

# Viewpoint

New

Phytologist

### Exploited mutualism: the reciprocal effects of plant parasitic nematodes on the mechanisms underpinning plant–mutualist interactions

#### Summary

We are quickly gaining insights into the mechanisms and functions of plant-mutualist relationships with the common overarching aim of exploiting them to enhance food security and crop resilience. There is a growing mass of research describing various benefits of plant-mutualistic fungi, including increased nutrition, yields, and tolerance to biotic and abiotic factors. The bulk of this research has been focused on arbuscular mycorrhiza; however, there is now an expansion toward other plant mutualistic fungi. Contrary to the established 'mycorrhizal induced resistance' principle, increasing evidence shows that certain plant pests and pathogens may, in fact, exploit the benefits that mutualists provide their hosts, resulting in enhanced pathogenicity and reduced mutualist-derived benefits. In this Viewpoint, we propose that studying plant mutualistic fungi under controlled artificial conditions indeed provides in-depth knowledge but may mislead long-term applications as it does not accurately reflect multi-symbiont scenarios that occur in natura. We summarize the reciprocal impacts of plant pests, such as plant parasitic nematodes, on plant-fungal mutualisms and highlight how glasshouse experiments often yield contradictory results. We emphasize the need for collaborative efforts to increase the granularity of experimental systems, better reflecting natural environments to gain holistic insights into mutualist functions before applying them in sustainable crop protection strategies.

### The role of plant-mutualistic fungi in food security: from lab to field

The FAO estimates that 20–40% of global crop production is lost due to plant pests and diseases every year (FAO, 2020). The Circular Economy (European Commission, 2020a) and Zero Pollution Action Plan (European Commission, 2021) directives, as well as the Farm to Fork and Biodiversity strategies of the European Union (European Commission, 2020b,c), promote the development of innovative crop protection measures to sustainably enhance and maintain crop yields. Sustainable plant protection strategies are increasingly sought after to reduce fertilizer and chemical pesticide usage in agriculture. Among the promising approaches, the use of mutualistic microorganisms both as biofertilizers and as biological control agents is often studied in varied scenarios.

Mutualistic interactions are those in which two or more species gain reciprocal benefits (Bronstein, 2001). A plant-based scenario when mutualistic fungi colonize and exchange is nutrients/resources with their plant host, which mutually benefits both organisms. This can increase plant vigor, and for crop plants may increase yields. Crucially, the term 'plant mutualism' commonly refers to the direct effects of a single symbiont on a single plant, excluding the indirect effects that the mutualist may have on other plant symbionts, such as pathogens, and knock-on implications for the host plant or wider plant community. Although this limitation is not inherently problematic, it should be considered when defining the function of a mutualist within a system. While arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi (van der Heijden et al., 2015), and certain Fusarium spp. (Ahmed et al., 2023), Trichoderma spp. (Tseng et al., 2020), and Sebacinales fungi (Weiß et al., 2011) are vastly different in their biology, they all have the potential to form mutualistic relationships with their host plants. This may enhance plant growth, development, and productivity (reviewed in Franken, 2012) while increasing stress tolerance to abiotic factors such as drought, soil acidity, and heavy metals (Porter et al., 2020). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are arguably the most well-studied fungal mutualists of plants, with this classic interaction based on the 'trade' of plant carbon to the fungus to support fungal growth, in exchange for AM-scavenged macro- and micronutrients from the soil (Lebreton & Keller, 2024). Although the dynamics of carbon-for-nutrient exchange between plants and AM fungi varies between systems and has become somewhat controversial (Bunn et al., 2024), it is claimed that their ability to boost the productivity of a vast range of plant species can contribute to future food security and sustainable agriculture (Lebreton & Keller, 2024).

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi may increase host resistance and tolerance to various pests and pathogens, such as insect herbivores, fungal and viral pathogens, and plant parasitic nematodes (PPNs) (reviewed in Grabka *et al.*, 2022). The mechanisms by which these mutualists antagonize pathogen infection can generally be divided into two modes of action: direct competition for space and nutrition; and indirect effects, such as damage compensation, enhanced tolerance, induced systemic resistance (defense priming), and shifts in root exudation profiles. The priming of plant defense responses by mutualists is an intriguing and well-researched phenomenon (Cameron *et al.*, 2013) consisting of the pre-activation of systemic plant defense mechanisms before the pathogen's arrival, resulting in an enhanced defense response upon pathogen detection. For instance, priming and subsequent resistance derived from AM

© 2025 The Author(s). *New Phytologist* © 2025 New Phytologist Foundation. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. fungal colonization (mycorrhizal-induced resistance (MIR)) may be effective against various pathogens (Kadam *et al.*, 2020).

Many of these mechanisms have been studied in the interactions between mutualistic fungi and various PPNs (reviewed in Gianinazzi et al., 2010; Vos et al., 2012a,b,c, 2013; Daneshkhah et al., 2013; Schouteden et al., 2015; Poveda et al., 2021; Opitz et al., 2024). These parasites collectively burden global agriculture by> US\$170 billion per annum (Elling, 2013) and are the focus of varied control strategies (Pires et al., 2022). Numerous studies indicate their successful and promising use against PPNs. The protective effects of mutualistic fungi often include reduced infection and reproduction rates, as well as enhanced tolerance to nematodes. For example, a seminal study by Vos et al. (2012c) demonstrated a mycorrhizal-induced systemic reduction in root-knot nematode (Meloidogyne incognita) infection. Furthermore, several studies have since highlighted the potential of AM fungi to aid the control of PPNs in evolutionarily diverse crop plants (e.g. Marro et al., 2018; Alvarado-Herrejon et al., 2019). Another notable endophyte, Serendipita indica (a member of Sebacinales), has also been shown to significantly antagonize PPNs and various other plant pathogens (reviewed in Gill et al., 2016). For example, during its biotrophic colonization stage, S. indica significantly reduces populations of the sugar beet cyst nematode Heterodera schachtii (Daneshkhah et al., 2013) and M. incognita (Opitz et al., 2024), leading to disrupted nematode development in Arabidopsis thaliana. Although S. indica is dissimilar to AM fungi in many regards, its similar effects on co-occurring PPN populations present an interesting opportunity to determine and investigate conserved phenomena.

Overall, this highlights the vast benefits that plant mutualistic fungi can potentially provide their hosts, not only by directly promoting growth but also by protecting against pathogens such as PPNs. Although most of the above research is laboratory- and glasshousebased, an optimistic yet potentially incorrect assumption is often globally maintained that the benefits of host-AM interactions translate directly to field soils (Ryan & Graham, 2018). Furthermore, applying Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi inocula without accounting for their persistence, field efficacy, host compatibility, soil conditions, and interactions with resident microbial communities largely overlooks essential ecological principles. Therefore, in this Viewpoint, after years of research articles on the promising role of mutualistic fungi in integrated PPN management strategies and boosting yields of important crop species, we now venture into a critical debate on the use of these mutualists as biocontrol agents. This is fueled by recent research on fungal mutualists affecting PPNs, discussed below, that suggests that these organisms may not always be as beneficial as thought previously. However, while we predominantly focus here on their role in crop defense against pathogens, the broader ecological effects of fungal inocula must also be considered (Vosatka & Dodd, 2002).

### Exploitation of mutualism by plant parasitic nematodes

Although there are clear and significant benefits from plant-AM fungal interactions in certain environments, unfortunately an growing number of risks are increasingly being identified. First, the

priming of plant defenses by AM fungi (e.g. MIR) is well documented as being complex, labile, and highly contextdependent, ultimately impacting host resistance (Schouteden *et al.*, 2015; Martinez-Medina *et al.*, 2016; Saikkonen *et al.*, 2020). The context-dependency of MIR has led to 'mycorrhizal-induced susceptibility' (MIS) as an emerging phenomenon (Miozzi *et al.*, 2019), whereby mycorrhizal colonization leads to an increase in pathogen populations. Although MIS was initially described in plant-viral systems, there is now a growing mass of research that has evidenced MIS toward various soil-borne pests, such as PPNs (Frew *et al.*, 2018; Bell *et al.*, 2023, 2024; Opitz *et al.*, 2024), indicating shared consequences of plant–AM interactions across vastly different pathogens. This raises the question: what determines whether AM–host interactions enhance resistance or susceptibility?

It is logical that a healthier host can support a healthier parasite population, even if this contradicts the idea of mutualistic fungi assisting in plant defense. Several studies have confirmed that AM-derived nutrients can directly support and enhance the reproductive potential of dramatically different aboveground and belowground pests (Wilkinson et al., 2019; Bell et al., 2022a,b). The bulk of this research is based on AM-plant interactions; however, MIS is now observed in plant interactions with other mutualistic fungi, such as S. indica, despite the dramatic differences between fungal species. Data show the presence of potentially similar underpinning mechanisms, such as enhanced host nutrition and attenuated plant defense responses (Opitz et al., 2024). The priming of plant defenses and simultaneous mutualist-host-pathogen nutrient transfer may be independently regulated, underpinning the variable results that are observed between the effects of both mechanisms on pathogen populations.

Although we know that plant-mutualistic fungi can impact plant pathogens (e.g. MIS), there is still limited knowledge about the reverse effects: what impact do pathogens have on the function of plant mutualists? Studying the function of mutualists, rather than purely their colonization rates, can be challenging but provides direct insights into their role within the host. Phytophagy by aphids or PPNs can dramatically reduce the flow of host resources into the mutualist while the reverse flow of nutrients into the host is maintained (Charters et al., 2020; Bell et al., 2022a,b, 2024; Durant et al., 2023). This highlights an apparent disconnect between both sides of the exchange/interaction (Bunn et al., 2024) and the long-term impact of a reduced resource flow into AM from their pathogen-infected hosts is unknown. Bell et al. (2024) showed that during concurrent phytophagy, cyst nematode-infected potato maintained fatty acid supply but reduced the flow of hexoses to the AM partner. This may be a direct result of sucrose pool metabolism for plant defense (Wang & Wu, 2023) or simply a matter of symbiont competition. If the pathogen is short-lived, then the mutualist may be able to survive times of scarce hexose supply by utilizing fatty acids, whereas long-term biotrophy may be more detrimental for the fungus. Although the relative contributions of plant lipid and hexoses to the fungal carbon economy are unknown (Luginbuehl et al., 2017), the inhibition of either has dramatic negative effects (Helber et al., 2011; Luginbuehl et al., 2017). There are possible similar effects of pathogens on carbon-for-nutrient

Forum 3

exchange between plants and other, dissimilar mutualists such as *S. indica* (Opitz *et al.*, 2021), which are also suggested as new weapons for agricultural security (Saleem *et al.*, 2023).

The abundance of pathogens, along with their effects on plant-fungal carbon flow, may contribute to the dynamic diversity of AM species in the field, both spatially and temporally (van der Heijden *et al.*, 2015). Pathogen incidence best predicted the success of AM fungal inoculation in field soils (Lutz *et al.*, 2023) and there are links to explore between the mycorrhizal-community composition and their role in plant defense, beyond resource exchange (Frew *et al.*, 2024). These studies show that valuable experimental resolution can be achieved within a 'broad scope' experiment to characterize several variables, resulting in field-relevant data.

Overall, the variable impact of these fungal species indicates the dynamic nature of mutualistic status, which depends on additional factors, such as environmental conditions, plant symbiont genotypes, pathogen identity and virulence, and even co-evolution of the host, mutualist, and pathogen. Pathogen pressure might also play a role, as it has recently been suggested that a low number of PPNs can, in fact, trigger enhanced plant growth (Topalović & Geisen, 2023), thus being considered mutualistic by the authors, while infection with greater numbers results in the typical detrimental symptoms. This suggests that the distinction between mutualist and pathogen may be thinner than previously thought, emphasizing the limitations of these definitions.

## Promoting field relevance while retaining high-resolution mutualist-host-pathogen research

The aforementioned plant-mutualist interactions are often explored under the umbrella of agricultural security and may yield beneficial or detrimental outcomes for the plant species of choice, dependent on a range of variables summarized above. Studies using single AM fungal species colonizing a single plant species are then often extrapolated to provide solutions for field-relevant scenarios (Ryan & Graham, 2018), which may lead to unexpected outcomes, as discussed above. Hence, it will prove valuable to the research community to increase interdisciplinary collaborations between pathology and mutualism researchers to share expertise, test the robustness of growth-promoting interactions, and better reflect natural systems (Saikkonen et al., 2020; Balestrini, 2021; Wippel, 2023; Lebreton & Keller, 2024). Is it worthwhile for researchers from different disciplines to work independently toward the same goal, rather than combining efforts to expedite progress? Despite its benefits, studying multiple, concurrent symbionts does come with a trade-off, losing the in-depth resolution gained from single-symbiont/single-plant systems. While reduced systems are of great benefit for academic insights, they may not yield suitable applied outcomes and relevance if they omit field scenarios. This is particularly important for projects focused on food security, rather than on academic outputs. Isolated laboratory research may strongly emphasize certain phases in the mutualist's lifecycle while neglecting other aspects such as interactions with the wider soil microbiome and pathobiome. Certainly, it is impossible to explore all potential aboveground and belowground interactions. However, if the goal of research is to

improve the vigor of a specific crop, prioritizing the interactions most relevant to the intended environment should be a key focus. The inevitable occurrence of such interactions *in natura* should promote their investigation in academic research, thereby increasing the efficiency of impactful outcomes.

Expediting research toward field-based experiments or reversing the traditional approach to initiate studies in natural environments may be beneficial for quickly assessing the efficacy of amendments/mutualists in nature, rather than confirming their efficacy in controlled, artificial scenarios. Retrospective studies could then determine the field factors that negated the desired outcomes. Of course, this inevitably would include much more variability and many influencing factors that are not present in controlled glasshouse studies; however, that is precisely why this may be favorable. Studies have shown that this approach can reveal that the co-presence of pathogens is highly linked to a reduced fungi-induced benefit (Lutz et al., 2023) and also surprisingly highlight that many commercially available fungal inocula that are often used in laboratory studies simply do not colonize in the field through species incompatibility or even nonviable propagules (Salomon et al., 2022). This renders their use in glasshouse studies somewhat redundant. Furthermore, if field benefits are the ultimate goal, inoculating soils with multiple, reportedly beneficial, mutualists may be a promising 'shotgun' approach leveraging their synergistic effects to enhance plant growth beyond what single inocula can achieve (Afkhami et al., 2021). It is known that certain mutualists and pathogens may also enter their host through existing wounds (secondary infection) (Jones et al., 2013); therefore, incorporating these possibilities into the experimental system may enhance its field relevance. Furthermore, historically, there has been a separation between researchers studying either the agricultural or ecological relevance of AM fungi. It would seemingly be beneficial to foster collaboration between these different AM fungal disciplines, as the integration of both areas of research would greatly contribute to significant outcomes.

#### Conclusions

In summary, the increased susceptibility of fungal-colonized hosts to pathogens has implications for the role of fungal mutualists in soil amendments, as their actions in natural settings can indirectly lead to significant negative consequences. Similarly, a disruption of plant-AM fungal resource exchange is known to be triggered by pathogens, potentially resulting in long-term consequences on mutualist populations and function within the wider soil community. A final layer of complexity is added by pathogens potentially providing mutualist-like benefits at low population densities. Therefore, we summarize that, while numerous studies highlight the positive outcomes of plant-fungal mutualist interactions, these benefits often diminish or even become detrimental when tested in field scenarios or in the presence of field pathogens. This is especially prevalent in the case of PPNs, as recent research demonstrates paradigm shifts, as discussed above. However, it is highly likely that this also applies to other types of plant field pathogens. In this context, it might be incorrect that the prevailing definition of a plant mutualist focuses solely on the one-on-one interaction, without necessarily considering the holistic host biome. Therefore, we acknowledge that drawing overall conclusions is challenging, as it requires careful consideration of the interactions and biology of multiple organisms, both among themselves and within highly variable environments. However, to produce robust and field-relevant research that enhances food security measures, we must collaborate among plant science disciplines and expedite experimental systems-based research rather than closed artificial environments.

#### Acknowledgements

CAB is supported by a BBSRC Discovery Fellowship (BB/X009823/1). CAB thanks Dr Catherine Lilley for her invaluable feedback throughout the entirety of this project. For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

#### **Competing interests**

None declared.

#### **Author contributions**

KW and CAB co-wrote the manuscript.

#### ORCID

Chris A. Bell D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7437-2793 Krzysztof Wieczorek D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4316-2600

#### Krzysztof Wieczorek<sup>1</sup> D and Chris A. Bell<sup>2</sup>\* D

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural Sciences, Institute of Plant Protection, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, 3430, Tulln an der Donau, Austria; <sup>2</sup>School of Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK (\*Author for correspondence: email c.a.bell@leeds.ac.uk)

#### References

- Afkhami ME, Friesen ML, Stinchcombe JR. 2021. Multiple Mutualism Effects generate synergistic selection and strengthen fitness alignment in the interaction between legumes, rhizobia and mycorrhizal fungi. *Ecology Letters* 24: 1824–1834.
- Ahmed AM, Mahmoud BK, Millán-Aguiñaga N, Abdelmohsen UR, Fouad MA. 2023. The endophytic *Fusarium* strains: a treasure trove of natural products. *RSC Advances* 13: 1339–1369.
- Alvarado-Herrejon M, Larsen J, Gavito ME, Jaramillo-López PF, Vestberg M, Martínez-Trujillo M, Carreón-Abud Y. 2019. Relation between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, root-lesion nematodes and soil characteristics in maize agroecosystems. *Applied Soil Ecology* 135: 1–8.
- Balestrini R. 2021. Grand challenges in fungi-plant interactions. Frontiers in Fungal Biology 2: 750003.
- Bell CA, Magkourilou E, Ault JR, Urwin PE, Field KJ. 2024. Phytophagy impacts the quality and quantity of plant carbon resources acquired by mutualistic arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Nature Communications* 15: 801.

- Bell CA, Magkourilou E, Barker H, Barker A, Urwin PE, Field KJ. 2022a. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal-induced tolerance is determined by fungal identity and pathogen density. *Plants, People, Planet* 5: 241–253.
- Bell CA, Magkourilou E, Field KJ, Urwin PE. 2023. Sequence of introduction determines the success of contrasting root symbionts and their host. *Applied Soil Ecology* 182: 104733.

Bell CA, Magkourilou E, Urwin PE, Field KJ. 2022b. Disruption of carbon for nutrient exchange between potato and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi enhanced cyst nematode fitness and host pest tolerance. *New Phytologist* 234: 269–279.

Bronstein JL. 2001. The exploitation of mutualisms. *Ecology Letters* 4: 277–287.
Bunn RA, Corrêa A, Joshi J, Kaiser C, Lekberg Y, Prescott CE, Sala A, Karst J.
2024. What determines transfer of carbon from plants to mycorrhizal fungi? *New Phytologist* 244: 1199–1215.

- Cameron DD, Neal AL, Van Wees SCM, Ton J. 2013. Mycorrhiza-induced resistance: more than the sum of its parts? *Trends in Plant Science* 18: 539–545.
- Charters MD, Sait SM, Field KJ. 2020. Aphid herbivory drives asymmetry in carbon for nutrient exchange between plants and an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. *Current Biology: CB* 30: 1801–1808.
- Daneshkhah R, Cabello S, Rozanska E, Sobczak M, Grundler FMW, Wieczorek K, Hofmann J. 2013. Piriformospora indica antagonizes cyst nematode infection and development in Arabidopsis roots. Journal of Experimental Botany 64: 3763– 3774.
- Durant E, Hoysted GA, Howard N, Sait SM, Childs DZ, Johnson D, Field KJ. 2023. Herbivore-driven disruption of arbuscular mycorrhizal carbonfor-nutrient exchange is ameliorated by neighboring plants. *Current Biology* 33: 2566–2573.
- Elling AA. 2013. Major emerging problems with minor *Meloidogyne* species. *Phytopathology* 103: 1092–1102.
- European Commission. 2020a. A new circular economy action plan: for a cleaner and more competitive Europe (COM/2020/98 final). [WWW document] URL https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52020DC0098 [accessed 14 February 2025].
- European Commission. 2020b. A farm to fork strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system (COM/2020/381 final). [WWW document] URL https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX: 52020DC0381 [accessed 14 February 2025].
- European Commission. 2020c. EU biodiversity strategy for 2030: bringing nature back into our lives (COM/2020/380 final). [WWW document] URL https://eurlex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52020DC0380 [accessed 14 February 2025].
- European Commission. 2021. Pathway to a healthy planet for all. EU action plan: towards zero pollution for air, water and soil (COM/2021/400 final). [WWW document] URL https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/? uri=CELEX:52021DC0400 [accessed 14 February 2025].
- FAO. 2020. International year of plant health 2020. FAO, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. [WWW document] URL https://www.fao. org/plant-health-2020/about/en/ [accessed 14 February 2025].
- Franken P. 2012. The plant strengthening root endophyte *Piriformospora indica*: potential application and the biology behind. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 96: 1455–1464.
- Frew A, Powell JR, Glauser G, Bennett AE, Johnson SN. 2018. Mycorrhizal fungi enhance nutrient uptake but disarm defences in plant roots, promoting plant parasitic nematode populations. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **126**: 123–132.
- Frew A, Weinberger N, Powell JR, Watts-Williams SJ, Aguilar-Trigueros CA. 2024. Community assembly of root-colonizing arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: beyond carbon and into defence? *The ISME Journal* 18: wrae007.
- Gianinazzi S, Gollotte A, Binet MN, van Tuinen D, Redecker D, Wipf D. 2010. Agroecology: the key role of arbuscular mycorrhizas in ecosystem services. *Mycorrhiza* 20: 519–530.
- Gill SS, Gill R, Trivedi DK, Anjum NA, Sharma KK, Ansari MW, Ansari AA, Johri AK, Prasad R, Pereira E *et al.* 2016. *Piriformospora indica*: potential and significance in plant stress tolerance. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 7: 332.
- Grabka R, D'Entremont TW, Adams SJ, Walker AK, Tanney JB, Abbasi PA, Ali S. 2022. Fungal endophytes and their role in agricultural plant protection against pests and pathogens. *Plants* 11: 384.

- van der Heijden MGA, Martin FM, Selosse MA, Sanders IR. 2015. Mycorrhizal ecology and evolution: the past, the present, and the future. *New Phytologist* 205: 1406–1423.
- Helber N, Wippel K, Sauer N, Schaarschmidt S, Hause B, Requena N. 2011. A versatile monosaccharide transporter that operates in the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus* sp is crucial for the symbiotic relationship with plants. *Plant Cell* 23: 3812–3823.
- Jones JT, Haegeman A, Danchin EG, Gaur HS, Helder J, Jones MG, Kikuchi T, Manzanilla-López R, Palomares-Rius JE, Wesemael WM *et al.* 2013. Top 10 plant-parasitic nematodes in molecular plant pathology. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 149: 946–961.
- Kadam SB, Pable AA, Barvkar VT. 2020. Mycorrhiza induced resistance (MIR): a defence developed through synergistic engagement of phytohormones, metabolites and rhizosphere. *Functional Plant Biology* 47: 880–890.
- Lebreton A, Keller J. 2024. At the root of plant symbioses: untangling the genetic mechanisms behind mutualistic associations. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 77: 102448.
- Luginbuehl LH, Menard GN, Kurup S, Van Erp H, Radhakrishnan GV, Breakspear A, Oldroyd GED, Eastmond PJ. 2017. Fatty acids in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are synthesized by the host plant. *Science* 356: 1175–1178.
- Lutz S, Bodenhausen N, Hess J, Valzano-Held A, Waelchli J, Deslandes-Hérold G, Schlaeppi K, van der Heijden MGA. 2023. Soil microbiome indicators can predict crop growth response to large-scale inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Nature Microbiology* 8: 2277–2289.
- Marro N, Caccia M, Doucet ME, Cabello M, Becerra A, Lax P. 2018. Mycorrhizas reduce tomato root penetration by false root-knot nematode *Nacobbus aberrans. Applied Soil Ecology* 124: 262–265.
- Martinez-Medina A, Flors V, Heil M, Mauch-Mani B, Pieterse CMJ, Pozo MJ, Ton J, van Dam NM, Conrath U. 2016. Recognizing plant defense priming. *Trends in Plant Science* 21: 818–822.
- Miozzi L, Vaira AM, Catoni M, Fiorilli V, Accotto GP, Lanfranco L. 2019. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis: plant friend or foe in the fight against viruses? *Frontiers in Microbiology* **10**: 1238.
- Opitz M, Daneshkhah R, Lorenz C, Ludwig R, Steinkellner S, Wieczorek K. 2021. Serendipita indica changes host sugar and defense status in Arabidopsis thaliana: cooperation or exploitation? Planta 253: 74.
- Opitz MW, Díaz-Manzano FE, Ruiz-Ferrer V, Daneshkhah R, Ludwig R, Lorenz C, Escobar C, Steinkellner S, Wieczorek K. 2024. The other side of the coin: systemic effects of *Serendipita indica* root colonization on development of sedentary plant–parasitic nematodes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Planta* 259: 121.
- Pires D, Vicente CSL, Menéndez E, Faria JMS, Rusinque L, Camacho MJ, Inácio ML. 2022. The fight against plant-parasitic nematodes: current status of bacterial and fungal biocontrol agents. *Pathogens* 11: 1178.
- Porter SS, Bantay R, Friel CA, Garoutte A, Gdanetz K, Ibarreta K, Moore BM, Shetty P, Siler E, Friesen ML. 2020. Beneficial microbes ameliorate abiotic and biotic sources of stress on plants. *Functional Ecology* 34: 2075–2086.
- Poveda J, Eugui D, Abril-Urías P, Velasco P. 2021. Endophytic fungi as direct plant growth promoters for sustainable agricultural production. *Symbiosis* 85: 1–19.
- Ryan MH, Graham JH. 2018. Little evidence that farmers should consider abundance or diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi when managing crops. *New Phytologist* 220: 1092–1107.
- Saikkonen K, Nissinen R, Helander M. 2020. Toward comprehensive plant microbiome research. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 8: 61.

- Saleem S, Ragasova LN, Tekielska D, Fidurski M, Sekara A, Pokluda R. 2023. Serendipita indica as a plant growth promoter and biocontrol agent against black rot disease in cabbage grown in a phytotron. Agriculture 13: 2048.
- Salomon MJ, Demarmels R, Watts-Williams SJ, McLaughlin MJ, Kafle A, Ketelsen C, Soupir A, Bücking H, Cavagnaro TR, van der Heijden MGA. 2022. Global evaluation of commercial arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculants under greenhouse and field conditions. *Applied Soil Ecology* 169: 104225.
- Schouteden N, De Waele D, Panis B, Vos CM. 2015. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for the biocontrol of plant-parasitic nematodes: a review of the mechanisms involved. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 6: 1280.
- Topalović O, Geisen S. 2023. Nematodes as suppressors and facilitators of plant performance. *New Phytologist* 238: 2305–2312.
- Tseng Y-H, Rouina H, Groten K, Rajani P, Furch AC, Reichelt M, Furch ACU, Baldwin IT, Nataraja KN, Uma Shaanker R et al. 2020. An endophytic *Trichoderma* strain promotes growth of its hosts and defends against pathogen attack. Frontiers in Plant Science 11: 573670.
- Vos CM, van den Broucke D, Lombi FM, de Waele D, Elsen A. 2012a. Mycorrhiza-induced resistance in banana acts on nematode host location and penetration. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 47: 60–66.
- Vos CM, Claerhout S, Mkandawire R, Panis B, de Waele D, Elsen A. 2012b. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi reduce root-knot nematode penetration through altered root exudation of their host. *Plant and Soil* **35**4: 335–345.
- Vos CM, Schouteden N, van Tuinen D, Chatagnier O, Elsen A, de Waele D, Panis B, Gianinazzi-Pearson V. 2013. Mycorrhiza-induced resistance against the rootknot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* involves priming of defense gene responses in tomato. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 60: 45–54.
- Vos CM, Tesfahun AN, Panis B, de Waele D, Elsen A. 2012c. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi induce systemic resistance in tomato against the sedentary nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* and the migratory nematode *Pratylenchus penetrans. Applied Soil Ecology* 61: 1–6.
- Vosatka M, Dodd JC. 2002. Ecological considerations for successful application of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi inoculum. In: Gianinazzi S, Schüepp H, Barea JM, Haselwandter K, eds. *Mycorrhizal technology in agriculture*. Basel, Switzerland: Birkhäuser, 235–247.
- Wang YJ, Wu QS. 2023. Influence of sugar metabolism on the dialogue between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and plants. *Horticulture Advances* 1: 2.
- Weiß M, Sýkorov Z, Garnica S, Riess K, Martos F, Krause C, Oberwinkler F, Bauer R, Redecker D. 2011. Sebacinales everywhere: previously overlooked ubiquitous fungal endophytes. *PLoS ONE* 6: e16793.
- Wilkinson TDJ, Ferrari J, Hartley SE, Hodge A. 2019. Aphids can acquire the nitrogen delivered to plants by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Functional Ecology* 33: 576–586.
- Wippel K. 2023. Plant and microbial features governing an endophytic lifestyle. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 76: 102483.

**Key words:** arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, mutualism, plant parasitic nematodes, plant pathology, symbiosis.

Received, 9 February 2025; accepted, 18 March 2025.

Disclaimer: The New Phytologist Foundation remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in maps and in any institutional affiliations.