



This is a repository copy of *Diversity in Literature*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/224081/>

Version: Accepted Version

Book Section:

Louwerse, L. (2024) Diversity in Literature. In: Besamusca, E. and Verheul, J., (eds.) Discovering the Dutch: On Culture and Society of the Netherlands. Amsterdam University Press , Amsterdam , pp. 241-254. ISBN 9789463725163

<https://doi.org/10.5117/9789463725163>

© 2024 Authors / Amsterdam University Press B.V., Amsterdam. This is an author-produced version of a book chapter subsequently published in Discovering the Dutch: On Culture and Society of the Netherlands. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Discovering the Dutch: On Culture and Society of the Netherlands

AUP, 2024

Third, revised edition

Edited by Emmeline Besamusca and Jaap Verheul

Table of Contents

Introduction

Emmeline Besamusca and Jaap Verheul

- Neither Wooden Legs nor Wooden Shoes: Elusive Encounters with Dutchness

Wiljan van den Akker

Society

1. Citizens, Coalitions, and the Crown

Emmeline Besamusca

- King's Day: Celebrating the Nation
- Binnenhof: Traditional Heart of a Modern Democracy

2. Politics between Accommodation and Commotion

Ido de Haan

- Pillarization: Pacification and Segregation
- Populism: Fear and Discontent

3. Economy of the Polder

Jan Luiten van der Zanden

- Bulbs, Flowers, and Cheese: The Agricultural Face of an Urban Economy
- Shell: Corporate Legacy of Colonialism

4. Dilemmas of the Welfare State

Lex Heerma van Voss

- Pensions: Well-Deserved and Well-Funded
- Labor Productivity: Balancing Work and Leisure

5. *Randstad* Holland

Ben de Pater and Rob van der Vaart

- The Amsterdam Canal Ring: Urban Heritage of the Golden Age
- The Port of Rotterdam: Logistical Hub of Europe

6. Distinctive within the Global Fold?

Paul Schnabel

- Sinterklaas: A Controversial Morality Tale
- *Fiets*: Cycling as a Way of Life

History

7. From the Periphery to the Center

Marco Mostert

- The Roman *Limes*: A Cultural Meeting Place
- *Hebban Olla Vogala*: The Beginnings of Literature

8. The Golden Age

Maarten Prak

- The Tulip Bubble: Horticultural Speculation
- Willem of Orange: Founding Father

9. A Tradition of Tolerance

Wijnand Mijnhardt

- Hugo Grotius: Founder of Enlightenment Thought
- The Portuguese Synagogue: Monument of Asylum

10. From Colonial Past to Post-Colonial Present

Gert Oostindie

- Indonesian Independence: Silenced Warfare, Hesitant Reconciliation
- Slavery: Recognizing a Black Page in Colonial History

11. Dealing with the Second World War

Christ Klep and Jaap Verheul

- *Ausweis*: The Dangers of Identity Registration
- Anne Frank: Icon of the Holocaust

12. The Legacy of the Sixties

James Kennedy

- Secularization: Finding Meaning in Modernity
- Bible Belt: Shifting Fault Lines

Art & Culture

13. Global Dutch

Marjo van Koppen

- Frisian: Acknowledging Linguistic Pluralism
- Afrikaans: Creolized Heritage

14. Remembering Rembrandt

Feike Dietz and Tessa van de Warenburg

- *Wilhelmus*: A Militant Anthem
- *Admiral*: Self-Made Hero

15. Painting the Nation

Chris Stolwijk

- *The Potato Eaters*: Rural Realism
- *Victory Boogie Woogie*: The Rhythm of Life

16. Diversity in Literature

Henriette Louwerse

- Literary Prizes: Awarding Diversity
- *Confrontations*: Rewriting the Rules

17. Feminist Politics, Art, and Activism

Jesse van Amelsvoort, Rosemarie Buikema, and Sophie van den Elzen

- *Dolle Mina*: Second-Wave Feminism and the Media
- Sister Outsider: Being Woman, Lesbian, and Black

18. The Double Bind of Television

Sonja de Leeuw

- Broadcasting Guides: Mediating Identities
- *The Voice of Holland*: Celebrity and Fan Culture

Contemporary Issues

19. Living with Water

Rob van der Vaart

- The Beemster Polder: Masterpiece of Designed Reclamation
- The North Sea Flood: Inducement for the Delta Works

20. Freedom of Education

Emmeline Besamusca

- Aletta Jacobs: Emancipation through Education
- Canon of Dutch History: Windows on Collective Memory

21. Immigration and Diversity

Han Entzinger

- Indonesian *Rijsttafel*: Sharing the Table
- Mosques in the Polder: Markers of Diversity

22. Legal Culture

Bald de Vries

- *Coffeeshops*: Controlled Permissiveness
- The Constitution: Formatting the State

23. Idealism and Self-Interest in the World

Duco Hellema

- International Courts: Legal Capital of the World
- Srebrenica: A Catastrophic Peace Mission

24. In Foreign Eyes

Jaap Verheul

- Hans Brinker: Morality Behind the Dykes
- Frau Antje: Ambassador of Dutchness

Notes

About the Authors

Illustrations

Index

16 Diversity in Literature

Henriette Louwerse

Like all Western European countries, the Netherlands is a multiethnic and multicultural society. About 25 percent of its citizens have a connection with migration, either because they were born outside of the Netherlands or because their parents or grandparents were. The Netherlands has become what Steven Vertovec calls a super-diverse society with a high level of migration and a high degree of differentiation between and within groups. The us–them binary, in which newcomers are urged to adapt and assimilate, is slowly making way for the recognition that a higher degree of intercultural competence is required by all: all Dutch are part of this dynamic society, whether or not one has a migration history.

Since the early 2000s a sustained attention to sociocultural integration has dominated the political and media debate. Ethnocultural nationalist sentiments may still be on the rise, there is also a growing awareness that the way forward is to face up to the nation’s colonial past and to racial and ethnic inequalities within society today. One of the manifestations of this growing awareness is a widespread acceptance of the need for diverse representation in politics, the media, and business, but especially in literature and the arts.

During the influential Frankfurter Buchmesse in Germany in 2016, the Dutch and Flemish delegation presented their authors with the slogan “This is what we share.” The promotion campaign highlighted ten authors in particular; no author of color was included. Five years on, that would be unthinkable. The need for diversity and inclusion has penetrated social, political, and cultural life. Authors of color and authors with a migration background are widely and publicly recognized and celebrated. Surinamese-Dutch author Astrid Roemer was awarded the three-yearly Dutch Literature Prize in 2021, Anton de Kom—author of the seminal *We Slaves of Suriname*—was officially included in the Canon of Dutch History, and second-generation Eurasian (*Indo*) writer Alfred Birney won two national prizes for this novel *The Interpreter from Java (De tolk van Java)* which addresses an unprocessed and violent colonial past. Young authors and poets like Simone Atangana Bekono, Radna Fabias, and Lisette Ma Neza top the lists during literary festivals.

Whether they are postcolonial writers—a term that tends to be reserved for authors with links to the former Dutch colonies—or diasporic writers with a different migration background, their writing is considered relevant, critical, and urgent. They represent a movement that is slowly and irreversibly redrawing and redefining a cultural landscape in which the diasporic experience is no longer relegated to the periphery but is shifting from the margin to the center. Increasingly, the Netherlands is a place “where cultural hybridity and multiplicity of being and of belonging are the norm.”¹

This chapter will discuss recent expressions of diversity in Dutch literature. The debate around migration, racism, and the colonial past has become an important theme in the past decades. Although many white Dutch authors address these issues in their writing too, this chapter will focus on the diasporic authors and their literary response to issues of inclusion and exclusion. How does their work and their engagement with the public debate impact on the Dutch self-image? What is the impact of their artistic alliances? How do they redefine Dutchness? This chapter does not suggest that there is a single story to be told about diversity in Dutch literature. Each author responds to their specific situation. However, the critical frame of superdiversity reveals patterns, strategies, and alliances that have previously gone unnoticed.²

The Country in Me (1996)

In the 1990s, migration authors took center stage amid a flurry of publications. The appearance of these second-generation newcomers gave rise to what Liesbeth Minnaard recently called a “multicultural hype” in which multiculturalism and the multicultural society became the dominant political and social issue.³ Publishers were looking for “migrant authors” to bring their portfolios into the modern age. No newspaper was complete without an “outsider” columnist. The new multicultural Netherlands was resolutely pinned on the

¹ Serena Scarabello and Marleen de Witte, “Afro-European Modes of Self-Making: Afro-Dutch and Afro-Italian Projects Compared”, *Open Cultural Studies* 3, no. 1 (January 2019): 317-331.

² Using generic labels is always both uncomfortable and unavoidable. I follow Reni Eddo-Lodge and many others in the use of people of color to indicate anybody who is not white. Black is used for writers of African and Afro-Caribbean heritage and for people of mixed race. There is equally much debate about capitalizing Black. See, for example, Kwame Anthony Appiah, “The Case for Capitalising the B in Black”, *The Atlantic*, 18 June 2020. After much deliberation, I opted for Black and white. Diasporic writers is used as a generic term to indicate all authors who identify with a heritage of migration.

³ Liesbeth Minnaard, “‘We doen immers niet aan ras.’ Interculturaliteit, postkolonialisme en ras in de Nederlandse letterkunde,” *Nederlandse letterkunde* 26, no. 22-3 (2021): 230.

second-generation authors with Moroccan or Turkish ancestry whose fathers had been recruited for a labor-hungry industry in the late 1960s and early 1970s. The interest arose from their “otherness” which sprung from their bicultural background, their other language (preferably Arabic), and their Islamic upbringing. It is striking that color was absent: the focus was steadfastly on language, culture, and religion.

The new authors were by no means the first authors of color who wrote in Dutch. Writers from the former Dutch colonies of Suriname and Indonesia as well as the Dutch Antilles were established, or emerging, names in Dutch literary circles: Albert Helman, Frank Martinus Arion, Bea Vianen, Astrid Roemer, Marion Bloem, and Alfred Birney. However, their work was categorized as Surinamese, Antillean, or Dutch East Indian literature, evoking the legacy of the colonial ties. This was regarded as a different category from the writing of second-generation labor migrants, or of exilic authors, such as the Iranian-born Kader Abdolah. The latter represented Dutch multicultural society, seemingly unrelated to the nation’s colonial legacy.⁴

The mood of celebratory multiculturalism was reflected by the programmatic volume *Het land in mij (The Country in Me)*, compiled and prefaced by Ayfer Ergün in 1996.⁵ *The Country in Me* is a collection of twelve stories by emerging writers with a migration background with the subtitle “New stories by young writers on the borderline between two worlds.” The cover shows a dark blue sky over what appears to be a desert landscape with in the bottom right corner the eye of a woman whose face blends in with the sand. Ergün describes the contributors as second-generation Turkish and Moroccan authors who publish in Dutch, and she adds: “Their stories reflect influences and impressions that are new for Dutch literature.”⁶ That is certainly how the new authors were hailed: new, exotic, and different. For the literary establishment they embodied successful cultural integration and a window on the experience of the “others” that increasingly filled Dutch cities, schools, and workplaces.

⁴ In contrast with the present interest in investigating rather than ignoring the colonial past, in the 1990s there was a distinct silence around colonial history. Although it is part of Dutch national history, it was not part of its consciousness or national narrative. As Gloria Wekker argues, “the loss of empire is not worked through, but simply forgotten,” in *White Innocence. Paradoxes of Colonialism and Race* (Durham and London: Duke University Press, 2016), 17.

⁵ Ayfer Ergün, ed., *Het land in mij: Nieuwe verhalen van jonge schrijvers op de grens van twee werelden* (Amsterdam: Arena, 1996).

⁶ Ergün, 7-8.

Ergün emphasizes that the contributors do not want to be lumped together under one convenient and reductive label: “After all, they each have their own background, create their own style and cover divergent topics.”⁷ She rejects categorization and labeling used to suppress the authors’ individuality and artistry. “Migrant” or “allochthonous” (lit.: from elsewhere) were labels to keep the new writers in their place by focusing on their background rather than on their literary products or engagement with textuality. This rejection must also be read against the background of the literary culture at the time with multiculturalism still very much pinned on the “other.” White Dutch authors enjoyed the freedom to express their individual concerns unbound by moral or social duties. Unlike today, there was little or no expectation of social or political engagement through their writing.

In 1997, when Dutch-Surinamese columnist and author Anil Ramdas suggested that white Dutch authors were shirking their moral responsibility as society’s mediators by either erasing or stereotyping the presence of race in their writing, he encountered fierce opposition.⁸ Many felt that Ramdas was undermining the fundamental right of an author to produce art away from transient political issues or societal discontent.⁹ In practice, however, this autonomy was reserved for white authors only: the “newcomers” were expected to use their specific position in society as the driving force behind their writing. They were either the ethnic informant, allowing readers a glimpse into their “other” world, or the cultural bridge, aiming to further societal cohesion. When Ergün rejects labeling based on cultural background, it is this differentiation between “real literature” and “intercultural writing” that she rallies against.

Hafid Bouazza

One of the contributors to *Het land in mij*, Hafid Bouazza, could not agree more. Bouazza would develop into the most remarkable and outspoken writer of this generation. His oeuvre

⁷ Ergün, 8-9.

⁸ Ranil Ramdas, “Moedwil en kwade trouw bij blanke schrijvers; Niemand heeft oog voor het vreemde,” *NRC Handelsblad*, 14 March 1997. The most outspoken response to Ramdas’ article came from Joost Zwagerman whose novel *De buitenvrouw* had been taken as an example of bad faith in Ramdas’ article. See, for example Sjoerd de Jong, “Zwagerman verdedigt zijn integriteit,” *NRC Handelsblad*, 7 April 1997.

⁹ According to Zwagerman, writers are “on call” to comment on social issues. In his defense, Zwagerman was one of the few white authors who introduced Black characters in his novels in the 1990s. Joost Zwagerman, “Literatuur als inloopcentrum,” *NRC Handelsblad*, 28 March 1997.

covers short stories, novels, essays, columns, literary reviews, as well as a theater play and a libretto. He translated and adapted plays by Shakespeare and Marlowe into Dutch and was an avid promoter and translator of Arabic poetry. In 2004, he was awarded the Amsterdam Prize for the Arts (*De Amsterdamse Prijs voor de Kunsten*) and the prestigious literary prize *De Gouden Uil* for his novel *Paravion*. In 2014, he was lauded as the Free Thinker of the Year, by the atheist-humanist society *Het Vrije Woord*. His writing, and at times his public personae, attracted much attention. Bouazza courted controversy with his unrelenting criticism of what he believed were the strictures imposed on individual freedom by Islam. He celebrated intemperance and excess; his alcohol dependency was a recurrent topic during interviews.

Bouazza and his work underline that the relationship between the literary work and the context of its creator is a complicated and delicate issue. The tension between the literary and the extra-literary is a feature of all writing, but during the multicultural hype of the mid-1990s, this tension became critical. The work of these “new” authors could not be read just aesthetically; their work and their presence were too closely connected with the social and political realities of the time. Bouazza opted for radical assimilation. He demanded to be taken seriously as an author, without adjectives or qualifications. His insistence that “real” literature operated in a realm far removed from the messy contingencies of the present, must also be seen as a response to a literary landscape that had not adapted to the new multicultural reality. Bouazza exposed the inequality of a predominantly monocultural system, through his public performance, but above all through his writing.

From his earliest appearance on the literary scene, Bouazza stressed that he neither felt a spokesperson for the Dutch-Moroccan segment of Dutch society nor aimed to further the understanding between cultures: “I am not a social worker.”¹⁰ For him, the world of literature was a world of the individual artist’s imagination, a realm away from the real life of biographically or multiculturally inspired assumptions: “I solemnly believe in the individual expression of the individual artist. I solemnly believe in the personal universe created by the author.”¹¹ Yet, as an author, Bouazza was acutely aware of his position within the literary field and as part of a society that was looking for multicultural success. His response as an artist

¹⁰ Wilma Kieskamp, “Bekroonde Hafid Bouazza gebruikt archaisch Nederlands in sprookjesachtige verhalen,” *Trouw*, 21 January 1997.

¹¹ Hafid Bouazza, *Een beer in bontjas: Autobiografische beschouwingen*, 2nd rev. ed. (Amsterdam: Prometheus, 2004), 62.

was to use his *context* as part of his *text*. In particular his early work revolves around what he would call a “game of deception,” a playful misleading of the reader by confirming *and* undermining their expectations.¹²

Bouazza’s debut *Abdulah’s Feet* (1996) is a case in point.¹³ Almost all of the stories of this collection depict North African village life. The stories have a fairytale-like quality: feet can think and talk, trees turn into humans and vice versa. Yet, the village is far from idyllic: sexual aberrations rule, spiritual leaders are corrupt and degenerate, stealing and cheating are the order of the day. This setting resonates with many Western ideas about the Arab world: cruel, perverted, horrifying, but also romantic, sexually titillating, and perverted. At first sight, Bouazza appears to confirm what his European readers expect from a village in Morocco. However, shrouded in mellifluous language and a playful tone, Bouazza serves his readers oppression of women, fake religious piety, superstitious silliness, and *Jihad* hysteria. The reader is beginning to feel increasingly uncomfortable when they realize that what appeared to be making fun of the exotic Other turns into a confrontation with one’s own (often thoughtlessly racist) attitudes.

Bouazza’s demand for full creative room for maneuver and his rejection of labels and characterizations run as a continuous thread through all his public performances and above all through his work. From his debut to his final enigmatic novella *Meriswin* (2014), Bouazza proved *hors categorie*, beyond categorization. His language was described as baroque, kitsch, and enchanting and his style flew against all expectations of “newcomers” by shunning brevity and reveling in the metaphorical. He seamlessly blends made-up words with forgotten Dutch words. His writing is both rooted in Dutch literary tradition and reaching far beyond it. In embracing the practice of self-making, he forged his own path and challenged existing and exclusionary expectations put on his art.

Vignette 1. Literary Prizes: From Canon to Diversity

Mi have een droom (2010)

¹² Beer in Bontjas, 66.

¹³ Hafid Bouazza, *De voeten van Abdulah* (Amsterdam: Arena, 1996). Available in English as *Abdullah’s Feet*, trans. Ina Rilke (London: Review, 2000).

At the beginning of the twenty-first century, the mood in the country was shifting. In times of societal unrest and discontent, art and literature were called upon to drop their aesthetic disinterestedness and embrace an active role in shaping social and political debates. Ramsey Nasr, author, actor, director, translator, and political activist, son of a Dutch mother and a Palestinian father, unashamedly voiced this artistic responsibility. Upon his appointment as Dutch poet laureate (*Dichter des Vaderlands*), he argued that his poetry should serve the benefit of “a nation in search of itself.” The Netherlands was “in need of a poet” to address urgent questions: “Who are we? What are they, the Netherlands?” And he added, “I think in all modesty that a poet can help with that search. Not by providing answers, but by asking questions. The Netherlands needs a poet.”¹⁴ Nasr’s verbalization of the Netherlands as a country adrift, his drive for poetic meaning outside the strictly aesthetic, his experience as a performer and, not unimportantly, his bicultural background granted him a “natural” authority to speak to and for the nation. He did so with gusto; his best-known poem as poet laureate, *Mi have een droom*, is a particularly effective example.¹⁵

Although this poem, like much of Nasr’s work, is driven by the urgency of content, its impact is determined by the powerful form—of which Nasr’s performance is an integral part. Projected into the future of 2059, *Mi have een droom* is the lament of an elderly white male speaker who feels that his beloved city Roffadam (a thinly disguised Rotterdam) has changed beyond recognition. In an emotional monologue, he blames immigrants, newcomers, for acting as if they own the place and for a lack of respect and good manners. He combines nostalgic longing and regret over his loss of youth with feelings of resentment and discontent. The poem culminates in a vision, his dream (*droom*): to put the clock back to the times of his youth, when, at least in his memory, the city was still a well-organized space where the lines between Black and White were clear and where he could play the role of the alpha male, the “bigtime poenami-master.”

The aggrieved tone of the speaker, his pervasive sense of lost ownership, the drawing on clichés from the immigration debate, even the inclusion of snippets from local soccer anthems—all contribute to the mood of anger and frustration. The speaker feels that his

¹⁴ Ramsey Nasr, “Bij verkiezing van Dichter des Vaderlands speelt poëzie geen rol,” *de Volkskrant*, 19 January 2009.

¹⁵ In 2015 the poem was painted on an 800-meter pedestrian air bridge at Annabel Schietraat 20 in Rotterdam. Ramsey Nasr, *Mi have een droom*. Available online <https://straatpoëzie.nl/gedicht/mi-have-een-droom/>

“natural rights” are being undermined. He claims this stake with the repeated multilingual phrase “Mi have een droom,” which immediately activates the subtext of Martin Luther King’s famous speech “I have a dream.” But whereas King envisioned a future of racial equality and tolerance, in the poem by Nasr the speaker’s dream is an expression of the opposite: his dream is of a city frozen in time (*een stilte die stilstaat*).

Nasr gives voice to the victimized speaker who feels that his world, his city, the place he loves and claims as his own, is being taken over by “others.” At the time Nasr wrote the poem, the narrative of loss was a familiar complaint, but that is not the most striking feature of the poem. What makes *Mi have een droom* such a monument of its time is Nasr’s language. The poem is written in a made-up urban language, a multilingual slang consisting of Dutch, English, German, Surinamese, Arabic, and various other languages, combined with neologisms and invented words. Thus, the speaker expresses his longing for the good old times through a highly hybrid language, his own language, his diasporic mother tongue. It is Nasr’s language that makes his nostalgia for a monocultural past suspect, not because his longing itself is unreal, but because the cultural purity he longs for never existed. An ironic gap stretches between what is said and how it is said, exposing his dream for what it actually is: not vision, but exclusion. It is language that resists and opposes the speaker’s sense of entitlement and belonging. This is poetry designed to quash persistent myths of purity and continuity.

Black: Afro-European literature from the Low Countries (2018)

In 2021, the Rijksmuseum organized a high-profile exhibition under the title “Slavery.” For the first time a national museum devoted an entire exhibition to the Dutch colonial involvement with slavery and, significantly, presented this as an integral part of the story of the Netherlands. Not as a separate, closed off historical period, but as a system that still impacts on Dutch society today. The silence around colonial history has been broken. Cities like Amsterdam, Rotterdam, and Utrecht are investigating their involvement with slavery and offering official apologies. In 2021, Prime Minister Mark Rutte officially acknowledged that there is institutional racism in the Netherlands,¹⁶ and on 19 December 2022 he offered an

¹⁶ That racism is back on the political agenda underlined in the 2021 coalition agreement: “There is no place for institutional racism in our society.” The term racism appears six times in the 2021 coalition agreement

official apology on behalf of the Dutch government for “past actions of the State.”¹⁷ King Willem-Alexander followed suit on 1 July 2023 during Ketikoti, the national ceremony to commemorate the abolition of slavery in Suriname and the former Netherlands Antilles. He recognized: “The horrific legacy of slavery remains with us today. Its effects can still be felt in racism in our society.”¹⁸ Active antiracist movements continue to push for the recognition of the lasting legacy of colonialism in contemporary Western societies.¹⁹ The once cherished self-image of the Netherlands as a color-blind and antiracist nation is no longer tenable.²⁰

This shift did not bypass the Dutch literary world. Also in 2021, Rasit Elibol put together a collection of essays called *The New Colonial Reading List (De nieuwe koloniale leeslijst)*. With a growing commitment to reckon with the colonial past, Elibol argues it is time to redress the balance in literary terms too: “It is urgent to review the traditional canon so that everybody can recognize themselves in those stories.”²¹ Elibol’s use of “everybody” is telling: it takes the multiracial and multicultural diversity of Dutch society as given. The past and present narratives of the Netherlands should cover the (hi)stories and realities of all Dutch and not be the site of a white elite.

This call for a recalibration of Dutch literature is one aspect of a wider emancipatory push in Dutch letters that could be labeled an “Afro-European turn.” New authors of color step into the limelight with a clear affirmation: they come from two continents, Europe and Africa. They also have a clear mission, like the artist and poet Lisette Ma Neza, who announced her performance *L’Europe Noir: Some girls want to go to Europe* as follows: “It is my mission for now. I am seeking the stories of Black Europe, of Afropeans, l’Europe Noir. To understand what it means to be Afropean [...]. Even I, I belong to two continents. To both of

compared with zero mentions in the equivalent document of 2017. *Omzien naar elkaar, vooruitkijken naar de toekomst, Coalitieakkoord 2021-2025*, 15 December 2021, 29.

¹⁷ For a full transcript of PM Mark Rutte’s apology speech:

<https://www.government.nl/latest/news/2022/12/19/government-apologises-for-the-netherlands-role-in-the-history-of-slavery>

¹⁸ For a full transcripts of King Willem-Alexander’s speech:

<https://www.royal-house.nl/documents/speeches/2023/07/01/speech-by-king-willem-alexander-at-the-commemoration-of-the-role-of-the-netherlands-in-the-history-of-slavery>

¹⁹ Important voices are Black Archives Amsterdam, NiNsee (National Institute for the Study of Dutch Slavery and its Legacy), and Mappingslavery.nl. Many initiatives to discover historical ties with slavery and colonialism are taking place on a local level, in towns, provinces and museums.

²⁰ Gloria Wekker, *White Innocence: Paradoxes of Colonialism and Race* (Durham: Duke University Press, 2016), 30-49.

²¹ “Het is hoog tijd om de canon te herzien, zodat iedereen zich kan herkennen in die verhalen,” Rasit Elibol, *De nieuwe koloniale leeslijst* (Amsterdam: Das Mag, 2021), 23.

them at the same time, all at once.”²² Her mission aligns with that of writers Vamba Sheriff and Ebissé Rouw, who in 2018 compiled the volume *Zwart: Afro-Europese literatuur uit de Lage Landen (Black: Afro-European Literature from the Low Countries)*.²³ In the collection’s introduction they state that they want to expose and remedy the absence of Black stories and Black experience within Dutch literature and the broader Low Countries context, because the stories “that are specific to the geographical context of Black people in the Low Countries are being ignored.”²⁴ They see this as part of a systemic absence—or denial—of the Black experience in the Netherlands and Belgium: Blackness is suppressed within the Dutch-language literary tradition, while American Black authors—who describe events and situations that took and are taking place at a safe distance—are widely read and celebrated.²⁵

In addition to representation, Sheriff and Bouw add a second dimension to the role and position of Black authors. Their stories not only *reflect* the diversity of the Netherlands, they also play an active role in *shaping* today’s social and political debate. They do not just grapple with a “complex heritage” that gives rise to questions of identity that negotiate two worlds. Their role exceeds the personal as they are also actively engaged in the shaping of “the discourse around big social and political themes that concern the world today: injustice, inequality, chauvinism, sexism, racism in all its manifestations.”²⁶ These writers do not see their roles confined to “words on a page”; they are at the center of critical global movements and thus it is their role to redress, reshape, and represent the world today. This is an unapologetic, self-assured verbalization of the specific transnational position of the Black and diasporic author in the Dutch literary landscape and, significantly, beyond.

Breaking out of a national frame is a third aspect of the position described by Bouw and Sheriff. The evocation of new, transnational alliances, the Afro-European—or Afropean—creates a reality and space in which a Black author, or any author of color, can connect with a creative heritage beyond the white Dutch/European frame. The escape from

²² The quotation is a transcription of a video for the *Europalia Arts Festival*, Lisette Ma Neza_Europe Noir available on Vimeo: <https://vimeo.com/647778492>. She credits Johny Pitts, *Afropean: Notes from Black Europe* (London: Penguin Books, 2020) as her source of inspiration.

²³ Vamba Sherif and Ebissé Rouw, eds., *Zwart: Afro-Europese literatuur uit de Lage Landen [Black: Afro-European Literature from the Low Countries]* (Amsterdam: Atlas Contact, 2018).

²⁴ Sherif and Rouw, 8.

²⁵ Gloria Wekker attributes this lack of interest in and representation of Blackness to a collective Dutch dislike “to be identified with migrants,” because that would undermine “the dominant representation [...] of Dutchness as whiteness and being Christian.” *White Innocence*, 6 and 7.

²⁶ Sherif and Rouw, 10.

a reductive national context, in which white means to be universal, opens a discursive space for the creation of new stories and the discovery of old ones. It also allows for a new sense of belonging to a collective Black community in Europe. Instead of something to repress or deny, race offers an escape from existing hierarchies that are based on the outdated assumption that being Dutch means being monocultural and monoethnic.

Vignette 2. *Confrontations*: Rewriting the Rules

Many poets

In the early 2020s, there is a growing recognition that the past is not simply behind us, but equally around us and part of us. As a result of the increased interest in Dutch colonial history, the silence around the lasting legacy of Dutch imperialism has been broken. Politically, the Netherlands is slowly moving away from a debate in which the integration is cast in terms of cultural essentialism and calls for assimilation. There is a budding consciousness of collective diversity in which “national culture” is constantly defined and redefined. Many authors search for their personal history and reflect on how it affects their position in the world today. Writers like Johan Fretz, Karin Amatmoekrim, and Raoul de Jong trace their Surinamese ancestry to open up a space for their mixed heritage within Dutch literature. However, there is still work to be done. The jurors of the 2022 Libris Literary Award noted that the diversity characteristic of today’s Dutch society is still not reflected in contemporary literary production: “it is imperative that publishers keep investing in a diverse portfolio.”²⁷

That present-day diversity also demands a review of canonical literary texts is recognized as a moral imperative.²⁸ The renewed interest in *We Slaves of Suriname*, the seminal work of Surinamese author Anton de Kom, is a case in point. Originally published in

²⁷ Libris Prize jury report: <https://librisprijs.nl/juryrapport-nominaties-libris-literatuur-prijs-2022>

²⁸ In addition to Elibol, there is the extensive *De postkoloniale Spiegel: De Nederlands-Indische letteren herlezen*, eds. Rick Honings, Coen van 't Veer, and Jacqueline Bel [The Postcolonial Mirror: Dutch East-Indian literature reread] (Leiden: Leiden University Press, 2021).

1934, De Kom records the history of Suriname and the cruelties of the Dutch colonial occupation from the point of view of the Surinamese, the oppressed. For years, De Kom and his writing were celebrated—and debated—in Suriname, but marginalized in the Netherlands. Recently, the recognition of the importance of De Kom and his work has become symbolic of the way the Dutch silence around the colonial past is being broken. In a significant gesture to recognize and redress, De Kom was included in the official Canon of the Netherlands in 2020.²⁹

Nearly three decades of diasporic writing also reveal that labels and categories are strategically created, or resisted, to challenge existing structures and hierarchies. The emergence of a transnational Afro-European perspective allows literary authors to forge a new way of being in the world, away from the often still monoculturally and racially connotated Dutchness. A quarter of a century earlier, authors rejected the label “migration writing” in order to expose the expectations of the Dutch reading public and to challenge concepts of group identity for some and the right to individuality for others. Adapted for their specific cultural time and space, both the editors of *The Country in Me* (1996) and of *Black* (2018) are questioning existing understandings of “real” literature, or of “Dutchness.”

Today’s diverse Dutch literature is a place to explore questions of race, identity, and belonging, challenging existing and exclusionary singularity and offering inspiration and empowerment. Over a decade after Ramsey Nasr’s observation that the Netherlands needed a poet, his observation sounds like stating the obvious. Of course, the Netherlands need poets, and fortunately, there are many.

²⁹ The Canon of the Netherlands is predominantly an information and teaching tool, but it offers an insight into how the Netherlands wants to present itself as a nation: <https://www.canonvannederland.nl/>

Further reading

Abdolah, Kader. *My Father's Notebook*. Translated by Susan Massotty. Edinburgh: Canongate Books, 2011.

Atangana Bekono, Simone. *How the First Sparks Became Visible*. Translated by David Colmer. Birmingham: The Emma Press, 2021.

Atangana Bekono, Simone. *Confrontations*. Translated by Suzanne Heukensfeldt Jansen. London: Profile Books, 2024.

Benali, Abdelkader. *Wedding by the Sea*. Translated by Susan Massotty. London: W&N, 1999.

Birney, Alfred. *The Interpreter from Java*. Translated by David Doherty. New York: Apollo 2020.

Bouazza, Hafid. *Abdullah's Feet*. Translated by Ina Rilke. London: Headline Review, 2000.

Fabias, Radna. *Habitus*. Translated by David Colmer. Los Angeles: Phoneme Media, 2021.

Al Galidi, Rodaan. *Two Blankets, Three Sheets*. Translated by Jonathan Reeder. New York: World Editions, 2020.

_____ *The Leash and the Ball*. New York: World Editions, 2022.

Kom, Anton de. Translated by David McKay. *We Slaves of Suriname*. London: Polity Press, 2022.

Nasr, Ramsey. *Heavenly Life: Selected Poems*. Translated by David Colmer. London: Banipal Books, 2010.

Schaffer, Alfred. *Man Animal Thing*. Translated by Michelle Hutchinson. London: Eyewear Publishing, 2021.

Vignette 1. Literary Prizes: From Canon to Diversity

Literary prizes are an important part of the Dutch literary landscape. The Dutch language area enjoys over eighty literary prizes or awards. Apart from boosting the income and reputation of individual authors and their publishers, literary prizes are also significant cultural players in their own right. Their engagement exceeds literary-aesthetic qualities; they also reflect—and direct—ideological and societal concerns. Increasingly, juries of literary prizes are aware that literary prizes should not reproduce existing inequalities. Diversity is on the agenda.

Not all prizes are the same. There are state, sponsored, genre, and special interest group prizes. The jewel in the crown is the three-yearly *Prijs der Nederlandse Letteren* (Dutch Literature Prize) to honor a lifetime contribution to literature in Dutch. Introduced in 1956 as a joint Belgian and Dutch state prize, it involves a royal presentation in either Brussels or Amsterdam. Winning the prize is little short of a consecration, a sure way to cement one's place in the literary canon. In 2021, this honor was bestowed on Astrid Roemer as the first author of color and the first Surinamese writer to be recognized. The symbolic moment, however, sustained a scratch: when Roemer openly showed support for the former dictator and president of Suriname Desi Bouterse, who was convicted of murder and drug trafficking, the festive ceremony in Brussels was called off.

A prominent Amsterdam hotel and live television broadcasts are associated with the high-profile Libris Literature Award, sponsored by a national chain of bookstores. Modeled on the British Booker Prize, Libris offers fifty thousand euros and the benefit of a significant boost in book sales. The Libris jury has considerably more room to respond to societal movements than the Dutch Literature Prize: the award is presented yearly for a recent novel in Dutch. To date, the prize has been awarded three times to an author with a migration background, i.e. about 11 percent, of which twice in the past six years. In 2022, the Libris jury explicitly recognized diversity issues and its social responsibility: “Not by a long stretch is the diversity that characterizes contemporary society reflected in the authorship of Dutch literature. Publishing houses will have to continue to invest in a culturally diverse offer.”

A successful early recognizer of the impact of literary awards is the El Hizra Foundation. This Amsterdam-based foundation, advocating the Arab cultural and literary heritage, aims to “contribute to an inclusive society in which there is room for all citizens and

where participation is more than a matter of course.” The El Hizra Literary Prize, launched in 1992, takes the form of a writing competition to encourage and promote literary production by the Arabic and Berber diaspora in the broadest sense. The main incentive to participate is perhaps not the modest 350 euros in prize money, but rather the successful publicity campaign, the publication of the winning contribution, and the creative coaching the winners receive. Several winners of larger literary prizes, e.g. Abdelkader Benali and Mustafa Stitou, were thus first spotted and encouraged.

Vignette 2. *Confrontations*: Rewriting the Rules

The highly acclaimed 2020 debut novel by Simone Atangana Bekono, *Confrontations* (*Confrontaties*), depicts the impact of everyday racist bullying on the self-image of the teenager Salomé, who, like Atangana Bekono, has a Dutch mother and a father from Cameroon. Salomé struggles with an inward split, a “double-consciousness,” described by W.E.B. Du Bois as “the sense of always looking at oneself through the eyes of others.”³⁰ Salomé Atabong senses that there are two versions of her: one that is having fun and engages in the things normal (white) teenagers do, e.g. traveling abroad or spending too much money. The second Salomé is the I-narrator of the novel, who carries a scar on her chin as a reminder of why she is serving six months in a youth detention center. She has inflicted serious bodily harm on two of her former classmates. The two subjected her to repeated racial aggression and bullying from her first day at secondary school.

Writing about racial inequality and discrimination is in itself not new. Arguably the internationally best-known Dutch novel, *Max Havelaar* (1860) by Multatuli, challenges the double exploitation of the Javanese laborers in the colonial Dutch East Indies (today’s Indonesia). What is new is the way in which contemporary writers sound a self-aware demand to be heard, to make space not out of pity or benevolence, but because it is high time to redress Dutchness, to adjust the self-image of the nation in line with the multicultural and multiethnic reality of the Netherlands of the twenty-first century.

In 2016, the Surinamese-Dutch scholar Gloria Wekker put the discussion around Dutch self-representation firmly on the map. In *White Innocence* she argues that the way the Netherlands thinks of itself as a small, egalitarian, “colorblind” nation not only belies Dutch imperial history, but also serves to cover up existing structural inequalities. Monoethnicism and monoculturalism still prevail as the unspoken ideal, according to Wekker. In the early 2020s, it is clear that fewer and fewer people are sticking to the script. Many Dutch authors of color express their lived reality through their stories and their characters. Racism, coming of age in a predominantly white environment, identity, and mixed race in the Netherlands—these themes are no longer unsaid.

³⁰ W.E.B. Du Bois, *The Souls of Black Folk* [1903], ed. Brent Hayes Edwards (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), 8.

Salomé's family encourage her to be compliant, to work hard, and sit out her sentence, but the years of humiliation prevent her from playing along. She wants to regain control not by apologizing but by rewriting the rulebook. *Confrontations* ends with a powerful politico-artistic statement. The power structures as we know them can no longer keep Salomé in the place assigned to her, at the sidelines. She confronts one of her classmates and demands that she be called by her full name: Salomé Henriette Constance Atabong. It is a healing gesture as well as a call to engage with systemic inequalities and to be truly inclusive: "I am not on the fringes; I am right in the center."³¹

³¹ "Ik ben niet aan de rand, ik ben er middenin," Simone Atangana Bekono, *Confrontaties* (Amsterdam, Lebowski, 2021), 22.

About the Authors

Wiljan van den Akker

is Emeritus Distinguished Professor of Modern Poetry. He has been Dean of the Faculty of Humanities and Vice-Rector for Research at Utrecht University. Previously, he served as Director of Institutes at the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW) and Chair of the Board of Humanities at the Dutch Research Council (NWO). He wrote a PhD thesis on the Dutch poet Martinus Nijhoff and has lectured in Berlin, Paris, and Berkeley. His first volume of poetry, *De Afstand* (De Arbeiderspers, 2008), was awarded the C. Buddingh' Prize for new Dutch poetry.

Jesse van Amelsvoort

is Lecturer in Modern European Literature at the University of Amsterdam. His research on the social role of literature, gender and postcolonial studies, European studies, and the environmental humanities has been published in *Dutch Crossing*, *Global Perspectives*, *Parallax*, and *Tulsa Studies in Women's Literature*. In November 2021, he defended his PhD dissertation entitled *A Europe of Connections: Post-National Worlds in Contemporary Minority Literature* at the University of Groningen and published *Loft en lân: Gesprekken over Tsjêbbe Hettinga* (Bornmeer, 2021).

Emmeline Besamusca

is Assistant Professor in Dutch Studies and Intercultural Communication at Utrecht University, and Lecturer in Low Countries Studies at the University of Vienna. She is a regular guest lecturer at numerous universities across Europe.

Rosemarie L. Buikema

is Professor of Art, Culture, and Diversity at Utrecht University. She chairs the UU Graduate Gender Programme, is the academic director of the Netherlands Research School of Gender Studies (NOG) and project leader of the virtual Museum of Equality and Difference (MOED.online). She has published widely in the field of gender studies and postcolonial critique. Her current research focuses on the role of the arts in the implementation of

political transitions. Her latest monograph entitled *Revolts in Cultural Critique* was recently published by Rowman & Littlefield (2021).

Feike Dietz

is Professor Global Dynamics of Dutch Literature at the University of Amsterdam and is specialized in early modern literature. Her research focuses on the relationship between literature, knowledge, and literacy, especially in regard to youth and women. She authored *Lettering Young Readers in the Dutch Enlightenment: Literacy, Agency and Progress in Eighteenth-Century Children's Books* (Palgrave, 2021). In Spring 2020, she was a NIAS fellow in the theme group "Understanding Knowledge in the Low Countries," to analyze the conceptualization of knowledge among early modern women writers. She is one of the project leaders of the NWO Project "Language Dynamics in the Dutch Golden Age" (Utrecht University, 2016-2023) in which literary and linguistics strategies of language variation are analyzed from an interdisciplinary perspective. She is editor of LitLab (website for literature education in secondary schools) and the international journal *Early Modern Low Countries*.

Sophie van den Elzen

is postdoctoral researcher at Utrecht University. She specializes in cultural history, literary studies, and cultural memory studies. She is currently part of the ERC project "Remembering Activism: The Cultural Memory of Protest in Europe," and defended her dissertation *Antislavery in the Transnational Movement for Women's Rights, 1832-1914: A Study of Memory Work* at Utrecht University in 2021.

Han B. Entzinger

is Emeritus Professor of Migration and Integration Studies at Erasmus University Rotterdam and Research Fellow at the Center for Migration Law at Radboud University in Nijmegen. He formerly held a chair in Social Sciences at Utrecht University and worked at the UN's International Labour Organization in Geneva. Furthermore, he chaired the Board of Directors of the European network of research institutes on migration (IMISCOE), as well as the Scientific Committee of the EU Fundamental Rights Agency in Vienna. His research interests include international migration, diversity, multiculturalism, and public policy. Among his recent publications are: *Integrating Immigrants in Europe: Research-Policy Dialogues*, ed.

with P. Scholten, R. Penninx, and S. Verbeek (Cham: Springer, 2015); *Migratie* (Amsterdam University Press, 2018); *Human Rights Law and Evidence-Based Policy: The Impact of the EU's Fundamental Rights Agency*, ed. With R. Byrne (London: Routledge, 2020).

Ido de Haan

is Professor of Political History at Utrecht University. His fields of interest are the political history of Western Europe in the nineteenth and twentieth century, the history of the Holocaust and other genocides, as well as regime changes and political transition since the early modern period. Among his recent publications in English are *The Politics of Moderation in Modern European History*, ed. With M. Lok (Palgrave, 2019) and *Securing Europe after Napoleon: 1815 and the new European security culture*, ed. with B. de Graaf and B. Vick (Cambridge University Press, 2019).

Lex Heerma van Voss

is a research fellow of the International Institute of Social History in Amsterdam. Before his retirement, he was Director of the Huygens Institute for the History of the Netherlands and Professor of the History of Social Security at Utrecht University. He studied history in Utrecht and Paris and wrote a PhD thesis on the introduction of the eight-hour working day in the Netherlands. He has published on the comparative history of dockers, textile workers, and prostitutes, on the history of capitalism around the North Sea in the Dutch Golden Age, and on the long-term history of social security.

Duco A. Hellema

is Emeritus Professor of History of International Relations at Utrecht University. He studied political science at Leiden University and wrote a PhD at the University of Amsterdam on the position of the Netherlands at the time of the Hungarian Revolution and the Suez Crisis, entitled *1956* (Uitgeverij Jan Mets, 1990). He has published widely on the history of international relations in general and Dutch foreign relations in particular, among which *Nederland in de wereld*, 6th ed. ("The Netherlands in the World"; Het Spectrum, 2016), *Nederland en de jaren zeventig* ("The Netherlands and the 1970s"; Boom, 2012), and *The Global 1970s* (Routledge, 2019). With Margriet van Lith he published *Dat hadden we nooit moeten doen* on the Dutch Labor Party in the 1990s ("We should never have done that";

Prometheus, 2020). Recently, his fiction debut was released under the title *Rendez-vous in Praag* (Prometheus, 2023).

James Kennedy

is Professor of Modern Dutch History at Utrecht University. Originally from the United States, he moved to the Netherlands in 2003 to serve as Professor of History at VU Amsterdam and later the University of Amsterdam. From 2015 to 2020 he was Dean of University College Utrecht. He has written extensively on the postwar Netherlands, and received funding for research on euthanasia, drug policy, corruption, social policies of exclusion, and church–state relations. He is author of *A Concise History of the Netherlands* (Cambridge, 2017), among other works. He comments on current events as a columnist for the Dutch daily newspaper *Trouw*.

Christ P.M. Klep

is a freelance contemporary historian, specializing in military history and international affairs. In recent years, he lectured at the universities of Amsterdam and Leiden. He defended his PhD in 2008, entitled *Somalië, Rwanda, Srebrenica: De nasleep van drie ontspoorde vredesmissies* (“Somalia, Rwanda, Srebrenica: The Aftermath of Three Peace Missions Gone Awry”; Boom Publishers, 2008). He co-authored *De Bevrijding van Nederland 1944-1945* (“The Liberation of the Netherlands, 1944-1945”; Sdu, 1995). In 2019 he published *Van wereldmacht tot “braafste jongetje.” Onze militaire identiteit door de eeuwen heen* (From World Power to “the Best Boy in Class”: Our Military Identity Throughout the Centuries (Athenaeum, 2019).

Marjo van Koppen

is Professor of Language Variation in Dutch at Utrecht University and a senior researcher at the Meertens Institute (Royal Academy of Arts and Sciences). She lectures in the Dutch department on Dutch linguistics and the history of Dutch. Her main research interests are the syntactic variation between individual speakers, dialects, and languages. The aim of her research is to uncover the locus of variation in human language. She also works on the related question of how and why language changes.

Sonja de Leeuw

is Emeritus Professor of Dutch Television Culture in an International Context at Utrecht University. Her fields of interest are the history and culture of European television and media, television heritage and digital humanities, and television satire. She cofounded the European Television History Network (www.televisionhistory.eu) and coordinated the EU-funded research project “EUScreen: Exploring Europe’s Television Heritage in Changing Contexts” (www.euscreen.eu). She was coleader of a research project on “The Power of Satire: Cultural Boundaries Contested” (www.powerofsatire.org). She is cofounder and co-editor-in-chief of the e-journal *VIEW: Journal of European Television History and Culture*. She has written books on Dutch television drama, on television pioneer Erik de Vries, and on the cultural history of television in the Netherlands. She has widely published on European television culture, television drama, and on media and identity.

Henriette Louwerse

is Senior Lecturer in the School of Languages and Cultures at the University of Sheffield, UK. She teaches and publishes on Neerlandophone literature about self and other in the broadest sense. She admires the writing of Hafid Bouazza on whose oeuvre she wrote her PhD thesis. More recently she is interested in representations of slavery and empire in teaching materials, such as the graphic novel *My Life in Slavery* by Ineke Quaco, which she translated with Sheffield students and Jonathan Reeder. She was the Chair of the International Society for Dutch Studies (IVN) from 2015-2022.

Wijnand W. Mijnhardt

is Emeritus Professor of Comparative History of the Sciences and the Humanities, and former Director and founder of the Descartes Centre for the History and Philosophy of the Sciences at Utrecht University. He has been affiliated with the Institute for Advanced Studies in Princeton and the Getty Research Institute in Los Angeles. He has published widely on Dutch intellectual history, on the Dutch Republic in the eighteenth century and on the Enlightenment. He co-authored (with Joost Kloek) *1800: Blueprints for a National Community* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2005), (with Lynn Hunt and Margaret Jacob) *The Book that Enlightened Europe: Picart and Bernard's Religious Ceremonies of the World* (Harvard University Press,

2010), and (with Paul Brusse) *Towards a New Template for Dutch history. De-urbanization and the Balance between City and Countryside* (WBooks, 2011).

Marco Mostert

is Professor of Medieval Written Culture at Utrecht University. Apart from many publications on the social history of literacy and communication, he has also written on the (early) medieval history of the Low Countries. Both interests are evident in “The Early History of Written Culture in the Northern Netherlands,” in *Along the Oral-Written Continuum: Types of Text, Relations and Their Implications*, edited by S. Rankovic, L. Melve, & E. Mundal (Utrecht Studies in Medieval Literacy 20, Turnhout, 2010). An English edition of his recent survey of “Dutch” history in the first millennium, *In de Marge van de Beschaving* (Bert Bakker, 2009) is in preparation.

Gert Oostindie

is Emeritus Professor of Colonial and Postcolonial History at Leiden University. Until his retirement at the end of 2021, he was director of the KITLV/Royal Institute of Southeast Asian and Caribbean Studies and Professor of Caribbean History at Leiden University. His principal areas of research are the Caribbean and Dutch (post)colonial history in a comparative perspective. His books in English include *Beyond the Pale. Dutch Extreme Violence in the Indonesian War of Independence, 1945-1949* (Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press, 2022), *Colonialism and Slavery: An Alternative History of the Port City of Rotterdam*, ed. (Leiden University Press, 2021), *Realm between Empires: The Second Dutch Atlantic, 1680-1815*, with Wim Kloster (Cornell University Press, 2018), *Dutch Atlantic Connections, 1680-1800: Linking Empires, Bridging Borders*, ed. with Jessica Vance Roitman (Brill, 2014), *Postcolonial Netherlands: Sixty-Five Years of Forgetting, Commemorating, Silencing* (Amsterdam University Press, 2011). He served on many editorial, scholarly, and governmental committees both in the Netherlands and abroad, and frequently contributes to the Dutch mass media on his areas of expertise. Oostindie is presently directing a research program commissioned by King Willem-Alexander on the role of the royal family in Dutch colonial history.

Ben C. de Pater

is retired (since 2021) Associate Professor from the Department of Human Geography and Urban and Regional Planning at Utrecht University. In the 1990s, he was editor-in-chief of the journals *Geografie* and *Geografie-Educatief*, published by the Royal Dutch Geographical Society (KNAG). In 2019, he wrote/edited (with Ed de Mulder and Joos Droogleever Fortuijn) *The Netherlands and the Dutch: A Physical and Human Geography* (Springer); in 2021 he edited (with Leo Paul) *Europa: Een geografische verkenning* (Perspectief Uitgevers). He has also published on the history of the geographical sciences at Utrecht University.

Maarten R. Prak

is Emeritus Professor of Economic and Social History at Utrecht University. Among his recent publications are *Citizens without Nations: Urban Citizenship in Europe and the World, c. 1000-1789* (Cambridge University Press, 2018), *The Dutch Republic in the Seventeenth Century* (Cambridge University Press, 2nd ed., 2023), and (with Jan Luiten van Zanden) *Pioneers of Capitalism: The Netherlands, 1000-1800* (Princeton University Press, 2023). He is also editor-in-chief of the *Cambridge Urban History of Europe* (forthcoming in 2024).

Paul Schnabel

is Sociologist and Emeritus Distinguished Professor at Utrecht University. Earlier functions include General Director of the Netherlands Institute for Social Research (SCP), Dean of the Netherlands School of Public Health and Director of Research of the Netherlands Institute of Mental Health (now Trimbos-instituut), Professor of Clinical Psychology at Utrecht University. In 2014 he held the Queen Wilhelmina Chair at Columbia University in New York. He was a member of the Dutch Senate for the social liberal party D66. He held positions in the board of a great number of social, scientific, and cultural institutions and charities and served as a nonexecutive member of the Board of Shell Netherlands and ING Netherlands. He received the medal of honor of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, is honorary member of the Netherlands Sociological Association, Knight of the Order of the Lion of the Netherlands and bearer of the *Bundesverdienstkreuz*. Among his more recent publications are *Met mij gaat het goed, met ons gaat het slecht. Het gevoel van Nederland* (Prometheus, 2018), and *Anders gekeken. Het beste en het boeiendste uit de Hollandse schilderkunst van de Gouden Eeuw* (Waanders, 2021).

Chris Stolwijk

is General Director of the Netherlands Institute for Art History (RKD) and Professor of Dutch Art History in an International Context (1800-1940) at Utrecht University. His PhD research involved the position of art and artists in the Netherlands around 1850-1900. Previously, he was Head of Research and Head of Collections, Presentation, and Research at the Van Gogh Museum. He led various large-scale research projects, including a series of document catalogues and the Van Gogh Letter Project. He cooperated on a number of international exhibitions, among which “Paul Gauguin: the Breakthrough to Modernity” (2009-2010) and “Van Gogh & Nature” (2015). His focus is on Western European paintings (1800-1920), with a specific interest in the life and works of Vincent van Gogh and his inner circle. Other areas of interest are the history of art history, the history of art collecting, the socio-economic aspects of art and the skill of artistry, including the professionalization of the art trade, museification, and digital art history.

Rob van der Vaart

is Emeritus Professor of Human Geography at Utrecht University, and has served as Dean of University College Utrecht, Dean of honors programs, and Vice-Rector for education, all at the same university. Since his retirement in 2016 he has been active as guest professor, member of advisory boards in higher education, and member of juries for research funding, both in the Netherlands and in France.

Jaap Verheul

is Associate Professor of Cultural History at Utrecht University and Professor of Transatlantic Relations by special appointment at Radboud University Nijmegen. He has published on Dutch and American cultural history and teaches transatlantic, transnational, and cultural history. He was a Fulbright Scholar at the University of Pennsylvania and has taught at UCLA and other American universities. His current research interest is American perceptions of Europe and transatlantic cultural relations. His latest book is *De Atlantische Pelgrim: John Lothrop Motley en de Amerikaanse ontdekking van Nederland* (“The Atlantic Pilgrim: John Lothrop Motley and the American Discovery of the Netherlands”; Boom, 2017).

Bald de Vries

is Associate Professor of Legal Theory and Education as well as senior fellow of the Center for Academic Teaching and Learning at Utrecht University. His research is geared toward the intersection of legal theory and social theory, in particular the theory of reflexive modernization. One of his publications in this field is *Law in the Risk Society*, edited with John Fanning (Eleven International Publishers, 2017). His work also involves educational research and research into legal education in an interdisciplinary context. A recent publication in this field is "Independence of Mind. Moral reasoning and judgment in legal education" (*European Journal of Legal Education* 2, vol. 1 (2021)).

Tessa van de Warenburg

graduated from the Research Master Dutch Literature & Culture at Utrecht University in 2019 with a master thesis on the remembrance of Rembrandt and Bredero. After graduation, she held a position as junior researcher in a research project on the Dutch book sector. She is editor at LitLab (website for literature education in secondary schools) and works at the Utrecht University Library.

Jan Luiten van Zanden

is Emeritus Professor of Economic History at Utrecht University and Honorary Professor at Stellenbosch University. He has published widely on the economic history of Western Europe and Indonesia, and is now working on the history of biodiversity. His recent publications include (with Maarten Prak) *Pioneers of Capitalism: The Netherlands, 1000-1800* (Princeton University Press, 2023), (with Pim de Zwart) *The Origins of Globalization: World Trade in the Making of the World Economy* (Cambridge, 2018), and *De ontdekking van de natuur. De ontwikkeling van biodiversiteit in Nederlands van IJstijd tot 21ste eeuw* (Amsterdam, 2022). He is currently writing an economic history of the Netherlands of the period 1980-2020.