

This is a repository copy of *The effects of two Alzheimer's disease related genes APOE and MAPT in healthy young adults: An attentional blink study.* 

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/223963/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

# Article:

Zeng, J., Gao, Z., Xiong, X. et al. (7 more authors) (2025) The effects of two Alzheimer's disease related genes APOE and MAPT in healthy young adults: An attentional blink study. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, 103 (1). pp. 167-179. ISSN 1387-2877

https://doi.org/10.1177/13872877241299124

© 2024 The Authors. Except as otherwise noted, this author-accepted version of a journal article published in Journal of Alzheimer's Disease is made available via the University of Sheffield Research Publications and Copyright Policy under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

## Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

## Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



1	The effects of two Alzheimer's related genes APOE and MAPT in
2	healthy young adults: An attentional blink study
3	
4	Jianmin Zeng <sup>1,*</sup> , Ziyun Gao <sup>1</sup> , Xiong Xiong <sup>2</sup> , Xingrong Hou <sup>1</sup> , Huihui Qin <sup>1</sup> , Yong Liu <sup>3</sup> , Howard Bowman <sup>4</sup> ,
5	Craig Ritchie <sup>5,6</sup> , John T O'Brien <sup>7</sup> , Li Su <sup>2,7,*</sup>
6	
7	1 Sino-Britain Centre for Cognition and Ageing Research, Faculty of Psychology, Southwest University,
8	Chongqing, 400715, China
9	2 Neuroscience Institute, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S10 2HQ, United Kingdom
10	3 School of Artificial Intelligence, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunication, Beijing, 100876,
11	China
12	4 School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, Birmingham C15 2TT, United Kingdom
13	5 School of Medicine, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, KY16 9TF, United Kingdom
14	6 Scottish Brain Sciences, Edinburgh, EH12 9DQ, United Kingdom
15	7 Department of Psychiatry, School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 0SZ,
16	United Kingdom
17	
18	* Correspondence:
19	Dr Jianmin Zeng, Sino-Britain Centre for Cognition and Ageing Research, Faculty of Psychology,
20	Southwest University, Chongqing, 400715, China, james_psych@yeah.net or Professor Li Su, Sheffield
21	Institute for Translational Neuroscience, Department of Neuroscience, University of Sheffield, 385a
22	Glossop Road, Sheffield, South Yorkshire S10 2HQ, United Kingdom, l.su@sheffield.ac.uk.
23	

# 24 Abstract

Background genetic risk factors start to affect the brain and behavior in Alzheimer's Disease (AD) before 25 26 clinical symptoms occur. Although AD is mainly associated with memory deficits, attention and executive 27 dysfunctions can present at the early presymptomatic stages in middle age for those with non-modifiable risks. Here, we investigated whether known risk genes for AD already affected attention in young adulthood. 28 Methods a total of 392 healthy young adults aged around 20 years underwent genetic testing for risks of 29 30 dementia (APOE and MAPT) and performed a computerized cognitive test for temporal attention called the Attentional Blink (AB) task, in which patients with dementia tested in previous studies often showed reduced 31 32 performance. Here, the AB task was analyzed using repeated-measurements analysis of variance for the 33 ability of visual perception, attention deployment and temporal memory encoding/binding performance. Results the results showed that all participants exhibited AB effects. Importantly, genetic risk factors had 34 35 statistically significant influence on temporal attention depending on sex in healthy young adults. APOE4 status was associated with enhanced attention deployment in males ( $F(1, 124) = 8.285, P = .005, \eta^2 = .063$ ) 36 but not females, while MAPT AA carriers had poorer performance in AB but only in females (F(1, 254) =37

4.114, P = .044,  $\eta^2 = .016$ ). No genetic effects were found for visual perception and temporal memory binding errors between high and low risk groups.

40 Conclusions We provided evidence that both APOE and MAPT start to affect attentional function as early
41 as young adulthood. Furthermore, unlike previous findings in older people, these genes had a differential
42 effect for males and females in young adults.

43

44 Key words: Alzheimer's disease, attentional blink, APOE, MAPT, dementia, genetic risk factors

# 45 Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is an age-related neurodegenerative disease that is accompanied by cognitive 46 47 impairments such as memory loss, impairments of learning, and impairments in executive function in the early stage [1], progressing to speech difficulties, and losing reading and writing skills in the latter stage [2]. 48 As family history of AD increases the likelihood of developing the condition, it is believed that genetic risk 49 factors are key drivers of AD [3]. The main genetic risk factors of AD include Apolipoprotein E (APOE)-E4 50 allele [3-6], Microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT) [6-9], and other genetic loci discovered in GWAS 51 52 studies [10]. Previous research on these genetic risk factors showed that people carrying risk genes were 53 more likely to develop dementia in later life and have shown differences in cognitive performance before 54 clinical symptoms occur and in middle and young age [11], so it is important to study how early the effects of these Alzheimer's related genetic risk factors begin in cognitively healthy young adults. 55 56 APOE is a protein, which functions to transport lipid and cholesterol [12]. Among its three major alleles

57 ( $\varepsilon 2$ ,  $\varepsilon 3$ , and  $\varepsilon 4$ ), both homozygotes ( $\varepsilon 4/4$ ) carriers and heterozygous carriers ( $\varepsilon 4/-$ ) of  $\varepsilon 4$  are regarded to have 58 a higher risk and earlier onset of AD than noncarriers [13]. The mechanism underlying this association 59 remains unclear, but evidence shows that it may be because APOE  $\varepsilon 4$  carriers (denoted as APOE4) have an 60 impaired capability to remove amyloid beta (A $\beta$ ), a pathological hallmark of AD in the brain among other 61 neuropathological mechanisms [12].

As another genetic risk factor of AD, the MAPT gene encodes the tau protein, a protein that is associated with stabilizing and assembling microtubules. Containing hyperphosphorylated tau protein, neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) within neurons are regarded as another hallmark of AD besides A $\beta$  [14]. MAPT has two haplotypes (H1 and H2) [15], and the H1c sub-haplotype [16]. Several single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) contained in H1 haplotype, such as rs242557 AA genotypes, are considered to increase the risk of AD **67** [17].

68	Previous studies have found that these two genetic risk factors are linked with worse cognitive
69	functioning in healthy older individuals. APOE ɛ4 allele was associated with poorer executive function [18],
70	an increased risk of mild cognitive impairment [19] and faster cognitive decline [19-22] in older people.
71	Interestingly, in younger healthy individuals, some emerging research has found cognitive advantage
72	associated with episodic memory [23], verbal fluency [24], learning [25], and attention [4] in young APOE4
73	carriers. In this respect, an "antagonistic pleiotropy" hypothesis was proposed to explain the apparent
74	"beneficial" effect of APOE4 on cognition in early life and the detrimental effect in later life [26]. However,
75	such advantage of APOE4 on cognition in early life was not found in some other studies [27-30]. This
76	inconsistency may result from the different tasks used in these studies suggesting that the effects of APOE4
77	in early adulthood may vary among different cognitive domains and APOE also interacts with other genetic
78	and environmental factors. So, as discussed later, in our study, we have chosen a robust psychophysics task
79	that can be sensitive to subtle effects of genes.
80	Less studied than APOE, the relationship between MAPT and cognitive performance is also mixed. In
81	an experiment carried out in 350 adults with progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP), the rs242557 A carriers
82	performed better than noncarriers in general cognitive function, executive function, and attention [31]; other
83	research found that H1 homozygosity carriers performed worse in a picture memory task than H2 carriers
84	[32], but a study on 1191 patients with Parkinson's Disease (PD) found no association between H1 haplotype
85	and the psychometric results [33]. How MAPT relates to cognition in middle age and young adults remains
86	understudied.

87 Although the effects of Alzheimer's related genetics risk factors such as APOE and MAPT are 88 extensively studied in older patients and controls, their effects on cognitive functioning in younger healthy

89 individuals remains unclear. Also, although memory loss was regarded as the earliest cognitive impairment in AD, and attention and executive dysfunctions being associated with other subtypes of dementia such as 90 dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB), evidence has shown that attention deficits were also an early problem in 91 AD [34, 35]. Some also argued that early memory issues in patients with AD could also be due to poorer 92 93 attention [36]. Now, it is widely recognized that neuropathology and brain structural and functional changes begin decades before clinical symptoms of AD occur [37]. Early-stage AD provides the best window of 94 opportunity for intervention. However, how genetic risk factors of AD affect key cognitive domains such as 95 attention and executive functions in healthy middle-age or young individuals remain inconclusive. The 96 97 current study is an extension of the PREVENT-dementia project [38] aimed at establishing a cross lifespan 98 knowledge base for genetic and environmental risk factors of dementia. Previously, we have shown in the same cohort that young APOE4 and MAPT AA carriers have reduced functional connectivity in the default 99 100 mode network and thinner cortex in areas (temporal and frontal cortices) that typically develop AD pathology 101 including tau [39, 40]. Although research in the field including our own studies have uncovered striking changes in brain cortical morphology and functional connectivity as early as young adulthood and middle-102 103 age, sensitive measures of early cognitive changes due to genetic risks of AD is still lacking.

Hence, in the present study, we aimed to explore the effects of genetic variants (APOE and MAPT rs242557) in young healthy individuals performing a well-established task called the attentional blink (AB) task [41]. In the AB task, people are asked to detect two targets among a series of distractors in a rapid serial visual presentation (RSVP) stream. In general, people show a deficit in reporting the second target if it appears within 100-500ms after the first target [41]. One theoretical explanation for the AB deficit is the competition of attentional resources between two targets [42], and this task was used to test people's ability to allocate attention and temporally integrate episodic information in temporal processing [43]. In addition, the AB task has been used to detect the cognitive deficit of patients suffering from neurodegenerative diseases.
In a previous study, people with PD showed a different pattern of errors from healthy counterparts in the AB
task [44]. It is also shown that AB performance was significantly impaired in both AD and DLB although
patients with DLB had a more extended and severer reduction in performance than AD, i.e., deeper and longer
blinks [45]. However, it is unknown whether healthy young people with genetic risk factors of AD can also
show a different performance in AB even, as previous studies may suggest, a 'beneficial' effect of these risk
factors in younger people.

As discussed previously, existing evidence is inconsistent about whether and how genetic risks impact 118 119 attention in neurodegenerative conditions in young adulthood, although popular views such as the so called 120 'the associated antagonistic pleiotropy hypothesis exist [46]. The mixed findings in the literature were partly due to different cognitive tests used in these studies because traditional pen and paper based clinical tools are 121 122 unlikely to be sensitive to young healthy individuals due to 'ceiling effects', and computerized cognitive tests 123 also suffer from many limiting factors such as biases caused by cognitive strategy, level of education, confounding sensory perception functions, cultural and other methodological variations. The attentional blink 124 125 task chosen here however offers an ideal paradigm to examine cognitive functioning in young people because it is a challenging and robust test that is minimally affected by mental strategy, practice effects, linguistic 126 knowledge and can be easily automated on computers [41]. Previous studies have shown a profound 127 128 impairment in the AB and rapid visual tests across multiple dementia subtypes [45], as well as several 129 developmental disorders in young people [47, 48].

According to the results from previous studies, we hypothesized that APOE4 carriers would have a
reduced AB deficit than noncarriers, and for the MAPT (rs242557), people with AA genotypes (the high-risk
variant) would have an increased AB deficit than those with G genotype.

## 134 Method

## 135 Participants

From Chinese students studying undergraduate degrees at the Southwest university in Chongqing, 136 participants from both sexes were recruited using online and offline advertisements on campus. People with 137 138 severe neurological and psychiatric conditions were excluded. We also excluded anyone majoring in sports, arts, or music because these subjects have a different university entrance requirements from other subjects. 139 The above inclusion / exclusion criteria enhanced the cognitive homogeneity of the participants due to the 140 selection processes and the standard entrance requirements of the university. All participants have given 141 142 written informed consent and received compensation for their participation. This study was approved by the Ethic Committee of Psychological Research at Southwest University. 143

144

#### 145 **Procedure**

146	The attentional blink task was implemented in E-Prime 2.0. A typical trial in the AB task is shown in
147	Figure. 1. In each trial, the participant firstly pressed any key on the keyboard to start the trial. They then
148	saw a blank (grey) screen for 200 ms followed by a series of letters at the centre of the screen presented in
149	random order. Among the stream of letters (A, B, C, D, F, H, J, K, L, N, P, R, T, V, X, Y), there were two
150	one-digit numbers (2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9) positioned pseudo randomly in the RSVP stream. Each letter or
151	digit appeared on the screen for only 50 ms, and the inter-stimulus interval was set to zero. Thus, the
152	stimulus onset asynchrony (SOA) was 50 ms. In each trial, there were 27 letters as distractors and 2 one-
153	digit numbers as targets (represented as Target 1 and Target 2 or T1 and T2 for short). At the end of the
154	trial, the participant was asked to respond by typing in the identities of the two target numbers, in the order

- they saw them, and to ignore the distractors (letters). To avoid visual confusion about the physical forms of
- the stimuli that are not related to attentional processing, one-digit numbers resembling letters (e.g., 0, 1)
- and letters resembling numbers (e.g., I, O, Q and S) were not used.
- 158



160 Figure. 1 A schematic diagram of a typical trial. Each letter and number were presented for 50

- 161 milliseconds, with no inter-stimulus interval. T1 appeared at the 6<sup>th</sup> to 12<sup>th</sup> positions from the beginning of
- the trial. T2 was positioned after T1 at 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, or 12 lags. Lag 1 means no intervening
- distractor between T1 and T2; lag 2 means 1 intervening distractor between T1 and T2; and so on.
- 164

165 T1 appeared randomly at the 6<sup>th</sup> to 12<sup>th</sup> positions from the beginning of the stream. T2 was positioned 166 after T1 by 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, or 12 positions (i.e., the lag between T1 and T2 was 1, 2, 3..., or 12). 167 Combining the different positions of T1 and T2, there were a total of 63 trial types. The computer presented 168 the 63 trial types twice (two cycles) giving 126 trials. Within each cycle, the 63 trials were randomized for 169 each participant. After finishing 50 trials, participants had a break of proximately one minute.

170	Before the start of the actual experiment, the participants received instruction and two practice runs,			
171	each of which contained four trials. In the first practice run, a trial was the same as the trial in the actual			
172	experiment in all but the following aspects. Each letter or number appeared for a longer duration of 500 ms.			
173	Participants were informed that the speed of presentation was slowed down during practice. After each			
174	participant's response, feedback of correct ( $\checkmark$ ) or incorrect ( $\times$ ) was provided on the screen. If the participant			
175	could not correctly respond to all 4 trials, they had to repeat the instruction and the first practice run. After			
176	the first practice run, a second practice run was conducted, but this time, the stimulus duration was the same			
177	as the actual experiment.			
178				
179	Genotyping			
180	This study investigated APOE and MAPT rs242557, and the former was determined by rs7412 and			
181	rs42935. The genotypes were performed by a Mass Array system (Agena iPLEX assay, San Diego, United			
182	States). Approximately 10-20ng of genomic DNA was isolated from saliva samples. The sample DNA was			
183	amplified by a multiplex Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), then the obtained products were used for locus-			
184	specific single-base extension reaction. Finally, the resulting products were desalted and transferred to a 384-			
185	element SpectroCHIP array. The alleles were discriminated by mass spectrometry (Agena, San Diego, United			
186	States).			
187	For rs7412 the PCR primers were ACGTTGGATGGCCCCGGCCTGGTACACTG and			
188	ACGTTGGATGACCTGCGCAAGCTGCGTAAG; the unextended primer was			
189	CCGCTGCCGATGACCTGCAGAAG. For rs429358, the PCR primers were			
190	ACGTTGGATGTCGCCGCGGTACTGCACCA and ACGTTGGATGCTGTCCAAGGAGCTGCAGG; the			
191	unextended primer was GACATGGAGGACGTG. For rs242557, the PCR primers were			

# ACGTTGGATGAGACCCTGTGAGATCATCCC and ACGTTGGATGTACAAAGCAGTTGGCTTCGC; the unextended primer was CCATCAGTTGGCTTCGCCCAGGGT.

Based on genotypes of rs7412 and rs429358, carriers of  $\varepsilon 4/\varepsilon 4$  or  $\varepsilon 4/\varepsilon 3$  were combined into a single APOE4 group. Given that AA was the high-risk genotype at MAPT rs242557, we have categorized rs242557 into AA or G carriers in the subsequent analyses. We have also applied Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium tests for each gene in our sample population.

198

#### 199 Statistical methods

200 Outcome measures used in the current study included 1) T1 accuracy - the probability of detecting T1 201 primarily reflects perceptual functioning; 2) temporal attentional deployment – a conditional probability of detecting T2 given T1 was detected correctly. So, the performance of temporal attention was calculated as a 202 203 conditional probability of P(T2|T1); 3) AB index - the magnitude of the AB effect (named as AB index here) 204 by calculating the differences between P(T2|T1) measured at lag 4 (where the performance is lowest when SOA is 50ms) and at lag 12 (where the blink has generally recovered). The AB index is a more sensitive way 205 206 to measure AB effects, and please note that a larger AB index represent a poorer AB performance; and 4) the 207 swap ratio - reporting both targets identities correctly but in the incorrect order. This represents a deficit in encoding temporal information and binding errors [43]. 208

For each group comparison, we firstly performed a repeated-measurements analysis of variance (ANOVA), in which the dependent variable was either the T1 accuracy, P(T2|T1) for attention, AB index, or swap ratio as described above. The independent variables in the ANOVA were the genotype of APOE4 or MAPT, sex, and lag (lag is a within-subject factor while others are between-subject factors). The covariate was centered age (age – averaged age). Then, we have performed secondary group ANOVA tests for each

222	Results
221	
220	sample size is large.
219	effect sizes throughout, which are not impacted by sample size in this way [49] and should be accurate when
218	of freedom can at least in part drive a significant finding [49]. Accordingly, we will report partial eta squared
217	Importantly, since our sample size is large, the data degrees of freedom are large. Such high data degrees
216	issues were present.
215	Greenhouse-Geisser corrections (GG corrections for short) were applied to those tests where non-sphericity
214	sex separately. This time, the variables were unchanged, except that we removed sex in the ANOVA model.

# 223 Genetic tests

Out of 392 participants, 385 participants were successfully genotyped for rs7412. Genotype CC N=344 (228 females), CT N=40 (27 females), and TT N=1 (1 females). Three tests of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium were performed for all, male, and female participants respectively ( $x^2 = 0.02$ , 0.04, and 0.36 respectively, and all P's > 0.05). So, the genotype frequencies of rs7412 in our samples were consistent with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

Out of 392 participants, 390 participants were successfully genotyped for rs429358. Genotype CC N=1

230 person (0 females), CT N=70 (48 females), and TT N=319 persons (213 females). Three tests of Hardy-

231 Weinberg equilibrium were performed ( $x^2 = 1.97, 2.68$ , and 0.01 respectively, and all P's > 0.05). So, the

232 genotype frequencies of rs429358 in our samples were consistent with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

Out of 392 participants, 386 participants were successfully genotyped for rs242557, with genotype AA

N=121 (77 females), AG N=193 (136 females), and GG N=72 (45 females). Three tests of Hardy-Weinberg

equilibrium were performed for all three genetic groups according to MAPT ( $x^2 = 0.10, 1.29$ , and 1.12

236	respectively, and all $P's > 0.05$ ). So, the genotype frequencies of rs242557 in our samples were consistent
237	with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

#### 239 Demographics

In the total of 392 participants, 261 are females. The mean and standard deviation of the participants' ages were 19.71 and 0.98 years respectively. The demographic data divided into each genetic group is shown in Table 1. We found no statistically significant difference in age, sex, and years of education between different risk groups defined by APOE and MAPT status.

244

	APOE4		rs242557	
Category	Non-carrier	Carrier	G carrier	AA
Gender				
Male	108	20	84	44
Female	214	42	181	77
Education				
U1	174	33	143	66
U2	124	24	101	48
U3	14	3	11	5
U4	10	2	10	2
Age				
Mean	19.67	19.72	19.71	19.71
SD	0.97	0.99	0.99	0.97

Table 1. Demographics by Genotype

Note. U1 to U4: 1st to 4th year undergraduates. Age was calculated as (participating date - birth date)/365.25.

245

246

## 247 APOE effects on T1

248 In the ANOVA test, the main effect of lag was significant:  $F(8, 3023) = 306.844, P < .001, \eta^2 = .447$ 249 [after GG correction,  $F(7.101, 2691.461) = 306.844, P < .001, \eta^2 = .447$ ]. No other main effects or interactions were significant. The results remained the same after splitting the males and females into two
separate groups in the secondary ANOVA tests. See Figure. 2 for more details. We noted that the T1 accuracy
was generally low at lag-1 due to the short SOA of 50ms, but this finding is consistent with previous research
[50]. Importantly, there was no statistically significant effect of APOE genotype on visual perception
measured by the AB task.

255



Figure. 2 The effects of APOE4 on T1 accuracy for males (left) and females (right) respectively. Error bars
represent standard errors. X-axis represents lag and Y-axis represents probability of detecting T1. We found
no group difference for APOE status suggesting that there was no significant effect of APOE on T1 accuracy.

#### 261 MAPT effects on T1

In this ANOVA test, the main effect of lag was also significant: F(8, 3048) = 534.303, P < .001,  $\eta^2 = .584$ [after GG correction, F(7.112, 2709.828) = 534.303, P < .001,  $\eta^2 = .584$ ]. No other main effects nor interactions were significant. The results remained the same after splitting the males and females into two separate analyses. See Figure. 3 for more details. Like APOE, MAPT also did not change perception functioning in this task.



Figure. 3 The effects of MAPT (rs242557) on T1 accuracy for males (left) and females (right) respectively.
Error bars represent standard errors. X-axis represents lag and Y-axis represents probability of detecting T1.
Similar to the previous results in APOE, MAPT also did not change perception functioning in this task.

#### 273 APOE effects on temporal attention

Unsurprisingly, in the ANOVA test, the main effect of lag was statistically significant: F(8, 3016) =274  $47.543, P < .001, \eta^2 = .112$  [after GG correction,  $F(6.313, 2380.005) = 47.543, P < .001, \eta^2 = .112$ ], suggesting 275 276 that there was an AB effect as expected. We found that the main effect of APOE4 was statistically significant: F(1, 377) = 10.545, P = .001,  $\eta^2 = .027$ . The interaction of APOE4 and sex only showed an insignificant 277 trend: F(1, 377) = 3.415, P = .065,  $\eta^2 = .009$ . The interaction of APOE4, sex and lag was significant: F(8, 77) = 0.000. 278 3016) = 2.454, P = .012,  $\eta^2$  = .006 [after GG correction, F(6.313, 2380.005) = 2.454, P = .021,  $\eta^2$  = .006]. 279 No other main effect or interaction was significant. 280 281 In the secondary ANOVA tests, for males, the main effect of APOE4 was significant: F(1, 124) = 8.285,

282  $P = .005, \eta^2 = .063$ . The interaction between APOE4 and lag was significant:  $F(8, 992) = 2.907, P = .003, \eta^2$ 

283 = .023 [after GG correction,  $F(6.267, 777.113) = 2.907, P = .007, \eta^2 = .023$ ]. The main effect of lag was also

284 significant. For females, the main effect of lag was significant, but no other main effect or interaction was statistically significant. As shown in Figure. 4, the APOE4 effect on attention deployment was only 285 286 significant in male participants.

287



288

Figure. 4 The effects of APOE4 on T2 accuracy conditional on T1 for males (left) and females (right) 289 respectively. Error bars represent standard errors. X-axis represents lag and Y-axis represents conditional 290 probability of detecting T2 condition on T1. Male but not female APOE4 carriers had better AB 291 performance, i.e., carriers were more likely to report T2 correctly after correctly reporting T1 than noncarriers. 292 293

294

MAPT effects on temporal attention

In this ANOVA test, the main effect of lag was significant: F(8, 3032) = 93.272, P < .001,  $\eta^2 = .197$ 295 [after GG correction, F(6.327, 2397.845) = 93.272, P < .001,  $\eta^2 = .197$ ]. No other main effect or interaction 296 was significant. In addition, in the secondary ANOVA tests, for males, the main effect of lag was significant, 297 298 but no other main effect or interaction was significant. For females, the main effect of MAPT rs242557 was significant: F(1, 254) = 4.114, P = .044,  $\eta^2 = .016$ . The interaction between MAPT rs242557 and lag showed 299 an insignificant trend: F(8, 2032) = 1.817, P = .069,  $\eta^2 = .007$  [after GG correction, F(6.260, 1590.097) =300

301  $1.817, P = .089, \eta^2 = .007$ ]. The main effect of lag was significant, but no other main effect or interaction was 302 significant. As shown in Figure. 5, MAPT only significantly affected female participants in this task.

303



304

Figure. 5 The effects of MPAT (rs242557) on T2 accuracy for males (left) and females (right) respectively.
Error bars represent standard errors. X-axis represents lag and Y-axis represents conditional probability of
detecting T2 conditional on T1. Female but not male MAPT AA carriers had poorer AB performance than
MAPT G carriers.

309

## 310 Interaction between APOE and MAPT on the AB index

We reanalysed the attention effect using the AB index. As previously discussed, it is more sensitive and powerful to test the interaction between two genetic risks. In this ANOVA test (lag is no longer an independent variable), the main effect of sex was significant: F(1, 370) = 5.902, P = .016,  $\eta^2 = .016$ . The main effect of APOE4 was significant: F(1, 370) = 4.370, P = .037,  $\eta^2 = .012$ . The main effect of MAPT rs242557 was significant: F(1, 370) = 4.201, P = .041,  $\eta^2 = .011$ . The interaction among APOE4 and sex was statistically significant: F(1, 370) = 14.813, P < .001,  $\eta^2 = .038$ . 318  $P < .001, \eta^2 = .114$ . No other main effect or interaction was significant. For females, the main effect of MAPT 319 rs242557 was significant:  $F(1, 248) = 4.700, P = .031, \eta^2 = .019$ . No other main effect or interaction was 320 significant. As shown in Figure. 6, findings in this more sensitive analysis were consistent with those from 321 the two previous analyses although no gene-gene interaction was found for the AB index.

322



Figure. 6 The interaction effects of APOE4 and MPAT (rs242557) on attentional blink index for males (left)
and females (right) respectively. Error bars represent standard errors. Y-axis represents the difference between
conditional probabilities of detecting T2 conditional on T1 at lag 4 and lag 12. We found no APOE-MAPT
interaction.

328

323

#### 329 APOE effects on swaps

330 In the ANOVA test, the main effect of lag was significant: F(8, 3032) = 250.988, P < .001,  $\eta^2 = .398$ 

331 [after GG correction, F(3.437, 1302.522) = 250.988, P < .001,  $\eta^2 = .398$ ] but no other main effects nor

332 interactions were significant. See Figure. 7 for more details.

333



Figure. 7 The effects of APOE4 on swap ratio for males (left) and females (right) respectively. Error bars
represent standard errors. X-axis represents lag and Y-axis represents probability of reporting T1 and T2 in
the incorrect order.

## 339 MAPT effects on swaps

Like APOE, in this ANOVA test, the main effect of lag was also significant: F(8, 3048) = 399.328, *P* 341 < .001,  $\eta^2 = .512$  [after GG correction, F(3.420, 1302.915) = 399.328, *P* < .001,  $\eta^2 = .512$ ], but no other main 342 effects nor interactions were significant. See Figure. 8 for more details.





344

Figure. 8 The effects of MAPT (rs242557) on swap ratio for males (left) and females (right) respectively.
Error bars represent standard errors. X-axis represents lag and Y-axis represents probability of reporting T1
and T2 in the incorrect order.

348

## 349 **Discussion**

In our study, we found a significant reduction in the AB effect or improved temporal attentional 350 performance for APOE4 carriers, which is consistent with previous evidence of the antagonistic pleiotropy 351 hypothesis supporting the improved attentional function in young adults [4]. However, we only found this 352 353 beneficial effect in males but not in females. In most previous studies on older people, researchers found that 354 APOE4 confers a greater risk of dementia and mild cognitive impairment in females than in males [51-53]. Opposite to our results, in a study on executive function and processing speed, the cognitively beneficial 355 356 effect of APOE4 was found in young females rather than males [54]. However, a male-specific advantage of APOE4 on short-term memory was found in middle-aged individuals in another study [55]. In one of our 357 own studies, sex differences in path integration and spatial navigation ability were found in middle-aged at-358 359 risk individuals without cognitive impairment [56]. We speculate that sex differences in neurotransmitter systems critical to attentional processing, such as acetylcholine (Ach) in the brain, where females have overall 360 higher release than males , and different experimental methodologies discussed earlier may also play a role 361 362 on these inconsistent sex differences [57].

For the other genetic risk factor of AD, MAPT rs242557 AA genotype, we found an increased AB effect or poorer attentional function in carriers but this time only in females. To the best of our knowledge, there is no known sex-specific cognitive bias associated with MAPT rs242557 genotype in the AB task, and as previously discussed, the effect of rs242557 genotype in general cognitive function, executive function, and 367 attention in older adults is inconclusive in the literature too. In addition, although not statistically significant, 368 there seems a nonsignificant trend suggesting an interaction between APOE and MAPT in AB especially in 369 females (Figure. 6), i.e., carriers of both APOE4 and MAPT AA had the deepest blink in AB (larger AB index) suggesting a stronger interference between T1 and T2 or more limited attentional resources to correctly 370 encode both targets. However, this finding should be interpreted with caution. Finally, we did not see any 371 genetic effect on T1 accuracy or swaps (perceptual functioning and temporal memory encoding/binding), 372 which was reported in older patients with dementia [45], suggesting that the early effects of these genetic 373 risk factors are not merely due to limited cognitive resource but a variation in specific attentional control 374 375 mechanisms. 376 We of course acknowledge that resource limitation is not the only possible explanation of the attentional blink phenomenon. The Simultaneous Type - Serial Token (STST) model [58] is a prominent theory of the 377 378 AB, which has explained a large number of AB and related phenomena [59-61]. The theory also offers the possibility to provide a theoretical explanation of our findings. In the STST framework, a key driver of blink 379 depth is the time to encode the T1. Specifically, the attentional blink is postulated to be caused by the process 380 381 of encoding T1 "closing the gate" for working memory encoding on T2 (by withholding a transient attentional 382 enhancement called the *Blaster*). This gate closing ensures that the encoding of T1 is not "contaminated" by the T2 entering that same encoding process, which would yield binding errors, such as features from T2 383 migrating to T1. Thus, the theory raises the possibility that a male APOE4 carrier encodes stimuli more 384 385 rapidly into working memory than a male noncarrier, and similarly for a female G-carrier. Of course, such a hypothesis needs further investigation in other experimental paradigms, before it can be seen as more than 386

387 speculative.

388

The current study was limited by its cross-sectional design. Thus, it is unable to reveal the dynamic

389 changes of attentional function transitioning from early adulthood to midlife, during which distinctive 390 cognitive impairments may start to occur. As age is one of the biggest risk factors of AD, and it is known that 391 visual attention task performance is often age dependent [62, 63], future longitudinal studies will be able to address this limitation. The current study samples were heavily skewed towards participants with younger 392 393 age because they present the majority in the university. Thus, future research should include older adults including those in middle age. Another limitation of the study was using a single test, the AB, although as 394 395 previously discussed, it is a robust test for attention, nonetheless, sensitive and specific screening tests based on other visual tasks [64] and navigation tasks [56] have provided novel candidate tools for early detection 396 397 of dementia and practical solutions for screening in multiple clinical and community settings. As evidence 398 points towards AD pathology occurring before the symptom onset, this raises the question of whether these pathological changes can be detected in this pre-symptomatic stage. With the development of novel disease-399 400 modifying treatments for AD, such early detection would be highly advantageous by allowing for treatment to begin sooner before more irreversible neurodegeneration occurs thus improving outcomes. Additionally, 401 detection of AD and its risk factors related changes earlier in life would allow individuals to make healthier 402 403 lifestyle choices in order to reduce their risk of developing AD [65], so detection in early adulthood may also 404 be beneficial. Accordingly, it is predicted that early detection and intervention could drastically reduce the worldwide AD burden, with models suggesting that delaying disease onset by as little as 1 year could reduce 405 406 the global incidence of AD by up to 9.2 million in the year 2050 [66]. 407

This study is also limited by the candidate gene approach with limited number of SNPs genotyped. This may miss other critical genetic factors influencing attention such as the tonic level of neurotransmitters including cholinergic systems. Moreover, only Chinese students were recruited into the study. Although this increased genetic similarity among participants, it also limited the generalizability of the our findings to other

411	ethnic groups. In conclusion, we have found an ultra-early effect of AD related genetic risk factors (APOE
412	and MAPT) that are linked to two cornerstones of AD pathology (amyloid-beta and tau) using a temporal
413	attention task. The findings are generally consistent with previous research suggesting a 'beneficial' effect of
414	APOE4 on attention and executive control, but only in males. The less studied gene MAPT however had an
415	opposite effect than APOE in females. Finally, the study also highlighted sex differences in genetic risks as
416	an important topic for future research.

# 418 Authors' contribution

419 JZ and LS designed the study and secured the funding. JZ did the programming. JZ, XH and HQ collected

- 420 the data. JZ conducted the analyses. JZ, ZG and LS drafted the manuscript. CR, LS and JZ co-designed the
- 421 PREVENT-Dementia study. XX, YL and DZ provided feedback on the data analysis and the manuscript. YH,
- 422 HB, JOB provided feedback on the manuscript. LS provided oversight for the study.

423

# 424 Funding

- 425 JZ is funded by China Ministry of Education's Humanity and Social Sciences Project (23YJA190001) and
- 426 Foundational Research Funds for Central Universities (SWU1609115). LS is funded by Alzheimer's
- 427 Research UK Senior Research Fellowship (ARUK-SRF2017B-1) and the National Institute for Health and
- 428 Care Research (NIHR) Sheffield Biomedical Research Centre (NIHR203321). The views expressed are those
- 429 of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

430

# 431 **Conflict of Interest**

432 The authors have no conflict of interest to report.

433

# 434 **Data Availability**

435 The data supporting the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding authors. The

436 data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

437

# 438 **Ethics approval**

439 This study was approved by the Ethic Committee of Psychological Research at Southwest University.

# 440 **References**

- Backman, L., S. Jones, A.K. Berger, et al., *Multiple cognitive deficits during the transition to Alzheimer's disease*. J Intern Med, 2004. **256**(3): p. 195-204.DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2796.2004.01386.x.
- 443 2. Forstl, H. and A. Kurz, *Clinical features of Alzheimer's disease*. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci, 1999.
  444 249(6): p. 288-90.DOI: 10.1007/s004060050101.
- 445 3. Selkoe, D.J., *Alzheimer's disease: genes, proteins, and therapy*. Physiol Rev, 2001. 81(2): p. 741-66.DOI:
  446 10.1152/physrev.2001.81.2.741.
- 447 4. Rusted, J.M., S.L. Evans, S.L. King, et al., *APOE e4 polymorphism in young adults is associated with*448 *improved attention and indexed by distinct neural signatures.* Neuroimage, 2013. 65: p. 364-373.DOI:
  449 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.10.010.
- 450 5. Newcombe, E.A., J. Camats-Perna, M.L. Silva, et al., *Inflammation: the link between comorbidities,*451 *genetics, and Alzheimer's disease.* J Neuroinflammation, 2018. **15**(1): p. 276.DOI: 10.1186/s12974-018452 1313-3.
- 453 6. Sims, R., M. Hill, and J. Williams, *The multiplex model of the genetics of Alzheimer's disease*. Nat Neurosci,
  454 2020. 23(3): p. 311-322.DOI: 10.1038/s41593-020-0599-5.
- 455
   7.
   Tang, X., S. Liu, J. Cai, et al., *Effects of Gene and Plasma Tau on Cognitive Impairment in Rural Chinese* 

   456
   *Population.* Curr Alzheimer Res, 2021. **18**(1): p. 56-66.DOI: 10.2174/1567205018666210324122840.
- 457 8. Silva, M.V.F., C.M.G. Loures, L.C.V. Alves, et al., *Alzheimer's disease: risk factors and potentially protective*458 *measures.* J Biomed Sci, 2019. 26(1): p. 33.DOI: 10.1186/s12929-019-0524-y.
- 459 9. Bertram, L. and R.E. Tanzi, *Thirty years of Alzheimer's disease genetics: the implications of systematic*460 *meta-analyses.* Nat Rev Neurosci, 2008. 9(10): p. 768-78.DOI: 10.1038/nrn2494.
- 461 10. Giri, M., M. Zhang, and Y. Lu, *Genes associated with Alzheimer's disease: an overview and current status.*462 Clinical Interventions in Aging, 2016. **11**: p. 665-681.DOI: 10.2147/cia.S105769.
- 463 11. Stevens, B.W., A.M. DiBattista, G.W. Rebeck, et al., *A gene-brain-cognition pathway for the effect of an*464 *Alzheimer's risk gene on working memory in young adults.* Neuropsychologia, 2014. 61: p. 143-149.DOI:
  465 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2014.06.021.
- 466
   12.
   Jiang, Q., C.Y. Lee, S. Mandrekar, et al., *ApoE promotes the proteolytic degradation of Abeta.* Neuron,

   467
   2008. **58**(5): p. 681-93.DOI: 10.1016/j.neuron.2008.04.010.
- 46813.El Haj, M., P. Antoine, P. Amouyel, et al., Apolipoprotein E (APOE) epsilon4 and episodic memory decline469in Alzheimer's disease: A review. Ageing Res Rev, 2016. 27: p. 15-22.DOI: 10.1016/j.arr.2016.02.002.
- 470 14. Medeiros, R., D. Baglietto-Vargas, and F.M. LaFerla, *The role of tau in Alzheimer's disease and related*471 *disorders*. CNS Neurosci Ther, 2011. **17**(5): p. 514-24.DOI: 10.1111/j.1755-5949.2010.00177.x.
- 472 15. Wider, C., C. Vilarino-Guell, B. Jasinska-Myga, et al., *Association of the MAPT locus with Parkinson's* 473 *disease*. Eur J Neurol, 2010. **17**(3): p. 483-6.DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2009.02847.x.
- 47416.Myers, A.J., M. Kaleem, L. Marlowe, et al., *The H1c haplotype at the MAPT locus is associated with*475*Alzheimer's disease.* Human Molecular Genetics, 2005. **14**(16): p. 2399-2404.DOI: 10.1093/hmg/ddi241.
- 476 17. Zhou, F. and D. Wang, *The associations between the MAPT polymorphisms and Alzheimer's disease risk:*477 *a meta-analysis.* Oncotarget, 2017. 8(26): p. 43506-43520.DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.16490.
- Wendelken, L.A., N. Jahanshad, H.J. Rosen, et al., *ApoE epsilon4 Is Associated With Cognition, Brain Integrity, and Atrophy in HIV Over Age 60.* J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr, 2016. **73**(4): p. 426-432.DOI:
  10.1097/QAI.00000000001091.
- 481 19. Boyle, P.A., A.S. Buchman, R.S. Wilson, et al., *The APOE epsilon4 allele is associated with incident mild*482 *cognitive impairment among community-dwelling older persons.* Neuroepidemiology, 2010. 34(1): p. 43-

483 9.DOI: 10.1159/000256662.

- Stringa, N., N.M. van Schoor, Y. Milaneschi, et al., *Physical Activity as Moderator of the Association Between APOE and Cognitive Decline in Older Adults: Results from Three Longitudinal Cohort Studies.*Journals of Gerontology Series a-Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences, 2020. **75**(10): p. 18801886.DOI: 10.1093/gerona/glaa054.
- 488 21. Makkar, S.R., D.M. Lipnicki, J.D. Crawford, et al., *APOE epsilon4 and the Influence of Sex, Age, Vascular*489 *Risk Factors, and Ethnicity on Cognitive Decline.* J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci, 2020. **75**(10): p. 1863490 1873.DOI: 10.1093/gerona/glaa116.
- 491 22. Bretsky, P., J.M. Guralnik, L. Launer, et al., *The role of APOE-epsilon4 in longitudinal cognitive decline:*492 *MacArthur Studies of Successful Aging.* Neurology, 2003. **60**(7): p. 1077-81.DOI:
  493 10.1212/01.wnl.0000055875.26908.24.
- 49423.Mondadori, C.R., D.J. de Quervain, A. Buchmann, et al., Better memory and neural efficiency in young495apolipoprotein E epsilon4 carriers. Cereb Cortex, 2007. **17**(8): p. 1934-47.DOI: 10.1093/cercor/bhl103.
- 496 24. Dowell, N.G., T. Ruest, S.L. Evans, et al., *MRI of carriers of the apolipoprotein E e4 allele-evidence for*497 structural differences in normal-appearing brain tissue in e4+ relative to e4- young adults. NMR Biomed,
  498 2013. 26(6): p. 674-82.DOI: 10.1002/nbm.2912.
- Wierenga, C.E., L.R. Clark, S.I. Dev, et al., *Interaction of Age and APOE Genotype on Cerebral Blood Flow at Rest.* Journal of Alzheimers Disease, 2013. **34**(4): p. 921-935.DOI: 10.3233/Jad-121897.
- 50126.Han, S.D. and M.W. Bondi, Revision of the apolipoprotein E compensatory mechanism recruitment502hypothesis. alzheimers & dementia, 2008. 4(4): p. 251-254.DOI: 10.1016/J.JALZ.2008.02.006.
- 503 27. Ihle, A., D. Bunce, and M. Kliegel, *APOE epsilon4 and cognitive function in early life: a meta-analysis.*504 Neuropsychology, 2012. 26(3): p. 267-77.DOI: 10.1037/a0026769.
- 505 28. Bunce, D., A.A. Bielak, K.J. Anstey, et al., *APOE genotype and cognitive change in young, middle-aged,*506 *and older adults living in the community.* J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci, 2014. **69**(4): p. 379-86.DOI:
  507 10.1093/gerona/glt103.
- Sola 29. Chen, X.F., Z. Wei, T. Wang, et al., *Demographic and Lifestyle Characteristics, but Not Apolipoprotein E Genotype, Are Associated with Intelligence among Young Chinese College Students*. PLoS One, 2015.
  S10 10(11): p. e0143157.DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0143157.
- 51130.Weissberger, G.H., D.A. Nation, C.P. Nguyen, et al., *Meta-analysis of cognitive ability differences by*512apolipoprotein e genotype in young humans. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, 2018. 94: p. 49-51358.DOI: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.08.009.
- S14 31. Gerstenecker, A., E.D. Roberson, G.D. Schellenberg, et al., *Genetic influences on cognition in progressive supranuclear palsy.* Mov Disord, 2017. **32**(12): p. 1764-1771.DOI: 10.1002/mds.27196.
- Winder-Rhodes, S.E., A. Hampshire, J.B. Rowe, et al., *Association between MAPT haplotype and memory function in patients with Parkinson's disease and healthy aging individuals*. Neurobiology of Aging, 2015. **36**(3): p. 1519-1528.DOI: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2014.12.006.
- 51933.Mata, I.F., J.B. Leverenz, D. Weintraub, et al., APOE, MAPT, and SNCA genes and cognitive performance520in Parkinson disease. JAMA Neurol, 2014. **71**(11): p. 1405-12.DOI: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2014.1455.
- 521 34. Perry, R.J. and J.R. Hodges, Attention and executive deficits in Alzheimer's disease: A critical review. Brain,
  522 1999. 122(3): p. 383-404.
- 523 35. Prats-Sedano, M.A., G. Savulich, A. Surendranathan, et al., *The revised Addenbrooke's cognitive*524 *examination can facilitate differentiation of dementia with Lewy bodies from Alzheimer's disease.*525 International journal of geriatric psychiatry, 2021. 36(6): p. 831-838.
- 526 36. Malhotra, A., H. Dhutia, G. Finocchiaro, et al., *Outcomes of cardiac screening in adolescent soccer players*.

527 New England Journal of Medicine, 2018. 379(6): p. 524-534. 528 37. Jack, C.R. and D.M. Holtzman, Biomarker modeling of Alzheimer's disease. Neuron, 2013. 80(6): p. 1347-529 1358. 530 38. Ritchie, C.W., K. Wells, and K. Ritchie, The PREVENT research programme-a novel research programme 531 to identify and manage midlife risk for dementia: the conceptual framework. International Review of 532 Psychiatry, 2013. 25(6): p. 748-754. 533 39. Kucikova, L., J. Zeng, C. Muñoz-Neira, et al., Genetic risk factors of Alzheimer's Disease disrupt resting-534 state functional connectivity in cognitively intact young individuals. Journal of Neurology, 2023. 270(10): 535 p. 4949-4958. 536 40. Huang, W., J. Zeng, L. Jia, et al., Genetic risks of Alzheimer's by APOE and MAPT on cortical morphology in young healthy adults. Brain Communications, 2023. 5(5): p. fcad234. 537 538 Shapiro, K.L., J.E. Raymond, and K.M. Arnell, The attentional blink. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 1997. 41. 539 1(8): p. 291-296.DOI: 10.1016/s1364-6613(97)01094-2. 540 Vardy, Y., J.L. Bradshaw, and R. Iansek, Dual target identification and the attentional blink in Parkinson's 42. 541 disease. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol, 2003. 25(3): p. 361-75.DOI: 10.1076/jcen.25.3.361.13811. 542 43. Su, L., B. Wyble, L.Q. Zhou, et al., Temporal perception deficits in schizophrenia: Integration is the 543 problem, not deployment of attentions. scientific reports, 2015. 5(1): p. 9745-9745.DOI: 544 10.1038/SREP09745. 545 44. Vardy, A.E. and J. Brown, Transient turbulent friction in smooth pipe flows. Journal of sound and vibration, 546 2003. **259**(5): p. 1011-1036. 547 45. Peters, F., A.-M. Ergis, S. Gauthier, et al., Abnormal temporal dynamics of visual attention in Alzheimer's 548 disease and in dementia with Lewy bodies. Neurobiology of aging, 2012. 33(5): p. 1012. e1-1012. e10. 549 46. Rusted, J., S. Evans, S. King, et al., APOE e4 polymorphism in young adults is associated with improved 550 attention and indexed by distinct neural signatures. Neuroimage, 2013. 65: p. 364-373. 551 47. Visser, T.A.W., C. Boden, and D.E. Giaschi, Children with dyslexia: evidence for visual attention deficits in 552 perception of rapid sequences of objects. Vision Research, 2004. 44(21): p. 2521-2535.DOI: 553 10.1016/j.visres.2004.05.010. 554 48. Russo, N., W.R. Kates, and B. Wyble, Developmental changes in feature detection across time: Evidence 555 from the attentional blink. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 2017. 164: p. 32-44.DOI: 556 10.1016/j.jecp.2017.06.013. 557 49. Lorca-Puls, D.L., A. Gajardo-Vidal, J. White, et al., The impact of sample size on the reproducibility of 558 voxel-based lesion-deficit mappings. Neuropsychologia, 2018. 115: p. 101-111. 559 50. Potter, H. and T. Wisniewski, Apolipoprotein E: essential catalyst of the Alzheimer amyloid cascade. 560 International Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, 2012. 2012. 561 51. Pike, C.J., Sex and the development of Alzheimer's disease. journal of neuroscience research, 2017. 95: 562 p. 671-680.DOI: 10.1002/JNR.23827. 563 52. Altmann, A., L. Tian, V.W. Henderson, et al., Sex modifies the APOE-related risk of developing Alzheimer 564 disease. annals of neurology, 2014. 75(4): p. 563-573.DOI: 10.1002/ANA.24135. Neu, S.C., J. Pa, W. Kukull, et al., Apolipoprotein E Genotype and Sex Risk Factors for Alzheimer Disease: 565 53. A Meta-analysis. jama neurology, 2017. 74(10): p. 1178-1189.DOI: 10.1001/JAMANEUROL.2017.2188. 566 567 54. Takeuchi, H., H. Tomita, R. Browne, et al., Sex-Dependent Effects of the APOE £4 Allele on Behavioral 568 Traits and White Matter Structures in Young Adults. cerebral cortex, 2021. 31(1): p. 672-680.DOI: 569 10.1093/CERCOR/BHAA251. 570 55. Zokaei, N., K. Giehl, A. Sillence, et al., Sex and APOE: A memory advantage in male APOE £4 carriers in

571		midlife. cortex, 2017. 88: p. 98-105.DOI: 10.1016/J.CORTEX.2016.12.016.
572	56.	Newton, C., M. Pope, C. Rua, et al., Entorhinal - based path integration selectively predicts midlife risk
573		of Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimer's & Dementia, 2024.
574	57.	Bangasser, D.A., S.R. Eck, and E. Ordoñes Sanchez, Sex differences in stress reactivity in arousal and
575		attention systems. Neuropsychopharmacology, 2019. 44(1): p. 129-139.
576	58.	Bowman, H. and B. Wyble, The simultaneous type, serial token model of temporal attention and working
577		memory. Psychological review, 2007. 114(1): p. 38.
578	59.	Craston, P., B. Wyble, S. Chennu, et al., The attentional blink reveals serial working memory encoding:
579		Evidence from virtual and human event-related potentials. Journal of cognitive neuroscience, 2009. 21(3):
580		p. 550-566.
581	60.	Wyble, B., H. Bowman, and M. Nieuwenstein, The attentional blink provides episodic distinctiveness:
582		sparing at a cost. Journal of experimental psychology: Human perception and performance, 2009. 35(3):
583		p. 787.
584	61.	Wyble, B., M.C. Potter, H. Bowman, et al., Attentional episodes in visual perception. Journal of
585		Experimental Psychology: General, 2011. 140(3): p. 488.
586	62.	Lahar, C.J., M.I. Isaak, and A.D. McArthur, Age differences in the magnitude of the attentional blink. Aging
587		Neuropsychology and Cognition, 2001. 8(2): p. 149-159.DOI: 10.1076/anec.8.2.149.842.
588	63.	Georgiou-Karistianis, N., J. Tang, Y. Vardy, et al., Progressive age-related changes in the attentional blink
589		paradigm. Aging Neuropsychology and Cognition, 2007. <b>14</b> (3): p. 213-226.DOI:
590		10.1080/13825580500320681.
591	64.	Perez-Valero, E., C.A.M. Gutierrez, M.A. Lopez-Gordo, et al., Evaluating the feasibility of cognitive
592		impairment detection in Alzheimer's disease screening using a computerized visual dynamic test. Journal
593		of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation, 2023. 20(1): p. 43.
594	65.	Barnard, N.D., A.I. Bush, A. Ceccarelli, et al., Dietary and lifestyle guidelines for the prevention of
595		Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiology of aging, 2014. 35: p. S74-S78.
596	66.	Brookmeyer, R., E. Johnson, K. Ziegler-Graham, et al., Forecasting the global burden of Alzheimer's
597		<i>disease</i> . Alzheimer's & dementia, 2007. <b>3</b> (3): p. 186-191.
F 0.0		