed this slight 137 variation among cohorts as a justified trade-off in being able to collect data from 138 multiple cohorts. 139

The content of the "Stat-tastic Thoughts" slides, in brief, included an anonymous poll on how students felt about statistics to demonstrate that individuals were not alone in their feelings or perceptions; a challenge to the myth that someone needs a "maths brain" to do statistics; a description of statistics anxiety and how it encourages students to avoid classes; highlighting that lacking a maths qualification does not prevent you from being good at statistics; the importance of statistics; challenging

146 negative thoughts; encouraging students to ask to help; reference to the growth zone

- 147 model [13]; how anxiety makes it harder for you to engage your brain in learning; and a
- 148 <u>two minute video explaining statistics anxiety</u>.

How effective the slides were in reducing perceptions of statistics anxiety was 149 compared to other interventions or aspects of teaching. As is typical in teaching, the 150 number and specific details of these aspects varied among our universities. However, 151 in using four different settings we hoped to observe a variety of statistics teaching, 152 therefore improving the relevance of our findings. One aspect was how approachable 153 the lecturers or PhD demonstrators were perceived to be. In all universities apart from 154 Macquarie, the attitude that lecturers and demonstrators had towards the subject of 155 statistics was also examined. Other aspects included how fun or humorous the 156 lecturers were during lectures. Since there were varying ways that humour could be 157 included and due to humour being subjective, the questionnaire asked students about 158 "attempts" of the lecturers to be humorous or fun, without referring to specific 159 humorous actions or events. Active learning elements such as the use of interactive 160 polls, or quizzes via platforms like Kahoot or Mentimeter were also evaluated. Quizzes 161 in one university (La Trobe) referred to the use of low-stakes, assessed quizzes. 162

163 The use of discipline-specific examples or datasets to teach statistics – such as 164 examining prevalence of Alzheimer's disease for neuroscientists or biomedical

sciences students - was another intervention considered. Where cohorts were 165 composed of students from varying disciplines, more general examples were chosen 166 in order to be relatable to all students. A related strategy was using data that students 167 had collected themselves, implemented by La Trobe and Leeds. This question was 168 adapted for Macquarie students to evaluate using data in practicals that had been first 169 encountered in the lectures. This strategy was not assessed at Cardiff. Providing 170 students with opportunities to ask questions either during lectures, practicals, or via 171 online forums such as through padlet was evaluated in all universities; in Cardiff this 172 question was split to evaluate both asking questions in person and asking questions 173 via <u>Slack</u>. 174

175

Teaching statistics typically involves practical training using computer software. 176 Hence, in contrast to lectures, we evaluated how students perceived the use of 177 statistical software (Excel, Jamovi, R or SPSS) to affect their statistics anxiety. In 178 practical classes students could work with peers if they wanted to, and this was also 179 evaluated through the questionnaire. At all institutions, additional statistics support 180 outside of taught sessions was offered and promoted to students. These comprised 181 additional drop-in sessions provided by the same lecturer who taught the statistics 182 classes, or a separate university-level service. All students except those at Cardiff 183 were asked about this support in the questionnaire. 184

Alongside the interventions presented herein, Macquarie ran another intervention, a mixture of peer instruction with active learning, to boost students' engagement and

participation in the live lectures [3]. Since it was run alongside this project, it may have
had some effect on students' responses, thus was also considered.

Finally, students at Cardiff only were asked to evaluate the perceived effects of a few additional strategies, namely the inclusion of the lecturer's pets in examples of statistics; anecdotes of the lecturer's own personal journey from loathing to loving statistics; the use of real or simulated data in examples; providing recommendations for directed reading; including relevant statistics-related quotes from famous statisticians, mathematicians or other scientists; and including references to how statistics has been used historically.

196

197 **2.3 Questionnaires**

Questionnaires assessing students' perceptions towards statistics were distributed to students during a lecture or practical class towards the end of the teaching period. Distribution of questionnaires was undertaken by a third party (i.e., blind) to mitigate the potential effects of students responding more positively in the presence of their lecturer(s).

203 While pre- and post-statistics anxiety scales such as STARs [8] are the standard

204 approach to measuring statistics anxiety, we had previously experienced substantial

limitations in sample size when using these lengthy questionnaires. In addition, we did 205 not want to bias our study towards students who are often willing or incentivised to 206 complete such surveys. Therefore, we used a short, novel questionnaire 207 (Supplementary material B) that captured students' perceptions of statistics as a 208 proxy for statistics anxiety in exchange for larger sample sizes and increased 209 statistical power. Similarly, when using pre- and post-intervention surveys, we have 210 experienced low numbers of students completing both especially a drop in the number 211 of students completing the latter. Hence, we surveyed students only once towards the 212 end of their teaching period to prevent survey fatigue. Two questions asked students 213 to indicate their feelings towards statistics at the beginning and the end of the 214 215 teaching period. To mitigate this limitation of relying on student's memory of how they felt about statistics at the beginning of their teaching, the first "Stat-tastic Thought" 216 slide was an anonymous poll of how students felt about statistics using the same 217 wording as the questionnaire. Students were asked to make a note of how they 218 answered this poll on their phone or on paper, so that they could refer back to this 219 when completing the questionnaire at the end of the taught period. 220

Questionnaires were distributed either on paper (Cardiff and Leeds) or online 221 (Macquarie and La Trobe) via Microsoft Forms or REDCap. The first two questions 222 asked students to rate, using a five-point Likert scale, how they remembered feeling 223 about statistics at the start of the module and how they felt now. Respondents were 224 then asked to score each teaching aspect or intervention on how much they thought it 225 had affected their feelings towards statistics, ranging from -5 (negative) to +5 226 (positive). An open text question captured qualitative data on any thoughts that the 227 respondents had on their feelings towards statistics and how any of the strategies 228 employed by the lecturers may have affected them. 229

It is unclear if gender is a mediator of students' perceptions of statistics [41] but since some studies find a clear effect we decided to collect this demographic data via an open text question that allowed students to self-describe their gender. Age has been found to interact with gender to affect statistics anxiety [34], however we did not collect age data as the vast majority of students were of similar age (< 25 years) and thus both the resolution of the age predictor, and the sample size for mature students, would have been insufficient to draw reliable statistical inferences.

237

238 2.4 Quantitative Analysis

We undertook statistical analyses in-line with our pre-registration (<u>osf.io/zbmw2</u>) with some minor adaptations noted below. All analyses were undertaken using R statistical software (Version 4.4.1; R Core Team, 2024) using the RStudio interface (Version 2024.4.2.764; Posit team, 2024).

243 To evaluate any overall shift in the perception of statistics, we first investigated the

association between proportion of respondents' Likert scores (1 = hate statistics, 2 =

dislike statistics, 3 = neutral, 4 = like statistics, 5 = love statistics) and time point (start 245 and end of the course) using Fisher's exact tests. We applied these tests for all 246 cohorts together, and for each cohort separately, using a starting significance 247 threshold of 0.05. We further tested for any change in Likert score using one-sample 248 Wilcoxon tests, where a median difference of 0 would indicate no change. We 249 assessed how the change in pre-versus post-course Likert score was associated with 250 gender and cohort using a General Linear Model (GLM). Only two genders, "man" and 251 "woman", had sufficient sample size to be considered for this analysis. This reduced 252 the overall sample size from 387 to 340 (i.e. by 12%). 253

For each teaching intervention, collectively and for each cohort, one-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were used to test if the scores given (-5 to 5) were significantly different to 0, which would represent no effect on a students' feelings towards statistics. Following the same analytical approach outlined above, we used data from Cardiff to do further exploratory analyses on 9 additional questions that evaluated strategies used only in Cardiff. These strategies were not listed in the pre-registration.

There were no effects of respondent and therefore the inclusion of respondent as a 260 random effect in repeated measures ANOVAs to control for variation among students, 261 was not necessary. The assumptions of ANOVAs outlined in the pre-registration, were 262 not strictly met due to the ordinal nature of the data. In addition, the effect of cohort 263 was significant. Therefore, sensitivity analyses were undertaken to check the ANOVAs 264 and post hoc Tukey test results. This involved ordinal Cumulative Link Models (CLMs) 265 with cohort included as a random effect when modelling all cohorts together. The vast 266 majority of results agreed but the ANOVAs and post hoc Tukey test results were more 267 conservative in that they determined a small number of comparisons (six of the 55 268 comparisons among the 11 strategies) to be not significant when an ordinal model 269 found them to be significant. In light of the ANOVAs being planned in the 270 pre-registration and those results being more conservative, we present the ANOVA and 271 Tukey results here. 272

273 2.5 Qualitative Analysis

Inductive thematic analysis was undertaken to understand why students perceived certain strategies to affect their feelings towards statistics. The analysis was data driven to capture ideas outside of the authors' perceptions. After becoming familiar with the text, codes were assigned to sections of the data that represented key themes. Each of the cohorts were analysed by two authors independently who then agreed on themes by comparing codes.

280

281 **3 RESULTS**

The number of respondents in the different cohorts ranged from 56 to 135 (Table 2).

- 283 As expected, the response rate was low given ethically motivated efforts to ensure
- students did not feel obliged to complete the questionnaire.

Table 2. The number of respondents that filled in a questionnaire on their feelings towards statistics and what teaching strategies they thought had affected their feelings towards the subject while learning statistics on their university course.

Cohort	Man	Woman	Non-binary or gender not given	Total	Number taught statistics	Response rate (%)
Macquarie	30	26	17	73	1135	6
La Trobe 1	20	36	10	66	508	13
La Trobe 2	16	35	6	57	647	9
Cardiff	30	93	12	135	475	28
Leeds	20	34	2	56	129	43
Total	116	224	47	387	2894	13

288

289

290 **3.1 Perceived Feelings Towards Statistics**

Students' reported feelings showed a shift towards more positive feelings at the end of their statistics teaching. The changes in individual students' feelings were significantly different to 0 for all cohorts (Wilcoxon one-sample test, all five cohorts: p < 0.01; Figure 1). These changes were consistent across cohorts (regression, p = 0.46). There were no students who reported that their feelings had changed from hating (1) to loving (5) statistics (i.e., scoring a change of +4) and only one that changed from loving to hating (scoring -4).

298

299

300





302

Figure 1. The change in student perception of statistics between the start and end of a students' statistics teaching. Number of students shown above each bar. A positive number indicates that they felt more positive about statistics at the end compared to the start of their teaching. Students were asked at four universities with two cohorts asked at La Trobe.

308

The degree to which women and men reported that their feelings towards statistics had changed, was not significantly different in three of the universities. However, Leeds men changed significantly less than women (cohort x gender interaction, p<0.01; Supplementary material C). This meant Leeds were different to the other three universities in how women and men differed in their feelings towards statistics, however there were no other differences among the other cohorts.

The distribution of the number of students in each feeling towards statistics category 315 (hate, dislike, neutral, like and love) changed significantly between the start and end of 316 the statistics teaching for all cohorts (Fisher's exact tests, all five cohorts p < 0.05). All 317 cohorts, except Macquarie, reflected a pattern where there were more students 318 reporting a dislike towards statistics than a like at the start of the statistics teaching. 319 However, at the end of the teaching this had shifted to more liking than disliking 320 statistics. Macquarie students had relatively fewer negative feelings towards statistics 321 to begin with. The proportion that liked statistics increased at the end for Macquarie 322 students. 323



324

Figure 2 Student responses to the question how did they feel about statistics at the start of their statistics teaching and how do they feel at the end. In general there is a shift towards more students liking statistics at the end. For all cohorts the darkest

328 colour is hate and the lightest is love.

329

330 **3.2 Scores for Different Teaching Strategies**

Across the cohorts students scored teaching strategies as having a positive effect on their feelings towards statistics (scores were significantly higher than 0 on the -5 to 5 scale). This indicated that as a group students reported that all strategies the lecturers used helped them feel more positive about statistics (one-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum tests with Benjamini-Hochberg corrections, all <0.01). The one exception to this was Leeds statistics support which had no effect (p = 0.42).

Some strategies were scored by students as having a more positive effect on their 337 feelings towards statistics than others (data from all cohorts, ANOVA, F = 39.08, p < 338 0.001; Figure 3). All analyses (all data together and for each cohort separately) found 339 no significant differences between measures of how approachable the staff were or 340 not ("Approach", Figure 3), the staff's attitude towards statistics ("Attitude") and 341 attempts by the lecturer to include humour or make it fun ("Humour"); letters above 342 boxes in Figure 3 indicate where there were no significant differences between 343 344 strategies.

However, there was variation among the cohorts in what strategies worked as well as 345 attitude, approach and humour (Figure 3). For Macquarie and both La Trobe cohorts 346 the students rated quizzes ("Quizzes"), using data that were relevant to the students' 347 discipline ("Relevant"), having opportunities to ask questions ("Questions") and using 348 software for themselves ("Software") as being just as effective at improving their 349 feelings towards statistics (see letters "a" on Figure 3). In addition, Macquarie and La 350 Trobe cohort 1 rated using their own data ("OwnData") as being just as effective as 351 other top strategies. Similarly, Leeds students found quizzes to be effective. A notable 352 contrast for the students using software for themselves strategy, was observed 353 among the cohorts. Cardiff and Leeds students gave more variable scores for this 354 strategy ranging from -5 to 5 (software on Figure 3). 355

Working with peers in computer practicals ("Peers") was found to be as effective as 356 other top strategies in Leeds only. Whereas in La Trobe 1 working with peers was one 357 of the least effective strategies. The "Stat-tastic thoughts" slides ("Slides") 358 consistently scored lower compared to other strategies. Similarly, the use of some 359 form of statistics drop-in or service ("Support") also tended to score lower. However, 360 there was variation with many La Trobe students scoring support highly and few Leeds 361 students using support and therefore most scoring it as affecting their feelings neither 362 positively or negatively (Figure 3). 363

364

365

366



Figure 3 Scores given by students for the perceived effect of different teaching strategies on their feelings towards statistics. Strategies were scored on a scale of -5 (a large negative effect) to 5 (a large positive effect) with 0 being no effect on feelings towards statistics. Within each graph, boxplots with the same letter above them are not significantly different from one another (Tukey post hoc tests, p values adjusted for multiple comparisons). Strategies are ordered on all boxplots according to their mean score for all the data from the five cohorts together (white boxplots).

Data was analysed to investigate if students' ratings of strategies were affected by 363 both the specific strategy and their overall change in attitude towards statistics 364 (positive, negative, or neutral). Only when data from all cohorts were combined 365 together was this strategy-change interaction significant (ANOVA, all cohorts p<0.05; 366 MQ p = 0.97, LTU1 p = 0.95, LTU2 p = 0.63, Cardiff p = 0.22, Leeds p = 0.55), 367 suggesting a small interaction effect which may only be detected with more statistical 368 power. Furthermore, students whose feelings towards statistics became more 369 negative (for example, liking at the start to hating it by the end of teaching) tended to 370 give lower scores to some strategies compared to those whose feelings had become 371 more positive. However, importantly, this "negative" group of students still gave 372 strategies scores that were overwhelmingly from 0-5, i.e., in the neutral-positive range 373 of the scale (data not shown). In other words, our results do not indicate that any 374 particular strategy worked for one type of student; for example, no single strategy 375 worked most effectively for those who experienced feeling more positive nor worked 376 least effectively for students who become more negative towards statistics by the end 377 of the taught period. Our results hence suggest that lecturers employing any of these 378

379 strategies in an attempt to improve students' feeling towards statistics needn't worry 380 that a strategy will have an overtly negative effect on a student.

381

382 **3.3 Exploratory Analyses on Other Useful Teaching Strategies**

383

All the extra strategies that Cardiff students had been asked to evaluate were 384 significantly different from 0 (Figure 4). This suggests students thought all these 385 additional strategies affected their feelings towards statistics in a positive way. In 386 particular, the lecturer incorporating their pets in the teaching content ("Pets") was 387 scored as highly as attitude, approach, humour and quizzes. Having the opportunity to 388 ask questions in person ("Qs In Person") was scored significantly higher than being 389 able to ask questions online via Slack ("Qs In Slack"). The lecturer sharing their journey 390 of going from hating to loving statistics ("Journey") was also scored relatively highly. 391 Other notable strategies were the inclusion of quotes ("Quotes"), examples of extra 392 reading ("Extra"), and anecdotes of how statistics had been used in history ("History") 393 which all scored relatively low (Figure 4). There was no significant difference between 394 the use of "Real Data" and "Simulated Data". 395



396

Figure 4 Students' scores of how much they thought different teaching strategies at 397 Cardiff had affected their feelings towards statistics. Strategies already presented are 398 in orange and the extra strategies are in cream. Boxplots with the same letter indicate 399 no significant difference (p > 0.05) according to Tukey's HSD post-hoc tests. 400 Strategies are ordered according to the mean scores. 401

402

3.4 Qualitative analysis of students written comments 403

The dominant theme across all four universities was how much students valued 404

"great" staff. Many students described specific behaviours of the lecturers, tutors and 405

demonstrators mentioning "the approachability, helpfulness, and patience of lecturers" 406

(Cardiff) or "approachable teaching team" (La Trobe) and describing the "kind and 407 caring nature" (La Trobe) of lecturers (Table 3). 408

- Table 3. The percentage (and number) of comments from each cohort that related to 410
- each theme. Percentages are rounded to whole numbers. Comments could contain 411
- none to multiple themes. The numbers after the cohort names are the total number of 412
- students that left a comment of any kind. Mac = Macquarie, LaT = La Trobe, Card = 413
- Cardiff. Empty boxes indicate that theme was not evident in the comments from that 414
- cohort. Themes are in the same order as presented in the text in section 3.4. 415

Theme	Mac	LaT 1 (36)	LaT 2 (35)	Card (104)	Leeds (32)
	(38)				
Great staff	11 (4)	17 (6)	29 (10)	35 (36)	31 (10)
Staff attitude	5 (2)	3 (1)	6 (2)	18 (19)	31 (10)
Negative staff	3 (1)	3 (1)	14 (5)	8 (8)	
Fun/humour	3 (1)			1 (1)	9 (3)
Difficulty level	8 (3)	11 (4)	3 (1)	24 (25)	19 (6)
Help sufficient	5 (2)	8 (3)	9 (3)	4 (4)	
Needed more help		6 (2)	3 (1)	33 (34)	3 (1)
Organisation of	3 (1)	31 (11)	40 (14)	8 (8)	25 (8)
teaching					

Importance of statistics	5 (2)	14 (5)	11 (4)	2 (2)	
Quizzes	8 (3)	8 (3)	11 (4)	1 (1)	
Relevant data			6 (2)		3 (1)
Statistics support			9 (3)		

416

The other main category of staff personality that students talked about in the 417

comments was the "positive attitude towards statistics" (Leeds) or how "positive, and 418 enthusiastic" (Cardiff) staff were about the subject. Such qualities were often 419

described along with the effect they had on students' feelings towards statistics. This 420

could be in terms of their capabilities "much more confident in my ability" (La Trobe) 421

or their fears of statistics "made it less overwhelming" (Macquarie) or their motivation 422

to study it: 423

"He inspires me to actually care about statistics when he seems so interested 424 and curious about it." (Cardiff) 425

In addition, many students suggested that the qualities or behaviour of the lecturer 426 and staff had mitigated a subject that would otherwise be "dry and a struggle" 427 (Macquarie) and that staff had "made statistics more bearable" (Cardiff). There were 428 comparatively few negative comments about staff behaviour (Table 3). In contrast to 429 the many comments about the lecturer's approachability and attitude that supported 430 the high scores given to those strategies, there were very few comments on the staff's 431 attempts at humour or when they "made it fun" (Leeds). 432

Given the reputation of statistics as a hard subject, it was perhaps not surprising to 433 find that difficulty level was another theme that was common across universities. This 434 was particularly prominent in Cardiff where all students were taught the software R: 435

"R affected my experience and feelings towards statistics negatively" (Cardiff) 436

The theme of getting help varied among and within cohorts with some students 437 feeling like they had plenty of opportunities and could ask for help and others 438 requesting more help, especially with coding. The small group teaching in Macquarie 439 was appreciated by many students. 440

The organisation of the teaching affecting students' feelings towards statistics 441 emerged as a theme that we had not asked about in the list of strategies the students 442 had quantitatively scored. One student commented "well run subject" (La Trobe). 443

Macquarie and La Trobe had themes emerge around students seeing the importance 444 of statistics, which lecturers consciously communicated, for example: 445

"This unit has opened my eyes in terms of how useful statistics can be" 446 (Macquarie) 447

However, this message did not come through to all students: 448

"I think the content we learned wasn't beneficial towards my learning or course" 449 (La Trobe) 450

Similarly, comments on quizzes were common in only the Australian cohorts. 451

Comments from La Trobe students revealed that collecting data from their 452 peers in the classroom, for example, type of phone or number of times they've visited a 453 certain shop in the last month, was not as interesting as the datasets which were 454 subject specific (referred to as relevant data in the quantitative questions): 455

"much of the data collected in class seemed to be irrelevant." (La Trobe) 456

"the HealthSciences side with regards to research questions was much more 457 *interesting*" (La Trobe) 458

Finally, there were comments that demonstrated that the statistics support 459 service was important for some individuals: 460

"Without math hub I would not have understood as much as I did." (La Trobe) 461 462 463 464

- **4 DISCUSSION** 465

466

Our research suggests that students think we can positively affect their feelings 467 towards statistics through the various teaching strategies we employed. Furthermore, 468 there are some strategies that have more impact than others when it comes to making 469 students feel better about statistics. While our results agree with other evidence that 470 strategies such as students collecting their own data and analysing it for themselves 471 using software [39] or working with peers [5] are useful, they also suggest that these 472 are not as effective as other strategies that are related to the staff. The lecturer, 473 demonstrator or tutor being approachable; their attitude towards statistics; and their 474 attempts at humour and making it fun are valued particularly highly by students 475 (Figure 3). 476

477

Our Stat-tastic slides, though not as effective as other strategies, had the desired 478 positive effect on students' feelings towards statistics and are shared here for others 479 to adapt (Supplementary material A). This emphasises why our study design, which 480 compared these interventions against other strategies, was essential in demonstrating 481 that there are other aspects, such as the personal qualities of the lecturer, to focus on 482 that could be more lucrative in improving students' feelings towards statistics. 483 484

The high staff approachability scores were mirrored in the students' comments. The 485 comments illustrate examples of mostly positive but also some negative interpersonal 486 qualities or perceived personality traits of the staff that the students thought had 487 affected their feelings towards statistics and their success in learning statistics. This 488 is recorded in Higher Education outside of statistics teaching, where positive 489 interactions between staff and students promote academic performance because 490 students feel they are supported and can ask questions. In contrast, negative traits 491 prevent these good learning behaviours [18]. Specifically, in statistics teaching, a 492 connection has been made between lecturers who show more immediacy behaviours 493 and lower levels of statistics anxiety [45, 43]. In our results, the high scores for the 494 lecturer's pets featuring in the teaching material at Cardiff may be an example of how 495 a lecturer could seem more approachable and relatable. It has previously been noted 496 that there is a lack of recognition of the teacher-student relationship despite its 497 importance to student success [14]. This may explain our initial surprise in 498 approachability being scored highest. 499

500

Similarly, the lecturer's attitude towards statistics as a subject was scored high in this 501 study and commented on by the students. Positive attitudes manifesting as 502 enthusiasm and a passion towards statistics inspire students [47]. Both of these 503 results serve as a reminder to managers in HE of the importance of recruiting 504 approachable and passionate people into statistics teaching roles and avoiding 505 offloading the subject onto an unwilling staff member. In addition, where there are 506 large teaching teams, including multiple tutors and demonstrators on a statistics 507 course, ensuring everyone is trained to understand the importance of their behaviour in 508 the classroom should reap the rewards in promoting positive student feelings towards 509 statistics. 510

511

Compared to approachability and attitude, the third strategy that consistently scored 512 high - attempts at humour or fun - was referred to very little in students' written 513 comments. Using humour to teach statistics has precedent [20], and specific 514 examples of statistics teachers incorporating fun in the classroom include cartoons, 515 songs, and jokes [21, 11, 47]. In this study, we suspect students had difficulty recalling 516 specific funny or enjoyable events because different lecturers used rapport-building 517 humour in various ways that aligned with their individual personalities to create a 518 lighthearted atmosphere. This may have made the sense of fun less easily identifiable 519 and less tangible than a planned joke. 520

521

Regular interactive activities such as Mentimeter or Kahoot quizzes, which yielded varied results in how much students reported they affected their feelings towards statistics, are one way we injected fun into our statistics classrooms. Other studies suggest that this is especially successful if the quiz uses engaging polling software [3] with bright colours, exciting names and upbeat music (for example, Kahoot.com)[37]. 528 While collecting data for themselves is reported to be more engaging for students [39], 529 based on the comments we received we would recommend that such data collection 530 should also be relevant to the discipline of study. For many subjects this may require 531 more teaching time to be taken up doing this task which may not be feasible. Related 532 to choices the lecturer makes about the data used, scores from Cardiff students 533 indicated that whether the data was simulated or real did not affect how students felt 534 about statistics.

535

536 The different software used in the universities explains the higher scores in Macquarie and La Trobe for the strategy of using statistical software on students' feelings. 537 538 Comparatively, the scores were notably lower for Cardiff and Leeds. Macquarie used what is likely a more familiar software to students: Microsoft Excel. Most La Trobe 539 students were taught Jamovi, an interface for R, while Leeds students used SPSS, both 540 of which use "point-and-click" user interfaces. Unsurprisingly, Cardiff students gave 541 low scores for using the software for themselves. They wrote about how the use of R 542 was difficult, demonstrating that this can be challenging for students, especially if they 543 are unfamiliar with programming languages [42]. Teaching R can be the right choice 544 as it gives students sought-after skills in coding [9]. Being aware of the effects of that 545 choice on how it makes students feel towards statistics is helpful, so lecturers can try 546 to mitigate this. This mitigation could include following best practices for teaching R, 547 for example, delivering participatory code-along sessions where the students see the 548 lecturer making mistakes [26] and providing adequate time and scaffolding to learn 549 coding skills [19]. 550

551

It has been previously found that following attendance at support services, students have reduced statistics anxiety [25]. While in this study attending drop-in support services was perceived as having a positive effect on student's feelings, it was scored lower than other strategies. However, this is because of the lack of students, especially at Leeds, engaging with such services. Where students reported that they did engage, most commonly in La Trobe, they commented that it was essential to their understanding of the subject.

- 559
- 560

561 **4.1 Limitations and strengths of the study**

562

We acknowledge that students' reported feelings towards statistics is not measured accurately because we relied on them remembering how they felt at the start of their teaching. Furthermore, we are aware that students' answers to these questions may have been biased by a desire to fulfil the researchers' or even the students' own expectations that they would feel more positive about statistics at the end of teaching. Most of our respondents were in their first year of university study limiting the applicability of the results.

With evidence from a previous study where students reported that the statistics 571 anxiety workshop helped them (unpublished), an experimental design where some 572 students did not receive this information in a control group was considered unethical 573 and rejected. Furthermore, this would not have allowed us to compare the slides to 574 other strategies which we hope will help other statistics teachers decide where to put 575 their resources for maximum benefit. The strength of our study also lies in the number 576 of universities that took part which increases the generalisability of the results. The 577 varying order of highest scores among the universities demonstrates that the same 578 interventions will vary in their rankings, perhaps due to specific lecture style or 579 institutional differences. The comparison between the two La Trobe cohorts shows 580 that even when strategies are implemented in the same way they can be received 581 differently depending the cohort. 582 on

583

4.2 Conclusion 584

585

Our results show that overall, students appreciate our attempts to improve their 586 perception of statistics and find various different interventions useful. Furthermore, 587 given our results represent students from multiple institutions, we hope other statistics 588 lecturers are more convinced that having a positive attitude towards statistics and 589 590 being both approachable and enthusiastic are universally the best ways to improve students' perceptions of statistics. 591

- 592
- 593
- **ETHICS** 594

- The study was ethically approved in each of the four universities by their respective 595
- ethics boards under the following numbers: Macquarie HREA-16687; La Trobe 596
- HEC23021; Cardiff SREC 23 10-01; Leeds BIOSCI 13-001. 597

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS 598

- ACR, AJS and EM conceived and designed the analysis; ACR, AJS, WPK and KPB 599
- collected the data; ACR, AJS, WPK and KPB performed the analysis; ACR, AJS, EM, 600
- WPK and KPB wrote and edited the manuscript. 601

- No Conflicts of Interest to declare 603
- 604
- **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT** 605
- Data for three of the four universities and R code used in the study is available online. 606
- 607
- REFERENCES 608
- 609

1. S. Abdullah, The Effectiveness of Cooperative Learning in the Class of 610 Inferential Statistics, IJORER: International Journal of Recent Educational 611 *Research* 2 (2021), 614–622. 612 2. M. H. Ashcraft and J. A. Krause, Working Memory, Math Performance, and Math 613 Anxiety, *Psychonomic Bulletin*&Review 14 (2007), 243–248. 614 3. K. P. Binkowski and N. M. K. Tse, Using Peer Instructions to Teach Threshold 615 Concepts in Introductory Statistics, Proc. Int. Assoc. Stat. Educ. Satellite Conf., 616 R. Helenius (ed.), IASE, The Hague, 2024. 617 4. A. Bromage, S. Pierce, T. Reader, and L. Compton, Teaching Statistics to 618 Non-Specialists: Challenges and Strategies for Success, Journal of Further and 619 Higher Education 46 (2022), 46–61. 620 5. M. Charalambous, J. A. Hodge, and K. Ippolito, Statistically Significant Learning 621 Experiences: Towards Building Self-Efficacy of Undergraduate Statistics 622 Learners through Team-Based Learning, Educational Action Research 29 623 (2021), 226-244. 624 6. J. L. Chesebro and J. C. McCroskey, The Relationship of Teacher Clarity and 625 Immediacy with Student State Receiver Apprehension, Affect, and Cognitive 626 Learning, *Communication Education* 50 (2001), 59–68. 627 7. C. C. Chiou, Y. M. Wang, and L. T. Lee, Reducing Statistics Anxiety and 628 Enhancing Statistics Learning Achievement: Effectiveness of a One-Minute 629 Strategy, *Psychological Reports* 115 (2014), 297–310. 630 8. R. J. Cruise, R. W. Cash, and D. L. Bolton, Development and Validation of an 631 Instrument to Measure Statistical Anxiety, American Statistical Association 632

633	Proceedings of the Section on Statistical Education 4 (1985), 92–97.
634	9. I. Del Toro, K. Dickson, A. S. Hakes, and S. L. Newman, Early Undergraduate
635	Biostatistics & Data Science Introduction Using R, R Studio & the Tidyverse, The
636	American Biology Teacher 84 (2022), 124–129.
637	10. P. Faraci and G. A. Malluzzo, Psychometric Properties of Statistics Anxiety
638	Measures: A Systematic Review, <i>Educational Psychology Review</i> 36 (2024), 1–
639	39.
640	11. H. H. Friedman, L. W. Friedman, and T. Amoo, Using Humor in the Introductory
641	Statistics Course, Journal of Statistics Education 10 (2002).
642	12. A. González, Y. Rodríguez, J. M. Faílde, and M. V. Carrera, Anxiety in the
643	Statistics Class: Structural Relations with Self-Concept, Intrinsic Value, and
644	Engagement in Two Samples of Undergraduates, Learning and Individual
645	<i>Differences</i> 45 (2016), 214–221.
646	13. J. Goodall and S. Johnston-Wilder, Overcoming Mathematical Helplessness
647	and Developing Mathematical Resilience in Parents: An Illustrative Case Study,
648	Creative Education 6 (2015).
649	14.G. Hagenauer and S. E. Volet, Teacher–Student Relationship at University: An
650	Important Yet Under-Researched Field, Oxford Review of Education 40 (2014),
651	370–388.

15. J. A. Hess, M. J. Smythe, and Communication 451, Is Teacher Immediacy 652 Actually Related to Student Cognitive Learning?, Communication Studies 52 653 (2001), 197-219.654 16. M. Hood and D. L. Neumann, Evaluation of a Workshop to Reduce Negative 655 Perceptions of Statistics in Undergraduate Psychology Students, *Psychology* 656 *Learning*&*Teaching* 12 (2013), 115–125. 657 17. M. J. Justicia-Galiano, S. Pelegrina, M. T. Lechuga, N. Gutiérrez-Palma, E. M. 658 Martín-Puga, and C. Lendínez, Math Anxiety and Its Relationship to Inhibitory 659 Abilities and Perceived Emotional Intelligence, Anales De Psicología/Annals of 660 Psychology 32 (2016), 125-131. 661 18. P. S. Kavenuke, Instructors' Interpersonal Interaction with Students in a 662 Tanzanian University: A Study of Students' Perceived Interpersonal 663 Characteristics of Instructors, Journal of University Teaching and Learning 664 Practice 21 (2024). 665 19. W. P. Kay, C. Sutherland, and T. A. Marques, Training Statistics-Savvy 666 Ecologists: A Call to Action for Improved Statistical Education in Ecology, The 667 Wildlife Professional (In Press). 668 20. L. M. Lesser and D. K. Pearl, Functional Fun in Statistics Teaching: Resources, 669 Research and Recommendations, Journal of Statistics Education 16 (2008). 670 21. L. M. Lesser, D. K. Pearl, and J. J. Weber III, Assessing Fun Items' Effectiveness 671 in Increasing Learning of College Introductory Statistics Students: Results of a 672 Randomized Experiment, Journal of Statistics Education 24 (2016), 54–62. 673 22. D. Macher, M. Paechter, I. Papousek, and K. Ruggeri, Statistics Anxiety, Trait 674 Anxiety Learning Rehavior and Academic Performance Furgean Journal of 675

0/5	Anxiety, Learning Benavior, and Academic Performance, European Journal Or
676	Psychology of Education 27 (2012), 483–498.
677	23. N. C. Martinez and J. G. R. Martinez, <i>Math Without Fear</i> , Needham Heights, MA:
678	Allyn and Bacon, 1996.
679	24. E. Marshall, A. Shaker, A. Riach, and D. Haigney, Are Students Too Anxious for
680	Statistics Anxiety Workshops?, MSOR Connections 20 (2022), 94–105.
681	25. E. Marshall, A. Riach, A. Shaker, and P. Rowlett, Statistics Support and Anxiety
682	Explored, Teaching Mathematics and Its Applications: An International Journal
683	<i>of the IMA</i> 43 (2024), 81–106.
684	26. A. Nederbragt, R. M. Harris, A. P. Hill, and G. Wilson, Ten quick tips for teaching
685	with participatory live coding, PLOS Computational Biology 16 (2020),
686	e1008090.
687	27. D. L. Neumann, M. Hood, and M. M. Neumann, Statistics? You Must Be Joking:
688	The Application and Evaluation of Humor When Teaching Statistics, Journal of
689	Statistics Education 17 (2009).
690	28. A. L. McGrath, A. Ferns, L. Greiner, K. Wanamaker, and S. Brown, Reducing
691	Anxiety and Increasing Self-Efficacy within an Advanced Graduate Psychology
692	Statistics Course, Canadian Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and
693	<i>Learning</i> 6 (2015), 5.

29. E. A. Oldmixon, "It Was My Understanding That There Would Be No Math": 694 Using Thematic Cases to Teach Undergraduate Research Methods, Journal of 695 *Political Science Education* 14 (2018), 249–259. 696 30. M. Paechter, D. Macher, K. Martskvishvili, S. Wimmer, and I. Papousek, 697 Mathematics Anxiety and Statistics Anxiety: Shared but Also Unshared 698 Components and Antagonistic Contributions to Performance in Statistics, 699 Frontiers in Psychology 8 (2017), 1196. 700 31. W. Pan and M. Tang, Students' Perceptions on Factors of Statistics Anxiety and 701 Instructional Strategies, Journal of Instructional Psychology 32 (2005), 205. 702 32. Á. Peiró-Signes, O. Trull, M. Segarra-Oña, and J. C. García-Díaz, Anxiety Towards 703 Statistics and Its Relationship with Students' Attitudes and Learning Approach, 704 Behavioral Sciences 11 (2021), 32. 705 33. C. M. Perchtold-Stefan, M. Schertler, M. Paechter, A. Fink, E. M. Weiss, and I. 706 Papousek, Learning to Be Inventive in the Face of Statistics: A Positive 707 Reappraisal Intervention for Statistics Anxiety, Journal of Behavior Therapy and 708 Experimental Psychiatry 82 (2024), 101913. 709 34. K. Ralston, V. Gorton, J. MacInnes, V. Gayle, and G. Crow, Anxious Women or 710 Complacent Men? Anxiety of Statistics in a Sample of UK Sociology 711 Undergraduates, International Journal of Social Research Methodology 24 712 (2021), 79-91.713 35. B. Rodarte-Luna and A. Sherry, Sex Differences in the Relation Between 714 Statistics Anxiety and Cognitive/Learning Strategies, Contemporary Educational 715 Psychology 33 (2008), 327-344. 716 36 K Ruggeri, C Díaz, K Kelley, J Papousek, M. Demoster, and D. Hanna 717

/ / /	JO. N. Nuggen, C. Diaz, N. Keney, I. Lapousek, M. Dempster, and D. Hanna,
718	International Issues in Education, Psychology Teaching Review 14 (2008).
719	37. A. Shaker, P. Hurst, and E. Marshall, The Effect of Kahoot on Undergraduate
720	Student Anxiety and Confidence When Studying Statistics, MSOR Connections
721	19 (2021), 41–54.
722	38. C. S. Siew, M. J. McCartney, and M. S. Vitevitch, Using Network Science to
723	Understand Statistics Anxiety Among College Students, Scholarship of
724	Teaching and Learning in Psychology 5 (2019), 75.
725	39. G. Smith, Learning Statistics by Doing Statistics, Journal of Statistics Education
726	6 (1998).
727	40. J. Terry, R. M. Ross, T. Nagy, M. Salgado, P. Garrido-Vásquez, J. O. Sarfo, and
728	A. P. Field, Data from an International Multi-Centre Study of Statistics and
729	Mathematics Anxieties and Related Variables in University Students (the
730	SMARVUS Dataset), 2023.
731	41. A. P. Trassi, S. J. Leonard, L. D. Rodrigues, J. A. Rodas, and F. H. Santos,
732	Mediating Factors of Statistics Anxiety in University Students: A Systematic
733	Review and Meta-Analysis, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1512
734	(2022), 76–97.
735	42. L. Tong, B. J. White, and J. Singh, Bridging Statistics and Life Sciences
736	Undergraduate Education, <i>Journal of Biological Education</i> 58 (2024), 984–996.

737	43. K. N. Tonsing, Instructor Immediacy and Statistics Anxiety in Social Work
738	Undergraduate Students, Social Work Education 37 (2018), 223–233.
739	44. L. Uusimaki and G. Kidman, Reducing Maths-Anxiety: Results from an Online
740	Anxiety Survey, Proc. Australian Assoc. Res. Educ. Int. Educ. Res. Conf.,
741	Australian Association for Research in Education, 2004, pp. 1–9.
742	45. A. S. Williams, Statistics Anxiety and Instructor Immediacy, Journal of Statistics
743	<i>Education</i> 18 (2010).
744	46. J. H. Wilson and L. Locker Jr, Immediacy Scale Represents Four Factors:
745	Nonverbal and Verbal Components Predict Student Outcomes, The Journal of
746	<i>Classroom Interaction</i> 42 (2007), 4–10.
747	47. V. A. Wilson, Reducing Statistics Anxiety: A Ranking of Sixteen Specific
748	Strategies, 1999.
749	48.C. B. Young, S. S. Wu, and V. Menon, The Neurodevelopmental Basis of Math
750	Anxiety, <i>Psychological Science</i> 23 (2012), 492–501.
751	49. M. Zeidner, Statistics and Mathematics Anxiety in Social Science Students:
752	Some Interesting Parallels, British Journal of Educational Psychology 61
753	(1991), 319–328.
754	
755	
756	
757	
758	
759	

760			
761			
762			
763			