

This is a repository copy of *Graft choice for child and adolescent medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction: a systematic review.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/222822/</u>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Doyle, J., Rombach, I., Court, H. et al. (8 more authors) (2025) Graft choice for child and adolescent medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction: a systematic review. Journal of Arthroscopy and Joint Surgery. ISSN 2542-6001

https://doi.org/10.4103/jajs.jajs_34_24

© 2025 Journal of Arthroscopy and Joint Surgery. This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License

(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike (CC BY-NC-SA) licence. This licence allows you to remix, tweak, and build upon this work non-commercially, as long as you credit the authors and license your new creations under the identical terms. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Graft Choice for Child and Adolescent Medial Patellofemoral Ligament Reconstruction: A Systematic Review

Jack Doyle, Ines Rombach, Hannah Court, Kieran Fowler, Harry Keiller, Henry Latham, Kirtti Sathyanathan, Faith Solanke, Louise Falzon, Nicolas Nicolaou, Dan Hind

Sheffield Centre for Health and Related Research, School of Medicine and Population Health, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

Abstract

Background: Medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) reconstruction is a common procedure for child and adolescent patellofemoral instability. Graft choice remains debated, with hamstring autograft being most frequent. This systematic review aimed to assess the safety and clinical effectiveness of isolated MPFL reconstruction in children and adolescents based on graft choice, to guide clinical decision-making. Methods: MEDLINE and EMBASE were searched from 1946 to June 21, 2023, for randomized controlled trials, cohort studies, casecontrol studies, and case series of patients ≤18 years old undergoing MPFL reconstruction. Risk of bias was assessed using the Cochrane tool for RCTs and Joanna Briggs Institute checklists for observational studies. Random-effects meta-analysis was planned where possible. Results: Twenty-six studies (19 case series, 6 cohort studies, and 1 case-control study) with 844 procedures were included. No RCTs were identified. Case series had a mean JBI critical appraisal score of 7.6/10, while cohort studies scored 8.5/11. Redislocation rates ranged from 4 to 10% across graft types (gracilis 7%, semitendinosus 10%, quadriceps 8%, augmented tape 6%, and mixed 9%). The median postoperative Kujala scores ranged from 88.6 to 92.2. Discussion: Short-term data suggest that isolated MPFL reconstruction has good outcomes regardless of graft choice. However, evidence was limited by lack of RCTs, short follow-up, and under-reporting of risk factors. Most studies provided Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine Level 3-4 (case series) evidence. Better comparative studies are needed with risk stratification to allow the comparison of techniques for this procedure. Levels of evidence: CEBM Levels 3-4.

Keywords: Graft choice, medial patellofemoral ligament, patella dislocation, patella instability

NTRODUCTION

The many risk factors that predispose to recurrent patella instability have led to an evolving spectrum of operative choices. Factors such as coronal malalignment,^[1] trochlea dysplasia, periarticular extensor mechanism malalignment,^[2] patella height, and tibial/femoral torsion all play a role in surgical decision-making. Despite these risk factors, medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) reconstruction remains the commonest procedure for child and adolescent patellofemoral instability.^[3]

Management in children and adolescents poses challenges similar to those in adult populations but with distinct differences. Young age is a well-described risk factor for recurrent instability.^[4] Both trochleoplasty and tibial tubercle osteotomies may cause growth. disturbance in the child with residual growth. The technique of MPFL reconstruction needs to be considered in view of open growth plates to prevent

Access this article online							
Quick Response Code:	Website: https://journals.lww.com/jajs						
	DOI: 10.4103/jajs.jajs_34_24						

growth disturbance.^[5] Rehabilitation after knee surgery in children is more difficult to carry out and takes greater time in order to minimize risks and failure rates in comparison to adults.^[6] Contemporary operative techniques often translate more slowly into pediatric practice due to these concerns and procedures that are largely abandoned in adult patient populations often persist in the care of the younger age group.^[7]

Isolated MPFL reconstruction can be considered a good option with satisfactory reported outcomes,^[8,9] although longer follow-up may suggest high failure rates^[10] in a quarter of

Addr Sheffield Children's I	ess for correspondence: Mr. Nicolas Nicolaou, Hospital, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TH, UK. E-mail: nicolas.nicolaou3@nhs.net
Submitted: 15-Apr-2024	Revised: 09-Jun-2024
Accepted: 20-Jun-2024	Published: 28-Jan-2025
This is an open access journal, and	articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative
Commons Attribution-NonComm	ercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to

remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Doyle J, Rombach I, Court H, Fowler K, Keiller H, Latham H, et al. Graft choice for child and adolescent medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction: A systematic review. J Arthrosc Jt Surg 0;0:0.

treated cases. In children, it remains an important surgical technique and identifying the best way to perform this procedure is therefore essential.

Graft choice in improving outcomes is debated extensively^[11] for anterior cruciate ligament surgery but less so in patellofemoral instability surgery. Here, the technique of reconstruction is often considered, but the procedure of choice is often chosen based on technique as opposed to the graft itself. Hamstring autograft tendon is the most popular choice for reconstruction although the use of quadriceps tendon can also be considered, as can the use of allograft.^[12] The use of synthetic grafts has a paucity of published data in adults, and at present, the role in children is not well described,^[13] although hybrid techniques do exist.^[14,15]

The aim of this review is to systematically review clinical studies assessing the safety and clinical effectiveness of isolated MPFL reconstruction for children and adolescents based on the choice of graft.

Methods

This systematic review was registered with Prospero (CRD42023464274) with the protocol being published-Hind, Nicolaou (2023). Graft choice for Child and Adolescent MPFL Reconstruction: Protocol for a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. The University of Sheffield. Workflow. https://doi.org/10.15131/shef.data.24190260.v1.

We searched MEDLINE and EMBASE from 1946 to June 21, 2023. We searched trial registers without contacting any of the study authors and followed the 2020 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statements.

Randomized controlled trials, cohort comparisons, case– control studies, and case series that had patients aged 18 years or younger (the legal definition of pediatric), having undergone an MPFL reconstruction to address patellar instability, were included. Studies with a mixed age population were included if data specifically regarding the 18 and under population were explicit. The intervention was the use of a graft in order to reconstruct the MPFL. The different types of grafts that were included were hamstring tendon (semitendinosus or gracilis), quadriceps tendon, synthetic tape or hamstring/ quadriceps tendon augmented with tape. Grafts could be autografts or allografts. We used nonoperative management and other surgical procedures for patellofemoral instability as comparators. Studies were checked to ensure no duplication of the same patients.

The specific outcome measures we assessed were redislocation rates, recurrent instability, need for revision surgery, non-MPFL reoperation, and other validated outcome measures. The validated outcome measures included International Knee Documentation Committee Score, the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score, return to sport, Kujala score, Lysholm score, Tegner score, Visual Analogue Score, Banff Patellofemoral Instability Instrument, the Hospital for Special Surgery Pediatric Functional Activity Scale (HSS-FABS), and the Norwich Patellar Instability Index. This systematic review focused on studies published in the English language that have been published in or after the year 2000. The reference lists of eligible citations were also checked for further studies [Appendix 1].

Selection process

All abstracts obtained were screened against the eligibility criteria by 6 reviewers, blinded to each other's decisions. Once eligible abstracts were obtained, two reviewers went over the full texts in order to check their suitability for inclusion at the analysis stage, once again blinded to each other's decisions [Table 1]. Data from eligible studies were extracted from the full texts into a standardized Google sheet, with multiple copies of the data sheet being made to allow blind extraction. Different sheets were combined together in order to produce a complete master sheet, with all queries or disagreements resolved by the six reviewers' group and discourse with an experienced reviewer and surgeon.

Data items

The primary outcome was rates of redislocation within our population. The secondary outcomes included ongoing instability, revision surgery, non-MPFL reoperations, and other validated outcome measures.

Risk of bias assessment in individual studies

In our complete synthesis, risk of bias was assessed and then described. We used the Cochrane assessment tool for

Table 1:	Search strategy
Number	Term
1	Joint Instability/(23454)
2	Patella/(10932)
3	Patellofemoral Joint/(1976)
4	2 or 3 (12337)
5	1 and 4 (1339)
6	((Patella or Patellofemoral) adj2 (alta or instability or Dislocat*)).tw. (1685)
7	Trochlear dysplasia.tw. (592)
8	Medial patellofemoral ligament.tw. (1211)
9	mpfl.tw. (925)
10	or/5–9 (3297)
11	exp Orthopedic Procedures/(359030)
12	reconstruction.tw. (247711)
13	(Medial adj2 (reefing augmentation or plication or imbrication)).tw. (90)
14	Quadricepsplasty.tw. (150)
15	Proximal realignment.tw. (63)
16	or/11–15 (575569)
17	10 and 16 (1865)
18	limit 17 to"all child (0 to 18 years)" (600)
19	(child* or adolesc* or teen* or juvenile* or infant* or pediatric* or paediatric* or skeletal* immatur*). tw. (2380612)
20	17 and 19 (293)
21	18 or 20 (716)

randomized controlled trials to assess the risk of bias. For case series and cohort studies, we applied to the Joanna Briggs Institute critical appraisal checklist.^[16]

Synthesis

Where multiple studies existed which reported a comparable outcome, their results were presented descriptively using Forest plots to allow visual comparisons of the results. Where outcomes were binary, proportions were presented, and where outcomes were continuous, mean outcomes were presented. Where relevant, data reported as medians and interquartile range or range were converted to means. All results were shown with corresponding 95% confidence intervals. The results of the different studies were not combined into pooled estimates due to the heterogeneity of the studies in terms of study design (randomized and nonrandomized studies), age groups included, presence of risk factors (e.g., trochlea dysplasia), type of outcome measures reported, and variable length of follow-up.

Reporting bias assessment

Table 2: Study observatoristics

Due to the surgical and niche nature of the population studied, there would likely be a small number of randomized clinical trials and a greater proportion of single-arm studies. Therefore instead of using the GRADE tool, we used the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine tool, to appreciate the risk of bias

across all studies. Additionally, if 10 or more studies on the same intervention reported the same outcome, we produced a funnel plot in order to identify small study effects and determine the risk of publication bias.

RESULTS

Study selection

One thousand three hundred and twenty-nine abstracts were obtained with 200 duplicates leaving 1129 unique abstracts that fit our search criteria [Figure 1]. After the primary abstract screening stage, we deemed that 926 of the abstracts would not suit our eligibility criteria and hence were excluded. This left us with 203 full texts to retrieve, with us being successful in retrieving 191 of them in order to assess them at the full-text stage. From these 191 full texts, only 26 fit our eligibility criteria and reported at least one of our specified outcomes. The reasons for exclusion were ineligible population (99), unspecified or incorrect graft type (31), undesired or nonspecific outcome measures (21), a lack of detail in evaluation (10), and a study published in a language other than English (4).

Study characteristics

The studies included at full-text stage [Table 2] were published from 2001^[17] and 2023^[10,18,19] and were from 12 different

Table 2. Sludy character	51165							
Study	Levels of evidence	Age range	Follow-up (months)	Population	Procedures	Graft	Country	Year published
Rueth et al.	IV	13-16	32	101	101	Gracilis	Germany	2022
Spang <i>et al</i> .	IV	10 - 18	24	25	27	Gracilis	USA	2017
Husen et al.	IV	8-17	24	69	79	Gracilis	USA	2023
Wegmann et al.	IV	14-17	50	6	7	Gracilis	Austria	2017
Schlumberger et al.	IV	11-15	48	49	54	Gracilis	Germany	2021
Bremond et al.	IV	12-18	24	54	54	Gracilis	France	2022
Hohn <i>et al</i> .	IV	14-18	24	22	25	Gracilis	USA	2016
Machado et al.	IV	14-17	44	35	35	Gracilis	Portugal	2017
Zampieri et al.	III	12-15	30	57	57	Gracilis	France	2022
Roger et al.	IV	8-17	43	18	20	Gracilis	France	2018
Matuszewski et al.	III	13-17	24	22	22	Gracilis	Poland	2018
Pemmaraju et al.	IV	16-18	31	8	8	Gracilis	UK	2016
Lind <i>et al</i> .	III	8-16	39	20	24	Gracilis	Denmark	2016
Leite et al.	III	10-17	60	29	29	Gracilis and quadriceps	USA	2023
Kumar <i>et al</i> . (autograft group)	III	13-17	49.2	23	23	Gracilis	USA	2018
Sadigurski et al.	IV	9-13	12	7	7	Semitendinosus	Brazil	2017
Kumahashi et al.	IV	11-15	27.8	5	5	Semitendinosus	Japan	2012
Drez et al.	IV	14-18	27.1	5	5	Semitendinosus	USA	2001
Abouelsoud et al.	IV	8-15	29.25	16	16	Quadriceps	Egypt	2015
Nelitz et al.	III	9–14	24	25	25	Quadriceps	Germany	2017
Nomura <i>et al</i> .	IV	8-17	133.2	11	11	Augmented tape	Japan	2007
Hobson <i>et al</i> .	IV	12-18	58.8	29	33	Augmented tape	USA	2022
Alm <i>et al</i> .	IV	11-17	25.6	28	30	Gracilis+semitendinosus	Germany	2017
Pesenti et al.	IV	11-16	41.1	25	27	Gracilis+semitendinosus	France	2018
Reddy et al.	III	7-16	6	57	76	Hamstring	UK	2022
Hannah et al.	IV	10-16	6	33	40	Hamstring	UK	2021



Figure 1: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flowchart showing the identification, selection, eligibility, and inclusion of studies

countries. The included publications were from: the USA (7), Germany (4), France (4), the UK (3), Japan (2), and 6 other publications each from different countries. The range of ages for included patients was 7–18. The mean follow-up times for the different studies ranged from 6 months^[20] to 133.2 months.^[21] The different grafts used in the studies were gracilis (n = 15),^[10,18,19,22-33] semitendinosus (n = 3),^[17,34,35] quadriceps (n = 3),^[10,36,37] augmented tape (n = 2),^[15,21] and mixed semitendinosus and gracilis or generic hamstring graft (n = 4).^[20,38-40] It is of note that the study by Leite *et al.*^[10] contained discrete data that could be fit into two different graft types (gracilis and quadriceps).

Risk of bias in studies

Nineteen out of 26 studies included were case series [Table 3], where the range of scores for the Joanna Briggs Institute critical appraisal checklist^[16] ranged from 5^[26] to 10^[19,40] with a mean score of 7.63. There were two items where all studies

met the criteria being "Was the condition measured in a standard, reliable way for all participants included in the case series?" and "Were valid methods used for identification of the condition for all participants included in the case series?." The worst reported checklist criteria within the studies was "Did the case series have complete inclusion of participants?" which no case series in this study met.

We applied the same checklist to case–control studies, with only one being included at the data extraction stage.^[32] For this, 6/10 items of the checklist were met, with the remaining 4/10 not meeting the criteria.

For the cohort studies that were included there was a range of 5 to 11/11 of items met within the checklist [Table 4]. The mean number of items that the studies met was 8.5/11. There were 4 items that were met across all studies (items 3, 8, 9, and 11). Item 6 "Were the groups/participants free of the outcome at the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)?" was

Table 3: Identified case series													
Author	Item 1	Item 2	Item 3	Item 4	ltem 5	Item 6	Item 7	Item 8	Item 9	Item 10	Total		
Rueth	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	7		
Hobson	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	8		
Spang	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	9		
Husen	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	10		
Pesenti	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	Y	U	Y	7		
Wegmann	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	8		
Alm	Y	Y	Y	U	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	7		
Hohn	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	U	Y	Ν	U	5		
Schlumberger	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	9		
Bremond	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	Y	Y	9		
Machado	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	9		
Sadigurski	Y	Y	Y	U	Y	Ν	Y	Y	U	Y	7		
Roger	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Ν	U	6		
Pemmaraju	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	U	Ν	7		
Abouelsoud	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	Ν	Y	Y	Y	U	7		
Kumahashi	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Υ	7		
Nomura	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Υ	6		
Drez	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	7		
Hannah	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	10		
Total	17	19	19	9	6	16	18	18	9	14	N/A		

Table 4: Cohort studies

Author	Item 1	Item 2	Item 3	Item 4	Item 5	Item 6	Item 7	Item 8	Item 9	Item 10	Item 11	Total
Leite	N	N	Y	Ν	N	U	Y	Y	Y	U	Y	5
Kumar	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	10
Neilitz	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	11
Zampieri	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	11
Matuszewski	Ν	Y	Y	U	Ν	U	Y	Y	Y	U	Y	6
Reddy	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	U	Y	Y	Y	Y	8
Total	4	5	6	4	3	2	5	6	6	4	6	N/A

the least well reported with only two studies^[28,37] meeting the requirements of this item.

Results of individual studies

Thirty-six out of 497 (7%) knees operated on within the gracilis graft group had a redislocation postreconstruction occur within their follow-up period [Figure 2]. Within the semitendinosus graft group, 1/10 (10%) knees had a postoperation dislocation, and in the quadriceps graft group, 4/51 (8%) had a redislocation. For the augmented tape graft group, 2/33 (6%) of knees also experienced a redislocation. Within the final graft group, the mixed gracilis and semitendinosus or generic hamstring group, 5/57 (9%) observed a redislocation post reconstructive surgery. 15 of 26 studies were focused on the skeletally immature of which 14 reported redislocation rates with a median of 5% (standard deviation [SD]: 4.38) and a range from 0% to 26%. 2 of 26 included only skeletally mature patients with a median redislocation rate of 3.45% (SD = 1.62), range = 0%–7%. The remaining studies consisted of mixed populations or unstated skeletal maturity.

When comparing gracilis autograft redislocation rate to allograft, 17 studies reported on autograft redislocation rates with a median

of 4% (SD = 4.34), range 0%–26%, compared to 2 studies of allograft with a median of 14% (SD = 1.98) and range = 9%–19%.

The mean Kujala scores [Figure 3], within the Gracilis graft group, ranged from $80.3^{[10]}$ to $97.9^{[24]}$ with a median of $89.63.^{[31]}$ For the semitendinosus group, the mean Kujala score ranged from $88.57^{[34]}$ to $95.4,^{[35]}$ with a median of $90.8.^{[17]}$ There was a range of $86.2^{[10]}$ — $94^{[36]}$ for mean Kujala scores within the quadriceps group and a mean of 90.1. In addition, there was a separate median reported in the quadriceps group of $89.^{[37]}$ There was only one mean Kujala score reported for the augmented tape group of $93.6.^{[21]}$ In the "mixed gracilis and semitendinosus or generic hamstring" graft choice, there was a mean Kujala score range of $89^{[20]}$ — $95.3^{[39]}$ with a median mean of 92.2. There was once again a separate individual median Kujala score of $91.12^{[38]}$ within this final group.

Tegner and Lysholm scores were reported for a smaller number of studies [Figures 4 and 5].

Ongoing instability was analyzed for each of the different groups [Figure 6]. Within the gracilis group, 14/271 knees (5%) experienced postoperative ongoing instability at

Dovle	et al ·	Graft	choice	in	nediatric	MPFL	-R	review
Doyic,	<i>ei ui</i>	Oran	CHOICE	ш	peulaule	INIT L. L.	-1/	ICVICW

Study	Year	Redislocation	Total	Proportion (95% CI)
Hamstring Autograft				
Alm et al	2017	4	30 —	0.1 [0.0, 0.3]
Bremond et al	2022	5	54 —	0.1 [0.0, 0.2]
Drez et al	2001	1	5	0.2 [0.0, 0.6]
Hobson et al	2022	2	33 —=—	0.1 [0.0, 0.1]
Kumahashi et al	2012	0	5 -	0.0
Kumar et al (autograft group)	2018	6	23	0.3 [0.1, 0.4]
Leite et al (gracilis group)	2023	5	29 —	0.2 [0.0, 0.3]
Lind et al	2016	4	24	0.2 [0.0, 0.3]
Machado et al	2017	1	35	0.0 [0.0, 0.1]
Matuszewski et al	2018	0	25 •	0.0
Pemmaraju et al	2016	0	8 -	0.0
Pesenti et al	2018	1	27 —	0.0 [0.0, 0.1]
Roger et al	2019	0	20 -	0.0
Rueth et al	2022	1	101 =-	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Schlumberger et al	2021	3	54 —	0.1 [0.0, 0.1]
Wegmann et al	2018	0	7 ■	0.0
Zampieri et al	2022	2	57 —	0.0 [0.0, 0.1]
Quadricops Graft				
	2015	0	16 •	0.0
Leite et al (quad group)	2013	2	28	
Nelitz et al	2023	2	25 -	0.1 [0.0, 0.2]
	2010	0	25 -	0.0
Hamstring Allograft				
Hohn et al	2016	2	26 ——	0.1 [0.0, 0.2]
Husen et al	2023	13	79 —	0.2 [0.1, 0.2]
				_
			0.2.4	.6
			Proportion with redislocation	

Figure 2: Forest plot of redislocation rates

a minimum follow-up time of 24 months.^[19,25,26] There was no ongoing instability data within any of the semitendinosus graft group studies. 5/16 knees (31%) within the quadriceps graft choice group experienced ongoing instability, all patients coming from one study by Abouelsoud *et al.*^[36] Within the augmented tape group and the mixed gracilis and semitendinosus or generic hamstring group, there were 2/33 (6%) and 11/103 (11%) cases of ongoing instability, respectively.

Revision surgeries [Figure 7] were reported in 27/403 (7%) knees within the gracilis graft group. None of the knees operated on within the semitendinosus group and the quadriceps graft group required revision surgery. For the augmented tape group, 2/33 knees (6%) required revision surgery, and 14/143 (10%) knees needed revision in the mixed gracilis and semitendinosus or generic hamstring group.

Only 3 of the 26 studies included information on participants with ligamentous laxity, 2 including patients with laxity and 1 excluding them. No studies included scoring of laxity.

DISCUSSION

This systematic review was conducted in order to assess the suitability of different graft choices in pediatric MPFL reconstruction and identify outcomes to be relatively consistent across them for the selected outcome measures, although it lacked the data for a statistical analysis and therefore only acts as an indication of efficacy.

Twenty-six studies were included within this analysis from an original 1,129 individual articles. The pooled rate of postoperative redislocation was 4% (6%-10%) across the different graft groups, with occurrence most likely in the semitendinosus group and least likely in the augmented tape group. This confirms the successful role of isolated MPFL reconstruction in treatment of patellofemoral dislocation. Other outcome measures, such as ongoing instability and validated outcome measures, also did not differ across the different graft groups.

Follow-up was relatively short term in the majority of studies with a wide range. One of the concerns of isolated

Study	Year	N		Kujala score Mean (95% CI)
Hamstring Autograft				
Alm et al	2017	30		88.1 [84.3, 91.9]
Bremond et al	2022	54	-	84.0 [82.1, 85.9]
Drez et al	2001	5	-	90.8 [80.7, 100.9]
Kumahashi et al	2012	5		95.4 [92.6, 98.2]
Kumar et al (autograft group)	2018	23		97.4 [95.2, 99.6]
Leite et al (gracilis group)	2023	29	- _	80.3 [74.0, 86.6]
Lind et al	2016	24	- _	81.0 [74.6, 87.4]
Machado et al	2017	35		84.0 [81.0, 87.0]
Matuszewski et al	2018	25	-	94.3 [92.6, 96.1]
Nomura et al	2007	12	_ 	93.8 [89.5, 98.1]
Pemmaraju et al	2016	8	e	89.6 [83.5, 95.7]
Pesenti et al	2018	27		95.3 [92.9, 97.7]
Roger et al	2019	20	-	91.4 [89.7, 93.2]
Sadigurski et al	2017	7		88.6 [84.8, 92.3]
Schlumberger et al	2021	54	-#-	97.9 [96.0, 99.8]
Wegmann et al	2018	7	-	94.0 [87.3, 100.7]
Quadriceps Graft				
Abouelsoud et al	2015	16	+	94.0 [92.7, 95.3]
Leite et al (quad group)	2023	29		86.2 [83.0, 89.4]
Nelitz et al	2018	25		88.9 [86.6, 91.2]
Hamstring Allograft				
Husen et al	2023	79	-	96.5 [94.9, 98.1]
Spang et al	2017	27	- _	85.9 [80.7, 91.1]
		, 7	0 80 90 100 1 Kujala score	- 110

Figure 3: Forest plot of Kujala scores

MPFL reconstruction in the pediatric cohort is the presence of untreated anatomical risk factors increasing the risk of later dislocation, these factors playing a role in recurrence of instability with many studies not stratifying these risk factors. This is a recurring theme within the adult literature.^[41] Well-established prognostic data were underreported across our included studies. Body mass index (BMI) and the tibial tuberosity to trochlear groove (TT-TG) distance are known factors in patella instability and therefore should be considered.^[42,43] BMI was only reported in 5/26 included studies, with TT-TG distances only reported in 15/26. The TT-TG should be considered a composite figure, not a decision-making tool that allows understanding of periarticular rotational malalignment that is a complex measurement. The presence of ligamentous laxity was also not documented in the majority of studies. Revision surgery is also a difficult variable to standardize and indications differ and some cases may be missed that have functional problems postsurgery. The same is true for the reporting of ongoing instability.

The published data on graft groups are heavily weighted to populations treated with a gracilis graft, with the other graft groups under-represented. 31% of quadriceps grafts experienced ongoing instability. This could be due to this figure being derived from only one study of 16 patients. A small sample size could give a false indication of true outcome.^[36] A greater number of patients in the non gracilis graft groups would be needed for a more accurate representation and therefore a more reliable analysis. The potential concerns of hamstring allograft seen with ACL reconstruction^[44] may affect also the efficacy of MPFL reconstruction.

Study	Year	N		Lysholm score Mean (95% CI)
Hamstring Autograft				
Alm et al	2017	30	-	85.9 [81.8, 90.0]
Kumahashi et al	2012	5		96.0 [94.1, 97.9]
Sadigurski et al	2017	7	e	87.7 [83.5, 91.9]
Schlumberger et al	2021	54	_ 	95.9 [93.9, 97.9]
Hamstring Allograft				
Husen et al	2023	79		96.5 [95.0, 98.0]
Spang et al	2017	27	=	84.3 [79.2, 89.4]
			80 85 90 95	100
			Lysholm score	

Figure 4: Forest plot of Lysolm scores

Study	Year	N		Tegner score Mean (95% CI)
Hamstring Autograft				
Alm et al	2017	30		6.0 [5.5, 6.6]
Bremond et al	2022	54	+	4.9 [4.6, 5.2]
Drez et al	2001	5	_	7.6 [6.1, 9.1]
Machado et al	2017	35		6.0 [5.3, 6.7]
Schlumberger et al	2021	54	-	6.3 [5.9, 6.7]
Wegmann et al	2018	7	-	5.0 [3.7, 6.3]
Quadriceps Graft				
Abouelsoud et al	2015	16	-	5.2 [4.8, 5.7]
Nelitz et al	2018	25	-#-	5.1 [4.6, 5.6]
		г 0	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Tegner score	0

Figure 5: Forest plot of Tegner scores

In particular, although data are limited on quadriceps grafts, outcomes appear as effective as hamstring grafts in the limited period of follow-up and warrant further investigation based on low redislocation rates and the efficacy seen in adult MPFL reconstruction.^[45-50]

Strengths and limitations

One key strength of this systematic review was the highly sensitive search strategy employed in order to retrieve potentially relevant articles. We were able to screen 1129 individual articles, allowing us to find 26 full-text studies that were eligible and therefore included in data extraction with two reviewers performing a critical appraisal of each article. This is in comparison to a recent review by Migliorini *et al.*^[51] which was only able to identify 730 unique entries, due to their less sensitive search strategy and exclusion of allografts and less specific analysis of factors related to failure of the procedure. Our search allowed us to include 334 more procedures in our review (844 vs. 510).

Throughout our screening process, we also ensured the reliable inclusion of studies through multiple blinded reviewers being used. Six reviewers screened at the abstract stage, all blinded to each other's results. Any undecided

Doyle, et al.: Graft choice in pediatric MPFL-R review

Study	Year	Ongoing instability	Total	Propor (95%	tion CI)
Hamstring Autograft					
Bremond et al	2022	2	54	— 0.0 [0.0,	0.1]
Hobson et al	2022	2	33	— — 0.1 [0.0,	0.1]
Lind et al	2016	5	24	——— 0.2 [0.0,	0.4]
Machado et al	2017	3	35	——— 0.1 [0.0,	0.2]
Pemmaraju et al	2016	1	8	—— 0.1 [0.0,	0.4]
Pesenti et al	2018	4	27	—— 0.1 [0.0,	0.3]
Schlumberger et al	2021	1	54	0.0 [0.0,	0.1]
Quadriceps Graft Abouelsoud et al	2015	5	16	 0.3 [0.1,	0.5]
Hamstring Allograft					
Hohn et al	2016	2	26	——— 0.1 [0.0,	0.2]
Husen et al	2023	1	79	- 0.0 [0.0,	0.0]
Reddy et al	2022	7	76	— — 0.1 [0.0,	0.2]
			F	0 .2 .4 .6 roportion with ongoing instability	

Figure 6: Forest plot of ongoing instability rates

decisions were brought up as a group and then settled, with input from an experienced reviewer and pediatric knee surgeon, and then at the full-text stage, 203 articles were split into pairs who assessed their eligibility, once again blind of each other.

In terms of weaknesses, our statistical synthesis was limited due to a lack of robust studies and therefore limited high quality evidence. The use of the GRADE tool was avoided in favor of the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine tool due to the lack of randomized studies and could be considered a deviation from normal systematic review methods but a process we felt appropriate based on the number of case series. A number of clinical outcome scores used are not validated for patients under the age of 16 years such as the Kujala, Tegner, and Lysholm, but in the absence of core outcomes for pediatric knee surgery, their use by many within the study of the pediatric age bracket is understandable.

Although surgical variation such as graft fixation and technique of reconstruction with each graft can alter outcomes,^[52] this was not considered in our analysis.Graft harvesting methods may differ in complications, a factor assessed in systematic reviews for ACL reconstruction^[53,54] but not for MPFL. Contemporary reconstruction of other medial constraints such as the medial patellotibial ligament (MPTL) and medial quadriceps tendon femoral ligament were also not compared. These constraints are the subject of renewed interest and are often reconstructed simultaneously with the MPFL. They may play a future role in treatment of the paediatric cohort.^[55-59]

Clinicians using any of these graft types can be confident that current evidence does not suggest one graft type is superior. As the practice of surgery for recurrent dislocation within the pediatric population continues to be dominated by isolated MPFL reconstruction, it suggests that better comparative studies of graft choice that takes in to account anatomical risk factors are needed. The TT-TG measurement, considered by many a composite and complicated figure, is still a measure of interest despite controversies on what it represents.

CONCLUSIONS

The data pooled across the studies suggest that isolated MPFL reconstruction had good outcomes for all graft types. Heterogeneity in both the participant groups, assessment of risk factors, outcome reporting, and methodology limits analysis of the published evidence of graft choice for MPFL reconstruction in children and adolescents. Further studies with careful risk stratification and core outcomes are needed to identify if there is a benefit to one particular type of procedure.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Doyle, et al.: Graft choice in pediatric MPFL-R review

Study	Year	Revision surgery	Total	Prop (95	ortion % CI)
Hamstring Autograft					
Drez et al	2001	0	5 -	• 0.0	
Hannah et al	2021	5	40	0.1 [0	.0, 0.2]
Hobson et al	2022	2	33 ·	—— 0.1 [0	.0, 0.1]
Kumahashi et al	2012	0	5 -	• 0.0	
Lind et al	2016	5	24	— 0.2 [0	.0, 0.4]
Pemmaraju et al	2016	1	8 -	– 0.1 [0	.0, 0.4]
Roger et al	2019	0	20	• 0.0	
Rueth et al	2022	1	101 ·	■- 0.0 [0	.0, 0.0]
Schlumberger et al	2021	3	54 ·	0.1 [0	.0, 0.1]
Wegmann et al	2018	0	7 -	• 0.0	
Zampieri et al	2022	3	57 ·	0.1 [0	.0, 0.1]
Quadriceps Graft					
Abouelsoud et al	2015	0	16 🛛	• 0.0	
Nelitz et al	2018	0	25	• 0.0	
Hamstring Allograft					
Hohn et al	2016	1	26 ·	0.0 [0	.0, 0.1]
Husen et al	2023	11	79	0.1 [0	.1, 0.2]
Reddy et al	2022	9	76	_ 0.1 [0	.0, 0.2]
Spang et al	2017	2	27 ·	0.1 [0	.0, 0.2]
			י ר) 2 4	
			F	Proportion with revision surgery	

Figure 7: Forest plot of revision surgery

REFERENCES

- 1. Bachman DR, Phillips D, Veerkamp MW, Chipman DE, Wall EJ, Ellington MD, *et al.* MPFL reconstruction and implant-mediated guided growth in skeletally immature patients with patellar instability and genu valgum. Am J Sports Med 2024;52:698-704.
- Danielsen O, Poulsen TA, Eysturoy NH, Mortensen ES, Hölmich P, Barfod KW. Trochlea dysplasia, increased TT-TG distance and patella Alta are risk factors for developing first-time and recurrent patella dislocation: A systematic review. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2023;31:3806-46.
- Drapeau-Zgoralski V, Swift B, Caines A, Kerrigan A, Carsen S, Pickell M. Lateral patellar instability. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2023;105:397-409.
- Huntington LS, Webster KE, Devitt BM, Scanlon JP, Feller JA. Factors associated with an increased risk of recurrence after a first-time patellar dislocation: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Sports Med 2020;48:2552-62.
- Sochacki KR, Shea KG, Varshneya K, Safran MR, Abrams GD, Donahue J, *et al.* Relationship of the medial patellofemoral ligament origin on the distal femur to the distal femoral physis: A systematic review. Am J Sports Med 2021;49:261-6.
- Hurley ET, Sherman SL, Chahla J, Gursoy S, Alaia MJ, Tanaka MJ, et al. A modified Delphi consensus statement on patellar instability: Part II. Bone Joint J 2023;105-B:1265-70.
- Trivellas M, Arshi A, Beck JJ. Roux-goldthwait and medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction for patella realignment in the skeletally immature patient. Arthrosc Tech 2019;8:e1479-83.

- Wilkens OE, Hannink G, van de Groes SA. Recurrent patellofemoral instability rates after MPFL reconstruction techniques are in the range of instability rates after other soft tissue realignment techniques. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2020;28:1919-31.
- Shamrock AG, Day MA, Duchman KR, Glass N, Westermann RW. Medial Patellofemoral Ligament Reconstruction in Skeletally Immature Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Orthop J Sports Med 2019;7:2325967119855023. doi: 10.1177/2325967119855023.
- Leite CB, Hinckel BB, Ribeiro GF, Giglio PN, Santos TP, Bonadio MB, et al. Medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction in skeletally immature patients without correction of bony risk factors leads to acceptable outcomes but higher failure rates. J ISAKOS 2023;8:189-96.
- Cruz AI Jr., Beck JJ, Ellington MD, Mayer SW, Pennock AT, Stinson ZS, et al. Failure rates of autograft and allograft ACL reconstruction in patients 19 years of age and younger: A systematic review and meta-analysis. JB JS Open Access 2020;5:e20.00106.
- 12. VandenBerg CD, Sarkisova N, Lee Pace J, Rhodes J, Hidalgo Perea S, PRiSM Patellofemoral Instability RIG Study Group, *et al.* Current practice trends in the surgical management of patellofemoral instability: A survey of the paediatric research in sports medicine (prism) society. J Child Orthop 2021;15:571-6.
- Deo H, Mohamed R, Ahmed G. Medium-term outcome of medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction using synthetic graft. Knee 2023;44:220-6.
- Nomura E, Inoue M. Hybrid medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction using the semitendinous tendon for recurrent patellar dislocation: Minimum 3 years' follow-up. Arthroscopy

2006;22:787-93.

- Hobson TE, Tomasevich KM, Quinlan NJ, Mortensen AJ, Aoki SK. Tape augmentation does not affect mid-term outcomes of medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction in skeletally mature adolescent patients. Arthrosc Sports Med Rehabil 2022;4:e359-70.
- Joanna Briggs Institute. Checklist for Case Series. The Joanna Briggs Institute Critical appraisal tools for use in JBI Systematic Reviews. Adelaide, Australia: Joanna Briggs Institute;2019. p. 3.
- Drez D Jr., Edwards TB, Williams CS. Results of medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction in the treatment of patellar dislocation. Arthroscopy 2001;17:298-306.
- Rueth MJ, Koehl P, Schuh A, Goyal T, Wagner D. Return to sports and short-term follow-up of 101 cases of medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction using gracilis tendon autograft in children and adolescents. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2023;143:447-52.
- Husen M, Milbrandt TA, Shah V, Krych AJ, Stuart MJ, Saris DB. Medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction using allografts in skeletally immature patients. Am J Sports Med 2023;51:1513-24.
- Reddy G, Hayer PS, Ullslam S, Mehta NJ, Iqbal HJ, Stables G, *et al.* Outcomes of allograft medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction in children and adolescents with hypermobility. Int J Appl Basic Med Res 2022;12:161-6.
- Nomura E, Inoue M, Kobayashi S. Long-term follow-up and knee osteoarthritis change after medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction for recurrent patellar dislocation. Am J Sports Med 2007;35:1851-8.
- 22. Spang RC, Tepolt FA, Paschos NK, Redler LH, Davis EA, Kocher MS. Combined Reconstruction of the Medial Patellofemoral Ligament (MPFL) and Medial Quadriceps Tendon-Femoral Ligament (MQTFL) for Patellar Instability in Children and Adolescents: Surgical Technique and Outcomes. J Pediatr Orthop. 2019;39:e54-e61. doi: 10.1097/ BPO.0000000000001259.
- 23. Wegmann H, Würnschimmel C, Kraus T, Singer G, Eberl R, Till H, et al. Medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) reconstruction in combination with a modified grammont technique leads to favorable mid-term results in adolescents with recurrent patellofemoral dislocations. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2018;26:705-9.
- 24. Schlumberger M, Schuster P, Hofmann S, Mayer P, Immendörfer M, Mayr R, *et al.* Midterm results after isolated medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction as first-line surgical treatment in skeletally immature patients irrespective of patellar height and trochlear dysplasia. Am J Sports Med 2021;49:3859-66.
- 25. Bremond N, Prima R, Rabattu PY, Accadbled F, Chotel F, Konkel M, et al. Isolated MPFL reconstruction with soft tissue femoral fixation technique in 54 skeletally immature patients: Clinical outcomes at 2 years follow-up. A French multicenter retrospective study. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2023;109:103530.
- Hohn E, Pandya NK. Does the utilization of allograft tissue in medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction in pediatric and adolescent patients restore patellar stability? Clin Orthop Relat Res 2017;475:1563-9.
- Machado SA, Pinto RA, Antunes AJ, de Oliveira PA. Patellofemoral instability in skeletally immature patients. Porto Biomed J 2017;2:120-3.
- Zampieri A, Girardin C, Hocquet B, Coursier R, Fournier A, Martin C, et al. Patellar dislocation recurrence after pediatric MPFL reconstruction: Bone tunnels and soft tissues versus suture anchors and interference screw. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2023;109:103515.
- Roger J, Viste A, Cievet-Bonfils M, Pracros JP, Raux S, Chotel F. Axial patellar engagement index and patellar tilt after medial patello-femoral ligament reconstruction in children and adolescents. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2019;105:133-8.
- Matuszewski Ł, Tramś M, Ciszewski A, Wilczyński M, Tramś E, Jakubowski P, *et al.* Medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction in children: A comparative randomized short-term study of fascia lata allograft and gracilis tendon autograft reconstruction. Medicine (Baltimore) 2018;97:e13605. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000013605.
- 31. Pemmaraju G, Bassett J, Abbas R, Nagra G, Chugh S, Mughal E. Outcomes of combined tibial tuberosity transfer and medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction for recurrent patellar instability. Acta Orthop Belg 2016;82:365-71.
- 32. Lind M, Enderlein D, Nielsen T, Christiansen SE, Faunø P. Clinical

outcome after reconstruction of the medial patellofemoral ligament in paediatric patients with recurrent patella instability. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2016;24:666-71.

- KumarN, BastromTP, Dennis MM, PennockAT, Edmonds EW. Adolescent Medial Patellofemoral Ligament Reconstruction: A Comparison of the Use of Autograft Versus Allograft Hamstring. Orthop J Sports Med. 2018;6:2325967118774272. doi: 10.1177/2325967118774272.
- Sadigursky D, Garcia LC, Armede M, Oliveira LR, Carneiro RJ, Colavolpe PO. Medial patellofemoral ligament and medial patellotibial ligament reconstruction in children: Preliminary results. Rev Bras Ortop 2017;52:417-22.
- 35. Kumahashi N, Kuwata S, Tadenuma T, Kadowaki M, Uchio Y. A "sandwich" method of reconstruction of the medial patellofemoral ligament using a titanium interference screw for patellar instability in skeletally immature patients. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2012;132:1077-83.
- Abouelsoud MM, Abdelhady A, Elshazly O. Anatomic physeal-sparing technique for medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction in skeletally immature patients with ligamentous laxity. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol 2015;25:921-6.
- 37. Nelitz M, Dreyhaupt J, Williams SR. Anatomic reconstruction of the medial patellofemoral ligament in children and adolescents using a pedicled quadriceps tendon graft shows favourable results at a minimum of 2-year follow-up. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2018;26:1210-5.
- Alm L, Krause M, Mull C, Frosch KH, Akoto R. Modified adductor sling technique: A surgical therapy for patellar instability in skeletally immature patients. Knee 2017;24:1282-8.
- Pesenti S, Ollivier M, Escudier JC, Cermolacce M, Baud A, Launay F, et al. Medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction in children: Do osseous abnormalities matter? Int Orthop 2018;42:1357-62.
- 40. Hannah A, Pigott T, Ali F, Nicolaou N. Functional outcomes of paediatric medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) reconstruction surgery with or without patella distalisation and medialisation for recurrent patella instability. J Arthrosc Joint Surg 2021;8:177-83.
- Cregar WM, Huddleston HP, Wong SE, Farr J, Yanke AB. Inconsistencies in reporting risk factors for medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction failure: A systematic review. Am J Sports Med 2022;50:867-77.
- Marin EL, Bifulco SS, Fast A. Obesity. A risk factor for knee dislocation. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 1990;69:132-4.
- Vairo GL, Moya-Angeler J, Siorta MA, Anderson AH, Sherbondy PS. Tibial tubercle-trochlear groove distance is a reliable and accurate indicator of patellofemoral instability. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2019;477:1450-8.
- 44. Zhao D, Pan JK, Lin FZ, Luo MH, Liang GH, Zeng LF, et al. Risk factors for revision or rerupture after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Sports Med 2023;51:3053-75.
- Fink C, Veselko M, Herbort M, Hoser C. MPFL reconstruction using a quadriceps tendon graft: Part 2: Operative technique and short term clinical results. Knee 2014;21:1175-9.
- 46. Peter G, Hoser C, Runer A, Abermann E, Wierer G, Fink C. Medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) reconstruction using quadriceps tendon autograft provides good clinical, functional and patient-reported outcome measurements (PROM): A 2-year prospective study. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2019;27:2426-32.
- Goyal D. Medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction: The superficial quad technique. Am J Sports Med 2013;41:1022-9.
- 48. Migliorini F, Trivellas A, Eschweiler J, Betsch M, Tingart M, Maffulli N. Pedicled strip of quadriceps tendon graft for primary medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction in recurrent patellofemoral instability: A systematic review. Arthroscopy 2021;37:1992-9.
- Olotu O, Siddiqui A, Peterson D, de Sa D. The superficial "swing-down" quadriceps tendon autograft is a viable option for medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction: A systematic review. Arthroscopy 2021;37:3187-97.
- Kay J, Memon M, Ayeni OR, Peterson D. Medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction techniques and outcomes: A scoping review. Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med 2021;14:321-7.

- Migliorini F, Maffulli N, Bell A, Betsch M. Outcomes, return to sport, and failures of MPFL reconstruction using autografts in children and adolescents with recurrent patellofemoral instability: A systematic review. Children (Basel) 2022;9:1892.
- 52. Shah JN, Howard JS, Flanigan DC, Brophy RH, Carey JL, Lattermann C. A systematic review of complications and failures associated with medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction for recurrent patellar dislocation. Am J Sports Med 2012;40:1916-23.
- Lee GH, McCulloch P, Cole BJ, Bush-Joseph CA, Bach BR Jr. The incidence of acute patellar tendon harvest complications for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Arthroscopy 2008;24:162-6.
- 54. Hardy A, Casabianca L, Andrieu K, Baverel L, Noailles T, Junior French Arthroscopy Society. Complications following harvesting of patellar tendon or hamstring tendon grafts for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: Systematic review of literature. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2017;103:S245-8.
- 55. Yang Y, Zhang Q. Reconstruction of the medial patellofemoral ligament and reinforcement of the medial patellotibial ligament is an effective

treatment for patellofemoral instability with patella Alta. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2019;27:2599-607.

- Aicale R, Maffulli N. Combined medial patellofemoral and medial patellotibial reconstruction for patellar instability: A PRISMA systematic review. J Orthop Surg Res 2020;15:529.
- 57. Spang RC, Tepolt FA, Paschos NK, Redler LH, Davis EA, Kocher MS. Combined reconstruction of the medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) and medial quadriceps tendon-femoral ligament (MQTFL) for patellar instability in children and adolescents: Surgical technique and outcomes. J Pediatr Orthop 2019;39:e54-61.
- Baskar D, Stavinoha TJ, Sanchez M, Gupta A, Randhawa SD, Rohde MS, *et al.* Quantifying the relationship between the medial quadriceps tendon-femoral ligament and patellar borders: A pediatric cadaveric study. Am J Sports Med 2022;50:2433-8.
- 59. Hinckel BB, Dean RS, Ahlgren CD, Cavinatto LM. Combined medial patellofemoral ligament, medial quadriceps tendon-femoral ligament, and medial patellotibial ligament reconstruction for patellar instability: A technical note. Arthrosc Tech 2023;12:e329-35.