

## **Social capital in skilled migrants' careers: Why (not) rely on it?**

Andrew Kozhevnikov

University of Leeds

UK

### **Abstract**

*Although resources accessible through social contacts (social capital) can enhance people's careers and, especially, careers of migrants, a comprehensive account explaining why career agents do or do not rely on social capital for career reasons is currently absent. This article addresses this lacuna by utilising Realist Social Theory's notion of reflexivity to explore careers of 82 skilled migrants in global (London) and secondary (Newcastle-upon-Tyne) cities. The analysis of the semi-structured interviews reveals that reliance on social capital for career reasons depends upon three factors: (1) need, (2) accessibility, and (3) costs. All three have proved to be shaped by both migrants' reflexive agency and structural conditions of the urban environments where their careers are unfolding. This article contributes by offering a fine-grained and balanced explanatory account of social capital in skilled migrants' careers, which further conceptualises careers decision-making as a relational phenomenon.*

**Key words:** careers; social capital; skilled migrants; realist social theory; global cities; secondary cities

## Social capital in skilled migrants' careers: Why (not) rely on it?

### Abstract

*Although resources accessible through social contacts (social capital) can enhance people's careers and, especially, careers of migrants, a comprehensive account explaining why career agents do or do not rely on social capital for career reasons is currently absent. This article addresses this lacuna by utilising Realist Social Theory's notion of reflexivity to explore careers of 82 skilled migrants in global (London) and secondary (Newcastle-upon-Tyne) cities. The analysis of the semi-structured interviews reveals that reliance on social capital for career reasons depends upon three factors: (1) need, (2) accessibility, and (3) costs. All three have proved to be shaped by both migrants' reflexive agency and structural conditions of the urban environments where their careers are unfolding. This article contributes by offering a fine-grained and balanced explanatory account of social capital in skilled migrants' careers, which further conceptualises careers decision-making as a relational phenomenon.*

**Key words:** careers; social capital; skilled migrants; realist social theory; global cities; secondary cities

### Introduction

With different provisos, from various frames of reference, and using diverse terminologies, the existing studies broadly agree that careers can be enhanced by various career resources. Recently, career resources have received more scholarly attention as researchers turned to examine career boundary-crossing and factors that facilitate or hinder it (Rodrigues and Guest, 2010). Importantly, another broadly agreed-upon agreement is that some of these resources can be accessed through social contacts, as social capital (Lin, 2001). Career advantages offered by social capital include access to jobs, promotions, legal advice, perceived career success, and a sense of professional identity (Granovetter, 1983; Burt, 1992; Thondhlana et al., 2016). Yet, not all people use social capital for career reasons or, at least, to the same extent.

1 Admittedly, social capital is conceptually vague, fluid, and loosely defined. Some authors use  
2 social capital and social networks interchangeably, some others equate social capital with  
3 resources incorporated in the networks, and the third camp suggests that social capital includes  
4 both resources and connections. Engaging with these debates is beyond the scope of this article.  
5  
6 Instead, it adopts a conventional definition of social capital as “the sum of the actual and  
7 potential resources embedded within, available through, and derived from the network of  
8 relationships possessed by an individual” (Nahapiet and Ghoshal, 1998: 243) to explore: [1]  
9 *why* skilled migrants rely (or not) on career resources accessible through social contacts (social  
10 capital); and [2] whether and *how* their reliance is shaped by structure and agency.

11  
12 The empirical data used to answer these questions came from 82 interviews with skilled  
13 migrants in London and Newcastle-upon-Tyne. The focus on skilled migrants is topical and  
14 timely. For migrants, as for other underprivileged groups, such as women and ethnic minorities  
15 (Gruguletz et al., 2019; Beigi et al., 2020), social capital can be especially helpful to  
16 compensate for the lack of other recognisable resources and to overcome career barriers  
17 (Moroşanu, 2016; Mahbub, 2021). Farashah et al. (2023) demonstrate that skilled migrants’  
18 employability is constrained by multiple factors, including discrimination, which indicates the  
19 compensatory value of social capital for their careers. Yet, whereas the literature (Thondhlana  
20 et al., 2016; Porter et al., 2023) and lay knowledge alike suggest that not all skilled migrants’  
21 careers [equally] rely on social capital, the explanatory accounts of these differences are scarce,  
22 and this article addresses this void. In addition to its scholarly value, such explanation is  
23 instructive for skilled migrants’ efforts to mobilise social resources, especially in the present  
24 turbulent times, as the value of social capital is believed to increase in times of austerity and  
25 uncertainty (Moroşanu, 2016). It could also improve career support offered by organisations  
26 and institutions, as the competition for skilled foreign workers is becoming increasingly stiffer,  
27 particularly in the post-Brexit UK.

1 To explore the agency of skilled migrants and how it influences reliance on social capital, this  
2 article draws upon Archer's (2003, 2007, 2012) Realist Social Theory and its notion of  
3 reflexivity. By introducing this theory more firmly into career studies, this article contributes  
4 to the ongoing searches for the robust social theory that could advance this field of academic  
5 inquiries (Chudzikowski and Mayrhofer, 2011; Mayrhofer et al., 2020). The structural factors  
6 are explored at the city-level, which contributes to the recent endeavours to appreciate cities as  
7 unique career environments (Tams et al., 2021). Overall, exploring skilled migrants' reliance  
8 on social capital for career reasons through the lenses of both structure and agency addresses  
9 the long-lasting conflict in career studies and provides a balanced and nuanced explanatory  
10 account, currently missing in the literature (Erel and Ryan, 2019).  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 The next sections review the existing literature to discuss social capital and the present  
25 approaches to understanding its role in careers, followed by the introduction of Realist Social  
26 Theory, as well as the concepts of global and secondary cities. Then the context of this study  
27 is outlined, and the methodological approach is expounded. Next, the key findings are  
28 presented, before discussing the contributions made by this article.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

## 39 **Theoretical background**

### 40 *Social capital in careers*

41 Social capital has long been recognised as an important career asset – one which may not be  
42 equally useful for all careers and all purposes, and which needs to be handled with care, but  
43 nonetheless valuable and influential (e.g., Thondhlana et al., 2016; Gericke et al., 2018;  
44 Mahub, 2021). Yet, surprisingly little effort has been made to explain *why* people do (not) use  
45 it for career purposes.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

55 Many studies equate having [access to] social resources with mobilising them, arguing that  
56 better-connected individuals are better positioned to benefit from social capital (Portes, 1998).  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Famously, Granovetter (1983: 202) identified “weak ties” as more beneficial (than “strong  
2 ties”) due to their ability to act as “critical bridges” to diverse resources. This notion was further  
3  
4 elaborated by Putnam (2000) who distinguished between bonding (connecting to people within  
5 one’s social group and helpful for “getting by”) and bridging (linking to the broader  
6  
7 communities and required for “getting ahead”) ties. For migrants, bridging indigenous contacts  
8  
9 are advantageous because they can share local knowledge needed for labour market integration  
10  
11 (Ryan and Mullholland, 2014; Moroşanu, 2016). Recently, studies of Polish migrants in the  
12  
13 UK (Ryan et al., 2008) and Syrian refugees in Germany (Gericke et al., 2018) have  
14  
15 differentiated between bridging and bonding vertically and horizontally, suggesting that  
16  
17 vertical bridging contacts (indigenous people occupying higher positions) are particularly  
18  
19 beneficial for re-establishing skilled careers. Yet, migrants often find themselves excluded  
20  
21 from resource-rich indigenous networks (Moroşanu, 2016). While illuminating deplorable  
22  
23 societal inequalities, these studies pay limited attention to individual strategizing (Kuwabara et  
24  
25 al., 2018), and this structural approach, despite highlighting the importance of being in the right  
26  
27 place, is less useful for understanding how one ends (or not) in that right place.  
28  
29

30  
31 This disposition has been unsettled by the advent of the boundaryless career, which re-  
32  
33 conceptualised social capital as knowing-whom - one of the forms of knowing required to take  
34  
35 responsibility for one’s own career (DeFillippi and Arthur, 1994). The boundaryless career and  
36  
37 studies it inspired add an important individual perspective to understanding social capital in  
38  
39 careers. Yet, such agency-centred inquiries have been criticised for downplaying the structural  
40  
41 impact and portraying careers as unfettered by external influences (Rodrigues and Guest,  
42  
43 2010). For example, out of six professional networking motives identified by Porter and  
44  
45 colleagues (2023) only one - adhering to social norms - is explicitly related to external  
46  
47 circumstances, whereas the remaining five (enjoying connecting with people; enjoying  
48  
49 assisting others; desire to acquire status; learning and performance; maintaining employability)  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 are internal and focussed on people's own career preferences, objectives, and desires. When  
2 looking at internationally mobile individuals, this approach prioritises privileged, often White  
3 and male, migrants from developed countries (Al Ariss and Crowley-Henry, 2013; Barwick,  
4 2022) who allegedly experience neither issues with acceptance by the locals nor the need for  
5 such acceptance. They may be seen as "superior outsiders" (Wallinder, 2022) and may even  
6 form exclusive social cliques among themselves and blackball the indigenes.  
7

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14 Arguably, neither of these perspectives is comprehensive. Although reliance on social capital  
15 is a collective matter (Nahapiet and Ghoshal, 1998), it is not defined solely by people's network  
16 positions. Well-connected individuals can be denied access to resources if seen as unreliable  
17 (Smith, 2005). To benefit from social capital, people are required to invest in developing and  
18 maintaining connections (Granovetter, 1983; Portes, 1998), but the return on such investments  
19 differs across contexts because establishing trust and reciprocity is shaped by local norms and  
20 institutions (e.g., Shirmohammadi et al., 2023). Even skilled migrants encounter cultural and  
21 linguistic obstacles when building local connections (Wessendorf, 2019; Barwick, 2022).  
22 Besides, the use of social capital is not invariably driven by economic or, indeed, career  
23 rationality (Kuwabara et al., 2018). Yet, even career-focussed migrants may avoid reliance on  
24 social capital, or some forms of it, as migrant contacts can lead to precarious jobs and  
25 exacerbate labour market segregation (Ryan and Mullholland, 2014; Leschke and Weiss,  
26 2020). For example, Thondhlana et al. (2016) discuss how a "warehouse mentality" – tendency  
27 to take any available jobs - discourages Zimbabwean migrants in the UK from competing for  
28 jobs more commensurate with their expertise and ambitions (also Kelly and Ducusin, 2024).  
29

30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51 Therefore, to advance understanding of why people do or do not use social capital for career  
52 reasons, it is important to consider both structure and agency, as well as the interplay between  
53 them. Some trailblazers have started paving the way in this direction. Offer (2012)  
54 discriminated between exclusion and withdrawal from social networks, wherein the former is  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 a prohibition imposed by others and the latter refers to self-imposed restrictions. A study by  
2 Gruguletz et al. (2019) explains women's less-effective networks as an outcome of structural  
3 barriers but also personal hesitations. With a reference to migrants, Ryan and Mulholland  
4 (2014: 259) observe that access to social resources is shaped by "the complex interplay of  
5 various factors including structural context, opportunities, personal priorities and also obstacles  
6 and constraints". Yet, these studies tend to address structure and agency only implicitly and/or  
7 parenthetically, while focussing on other issues. Even more fundamental efforts (e.g.,  
8 Gruguletz et al., 2019; Beigi et al., 2020) treat structure and agency as somewhat divorced and  
9 the relations between them remain nebulous. Conceivably, these shortfalls can be attributed to  
10 the notorious lack of a comprehensive social theory in career studies (Chudzikowski and  
11 Mayrhofer, 2011). This article champions Margaret Archer's Realist Social Theory as a potent  
12 means for such endeavours.

### 28 *Realist Social Theory*

31 Realist Social Theory (RST) was selected as this project's theoretical framework for its ability  
32 to disentangle the relations between structure and agency (Archer, 2003) and to separate  
33 between their causal effects, without reducing one to another or conflating the two, as well as  
34 for its robust ontological foundation rooted in critical realism (Bhaskar, 1975). The world is  
35 treated as a multi-layered system of interconnected entities, such as biological organisms,  
36 organisations, and societies, which have properties, such as employing people or having limbs,  
37 and causal powers to influence the world. Critical realist ontology also differentiates between  
38 three strata of the world: the *empirical* or events that can be sensed and observed; the *actual* or  
39 events that happen in the world and can be different from what is witnessed; and the *real* or  
40 powers that cause empirical and actual events (Bhaskar, 1975).

51 RST developed these ideas to argue that structure and agency are interconnected but  
52 ontologically and analytically distinguishable (Archer, 2003). Structure constitutes society and  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 has a power to orient actors' choices, goals, and actions, without pre-determining them.  
2 Individuals have their agency - a causal power to interact with and to make an impact upon the  
3 social world. When structure and agency interact, two outcomes are possible – structural  
4 reproduction (*morphostatis*) or elaboration (*morphogenesis*).  
5  
6

7 Archer (2007: 4) further argues that interactions between structure and agency are mediated  
8 through reflexivity or “the regular exercise of the mental ability, shared by all normal people,  
9 to consider themselves in relation to their (social) contexts and vice versa”. Reflexivity is a  
10 causal power exercised through “internal conversations” in which people define their  
11 subjective agential concerns (ambitions and priorities) against their objective structural  
12 circumstances. Thus, reflexivity helps people navigate their interests through the world but also  
13 limits the repertoire of actions compatible with the prioritised concerns in the given  
14 environment (Archer, 2007). In turn, the very existence of reflexivity and its mediating role are  
15 enabled by distinguishing between structure and agency (as reflexive objects and subjects) and  
16 their causal powers. Archer (2007, 2012) also argues that reflexive deliberations are  
17 particularly important in volatile or unfamiliar environments, which is likely to be the case for  
18 migrants.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 Crucially, the way people exercise reflexivity is not uniform. Four modes of reflexivity (MoRs)  
39 have been identified (Archer, 2003, 2007). All agents practise all four but to dissimilar extents,  
40 and one mode typically dominates our internal conversations. *Communicative reflexivity*  
41 encourages concerns about inter-personal relations and requires confirmation or affirmation of  
42 internal conversations by dialogical partners or interlocutors. Such interlocutors are personal  
43 contacts perceived to be sufficiently trustworthy and knowledgeable, typically drawn from  
44 within the environment people struggle to make their way through and often disposed to  
45 preserve and enforce the structural norms and conventions. *Autonomous reflexivity* is  
46 associated with concerns about achievements and characterised by self-sufficient internal  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1 conversations leading directly to actions. *Meta-reflexivity* results in concerns about values and  
2 encourages individuals to critically review their own reflexivity through continual self-  
3 evaluation. Finally, *fractured reflexivity* leads to confusion and social disorientation.  
4

5  
6  
7 Whereas fractured reflexivity yields ‘passive agents’ unable to tackle their problems,  
8  
9 practitioners of the other three modes are ‘active agents’ who develop their own *modus vivendi*  
10 – sets of practices that constitute a way of living most compatible with their concerns (Archer,  
11 2007). Through their internal conversations, people identify and prioritise their primary  
12 concerns and decide what purposeful activities, plans, or ‘projects’ to deploy when pursuing  
13 these concerns within a given environment (and with a given portfolio of resources). Such  
14 projects are, in turn, reflexively monitored in the volatile structural circumstances and can be  
15 committed to, changed, revised, or even abandoned altogether. In other words, whilst structure  
16 obstructs and enables agential choices and actions, people analyse, interpret, make sense of,  
17 and respond to the social reality through reflexive deliberations that compromise between  
18 conditionings and practice. Therefore, according to Realist Social Theory, what people do (or  
19 not) and why can be explained only by considering both their contextual circumstances and  
20 reflexive agency.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38  
39 The choice of Realist Social Theory is not heedless of its limitations. For instance, it has been  
40 argued that, whilst meticulously attentive to agency and reflexivity, the theory is less rigorous  
41 and detailed when explaining or even appreciating social structure and a wider range of  
42 mechanisms through which it affects agency (Caetano, 2015; also Golob and Makarovic,  
43 2019). Other scholars (e.g., Elder-Vass, 2007) have argued that there is more space for habitual  
44 actions, even in the less predictable modern conditions, than Archer (2012) suggests.  
45  
46 Nonetheless, by avoiding the structure-agency conflation and appreciating reflexivity as a  
47 mediator between the two, Archer’s theory offers a valuable lens through which to explore and  
48 explain how and why people differently respond to similar social circumstances. In this article,  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Archer's (2003, 2007, 2012) reflexivity is deployed to examine how different concerns stir  
2 individuals towards dissimilar dispositions to using social capital for career purposes.  
3

4 *Social capital in global and secondary cities*  
5

6  
7 Despite the relatively limited efforts to theorise contextual and relational factors (see Caetano,  
8 2015), Archer (2007) acknowledges that developing *modus vivendi* requires appraisal of the  
9 environment enabling or obstructing reflexive concerns. Similarly, scholars have called for  
10 more attention to spatial embeddedness of social networks and resources, as well as careers in  
11 a broader sense (Erel and Ryan, 2019).  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18  
19 The existing endeavours to explore how contextual forces influence careers have prioritised  
20 national, organisational, and community analytical levels. Only recently calls for appreciation  
21 of urban spaces as unique career environments have emerged (Tams et al., 2021). Yet,  
22 migration studies have a long tradition of examining social resources through the lens of urban  
23 spaces and their impact (e.g., Barwick, 2022). One useful taxonomy distinguishes between  
24 *global* and *secondary* cities. Global cities - e.g., New York, London and Hong Kong - are hubs  
25 of control over global resources (Sassen, 1991), which, historically, have been enticing great  
26 numbers of internationally mobile people. Presently, however, more migrants find home and  
27 employment in secondary cities, which are becoming acknowledged as distinct social settings  
28 with unique properties and attributes (Cardoso and Meijers, 2016).  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 Exploring migrants' reflexive deliberations in specific urban landscapes can offer in-depth  
44 insights into the relations between their agency and structural conditionings, whilst also  
45 usefully adding to the Realist Social Theory's somewhat limited contextual conceptualisation.  
46  
47  
48

49 In critical realist terms, global and secondary cities feature dissimilar causal powers to conduct  
50 careers, including reliance on social capital. Some of these powers can be idiosyncratic to  
51 migrants or affect them differently. Recently, Kozhevnikov (2021) identified the labour  
52 market, community, and lifestyle as three groups of city-factors that shape skilled migrants'  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 career capital, including knowing-whom, in global and secondary cities (also Beigi et al., 2020)  
2 Developed infrastructure and international transport links in global cities enable migrants to  
3 rely on transnational connections, which may be less feasible in secondary cities. Firmly  
4 implanted in the global economy, global cities often feature sizeable foreign-born and  
5 professional communities, and usually offer more opportunities to socialise with other migrants  
6 as well as fellow professionals, foreign and indigenous. In diverse global cities, the indigenes  
7 are more likely to have the “intercultural civility” (Wessendorf, 2019: 139) or exposure to and  
8 tolerance of people from different backgrounds. Yet, migrants’ efforts to develop local ties may  
9 be hindered by costs and geographical distances in global cities (Ryan and Mullholland, 2014).  
10 This indicates that skilled migrants in global and secondary cities have different opportunities  
11 to fulfil their reflexive concerns regarding reliance on social capital.

12 This study takes London and Newcastle-upon-Tyne as examples of global and secondary cities,  
13 respectively, to investigate how urban landscapes, in conjunction with agential concerns, shape  
14 the role of social capital in careers. The UK’s capital, London is an archetype of a global city.  
15 Despite the UK withdrawal from the EU and the COVID-19 pandemic, in 2023 London  
16 maintained its first-place position in the Global Power City Index for the twelfth consecutive  
17 year (also widening the gap between itself and New York which occupies the second place),  
18 performing particularly strongly at cultural interaction, economy, and research and  
19 development. The city’s political, economic, and cultural supremacy is buttressed by its  
20 diversity, including a substantial foreign-born population, and high density of skilled jobs.  
21 Situated in the North-East of England, Newcastle has been experiencing a wounding  
22 transformation, induced by the decline of traditional manufacturing industries, from one of the  
23 world’s leading industrial hubs to the city with a limited engagement in the global economy.  
24 The city is characterised by the homogenous working-class White British population (ONS,  
25 2018) and strong, durable, and cohesive local ‘Geordie’ culture which, despite being regarded

1 as convivial and uninhibited, can be exclusive to ‘outsiders’ and, under certain adverse  
2 circumstances (e.g., unemployment), protectionist and insular (Hollands, 1995).  
3  
4  
5

## 6 7 **Method**

8  
9 To examine how agential reflexive concerns and urban landscapes shape social capital in  
10 skilled migrants’ careers, this article drew upon rich qualitative data from semi-structured  
11 interviews. Qualitative enquiries are useful for circumstantial insights into individual  
12 experiences (Silverman, 1993) and, from a critical realist position, semi-structured interviews  
13 have been endorsed for their ability to unravel complex structure-agency interactions (Smith  
14 and Elger, 2014).  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 The final sample comprised 82 skilled migrants: born outside the UK to non-British parents,  
25 educated at undergraduate university degree level or above, and/or having three or more years  
26 of experience in occupations recognised as skilled in the Standard Occupational Classification,  
27 including university lecturers, schoolteachers, managers, scientists, doctors, and engineers.  
28  
29 When interviewed, 43 participants (aged 24-61, mean: 38) lived and worked in Newcastle and  
30 39 (26-58, mean: 37) in London, with 18 having had career experiences in both cities. The  
31 sample was not intended to accurately reflect the demographic of migrant communities. Rather,  
32 the aim was to develop two comparable sub-samples encompassing an assortment of  
33 backgrounds and experiences. The sample included 45 male and 37 female participants  
34 (London: 22/17 and Newcastle: 23/20); 39 were from the European Economic Area (London:  
35 18 and Newcastle: 21); and 32 respondents (London: 17 and Newcastle: 15) were classed as  
36 non-White. The interviews were conducted in English, face-to-face (67) and remotely (15), and  
37 lasted approximately one hour. All names and some other personal data had been changed or  
38 withdrawn.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 The study used abductive approach (Smith and Elger, 2014) aimed at creating a logical and  
2 plausible explanation for the phenomenon in question (in this study's case, skilled migrants'  
3 reliance on social capital for career reasons) through systematic and iterative combining of  
4 theory and data. The research was also informed by Gioia methodology (Gioia et al., 2012)  
5 which, despite its original focus on inductive approaches, has recently been recommended for  
6 enhancing 'qualitative rigour' in abductive studies (Magnani and Gioia, 2023). The research  
7 commenced with in-depth literature review presented in the previous section. An important  
8 objective of the review was identification of *a priori* themes that informed the interview guide.  
9 The interview questions were designed to examine, elaborate, or reject the *a priori* themes  
10 (Magnani and Gioia, 2023), e.g., urban migrant communities and time needed to develop social  
11 connections, but later evolved to investigate emerging patterns. For instance, when the *need*  
12 crystallised as one of the key factors in the narratives of the first few respondents, the  
13 subsequent interviews explicitly invited discussions of its manifestation and impact.  
14 The interviews opened with introductory questions about migration and career biographies.  
15 This was followed by more specific questions about social capital, which invited explanation  
16 of why the respondents did (not) rely on it for career reasons. Multiple probing questions  
17 invited respondents to expand their answers. The questions covered the respondents'  
18 deliberations, interpretations, and actions in relation to (not) seeking, accepting, and utilising  
19 social capital, including options that were considered but dismissed and the reasons for  
20 dismissing. The participants were asked to comment on how reflexive concerns and contextual  
21 forces had shaped their reliance on social capital. Those exposed to both urban spaces were  
22 encouraged to juxtapose their experiences.

23 In this study, Archer's original quantitative tool, the Internal Conversation Indicator (ICONI),  
24 was not used in its 'pure' form due the highlighted issues with its reliability and consistency  
25 (see Archer, 2012; Dyke et al., 2012; Golob and Makarovic, 2019). Instead, the qualitative

1 questions about respondents' internal conversations, primary concerns, dialogical partnerships,  
2 and career 'projects' were incorporated in the interviews, following the examples of other,  
3 mostly education-focussed (e.g., Baker, 2018; Liu and Lin, 2024), qualitative studies and  
4 Archer's (2007) own precedent for combining the ICONI with interviews. Throughout the  
5 entire process, the respondents were recognised and treated as knowledgeable and reflexive  
6 agents (Magnani and Gioia, 2023), although with inevitable limitations to their (as well as other  
7 people's, including this article author's) understandings and interpretations (Smith and Elger,  
8 2014). After each interview, a short summary was developed, highlighting topics needing  
9 further investigation, as well as points for researcher's own reflection (Gioia et al., 2012).

10 The data collection and analysis were undertaken in an iterative and dynamic fashion. Firstly,  
11 MoRs dominating the respondents' internal conversations were identified from their answers  
12 about subjective concerns and internal dialogues, as well as relations with other people, career  
13 goals, career enablers and constraints (Archer, 2008, 2012). In most cases, the identification of  
14 dominant MoRs was [surprisingly] straightforward, even when personal relations and values  
15 were concerned. For example, most respondents were fond of their family and friends, and  
16 their roles in respondents' careers were widely acknowledged. Yet, only practitioners of  
17 communicative reflexivity actively sought and relied on others' approval, confirmation, and  
18 recommendations, whereas respondents whose internal dialogues were dominated by  
19 autonomous and meta-reflexivity were conspicuously more independent in their career  
20 decision-making, with some rare exceptions of resorting to expert counselling. Similarly, the  
21 majority of interviewees mentioned their values, morals, and principles, and many considered  
22 them when engaging in career decision-making. Yet, careers of some respondents (classed as  
23 meta-reflexives) were evidently shaped by and structured around their values, more than  
24 anything else.

1 Eventually, 43 respondents were classed as practitioners of autonomous reflexivity (ARs), 24  
2 had internal dialogues dominated by communicative reflexivity (CRs) and 15 participants  
3 predominantly practiced meta-reflexivity (MRs). No internal dialogues were dominated by  
4 fractured reflexivity which was anticipated, since ‘passive’ agency is unlikely to lead to self-  
5 initiated international mobility (Archer, 2003). Importantly, the identified dominant modes of  
6 reflexivity are not treated as personality types (Archer, 2007). Also, the research design made  
7 it possible to identify dominant modes of reflexivity only in relations to respondents’ careers  
8 and the use of social capital for career reasons, and only at the time when they were interviewed  
9 (see Dyke et al., 2012), and so more generalisable claims cannot be sensibly made.  
10

11 Next, the interviews were read to construct first-order (informant-based) codes, advance them  
12 into more researcher-based second-order categories and, finally, aggregate themes enabling  
13 theoretical explanation of social capital in the respondents’ careers (Gioia et al., 2012). For  
14 example, one of the three aggregate themes, *costs*, was formed of five second-order categories:  
15 financial costs; acculturation; time; reputational risks; and ethical scruples. In turn,  
16 acculturation comprised eight first-order codes: language proficiency; written and verbal  
17 communication standards; cultural knowledge; football and drinking; body language;  
18 politeness; display of emotions; and tolerance and acceptance. Lastly, the impact of agency  
19 (reflexive concerns) and structure (urban context) upon the identified dimensions was  
20 scrutinised. While focussing on the most influential mechanisms, this data-to-theory  
21 advancement was particularly concerned with themes underexplored in the existing literature  
22 (Gioia et al., 2012).  
23

24 The analysis compared the data with the existing literature, whilst exploring differences and  
25 similarities within and across MoRs. The explanation was sought for the codes present and  
26 absent in the analysis (see Shirmohammadi et al., 2023). The results of the analysis were  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 discussed with three colleagues not involved in this research but with substantial knowledge of  
2 qualitative methods and Realist Social Theory.  
3  
4  
5

## 6 7 **Findings**

8  
9 Three principal factors (mechanisms) shaping skilled migrants' social capital reliance  
10 materialised from the analysis: *need*, *presence*, and *costs*. Importantly, all three featured in each  
11 individual interview, although their manifestation, interpretation, significance, and effect  
12 varied across practitioners of different modes of reflexivity and across the urban contexts.  
13  
14 These factors and how they were co-influenced by agency and structure are unravelled in the  
15 following sections.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

### 24 *Need*

25  
26 The first factor, *need*, refers to the utility of social resources compared to career aid available  
27 via other channels. Irrespective of their dominant modes of reflexivity (MoRs), social capital  
28 was described as more valuable in London. The capital featured plentiful career opportunities  
29 but also stern competition, and foreign expertise was not a substantial competitive advantage.  
30  
31 Facing intense rivalry and having their foreign skills misprized, London respondents felt that  
32 social capital was highly beneficial for (re)establishing and advancing their careers.  
33  
34 Contrariwise, Newcastle participants described the local skilled jobs market as “*scanty*” but  
35 underlined that, due to the homogeneity of the locally available skillsets, their foreign  
36 competencies were highly advantageous when opportunities – especially in companies seeking  
37 to internationalise - arose. This does not imply that careers in Newcastle were ‘boundaries-  
38 free’, but rather that migrants’ skills were sufficiently valuable and rare to downgrade social  
39 capital to a helpful supplement. Omor (engineer, Bangladesh) contrasted his experience:  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

*[In Newcastle] I could get almost any job I applied for, just because I could offer different things, you know, education and that. (...) I am more experienced now, but [in London] I am one of many and still need some, you know, favours even to get an interview.*

The perceived need for social capital also varied across different MoRs. With reflexive concerns aimed at achievements, respondents practising predominantly autonomous reflexivity (ARs) felt particularly enthusiastic about career advantages afforded by social capital: *“if social contacts can help [my career], then of course I need them!”* (Dato, event manager, Georgia). They described various desirable career benefits potentially accessible through social connections, such as information about vacancies, promotion opportunities, and knowledge exchange, and largely corroborated the argument of the higher need for such benefits in London’s competitive and super-diverse labour market.

The other two groups concurred that social capital could provide career benefits and that they would be more useful in London. Yet, they also shared opinions idiosyncratic to their reflexive concerns, which sometimes were at cross-purposes with their own career interests. In comparison to ARs, practitioners of meta-reflexivity (MRs) displayed much lower interest in careers: *“I don’t really care about the career at all”* (Lew, lecturer, Poland). Lew’s detachment from his career was engendered by disappointment in higher education which, in his opinion, was based upon *“fear”* and which he did not want to (re)produce. Other MRs also discovered that their values-orientated concerns, such as sustainability, social justice, and intellectual freedom, were out of step with their careers. Notably, they saw little practical need in social capital to reconcile their concerns and careers because, as will be elaborated later, this required a fundamental transformation of society and deemed unfeasible.

This is not to imply that MRs had no career interests or experienced no need for social capital. As other respondents, they were exposed to multiple structural pressures urging career pursuits – not least, the need to fend for themselves and provide for their families. Lew handed in his

1 resignation but eventually withdrew it because of the need to support his disabled brother in  
2 Poland. Yet, MRs were disposed to sacrifice career interests in favour of their values-centred  
3  
4 *modus vivendi*, especially once having secured a comfortable and stable income. Achieving  
5  
6 financial security was more difficult in London, due to higher costs of living. Therefore, the  
7  
8 need for social capital in London was higher not only for ‘generic’ career purposes, but also  
9  
10 for specific MRs’ objectives - to accelerate arrival to the financial position where MRs could  
11  
12 pledge themselves to their cherished values.  
13  
14

15  
16 For those respondents whose internal dialogues were dominated by communicative reflexivity  
17  
18 (CRs), the need for social capital was axiomatic. When interviewed, 16 had trusted and  
19  
20 knowledgeable dialogical partners who assessed and confirmed their internal dialogues,  
21  
22 whereas the remaining eight expressed “*natural*” and “*instinctive*” desires for such  
23  
24 relationships. The interlocutors were unanimously regarded as valuable sources of career  
25  
26 advice and counselling, and careers of many CRs appeared to be guided by them to a very  
27  
28 significant degree. For instance, Kasia (Poland) sought approval of “*every career step*” from  
29  
30 her elder sisters whose paths she followed when moving to the UK to become a nurse.  
31  
32

33  
34 More importantly, dialogical partners were also helpful for actualising specific acceptance-  
35  
36 related concerns of CRs. Unlike pragmatic ARs, who regarded acceptance by organisations,  
37  
38 professional bodies, or communities as a strategic career enabler, for CRs acceptance was  
39  
40 valuable as an end in itself. The interlocutors assisted by introducing CRs to the ‘right people’  
41  
42 or by explaining locally dominant socio-cultural conventions and norms. The latter was  
43  
44 particularly important in culturally uniform Newcastle where, as will be explained later,  
45  
46 acceptance was typically predicated upon assimilation:  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

52  
53 *Here [in Newcastle] ... sometimes it feels that they try to choose words that I don't know or*  
54  
55 *they talk about things that I don't know (laughs). (...) It's like they are testing you, whether you*  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

are, you know, assimilated enough to be their friend. (Mira, council worker, Vietnam; moved to Newcastle from London)

Consequently, Mira and other CRs experienced more need for social capital in Newcastle than in London. This may seem at odds with the earlier arguments but can be explained by the discrepancy between ‘practical’ career needs and CRs’ reflexive commitments. Vlad (financial adviser, Russia) remarked that his “*career needed more [social capital] in London*” but he “*personally needed more of it in Newcastle*”. Like other CRs, Vlad prioritised the latter as the need most compatible with his reflexive concerns and, therefore, more personally meaningful.

### *Presence*

The second factor, *presence*, referred to the existence of the needed social capital. The consensus was that social resources in London were more plentiful and diverse. London hosted high numbers of skilled professionals, migrants and indigenes alike, with assorted experiences and assets: “*It’s very self-sufficient. Honestly, I struggle to think of any support or service I possibly need and that I can’t find in London*” (Dato, event manager, Georgia). Other respondents corroborated that social capital existing in London was sufficient to accommodate a variety of career purposes, thanks to well-established communities and societies, including those launched and/or run by other migrants. In contrast, social resources present in Newcastle were regarded as meagre. Most importantly, locally available social capital was described as unbecoming, since migrants’ career needs could not always be met by [even genuine] support from mainly White British locals. Besides, the social distance between skilled migrants and predominantly working-class indigenes was emphasised:

*And of course, as a professional migrant you don’t necessarily identify yourself with working class fully. So, who are your networks? Your networks become very much reduced, because it become only your colleagues, not the city. The city doesn’t offer you networks....* (Joaquin, lecturer, Colombia; moved to Newcastle from London)

The way respondents interpreted the presence of useful social resources further differed across the dominant MoRs. ARs were distinct in that they were able to identify more sources of career-related social capital and took a more proactive stance. Hannah (marketing director, Israel; moved to Newcastle from London) elaborated:

*My contacts are friends, you know, just people I like... also, people I need or might need in the future, but I keep an eye on all of them, just to see what they do, where they go in life and what kind of favours we may be able to exchange if needed.*

Other ARs also strategically monitored their social contacts or, in Dato's (event manager, Georgia) words, undertook "*regular audit*" to keep abreast of resources in their networks. Although agreeing that social capital in London was more abundant, Newcastle-based ARs made remarkable efforts to discover and create social capital. Akande (graduate lecturer, Nigeria) established an informal support network of predominantly other migrant colleagues. The initiatives of some other ARs included transnational networking – e.g., Fabio's (lecturer, Italy) introduction of his former US colleagues to new workmates in the UK facilitated knowledge exchange and fostered partnerships. Further, ARs were particularly likely to identify sources of social capital outside of their immediate organisations – e.g., clients, customers, and suppliers.

MRs presented two somewhat conflicting accounts, which reflected the tension between their agential values and structure. When discussing the need to secure financial stability, MRs reiterated the arguments about the wealth of social resources in London. When considering their ultimate values-related concerns, some MRs conceded that high-profile contacts in politics and business may be able to commence certain reforms, but a vehement opinion was that, eventually, social transformation would require almost unanimous communal support. Lew (lecturer, Poland) shared his thoughts about reforming the higher education: "*I think it is*

*difficult to change it unless a lot of people together become conscious about how we function as human beings, that's the thing*".

So, the consensus was that the social resources needed to realise concerns of MRs were not readily present in either city, although London offered better opportunities to meet confederates:

*You may be any freak you want [in London] and meet other freaks – most importantly, freaks of your own kind (laughs).* (Paulina, Slovakia, receptionist; moved to London from Newcastle)

CRs also agreed that London featured more social resources useful for their careers. However, unlike ARs and MRs, they sought social capital to blend [their careers] into the host environment and, unsurprisingly, found it in both cities. Essentially, anyone knowledgeable about the structural conditions could become a trustworthy interlocutor. Yet, London-based respondents once again underscored the greater choice of interlocutors. In diverse London, both indigenes and migrants were perceived as sufficiently knowledgeable about the structural conditions. Konstantinos (barrister, Greece) formed a dialogical partnership with a Polish woman who provided ample social and cultural guidance enabling Konstantinos to feel “*like an honorary Pole*” which was sufficient for his integration. In monocultural Newcastle, preferred interlocutors were British or, at least, long-term migrant residents. Like other CRs, Stojan (engineer, Serbia) expected the desired interlocutor to explain the rules of British sports and pub etiquette, rather than to discuss strictly professional matters, as he believed that acquiring local knowledge would facilitate acceptance by the locals. Both Stojan and Konstantinos conceded that acceptance may establish their career positions more firmly but, like other CRs, regarded career-related benefits as collateral [even if worthwhile] outcomes.

### *Costs*

The final factor, *costs*, refers to expenses associated with relying on social capital. In London, the respondents emphasised financial and time-related costs. Many stressed that networking

1 often happened in bars and restaurants, which can be pricey in London. Upon relocation to the  
 2 capital from Newcastle, Omor (engineer, Bangladesh) had to become “*more selective*” in  
 3 socialising, as he “*could not afford to attend all social gatherings [he] was invited to*”. Also,  
 4 travelling to meet people was described as tedious and inconvenient, and many respondents  
 5 were deterred by the prospect of long journeys to meet people. In contrast, networking in  
 6 Newcastle was described as affordable and convenient, thanks to lower prices and closer  
 7 distances. However, the acculturation pressure, rarely mentioned in London, emerged as  
 8 cardinal in Newcastle. Ahmed (bank manager, Iran) voiced an opinion shared by many:  
 9 “*Culturally, Newcastle is monolithic, you know. The local culture is so strong and so inflexible,*  
 10 *that culturally it is my way or a highway kind of thing.*” It was further suggested that to be  
 11 granted access to resources-rich dominant indigenous networks, migrants were expected to  
 12 develop advanced local knowledge and adopt local practices.

13 The way migrants construed and addressed these costs varied across the three MoRs. CRs had  
 14 the most straightforward approach to managing the social capital costs. In either city, due to  
 15 their ‘natural’ desire for dialogical partners and social acceptance, they were not deterred by  
 16 the costs. Nora (teaching assistant, Saudi Arabia) poetically explained: “*Being with people is*  
 17 *like breathing to me (...) you may be unhappy with it, but eventually you will pay any price, as*  
 18 *that’s the only way for you*”.

19 Interestingly, career help from social contacts could be declined if seen as jeopardising wider  
 20 acceptance and CRs’ *modus vivendi*. Patel (insurance manager, Sri Lanka) was once offered a  
 21 better-paid position but rejected the offer:

22 *I had just started feeling comfortable with people at work, I finally started feeling like I*  
 23 *belonged there... and [winning this acceptance] was so stressful! It took me a long time and*  
 24 *caused anxiety, you know, I could not do that again.*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Another example was shared by Mira (council worker, Vietnam) who rejected an invitation to participate in a large-scale project looking at integration of migrants into the regional economy, which would have meant working in a more diverse team, more appreciation of her ‘foreignness’, higher pay, and, potentially, a promotion. She “*didn’t feel comfortable leaving other people*” and feared that “*it would maybe make me [her] too special*”, and so preferred to stay with her – almost exclusively White British – colleagues.

Undermining the sense of belonging was the price Patel and Mira, like other CRs, were unwilling to pay, even for the sake of their careers, whereas ARs took a more strategic approach. Some of them shared examples of ‘bookkeeping’ where they ascertained the expenses incurred by using social capital against [potential] benefits. ARs were particularly cautious of mutual obligations and reputational risks arising from reliance on social capital and regarded them as critically important costs:

*You must remember that whenever you receive help, you will have to pay back. (...) One day you receive a phone call and (...) it may not even be that person who helped you, maybe it’s their kid or friend or spouse, and you will have to help them, but maybe you don’t even know them, and it can be very, very risky for your reputation.* (Maikel, dental solicitor, Netherlands).

In addition, ARs regarded close associations with other migrants and, especially, compatriots as potentially detrimental for their professional reputations. Like Harald (Germany), an engineer with managerial responsibilities, many deliberately avoided exchanging favours with their fellow countrymen:

*There are other Germans in the company, and we do support each other, but I have been very careful not to become too cliquy with them. If I keep promoting their interests, it will be unfair and may make other people think me biased and unprofessional, so ultimately non-German people won’t trust me.*

1 MRs also displayed idiosyncratic attitudes towards the costs of social capital. Like CRs, they  
 2 were inclined to avoid relying on social capital if seeing it as a threat to their reflexive concerns.  
 3  
 4 However, MRs were more preoccupied with moral and ethical costs. After a violent demise of  
 5 his homosexual brother, Calvin (engineer, Jamaica) became highly sensitive to homophobia  
 6 and eschewed people and organisations discriminating against homosexuals, even at the  
 7 expense of his career interests. One manager was very keen to promote Calvin but, having  
 8 overheard some of her comments about a gay colleague, Calvin rejected her sponsorship and  
 9 soon left the company. He was aware that this intransigence was unhelpful for his career but,  
 10 like other MRs, was prepared to pay this price to “*stay true*” to himself.

11  
 12 In addition, MRs commonly opined that using social capital to gain career advantage was  
 13 fundamentally unfair: “*there is something improper about gaining advantage just because you*  
 14 *know the right people or because the right people know you*” (Joanne, administrator, South  
 15 Africa). This does not imply that MRs never used social resources, but many made a conscious  
 16 effort to minimise reliance on social capital. Such scruples particularly troubled MRs in  
 17 competitive and expensive London, whereas using social capital in Newcastle was deemed  
 18 more pardonable because, in Calvin’s words, skilled migrants were “*not taking anyone’s*  
 19 *place*”.

## 40 41 42 43 **Discussion**

44  
 45 This qualitative study advances understanding of *why* individuals may or may not rely on social  
 46 capital for career reasons by (1) identifying three specific factors or, in critical realism terms,  
 47 mechanisms – need, presence, and costs - that account for skilled migrants’ reliance on social  
 48 capital; and (2) explaining the operation of these mechanisms as outcomes of reflexivity-  
 49 mediated interactions between structure and agency. Archer’s (2003, 2007) Realist Social  
 50  
 51  
 52  
 53  
 54  
 55  
 56  
 57  
 58  
 59  
 60  
 61  
 62  
 63  
 64  
 65



1 Theory and the notion of reflexivity have been deployed to grasp agential concerns and how  
2 they are negotiated in urban contexts (Tams et al., 2021).  
3

4 The first mechanism, *need*, relates to motivation to seek and/or accept career aid from social  
5 contacts. In line with the boundaryless career theses, this motivation has often been explored  
6 from an individual perspective. Social capital is said to be particularly useful for vulnerable  
7 groups of career agents, such as foreign-born workers (Al Ariss and Crowley-Henry, 2013).  
8 Yet, not everyone desires a skilled job or career as such. The need for social capital is intrinsic  
9 for CRs, although their motivation is guided more by the social acceptance yearning than career  
10 reasons. ARs perceive the need in more explicitly career terms, whereas MRs are interested in  
11 social resources as a potential leverage for social transformation rather than as career enablers.  
12 Also, the need for social capital is shaped by external structural powers. For example, in  
13 relatively meritocratic environments, such as Singapore (Chua, 2011), jobseekers experience  
14 lower need for help from social contacts as appointments are made based on educational  
15 credentials. The present study illuminates the importance of urban factors for understanding  
16 the role of social capital in careers (see Kozhevnikov, 2021), such as stiff labour market  
17 competition in London or limited skilled opportunities in Newcastle, and suggests that, from  
18 career perspective, the need for SC is higher in global than secondary cities.  
19

20 The second mechanism, *presence*, speaks to earlier studies of networks structure and  
21 composition (Granovetter, 1983; Burt, 1992). Importantly, presence refers not to the number  
22 of contacts but to accessibility of resources through those contacts (Lin, 2001). Ryan and  
23 colleagues (2008) identified high-status indigenes (vertical bridging ties) as most useful for  
24 skilled migrants' efforts to re-establish their careers. The present study finds that opportunities  
25 to develop such ties are more limited in Newcastle, with its relatively high proportion of  
26 working-class population and low-skilled jobs, than in London, but valuable resources can be  
27 present in other types of connections too (also Gericke et al., 2018). For instance, the indigenes  
28

1 occupying socioeconomic positions similar to migrants (horizontal bridging contacts) can  
2 assist with developing local knowledge. Such “cultural brokers” (Moroşanu, 2016) are  
3 numerous in both cities but in multicultural London resources are also more easily accessible  
4 through bonding ties with other migrants – horizontal (e.g., professional advice) or vertical  
5 (e.g., emotional support). Unlike some earlier studies (Ryan et al., 2008; Moroşanu, 2013),  
6 these findings suggest that indigenous contacts may be superfluous in London where migrant  
7 networks are abundant and resources-rich and where adherence to British normativity is less  
8 important (see Wessendorf, 2019). The presence of social resources is also evaluated  
9 subjectively and reflexively. MRs report resources needed to pursue their values-centred  
10 concerns as absent even in the environments perceived as replete with valuable social resources  
11 by achievements-focussed ARs or acceptance-orientated CRs.  
12

13 Finally, the *costs* refer to risks of relying on social resources. The mobilisation of social capital  
14 (Lin, 2001) requires substantial investments, but the return on those investments is not  
15 necessarily positive (Portes, 1998). Reliance on social capital can be fraught with broken trust,  
16 abused obligations, and reputational losses which can discourage people from requesting,  
17 accepting, or providing aid, even when their close kin are concerned (Smith, 2005; Offer,  
18 2012). The costs are context-sensitive. In high-priced and geographically vast London,  
19 financial and time costs of connecting with people in possession of the needed resources were  
20 prominent (Ryan and Mullholland, 2014; Barwick, 2022). In relatively cheap and compact but  
21 non-diverse Newcastle the costs of cultural assimilation came to fore: adopting or mimicking  
22 local practices, customs, and conventions was prerequisite for accessing social capital (Ryan  
23 et al., 2008; Wessendorf, 2019). While the structural context shapes the nominal costs, the  
24 agential interpretations of the relative burden are reflexivity-driven. The unwavering desire of  
25 CR for social acceptance demands satisfaction at [almost] all costs. Importantly, CRs do not  
26 accept the costs blindly but reflexively conclude that social capital is worthy of sacrifices. MRs  
27

1 are particularly sensitive to ethical costs and regard gaining advantage from social capital as  
2 fundamentally unfair. Both groups tend to eschew relying on social capital, even at the expense  
3 of career interests, when perceiving it as a threat to their reflexive priorities or *modus vivendi*.  
4  
5 As far as careers are concerned, ARs adopt a more practical approach. Painstakingly assessing  
6 potential returns against the costs of investments in social capital, they are most cautious about  
7 obligations and possible reputational losses (Offer, 2012).  
8  
9

10 The contribution of this article extends beyond the novel typology of factors shaping reliance  
11 on social capital. More importantly, it explains *how* the identified factors are affected by  
12 structure and agency, which addresses the calls to develop more balanced and comprehensive  
13 accounts of career experiences (Chudzikowski and Mayrhofer, 2011) and, specifically, social  
14 capital in careers (Gruguletz et al., 2019). The empirical results suggest that skilled migrants  
15 as career agents are neither immune from contextual influences nor helpless against them (see  
16 Farashah et al., 2023; Shirmohammadi et al., 2023). Instead, migrants reflexively navigate their  
17 ways through barriers and enablers (Thondhlana et al., 2016; Wallinder, 2022) but, even within  
18 the same context, do it differently because of their reflexive commitments (Archer, 2003,  
19 2007). Crucially, the results explain not only why skilled migrants use social capital for career  
20 purposes, but also why they do *not* rely on it. For example, the high need and abundant presence  
21 prompt the use of social capital by London-based ARs, whilst reputational risks discourage it.  
22 In Newcastle, the reliance on social capital is curbed by lower need and scarcer presence. CRs  
23 and MRs also tend to use social capital more in London but interpret the identified mechanisms  
24 differently. In both contexts, MRs exhibit lower reliance on social capital than the other groups,  
25 whereas CRs use social capital frequently and extensively but for reasons unrelated (or non-  
26 directly related) to careers. Exploring factors that discourage reliance on social capital is  
27 important as it helps avoid the ‘survival bias’ (Al Ariss and Crowley-Henry, 2013).  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Archer's RST offered a potent theoretical tool to investigate and explain reliance on social  
2 capital as an outcome of reflexivity-moderated interactions between structure and agency. This  
3 article provides a rare empirical example of utilising RST to examine individual careers and,  
4 thus, contributes to the search for a robust social theory in career studies (Chudzikowski and  
5 Mayrhofer, 2011). Archer's (2003, 2007) reflexivity acknowledges that people have different  
6 attitudes towards careers. Driven by achievements-orientated concerns, ARs have more explicit  
7 career interests and, therefore, are more likely to understand the need for social capital through  
8 potential career advantages. The need for social capital as conceived of by CRs and MRs is  
9 also informed by their unique concerns – inter-personal relations and values, respectively –  
10 which may be at odds with career interests. This illustrates the importance of taking into  
11 consideration what really matters for people when studying the use of career resources; or  
12 careers in a broader sense; or social choices, transactions, and achievements in a yet broader  
13 sense. The stance on social capital assumed by CRs and MRs may appear detrimental,  
14 irrational, or downright wrong from the perspective of *homo economicus*. Yet, the use of social  
15 capital is not always determined by its ability to maximise monetary gains or accelerate career  
16 progress, in the same vein as economic rationality is not the only type of rationality (Kuwabara  
17 et al., 2018). The respondents' decisions and actions were not random; they originated from  
18 conscious deliberations and were guided by specific interests. Some of the choices concerning  
19 social capital were more or less (dis)advantageous for careers but, crucially, the respondents  
20 were cognizant of such consequences and accepted them to pursue personally meaningful  
21 concerns and *modus vivendi*.

22 In this article, reflexivity was used to understand skilled migrants' agency but, more  
23 importantly, their *agency in context* (Archer, 2007), within two distinct urban spaces. The  
24 empirical results have demonstrated that global and secondary cities differently enable and  
25 hinder skilled migrants' reliance on social capital for career reasons and explained some of the

1 observed differences – e.g., social capital is highly important and profuse in competitive and  
2 diverse London although accessing it is pricey and time-consuming, whereas in more casual  
3 and homogenous Newcastle social resources are less significant and abundant, and accessing  
4 them demands a significant degree of acculturation (see Ryan and Mullholland, 2014;  
5 Moroşanu, 2016). These findings unravel the social embeddedness of careers and social capital  
6 (Erel and Ryan, 2019) by corroborating the status of secondary cities as distinct and impactful  
7 career environments, rather than rudimentary global cities (Cardoso and Meijers, 2016), and  
8 by adding to the existing attempts to analyse careers within urban spaces (Beigi et al., 2020;  
9 Kozhevnikov, 2021; Tams et al., 2021).

10 This study also has practical implications. Firstly, it can inform migrants' own decision-  
11 making. For example, MRs should be aware that high costs in global cities may necessitate  
12 reliance on social capital for career reasons, even despite their moral scruples; CRs should be  
13 prepared that social acceptance in secondary cities is likely to require a significant degree of  
14 acculturation and assimilation; whereas ARs should anticipate limited career opportunities in  
15 secondary cities. At the same time, the results of this study can instruct organisations and career  
16 advisors seeking to employ, support, and/or benefit from skilled migrants. In global cities,  
17 organisations can facilitate networking by ensuring its affordability, whilst in secondary cities  
18 businesses can facilitate the exchange of cultural knowledge between migrant and local  
19 workers. Most importantly, organisations should develop, promote, and uphold fair and  
20 egalitarian employment principles, which would provide career support for all through formal  
21 channels and minimise the need for social capital.

### 22 **Limitations and future research**

23 This article has started developing a theoretically robust explanation of reliance on social  
24 capital for career reasons, but this mission cannot be accomplished by a single study and its  
25

1 limitations should inform directions for future investigations. Whereas the present article has  
2 explored why skilled migrants rely (or not) on social capital for their careers, the following  
3 studies could pay more attention to positive and negative outcomes of utilising social capital  
4 and whether (and how) they differ across [urban] contexts, as well as modes of reflexivity.  
5 Such inquiries could cast more light on the “dark side” of social capital (Portes, 1998; Leschke  
6 and Weiss, 2020) and how it could be mitigated. A longitudinal approach would be useful to  
7 discover the temporal patterns of relying on social capital and the dynamic interactions between  
8 structure and agency. Archer’s (2007) morphogenetic model can be particularly useful for this  
9 endeavour.

10 More efforts would be beneficial to address some of the Realist Social Theory’s own  
11 oversights. For instance, longitudinal studies are needed to cast more light on the evolution of  
12 reflexivity throughout people’s life and career course (Golob and Makarovic, 2019), which can  
13 refer to shifts between MoRs (e.g., from communicative to autonomous reflexivity) or  
14 transformation of the dominant MoR (e.g., recruiting new interlocutors by communicative  
15 reflexives or prioritising different values by meta-reflexives as contextual circumstances  
16 change). Besides, more research is needed to acknowledge the possibility of less meritorious  
17 values (e.g., it is – sadly - possible to think of someone driven by commitments to anti-  
18 Semitism or homophobia) and examine their impact. More clarity is also needed regarding  
19 whether all aspects of people’s internal conversations are dominated by the same MoR or  
20 whether it is possible to switch between different modes, for example, when dealing with  
21 different concerns or in different contextual situations (see Baker, 2018; Dyke et al., 2012).

22 London and Newcastle typify global and secondary cities but have their own features that may  
23 be not shared by other urban contexts (see Hollands, 1995; Barwick, 2022). Exploring other  
24 global and secondary cities, as well as other urban typologies, can be worthwhile. By focussing  
25 on agential reflexivity and structural conditions in urban environments, this article has offered

1 a balanced and novel, but by no means exhaustive explanation of reliance on social capital.  
2 Future studies could refine it by utilising various intersectional lenses and conducting multi-  
3 level analysis to appreciate other structural and agential factors – e.g., legislation, occupations,  
4 inequality, and personality traits. It would also be useful to explore the reliance on social capital  
5 of others, differently (dis)advantaged career agents. The following studies should also pay  
6 more attention to virtual and online social capital, considering the growing prominence of  
7 digital connections in the post-COVID era. This focus can considerably shift the perception of,  
8 for example, the presence of social capital outside of global cities and explain such topical  
9 phenomena as digital nomadism or permanent relocation of professionals into relatively remote  
10 and isolated localities. Finally, future research should make more effort to disentangle the  
11 relations between need, presence, and costs.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 In conclusion, this qualitative study responds to the need for better understanding of reliance  
28 on social capital for career purposes. The results present unique, theoretically robust, and  
29 empirically rich insights. The study advances the existing scholarship by identifying three  
30 specific factors that shape skilled migrants' reliance on social capital for career reasons and by  
31 explaining how these factors are simultaneously defined by structural conditionings (explored  
32 here on the urban level) and migrants' own agency (examined through the lens of reflexivity).  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

#### 44 **References**

45 Al Ariss, A. and Crowley-Henry, M. (2013) Self-initiated expatriation and migration in the  
46 management literature: Present theorizations and future research directions, *Career*  
47 *Development International*, 18(1): 78-96.  
48  
49  
50  
51

52 Archer, M.S. (2003) *Structure, Agency and the Internal Conversation*. Cambridge, UK:  
53 Cambridge University Press.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Archer, M.S. (2007) *Making Our Way through the World: Human Reflexivity and Social*  
2 *Mobility*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

3  
4 Archer, M.S. (2008) *The Internal Conversation: Mediating Between Structure and Agency:*  
5 *Full Research Report*. Swindon: ESRC.

6  
7  
8  
9 Archer, M.S. (2012) *The reflexivity imperative in late modernity*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge  
10 University Press.

11  
12  
13  
14 Baker, Z. (2018). Reflexivity, structure and agency: using reflexivity to understand Further  
15 Education students' Higher Education decision-making and choices, *British Journal of*  
16 *Sociology of Education*, 40(1): 1–16.

17  
18  
19  
20  
21 Barwick, C. (2022) Labour or lifestyle? Understanding urban incorporation of European  
22 middling migrants, *Global Networks*, 22(3): 466-482.

23  
24  
25  
26 Beigi, M., Nayyeri, S. and Shirmohammadi, M. (2020) Driving a career in Tehran: Experiences  
27 of female internet taxi drivers, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 116A.

28  
29  
30  
31 Bhaskar, R. (1975) *A Realist Theory of Science*. Leeds: Leeds Books.

32  
33  
34 Burt, R.S. (1992) *Structural Holes: The Social Structure of Competition*. London: Harvard  
35 University Press.

36  
37  
38  
39 Caetano, A. (2015) Defining personal reflexivity: A critical reading of Archer's approach.  
40 *European Journal of Social Theory*, 18(1): 60-75.

41  
42  
43  
44 Cardoso, R.V. and Meijers, E.J. (2016) Contrasts between first-tier and second-tier cities in  
45 Europe: A functional perspective, *European Planning Studies*, 24(5): 996–1015.

46  
47  
48  
49 Chua, V. (2011) Social networks and labour market outcomes in a meritocracy, *Social*  
50 *Networks*, 33(1): 1-11.

51  
52  
53  
54 Chudzikowski, K. and Mayrhofer, W. (2011) In search of the blue flower? Grand social  
55 theories and career research: The case of Bourdieu's theory of practice, *Human Relations*,  
56 64(1): 19-36.



1 DeFillippi, R.J. and Arthur, M.B. (1994) The boundaryless career: A competency-based  
2 prospective, *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 15(4): 307–324.  
3

4 Dyke, M., Johnston, B. and Fuller, A. (2012) Approaches to reflexivity: Navigating educational  
5 and career pathways, *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 33(6): 831-848  
6

7 Erel, U. and Ryan, L. (2019) Migrant capitals: Proposing a multi-level spatio-temporal  
8 analytical framework, *Sociology*, 53(2): 246-263.  
9

10 Elder-Vass, D. (2007) Reconciling Archer and Bourdieu in an emergentist theory of action.  
11 *Sociological Theory*, 25(4): 325-346.  
12

13 Farashah A., Blomquist, T., Al Ariss, A., and Guo, G.C. (2023) Perceived employability of  
14 skilled migrants: A systematic review and future research agenda, *The International Journal*  
15 *of Human Resource Management*, 34(3): 478-528.  
16

17 Gericke, D., Burmeister, A., Löwe, J., Deller, J. and Pundt, L. (2018) How do refugees use  
18 their social capital for successful labor market integration? An exploratory analysis in  
19 Germany, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 105: 46-61.  
20

21 Gioia, D.A., Corley, K.G. and Hamilton, A.L. (2012) Seeking qualitative rigor in inductive  
22 research: Notes on the Gioia methodology, *Organizational Research Methods*, 16(1): 15–31.  
23

24 Golob, T. and Makarovic, M. (2019) Reflexivity and structural positions: The effects of  
25 generation, gender and education, *Social Sciences*, 8(9): 248.  
26

27 Granovetter, M.S. (1983) The strength of weak ties: A network theory revisited, *Sociological*  
28 *Theory*, 1: 201-233.  
29

30 Gruguletz, E., Diehl, M.-R. and Kruetzer, K. (2019) Why women build less effective networks  
31 then men: The role of structural exclusion and personal hesitation, *Human Relations*, 72(7):  
32 1234-1261.  
33

34 Hollands, R. (1995) *Friday Night, Saturday Night: Youth Cultural Identification in the Post-*  
35 *industrial City*. Newcastle upon Tyne: Newcastle University.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1 Kelly, P.F. and Ducusin R.J. (2024) Social reproduction, migration and labour control regimes”  
2 Undersanding Filipino crew experiences in the UK fishing fleet, *Work in the Global Economy*,  
3 4(1): 11-29.  
4  
5  
6  
7 Kozhevnikov, A. (2021) Career capital in global versus second-order cities: Skilled migrants  
8 in London and Newcastle, *Human Relations*, 74(5): 705-728.  
9  
10  
11  
12 Kuwabara, K., Hildebrand, C.A. and Zou, X. (2018) Lay theories of networking: How  
13 laypeople's beliefs about networks affect their attitudes toward and engagement in instrumental  
14 networking, *Academy of Management Review*, 43(1): 50–64.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19 Leschke, J. and Weiss, S. (2020) With a little help from my friends: Social-network job search  
20 and overqualification among recent intra-EU Migrants moving from East to West, *Work*,  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Lin, N. (2001) *Social Capital: A Theory of Social Structure and Action*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Liu, J. and Lin, H. (2024) Navigating tensions between institutional and cultural quandries: Reflexive journey of Chinese female doctoral students, *Higher Education Research & Development*, 1-15.
- Magnani, G. and Gioia, D. (2023) Using the Gioia Methodology in international business and entrepreneurship research. *International Business review*, 32(2): 1-22.
- Mahbub, R. (2023) Capital without its field: Educated Bangladeshi women in the British labour market, *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 47(13): 3080-3096.
- Mayrhofer, W., Smale, A., Briscoe, J., Dickmann, M. and Parry, E. (2020) Laying the foundations of international careers research, *Human Resource Management Journal*, 30(3): 327-342.
- Moroşanu, L. (2016) Professional bridges: Migrants’ ties with natives and occupational advancement, *Sociology*, 50(2): 349-365.

1 Nahapiet, J. and Ghoshal, S. (1998) Social capital, intellectual capital, and the organizational  
2 advantage, *The Academy of Management Review*, 23(2): 242-266.  
3

4 Offer, S. (2012) The burden of reciprocity: Processes of exclusion and withdrawal from  
5 personal networks among low-income families, *Current Sociology*, 60(6): 788-805.  
6

7 Porter, C.M., Woo, S.E., Alonso, N. and Snyder, G. (2023) Why do people network?  
8 Professional networking motives and their implications for networking behaviors and career  
9 success, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 142, Article 103856.  
10

11 Portes, A. (1998) Social capital: Its origins and applications in modern sociology, *Annual  
12 Review of Sociology*, 24: 1-24.  
13

14 Putnam, R.D. (2000) *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. New  
15 York: Simon and Schuster.  
16

17 Rodrigues, R.A. and Guest, D. (2010) Have careers become boundaryless? *Human Relations*,  
18 63(8): 1157–1175.  
19

20 Ryan, L. and Mulholland, J. (2014) ‘Wives are the route to social life’: An analysis of family  
21 life and networking amongst highly skilled migrants in London’, *Sociology*, 48(2): 251-267.  
22

23 Ryan, L., Sales, R., Tilki, M. and Siara, B. (2008) Social networks, social support and social  
24 capital, the experiences of recent Polish migrants in London, *Sociology*, 42(4): 672-690.  
25

26 Sassen, S. (1991) *The Global City: New York, London, Tokyo*. New Jersey: Princeton  
27 University Press.  
28

29 Shirmohammadi, M., Beigi, M. and Ayoobzadeh, M. (2023) Finding a home for your career  
30 away from home: Experiences of Iranian highly skilled edu-immigrants in the United States,  
31 *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 143.  
32

33 Smith, C. and Elger, T. (2014) Critical realism and interviewing subjects, in P.K. Edwards, J.  
34 O’Mahoney and S. Vincent (eds) *Studying Organizations Using Critical Realism: A practical  
35 guide*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp 109-131.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Smith, S.S. (2005) “Don’t put my name on it”: Social capital activation and job-finding  
2 assistance among the Black urban poor, *American Journal of Sociology*, 111(1): 1-57.  
3

4 Tams, S., Kennedy, J.C., Arthur, M.B. and Chan, K.Y. (2021) Careers in cities: An  
5 interdisciplinary space for advancing the contextual turn in career studies, *Human Relations*,  
6  
7 74(5): 635-655.  
8  
9

10 Thondhlana, J., Madziva, R. and McGrath, S. (2016) Negotiating employability: migrant  
11 capitals and networking strategies for Zimbabwean highly skilled migrants in the UK, *The*  
12  
13 *Sociological Review*, 64(3): 575-592.  
14  
15  
16

17 Wallinder, Y. (2022) Otherness in the workplace among highly skilled labour migrants:  
18  
19 Swedes in Germany and the UK, *Work, Employment and Society*, 36(2): 253-270.  
20  
21

22 Wessendorf, S. (2019) Migrant belonging, social location and the neighbourhood: Recent  
23  
24 migrants in East London and Birmingham, *Urban Studies*, 56(1): 131-146.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45  
46 The Author declares that there is no conflict of interest.  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 Acknowledgements: I am grateful to Irena Grugulis, Gabriella Alberti and the attendees of the  
52  
53 British Academy of Management 2022 Conference for their comments on the earlier versions  
54  
55 of this article. I am also very grateful to the editors-in-chief and the anonymous reviewers for  
56  
57 their helpful and developmental feedback.  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Social capital in skilled migrants' careers: Why (not) rely on it?

Andrew Kozhevnikov

University of Leeds

UK

### Abstract

*Although resources accessible through social contacts (social capital) can enhance people's careers and, especially, careers of migrants, a comprehensive account explaining why career agents do or do not rely on social capital for career reasons is currently absent. This article addresses this lacuna by utilising Realist Social Theory's notion of reflexivity to explore careers of 82 skilled migrants in global (London) and secondary (Newcastle-upon-Tyne) cities. The analysis of the semi-structured interviews reveals that reliance on social capital for career reasons depends upon three factors: (1) need, (2) accessibility, and (3) costs. All three have proved to be shaped by both migrants' reflexive agency and structural conditions of the urban environments where their careers are unfolding. This article contributes by offering a fine-grained and balanced explanatory account of social capital in skilled migrants' careers, which further conceptualises careers decision-making as a relational phenomenon.*

**Key words:** careers; social capital; skilled migrants; realist social theory; global cities; secondary cities

## Introduction

1  
2 With different provisos, from various frames of reference, and using diverse terminologies, the  
3  
4 existing studies broadly agree that careers can be enhanced by various career resources.  
5  
6 Recently, career resources have received more scholarly attention as researchers turned to  
7  
8 examine career boundary-crossing and factors that facilitate or hinder it (Rodrigues and Guest,  
9  
10 2010). Importantly, another broadly agreed-upon agreement is that some of these resources can  
11  
12 be accessed through social contacts, as social capital (Lin, 2001). Career advantages offered by  
13  
14 social capital include access to jobs, promotions, legal advice, perceived career success, and a  
15  
16 sense of professional identity (Granovetter, 1983; Burt, 1992; Thondhlana et al., 2016). Yet,  
17  
18 not all people use social capital for career reasons or, at least, to the same extent.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 Admittedly, social capital is conceptually vague, fluid, and loosely defined. Some authors use  
25  
26 social capital and social networks interchangeably, some others equate social capital with  
27  
28 resources incorporated in the networks, and the third camp suggests that social capital includes  
29  
30 both resources and connections. Engaging with these debates is beyond the scope of this article.  
31  
32 Instead, it adopts a conventional definition of social capital as “the sum of the actual and  
33  
34 potential resources embedded within, available through, and derived from the network of  
35  
36 relationships possessed by an individual” (Nahapiet and Ghoshal, 1998: 243) to explore: [1]  
37  
38 *why* skilled migrants rely (or not) on career resources accessible through social contacts (social  
39  
40 capital); and [2] whether and *how* their reliance is shaped by structure and agency.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 The empirical data used to answer these questions came from 82 interviews with skilled  
47  
48 migrants in London and Newcastle-upon-Tyne. The focus on skilled migrants is topical and  
49  
50 timely. For migrants, as for other underprivileged groups, such as women and ethnic minorities  
51  
52 (Gruguletz et al., 2019; Beigi et al., 2020), social capital can be especially helpful to  
53  
54 compensate for the lack of other recognisable resources and to overcome career barriers  
55  
56 (Moroşanu, 2016; Mahbub, 2021). Farashah et al. (2023) demonstrate that skilled migrants’  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 employability is constrained by multiple factors, including discrimination, which indicates the  
2 compensatory value of social capital for their careers. Yet, whereas the literature (Thondhlana  
3 et al., 2016; Porter et al., 2023) and lay knowledge alike suggest that not all skilled migrants'  
4 careers [equally] rely on social capital, the explanatory accounts of these differences are scarce,  
5 and this article addresses this void. In addition to its scholarly value, such explanation is  
6 instructive for skilled migrants' efforts to mobilise social resources, especially in the present  
7 turbulent times, as the value of social capital is believed to increase in times of austerity and  
8 uncertainty (Moroşanu, 2016). It could also improve career support offered by organisations  
9 and institutions, as the competition for skilled foreign workers is becoming increasingly stiffer,  
10 particularly in the post-Brexit UK.

11 To explore the agency of skilled migrants and how it influences reliance on social capital, this  
12 article draws upon Archer's (2003, 2007, 2012) Realist Social Theory and its notion of  
13 reflexivity. By introducing this theory more firmly into career studies, this article contributes  
14 to the ongoing searches for the robust social theory that could advance this field of academic  
15 inquiries (Chudzikowski and Mayrhofer, 2011; Mayrhofer et al., 2020). The structural factors  
16 are explored at the city-level, which contributes to the recent endeavours to appreciate cities as  
17 unique career environments (Tams et al., 2021). Overall, exploring skilled migrants' reliance  
18 on social capital for career reasons through the lenses of both structure and agency addresses  
19 the long-lasting conflict in career studies and provides a balanced and nuanced explanatory  
20 account, currently missing in the literature (Erel and Ryan, 2019).

21 The next sections review the existing literature to discuss social capital and the present  
22 approaches to understanding its role in careers, followed by the introduction of Realist Social  
23 Theory, as well as the concepts of global and secondary cities. Then the context of this study  
24 is outlined, and the methodological approach is expounded. Next, the key findings are  
25 presented, before discussing the contributions made by this article.



## Theoretical background

### *Social capital in careers*

Social capital has long been recognised as an important career asset – one which may not be equally useful for all careers and all purposes, and which needs to be handled with care, but nonetheless valuable and influential (e.g., Thondhlana et al., 2016; Gericke et al., 2018; Mahbub, 2021). Yet, surprisingly little effort has been made to explain *why* people do (not) use it for career purposes.

Many studies equate having [access to] social resources with mobilising them, arguing that better-connected individuals are better positioned to benefit from social capital (Portes, 1998). Famously, Granovetter (1983: 202) identified “weak ties” as more beneficial (than “strong ties”) due to their ability to act as “critical bridges” to diverse resources. This notion was further elaborated by Putnam (2000) who distinguished between bonding (connecting to people within one’s social group and helpful for “getting by”) and bridging (linking to the broader communities and required for “getting ahead”) ties. For migrants, bridging indigenous contacts are advantageous because they can share local knowledge needed for labour market integration (Ryan and Mullholland, 2014; Moroşanu, 2016). Recently, studies of Polish migrants in the UK (Ryan et al., 2008) and Syrian refugees in Germany (Gericke et al., 2018) have differentiated between bridging and bonding vertically and horizontally, suggesting that vertical bridging contacts (indigenous people occupying higher positions) are particularly beneficial for re-establishing skilled careers. Yet, migrants often find themselves excluded from resource-rich indigenous networks (Moroşanu, 2016). While illuminating deplorable societal inequalities, these studies pay limited attention to individual strategizing (Kuwabara et al., 2018), and this structural approach, despite highlighting the importance of being in the right place, is less useful for understanding how one ends (or not) in that right place.

1 This disposition has been unsettled by the advent of the boundaryless career, which re-  
2 conceptualised social capital as knowing-whom - one of the forms of knowing required to take  
3 responsibility for one's own career (DeFillippi and Arthur, 1994). The boundaryless career and  
4 studies it inspired add an important individual perspective to understanding social capital in  
5 careers. Yet, such agency-centred inquiries have been criticised for downplaying the structural  
6 impact and portraying careers as unfettered by external influences (Rodrigues and Guest,  
7 2010). For example, out of six professional networking motives identified by Porter and  
8 colleagues (2023) only one - adhering to social norms - is explicitly related to external  
9 circumstances, whereas the remaining five (enjoying connecting with people; enjoying  
10 assisting others; desire to acquire status; learning and performance; maintaining employability)  
11 are internal and focussed on people's own career preferences, objectives, and desires. When  
12 looking at internationally mobile individuals, this approach prioritises privileged, often White  
13 and male, migrants from developed countries (Al Ariss and Crowley-Henry, 2013; Barwick,  
14 2022) who allegedly experience neither issues with acceptance by the locals nor the need for  
15 such acceptance. They may be seen as "superior outsiders" (Wallinder, 2022) and may even  
16 form exclusive social cliques among themselves and blackball the indigenes.

17 Arguably, neither of these perspectives is comprehensive. Although reliance on social capital  
18 is a collective matter (Nahapiet and Ghoshal, 1998), it is not defined solely by people's network  
19 positions. Well-connected individuals can be denied access to resources if seen as unreliable  
20 (Smith, 2005). To benefit from social capital, people are required to invest in developing and  
21 maintaining connections (Granovetter, 1983; Portes, 1998), but the return on such investments  
22 differs across contexts because establishing trust and reciprocity is shaped by local norms and  
23 institutions (e.g., Shirmohammadi et al., 2023). Even skilled migrants encounter cultural and  
24 linguistic obstacles when building local connections (Wessendorf, 2019; Barwick, 2022).  
25 Besides, the use of social capital is not invariably driven by economic or, indeed, career

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

rationality (Kuwabara et al., 2018). Yet, even career-focussed migrants may avoid reliance on social capital, or some forms of it, as migrant contacts can lead to precarious jobs and exacerbate labour market segregation (Ryan and Mullholland, 2014; Leschke and Weiss, 2020). For example, Thondhlana et al. (2016) discuss how a “warehouse mentality” – tendency to take any available jobs - discourages Zimbabwean migrants in the UK from competing for jobs more commensurate with their expertise and ambitions (also Kelly and Ducusin, 2024).

Therefore, to advance understanding of why people do or do not use social capital for career reasons, it is important to consider both structure and agency, as well as the interplay between them. Some trailblazers have started paving the way in this direction. Offer (2012) discriminated between exclusion and withdrawal from social networks, wherein the former is a prohibition imposed by others and the latter refers to self-imposed restrictions. A study by Gruguletz et al. (2019) explains women’s less-effective networks as an outcome of structural barriers but also personal hesitations. With a reference to migrants, Ryan and Mulholland (2014: 259) observe that access to social resources is shaped by “the complex interplay of various factors including structural context, opportunities, personal priorities and also obstacles and constraints”. Yet, these studies tend to address structure and agency only implicitly and/or parenthetically, while focussing on other issues. Even more fundamental efforts (e.g., Gruguletz et al., 2019; Beigi et al., 2020) treat structure and agency as somewhat divorced and the relations between them remain nebulous. Conceivably, these shortfalls can be attributed to the notorious lack of a comprehensive social theory in career studies (Chudzikowski and Mayrhofer, 2011). This article champions Margaret Archer’s Realist Social Theory as a potent means for such endeavours.

### *Realist Social Theory*

Realist Social Theory (RST) was selected as this project’s theoretical framework for its ability to disentangle the relations between structure and agency (Archer, 2003) and to separate

1 between their causal effects, without reducing one to another or conflating the two, as well as  
2 for its robust ontological foundation rooted in critical realism (Bhaskar, 1975). The world is  
3 treated as a multi-layered system of interconnected entities, such as biological organisms,  
4 organisations, and societies, which have properties, such as employing people or having limbs,  
5 and causal powers to influence the world. Critical realist ontology also differentiates between  
6 three strata of the world: the *empirical* or events that can be sensed and observed; the *actual* or  
7 events that happen in the world and can be different from what is witnessed; and the *real* or  
8 powers that cause empirical and actual events (Bhaskar, 1975).

9 RST developed these ideas to argue that structure and agency are interconnected but  
10 ontologically and analytically distinguishable (Archer, 2003). Structure constitutes society and  
11 has a power to orient actors' choices, goals, and actions, without pre-determining them.  
12 Individuals have their agency - a causal power to interact with and to make an impact upon the  
13 social world. When structure and agency interact, two outcomes are possible – structural  
14 reproduction (*morphostatis*) or elaboration (*morphogenesis*).

15 Archer (2007: 4) further argues that interactions between structure and agency are mediated  
16 through reflexivity or “the regular exercise of the mental ability, shared by all normal people,  
17 to consider themselves in relation to their (social) contexts and vice versa”. Reflexivity is a  
18 causal power exercised through “internal conversations” in which people define their  
19 subjective agential concerns (ambitions and priorities) against their objective structural  
20 circumstances. Thus, reflexivity helps people navigate their interests through the world but also  
21 limits the repertoire of actions compatible with the prioritised concerns in the given  
22 environment (Archer, 2007). In turn, the very existence of reflexivity and its mediating role are  
23 enabled by distinguishing between structure and agency (as reflexive objects and subjects) and  
24 their causal powers. Archer (2007, 2012) also argues that reflexive deliberations are

1 particularly important in volatile or unfamiliar environments, which is likely to be the case for  
2 migrants.  
3

4 Crucially, the way people exercise reflexivity is not uniform. Four modes of reflexivity (MoRs)  
5 have been identified (Archer, 2003, 2007). All agents practise all four but to dissimilar extents,  
6 and one mode typically dominates our internal conversations. *Communicative reflexivity*  
7 encourages concerns about inter-personal relations and requires confirmation or affirmation of  
8 internal conversations by dialogical partners or interlocutors. Such interlocutors are personal  
9 contacts perceived to be sufficiently trustworthy and knowledgeable, typically drawn from  
10 within the environment people struggle to make their way through and often disposed to  
11 preserve and enforce the structural norms and conventions. *Autonomous reflexivity* is  
12 associated with concerns about achievements and characterised by self-sufficient internal  
13 conversations leading directly to actions. *Meta-reflexivity* results in concerns about values and  
14 encourages individuals to critically review their own reflexivity through continual self-  
15 evaluation. Finally, *fractured reflexivity* leads to confusion and social disorientation.  
16  
17

18 Whereas fractured reflexivity yields ‘passive agents’ unable to tackle their problems,  
19 practitioners of the other three modes are ‘active agents’ who develop their own *modus vivendi*  
20 – sets of practices that constitute a way of living most compatible with their concerns (Archer,  
21 2007). Through their internal conversations, people identify and prioritise their primary  
22 concerns and decide what purposeful activities, plans, or ‘projects’ to deploy when pursuing  
23 these concerns within a given environment (and with a given portfolio of resources). Such  
24 projects are, in turn, reflexively monitored in the volatile structural circumstances and can be  
25 committed to, changed, revised, or even abandoned altogether. In other words, whilst structure  
26 obstructs and enables agential choices and actions, people analyse, interpret, make sense of,  
27 and respond to the social reality through reflexive deliberations that compromise between  
28 conditionings and practice. Therefore, according to Realist Social Theory, what people do (or  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 not) and why can be explained only by considering both their contextual circumstances and  
2 reflexive agency.  
3

4 The choice of Realist Social Theory is not heedless of its limitations. For instance, it has been  
5 argued that, whilst meticulously attentive to agency and reflexivity, the theory is less rigorous  
6 and detailed when explaining or even appreciating social structure and a wider range of  
7 mechanisms through which it affects agency (Caetano, 2015; also Golob and Makarovic,  
8 2019). Other scholars (e.g., Elder-Vass, 2007) have argued that there is more space for habitual  
9 actions, even in the less predictable modern conditions, than Archer (2012) suggests.  
10 Nonetheless, by avoiding the structure-agency conflation and appreciating reflexivity as a  
11 mediator between the two, Archer's theory offers a valuable lens through which to explore and  
12 explain how and why people differently respond to similar social circumstances. In this article,  
13 Archer's (2003, 2007, 2012) reflexivity is deployed to examine how different concerns stir  
14 individuals towards dissimilar dispositions to using social capital for career purposes.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

### 31 *Social capital in global and secondary cities* 32

33 Despite the relatively limited efforts to theorise contextual and relational factors (see Caetano,  
34 2015), Archer (2007) acknowledges that developing *modus vivendi* requires appraisal of the  
35 environment enabling or obstructing reflexive concerns. Similarly, scholars have called for  
36 more attention to spatial embeddedness of social networks and resources, as well as careers in  
37 a broader sense (Erel and Ryan, 2019).  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 The existing endeavours to explore how contextual forces influence careers have prioritised  
46 national, organisational, and community analytical levels. Only recently calls for appreciation  
47 of urban spaces as unique career environments have emerged (Tams et al., 2021). Yet,  
48 migration studies have a long tradition of examining social resources through the lens of urban  
49 spaces and their impact (e.g., Barwick, 2022). One useful taxonomy distinguishes between  
50 *global* and *secondary* cities. Global cities - e.g., New York, London and Hong Kong - are hubs  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 of control over global resources (Sassen, 1991), which, historically, have been enticing great  
2 numbers of internationally mobile people. Presently, however, more migrants find home and  
3 employment in secondary cities, which are becoming acknowledged as distinct social settings  
4 with unique properties and attributes (Cardoso and Meijers, 2016).  
5  
6

7 Exploring migrants' reflexive deliberations in specific urban landscapes can offer in-depth  
8 insights into the relations between their agency and structural conditionings, whilst also  
9 usefully adding to the Realist Social Theory's somewhat limited contextual conceptualisation.  
10  
11

12 In critical realist terms, global and secondary cities feature dissimilar causal powers to conduct  
13 careers, including reliance on social capital. Some of these powers can be idiosyncratic to  
14 migrants or affect them differently. Recently, Kozhevnikov (2021) identified the labour  
15 market, community, and lifestyle as three groups of city-factors that shape skilled migrants'  
16 career capital, including knowing-whom, in global and secondary cities (also Beigi et al., 2020)  
17

18 Developed infrastructure and international transport links in global cities enable migrants to  
19 rely on transnational connections, which may be less feasible in secondary cities. Firmly  
20 implanted in the global economy, global cities often feature sizeable foreign-born and  
21 professional communities, and usually offer more opportunities to socialise with other migrants  
22 as well as fellow professionals, foreign and indigenous. In diverse global cities, the indigenes  
23 are more likely to have the "intercultural civility" (Wessendorf, 2019: 139) or exposure to and  
24 tolerance of people from different backgrounds. Yet, migrants' efforts to develop local ties may  
25 be hindered by costs and geographical distances in global cities (Ryan and Mullholland, 2014).  
26  
27

28 This indicates that skilled migrants in global and secondary cities have different opportunities  
29 to fulfil their reflexive concerns regarding reliance on social capital.  
30  
31

32 This study takes London and Newcastle-upon-Tyne as examples of global and secondary cities,  
33 respectively, to investigate how urban landscapes, in conjunction with agential concerns, shape  
34 the role of social capital in careers. The UK's capital, London is an archetype of a global city.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Despite the UK withdrawal from the EU and the COVID-19 pandemic, in 2023 London maintained its first-place position in the Global Power City Index for the twelfth consecutive year (also widening the gap between itself and New York which occupies the second place), performing particularly strongly at cultural interaction, economy, and research and development. The city's political, economic, and cultural supremacy is buttressed by its diversity, including a substantial foreign-born population, and high density of skilled jobs. Situated in the North-East of England, Newcastle has been experiencing a wounding transformation, induced by the decline of traditional manufacturing industries, from one of the world's leading industrial hubs to the city with a limited engagement in the global economy. The city is characterised by the homogenous working-class White British population (ONS, 2018) and strong, durable, and cohesive local 'Geordie' culture which, despite being regarded as convivial and uninhibited, can be exclusive to 'outsiders' and, under certain adverse circumstances (e.g., unemployment), protectionist and insular (Hollands, 1995).

## 34 **Method**

36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

To examine how agential reflexive concerns and urban landscapes shape social capital in skilled migrants' careers, this article drew upon rich qualitative data from semi-structured interviews. Qualitative enquiries are useful for circumstantial insights into individual experiences (Silverman, 1993) and, from a critical realist position, semi-structured interviews have been endorsed for their ability to unravel complex structure-agency interactions (Smith and Elger, 2014).

51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

The final sample comprised 82 skilled migrants: born outside the UK to non-British parents, educated at undergraduate university degree level or above, and/or having three or more years of experience in occupations recognised as skilled in the Standard Occupational Classification, including university lecturers, schoolteachers, managers, scientists, doctors, and engineers.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

When interviewed, 43 participants (aged 24-61, mean: 38) lived and worked in Newcastle and 39 (26-58, mean: 37) in London, with 18 having had career experiences in both cities. The sample was not intended to accurately reflect the demographic of migrant communities. Rather, the aim was to develop two comparable sub-samples encompassing an assortment of backgrounds and experiences. The sample included 45 male and 37 female participants (London: 22/17 and Newcastle: 23/20); 39 were from the European Economic Area (London: 18 and Newcastle: 21); and 32 respondents (London: 17 and Newcastle: 15) were classed as non-White. The interviews were conducted in English, face-to-face (67) and remotely (15), and lasted approximately one hour. All names and some other personal data had been changed or withdrawn.

The study used abductive approach (Smith and Elger, 2014) aimed at creating a logical and plausible explanation for the phenomenon in question (in this study's case, skilled migrants' reliance on social capital for career reasons) through systematic and iterative combining of theory and data. The research was also informed by Gioia methodology (Gioia et al., 2012) which, despite its original focus on inductive approaches, has recently been recommended for enhancing 'qualitative rigour' in abductive studies (Magnani and Gioia, 2023). The research commenced with in-depth literature review presented in the previous section. An important objective of the review was identification of *a priori* themes that informed the interview guide. The interview questions were designed to examine, elaborate, or reject the *a priori* themes (Magnani and Gioia, 2023), e.g., urban migrant communities and time needed to develop social connections, but later evolved to investigate emerging patterns. For instance, when the *need* crystallised as one of the key factors in the narratives of the first few respondents, the subsequent interviews explicitly invited discussions of its manifestation and impact.

The interviews opened with introductory questions about migration and career biographies. This was followed by more specific questions about social capital, which invited explanation

1 of why the respondents did (not) rely on it for career reasons. Multiple probing questions  
2 invited respondents to expand their answers. The questions covered the respondents'  
3 deliberations, interpretations, and actions in relation to (not) seeking, accepting, and utilising  
4 social capital, including options that were considered but dismissed and the reasons for  
5 dismissing. The participants were asked to comment on how reflexive concerns and contextual  
6 forces had shaped their reliance on social capital. Those exposed to both urban spaces were  
7 encouraged to juxtapose their experiences.  
8  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 In this study, Archer's original quantitative tool, the Internal Conversation Indicator (ICONI),  
18 was not used in its 'pure' form due the highlighted issues with its reliability and consistency  
19 (see Archer, 2012; Dyke et al., 2012; Golob and Makarovic, 2019). Instead, the qualitative  
20 questions about respondents' internal conversations, primary concerns, dialogical partnerships,  
21 and career 'projects' were incorporated in the interviews, following the examples of other,  
22 mostly education-focussed (e.g., Baker, 2018; Liu and Lin, 2024), qualitative studies and  
23 Archer's (2007) own precedent for combining the ICONI with interviews. Throughout the  
24 entire process, the respondents were recognised and treated as knowledgeable and reflexive  
25 agents (Magnani and Gioia, 2023), although with inevitable limitations to their (as well as other  
26 people's, including this article author's) understandings and interpretations (Smith and Elger,  
27 2014). After each interview, a short summary was developed, highlighting topics needing  
28 further investigation, as well as points for researcher's own reflection (Gioia et al., 2012).  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 The data collection and analysis were undertaken in an iterative and dynamic fashion. Firstly,  
47 MoRs dominating the respondents' internal conversations were identified from their answers  
48 about subjective concerns and internal dialogues, as well as relations with other people, career  
49 goals, career enablers and constraints (Archer, 2008, 2012). In most cases, the identification of  
50 dominant MoRs was [surprisingly] straightforward, even when personal relations and values  
51 were concerned. For example, most respondents were fond of their family and friends, and  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 their roles in respondents' careers were widely acknowledged. Yet, only practitioners of  
2 communicative reflexivity actively sought and relied on others' approval, confirmation, and  
3 recommendations, whereas respondents whose internal dialogues were dominated by  
4 autonomous and meta-reflexivity were conspicuously more independent in their career  
5 decision-making, with some rare exceptions of resorting to expert counselling. Similarly, the  
6 majority of interviewees mentioned their values, morals, and principles, and many considered  
7 them when engaging in career decision-making. Yet, careers of some respondents (classed as  
8 meta-reflexives) were evidently shaped by and structured around their values, more than  
9 anything else.

10 Eventually, 43 respondents were classed as practitioners of autonomous reflexivity (ARs), 24  
11 had internal dialogues dominated by communicative reflexivity (CRs) and 15 participants  
12 predominantly practiced meta-reflexivity (MRs). No internal dialogues were dominated by  
13 fractured reflexivity which was anticipated, since 'passive' agency is unlikely to lead to self-  
14 initiated international mobility (Archer, 2003). Importantly, the identified dominant modes of  
15 reflexivity are not treated as personality types (Archer, 2007). Also, the research design made  
16 it possible to identify dominant modes of reflexivity only in relations to respondents' careers  
17 and the use of social capital for career reasons, and only at the time when they were interviewed  
18 (see Dyke et al., 2012), and so more generalisable claims cannot be sensibly made.

19 Next, the interviews were read to construct first-order (informant-based) codes, advance them  
20 into more researcher-based second-order categories and, finally, aggregate themes enabling  
21 theoretical explanation of social capital in the respondents' careers (Gioia et al., 2012). For  
22 example, one of the three aggregate themes, *costs*, was formed of five second-order categories:  
23 financial costs; acculturation; time; reputational risks; and ethical scruples. In turn,  
24 acculturation comprised eight first-order codes: language proficiency; written and verbal  
25 communication standards; cultural knowledge; football and drinking; body language;

1 politeness; display of emotions; and tolerance and acceptance. Lastly, the impact of agency  
2 (reflexive concerns) and structure (urban context) upon the identified dimensions was  
3 scrutinised. While focussing on the most influential mechanisms, this data-to-theory  
4 advancement was particularly concerned with themes underexplored in the existing literature  
5 (Gioia et al., 2012).  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 The analysis compared the data with the existing literature, whilst exploring differences and  
11 similarities within and across MoRs. The explanation was sought for the codes present and  
12 absent in the analysis (see Shirmohammadi et al., 2023). The results of the analysis were  
13 discussed with three colleagues not involved in this research but with substantial knowledge of  
14 qualitative methods and Realist Social Theory.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## 26 Findings

27 Three principal factors (mechanisms) shaping skilled migrants' social capital reliance  
28 materialised from the analysis: *need*, *presence*, and *costs*. Importantly, all three featured in each  
29 individual interview, although their manifestation, interpretation, significance, and effect  
30 varied across practitioners of different modes of reflexivity and across the urban contexts.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39 These factors and how they were co-influenced by agency and structure are unravelled in the  
40 following sections.  
41  
42

### 43 *Need*

44 The first factor, *need*, refers to the utility of social resources compared to career aid available  
45 via other channels. Irrespective of their dominant modes of reflexivity (MoRs), social capital  
46 was described as more valuable in London. The capital featured plentiful career opportunities  
47 but also stern competition, and foreign expertise was not a substantial competitive advantage.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56 Facing intense rivalry and having their foreign skills misprized, London respondents felt that  
57 social capital was highly beneficial for (re)establishing and advancing their careers.  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Contrariwise, Newcastle participants described the local skilled jobs market as “scanty” but  
 2 underlined that, due to the homogeneity of the locally available skillsets, their foreign  
 3 competencies were highly advantageous when opportunities – especially in companies seeking  
 4 to internationalise - arose. This does not imply that careers in Newcastle were ‘boundaries-  
 5 free’, but rather that migrants’ skills were sufficiently valuable and rare to downgrade social  
 6 capital to a helpful supplement. Omor (engineer, Bangladesh) contrasted his experience:  
 7

8  
 9  
 10  
 11  
 12  
 13  
 14 *[In Newcastle] I could get almost any job I applied for, just because I could offer different*  
 15 *things, you know, education and that. (...) I am more experienced now, but [in London] I am*  
 16 *one of many and still need some, you know, favours even to get an interview.*  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21

22 The perceived need for social capital also varied across different MoRs. With reflexive  
 23 concerns aimed at achievements, respondents practising predominantly autonomous reflexivity  
 24 (ARs) felt particularly enthusiastic about career advantages afforded by social capital: “*if social*  
 25 *contacts can help [my career], then of course I need them!*” (Dato, event manager, Georgia).  
 26  
 27 They described various desirable career benefits potentially accessible through social  
 28 connections, such as information about vacancies, promotion opportunities, and knowledge  
 29 exchange, and largely corroborated the argument of the higher need for such benefits in  
 30 London’s competitive and super-diverse labour market.  
 31  
 32  
 33  
 34  
 35  
 36  
 37  
 38  
 39  
 40

41 The other two groups concurred that social capital could provide career benefits and that they  
 42 would be more useful in London. Yet, they also shared opinions idiosyncratic to their reflexive  
 43 concerns, which sometimes were at cross-purposes with their own career interests. In  
 44 comparison to ARs, practitioners of meta-reflexivity (MRs) displayed much lower interest in  
 45 careers: “*I don’t really care about the career at all*” (Lew, lecturer, Poland). Lew’s detachment  
 46 from his career was engendered by disappointment in higher education which, in his opinion,  
 47 was based upon “*fear*” and which he did not want to (re)produce. Other MRs also discovered  
 48 that their values-orientated concerns, such as sustainability, social justice, and intellectual  
 49  
 50  
 51  
 52  
 53  
 54  
 55  
 56  
 57  
 58  
 59  
 60  
 61  
 62  
 63  
 64  
 65

1 freedom, were out of step with their careers. Notably, they saw little practical need in social  
2 capital to reconcile their concerns and careers because, as will be elaborated later, this required  
3 a fundamental transformation of society and deemed unfeasible.  
4  
5

6  
7 This is not to imply that MRs had no career interests or experienced no need for social capital.  
8  
9 As other respondents, they were exposed to multiple structural pressures urging career pursuits  
10 – not least, the need to fend for themselves and provide for their families. Lew handed in his  
11 resignation but eventually withdrew it because of the need to support his disabled brother in  
12 Poland. Yet, MRs were disposed to sacrifice career interests in favour of their values-centred  
13 *modus vivendi*, especially once having secured a comfortable and stable income. Achieving  
14 financial security was more difficult in London, due to higher costs of living. Therefore, the  
15 need for social capital in London was higher not only for ‘generic’ career purposes, but also  
16 for specific MRs’ objectives - to accelerate arrival to the financial position where MRs could  
17 pledge themselves to their cherished values.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 For those respondents whose internal dialogues were dominated by communicative reflexivity  
32 (CRs), the need for social capital was axiomatic. When interviewed, 16 had trusted and  
33 knowledgeable dialogical partners who assessed and confirmed their internal dialogues,  
34 whereas the remaining eight expressed “*natural*” and “*instinctive*” desires for such  
35 relationships. The interlocutors were unanimously regarded as valuable sources of career  
36 advice and counselling, and careers of many CRs appeared to be guided by them to a very  
37 significant degree. For instance, Kasia (Poland) sought approval of “*every career step*” from  
38 her elder sisters whose paths she followed when moving to the UK to become a nurse.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 More importantly, dialogical partners were also helpful for actualising specific acceptance-  
52 related concerns of CRs. Unlike pragmatic ARs, who regarded acceptance by organisations,  
53 professional bodies, or communities as a strategic career enabler, for CRs acceptance was  
54 valuable as an end in itself. The interlocutors assisted by introducing CRs to the ‘right people’  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 or by explaining locally dominant socio-cultural conventions and norms. The latter was  
 2 particularly important in culturally uniform Newcastle where, as will be explained later,  
 3 acceptance was typically predicated upon assimilation:  
 4

5 *Here [in Newcastle]... sometimes it feels that they try to choose words that I don't know or*  
 6  
 7 *they talk about things that I don't know (laughs). (...) It's like they are testing you, whether you*  
 8  
 9 *are, you know, assimilated enough to be their friend. (Mira, council worker, Vietnam; moved*  
 10  
 11 *to Newcastle from London)*

12 Consequently, Mira and other CRs experienced more need for social capital in Newcastle than  
 13  
 14 in London. This may seem at odds with the earlier arguments but can be explained by the  
 15  
 16 discrepancy between 'practical' career needs and CRs' reflexive commitments. Vlad (financial  
 17  
 18 adviser, Russia) remarked that his "*career needed more [social capital] in London*" but he  
 19  
 20 "*personally needed more of it in Newcastle*". Like other CRs, Vlad prioritised the latter as the  
 21  
 22 need most compatible with his reflexive concerns and, therefore, more personally meaningful.  
 23  
 24

### 25 *Presence*

26  
 27 The second factor, *presence*, referred to the existence of the needed social capital. The  
 28  
 29 consensus was that social resources in London were more plentiful and diverse. London hosted  
 30  
 31 high numbers of skilled professionals, migrants and indigenes alike, with assorted experiences  
 32  
 33 and assets: "*It's very self-sufficient. Honestly, I struggle to think of any support or service I*  
 34  
 35 *possibly need and that I can't find in London*" (Dato, event manager, Georgia). Other  
 36  
 37 respondents corroborated that social capital existing in London was sufficient to accommodate  
 38  
 39 a variety of career purposes, thanks to well-established communities and societies, including  
 40  
 41 those launched and/or run by other migrants. In contrast, social resources present in Newcastle  
 42  
 43 were regarded as meagre. Most importantly, locally available social capital was described as  
 44  
 45 unbecoming, since migrants' career needs could not always be met by [even genuine] support  
 46  
 47  
 48  
 49  
 50  
 51  
 52  
 53  
 54  
 55  
 56  
 57  
 58  
 59  
 60  
 61  
 62  
 63  
 64  
 65

1 from mainly White British locals. Besides, the social distance between skilled migrants and  
 2 predominantly working-class indigenes was emphasised:  
 3

4 *And of course, as a professional migrant you don't necessarily identify yourself with working*  
 5 *class fully. So, who are your networks? Your networks become very much reduced, because it*  
 6 *become only your colleagues, not the city. The city doesn't offer you networks....* (Joaquin,  
 7 lecturer, Colombia; moved to Newcastle from London)  
 8  
 9

10 The way respondents interpreted the presence of useful social resources further differed across  
 11 the dominant MoRs. ARs were distinct in that they were able to identify more sources of career-  
 12 related social capital and took a more proactive stance. Hannah (marketing director, Israel;  
 13 moved to Newcastle from London) elaborated:  
 14

15 *My contacts are friends, you know, just people I like... also, people I need or might need in the*  
 16 *future, but I keep an eye on all of them, just to see what they do, where they go in life and what*  
 17 *kind of favours we may be able to exchange if needed.*  
 18  
 19

20 Other ARs also strategically monitored their social contacts or, in Dato's (event manager,  
 21 Georgia) words, undertook "*regular audit*" to keep abreast of resources in their networks.  
 22

23 Although agreeing that social capital in London was more abundant, Newcastle-based ARs  
 24 made remarkable efforts to discover and create social capital. Akande (graduate lecturer,  
 25 Nigeria) established an informal support network of predominantly other migrant colleagues.  
 26

27 The initiatives of some other ARs included transnational networking – e.g., Fabio's (lecturer,  
 28 Italy) introduction of his former US colleagues to new workmates in the UK facilitated  
 29 knowledge exchange and fostered partnerships. Further, ARs were particularly likely to  
 30 identify sources of social capital outside of their immediate organisations – e.g., clients,  
 31 customers, and suppliers.  
 32

33 MRs presented two somewhat conflicting accounts, which reflected the tension between their  
 34 agential values and structure. When discussing the need to secure financial stability, MRs  
 35



1 reiterated the arguments about the wealth of social resources in London. When considering  
2 their ultimate values-related concerns, some MRs conceded that high-profile contacts in  
3 politics and business may be able to commence certain reforms, but a vehement opinion was  
4 that, eventually, social transformation would require almost unanimous communal support.  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Lew (lecturer, Poland) shared his thoughts about reforming the higher education: *“I think it is difficult to change it unless a lot of people together become conscious about how we function as human beings, that’s the thing”*.

So, the consensus was that the social resources needed to realise concerns of MRs were not readily present in either city, although London offered better opportunities to meet confederates:

*You may be any freak you want [in London] and meet other freaks – most importantly, freaks of your own kind (laughs).* (Paulina, Slovakia, receptionist; moved to London from Newcastle)

CRs also agreed that London featured more social resources useful for their careers. However, unlike ARs and MRs, they sought social capital to blend [their careers] into the host environment and, unsurprisingly, found it in both cities. Essentially, anyone knowledgeable about the structural conditions could become a trustworthy interlocutor. Yet, London-based respondents once again underscored the greater choice of interlocutors. In diverse London, both indigenes and migrants were perceived as sufficiently knowledgeable about the structural conditions. Konstantinos (barrister, Greece) formed a dialogical partnership with a Polish woman who provided ample social and cultural guidance enabling Konstantinos to feel *“like an honorary Pole”* which was sufficient for his integration. In monocultural Newcastle, preferred interlocutors were British or, at least, long-term migrant residents. Like other CRs, Stojan (engineer, Serbia) expected the desired interlocutor to explain the rules of British sports and pub etiquette, rather than to discuss strictly professional matters, as he believed that acquiring local knowledge would facilitate acceptance by the locals. Both Stojan and

1 Konstantinos conceded that acceptance may establish their career positions more firmly but,  
 2 like other CRs, regarded career-related benefits as collateral [even if worthwhile] outcomes.  
 3

#### 4 *Costs*

5  
 6  
 7 The final factor, *costs*, refers to expenses associated with relying on social capital. In London,  
 8  
 9 the respondents emphasised financial and time-related costs. Many stressed that networking  
 10  
 11 often happened in bars and restaurants, which can be pricey in London. Upon relocation to the  
 12  
 13 capital from Newcastle, Omor (engineer, Bangladesh) had to become “*more selective*” in  
 14  
 15 socialising, as he “*could not afford to attend all social gatherings [he] was invited to*”. Also,  
 16  
 17 travelling to meet people was described as tedious and inconvenient, and many respondents  
 18  
 19 were deterred by the prospect of long journeys to meet people. In contrast, networking in  
 20  
 21 Newcastle was described as affordable and convenient, thanks to lower prices and closer  
 22  
 23 distances. However, the acculturation pressure, rarely mentioned in London, emerged as  
 24  
 25 cardinal in Newcastle. Ahmed (bank manager, Iran) voiced an opinion shared by many:  
 26  
 27 “*Culturally, Newcastle is monolithic, you know. The local culture is so strong and so inflexible,*  
 28  
 29 *that culturally it is my way or a highway kind of thing.*” It was further suggested that to be  
 30  
 31 granted access to resources-rich dominant indigenous networks, migrants were expected to  
 32  
 33 develop advanced local knowledge and adopt local practices.  
 34  
 35  
 36  
 37  
 38  
 39  
 40

41 The way migrants construed and addressed these costs varied across the three MoRs. CRs had  
 42  
 43 the most straightforward approach to managing the social capital costs. In either city, due to  
 44  
 45 their ‘natural’ desire for dialogical partners and social acceptance, they were not deterred by  
 46  
 47 the costs. Nora (teaching assistant, Saudi Arabia) poetically explained: “*Being with people is*  
 48  
 49 *like breathing to me (...) you may be unhappy with it, but eventually you will pay any price, as*  
 50  
 51 *that’s the only way for you*”.  
 52  
 53  
 54  
 55  
 56  
 57  
 58  
 59  
 60  
 61  
 62  
 63  
 64  
 65

1 Interestingly, career help from social contacts could be declined if seen as jeopardising wider  
 2 acceptance and CRs' *modus vivendi*. Patel (insurance manager, Sri Lanka) was once offered a  
 3 better-paid position but rejected the offer:  
 4

5  
 6  
 7 *I had just started feeling comfortable with people at work, I finally started feeling like I*  
 8  
 9 *belonged there... and [winning this acceptance] was so stressful! It took me a long time and*  
 10  
 11 *caused anxiety, you know, I could not do that again.*

12  
 13  
 14 Another example was shared by Mira (council worker, Vietnam) who rejected an invitation to  
 15 participate in a large-scale project looking at integration of migrants into the regional economy,  
 16 which would have meant working in a more diverse team, more appreciation of her  
 17 'foreignness', higher pay, and, potentially, a promotion. She "*didn't feel comfortable leaving*  
 18 *other people*" and feared that "*it would maybe make me [her] too special*", and so preferred to  
 19 stay with her – almost exclusively White British – colleagues.  
 20  
 21

22  
 23  
 24 Undermining the sense of belonging was the price Patel and Mira, like other CRs, were  
 25 unwilling to pay, even for the sake of their careers, whereas ARs took a more strategic  
 26 approach. Some of them shared examples of 'bookkeeping' where they ascertained the  
 27 expenses incurred by using social capital against [potential] benefits. ARs were particularly  
 28 cautious of mutual obligations and reputational risks arising from reliance on social capital and  
 29 regarded them as critically important costs:  
 30  
 31

32  
 33  
 34 *You must remember that whenever you receive help, you will have to pay back. (...) One day*  
 35  
 36 *you receive a phone call and (...) it may not even be that person who helped you, maybe it's*  
 37  
 38 *their kid or friend or spouse, and you will have to help them, but maybe you don't even know*  
 39  
 40 *them, and it can be very, very risky for your reputation. (Maikel, dental solicitor, Netherlands).*

41  
 42  
 43 In addition, ARs regarded close associations with other migrants and, especially, compatriots  
 44 as potentially detrimental for their professional reputations. Like Harald (Germany), an  
 45  
 46  
 47  
 48  
 49  
 50  
 51  
 52  
 53  
 54  
 55  
 56  
 57  
 58  
 59  
 60  
 61  
 62  
 63  
 64  
 65

1 engineer with managerial responsibilities, many deliberately avoided exchanging favours with  
 2 their fellow countrymen:  
 3

4 *There are other Germans in the company, and we do support each other, but I have been very*  
 5 *careful not to become too cliquy with them. If I keep promoting their interests, it will be unfair*  
 6 *and may make other people think me biased and unprofessional, so ultimately non-German*  
 7 *people won't trust me.*  
 8  
 9  
 10  
 11  
 12

13 MRs also displayed idiosyncratic attitudes towards the costs of social capital. Like CRs, they  
 14 were inclined to avoid relying on social capital if seeing it as a threat to their reflexive concerns.  
 15  
 16 However, MRs were more preoccupied with moral and ethical costs. After a violent demise of  
 17 his homosexual brother, Calvin (engineer, Jamaica) became highly sensitive to homophobia  
 18 and eschewed people and organisations discriminating against homosexuals, even at the  
 19 expense of his career interests. One manager was very keen to promote Calvin but, having  
 20 overheard some of her comments about a gay colleague, Calvin rejected her sponsorship and  
 21 soon left the company. He was aware that this intransigence was unhelpful for his career but,  
 22 like other MRs, was prepared to pay this price to “*stay true*” to himself.  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28  
 29  
 30  
 31  
 32  
 33  
 34  
 35

36 In addition, MRs commonly opined that using social capital to gain career advantage was  
 37 fundamentally unfair: “*there is something improper about gaining advantage just because you*  
 38 *know the right people or because the right people know you*” (Joanne, administrator, South  
 39 Africa). This does not imply that MRs never used social resources, but many made a conscious  
 40 effort to minimise reliance on social capital. Such scruples particularly troubled MRs in  
 41 competitive and expensive London, whereas using social capital in Newcastle was deemed  
 42 more pardonable because, in Calvin’s words, skilled migrants were “*not taking anyone’s*  
 43 *place*”.  
 44  
 45  
 46  
 47  
 48  
 49  
 50  
 51  
 52  
 53  
 54  
 55  
 56  
 57

## 58 **Discussion**

59  
 60  
 61  
 62  
 63  
 64  
 65

1 This qualitative study advances understanding of *why* individuals may or may not rely on social  
2 capital for career reasons by (1) identifying three specific factors or, in critical realism terms,  
3 mechanisms – need, presence, and costs - that account for skilled migrants’ reliance on social  
4 capital; and (2) explaining the operation of these mechanisms as outcomes of reflexivity-  
5 mediated interactions between structure and agency. Archer’s (2003, 2007) Realist Social  
6 Theory and the notion of reflexivity have been deployed to grasp agential concerns and how  
7 they are negotiated in urban contexts (Tams et al., 2021).

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 The first mechanism, *need*, relates to motivation to seek and/or accept career aid from social  
18 contacts. In line with the boundaryless career theses, this motivation has often been explored  
19 from an individual perspective. Social capital is said to be particularly useful for vulnerable  
20 groups of career agents, such as foreign-born workers (Al Ariss and Crowley-Henry, 2013).  
21 Yet, not everyone desires a skilled job or career as such. The need for social capital is intrinsic  
22 for CRs, although their motivation is guided more by the social acceptance yearning than career  
23 reasons. ARs perceive the need in more explicitly career terms, whereas MRs are interested in  
24 social resources as a potential leverage for social transformation rather than as career enablers.  
25 Also, the need for social capital is shaped by external structural powers. For example, in  
26 relatively meritocratic environments, such as Singapore (Chua, 2011), jobseekers experience  
27 lower need for help from social contacts as appointments are made based on educational  
28 credentials. The present study illuminates the importance of urban factors for understanding  
29 the role of social capital in careers (see Kozhevnikov, 2021), such as stiff labour market  
30 competition in London or limited skilled opportunities in Newcastle, and suggests that, from  
31 career perspective, the need for SC is higher in global than secondary cities.

32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53 The second mechanism, *presence*, speaks to earlier studies of networks structure and  
54 composition (Granovetter, 1983; Burt, 1992). Importantly, presence refers not to the number  
55 of contacts but to accessibility of resources through those contacts (Lin, 2001). Ryan and  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 colleagues (2008) identified high-status indigenes (vertical bridging ties) as most useful for  
2 skilled migrants' efforts to re-establish their careers. The present study finds that opportunities  
3 to develop such ties are more limited in Newcastle, with its relatively high proportion of  
4 working-class population and low-skilled jobs, than in London, but valuable resources can be  
5 present in other types of connections too (also Gericke et al., 2018). For instance, the indigenes  
6 occupying socioeconomic positions similar to migrants (horizontal bridging contacts) can  
7 assist with developing local knowledge. Such "cultural brokers" (Moroşanu, 2016) are  
8 numerous in both cities but in multicultural London resources are also more easily accessible  
9 through bonding ties with other migrants – horizontal (e.g., professional advice) or vertical  
10 (e.g., emotional support). Unlike some earlier studies (Ryan et al., 2008; Moroşanu, 2013),  
11 these findings suggest that indigenous contacts may be superfluous in London where migrant  
12 networks are abundant and resources-rich and where adherence to British normativity is less  
13 important (see Wessendorf, 2019). The presence of social resources is also evaluated  
14 subjectively and reflexively. MRs report resources needed to pursue their values-centred  
15 concerns as absent even in the environments perceived as replete with valuable social resources  
16 by achievements-focussed ARs or acceptance-orientated CRs.

17 Finally, the *costs* refer to risks of relying on social resources. The mobilisation of social capital  
18 (Lin, 2001) requires substantial investments, but the return on those investments is not  
19 necessarily positive (Portes, 1998). Reliance on social capital can be fraught with broken trust,  
20 abused obligations, and reputational losses which can discourage people from requesting,  
21 accepting, or providing aid, even when their close kin are concerned (Smith, 2005; Offer,  
22 2012). The costs are context-sensitive. In high-priced and geographically vast London,  
23 financial and time costs of connecting with people in possession of the needed resources were  
24 prominent (Ryan and Mullholland, 2014; Barwick, 2022). In relatively cheap and compact but  
25 non-diverse Newcastle the costs of cultural assimilation came to fore: adopting or mimicking

1 local practices, customs, and conventions was prerequisite for accessing social capital (Ryan  
2 et al., 2008; Wessendorf, 2019). While the structural context shapes the nominal costs, the  
3  
4 agential interpretations of the relative burden are reflexivity-driven. The unwavering desire of  
5  
6 CR for social acceptance demands satisfaction at [almost] all costs. Importantly, CRs do not  
7  
8 accept the costs blindly but reflexively conclude that social capital is worthy of sacrifices. MRs  
9  
10 are particularly sensitive to ethical costs and regard gaining advantage from social capital as  
11  
12 fundamentally unfair. Both groups tend to eschew relying on social capital, even at the expense  
13  
14 of career interests, when perceiving it as a threat to their reflexive priorities or *modus vivendi*.  
15  
16 As far as careers are concerned, ARs adopt a more practical approach. Painstakingly assessing  
17  
18 potential returns against the costs of investments in social capital, they are most cautious about  
19  
20 obligations and possible reputational losses (Offer, 2012).  
21  
22  
23  
24

25  
26 The contribution of this article extends beyond the novel typology of factors shaping reliance  
27  
28 on social capital. More importantly, it explains *how* the identified factors are affected by  
29  
30 structure and agency, which addresses the calls to develop more balanced and comprehensive  
31  
32 accounts of career experiences (Chudzikowski and Mayrhofer, 2011) and, specifically, social  
33  
34 capital in careers (Gruguletz et al., 2019). The empirical results suggest that skilled migrants  
35  
36 as career agents are neither immune from contextual influences nor helpless against them (see  
37  
38 Farashah et al., 2023; Shirmohammadi et al., 2023). Instead, migrants reflexively navigate their  
39  
40 ways through barriers and enablers (Thondhlana et al., 2016; Wallinder, 2022) but, even within  
41  
42 the same context, do it differently because of their reflexive commitments (Archer, 2003,  
43  
44 2007). Crucially, the results explain not only why skilled migrants use social capital for career  
45  
46 purposes, but also why they do *not* rely on it. For example, the high need and abundant presence  
47  
48 prompt the use of social capital by London-based ARs, whilst reputational risks discourage it.  
49  
50 In Newcastle, the reliance on social capital is curbed by lower need and scarcer presence. CRs  
51  
52 and MRs also tend to use social capital more in London but interpret the identified mechanisms  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 differently. In both contexts, MRs exhibit lower reliance on social capital than the other groups,  
2 whereas CRs use social capital frequently and extensively but for reasons unrelated (or non-  
3 directly related) to careers. Exploring factors that discourage reliance on social capital is  
4 important as it helps avoid the ‘survival bias’ (Al Ariss and Crowley-Henry, 2013).  
5  
6

7  
8  
9 Archer’s RST offered a potent theoretical tool to investigate and explain reliance on social  
10 capital as an outcome of reflexivity-moderated interactions between structure and agency. This  
11 article provides a rare empirical example of utilising RST to examine individual careers and,  
12 thus, contributes to the search for a robust social theory in career studies (Chudzikowski and  
13 Mayrhofer, 2011). Archer’s (2003, 2007) reflexivity acknowledges that people have different  
14 attitudes towards careers. Driven by achievements-orientated concerns, ARs have more explicit  
15 career interests and, therefore, are more likely to understand the need for social capital through  
16 potential career advantages. The need for social capital as conceived of by CRs and MRs is  
17 also informed by their unique concerns – inter-personal relations and values, respectively –  
18 which may be at odds with career interests. This illustrates the importance of taking into  
19 consideration what really matters for people when studying the use of career resources; or  
20 careers in a broader sense; or social choices, transactions, and achievements in a yet broader  
21 sense. The stance on social capital assumed by CRs and MRs may appear detrimental,  
22 irrational, or downright wrong from the perspective of *homo economicus*. Yet, the use of social  
23 capital is not always determined by its ability to maximise monetary gains or accelerate career  
24 progress, in the same vein as economic rationality is not the only type of rationality (Kuwabara  
25 et al., 2018). The respondents’ decisions and actions were not random; they originated from  
26 conscious deliberations and were guided by specific interests. Some of the choices concerning  
27 social capital were more or less (dis)advantageous for careers but, crucially, the respondents  
28 were cognizant of such consequences and accepted them to pursue personally meaningful  
29 concerns and *modus vivendi*.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1 In this article, reflexivity was used to understand skilled migrants' agency but, more  
2 importantly, their *agency in context* (Archer, 2007), within two distinct urban spaces. The  
3  
4 empirical results have demonstrated that global and secondary cities differently enable and  
5  
6 hinder skilled migrants' reliance on social capital for career reasons and explained some of the  
7  
8 observed differences – e.g., social capital is highly important and profuse in competitive and  
9  
10 diverse London although accessing it is pricey and time-consuming, whereas in more casual  
11  
12 and homogenous Newcastle social resources are less significant and abundant, and accessing  
13  
14 them demands a significant degree of acculturation (see Ryan and Mullholland, 2014;  
15  
16 Moroşanu, 2016). These findings unravel the social embeddedness of careers and social capital  
17  
18 (Erel and Ryan, 2019) by corroborating the status of secondary cities as distinct and impactful  
19  
20 career environments, rather than rudimentary global cities (Cardoso and Meijers, 2016), and  
21  
22 by adding to the existing attempts to analyse careers within urban spaces (Beigi et al., 2020;  
23  
24 Kozhevnikov, 2021; Tams et al., 2021).

25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31 This study also has practical implications. Firstly, it can inform migrants' own decision-  
32  
33 making. For example, MRs should be aware that high costs in global cities may necessitate  
34  
35 reliance on social capital for career reasons, even despite their moral scruples; CRs should be  
36  
37 prepared that social acceptance in secondary cities is likely to require a significant degree of  
38  
39 acculturation and assimilation; whereas ARs should anticipate limited career opportunities in  
40  
41 secondary cities. At the same time, the results of this study can instruct organisations and career  
42  
43 advisors seeking to employ, support, and/or benefit from skilled migrants. In global cities,  
44  
45 organisations can facilitate networking by ensuring its affordability, whilst in secondary cities  
46  
47 businesses can facilitate the exchange of cultural knowledge between migrant and local  
48  
49 workers. Most importantly, organisations should develop, promote, and uphold fair and  
50  
51 egalitarian employment principles, which would provide career support for all through formal  
52  
53 channels and minimise the need for social capital.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Limitations and future research

This article has started developing a theoretically robust explanation of reliance on social capital for career reasons, but this mission cannot be accomplished by a single study and its limitations should inform directions for future investigations. Whereas the present article has explored why skilled migrants rely (or not) on social capital for their careers, the following studies could pay more attention to positive and negative outcomes of utilising social capital and whether (and how) they differ across [urban] contexts, as well as modes of reflexivity. Such inquiries could cast more light on the “dark side” of social capital (Portes, 1998; Leschke and Weiss, 2020) and how it could be mitigated. A longitudinal approach would be useful to discover the temporal patterns of relying on social capital and the dynamic interactions between structure and agency. Archer’s (2007) morphogenetic model can be particularly useful for this endeavour.

More efforts would be beneficial to address some of the Realist Social Theory’s own oversights. For instance, longitudinal studies are needed to cast more light on the evolution of reflexivity throughout people’s life and career course (Golob and Makarovic, 2019), which can refer to shifts between MoRs (e.g., from communicative to autonomous reflexivity) or transformation of the dominant MoR (e.g., recruiting new interlocutors by communicative reflexives or prioritising different values by meta-reflexives as contextual circumstances change). Besides, more research is needed to acknowledge the possibility of less meritorious values (e.g., it is – sadly - possible to think of someone driven by commitments to anti-Semitism or homophobia) and examine their impact. More clarity is also needed regarding whether all aspects of people’s internal conversations are dominated by the same MoR or whether it is possible to switch between different modes, for example, when dealing with different concerns or in different contextual situations (see Baker, 2018; Dyke et al., 2012).

1 London and Newcastle typify global and secondary cities but have their own features that may  
2 be not shared by other urban contexts (see Hollands, 1995; Barwick, 2022). Exploring other  
3 global and secondary cities, as well as other urban typologies, can be worthwhile. By focussing  
4 on agential reflexivity and structural conditions in urban environments, this article has offered  
5 a balanced and novel, but by no means exhaustive explanation of reliance on social capital.  
6 Future studies could refine it by utilising various intersectional lenses and conducting multi-  
7 level analysis to appreciate other structural and agential factors – e.g., legislation, occupations,  
8 inequality, and personality traits. It would also be useful to explore the reliance on social capital  
9 of others, differently (dis)advantaged career agents. The following studies should also pay  
10 more attention to virtual and online social capital, considering the growing prominence of  
11 digital connections in the post-COVID era. This focus can considerably shift the perception of,  
12 for example, the presence of social capital outside of global cities and explain such topical  
13 phenomena as digital nomadism or permanent relocation of professionals into relatively remote  
14 and isolated localities. Finally, future research should make more effort to disentangle the  
15 relations between need, presence, and costs.

16 In conclusion, this qualitative study responds to the need for better understanding of reliance  
17 on social capital for career purposes. The results present unique, theoretically robust, and  
18 empirically rich insights. The study advances the existing scholarship by identifying three  
19 specific factors that shape skilled migrants' reliance on social capital for career reasons and by  
20 explaining how these factors are simultaneously defined by structural conditionings (explored  
21 here on the urban level) and migrants' own agency (examined through the lens of reflexivity).

## 22 **References**

1 Al Ariss, A. and Crowley-Henry, M. (2013) Self-initiated expatriation and migration in the  
2 management literature: Present theorizations and future research directions, *Career*  
3  
4  
5 *Development International*, 18(1): 78-96.

6  
7 Archer, M.S. (2003) *Structure, Agency and the Internal Conversation*. Cambridge, UK:  
8  
9  
10 Cambridge University Press.

11  
12 Archer, M.S. (2007) *Making Our Way through the World: Human Reflexivity and Social*  
13  
14  
15 *Mobility*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

16  
17 Archer, M.S. (2008) *The Internal Conversation: Mediating Between Structure and Agency:*  
18  
19  
20 *Full Research Report*. Swindon: ESRC.

21  
22 Archer, M.S. (2012) *The reflexivity imperative in late modernity*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge  
23  
24  
25 University Press.

26  
27 Baker, Z. (2018). Reflexivity, structure and agency: using reflexivity to understand Further  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33 Education students' Higher Education decision-making and choices, *British Journal of*  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38 *Sociology of Education*, 40(1): 1–16.

39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45 Barwick, C. (2022) Labour or lifestyle? Understanding urban incorporation of European  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50 middling migrants, *Global Networks*, 22(3): 466-482.

51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65 Beigi, M., Nayyeri, S. and Shirmohammadi, M. (2020) Driving a career in Tehran: Experiences  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

Bhaskar, R. (1975) *A Realist Theory of Science*. Leeds: Leeds Books.

Burt, R.S. (1992) *Structural Holes: The Social Structure of Competition*. London: Harvard  
University Press.

Caetano, A. (2015) Defining personal reflexivity: A critical reading of Archer's approach.  
*European Journal of Social Theory*, 18(1): 60-75.

Cardoso, R.V. and Meijers, E.J. (2016) Contrasts between first-tier and second-tier cities in  
Europe: A functional perspective, *European Planning Studies*, 24(5): 996–1015.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Chua, V. (2011) Social networks and labour market outcomes in a meritocracy, *Social Networks*, 33(1): 1-11.
- Chudzikowski, K. and Mayrhofer, W. (2011) In search of the blue flower? Grand social theories and career research: The case of Bourdieu's theory of practice, *Human Relations*, 64(1): 19-36.
- DeFillippi, R.J. and Arthur, M.B. (1994) The boundaryless career: A competency-based prospective, *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 15(4): 307–324.
- Dyke, M., Johnston, B. and Fuller, A. (2012) Approaches to reflexivity: Navigating educational and career pathways, *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 33(6): 831-848
- Erel, U. and Ryan, L. (2019) Migrant capitals: Proposing a multi-level spatio-temporal analytical framework, *Sociology*, 53(2): 246-263.
- Elder-Vass, D. (2007) Reconciling Archer and Bourdieu in an emergentist theory of action. *Sociological Theory*, 25(4): 325-346.
- Farashah A., Blomquist, T., Al Ariss, A., and Guo, G.C. (2023) Perceived employability of skilled migrants: A systematic review and future research agenda, *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 34(3): 478-528.
- Gericke, D., Burmeister, A., Löwe, J., Deller, J. and Pundt, L. (2018) How do refugees use their social capital for successful labor market integration? An exploratory analysis in Germany, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 105: 46-61.
- Gioia, D.A., Corley, K.G. and Hamilton, A.L. (2012) Seeking qualitative rigor in inductive research: Notes on the Gioia methodology, *Organizational Research Methods*, 16(1): 15–31.
- Golob, T. and Makarovic, M. (2019) Reflexivity and structural positions: The effects of generation, gender and education, *Social Sciences*, 8(9): 248.
- Granovetter, M.S. (1983) The strength of weak ties: A network theory revisited, *Sociological Theory*, 1: 201-233.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Gruguletz, E., Diehl, M.-R. and Kruezer, K. (2019) Why women build less effective networks than men: The role of structural exclusion and personal hesitation, *Human Relations*, 72(7): 1234-1261.

Hollands, R. (1995) *Friday Night, Saturday Night: Youth Cultural Identification in the Post-industrial City*. Newcastle upon Tyne: Newcastle University.

Kelly, P.F. and Ducusin R.J. (2024) Social reproduction, migration and labour control regimes” Undersanding Filipino crew experiences in the UK fishing fleet, *Work in the Global Economy*, 4(1): 11-29.

Kozhevnikov, A. (2021) Career capital in global versus second-order cities: Skilled migrants in London and Newcastle, *Human Relations*, 74(5): 705-728.

Kuwabara, K., Hildebrand, C.A. and Zou, X. (2018) Lay theories of networking: How laypeople's beliefs about networks affect their attitudes toward and engagement in instrumental networking, *Academy of Management Review*, 43(1): 50–64.

Leschke, J. and Weiss, S. (2020) With a little help from my friends: Social-network job search and overqualification among recent intra-EU Migrants moving from East to West, *Work, Employment and Society*, 34(5): 769-788.

Lin, N. (2001) *Social Capital: A Theory of Social Structure and Action*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Liu, J. and Lin, H. (2024) Navigating tensions between institutional and cultural quandries: Reflexive journey of Chinese female doctoral students, *Higher Education Research & Development*, 1-15.

Magnani, G. and Gioia, D. (2023) Using the Gioia Methodology in international business and entrepreneurship research. *International Business review*, 32(2): 1-22.

Mahbub, R. (2023) Capital without its field: Educated Bangladeshi women in the British labour market, *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 47(13): 3080-3096.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Mayrhofer, W., Smale, A., Briscoe, J., Dickmann, M. and Parry, E. (2020) Laying the foundations of international careers research, *Human Resource Management Journal*, 30(3): 327-342.

Moroşanu, L. (2016) Professional bridges: Migrants' ties with natives and occupational advancement, *Sociology*, 50(2): 349-365.

Nahapiet, J. and Ghoshal, S. (1998) Social capital, intellectual capital, and the organizational advantage, *The Academy of Management Review*, 23(2): 242-266.

Offer, S. (2012) The burden of reciprocity: Processes of exclusion and withdrawal from personal networks among low-income families, *Current Sociology*, 60(6): 788-805.

Porter, C.M., Woo, S.E., Alonso, N. and Snyder, G. (2023) Why do people network? Professional networking motives and their implications for networking behaviors and career success, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 142, Article 103856.

Portes, A. (1998) Social capital: Its origins and applications in modern sociology, *Annual Review of Sociology*, 24: 1-24.

Putnam, R.D. (2000) *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. New York: Simon and Schuster.

Rodrigues, R.A. and Guest, D. (2010) Have careers become boundaryless? *Human Relations*, 63(8): 1157–1175.

Ryan, L. and Mulholland, J. (2014) 'Wives are the route to social life': An analysis of family life and networking amongst highly skilled migrants in London', *Sociology*, 48(2): 251-267.

Ryan, L., Sales, R., Tilki, M. and Siara, B. (2008) Social networks, social support and social capital, the experiences of recent Polish migrants in London, *Sociology*, 42(4): 672-690.

Sassen, S. (1991) *The Global City: New York, London, Tokyo*. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.

1 Shirmohammadi, M., Beigi, M. and Ayoobzadeh, M. (2023) Finding a home for your career  
2 away from home: Experiences of Iranian highly skilled edu-immigrants in the United States,  
3 *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 143.

4  
5  
6  
7 Smith, C. and Elger, T. (2014) Critical realism and interviewing subjects, in P.K. Edwards, J.  
8 O'Mahoney and S. Vincent (eds) *Studying Organizations Using Critical Realism: A practical*  
9 *guide*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp 109-131.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14 Smith, S.S. (2005) "Don't put my name on it": Social capital activation and job-finding  
15 assistance among the Black urban poor, *American Journal of Sociology*, 111(1): 1-57.

16  
17  
18  
19 Tams, S., Kennedy, J.C., Arthur, M.B. and Chan, K.Y. (2021) Careers in cities: An  
20 interdisciplinary space for advancing the contextual turn in career studies, *Human Relations*,  
21  
22  
23  
24 74(5): 635-655.

25  
26  
27 Thondhlana, J., Madziva, R. and McGrath, S. (2016) Negotiating employability: migrant  
28 capitals and networking strategies for Zimbabwean highly skilled migrants in the UK, *The*  
29 *Sociological Review*, 64(3): 575-592.

30  
31  
32  
33  
34 Wallinder, Y. (2022) Otherness in the workplace among highly skilled labour migrants:  
35 Swedes in Germany and the UK, *Work, Employment and Society*, 36(2): 253-270.

36  
37  
38  
39 Wessendorf, S. (2019) Migrant belonging, social location and the neighbourhood: Recent  
40 migrants in East London and Birmingham, *Urban Studies*, 56(1): 131-146.



The Author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements: I am grateful to Irena Grugulis, Gabriella Alberti and the attendees of the British Academy of Management 2022 Conference for their comments on the earlier versions of this article. I am also very grateful to the editors-in-chief and the anonymous reviewers for their helpful and developmental feedback.